Revised: 6 April 2022

REVIEW



Different subpopulations of regulatory T cells in human autoimmune disease, transplantation, and tumor immunity

Zhongyi Jiang¹ | Haitao Zhu² | Pusen Wang¹ | Weitao Que¹ | Lin Zhong^{1,*} | Xiao-Kang Li^{1,3,*} **Futian Du^{4,*}**

¹Department of General Surgery, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, P. R. China ²Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guizhou, P. R. China ³Division of Transplantation Immunology, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan ⁴Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Weifang People's Hospital, Shandong, P. R. China

*Correspondence

Lin Zhong, Department of Surgery, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, No. 100 Haining Road, Hongkou District, Shanghai, 200080 P. R. China. Email: zhonglin1@medmail.com.cn Xiao-Kang Li, Division of Transplantation Immunology, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1

Okura, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 157-8535 Japan. Email: ri-k@ncchd.go.jp

Futian Du, MD, PhD, Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Weifang People's Hospital, No.151 Guangwen Street, Kuiwen District, Weifang, Shandong, 261041, P. R. China. Email: dft641016@163.com

Zhongyi Jiang, Haitao Zhu, Pusen Wang, and Weitao Que have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 82070672; Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality, Grant/Award Numbers: 20ZR1445500, 20S31904800; Three-year Action Plan for Clinical Skills and Clinical Innovation in Shanghai-level Hospitals, Grant/Award Number: SHDC2020CR3035B

Abstract

CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells (Tregs), a subpopulation of naturally CD4⁺ T cells that characteristically express transcription factor Forkhead box P3 (FOXP3), play a pivotal role in the maintenance of immune homeostasis and the prevention of autoimmunity. With the development of biological technology, the understanding of plasticity and stability of Tregs has been further developed. Recent studies have suggested that human Tregs are functionally and phenotypically diverse. The functions and mechanisms of different phenotypes of Tregs in different disease settings, such as tumor microenvironment, autoimmune diseases, and transplantation, have gradually become hot spots of immunology research that arouse extensive attention. Among the complex functions, CD4⁺CD25⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs possess a potent immunosuppressive capacity and can produce various cytokines, such as IL-2, IL-10, and TGF- β , to regulate immune homeostasis. They can alleviate the progression of diseases by resisting inflammatory immune responses, whereas promoting the poor prognosis of diseases by helping cells evade immune surveillance or suppressing effector T cells activity. Therefore, methods for targeting Tregs to regulate their functions in the immune microenvironment, such as depleting them to strengthen tumor immunity or expanding them to treat immunological diseases, need to be developed. Here, we discuss that different subpopulations of Tregs are essential for the development of immunotherapeutic strategies involving Tregs in human diseases.

KEYWORDS

autoimmune disease, FOXP3, regulatory T cell, subpopulation, transplantation, tumor immunity

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. MedComm published by Sichuan International Medical Exchange & Promotion Association (SCIMEA) and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

MedComm

Regulatory T cells (Tregs), the subset of T cells, are regarded as the central factor responsible for maintaining immunologic homeostasis and tolerance.^{1–5} In the original study, Gershon et al. identified a subset of T cells that had immunosuppressive functions.⁶ In the 1990s, Sakaguchi et al. described a unique subpopulation of CD4⁺ T cells in mice that were characterized by high expression of the IL-2 receptor alpha chain (CD25).⁷ Since then, this group of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells has been named Tregs, which have been increasingly researched. In 2003, human and rodent CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs were found to specifically express the transcription factor Forkhead box P3 (FOXP3), which plays a crucial role in the differentiation and function of Tregs.^{8–11} It is worth noting that FOXP3 protein is not only expressed in CD4+CD25+ Tregs, it can also be expressed in CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells.¹² The activation of peripheral CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells can be transformed into CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs and induced to express FOXP3, which has similar immunoregulatory functions as natural CD4⁺CD25⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs.^{13,14} In addition, the IL-7 receptor (CD127) is the surface marker of Tregs, which is downregulated in Tregs and is inversely correlated with the expression of FOXP3 in human peripheral blood.¹⁵ While Tregs are primarily known for their stable expression of FOXP3 and suppressive activity to prevent inflammation, deficiency of FOXP3 in both humans and mice results in the depletion of Tregs and leads to the development of severe systemic inflammatory diseases including immune dysregulation polyendocrinopathy enteropathy X-linked (IPEX) syndrome,^{16–18} graft-versushost disease (GVHD),^{19–21} solid organ transplantation,^{22,23} type 1 diabetes (T1D),^{24,25} systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE),^{26,27} and multiple sclerosis (MS).^{28,29} Moreover, Tregs depletion can evoke effective tumor immunity in the tumor microenvironment (TME).^{30,31} These findings have stimulated advances in the clinical development of adoptive cell therapy (ACT) and targeted therapies of Tregs in the clinic, and early clinical trial results report excellent clinical safety and efficacy.^{32–38} Moreover, antigen-specific Tregs^{25,39,40} and chimeric antigen receptors, and in genome editing Tregs-based therapy^{41–45} exhibited potent benefits in autoimmunity and transplantation. Hence, the identification of specific Tregs as targets is of great clinical significance.

Among the various mechanisms of immunological self-tolerance, immune suppression by endogenous CD4⁺CD25⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs is essential and indispensable. With the help of a variety of biological detection techniques, including the most popular single-cell sequencing,^{46–48} it is now well substantiated that numerous Tregs infiltrate various tumor tissues.^{49–51} Although

controversy exists regarding the role of tumor-infiltrating Tregs, the prevailing view is that they possess immunosuppressive functions, and their abundant presence is often associated with poor clinical prognosis.⁵² The removal of Tregs evokes effective antitumor immunity by abrogating immunological unresponsiveness, whereas the enrichment of specific Tregs subsets in patients with autoimmune diseases or transplant patients may help in suppressing the inflammatory response, which is conducive to the outcome.⁵³

In this review, we focus on and select the representative markers of different subpopulations of Tregs that have been validated in samples from human sources and clinical trials, as well as our brief understanding of the functions and phenotypes of Tregs in human diseases. We also describe the relevant immune regulation mechanisms of these Tregs subsets and their potential as immunotherapeutic targets in the future.

2 | TREG SUBSETS IN TUMOR IMMUNITY

2.1 | Treg subsets in colorectal cancer

At present, increasing evidence suggests that FOXP3⁺ T cells in humans, including suppressive and nonsuppressive subpopulations, have heterogeneous phenotypes and functions.^{4,10,49,54} The effect of abundant Tregs infiltrating tumor tissues such as colorectal cancers (CRCs) is controversial, and some studies have shown that the infiltration of FOXP3⁺ Tregs in tumor tissue is beneficial to the prognosis of patients.55-58 According to previous research, FOXP3+CD4+ T cells circulating in human blood can be divided into three main groups based on FOXP3, CD25, and CD45RA: fraction I (Fr. I) CD45RA⁺CD25^{low}/FOXP3^{low} naïve Tregs (nTregs), Fr. II CD45RA-CD25high/FOXP3high effector Tregs (eTregs), and Fr. III CD45RA⁻CD25^{low}/FOXP3^{low} cells, the majority of which are not Tregs.⁵⁴ Based on this classification, Saito et al. demonstrated markedly increased numbers of Fr. II in both type A and B CRCs and that Fr. III cells were mainly increased in type B CRCs.⁵⁹ Although Fr. II cells and Fr. III cells are both CD45RA⁻CD45RO⁺ effector-type cells, only Fr. II cells from either type of CRCs tissue showed strong in vitro suppressive activity by highly expressing suppression-related molecules, such as T-cell immunoreceptor with Ig and ITIM domains (TIGIT) and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4). Fr. III nonsuppressive FOXP3^{low} cells were probably derived from non-Tregs following activation with interleukin (IL)-12 and transforming growth factor (TGF)- β . Interestingly, type B CRCs showed significantly better recurrence-free survival



FIGURE 1 Different subpopulations of FOXP3⁺ Tregs in high-incidence human cancers. This figure summarizes the subtypes of FOXP3⁺ Tregs described in colorectal cancer (CRC), lung cancer (LUC), liver cancer (LIC), and gastric cancer (GC)

than type A CRCs. Thus, Fr. III nonsuppressive FOXP3^{low} cells could be a biomarker associated with better survival in CRCs. Furthermore, the strategy of increasing local FOXP3^{low} non-Tregs may effectively inhibit the occurrence and development of CRCs.

A recent study reported that tumor-infiltrating Tregs of CRC express granzyme B (GZMB) immediately after tumor resection, while there are almost no GZMBexpressing Tregs in tumor-associated lymph nodes and circulating lymphocytes.⁶⁰ A number of studies have shown that GZMB-expressing Tregs could induce the apoptosis of effector T cells⁶¹ and were also related to immune homeostasis, mediating tumor immunity,^{62,63} viral infection, and transplant survival.⁶⁴ Furthermore, the expression of granzymes was highly concentrated in T-cell immunoglobulin- and mucin-domain containing-3 positive (TIM3⁺) Tregs, a subpopulation of Tregs that were enriched in the TME and presented increased suppressive capacity.^{65–67} GZMB⁺TIM3⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) were found to present higher cytolytic capacity toward autologous conventional T cells, which depended on GZMB rather than TIM3. Another study reported that CD4⁺CD25^{+/high}FOXP3⁺ Tregs in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of patients with CRCs could be divided into two subpopulations, consisting of lymphocyte activation gene 3 negative (LAG3⁻) TIM3⁻ Tregs and LAG3⁺TIM3⁺ Tregs (Figure 1), of which the latter accounted for more than half.⁶⁸ LAG3⁺TIM3⁺ Tregs

presented significantly higher expression of TGF- β , IL-10, and CTLA-4 than LAG3⁻TIM3⁻ Tregs, similar to classical Tregs,^{12,69,70} which could suppress the expression of MHC-II, CD80/CD86, and TNF- α and increase the expression of IL-10 in macrophages. These findings reported that LAG3⁺TIM3⁺ Tregs could lead to a worse prognosis and survival of CRCs patients.

CCR8 is a chemokine receptor predominantly expressed on Tregs in both mice^{71,72} and humans.^{30,73} As a subset of CD4⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs, CCR8⁺ Tregs have been demonstrated to be a major driver of immunosuppression.^{74–76} Villarreal et al. found that tumor-infiltrating CCR8⁺ Tregs are significantly upregulated in CRCs (Figure 1),⁷⁷ similar to previous research in breast⁷⁸ and lung cancer,³⁰ and mAb therapy targeting CCR8 could obviously inhibit tumor growth and improve the prognosis in CRCs mouse models by increasing tumor-specific T cells. Collectively, expressions of GZMB, LAG3 with TIM3, and CCR8 in FOXP3⁺ Tregs all strengthen their immunosuppressive function, and these markers may be potential new targets for immunotherapy of CRCs patients.

In addition, B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1(BLIMP-1), encoded by the PR domain zinc finger protein 1 (*PRDM1*) gene, is a multifunctional transcriptional regulator that has been previously found to be coexpressed with FOXP3 in murine Tregs.⁷⁹ The research has shown that BLIMP-1 expression defines eTregs as a distinct population of mature Tregs that produce IL-10 and display an

effector phenotype in mice. To understand the role of these cell populations in the immune regulation of human diseases, a pilot study has demonstrated that CRCs patients with low FOXP3⁺BLIMP-1⁺ eTregs (Figure 1) infiltration in tumors are associated with a high risk of recurrence.⁸⁰ Notably, not only prognostic value, the underlying mechanism of FOXP3⁺BLIMP-1⁺ eTregs in CRCs patients remains to be verified.

Tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFR2), the receptor of TNF, has been found that is highly expressed in humans and mouse Tregs, especially on eTregs.^{81–85} Interestingly, the interaction of TNF with TNFR2 promotes suppressive function and phenotypical stability of Tregs.^{86,87} Based on this interaction, Nie et al. have reported that blockade of TNFR2 signaling with an antibody could markedly reduce the tumor-infiltrating TNFR2⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) in CRCs, whereas the number of tumor-infiltrating interferon- γ positive (IFN- γ ⁺)CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes were significant increased.⁸⁸ Moreover, the combination of TNFR2 blocking and immunotherapy showed a better efficacy, which will be more beneficial for the prognosis of CRCs patients.

