The c-Myc Target Glycoprotein1bα Links Cytokinesis Failure to Oncogenic Signal Transduction Pathways in Cultured Human Cells

Qian Wu^{19a}, Fengfeng L. Xu¹⁹, Youjun Li², Edward V. Prochownik^{2,3,4}, William S. Saunders^{1,4}*

1 Department of Biology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 2 Section of Hematology/Oncology, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 3 Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, The University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 4 University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 4 University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 9 Department of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsbur

Abstract

An increase in chromosome number, or polyploidization, is associated with a variety of biological changes including breeding of cereal crops and flowers, terminal differentiation of specialized cells such as megakaryocytes, cellular stress and oncogenic transformation. Yet it remains unclear how cells tolerate the major changes in gene expression, chromatin organization and chromosome segregation that invariably accompany polyploidization. We show here that cancer cells can initiate increases in chromosome number by inhibiting cell division through activation of glycoprotein1b alpha (Gplb α), a component of the c-Myc signaling pathway. We are able to recapitulate cytokinesis failure in primary cells by overexpression of Gplb α in a p53-deficient background. Gplb α was found to localize to the cleavage furrow by microscopy analysis and, when overexpressed, to interfere with assembly of the cellular cortical contraction apparatus and normal division. These results indicate that cytokinesis failure and tetraploidy in cancer cells are directly linked to cellular hyperproliferation via c-Myc induced overexpression of Gplb α .

Citation: Wu Q, Xu FL, Li Y, Prochownik EV, Saunders WS (2010) The c-Myc Target Glycoprotein1bα Links Cytokinesis Failure to Oncogenic Signal Transduction Pathways in Cultured Human Cells. PLoS ONE 5(5): e10819. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819

Editor: Mikhail V. Blagosklonny, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, United States of America

Received February 24, 2010; Accepted April 27, 2010; Published May 25, 2010

Copyright: © 2010 Wu et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work is support by the NIH grants ROI DE 016086-01 to WSS and RO1 CA105033 to EVP. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: wsaund@pitt.edu

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

¤ Current address: Department of Pathology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America

Introduction

The transition from the restrained and controlled growth of normal cells to the accelerated and dysregulated growth of cancer cells requires multiple changes, including enhancement of the signaling pathways controlling division and survival. But additional changes not directly related to increased proliferation usually accompany these cellular alterations. These include genetic instability (GI), aneuploidy, and centrosome amplification, all of which are associated with a loss of genomic integrity [1,2,3,4]. The reason the two phenotypes of enhanced growth and GI so often appear together is currently unknown. It is commonly believed that GI imparts a "mutator" phenotype to the cancer cells, increasing the genetic diversity necessary for the selection of mutant clones with enhanced growth and survival [5]. But since GI is strongly associated with senescence and apoptosis [6,7,8], it is unclear how cells tolerate the deleterious effects of GI long enough for these cellular evolutionary steps to occur. It is also unclear whether the mechanisms that cause polyploidization are directly related to the signals that cause enhanced growth or whether they are an indirect consequence of elevated proliferation rates.

Two key, and related, genomic destabilizing events that are believed to contribute to cancer are tetraploidization, the doubling of the chromosome number, and centrosomal amplification, which increases the number of microtubule organizing centers in the cell. It has long been believed that tetraploidy is an important intermediate in cellular transformation, as cancer cells typically have increased chromosome numbers [1,9,10]. More recently, tetraploidy has been directly linked to tumorigenesis in mice [11,12], and centrosome amplification has been linked to tumor growth in flies [13]. But in both of these model systems, tetraploidy and centrosome amplification were artificially induced by mechanisms not directly associated with carcinogenesis. The root cause of tetraploidy and centrosome amplification in cancer cells therefore remain mostly uncharacterized.

One of the classic oncoproteins that enhance growth and proliferation of cancer cells is the transcription factor c-Myc. Highly overexpressed in malignant cells, c-Myc modifies a variety of processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, GI and metabolism [14]. Overexpression of c-Myc is sufficient for acute transformation of immortalized rodent cell lines, allowing them to become tumorigenic in immunocompromised mice. One of the many targets of c-Myc transcriptional regulation is GpIb α , a subunit of the von Wilebrand factor receptor (vWFR) that is responsible for the adhesion, aggregation and activation of platelets upon binding to damaged epithelium [15,16]. Recent data shows that GpIb α has additional functions that are independent of the blood-clotting pathway but are linked to cMyc mediated transformation and induction of GI. These include reducing the need for growth factors, inhibiting apoptosis, causing DNA and nuclear damage, promoting tetraploidy and transforming immortalized cells [12,17]. GpIb α is also necessary to promote tetraploidy by c-Myc activation and is sufficient to do this in the absence of overt c-Myc deregulation [17].

To understand in more detail the role of GpIb α in promoting GI, we have identified the genomic-destabilizing events associated with GpIb α overexpression. We show here that GpIb α localizes to the cleavage furrow of dividing primary cells and that overexpression of GpIb α interferes with the correct localization of key divisional proteins at the cleavage furrow associated with failure of cytokinesis or cell division. These observations provide the first direct mechanistic link between stimulation of cell proliferation and transformation, via the c-Myc signaling pathway, and the genomic destabilizing events of polyploidization and centrosomal amplification.