OX40, also known as CD134 and TNFRSF4, is a member of the TNFR family which is identified as a negative regulator of FOXP3⁺ Tregs.^{89–91} Triggering OX40 with its ligand OX40L on the FOXP3⁺ Tregs abrogates its suppression of T-cell proliferation and effector T cells (Teffs) function.^{90–92} Lam JH et al. have found that a high density of tumor-infiltrating CD30⁺OX40⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) in CRCs patients is associated with improved prognosis, which may act as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in CRCs.⁹³

2.2 | Treg subsets in lung cancer

Lung cancer is known to be the leading cause of cancerrelated death worldwide.⁹⁴ There are two subtypes of lung cancer: small-cell lung cancer (SCLC: ~15%) and non-SCLC (NSCLC: ~85%).⁹⁵ Evidence from a wide range of sources suggests that high levels of Tregs are associated with metastasis, recurrence, and poor prognosis of lung cancer.^{96–100} Koyama et al. have shown that the proportion of CD62L^{high}CD25⁺CD4⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) is significantly higher in extended-stage disease SCLC, whereas there are more CD62L^{low}CD4⁺ T cells in limited-stage SCLC (LD-SCLC).¹⁰⁰ CD62L^{low}CD4⁺ T cells that can produce IFN- γ , IL-4, and IL-17 are considered to be immune Teffs. Th17 cells play an essential role in a variety of autoimmune diseases.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰⁵ These Teffs deviated to T-helper type 17 (Th17) cells and were driven by IL-23 secreted by monocyte-derived DCs in LD-SCLC. Unfortunately, CD62L^{high}CD25⁺CD4⁺ Tregs can suppress cytokine production and inhibit the proliferation of Teffs. Therefore, a high Teffs to Tregs ratio could suppress the growth and metastasis of SCLC tumor cells. Based on this study, CD4⁺ T-cell balance may be a helpful biomarker to assess immunologic responses, and increasing tumor-reactive Teffs levels and depleting Tregs may be essential in establishing effective antitumor immunity.

Glycoprotein A repetitions predominant (GARP) has been proven to be highly expressed on the surface of Tregs.¹⁰⁶ Previous studies have shown that GARP⁺ Tregs can secrete TGF- β and possess a strong immunosuppressive function.¹⁰⁷⁻¹¹¹ Jin et al. demonstrated that GARP⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) were highly infiltrated in tumor tissues in the early stage of lung cancer and exerted immunosuppressive effects through the GARP-TGF- β pathway to inhibit Teffs.¹¹² Therefore, tumor cells can escape immune surveillance and promote the occurrence and progression of tumors. Further research needs to confirm whether GARP is an important molecule of Tregs and a promising immunotherapy target.

A recent study reported increased numbers of FOXA1⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) in patients with lung cancer.¹⁰⁶.The findings proved that FOXA1⁺ Tregs could inhibit the antitumor immunity of T cells and promote tumor growth by the IFN- β -PI3K-Akt-FOXA1 signaling pathway. Moreover, patients with more FOXA1⁺ Tregs showed more liver metastases and worse treatment responses. FOXA1 hepatocyte nuclear factor 3 α is a transcription factor that is associated with the differentiation of embryonic stem cells, bile duct development, and cancer epigenetics.^{113–117} According to a previous study, Liu et al. demonstrated that FOXA1⁺ Tregs were induced by IFN- β and possessed a suppressive function in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) and MS models.¹¹⁸ This subset of Tregs may be a novel target of immunotherapy.

Helios (Ikzf2), a member of the Ikaros transcription factor family, was identified as a specific marker of FOXP3⁺ Tregs^{119,120} and was shown to be involved in Tregs development and stability.¹²¹ FOXP3⁺Helios⁺ Tregs were present in thymus-derived Tregs (tTregs),¹²² and FOXP3⁺Helios⁻ Tregs were present in peripherallyinduced Tregs (pTregs).¹²³ Muto et al. have shown that FOXP3⁺ Helios⁻ Tregs (Figure 1) are apparently higher in NSCLC patients than in healthy controls.¹²⁴ Interestingly, higher percentages of tumor-infiltrated FOXP3⁺ Helios⁻ Tregs were seen in advanced-stage NSCLC with poorer survival. Additionally, Guo et al. also found a subpopulation of Tregs named TNFRSF9⁺ Tregs (Figure 1), which were related to the poor prognosis of NSCLC.¹²⁵ TNFRSF9 is tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 9, also known as CD137 (4-1BB), which is a surface marker that indicates antigen-specific activation.¹²⁶ Moreover, TNFRSF9⁺ Tregs are highly expressed

immunosuppressive genes, such as *REL*¹²⁷ and *LAYN*,⁴⁷ and may be a major component of functional tumorinfiltrating Tregs in lung cancer. Although studies have shown that the high infiltration of FOXP3⁺Helios⁻ Tregs and TNFRSF9⁺ Tregs in tumors are not conducive to the prognosis of patients, the function and mechanisms of these subtypes of Tregs in tumor-related immune suppression need to be further investigated.

Similar to the previous research, Yan et al. have found that TNFR2⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) could suppress the production of IFN- γ by CD8⁺ T cells through expressed high levels of the CTLA-4.¹²⁸ As result, the high percentage of TNFR2⁺ Tregs in the peripheral blood of lung cancer patients was associated with lymphatic invasion, distant metastasis, more advanced clinical stage, and worse outcomes. Therefore, TNFR2 may prove to be a useful prognostic marker for lung cancer patients and as one of the best potential immune checkpoints on account of its critical role in TME.

Van Damme et al. and De Simone et al. have discerned that the high frequency of CCR8⁺ tumor-infiltrating Tregs (Figure 1) plays a crucial suppressive function and is correlated with poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC.^{30,129} The expression of CCR8 on the surface of tumor-infiltrated Tregs may be induced by coregulation of NF-κB and IFN regulatory factor 4 (IRF4).^{130–132} Remarkably, combination with anti-CCR8 monotherapy could synergize the efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy, which provides a beneficial treatment strategy for patients with NSCLC. Moreover, Alvisi et al. have demonstrated that the abundance of IRF4⁺ eTregs (Figure 1) correlated with poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC.¹³³ The findings showed that high expression of IRF4 was present in CCR8⁺ICOS⁺ eTregs, which further contributed to the superior suppressive activity and worse disease-free survival and overall survival of NSCLC patients. Therefore, anti-IRF4 immunotherapy is needed to further research to benefit patients with NSCLC.

One of the ways that Tregs exert their suppression function is by secreting inhibitory cytokines including IL-10, IL-35, and TGF- β .^{134–137} Among them, IL-35 appears to play a greater role in inhibitory receptor induction and restriction of central memory T- cell differentiation, while IL-10 plays a greater role in regulating cytokine production and effector function. Sawant et al. found an abundance of IL-10⁺ and IL-35⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) in the TME of patients with NSCLC which cooperatively promoted exhaustion of BLIMP-1-dependent tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells.¹³⁸ The findings showed that IL-10⁺ and IL-35⁺ Tregs-derived IL-10 and IL-35 collectively induced inhibitory receptors, such as TIM-3 and LAG-3 expression on intratumoral CD8⁺ T cells, which further resulted in T-cell dysfunction and antitumor immunity failure. Therefore, the depletion by IL-10⁺ and IL-35⁺ Tregs may abrogate tumor-immune

evasive and resistance to immunotherapy of tumor cells.

2.3 | Treg subsets in liver cancer

The liver has been recognized as an immune privilege organ.^{139,140} Due to its complex immune regulation mechanism, current research is still unclear about its immune regulation. In recent years, there has been an increasing number of studies on the immune microenvironment of the liver at the single-cell level. Zheng et al. used single-cell RNA sequencing to reveal the landscape of infiltrating T cells in liver cancer.⁴⁷ They showed that LAYN was preferentially upregulated in tumor-infiltrated FOXP3⁺Helios⁺ Tregs. LAYN (Lavilin), which was first reported in 1998, is a protein-encoding gene located on chromosome11.141 LAYN has been defined as a Treg-specific signature gene¹⁴² that is highly and specifically expressed in tumor-infiltrating Tregs and correlates with poor prognosis in both NSCLC and CRCs patients.³⁰ Similarly, high expression of tumorinfiltrated LAYN⁺FOXP3⁺Helios⁺ Tregs (Figure 1), which possessed suppressive functions, was associated with tumor-infiltrating exhausted CD8⁺ T cells and poor survival in liver cancer. In addition, Sun et al. also found that LAYN⁺ Tregs showed highly expressed LAYN, TNFRSF9, and inducible T-cell costimulator (ICOS, CD278), but the proportion of this cell population in tumor-infiltrating cells was very small, which was different from FOXP3⁺ Tregs.⁴⁸ Hence, further studies are needed to generate mechanistic insights into the function of LAYN⁺ Tregs.

Similar to the Tregs subgroup mentioned above, Kalathil et al. defined GARP⁺/CTLA4⁺ Tregs as a subset of Tregs with immunosuppressive function in advanced liver cancer.¹⁴³ The high frequency of GARP⁺/CTLA4⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) may facilitate immune dysregulation, and the expression levels of GARP and CTLA were not associated with the viral infection. However, this finding suggests that depletion of these subpopulations of Tregs may be potential targets of immunotherapy.

In addition, Han et al. identified a new subset of tumor-infiltrating CD4⁺CD69⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) that expressed neither CD25 nor FOXP3, but highly expressed membrane-bound TGF- β 1 (mTGF- β 1), programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1, CD279), and CTLA-4.¹⁴⁴ These novel CD4⁺CD69⁺FOXP3⁻ Tregs accounted for the vast majority of tumor-infiltrating Tregs compared with FOXP3⁺ Tregs and could suppress the CD4⁺ T-cell response mainly through mTGF- β 1,^{145,146} which was correlated with tumor progression. The underlying mechanisms of these tumor antigen-induced CD4⁺CD69⁺FOXP3⁻ Tregs in HCC need further investigation for cancer immunotherapy.

Pedroza-Gonzalez et al. have shown that the expression of glucocorticoid-induced TNFR (GITR) and ICOS are upregulated in activated tumor-infiltrating Tregs in patients with primary or metastatic liver cancer.¹⁴⁷ GITR, also known as TNFRSF18, is a member of the TNF/nerve growth factor receptor family.^{148–150} and it is mainly expressed on CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs¹⁵¹ (Figure 1). The findings demonstrated that GITR ligation could abrogate the tumor-infiltrating Tregs-mediated suppression of effector T cells through treatment with soluble GITR ligand, which was consistent with previous research reports.^{151–153} Moreover, Tu et al. reported that ICOS⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) were significantly increased in the tumor tissues of patients with HCC.¹⁵⁴ ICOS⁺ Tregs could produce a mass of IL-10 and TGF- β 1,¹⁵⁵ and the levels of tumorinfiltrating ICOS⁺ Tregs were higher than those in tumor adjacent tissues in several cancers.^{156–158} Likewise, higher ICOS⁺ Tregs levels and ICOS⁺ Tregs/CD4⁺ T-cell ratios indicated a worse prognosis in HCC. In addition, ICOS+ Tregs were concentrated in high chemokine (C-C motif) ligand (CCL20)-expressed areas. CCL20, the ligand targeting CCR6, has been demonstrated to be highly expressed in triggering receptors expressed on myeloid cell-1 (TREM-1)-positive tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs)¹⁵⁹ in liver cancer. The findings also found that CCR6⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) accounted for the majority of intratumoral Tregs. Therefore, TREM-1⁺ TAMs could recruit CCR6⁺ Tregs through producing CCL20, which induced CD8⁺ T-cell exhaustion and was associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC. In conclusion, CCL20 may be a potential target for immunotherapy.

A recent study has identified that CCR4⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) are the predominant type of Tregs in HBV⁺HCC.¹⁶⁰ CCR4 is mainly expressed on Tregs and other T-helper cells, which can mediate Tregs trafficking into the TME by interacting with its ligands, CCL22 and CCL17.¹⁶¹ The findings showed that the high frequency of CCR4⁺ Tregs exhibited potently immunosuppressive stem-like specificity by upregulating transcription factor 1 (TCF1), PD-1, and CTLA-4 levels and secreting more IL-10 and IL-35. Moreover, targeting CCR4⁺ Tregs could alleviate sorafenib resistance and might improve the curative effect of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) for patients with HBV⁺HCC.

What is noteworthy is that low glucose, or rather highlactic acid (LA), and hypoxic environments may impaired the survival and functions of effector T cells and are not conducive to antitumor immunotherapy.^{162–164} Kumagai et al. have reported that enhanced PD-1 expression on eTregs (Figure 1) was observed in low-glucose TME of HCC on account of eTregs actively absorbed LA through monocarboxylate transporter 1 (MCT1) and promoted nuclear factor of activated T cells-1 (NFAT1) translocation into the nucleus.¹⁶⁵ The deletion of MCT1, a transporter of LA,^{166,167} plays a pivotal role for tumor-infiltrating Tregs to uptake lactate to inhibit tumor growth and enhance immunotherapy response.¹⁶⁸ In addition, NFAT1 positively regulates the expression of PD-1 on T cells.^{169–172} Therefore, molecular-targeted immunotherapy against LA provides a novel insight for the clinic.

2.4 | Treg subsets in gastric cancer

In recent years, increasing attention has been given to the application of ICBs, such as anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), in tumor immunity.^{173,174} Some previous studies have shown that a fraction of Tregs expresses PD-1.^{175–178} However, the effects of anti-PD-1 mAbs on PD-1⁺ Tregs in the tumor environment are currently poorly understood. Kamada et al. found that tumor-infiltrating eTregs highly express PD-1 and that the proliferation and immunosuppressive activity of PD-1⁺ eTregs (Figure 1) could be reinforced by anti-PD-1 mAb therapy in patients with gastric cancer (GC).¹⁷⁹ As a result, the patients treated with anti-PD-1 mAb aggravated the progression of the disease, which was consistent with the results of previous studies.^{180,181} Therefore, the pros and cons of anti-PD-1 mAb therapy and its promotion of PD-1⁺ eTregs suppressive function still need sufficient clinical data to verify.

Several studies have confirmed the limited efficacy of ICBs in the treatment of tumors,^{182–187} and establishing more effective therapies is necessary. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is secreted by a variety of tumors and accumulates abundantly in the TME, which mainly acts as an immunosuppressive factor.¹⁸⁸ VEGF acts on the vascular endothelium through specific receptors, and VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) is the most important signaling receptor.^{189,190} In addition, VEGFR2 is selectively expressed by FOXP3^{high} CD4⁺ Tregs.¹⁹¹ Tada et al. revealed that VEGFR2+FOXP3+ eTregs (Figure 1) highly expressed Ki67 in GC tumor tissues.¹⁹² Ramucirumab (RAM), an anti-VEGFR2 mAb,¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁵ could reduce the frequency of VEGFR2+FOXP3+ eTregs. Furthermore, PD-1 ligand (PD-L1) expression and CD8⁺ T-cell infiltration in tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes were enhanced after treatment with RAM, which was beneficial to the killing of tumor cells. It can be seen that inhibiting the expression of VEGFR2 in Tregs may be a potentially beneficial treatment option.

T-cell chemotaxis homing mediated by chemokine receptors plays a critical role in immune homeostasis.¹⁹⁶ The chemokine receptor CCR7 has been proven to guide T-cell chemotactic homing to tumor tissues.¹⁹⁷ Furthermore, Mao et al. found that tumor-infiltrating Tregs in GC expressed little CCR7 (Figure 1).¹⁹⁸ Most tumor-infiltrating

MedComm



FIGURE 2 Different subpopulations of FOXP3⁺ Tregs in other cancers. This figure summarizes the subtypes of FOXP3⁺ Tregs described in neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), follicular lymphoma (FL), cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (CSCC), melanoma, breast cancer (BRC), cervical cancer (CC), ovarian cancer (OC), bladder cancer (BLC)

Tregs exhibited a CD45RA⁻CCR7⁻ phenotype, which was induced by tumor-derived TNF- α and could inhibit the secretion of IFN- γ and proliferation of effector CD8⁺ T cells. The high proportion of these subsets of Tregs in the tumor tissue was associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis. In the future, reversing the expression of CCR7 in Tregs may prevent tumor progression.

Qu et al. have also confirmed that TNFR2⁺ Tregs (Figure 1) preferentially accumulate in TME of GC, which express high levels of CTLA-4 and CCR6 and possess strong suppressive activity by activating the TNF- α /TNFR2 signaling pathway.¹⁹⁹ In addition, the higher level of TNFR2⁺ Treg infiltration was correlated to a poorer prognosis in GC patients. Based on the results, targeting TNFR2⁺ Tregs may be an immunotherapeutic strategy for GC.

2.5 | Treg subsets in other cancers

Many different subtypes of Tregs have also been found in other types of tumors. CCR8 has been identified to be upregulated in intratumoral Tregs in a variety of tumors.^{30,78} A recent study on breast cancer showed that CCR8⁺ Tregs (Figure 2), a subset of peripheral blood Fr. II, could be recruited to human breast tumors through the CCL1-CCR8 axis.²⁰⁰ Intratumoral CCR8⁺ Tregs highly expressed surface-activated markers such as CTLA-4, CD39, and PD-1. Activated CCR8⁺ Tregs have the potential for immunosuppression and are significantly related to the clinical outcome of patients with breast cancer.

Neuropilin-1 (Nrp1), a cell surface glycoprotein of the semaphorin III receptor, was reported to be an exclusive surface marker of Tregs and is associated with suppressive activity.^{201,202} Indeed, the expression of Nrp1 on human Tregs has always been controversial, with some suggesting that peripheral human Tregs do not express Nrp1 while others suggest that Nrp1 is expressed on a subset of CD8⁺ TIL in human NSCLC.^{203,204} In many subsequent studies, Battaglia et al. have shown that depletion of Nrp1⁺ Tregs (Figure 2) in tumor-draining lymph nodes is directly related to a favorable response to chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer.²⁰⁵ Overacre-Delgoffe et al. have found that a high proportion of human Tregs expressed Nrp1 in melanoma and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and peripheral blood lymphocyte (PBL) Tregs from these cancer patients also possessed a clear population of Nrp1⁺ Tregs in contrast to healthy donor PBL Tregs.²⁰⁶ Interestingly, Nrp1 expression in intratumoral Tregs appeared to correlate with poor prognosis in both melanoma and HNSCC. The findings also suggested that targeting Nrp1⁺ intratumoral Tregs with an Nrp1 mAb may be therapeutic. Furthermore, Bell et al. have also found that OX40 (Figure 2) is particularly expressed on the

surface of Tregs of HNSCC patients.²⁰⁷ The anti-OX40 clinical trial is expected to be conducted in patients with advanced cancers and yield good clinical benefits.

Not only that, but several studies have also found that OX40 (Figure 2) is predominantly expressed on the tumorinfiltrated FOXP3⁺ Tregs of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma²⁰⁸ and peripheral FOXP3⁺ Tregs of patients with breast cancer.²⁰⁹ The application of anti-OX40 could promote tumor-infiltrated CD4⁺ T-cell proliferation and reduce tumor metastasis,²⁰⁸ which provides a therapeutic target for tumors.

Govindaraj et al. have revealed that TNFR2⁺ Tregs (Figure 2) are presented at high levels within the ascites of ovarian cancer, which are more suppressive compared to peripheral blood TNFR2⁺ Tregs.²¹⁰ TNFR2⁺ Tregs mainly expressed high levels of CD39, CD73, TGF- β , and GARP in the cell surface to increase their suppressive capacity, which further inhibited the production of IFN- γ by Teffs. Moreover, Zhang et al. demonstrated that TNFR2⁺ Tregs (Figure 2) infiltrated both peripheral and tumors in patients with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer, and the proportion of TNFR2⁺ Tregs was found to be associated with the clinical stages of cervical cancer.²¹¹ Therefore, TNFR2⁺ Tregs may be a potential immunotherapy target to improve survival for patients with ovarian cancer and cervical cancer.

Th17 cells have considerable plasticity in autoimmune diseases and other chronic inflammatory diseases and mainly produce IL-17.^{212–215} Th17 cells and Tregs are indispensable in maintaining immune homeostasis, and Th17-Treg imbalance is related to inflammatory immunosuppression in cancer. Downs-Canner et al. revealed that Th17 cells presented a novel source of tumor-induced FOXP3⁺ Tregs in tumor-bearing mouse models.²¹⁶ It is generally known that TGF- β is essential in producing pTregs, iTregs, and some TH17 cells,^{217,218} and the above findings have demonstrated that Th17 (IL-17A⁺FOXP3⁻) cells progressively transdifferentiate into IL-17A+FOXP3+ and ex-Th17 IL-17A⁻FOXP3⁺ T cells promoted by TGF- β during tumor progression, which are subpopulations of suppressive Tregs (Figure 2). In contrast, when FOXP3⁺ Tregs are exposed to IL-6 with or without IL-1 β and IL-23, FOXP3 becomes downregulated in favor of expressing Th17 genes including IL-17, IL-22, IL-23-receptor (IL-23R), and retinoid-related orphan receptor- γ t (ROR γ t).²¹⁹ Based on this report, inhibiting the conversion of Th17 cells into Tregs may serve as a valuable targeting strategy in tumor immunotherapy.

As mentioned in the previous description, TIGIT is an immunosuppressive-related immunoreceptor expressed on eTregs (Fr. II), which can facilitate Tregs development.^{220–222} DNAM-1 (DNAX accessory molecule-1, CD226),²²³ a competitive costimulatory counter-receptor

of TIGIT, 220, 224, 225 enhances T-cell activation, 223, 226 while TIGIT can inhibit T-cell responses through T cellintrinsic inhibitory functions.²²⁷ Fourcade et al. found that tumor-infiltrating Tregs express high-level TIGIT and low-level CD226 in melanoma.²²⁸ TIGIT⁺ Tregs (Figure 2) showed suppression and stable characteristics as well as a large amount of enrichment in tumors. The research indicated that a high proportion of TIGIT⁺ Tregs and a high TIGIT/CD226 ratio in Tregs in the tumor immune microenvironment were associated with poor clinical prognosis. Recently, Wu et al. have found that the frequency of TIGIT⁺ Tregs was significantly increased in patients with bladder cancer by single-cell sequencing.²²⁹ Interestingly, the TIGIT⁺ Tregs also highly expressed IL-32 which further promoted the migration and invasion of tumor cells. As a result, targeting TIGIT to suppress the metastasis of tumor may be a novel insight for patients with bladder cancer. In addition, Yang et al. have demonstrated that abundantly tumor-infiltrating TIGIT⁺ Tregs show enhanced suppressive capacity by inhibiting the activation and proliferation of CD8⁺ T cells in patients with follicular lymphoma.²³⁰ The findings also identified several other types of TIGIT⁺ T cell subsets, including exhausted TIGIT⁺ non-Tregs/follicular helper T cells (Tfhs) and effector TIGIT⁺ T cells. In conclusion, increased numbers of TIGIT⁺ T cells were correlated with inferior outcomes and poor survival and the blockade of TIGIT signaling may have therapeutic potential for patients with follicular lymphoma. Moreover, another study reported that the expression of TIGIT (Figure 2) elevated in the PBMCs and tumor tissues of patients with HNSCC.²³¹ TIGIT could activate its ligand CD155.²³² and the activation of TIGIT/CD155 signaling was associated with the pathologic grade and lymph node metastasis of HNSCC. Consistent with previous studies, targeting TIGIT/CD155 signaling could reduce the secretion of TGF- β and may be a potential therapeutic strategy for HNSCC patients.

3 | TREG SUBSETS IN AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE

3.1 | Treg subsets in systemic lupus erythematosus

SLE, a chronic autoimmune systemic disease with extremely varied clinical manifestations and complex pathogenesis, has been characterized by the breakdown of immunological tolerance and antinuclear antibody production.²³³ Some studies have shown that the suppressive function of Tregs is weakened in patients with active SLE, but the specific mechanisms remain unknown.^{234,235}

MedComm



FIGURE 3 Different subpopulations of FOXP3⁺ Tregs in autoimmune diseases. This figure summarizes the subtypes of FOXP3⁺ Tregs described in autoimmune diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE); multiple sclerosis (MS), type 1 diabetes (T1D), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA)

It was recently reported that IL-21, a proinflammatory cytokine in SLE, could suppress FOXP3⁺ Tregs differentiation and suppressive activity by inhibiting CTLA-4 and GATA-3 expression.²³⁶ GATA-3 is a transcription factor that mediates the expression of FOXP3²³⁷ and is essential for Tregs development and function.²³⁸ CTLA-4 is associated with Tregs activation. Interestingly, in contrast to IL-21, IL-2 and TGF- β promoted the expression of CTLA-4⁺ Tregs and GATA-3⁺ Tregs in SLE (Figure 3). Therefore, inhibiting the production of IL-21 from the source can restore the suppressive function of CTLA-4⁺ Tregs and GATA-3⁺ Tregs, which may be beneficial to the treatment of SLE patients.