Results

Gplba overexpression caused failure of cytokinesis

GpIb α is widely overexpressed in a variety of tumors and tumor cell lines and GpIb α overexpression gives rise to tetraploidy in primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF; [12,17]. To determine if GpIb α overexpression was the cause of nuclear amplification in cancer cells, GpIba was stably knocked down by a short hairpin RNA in HeLa, OS osteosarcoma, and MCF7 breast cancer cell lines (Figure 1A and Figure S1A and S1B). The frequency of multinucleates (an example is shown in Figure S1C, a common result of cytokinesis failure), was markedly (p<0.05) reduced in HeLa and OS cell lines, and moderately (p < 0.1) reduced in the MCF7 cell line (Figure 1B and C). In addition, the frequency of multipolar spindles (MPS, an example is shown in Figure S1D), a hallmark of centrosome amplification, was also significantly (p< (0.05) reduced in HeLa and OS cells, and moderately (p<0.1) reduced in MCF7 cells. Many other mitotic and cytokinesis defects including anaphase bridges, lagging chromosomes and micronuclei, demonstrated similar trends after GpIba knockdown in tested cancer cells (Figure 1B and C), showing that overexpression of GpIb α is a significant cause of cytokinesis failure and mitotic defects in malignant cells. Furthermore, these results were validated by expressing a murine shRNA-resistant GpIba (mGpIba) in HeLashGpIb α cells and as expected, we observed increases in mitotic and cytokinesis defects compared with control $hGpIb\alpha$ cells (vector alone) showing the specificity of the knockdown phenotype (Figure 1B).

We next examined whether overexpression of GpIba was sufficient to impair cytokinesis in noncancer primary cells. A series of HFF cells stably immortalized with human telomerase (hTERT) were used for this study, including HFF-vector (stably transfected with empty vector), HFF-shp53 (p53 stably knocked down by a short hairpin RNA), HFF+GpIb α (stably overexpressing GpIb α), and HFF-shp53+GpIb α (stably overexpressing GpIb α with p53 knockdown) [12]. The frequency of binucleates in interphase cells increased markedly when $GpIb\alpha$ was overexpressed, but only in a p53 knockdown background (Figure 1D), consistent with previous findings [12]. To confirm that the binucleation was due to cytokinesis failure, we observed the division of >300 cells by livecell differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Cytokinesis failure was seen in approximately 2% of the vector-alone cells, shp53 or GpIba overexpressing cells. However, failure of division increased by >4-fold in HFF-shp53+GpIb α cells (Figure 1E and Movies S1 and S2). These results show that overexpression of GpIba is sufficient to lead to cytokinesis failure in immortalized primary cells lacking p53 and provide an explanation for the increased multinucleation and ploidy of cancer cells.

Gplb α colocalizes with F-actin at the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis

To determine if GpIba plays a role in cell division, its localization was evaluated in dividing HFF-hTERT cells by immunofluorescence. In late mitosis, endogenous GpIba concentrated at the contractile ring in the midzone of the dividing cell, co-localizing with F-actin, filamin A, and myosin heavy chain (MHC, Figure 2A). This is notably different from the ER localization of GpIba described previously in interphase cells where $GpIb\alpha$ is distributed diffusely throughout the cytoplasm and in association with the ER ([18] and Figure S2A). As a control, another membrane-associated marker, CD44, did not concentrate at the cleavage furrow (Figure S2B), showing the cleavage furrow enrichment is specific to a subset of membrane-associated proteins. To examine the changing dynamics of GpIba positioning, GFP was fused to the C-terminus of GpIba and the fusion protein was transiently expressed in HeLa cells, which tolerated the expression better than primary cells. As observed with immunolocalization in primary cells, GpIba-GFP concentrated at the cleavage furrow in mitotic HeLa cells (Figure 2B and Movie 3), thus confirming that GpIba associated with contractile structures of the cell during division. However, unlike primary cells GpIba-GFP staining was only observed in a fraction of the dividing HeLa cells (discussed further below). More diffuse cytoplasmic staining was seen in interphase cells, consistent with the previously described ER localization [12]. GpIba-GFP also partially colocalized with F-actin fibers near the cell cortex of interphase cells (Figure 2C) indicating an association with the actin cytoskeletal in nondividing cells.

Gplb α overexpression causes mislocalization of filamin A, F-actin, MHC and RhoA from the contractile ring

As we documented real-time divisional failure in GpIbaoverexpressing cells (Figure 1E), we also observed defects of the cortical structure of the cells consistent with abortive contraction. One such defect was membrane blebbing seen by immunofluorescence with antibodies to MHC or F-actin (Figure 3A, arrows). Similar blebbing structures have been seen with failed cytokinesis from other sources [19,20]. We also noted polar contraction, defined as cortical contraction and F-actin and myosin accumulation outside of the cleavage furrow during division (Figure 3A). Both blebbing and polar contraction are abnormal features and were only rarely observed in HFF-vector cells, but were found $\sim 30\%$ of the HFF-shp53+GpIb α cells (Figure 3B). When these aberrant divisional structures and processes formed, they typically contained both F-actin and GpIba, as shown in Figure 3C for blebbing in the top panel and polar contraction in the bottom panel. Small molecule inhibition (ML-7) of the signaling protein myosin light chain kinase blocked cytokinesis but did not lead to blebbing or polar contraction confirming that these are symptoms of contractile or abscission defects and are not found in all cases of cytokinesis failure. These observations suggest that the actomyosin contractile cytoskeletal organization and function in dividing cells is defective following overexpression of GpIba.