Follicular regulatory T cells (Tfrs), one of the subsets of Tregs that specifically regulate the function of Tfhs through expressing CXCR5 and FOXP3, mainly suppress the activation of Tfhs in germinal centers to maintain immune homeostasis.^{239–241} The dysregulation of Tfrs may eventually contribute to the progression of autoimmune diseases.^{242–244} Liu et al. have demonstrated an elevated level of CD4⁺CXCR5⁺FOXP3⁺ Tfrs (Figure 3) in peripheral blood of SLE patients.²⁴⁴ The high proportion

of Tfrs could suppress Tfhs function and the frequency of Tfrs was positively associated with autoantibodies (AAbs) and clinical severity of SLE patients, which might be a response to the enhanced humoral immunity and provided novel insight during SLE pathogenesis. In subsequent studies, researchers have found that IL-2 plays a pivotal role in maintaining the suppression function of Tfrs.²⁴⁵⁻²⁴⁷ When serum IL-2 levels were reduced in patients with SLE, the proportion of CD4⁺CXCR5⁺FOXP3⁻PD-1^{high} Tfhs was increased, whereas the proportion of CD4⁺CXCR5⁺CD45RA⁻FOXP3^{high} Tfrs was decreased.²⁴⁵ However, stimulation with an exogenous low dose of IL-2 could restore the function of Tfrs and converse Tfhs to Tfrs by regulation of FOXP3 and BCL6 through histone modification. Similarly, high expression of PD-1 was explored on Tfrs (Figure 3) with dysfunction of suppressing Tfhs proliferation and activation in patients with SLE due to IL-2 deficiency, which could also be rescued by low-dose IL-2 treatment.²⁴⁶ As result, IL-2 treatment may provide potential therapeutic benefits for SLE.

A recent study has identified that P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1), an adhesion molecule that can be expressed by T cells,^{248,249} is highly expressed on Tfrs (Figure 3) in patients with SLE.²⁵⁰ PSGL-1 interaction with selectin impaired the suppression function of Tfrs and contributed to the pathogenesis of SLE via inhibition of the TGF- β pathway and reduced expression of FOXP3. Moreover, the circulating P-selectin derived from platelets was activated and correlated with disease severity in the patients with SLE. Blocking P-selectin may improve inflammation and as a potential therapeutic target for SLE.

3.2 | Treg subsets in MS

In 1992, MS was described as an autoimmune disease caused by pathogenic T cells specific for myelin-Ags in the central nervous system.²⁵¹ At present, research on the function of Tregs in MS patients is still controversial.^{252,253} In addition to Th1 cells, studies have reported that IL-17 is highly expressed in MS patients, so it could be speculated that Th17 cells play a key role in mediating autoimmune inflammation.^{104,254} Moreover, it has been reported that human FOXP3⁺ Tregs can secrete IL-17.²⁵⁵ Subsequently, however, Fletcher et al. demonstrated that FOXP3⁺CD39⁺ Tregs (Figure 3) could suppress the production of IL-17 by Th17 cells, while FOXP3⁺CD39⁻ Tregs could produce IL-17 in MS patients.²⁵⁶ Unfortunately, the proportion of FOXP3⁺CD39⁺ Tregs was reduced, and their function was impaired. CD39 is an ectonucleotidase that can hydrolyze ATP into AMP, and the hydrolysis of ATP is considered to be an important mechanism of immunoregulation.²⁵⁷ As a result, injection of FOXP3+CD39+ Tregs and exclusion of FOXP3⁺CD39⁻ Tregs may be a potential immunotherapy strategy for MS.

In the previous description, we mentioned that FOXA1⁺ Tregs (Figure 3) were found in lung cancer patients, which were reported earlier in EAE and MS models.¹¹⁸ The findings showed that FOXA1 could bind to PD-L1, which was essential for FOXA1⁺ Tregs to kill activated T cells. More importantly, in addition to the IFN- α/β receptor signaling inherent in T cells, the development of FOXA1⁺ Tregs could also be induced by IFN- β . Therefore, clinical treatment with IFN- β to induce more suppressive FOXA1⁺ Tregs may generate favorable outcomes for MS patients.

Similarly, Dominguez-Villar et al. found that IFN- γ^+ FOXP3⁺ Tregs (Figure 3) were significantly more abundant than IFN- γ^- FOXP3⁺ Tregs in MS.²⁵⁸ IL-12, a proinflammatory cytokine that is highly expressed in individuals with MS,²⁵⁹ could stimulate the secretion of IFN- γ by Tregs. The findings indicated that the suppressive activity of IFN- γ^+ FOXP3⁺ Tregs was inhibited through the secretion of IFN- γ stimulated by IL-12. Prospective studies may focus on the frequency of IFN- γ^+ FOXP3⁺ Tregs at risk for developing MS.

Recently, Haque et al. have evaluated the frequencies of circulating CXCR5⁺PD-1⁺ Tfhs and CXCR5⁺PD-1⁺ FOXP3⁺CD25⁺ Tfrs (Figure 3) in patients with MS.²⁶⁰ It was proven that the frequency of circulating CXCR5⁺PD-1⁺ Tfhs was increased in MS patients, whereas the frequency of circulating CXCR5⁺PD-1⁺ FOXP3⁺CD25⁺ Tfrs was significantly decreased. Consistent with previous research, a high proportion of circulating CXCR5⁺PD-1⁺ Tfhs secreted abundance of IL-21,²⁶¹ which contributed to the production of pathogenic autoantibody.²⁶²⁻²⁶⁴ Correspondingly, a lower frequency of circulating Tfrs was correlated to the reduction of IL-10 which might increase the severity of MS.²⁶⁵⁻²⁶⁷ The findings suggested that improved IL-10 secretion by circulating Tfrs may serve as a potential therapeutic target for patients with MS.

3.3 | Treg subsets in rheumatoid arthritis

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), one of the common types of chronic and pharmacologically complex systemic autoimmune disease observed in the elderly population,^{242,268–270} is characterized by the presence of AAbs such as rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody.^{271,272} Liu et al. have found that the frequency of circulating CD4⁺CXCR5⁺FOXP3⁺ Tfrs (Figure 3) and the ratio of Tfrs/Tfhs were significantly increased in patients with stable RA than in patients with active RA or healthy people.²⁴² The circulating CD4⁺CXCR5⁺FOXP3⁺ Tfrs with enhanced suppressive function could alleviate autoimmunity in RA patients by reducing IgG and IgM levels, and the proportion of Tfrs was negatively correlated with the disease severity, which provided a novel insight into RA pathogenesis.

Santinon et al. have also demonstrated that the suppressive TNFR2⁺ Tregs (Figure 3) play a key role in patients with RA.²⁷³ They confirmed that TNF treatment could promote the proliferation of TNFR2⁺ Tregs and ameliorate inflammation by activation of TNF–TNFR2 signaling^{274,275} and enhance the expression of CD25. Targeting TNFR2 signaling may be a potential therapeutic strategy in RA.

Given that TIM3⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs possess potent suppression of proinflammatory responses, Sun et al. have examined the frequency and function of TIM3⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs (Figure 3) in patients with RA.²⁷⁶ They demonstrated the proportion of TIM3⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs, which could potently suppress IFN- γ and TNF- α inflammation from Teffs by producing high IL-10 and TGF- β , was significantly decreased in patients with RA. As result, the frequency of TIM3⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs was negatively associated with the pathogenesis of RA, which might be an immunotherapeutic target for patients with RA.

3.4 | Treg subsets in type 1 diabetes

T1D, a chronic autoimmune disorder, is characterized by insulin deficiency and resultant hyperglycaemia.^{277–279} The complex interactions between the pancreatic β -cell and innate and adaptive immune systems lead to the progression of T1D.²⁸⁰ In humans, Tfrs are identified that can inhibit the production of AAbs and restore glucose tolerance.^{239,281,282} Vecchione et al. have reported that the frequency of CXCR5⁻FOXP3⁺ Tregs was higher in AAb⁺ patients than in AAb⁻ patients, which may be caused by active autoimmunity and metabolic dysfunction in AAb⁺ patients.²⁷⁹ Furthermore, they also validated that CXCR5⁺FOXP3⁺ Tfrs (Figure 3), which were needed to maintain peripheral tolerance by regulating diabetogenic Tfhs and B cells, were reduced in spleen and pancreatic lymph node of patients with T1D. Therefore, Tfrs ACT may be a potential therapeutic modality to treat T1D.

The TIM family comprises TIM1, TIM3, and TIM4, and there is little known about the expression of TIM1 and TIM-4 on Tregs. Guo et al. have evaluated the frequencies of circulating TIM1⁺ Tregs and TMI4⁺ Tregs (Figure 3) in patients with TID.²⁸³ Their study revealed that TIM1⁺ Tregs and TMI4⁺ Tregs were significantly decreased in PBMCs of patients with T1D as compared with healthy people. Inhibition of TIM1 could reduce FOXP3 expression and inhibit Tregs development,²⁸⁴ while TIM4 regulated the activation of naive T cells and proliferation of activated T cells.^{285,286} However, the specific functions and potential mechanisms of TIM1⁺ Tregs and TMI4⁺ Tregs in patients with T1D may need to be further explored.

4 | TREG SUBSETS IN TRANSPLANTATION

4.1 | Treg subsets in

Although the current improvement in surgical techniques and the application of immunosuppression have improved survival after organ transplantation, immune-mediated injury is still a critical cause of allograft failure.^{287,288} Notch signaling plays a significant role in immune cell fate determination and differentiation.²⁸⁹ Notch-1, a Notch receptor of the Notch family, is pivotal for regulating fate decisions in the T-cell lineage.²⁹⁰ More recently, Magee et al. found that Notch-1 was highly expressed in FOXP3⁺ Tregs and that the proportion of Notch-1⁺ Tregs (Figure 4) was significantly higher in patients with a kidney transplant than in healthy controls.²⁹¹ Furthermore, the results showed that inhibition of Notch-1 could reduce the frequency and function of effector T cells, whereas the survival, proliferation, and suppressive function of Tregs were enhanced. In agreement with a previous study,²⁹² treatment targeting Notch-1⁺ Tregs may improve allograft tolerance and prolong the survival of solid organ transplantation in humans.

In addition, Hoerning et al. confirmed that the expression of CXCR3 on human CD4⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs was associated with better renal transplant function (Figure 4).²⁹³ It has been reported that CXCR3 promotes Tregs recruitment and Teffs interactions, which limits autoimmunemediated tissue damage in EAE.²⁹⁴ The CXCR3⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs also highly expressed GARP, indicating that this subset of Tregs possessed a strong immunosuppressive function. Interestingly, treatment with tacrolimus did not affect the expression of CXCR3 on the surface of Tregs. CXCR3⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs showed the capacity to translocate to the site of inflammation and could control renal allograft rejection.

4.2 | Treg subsets in graft-versus-host disease

Acute GVHD is a very common complication in hematopoietic stem cells transplantation (HSCT).²⁹⁵ Trzonkowski et al. first described a method for the adoptive transfer of CD4+CD25+CD127- Tregs expanded in vitro for the treatment of patients with acute and chronic GVHD, which could improve their condition.²⁹⁶ Subsequently, several HSCT clinical phase I and phase II studies were carried out in human leukocyte antigen (HLA)haploidentical^{297,298} or umbilical cord blood²⁹⁹ transplantation setting and using HLA-matched donors,³⁰⁰ which further confirmed the stability of the immunosuppressive function of Tregs expanded in vitro and the feasibility of preventing GVHD. In addition, some more detailed induction strategies and subtypes of Tregs have been proved to have stronger mechanisms of suppressive function. Among them, two clinical studies have demonstrated that low-dose IL-2 can better induce the expansion of functional Tregs and ameliorate the manifestations of chronic GVHD (cGVHD).^{301,302} Notably, Asano et al. have demonstrated that low doses of IL-2 enhance PD-1 expression of central memory Tregs in patients with cGVHD, suggesting that the PD-1 pathway is a critical homeostasis and tolerance regulator for Tregs.¹⁷⁸ Ulbar et al. have used an automated, clinical-grade protocol to expand Tregs in vitro.³⁰³ The expression levels of IL-10, GZMB, and IL-35 of these expanded Tregs were significantly increased, and could protect mice from GVHD. Among them, the subtype of TIM3⁺ Tregs (Figure 4) exhibited a highly immunosuppressive function. We hope these findings may facilitate the development of therapeutic strategies



FIGURE 4 Different subpopulations of FOXP3⁺ Tregs in transplantation and pregnancy. This figure summarizes the subtypes of FOXP3⁺ Tregs described in kidney transplantation (KT), hematopoietic stem cells transplantation (HSCT), and materno-fetal interface

that promote immune tolerance and reverse symptoms of GVHD in humans.