To examine in more detail the molecular nature of the divisional defect, the localization of a variety of divisional proteins were examined in GpIb α -overexpressing cells. Several key cytokinesis proteins were missing in a subset of the dividing cells. Surprisingly, GpIb α itself was missing from the contractile rings in about 60% of anaphase HFF-shp53+GpIb α cells (Figure 4A). (Comparable results were observed by live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably



Figure 1. Knockdown of endogenous Gplba in cancer cells reduces the frequencies of mitotic and cytokinesis defects, while overexpression of Gplba in immortalized noncancer cells increases the frequencies of binucleation and cytokinesis failure. (A) Immunoblots of HeLa, MCF-7 and OS whole cell extracts from shRNA Gplba knockdown cultures and respective controls. (B) Frequencies of mitotic and cytokinesis defects in HeLa cells were significantly decreased after Gplba knockdown and enforced overexpression of a shRNA-resistant Gplba (mGplba) restored most of the mitotic defects (n > 100 cells per sample). (C) The percentages of OS and MCF7 cells demonstrating mitotic and cytokinesis defects were reduced by Gplba knockdown (n > 100 cells per sample). In both (B) and (C): Examined mitotic defects include: lagging chromosomes (LC), multipolar spindles (MPS), anaphase bridges (AB), micronuclei (micron.) and multinucleation (>1 nuclei, multin.). (D) HFF-hTERT cells with stable knockdown of p53 and/or overexpression of Gplba were stained with DAPI and the frequency of binucleated cells were determined by fluorescent microscopy (n = 300-500 cells per sample). Note that cancer cells occasionally have more than two nuclei and cells with two or more nuclei were categorized in Figure 1B and 1C as "multinucleated". HFF-hTERT cells very rarely had more than two nuclei and cells with two nuclei were categorized in Figure 1D as "binucleated". (E) Frequency of cytokinesis failure in the same cell lines as in D determined by DIC live-cell imaging. Divisional failure was markedly stimulated by overexpression of Gplba in a p53 deficient background. At least 50 dividing cells were analyzed in each category in E. In B, C and D, data and error bars represent mean and standard deviation of at least three different experiments.

PLoS ONE | www.plosone.org





Figure 2. Gplb*a* **co-localizes with F-actin, filamin A and MHC.** (A) Co-localization between Gplb*a* and F-actin, MHC and filamin A at the cleavage furrow was observed in HFF-vector cells by double immunofluorescence. DAPI staining was used to confirm that the cells were in telophase. The merge combines anti-Gplb*a* in green and the indicated second primary antibody in red. (B) Gplb*a* localization during mitosis via live cell imaging using HeLa cells transiently transfected with Gplb*a*-GFP. Time stamp is hrs:mins shown from the start of imaging. These stills are from Movie S3. (C) Gplb*a*-GFP in interphase cells partially co-localized with F-actin at the cell cortex. HeLa cells were transiently transfected with Gplb*a*-GFP, fixed and analyzed by confocal microscopy. F-actin was visualized with rhodamine-phalloidin (Cytoskeleton). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.g002

transfected with the GpIb\alpha-GFP described above.) Similarly, filamin A, F-actin and MHC were also often absent from the cleavage furrow of dividing HFF-shp53+GpIba cells, while the interphase localizations of filamin A and F-actin were not affected (Figure 4B, C, D and G). We believe that these cytokinesis protein mislocalizations were related to the abnormal divisional structures described above. Fully 80.8% of HFF-shp53+GpIba cells with abnormal filamin A localization showed blebbing during division. Filamin A deficiencies have been previously shown to cause blebbing during cell locomotion [21]. The cytokinesis activator, RhoA, was often asymmetrically localized in HFF-shp53+GpIba cells, with stronger staining at one edge of the furrow (Figure 4E). In contrast, the mitotic signaling kinase Aurora B was normally positioned at the cleavage furrow in dividing HFF-shp53+GpIba cells (Figure 4F), showing that the mislocalization was specific to a subset of cytokinesis proteins.

The above studies showed that $GpIb\alpha$ overexpression resulted in the mislocalization of key divisional proteins from the cleavage furrow of dividing primary cells. We next determined if the localization of the same proteins was compromised in cancer cells. The distribution of GpIba, F-actin and filamin A during cytokinesis in four cancer cell lines including HeLa, liver adenocarcinoma SK-HEP-1 and oral squamous cell carcinoma derived UPCI:SCC40 and UPCI:SCC103 was examined by immunofluorescence. All of the tested cancer cell lines showed frequent mislocalization of these divisional markers, similar to HFF-shp53+GpIb α cells in Figure 4, and we observed a correlation between the frequency of binucleated/multinucleated cells and the frequency of GpIba, F-actin and filamin A mislocalization (Figure 5A). These observations show that the mislocalization of cytokinesis proteins seen with GpIba-overexpression in primary cells can also be seen in malignant cells and is associated with failure of division. To determine if a reduction of GpIba was able to reverse the marker mislocalization in cancer cells, we compared localization of filmain A and F-actin in HeLa cells before and after shRNA knockdown of GpIba (Figure S3). In both cases a small decrease was observed, but this was significant only for Filamin A (p = 0.016). We interpret these results to indicate that $GpIb\alpha$ overexpression does play a role in cytokinesis failure and divisional protein mislocalization in cancer cells, but that additional unknown factors may be acting to interfer with cytokinesis protein localization.

Signal peptide and filamin A binding domains of Gplbα are indispensible for Gplbα-overexpression mediated cytokinesis failure

We further explored which domains of GpIba were important for inhibition of cytokinesis. One region of interest was the filamin A binding domain to test the significance of interactions of $GpIb\alpha$ with this actin modifying protein. A second region of interest was the signal peptide domain to determine if transit through the secretory pathway was required for overexpressed GpIba to inhibit cytokinesis. Cellular fractionation was used to verify the mutant lacking the signal peptide was unable to localize to the ER (Figure S4). When overexpressed, these mutants were much less effective at increasing the binucleation frequency observed in DAPI-stained HFF-hTERT cells (Figure 5B), or the frequency of cytokinesis failure viewed by live-cell DIC microscopy (Figure 5C). These results indicated that interference with cytokinesis required that the overexpressed GpIb α be capable of entering the ER secretory pathway and binding to filamin A, thus further supporting the conclusion that $GpIb\alpha$ overexpression inhibits cytokinesis by interfering with the cortical F-actin filament network. These findings are consistent with previous observations showing that GpIba-induced GI was abrogated by loss of either the filamin A-binding domain or signal peptide of GpIba [17].

Gplba overexpression is responsible for transformationrelated features of cancer cells

It is conventionally believed that tetraploidy resulting from cytokinesis failure is an intermediate step towards tumorigenesis [11,12]. As our data have demonstrated that GpIb α overexpression led to cytokinesis failure and tetraploidization, we next investigated whether GpIb α overexpression was required for the elevated growth rates and tumorigenic properties of cancer cells.

When endogenous GpIb α in tumor cells was knocked down, we observed markedly reduced clonogenicity in soft agar compared with controls, even when high serum concentrations were maintained or the periods of culture were extended to compensate for possible reduced rates of proliferation (Figure 6A and data not shown). Moreover, the colonies that did arise from shRNA cells



Figure 3. Abnormal cortical contraction observed in cells overexpressing GpIb α . (A) The localization of MHC and F-actin was visualized in HFF-shp53+GpIb α cells by immunofluorescence. The abnormal divisional morphologies of blebbing and polar contraction were observed and are marked by arrows. (B) Quantification of the frequency of the different categories of abnormal morphologies from (A). (C) Blebbing (top panel, arrow) and polar contraction (bottom panel) structures in HFF-shp53+GpIb α cells during cytokinesis contained both actin and GpIb α , as seen by double immunofluorescence. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.g003

were invariably of much smaller overall size (Figure 6B). These results were validated by expression of a shRNA resistant murine mGpIb α which restored enhanced growth showing the specificity of the shRNA knockdown (Figure S5). We therefore conclude that anchorage-independent growth of the tested cancer cells lines was profoundly influenced by endogenous GpIb α levels.

Furthermore, when we tested each shRNA cell line and its control counterpart by inoculating immunocompromised nu/nu mice with equivalent numbers of cells, we found that in all three cases, endogenous GpIb α knockdown resulted in a significant impairment of tumor growth (Figure 6C). Collectively, we conclude that GpIb α overexpression is responsible for hyperproliferation and tumorigenesis of the tested cancer cells.

Discussion

This study makes two advances towards understanding GI in tumor cells. The first is to establish a mechanism for cytokinesis failure in cancer cells and the second is to link cytokinesis failure mechanistically with enhanced growth and proliferation via c-Myc.

Increased GpIb α expression, a common feature of tumor cells [18], was shown to contribute to cytokinesis failure in the tested cancer cell lines. Furthermore, we were able to establish a working model for how tetraploidy originates in cancer cells by overexpression of GpIb α and p53 inhibition in immortalized primary cells. In these cells, cytokinesis failure was accompanied by the appearance of abnormal contractile structures and mislocalization of essential divisional proteins, including F-actin, filamin A and RhoA. Similar mislocalization of F-actin, filamin A and GpIb α could be seen in tumor-derived cells and in each case was correlated with the appearance of multinucleation, a feature of cytokinesis failure. These results show for the first time that the genomic destabilizing event of cytokinesis failure in cancer cells

can be defined at the molecular level and reproduced with similar phenotypes in primary cells.

The phenotypes from GpIb α overexpression in primary cells were markedly more severe in the absence of p53. It has been observed previously that loss of this genomic checkpoint protein facilitates c-Myc induced tetraploidy [22] and promotes survival of the cells following genomic damage from GpIb α overexpression [12]. Similarly, the loss of p53 may be required here to bypass cellular checkpoints that otherwise inhibit abnormal cytokinesis in primary cells, although this explanation alone is insufficient to explain the protein mislocalization we see from p53 knockdown. Additionally, while a reduction of GpIb α led to some normalization of cytokinesis protein localization in cancer cells, GpIb α , F-actin and filamin A remained mislocalized in many HeLa cells after knockdown of GpIb α demonstrating that other factors also interfere with cytokinesis protein positioning in these cells.

Inhibition of cytokinesis by GpIba overexpression requires filamin A binding and we have shown that filamin A localizes to the mammalian cleavage furrow in a GpIba-dependent manner. Previously, filamin A was found in chick embryonic cells at the cleavage furrow [23]. Filamin A is known to bind to GpIb α as part of the vWFR signaling pathway [24,25], and we propose that GpIba-filamin A interactions are also important for cell division. Filamin A homodimerizes at a flexible hinge and crosslinks polymerized actin into a 3-dimensional gel, promoting F-actin networks rather than the anti-parallel arrays associated with contractile fibers in skeletal sarcomeres [21,26]. It may therefore seem surprising that filamin A function would be important for contractile mechanisms in cytokinesis. However, the contractile forces at the cleavage furrow have also been proposed to result from disordered actin arrays [27,28], and we propose that filamin A crosslinking of F-actin may be an important part of that process. Filamin A binds RhoA [29] that was also mislocalized in GpIba-