IL-33 and its receptor suppression of tumorigenicity-2 (ST2) have been reported to be associated with Tregs immunobiology, and ST2+FOXP3+ Tregs (Figure 4) could be augmented following administration of IL-33.304-306 Consequently, Matta et al. demonstrated that IL-33 mediated the expansion of ST2⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs via activation of p38 MAPK signaling after HSCT, which could protect against acute GVHD.³⁰⁷ In xenogeneic GVHD (xGVHD), Wang et al. have demonstrated that latency-associated peptide (LAP) can be used as a unique cell-surface marker to distinguish bona fide Tregs from activated FOXP3⁺ and FOXP3⁻ non-Tregs, which is beneficial to improve the purity of Tregs expanded in vitro.³⁰⁸ The subset of LAP⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs (Figure 4) possessed a fully suppressive function and adoptive transfer of LAP⁺ Tregs could delay the development of xGVHD. It may be important to testify their safety and stability in the future.

The bone marrow niche is important for the potential of HSCs to maintain multifunctionality, such as quiescent status, multidirectional differentiation, and self-renewal, in which the adenosine signaling pathway plays a pivotal role.^{309,310} It was worth noting that CD150⁺ Tregs (Figure 4) could secrete adenosine to maintain the quiescent status of HSCs, which activated the AMPK pathway

to promote energy metabolism to inhibit the GVHD and intestinal cell apoptosis secondary to HSCT. Their results provided potential feasibility of inducing the expression of CD150⁺ Tregs as the therapeutic strategy to prevent GVHD for patients after HSCT.

5 | TREG SUBSETS AT MATERNO-FETAL INTERFACE

During pregnancy, maternal Tregs are considered to be very important in establishing maternal-fetal immune tolerance and are indispensable for successful embryo implantation and pregnancy outcome.^{311,312} A large number of Tregs are enriched in the gestational uterus, maternal periphery, and maternal-fetal interface in normal human pregnancy.^{313–316} To more thoroughly understand the immune regulation mechanism of the maternal-fetal interface, one of the most interesting yet elusive tissue sites for Tregs function in humans, Wienke et al. have used uterine Tregs (uTregs) (Figure 4) from the maternal-fetal interface for transcriptome profile and functional adaptation analysis, which comprehensively reveals the gene expression profile of uTregs as bona fide suppressive Tregs.³¹⁷ Moreover, uTregs also exhibited a special phenotype similar to tumor-infiltrating Tregs, which highly expressed

_- 0

TABLE 1 The primary functions of different subpopulations of FOXP3+ Tregs in human cancers, and their frequencies of leading to diseases exacerbation

			Tregs of leading to disease	
Diseases	Markers	Primary Functions	exacerbation	References
Colorectal cancer	GZMB ⁺ Tregs	GZMB ⁺ Tregs could induce the apoptosis of effector T cells and were related to immune homeostasis and mediating tumor immunity.	ſ	[60-63]
	GZMB ⁺ TIM3 ⁺ Tregs	GZMB ⁺ TIM3 ⁺ Tregs presented higher cytolytic capacity towards autologous conventional T cells.	ſ	[65-67]
	LAG3 ⁺ TIM3 ⁺ Tregs	LAG3 ⁺ TIM3 ⁺ Tregs presented significantly higher expression of TGF- β , IL-10, and CTLA-4, which could suppress the expression of MHC-II, CD80/CD86, and TNF- α and increase the expression of IL-10 in macrophages.	ſ	[12,68,69]
	CCR8 ⁺ Tregs	The mAb therapy targeting CCR8 ⁺ Tregs could obviously inhibit tumor growth and improve the prognosis in CRCs by increasing tumor-specific T cells.	Ŷ	[77]
	BLIMP-1 ⁺ eTregs	CRCs patients with low FOXP3 ⁺ BLIMP-1 ⁺ eTregs infiltration in tumors are associated with high risk of recurrence.	ţ	[80]
	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs	Blockade of TNFR2 signaling could markedly reduce the tumor-infiltrating TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs in CRCs, whereas the number of tumor-infiltrating IFN- γ^+ CD8 ⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes were significantly increased.	ſ	[88]
	CD30 ⁺ OX40 ⁺ Tregs	High density of tumor-infiltrating CD30 ⁺ OX40 ⁺ Tregs in CRCs patients was associated with improved prognosis.	Ļ	[93]
Lung cancer	CD62L ^{high} CD25 ⁺ CD4 ⁺ Tregs	CD62L ^{high} CD25 ⁺ CD4 ⁺ Tregs could suppress cytokine production and inhibit the proliferation of Teffs.	Ŷ	[100]
	GARP ⁺ Tregs	GARP ⁺ Tregs were highly infiltrated in tumor tissues in the early stage of lung cancer and exerted immunosuppressive effects through the GARP-TGF- β pathway to inhibit Teffs.	¢	[112]
	FOXA1 ⁺ Tregs	FOXA1 ⁺ Tregs could inhibit the antitumor immunity of T cells and promote tumor growth by the IFN-β-PI3K-Akt-FOXA1 signaling pathway.	ţ	[106]
	FOXP3⁺ Helios⁻ Tregs	Higher percentages of tumor-infiltrated FOXP3 ⁺ Helios [–] Tregs were seen in advanced-stage NSCLC with poorer survival.	Î	[124]
	TNFRSF9 ⁺ Tregs	TNFRSF9 ⁺ Tregs highly expressed immunosuppressive genes and were related to the poor prognosis of NSCLC.	Ť	[126]

(Continues)

14 of 28 | MedComm

TABLE 1 (Continued)

			The frequencies of Tregs of leading to disease	
Diseases	Markers	Primary Functions	exacerbation	References
	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs	The high percentage of TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs in the peripheral blood of lung cancer patients were associated with lymphatic invasion, distant metastasis, more advanced clinical stage, and worse outcomes.	ſ	[128]
	CCR8 ⁺ Tregs	The high frequency of CCR8 ⁺ tumor-infiltrating Tregs played a crucial suppressive function and was correlated with poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC.	î	[30,129]
	IRF4 ⁺ eTregs	The abundance of IRF4 ⁺ eTregs correlated with poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC.	î	[133]
	CCR8 ⁺ ICOS ⁺ eTregs	The high expression of IRF4 was present in CCR8 ⁺ ICOS ⁺ eTregs, which further contributed to the superior suppressive activity and worse disease-free survival and overall survival of NSCLC patients.	î	[133]
	IL-10 ⁺ and IL-35 ⁺ Tregs	IL-10 ⁺ and IL-35 ⁺ Tregs-derived IL-10 and IL-35 collectively induced inhibitory receptor, such as TIM-3 and LAG-3 expression on intratumoral CD8 ⁺ T cells, which further results in T-cell dysfunction and antitumor immunity failure.	ſ	[138]
Liver cancer	LAYN ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Helios ⁺ Tregs	The high expression of tumor-infiltrated LAYN ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Helios ⁺ Tregs, which possessed suppressive functions, was associated with tumor-infiltrating exhausted CD8 ⁺ T cells and poor survival in liver cancer.	î	[47]
	LAYN ⁺ Tregs	LAYN ⁺ Tregs showed highly expressed LAYN, TNFRSF9, and ICOS, but the proportion of this cell population in tumor-infiltrating cells was very small, which was different from FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs.	unknown	[48]
	GARP ⁺ /CTLA4 ⁺ Tregs	The high frequency of GARP ⁺ /CTLA4 ⁺ Tregs in patients with advanced HCC may facilitate immune dysregulation.	î	
	CD4 ⁺ CD69 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁻ Tregs	CD4 ⁺ CD69 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁻ Tregs accounted for the vast majority of tumor-infiltrating Tregs compared with FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs and could suppress the CD4 ⁺ T-cell response mainly through mTGF-β1.	ſ	[145,146]
	GITR ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	The expression of GITR was upregulated in activated tumor-infiltrating Tregs in patients with primary or metastatic liver cancer, GITR ligation could abrogate the tumor-infiltrating Tregs-mediated suppression of effector T cells through treatment with soluble GITR ligand.	Î	[147]

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)



			The frequencies of Tregs of leading to disease	
Diseases	Markers	Primary Functions	exacerbation	References
	ICOS ⁺ Tregs	$ICOS^+$ Tregs could produce a mass of IL-10 and TGF- β 1, higher ICOS ⁺ Tregs levels and ICOS ⁺ Tregs/CD4 ⁺ T cells ratios indicated worse prognosis in HCC.	Ť	[154]
	CCR6 ⁺ Tregs	CCR6 ⁺ Tregs accounted for the majority of intratumoral Tregs, which could induced CD8 ⁺ T-cell exhaustion and was associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC	î	[159]
	CCR4 ⁺ Tregs	The high frequency of CCR4 ⁺ Tregs exhibited potently immunosuppressive stem-like specificity by upregulating TCF1, PD-1, and CTLA-4 levels and secreting more IL-10 and IL-35.	Ť	[161]
	PD-1 ⁺ eTregs	Enhanced PD-1 expression on eTregs was observed in low-glucose TME of HCC on account of PD-1 ⁺ eTregs actively absorbed LA through MCT1 and promoted NFAT1 translocation into the nucleus.	Ŷ	[165]
Gastric cancer	PD-1 ⁺ eTregs	Tumor-infiltrating eTregs highly expressed PD-1 and that the proliferation and immunosuppressive activity of PD-1 ⁺ eTregs could be reinforced by anti-PD-1 mAb therapy in patients with GC	¢	[179]
	VEGFR2 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ eTregs	VEGFR2 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ eTregs highly expressed Ki67 in GC tumor tissues	1	[192]
	CD45RA ⁻ CCR7 ⁻ Tregs	Tumor-infiltrating CD45RA–CCR7–Tregs were induced by tumor-derived TNF- α and could inhibit the secretion of IFN- γ and proliferation of effector CD8 ⁺ T cells.	¢	[198]
	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs preferentially accumulated in TME of GC, which expressed high levels of CTLA-4 and CCR6 and possessed strong suppressive activity by activating TNF- α /TNFR2 signaling pathway.	t	[199]
Breast cancer	CCR8 ⁺ Tregs	Intratumoral CCR8 ⁺ Tregs highly expressed surface-activated markers, such as CTLA-4, CD39, and PD-1, which contributed to the potential of immunosuppression.	î	[200]
	OX40 ⁺ Tregs	Anti-OX40 therapy could promote tumor-infiltrated CD4 ⁺ T cells proliferation and reduce the tumor metastasis.	¢	[209]
Neck squamous cell carcinoma	Nrp1 ⁺ Tregs	Nrpl expression in intratumoral Tregs appeared to correlate with poor prognosis in HNSCC	¢	[206]
	OX40 ⁺ Tregs	OX40 was particularly expressed on the surface of Tregs of HNSCC patients.	1	[207]

(Continues)

16 of 28

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Lomm

			The frequencies of Tregs of leading to disease	
Diseases	Markers	Primary Functions	exacerbation	References
	TIGIT ⁺ Tregs	TIGIT ⁺ Tregs could activate its ligand CD155, and the activation of TIGIT/CD155 signaling was associated with the pathologic grade and lymph node metastasis of HNSCC.	Î	[231]
Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma	OX40 ⁺ Tregs	The application of anti-OX40 could promote tumor-infiltrated CD4 ⁺ T-cell proliferation and reduce the tumor metastasis.	t	[208]
Cervical cancer	Nrp1 ⁺ Tregs	The depletion of Nrp1 ⁺ Tregs in tumor-draining lymph nodes was directly related to a favorable response to chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer.	î	[205]
	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs	The proportion of TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs was found to be associated with the clinical stages of cervical cancer.	1 1	[211]
Ovarian cancer	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs mainly expressed high levels of CD39, CD73, TGF- β , and GARP in the cell surface to increase their suppressive capacity, which further inhibited the production of IFN- γ by Teffs.	ſ	[210]
	IL-17A ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	IL-17A ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs are a subpopulation of suppressive Tregs, which could be promoted by TGF-β during tumor progression.	¢	[216]
Melanoma	Nrp1 ⁺ Tregs	Nrpl expression in intratumoral Tregs appeared to correlate with poor prognosis in melanoma.	1 1	[206]
	TIGIT ⁺ Tregs	The high proportion of TIGIT ⁺ Tregs and a high TIGIT/CD226 ratio in Tregs in the tumor immune microenvironment were associated with poor clinical prognosis in melanoma.	Î	[228]
Bladder cancer	TIGIT ⁺ Tregs	TIGIT ⁺ Tregs highly expressed IL-32 and promoted the migration and invasion of tumor cells.	¢	[229]
Follicular lymphoma	TIGIT ⁺ Tregs	The abundantly tumor-infiltrating TIGIT ⁺ Tregs showed enhanced suppressive capacity by inhibiting the activation and proliferation of CD8 ⁺ T cells in patients with follicular lymphoma.	Ť	[230]

IL1R2, LAYN, CD80, TNFRSF4, etc. Although these findings deepen our understanding of Tregs at the maternalfetal interface and show great clinical application potential, the clinical application of these phenotypes still needs more trials to verify.