Figure 4. Gplb α **-overexpression causes mislocalization of some cytokinesis-related proteins.** (A–E). Left panels: Immunofluorescence revealed that Gplb α , filamin A, F-actin, and MHC were frequently absent and RhoA asymmetrically localized at the cleavage furrow in HFF-shp53+Gplb α cells during cytokinesis. Right panels: The means and standard deviations of the protein mislocalization (n>100 cells per sample). (F) Aurora B localization was not affected by Gplb α overexpression or p53 deletion (n = 100 cells per sample). (G) Interphase localizations of F-actin and filamin A were not affected by Gplb α overexpression. For panels A–E, the p value between HFF-vector and the HFF-shp53+Gplb α cells are each <0.05 by an unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.g004

overexpressing cells. It is controversial whether RhoA activity is essential for formation of the cleavage furrow [19,30], but it is important to activate formin leading to actin polymerization during division [31] and is thought to be required for cortical contraction [32]. Deficiency in RhoA also leads to blebbing as we observe in GpIb α -overexpressing cells [19]. Thus, GpIb α -induced interference with filamin A and RhoA positioning/function may explain the actomyosin and contractile deficiencies we observe in GpIb α -overexpressing cells.

The observation that a source of cytokinesis failure in cancer cells is a target of the c-Myc pathway that stimulates growth and division, could help explain the linkage between oncogenic transformation and tetraploidy. Since both pathways are activated concurrently, by the same molecular changes, it is logical that they would be found together in the same cells. Furthermore, this may help explain how the cell tolerates the mitotic disruption of centrosome and chromosome amplification. We propose that a tight phenotypic linkage between the cause of cytokinesis failure and stimulated cell growth and proliferation offsets the intrinsic cost of abnormal division and polyploidy on cell survival. This may allow the cells to thrive despite the selective disadvantage of GI. In this model, we interpret cytokinesis failure and tetraploidy to be linked to enhanced cellular proliferation, not as a direct consequence of proliferation, but because both processes are induced by c-Myc activation and GpIba overexpression.

We have demonstrated a role for GpIba in cytokinesis and the increases in ploidy common in cancer cells. Previously, GpIba was known for its role as a platelet- and megakaryocyte-specific cell surface receptor [15,16]. Its presence on other cell lineages, where there is little or no expression of the other vWFR components, raises interesting questions regarding the origin and functionality of this subunit. It is possible that the original function of $GpIb\alpha$ was related to cytokinesis, and only later did it evolve into other specialized roles in platelets and megakaryocytes. It is also possible that some of its known functions in platelets and megakaryocytes could be related to a role in cytokinesis. During maturation megakaryocytes undergo endomitosis, several rounds of mitosis without cell division [33]. Recent evidence shows that endomitosis in megakaryocytes involves a failure of the contractile ring [34]. Both endomitosis and aborted division in cancer cells may utilize similar pathways involving GpIba, although further investigations will be required to test this hypothesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and cell culture

HFF-vector, HFF-GpIba, HFF-shp53, HFF-shp53+GpIba cells were generated as described [12]. HFF-shp53+ Δ sig-GpIba and HFF-shp53+ Δ fil-GpIba cells were generated using the same method described in reference 12, with the plasmids constructed



Figure 5. Gplba overexpression is correlated with multinucleation in tumor cells and requires the filamin A-binding domain and signal peptide to efficiently induce cytokinesis failure. (A) Correlation between Gplba, filamin A, and F-actin mislocalization during cytokinesis and percentage of binucleation in different cancer cell lines compared with a control HFF-vector cell line. Protein localization was determined by immunofluorescence and the frequency of binucleates by DAPI staining. All of the data are averages of at least three independent experiments, with at least 100 mitotic cells or 500 interphase cells counted in each category. (B) The frequency of binucleation in HFF-shp53 cells overexpressing Gplba mutants with deletions of the filamin A binding domain (shp53+ Δ fil-Gplba) or the ER signal sequence (shp53+ Δ sig-Gplba) is less than cells overexpressing the full length protein (shp53+Gplba; p<0.01) and similar to HFF-shp53 controls (p>0.3). Means and standard deviation of three experiments with ~500 cells counted per experiment are shown. (C) The frequency of cytokinesis failure seen by DIC microscopy in HFF-shp53 cells overexpressing Gplba mutants with deletions of the filamin A binding domain or the ER signal sequence is less than cells overexpressing the full length protein (shp53+Gplba; p<0.01) and similar to HFF-shp53 controls (p>0.3). Means and standard deviation of three experiments with ~500 cells counted per experiment are shown. (C) The frequency of cytokinesis failure seen by DIC microscopy in HFF-shp53 cells overexpressing Gplba mutants with deletions of the filamin A binding domain or the ER signal sequence is less than cells overexpressing the full length protein soft (n=50-100 cells imaged for each cell line). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.g005

in reference 18. All HFF cells were maintained in DMEM medium (Sigma) supplemented with 2 mM L-Glutamine (Sigma) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma). HeLa cells (ATCC) were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS. UPCI:SCC40 and UPCI:SCC103 cell lines are gifts from Dr. Susanne M. Gollin (University of Pittsburgh). Both of the two UPCI:SCC cell lines and liver adenocarcinoma cell line SK-HEP-1 (ATCC) were maintained in MEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-Glutamine and 1% non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen). All cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Gplba shRNA knockdown

Retroviral vectors (pHUSH) encoding human GpIb α shRNA 29-mers and a puromycin-selectable cassette (Cat. Numbers TR12692) were obtained from Origene, Inc. (Rockville, MD) and 100 units/ml Penicillin G + 100 µg/ml Streptomycin as previous described [35]. Retroviral transfections were performed in Phoenix-A cells as previously described using Superfect (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA; [36]. Phoenix A supernatants were then harvested daily beginning 48 hr after transfection with retroviral vectors, filtered by passage through 0.45 µM filters (Millipore, Bedford, NY) and applied to cancer cell line

monolayers for 24 hr in the presence of 8 μ g/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). After 2–3 applications, cells were cultured in fresh, virus-free medium for 48 hr followed by selection in puromycin-containing medium (1 μ g/ml; Sigma-Aldrich). Puromycin-resistant colonies were pooled for all subsequent studies and were intermittently maintained in puromycin-containing medium.

Plasmid and DNA transfections

 2×10^5 cells were seeded on 22×22 mm glass coverslips (VWR) in 6-well plates and incubated with pre-warmed OPTI-MEM (Invitrogen) medium. After six hours, cells were transfected with 2 µg of plasmid using 6 µl of the FuGENE6 transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostics) following the manufacture's protocol. Fresh medium was added 12 hours later. Cells were examined 24– 48 hours after transfection.

Immunofluorescence

Cells on coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature and washed in PBS. 0.1% Triton X-100 was used to permeabilize the cells and 1.5% BSA/PBS was used as blocking solution. Various primary antibodies were used including rAb-



Figure 6. Loss of transformed phenotypes by shGplba cell lines. (A) Reduced clonogenic growth of shRNA cells Equivalent numbers of shRNA and control cells were plated in soft agar as previously described [12,21]. After 12–14 days of growth, the total number of macroscopically visible colonies was evaluated in triplicate cultures. The values shown depict the average number of clones +/-1 S.E. (B) Photomicrographs of representative colonies from each of the cell types. (C) Reduced tumorigenicity of shGplb α cell lines. Groups of nude mice (3–4 animals/group) were inoculated subcutaneously with ca. 107 of the indicated cell types and then monitored weekly for evidence of tumor formation. Note the significant growth impairment of tumors originating from shGplb α -expressing tumor cell lines.

MHC (Sigma, 1:500), mAb-actin (Cytoskeleton, 1:100), mAbfilamin (a gift from Dr. Nakamura, Translational Medicine Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, 1:500), ratAb-Gp1ba (Emfret, 1:100), mAb-RhoA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:100), and mAb-CD44 (BD Pharmingen, 1:1000). All primary antibodies were diluted in the blocking solution and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. Fluorescent labeled goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse or anti-rat IgG (Invitrogen, 1:500) were diluted in the blocking solution as secondary antibodies. After PBS wash, cells were incubated with the desired secondary antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature followed by staining with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) at 1 μ g/ml (Sigma) for 5 minutes. The coverslips were mounted and examined by Olympus BX60 epifluorescence microscope with 100× oil immersion objectives. Hamamatsu Argus-20 CCD camera was used to capture the images. Confocal microscopy was performed using Nikon Eclipse E800 (Nikon) with BioRad Radiance 2000 system.

Live microscopy analysis

 2×10^5 cells were seeded on 35 mm glass-bottom Petri dishes (MatTek Corporation) and subject to live cell imaging either after transfection with desired DNA plasmids as described above or without any treatment. Cells were videoed while being maintained at 37°C with a moisturized-warm air microscope chamber (Life Imaging Services, Reinach, Switzerland). DIC microscopy and epifluorescence microscopy were performed on Nikon Eclipse

TE2000-U inverted microscope with Coolsnap HQ digital camera (Roper Scientific Photometrics). Images were taken and analyzed using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).

Immunoblotting

Cellular fractionation proteins were loaded onto 10% SDS-PAGE gels and separated by electrophoresis and transfer onto PVDF membranes (Biorad, Hercules, CA). Antibodies against CD44 (BD, San Jose, CA), calnexin (Stressgen, Ann Arbor, MI), actin (cytoskeleton, Denver, CO), GAPDH (Cell signaling, Danvers, MA), and GFP (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) or GP1B α (Emfret Analytics & CO.) were all diluted in 5% milk/TBST and used as primary antibodies. Membranes were incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4°C. After 15 minute TBST wash, membranes were incubated with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG-HRP-linked secondary antibodies (Amersham, GE Healthcare, UK) diluted in 5% milk/TBST for 1 hour at room temperature. Results were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescent kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

In vivo tumorigenesis studies

All studies were reviewed and approved by The University of Pittsburgh's Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee. 6– 8 wk old nu/nu mice were purchased from Harland Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN). They were housed under sterile, germ-free conditions with 12 hr day-night cycles and were allowed access to feed and water *ad libitum*. Animals were inoculated subcutaneously in the flank with 10⁷ tumor cells that had been trypsinized, washed, and immediately resuspended in PBS. They were monitored at least twice weekly and tumor volumes were calculated as previously described [12].