It is worth noting that Salvany-Celades et al. have defined three subtypes of decidual CD4⁺ Tregs that possess regulatory functions and suppress T-cell responses during human pregnancy including CD25^{high}HELIOS⁺FOXP3⁺, PD-1^{high}IL-10⁺, and TIGIT⁺FOXP3^{low} Tregs³¹⁸ (Figure 4). PD-1^{high}IL-10⁺ and TIGIT⁺FOXP3^{low} Tregs could significantly inhibit CD4⁺ T cells proliferation while CD25^{high}HELIOS⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs could simultaneously inhibit the proliferation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ Teffs and affect their production of certain cytokines. This research provides strong evidence that maintaining maternal-fetal



TABLE 2 The primary functions of different subpopulations of FOXP3+ Tregs in autoimmune diseases, transplantation, and pregnancy, and their frequencies of beneficial to diseases

			The frequencies of Tregs of beneficial	
Diseases	Markers	Primary functions	to the disease	References
Systemic lupus erythematosus	CTLA-4 ⁺ and GATA-3 ⁺ Tregs	IL-21 could suppress FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs differentiation and suppressive activity by inhibiting CTLA-4 and GATA-3 expression, whereas IL-2 and TGF- β promoted the expression of CTLA-4 ⁺ Tregs and GATA-3 ⁺ Tregs in SLE.	Î	[236]
	CD4 ⁺ CXCR5 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tfrs	The high proportion of circulating Tfrs could suppress Tfhs function and the frequency of Tfrs was positively associated with autoantibodies and might be a response to the enhanced humoral immunity during SLE pathogenesis.	Î	[244]
	PD-1 ⁺ Tfrs	The high expression of PD-1 was explored on Tfrs with dysfunction of suppressing Tfhs proliferation and activation in patients with SLE due to IL-2 deficiency.	Ļ	[246]
	PSGL-1 ⁺ Tfrs	PSGL-1 interaction with selectin impaired the suppression function of Tfrs and contributed to the pathogenesis of SLE via inhibition of the TGF- β pathway and reduced expression of FOXP3.	ţ	[250]
Multiple sclerosis	CD39 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	CD39 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs could suppress the production of IL-17 by Th17 cells to alleviate the progression of MS.	Ť	[256]
	FOXA1 ⁺ Tregs	FOXA1 could bind to PD-L1, which was essential for FOXA1 ⁺ Tregs to kill activated T cells.	Ť	[118]
	IFN-γ ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	The suppressive activity of IFN-γ ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs was inhibited through the secretion of IFN-γ stimulated by IL-12 in MS.	Ļ	[258]
	CXCR5 ⁺ PD-1 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ Tfrs	The lower frequency of circulating Tfrs was correlated to the reduction of IL-10 that might increase the severity of MS.	ſ	[265–267]
Rheumatoid arthritis	CD4 ⁺ CXCR5 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tfrs	The circulating CD4 ⁺ CXCR5 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tfrs with enhanced suppressive function could alleviate autoimmunity in RA patients by reducing IgG and IgM levels, and the proportion of Tfrs was negatively correlated with the disease severity.	¢	[242]
	TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs	TNF treatment could promote the proliferation of TNFR2 ⁺ Tregs, ameliorate inflammation by activation of TNF–TNFR2 signaling, and enhance expression of CD25.	Î	[273]
	TIM3 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	The proportion of TIM3 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs was significantly decreased in patients with RA, which could potently suppress IFN-γ and TNF-α inflammation from Teffs by producing high IL-10 and TGF-β.	Ť	[276]
Type 1 diabetes	CXCR5 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tfrs	CXCR5 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tfrs, which were needed to maintain peripheral tolerance by regulating diabetogenic Tfhs and B cells, were reduced in spleen and pancreatic lymph node of patients with T1D.	ſ	[279]

18 of 28

TABLE 2 (Continued)

MedComm

			The frequencies of Tregs of beneficial	_
Diseases	Markers	Primary functions	to the disease	References
	TIM1 ⁺ and TMI4 ⁺ Tregs	TIM1 ⁺ Tregs and TMI4 ⁺ Tregs were significantly decreased in PBMCs of patients with T1D. Inhibition of TIM1 could reduce FOXP3 expression and inhibit Tregs development, while TIM4 regulated the activation of naive T cells and proliferation of activated T cells.	ſ	[284–286]
Organ transplantation	Notch-1 ⁺ Tregs	Notch-1 ⁺ Tregs were significantly higher in patients with a kidney transplant than in healthy controls, and inhibition of Notch-1 could reduce the frequency and function of effector T cells, whereas the survival, proliferation, and suppressive function of Tregs were enhanced.	ţ	[291]
	CXCR3 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	CXCR3 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs showed the capacity to translocate to the site of inflammation and could control renal allograft rejection.	ſ	[293]
Graft-versus-host disease	PD-1 ⁺ Tregs	Low doses of IL-2 enhanced PD-1 expression of central memory Tregs in patients with cGVHD, suggesting that PD-1 pathway was a critical homeostasis and tolerance regulator for Tregs.	Î	[178]
	TIM3 ⁺ Tregs	The subtype of TIM3 ⁺ Tregs exhibited highly immunosuppressive function in GVHD.	¢	[303]
	ST2 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	IL-33 mediates the expansion of ST2 ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs via activation of p38 MAPK signaling after HSCT, which could protect against acute GVHD.	Ŷ	[307]
	LAP ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	The subset of LAP ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs possessed fully suppressive function and adoptive transfer of LAP ⁺ Tregs could delay the development of xGVHD.	↑	[308]
	CD150 ⁺ Tregs	CD150 ⁺ Tregs could secret adenosine to maintain the quiescent status of HSCs, which activated the AMPK pathway to promote energy metabolism to inhibit the GVHD and intestinal cell apoptosis secondary to HSCT.	Ť	309,310
Pregnancy	Uterine Tregs	Uterine Tregs highly expressed IL1R2, LAYN, CD80, TNFRSF4, which were considered to be very important in establishing maternal-fetal immune tolerance and indispensable for successful embryo implantation and pregnancy outcome.	Î	317
	PD-1 ^{high} IL-10 ⁺ and TIGIT ⁺ FOXP3 ^{low} Tregs	PD-1 ^{high} IL-10 ⁺ and TIGIT ⁺ FOXP3 ^{low} Tregs could significantly inhibit CD4 ⁺ T-cell proliferation.	Ŷ	318
	CD25 ^{high} HELIOS ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs	CD25 ^{high} HELIOS ⁺ FOXP3 ⁺ Tregs could simultaneously inhibit the proliferation of CD4 ⁺ and CD8 ⁺ Teffs and affect their production of certain cytokines.	Ŷ	318

MedComm

immune homeostasis requires multiple types of Tregs to perform important functions.

6 | CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

An increasing amount of evidence indicates that Tregs play significant roles in various immunological and inflammatory diseases. In this review, the functions and brief mechanisms of different subpopulations of FOXP3⁺ Tregs in diverse immune microenvironments are discussed (Tables 1, 2). In general, we consider that most subtypes of Tregs, such as TNFR2⁺, LAG3⁺, TIM3⁺, CTLA-4⁺, which possess potent suppressive functions, mainly promote tumor progression by inhibiting the proliferation and activity of effector CD4⁺ T cells and tumorkilling CD8⁺ T cells in the TME of several cancers. As a result, the strategy of depleting the suppressive Tregs can prevent tumor cells from evading immune surveillance, which may be a promising target for tumor treatment. With the rapid development of biological technology, however, researchers have also identified some subtypes of Tregs that are highly infiltrated in tumors are associated with beneficial prognosis of patients. The high frequencies of tumor-infiltrating CD30+OX40+ Tregs and BLIMP-1⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs exhibit a favorable aspect, especially to reduce the risk of recurrence, for patients with CRCs. Meanwhile, it is far from enough to understand the function of these subtypes of Tregs in the TME, and more in-depth mechanistic studies are also needed to determine whether in vitro expansion and reinfusion of these Tregs can help patients inhibit tumor progression in the future. Another noteworthy situation is that the efficacy of targeted therapy is controversial. For example, anti-PD-1 mAb therapy is not only ineffective, but aggravates tumor progression in some patients with GC. Therefore, the clinical efficacy and safety of targeted therapies still need largescale clinical trials to be further clarified. In the treatment of patients with autoimmune diseases and those requiring immunosuppression, such as patients undergoing organ transplantation, the strategy of expanding Tregs, such as IFN- γ^+ FOXP3⁺ Tregs, ST2⁺FOXP3⁺ Tregs, and CD4⁺CXCR5⁺FOXP3⁺ Tfrs, may be highly effective. These strategies will hopefully be further improved and clinically validated to, be extended to the prevention of human immunological diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality and Shanghai Shen-kang Hospital Development Center for the grant funding. We also acknowledge the contributions of BioRender that our Figures were created with BioRender.com. This study was partly supported by research grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82070672), Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (20ZR1445500, 20S31904800), and Three-year Action Plan for Clinical Skills and Clinical Innovation in Shanghai-level Hospitals (SHDC2020CR3035B).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Z.J., H.Z., P.W., and W.Q. wrote this manuscript and prepared the figure. F.D., X.L., and L.Z. devised and supervised this project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ETHICS APPROVAL

No ethical approval was required for this study.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sakaguchi S. Naturally arising CD4+ regulatory t cells for immunologic self-tolerance and negative control of immune responses. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2004;22:531-562.
- Josefowicz SZ, Lu LF, Rudensky AY. Regulatory T cells: mechanisms of differentiation and function. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2012;30:531-564.
- 3. Shevach EM. Regulatory T cells in autoimmmunity*. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2000;18:423-449.
- Sakaguchi S, Miyara M, Costantino CM, Hafler DA. FOXP3+ regulatory T cells in the human immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2010;10(7):490-500.
- Sakaguchi S. Regulatory T cells: key controllers of immunologic self-tolerance. *Cell*. 2000;101(5):455-458.
- Hu SK, Eardley DD, Cantor H, Gershon RK. Definition of two pathways for generation of suppressor T-cell activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1983;80(12):3779-3781.
- Sakaguchi S, Sakaguchi N, Asano M, Itoh M, Toda M. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by activated T cells expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune diseases. *J Immunol*. 1995;155(3):1151-1164.
- Khattri R, Cox T, Yasayko SA, Ramsdell F. An essential role for Scurfin in CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2003;4(4):337-342.
- Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S. Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. *Science*. 2003;299(5609):1057-1061.
- Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2003;4(4):330-336.