Real time qRT-PCR-RNA

Extraction was performed as previously described followed by treatment with TurboDNAse as recommended by the supplier (Ambion, Austin TX)[37]. qRT-PCR was performed using a QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR kit according to the directions of the supplier (Qiagen) and as previously described. Primers for the detection of human GpIba were identified using the Primer3 program (www.frodo.wi.mit.edu/). They consisted of the sequences between nt 781 (forward) and 91 (reverse) of the transcript (GenBank Accession no. NM_000173) and were synthesized by IDT (Coralville, IA). Cycling was performed on triplicate samples on a Roche LightCycler 2.0 apparatus (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) and values were adjusted to those obtained for GAPDH qRT-PCR reactions performed in parallel.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Knockdown of GpIbα in cancer cell lines HeLa, OS, MCF7 and representative images of binucleation and multipolar spindles, two types of mitotic defects in cancer cell lines. (A) Immunofluorescence analysis of each cell line (HeLa, OS, MCF7) showing reduced expression of GpIba in shRNA lines versus control lines. As a control, cells were also stained with calnexin (green) and with DAPI (blue) as previously described [21]. (B) qRT-PCR analyses of each cell line showing levels of GpIba transcripts after adjusting to GAPDH levels. Each point represents the average of triplicate samples +/-1 S.E. (C) Representative samples of DAPI of chromatin and phalloidin staining of F-actin used to count the frequency of binucleates/multinucleates are shown. Mononucleate (mononuc.) and binucleate (binuc.) examples are indicated. (D) Representative immunofluorescence images with microtubule and NuMA centrosomal staining to determine spindle polarity are shown. Bipolar and multipolar (MPS) examples are indicated. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s001 (2.40 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Control experiments for GpIb α localization in dividing cells. (A) GpIb α localizes to the cleavage furrow separate from the ER marker calnexin, as shown by immunofluorescence. (B) HFF-hTERT cells were examined by fluorescence microscopy after staining with antibodies to CD44 and GpIb α . GpIb α , but not CD44, localizes to the cleavage furrow showing that only specific membrane-associated

proteins are concentrated in the divisional plane of the cell. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s002 (0.99 MB TIF)

Figure S3 Changes in filamin A, and F-actin localization after GpIb α knockdown in HeLa cells. The frequency of protein mislocalization following stable shGpIb α transfection in HeLa cells was determined by immunofluorescence. A modest, but statistically significant, restoration of filamin A localization was observed indicating that GpIb α overexpression contributes to mislocalization in these cancer cells. But other unknown factors are apparently also controlling cytokinesis protein mislocalization in malignant cells. Standard error about the means is shown.

References

- Ganem NJ, Storchova Z, Pellman D (2007) Tetraploidy, aneuploidy and cancer. Curr Opin Genet Dev 17: 157–162.
- Ricke RM, van Ree JH, van Deursen JM (2008) Whole chromosome instability and cancer: a complex relationship. Trends Genet 24: 457–466.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s003 (0.07 MB TIF)

Figure S4 GpIb α mutants lacking signal peptide is truly defective in localizing to ER. Top two panels: Western blotting shows successful cellular fractionation to separate ER proteins and non-ER proteins. Calnexin: an ER protein marker. Middle two panels: wild-type GpIb α was found in both ER and non-ER fractions, while signal peptide-deleted GpIb α was only found in non-ER fraction. Bottom panel: loading control beta-tubulin.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s004 (0.13 MB TIF)

Figure S5 Restoration of GpIb α expression rescues the phenotype of shRNA tumor cell lines. Two shGpIb α cell lines were transfected with a murine GpIb α expression vector or the empty parental vector. (A) Stably transfected clones were pooled and subjected to immunoblotting to verify the re-expression of GpIb α . (B) Each of the four cell lines from (A) was seeded at 104 cells/well in 6 well plates. The following day, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 1% FBS. Total viable counts were then determined on triplicate well at the indicated times afterwards. (C) 4×103 cells of each line were plated in soft agar and allowed to grow as anchorage-independent colonies for 14 days at which time the average no. of colonies/well was determined on triplicate samples. (D) Typical appearance of soft agar colonies after 14 days.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s005 (0.37 MB TIF)

Movie S1 An example of cytokinesis failure. DIC live-cell imaging microscopy was used to visualize the cell division. Note: a binucleated (tetraploid) cell was generated after cytokinesis failure. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s006 (1.48 MB MOV)

Movie S2 An example of successful cytokinesis.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s007 (1.61 MB MOV)

Movie S3 The localization of GpIb α in cell division. GpIb α was enriched at the cell cortex, then at the division site and finally it was enriched at the cleavage furrow and persisted through the completion of cytokinesis. The cell division was recorded with a fluorescence live-cell imaging microscope using HeLa cells transfected with GpIb α -GFP.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010819.s008 (8.28 MB MOV)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Kristen Bartoli and Dr. Alec Vaezi of the U. of Pittsburgh for thoughtful discussions. We also thank Drs. Jeffery Hildebrand of U. of Pittsburgh and Fumihiko Nakamura of Brigham and Women's Hospital for the RhoA and filamin A antibodies, respectively.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: QW FLX YL EVP WSS. Performed the experiments: QW FLX YL. Analyzed the data: QW FLX YL EVP WSS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YL EVP. Wrote the paper: FLX EVP WSS.

- Ganem NJ, Godinho SA, Pellman D (2009) A mechanism linking extra centrosomes to chromosomal instability. Nature 460: 278–282.
- Loeb LA (1991) Mutator phenotype may be required for multistage carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 51: 3075–3079.
- Comai L (2005) The advantages and disadvantages of being polyploid. Nat Rev Genet 6: 836–846.