20 of 28 | MedComm

- Kim JM, Rasmussen JP, Rudensky AY. Regulatory T cells prevent catastrophic autoimmunity throughout the lifespan of mice. *Nat Immunol.* 2007;8(2):191-197.
- 12. Ziegler SF. FOXP3: of mice and men. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2006;24:209-226.
- Walker MR, Kasprowicz DJ, Gersuk VH, et al. Induction of FoxP3 and acquisition of T regulatory activity by stimulated human CD4+CD25-T cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2003;112(9):1437-1443.
- Chen W, Jin W, Hardegen N, et al. Conversion of peripheral CD4+CD25- naive T cells to CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells by TGF-beta induction of transcription factor Foxp3. *J Exp Med*. 2003;198(12):1875-1886.
- Liu W, Putnam AL, Xu-Yu Z, et al. CD127 expression inversely correlates with FoxP3 and suppressive function of human CD4+ T reg cells. *J Exp Med*. 2006;203(7):1701-1711.
- Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, et al. The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. *Nat Genet*. 2001;27(1):20-21.
- Chatila TA, Blaeser F, Ho N, et al. JM2, encoding a fork headrelated protein, is mutated in X-linked autoimmunity-allergic disregulation syndrome. *J Clin Invest*. 2000;106(12):R75-R81.
- Wildin RS, Ramsdell F, Peake J, et al. X-linked neonatal diabetes mellitus, enteropathy and endocrinopathy syndrome is the human equivalent of mouse scurfy. *Nat Genet*. 2001;27(1):18-20.
- Edinger M, Hoffmann P, Ermann J, et al. CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells preserve graft-versus-tumor activity while inhibiting graft-versus-host disease after bone marrow transplantation. *Nat Med.* 2003;9(9):1144-1150.
- Cohen JL, Trenado A, Vasey D, Klatzmann D, Salomon BL. CD4(+)CD25(+) immunoregulatory T Cells: new therapeutics for graft-versus-host disease. *J Exp Med.* 2002;196(3):401-406.
- Taylor PA, Lees CJ, Blazar BR. The infusion of ex vivo activated and expanded CD4(+)CD25(+) immune regulatory cells inhibits graft-versus-host disease lethality. *Blood*. 2002;99(10):3493-3499.
- 22. Xia G, He J, Leventhal JR. Ex vivo-expanded natural CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells synergize with host T-cell depletion to promote long-term survival of allografts. *Am J Transplant*. 2008;8(2):298-306.
- 23. Xiao F, Ma L, Zhao M, et al. Ex vivo expanded human regulatory T cells delay islet allograft rejection via inhibiting islet-derived monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 production in CD34+ stem cells-reconstituted NOD-scid IL2rgammanull mice. *Plos One*. 2014;9(3):e90387.
- 24. Bettini M, Bettini ML. Function, Failure, and the Future Potential of Tregs in Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2021;70(6):1211-1219.
- 25. Tang Q, Henriksen KJ, Bi M, et al. In vitro-expanded antigenspecific regulatory T cells suppress autoimmune diabetes. *J Exp Med.* 2004;199(11):1455-1465.
- 26. Horwitz DA. Identity of mysterious CD4+CD25-Foxp3+ cells in SLE. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2010;12(1):101.
- Crispin JC, Kyttaris VC, Terhorst C, Tsokos GC. T cells as therapeutic targets in SLE. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2010;6(6):317-325.
- Danikowski KM, Jayaraman S, Prabhakar BS. Regulatory T cells in multiple sclerosis and myasthenia gravis. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2017;14(1):117.

- Costantino CM, Baecher-Allan C, Hafler DA. Multiple sclerosis and regulatory T cells. J Clin Immunol. 2008;28(6):697-706.
- De Simone M, Arrigoni A, Rossetti G, et al. Transcriptional landscape of human tissue lymphocytes unveils uniqueness of tumor-infiltrating T regulatory cells. *Immunity*. 2016;45(5):1135-1147.
- Shimizu J, Yamazaki S, Sakaguchi S. Induction of tumor immunity by removing CD25+CD4+ T cells: a common basis between tumor immunity and autoimmunity. *J Immunol*. 1999;163(10):5211-5218.
- Esensten JH, Muller YD, Bluestone JA, Tang Q. Regulatory Tcell therapy for autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases: the next frontier. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2018;142(6):1710-1718.
- Romano M, Fanelli G, Albany CJ, Giganti G, Lombardi G. Past, present, and future of regulatory T cell therapy in transplantation and autoimmunity. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:43.
- Wang P, Jiang Z, Wang C, et al. Immune tolerance induction using cell-based strategies in liver transplantation: *Clin Perspect. Front Immunol.* 2020;11:1723.
- 35. Duggleby R, Danby RD, Madrigal JA, Saudemont A. Clinical grade regulatory CD4(+) T cells (Tregs): moving toward cellular-based immunomodulatory therapies. *Front Immunol.* 2018;9:252.
- Bluestone JA, Tang Q. Treg cells-the next frontier of cell therapy. *Science*. 2018;362(6411):154-155.
- 37. Tang Q, Vincenti F. Transplant trials with Tregs: perils and promises. *J Clin Invest*. 2017;127(7):2505-2512.
- Bluestone JA, Buckner JH, Fitch M, et al. Type 1 diabetes immunotherapy using polyclonal regulatory T cells. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7(315):189r-315r.
- Selck C, Dominguez-Villar M. Antigen-specific regulatory T cell therapy in autoimmune diseases and transplantation. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:661875.
- Serr I, Drost F, Schubert B, Daniel C. Antigen-specific Treg therapy in type 1 diabetes—challenges and opportunities. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:712870.
- Ferreira L, Muller YD, Bluestone JA, Tang Q. Next-generation regulatory T cell therapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2019;18(10):749-769.
- Fritsche E, Volk HD, Reinke P, Abou-El-Enein M. Toward an optimized process for clinical manufacturing of CAR-Treg cell therapy. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2020;38(10):1099-1112.
- Arjomandnejad M, Kopec AL, Keeler AM. CAR-T regulatory (CAR-Treg) cells: engineering and applications. *Biomedicines*. 2022;10(2):287.
- Beheshti SA, Shamsasenjan K, Ahmadi M, Abbasi B. CAR Treg: A new approach in the treatment of autoimmune diseases. *Int Immunopharmacol*. 2022;102:108409.
- Sicard A, Lamarche C, Speck M, et al. Donor-specific chimeric antigen receptor Tregs limit rejection in naive but not sensitized allograft recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2020;20(6):1562-1573.
- Zhang L, Yu X, Zheng L, et al. Lineage tracking reveals dynamic relationships of T cells in colorectal cancer. *Nature*. 2018;564(7735):268-272.
- Zheng C, Zheng L, Yoo JK, et al. Landscape of infiltrating T cells in liver cancer revealed by single-cell sequencing. *Cell*. 2017;169(7):1342-1356.

MedComm

- Sun Y, Wu L, Zhong Y, et al. Single-cell landscape of the ecosystem in early-relapse hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell*. 2021;184(2):404-421.
- Wing JB, Tanaka A, Sakaguchi S. Human FOXP3(+) regulatory T cell heterogeneity and function in autoimmunity and cancer. *Immunity*. 2019;50(2):302-316.
- Miragaia RJ, Gomes T, Chomka A, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of regulatory T cells reveals trajectories of tissue adaptation. *Immunity*. 2019;50(2):493-504.
- Lucca LE, Dominguez-Villar M. Modulation of regulatory T cell function and stability by co-inhibitory receptors. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2020;20(11):680-693.
- 52. Tanaka A, Sakaguchi S. Regulatory T cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Cell Res.* 2017;27(1):109-118.
- 53. Sakaguchi S, Mikami N, Wing JB, et al. Regulatory T cells and human disease. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2020;38:541-566.
- Miyara M, Yoshioka Y, Kitoh A, et al. Functional delineation and differentiation dynamics of human CD4+ T cells expressing the FoxP3 transcription factor. *Immunity*. 2009;30(6):899-911.
- 55. Frey DM, Droeser RA, Viehl CT, et al. High frequency of tumorinfiltrating FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells predicts improved survival in mismatch repair-proficient colorectal cancer patients. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;126(11):2635-2643.
- Sinicrope FA, Rego RL, Ansell SM, et al. Intraepithelial effector (CD3+)/regulatory (FoxP3+) T-cell ratio predicts a clinical outcome of human colon carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2009;137(4):1270-1279.
- DeLeeuw RJ, Kost SE, Kakal JA, Nelson BH. The prognostic value of FoxP3+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in cancer: a critical review of the literature. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;18(11):3022-3029.
- Salama P, Phillips M, Grieu F, et al. Tumor-infiltrating FOXP3+ T regulatory cells show strong prognostic significance in colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(2):186-192.
- Saito T, Nishikawa H, Wada H, et al. Two FOXP3(+)CD4(+) T cell subpopulations distinctly control the prognosis of colorectal cancers. *Nat Med.* 2016;22(6):679-684.
- Sun B, Liu M, Cui M, Li T. Granzyme B-expressing Treg cells are enriched in colorectal cancer and present the potential to eliminate autologous T conventional cells. *Immunol Lett.* 2020;217:7-14.
- Gondek DC, Lu LF, Quezada SA, Sakaguchi S, Noelle RJ. Cutting edge: contact-mediated suppression by CD4+CD25+ regulatory cells involves a granzyme B-dependent, perforinindependent mechanism. J Immunol. 2005;174(4):1783-1786.
- 62. Cao X, Cai SF, Fehniger TA, et al. Granzyme B and perforin are important for regulatory T cell-mediated suppression of tumor clearance. *Immunity*. 2007;27(4):635-646.
- 63. Cullen SP, Brunet M, Martin SJ. Granzymes in cancer and immunity. *Cell Death Differ*. 2010;17(4):616-623.
- 64. Gondek DC, Devries V, Nowak EC, et al. Transplantation survival is maintained by granzyme B+ regulatory cells and adaptive regulatory T cells. *J Immunol.* 2008;181(7):4752-4760.
- Gautron AS, Dominguez-Villar M, de Marcken M, Hafler DA. Enhanced suppressor function of TIM-3+ FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. *Eur J Immunol*. 2014;44(9):2703-2711.

- Sula KE, Eskandari SK, Dotiwala F, et al. Human regulatory T cells undergo self-inflicted damage via granzyme pathways upon activation. *JCI Insight*. 2017;2(21):e91599.
- 67. Sakuishi K, Ngiow SF, Sullivan JM, et al. TIM3(+)FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells are tissue-specific promoters of T-cell dys-function in cancer. *Oncoimmunology*. 2013;2(4):e23849.
- Ma Q, Liu J, Wu G, et al. Co-expression of LAG3 and TIM3 identifies a potent Treg population that suppresses macrophage functions in colorectal cancer patients. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*. 2018;45(10):1002-1009.
- 69. Roncador G, Brown PJ, Maestre L, et al. Analysis of FOXP3 protein expression in human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells at the single-cell level. *Eur J Immunol.* 2005;35(6):1681-1691.
- Zhang Q, Chikina M, Szymczak-Workman AL, et al. LAG3 limits regulatory T cell proliferation and function in autoimmune diabetes. *Sci Immunol.* 2017;2(9):h4569.
- Whiteside SK, Grant FM, Gyori DS, et al. CCR8 marks highly suppressive Treg cells within tumours but is dispensable for their accumulation and suppressive function. *Immunology*. 2021;163(4):512-520.
- 72. Freeman CM, Chiu BC, Stolberg VR, et al. CCR8 is expressed by antigen-elicited, IL-10-producing CD4+CD25+ T cells, which regulate Th2-mediated granuloma formation in mice. J Immunol. 2005;174(4):1962-1970.
- Soler D, Chapman TR, Poisson LR, et al. CCR8 expression identifies CD4 memory T cells enriched for FOXP3+ regulatory and Th2 effector lymphocytes. *J Immunol.* 2006;177(10):6940-6951.
- D'Ambrosio D, Iellem A, Bonecchi R, et al. Selective upregulation of chemokine receptors CCR4 and CCR8 upon activation of polarized human type 2 Th cells. *J Immunol.* 1998;161(10):5111-5115.
- Zingoni A, Soto H, Hedrick JA, et al. The chemokine receptor CCR8 is preferentially expressed in Th2 but not Th1 cells. *J Immunol.* 1998;161(2):547-551.
- Barsheshet Y, Wildbaum G, Levy E, et al. CCR8(+)FOXp3(+) Treg cells as master drivers of immune regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017;114(23):6086-6091.
- Villarreal DO, L'Huillier A, Armington S, et al. Targeting CCR8 induces protective antitumor immunity and enhances vaccine-induced responses in colon cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2018;78(18):5340-5348.
- Plitas G, Konopacki C, Wu K, et al. Regulatory T cells exhibit distinct features in human breast cancer. *Immunity*. 2016;45(5):1122-1134.
- Cretney E, Xin A, Shi W, et al. The transcription factors Blimp-1 and IRF4 jointly control the differentiation and function of effector regulatory T cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2011;12(4):304-311.
- Ward-Hartstonge KA, McCall JL, McCulloch TR, et al. Inclusion of BLIMP-1(+) effector regulatory T cells improves the Immunoscore in a cohort of New Zealand colorectal cancer patients: a pilot study. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2017;66(4):515-522.
- Bai J, Ding B, Li H. Targeting TNFR2 in cancer: all roads lead to Rome. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:844931.
- Urbano P, He X, van Heeswijk B, et al. TNFalpha-signaling modulates the kinase activity of human effector Treg and regulates IL-17A expression. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:3047.
- 83. Urbano P, Aguirre-Gamboa R, Ashikov A, et al. TNF-alphainduced protein 3 (TNFAIP3)/A20 acts as a master switch in

TNF-alpha blockade-driven IL-17A expression. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2018;142(2):517-529.