- Hau PM, Siu WY, Wong N, Lai PB, Poon RY (2006) Polyploidization increases the sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents in mammalian cells. FEBS Lett 580: 4727–4736.
- Storchova Z, Breneman A, Cande J, Dunn J, Burbank K, et al. (2006) Genomewide genetic analysis of polyploidy in yeast. Nature 443: 541–547.
- Storchova Z, Pellman D (2004) From polyploidy to aneuploidy, genome instability and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5: 45–54.
- Shi Q, King RW (2005) Chromosome nondisjunction yields tetraploid rather than aneuploid cells in human cell lines. Nature 437: 1038–1042.
- Fujiwara T, Bandi M, Nitta M, Ivanova EV, Bronson RT, et al. (2005) Cytokinesis failure generating tetraploids promotes tumorigenesis in p53-null cells. Nature 437: 1043–1047.
- Li Y, Lu J, Cohen D, Prochownik EV (2008) Transformation, genomic instability and senescence mediated by platelet/megakaryocyte glycoprotein Ibalpha. Oncogene 27: 1599–1609.
- Basto R, Brunk K, Vinadogrova T, Peel N, Franz A, et al. (2008) Centrosome amplification can initiate tumorigenesis in flies. Cell 133: 1032–1042.
- Fernandez PC, Frank SR, Wang L, Schroeder M, Liu S, et al. (2003) Genomic targets of the human c-Myc protein. Genes Dev 17: 1115–1129.
- Andrews RK, Lopez JA, Berndt MC (1997) Molecular mechanisms of platelet adhesion and activation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 29: 91–105.
- Canobbio I, Balduini C, Torti M (2004) Signalling through the platelet glycoprotein Ib-V-IX complex. Cell Signal 16: 1329–1344.
- Li Y, Lu J, Prochownik EV (2007) c-Myc-mediated genomic instability proceeds via a megakaryocytic endomitosis pathway involving Gp1balpha. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 3490–3495.
- Li Y, Lu J, Prochownik EV (2009) Modularity of the oncoprotein-like properties of platelet glycoprotein Ibalpha. J Biol Chem 284: 1410–1418.
- Birkenfeld J, Nalbant P, Bohl BP, Pertz O, Hahn KM, et al. (2007) GEF-H1 modulates localized RhoA activation during cytokinesis under the control of mitotic kinases. Dev Cell 12: 699–712.
- Charras GT, Hu CK, Coughlin M, Mitchison TJ (2006) Reassembly of contractile actin cortex in cell blebs. J Cell Biol 175: 477–490.
- Flanagan LA, Chou J, Falet H, Neujahr R, Hartwig JH, et al. (2001) Filamin A, the Arp2/3 complex, and the morphology and function of cortical actin filaments in human melanoma cells. J Cell Biol 155: 511–517.
- Yin XY, Grove L, Datta NS, Long MW, Prochownik EV (1999) C-myc overexpression and p53 loss cooperate to promote genomic instability. Oncogene 18: 1177–1184.

- Nunnally MH, D'Angelo JM, Craig SW (1980) Filamin concentration in cleavage furrow and midbody region: frequency of occurrence compared with that of alpha-actinin and myosin. J Cell Biol 87: 219–226.
- Feng S, Lu X, Kroll MH (2005) Filamin A binding stabilizes nascent glycoprotein Ibalpha trafficking and thereby enhances its surface expression. J Biol Chem 280: 6709–6715.
- Nakamura F, Pudas R, Heikkinen O, Permi P, Kilpelainen I, et al. (2006) The structure of the GPIb-filamin A complex. Blood 107: 1925–1932.
- Popowicz GM, Schleicher M, Noegel AA, Holak TA (2006) Filamins: promiscuous organizers of the cytoskeleton. Trends Biochem Sci 31: 411–419.
- Tanaka-Takiguchi Y, Kakei T, Tanimura A, Takagi A, Honda M, et al. (2004) The elongation and contraction of actin bundles are induced by double-headed myosins in a motor concentration-dependent manner. J Mol Biol 341: 467–476.
- Kruse K, Julicher F (2000) Actively contracting bundles of polar filaments. Phys Rev Lett 85: 1778–1781.
- Pi M, Spurney RF, Tu Q, Hinson T, Quarles LD (2002) Calcium-sensing receptor activation of rho involves filamin and rho-guanine nucleotide exchange factor. Endocrinology 143: 3830–3838.
- Yoshizaki H, Ohba Y, Kurokawa K, Itoh RE, Nakamura T, et al. (2003) Activity of Rho-family GTPases during cell division as visualized with FRETbased probes. J Cell Biol 162: 223–232.
- Watanabe N, Higashida C (2004) Formins: processive cappers of growing actin filaments. Exp Cell Res 301: 16–22.
- Drechsel DN, Hyman AA, Hall A, Glotzer M (1997) A requirement for Rho and Cdc42 during cytokinesis in Xenopus embryos. Curr Biol 7: 12–23.
- Kaushansky K (2008) Historical review: megakaryopoiesis and thrombopoiesis. Blood 111: 981–986.
- Lordier L, Jalil A, Aurade F, Larbret F, Larghero J, et al. (2008) Megakaryocyte endomitosis is a failure of late cytokinesis related to defects in the contractile ring and Rho/Rock signaling. Blood 112: 3164–3174.
- Wang H, Mannava S, Grachtchouk V, Zhuang D, Soengas MS, et al. (2008) c-Myc depletion inhibits proliferation of human tumor cells at various stages of the cell cycle. Oncogene 27: 1905–1915.
- Rogulski K, Li Y, Rothermund K, Pu L, Watkins S, et al. (2005) Onzin, a c-Myc-repressed target, promotes survival and transformation by modulating the Akt-Mdm2-p53 pathway. Oncogene 24: 7524–7541.
- Rothermund K, Rogulski K, Fernandes E, Whiting A, Sedivy J, et al. (2005) C-Myc-independent restoration of multiple phenotypes by two C-Myc target genes with overlapping functions. Cancer Res 65: 2097–2107.