- Vanamee ES, Faustman DL. TNFR2: a novel target for cancer immunotherapy. *Trends Mol Med*. 2017;23(11):1037-1046.
- He J, Li R, Chen Y, Hu Y, Chen X. TNFR2-expressing CD4(+)Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci.* 2019;164:101-117.
- Zaragoza B, Chen X, Oppenheim JJ, et al. Suppressive activity of human regulatory T cells is maintained in the presence of TNF. *Nat Med.* 2016;22(1):16-17.
- Leclerc M, Naserian S, Pilon C, et al. Control of GVHD by regulatory T cells depends on TNF produced by T cells and TNFR2 expressed by regulatory T cells. *Blood*. 2016;128(12):1651-1659.
- 88. Nie Y, He J, Shirota H, et al. Blockade of TNFR2 signaling enhances the immunotherapeutic effect of CpG ODN in a mouse model of colon cancer. *Sci Signal*. 2018;11(511):n790.
- 89. Fu Y, Lin Q, Zhang Z, Zhang L. Therapeutic strategies for the costimulatory molecule OX40 in T-cell-mediated immunity. *Acta Pharm Sin B*. 2020;10(3):414-433.
- Vu MD, Xiao X, Gao W, et al. OX40 costimulation turns off Foxp3+ Tregs. *Blood*. 2007;110(7):2501-2510.
- 91. Zhang X, Xiao X, Lan P, et al. OX40 costimulation inhibits Foxp3 expression and Treg induction via BATF3-dependent and independent mechanisms. *Cell Rep.* 2018;24(3):607-618.
- Yan LH, Liu XL, Mo SS, et al. OX40 as a novel target for the reversal of immune escape in colorectal cancer. *Am J Transl Res.* 2021;13(3):923-934.
- Lam JH, Hong M, Koo SL, et al. CD30(+)OX40(+) Treg is associated with improved overall survival in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2021;70(8):2353-2365.
- 94. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2021. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2021;71(1):7-33.
- Herbst RS, Heymach JV, Lippman SM. Lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(13):1367-1380.
- 96. Wang W, Hodkinson P, McLaren F, et al. Small cell lung cancer tumour cells induce regulatory T lymphocytes, and patient survival correlates negatively with FOXP3+ cells in tumour infiltrate. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;131(6):E928-E937.
- 97. Shimizu K, Nakata M, Hirami Y, et al. Tumor-infiltrating Foxp3+ regulatory T cells are correlated with cyclooxygenase-2 expression and are associated with recurrence in resected nonsmall cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2010;5(5):585-590.
- Erfani N, Mehrabadi SM, Ghayumi MA, et al. Increase of regulatory T cells in metastatic stage and CTLA-4 over expression in lymphocytes of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Lung Cancer*. 2012;77(2):306-311.
- Petersen RP, Campa MJ, Sperlazza J, et al. Tumor infiltrating Foxp3+ regulatory T-cells are associated with recurrence in pathologic stage I NSCLC patients. *Cancer-Am Cancer Soc.* 2006;107(12):2866-2872.
- 100. Koyama K, Kagamu H, Miura S, et al. Reciprocal CD4+ T-cell balance of effector CD62Llow CD4+ and CD62LhighCD25+ CD4+ regulatory T cells in small cell lung cancer reflects disease stage. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14(21):6770-6779.
- Amadi-Obi A, Yu CR, Liu X, et al. TH17 cells contribute to uveitis and scleritis and are expanded by IL-2 and inhibited by IL-27/STAT1. *Nat Med.* 2007;13(6):711-718.
- Bettelli E, Oukka M, Kuchroo VK. T(H)-17 cells in the circle of immunity and autoimmunity. *Nat Immunol*. 2007;8(4):345-350.

- 103. Lohr J, Knoechel B, Wang JJ, Villarino AV, Abbas AK. Role of IL-17 and regulatory T lymphocytes in a systemic autoimmune disease. J Exp Med. 2006;203(13):2785-2791.
- 104. Vaknin-Dembinsky A, Balashov K, Weiner HL. IL-23 is increased in dendritic cells in multiple sclerosis and downregulation of IL-23 by antisense oligos increases dendritic cell IL-10 production. *J Immunol.* 2006;176(12):7768-7774.
- 105. Nakae S, Nambu A, Sudo K, Iwakura Y. Suppression of immune induction of collagen-induced arthritis in IL-17-deficient mice. *J Immunol.* 2003;171(11):6173-6177.
- 106. Liang J, Tian C, Zeng Y, et al. FOXA1(+) regulatory T cells: A novel T cell subset that suppresses antitumor immunity in lung cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2019;514(1):308-315.
- 107. Wang R, Wan Q, Kozhaya L, Fujii H, Unutmaz D. Identification of a regulatory T cell specific cell surface molecule that mediates suppressive signals and induces Foxp3 expression. *Plos One*. 2008;3(7):e2705.
- 108. Stockis J, Colau D, Coulie PG, Lucas S. Membrane protein GARP is a receptor for latent TGF-beta on the surface of activated human Treg. *Eur J Immunol.* 2009;39(12):3315-3322.
- 109. Tran DQ, Andersson J, Wang R, et al. GARP (LRRC32) is essential for the surface expression of latent TGF-beta on platelets and activated FOXP3+ regulatory T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A. 2009;106(32):13445-13450.
- 110. Sun L, Jin H, Li H. GARP: a surface molecule of regulatory T cells that is involved in the regulatory function and TGF-beta releasing. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(27):42826-42836.
- 111. Probst-Kepper M, Geffers R, Kroger A, et al. GARP: a key receptor controlling FOXP3 in human regulatory T cells. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2009;13(9B):3343-3357.
- 112. Jin H, Sun L, Tang L, Yu W, Li H. Expression of GARP is increased in tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells and is correlated to clinicopathology of lung cancer patients. *Front Immunol.* 2017;8:138.
- 113. Hurtado A, Holmes KA, Ross-Innes CS, Schmidt D, Carroll JS. FOXA1 is a key determinant of estrogen receptor function and endocrine response. *Nat Genet*. 2011;43(1):27-33.
- 114. Taube JH, Allton K, Duncan SA, Shen L, Barton MC. Foxal functions as a pioneer transcription factor at transposable elements to activate Afp during differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2010;285(21):16135-16144.
- 115. Laganiere J, Deblois G, Lefebvre C, et al. From the cover: location analysis of estrogen receptor alpha target promoters reveals that FOXA1 defines a domain of the estrogen response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005;102(33):11651-11656.
- 116. Li Z, White P, Tuteja G, et al. Foxa1 and Foxa2 regulate bile duct development in mice. J Clin Invest. 2009;119(6):1537-1545.
- 117. Motallebipour M, Ameur A, Reddy BM, et al. Differential binding and co-binding pattern of FOXA1 and FOXA3 and their relation to H3K4me3 in HepG2 cells revealed by ChIP-seq. *Genome Biol.* 2009;10(11):R129.
- 118. Liu Y, Carlsson R, Comabella M, et al. FoxA1 directs the lineage and immunosuppressive properties of a novel regulatory T cell population in EAE and MS. *Nat Med.* 2014;20(3):272-282.
- 119. Sugimoto N, Oida T, Hirota K, et al. Foxp3-dependent and independent molecules specific for CD25+CD4+ natural regulatory T cells revealed by DNA microarray analysis. *Int Immunol.* 2006;18(8):1197-1209.

- 120. Hill JA, Feuerer M, Tash K, et al. Foxp3 transcription-factordependent and -independent regulation of the regulatory T cell transcriptional signature. *Immunity*. 2007;27(5):786-800.
- 121. Sugita K, Hanakawa S, Honda T, et al. Generation of Helios reporter mice and an evaluation of the suppressive capacity of Helios(+) regulatory T cells in vitro. *Exp Dermatol.* 2015;24(7):554-556.
- 122. Thornton AM, Korty PE, Tran DQ, et al. Expression of Helios, an Ikaros transcription factor family member, differentiates thymic-derived from peripherally induced Foxp3+ T regulatory cells. *J Immunol.* 2010;184(7):3433-3441.
- 123. Petzold C, Steinbronn N, Gereke M, et al. Fluorochrome-based definition of naturally occurring Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells of intra- and extrathymic origin. *Eur J Immunol*. 2014;44(12):3632-3645.
- 124. Muto S, Owada Y, Inoue T, et al. Clinical significance of expanded Foxp3(+) Helios(-) regulatory T cells in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2015;47(6):2082-2090.
- 125. Guo X, Zhang Y, Zheng L, et al. Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing. *Nat Med.* 2018;24(7):978-985.
- 126. Bacher P, Heinrich F, Stervbo U, et al. Regulatory T cell specificity directs tolerance versus allergy against aeroantigens in humans. *Cell*. 2016;167(4):1067-1078.
- 127. Grinberg-Bleyer Y, Oh H, Desrichard A, et al. NF-kappaB c-Rel is crucial for the regulatory T cell immune checkpoint in cancer. *Cell*. 2017;170(6):1096-1108.
- 128. Yan F, Du R, Wei F, et al. Expression of TNFR2 by regulatory T cells in peripheral blood is correlated with clinical pathology of lung cancer patients. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2015;64(11):1475-1485.
- 129. Van Damme H, Dombrecht B, Kiss M, et al. Therapeutic depletion of CCR8(+) tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells elicits antitumor immunity and synergizes with anti-PD-1 therapy. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2021;9(2):e1749.
- 130. Wong R, Tan TK, Amanda S, et al. Feed-forward regulatory loop driven by IRF4 and NF-kappaB in adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma. *Blood.* 2020;135(12):934-947.
- Levine AG, Arvey A, Jin W, Rudensky AY. Continuous requirement for the TCR in regulatory T cell function. *Nat Immunol.* 2014;15(11):1070-1078.
- 132. Kim HJ, Barnitz RA, Kreslavsky T, et al. Stable inhibitory activity of regulatory T cells requires the transcription factor Helios. *Science*. 2015;350(6258):334-339.
- 133. Alvisi G, Brummelman J, Puccio S, et al. IRF4 instructs effector Treg differentiation and immune suppression in human cancer. *J Clin Invest*. 2020;130(6):3137-3150.
- 134. Yano H, Andrews LP, Workman CJ, Vignali D. Intratumoral regulatory T cells: markers, subsets and their impact on antitumor immunity. *Immunology*. 2019;157(3):232-247.
- 135. Maj T, Wang W, Crespo J, et al. Oxidative stress controls regulatory T cell apoptosis and suppressor activity and PD-L1blockade resistance in tumor. *Nat Immunol.* 2017;18(12):1332-1341.
- 136. Zhang R, Xu K, Shao Y, et al. Tissue Treg secretomes and transcription factors shared with stem cells contribute to a Treg niche to maintain Treg-Ness with 80% innate immune pathways, and functions of immunosuppression and tissue repair. *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:632239.

- 137. Vignali DA, Collison LW, Workman CJ. How regulatory T cells work. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2008;8(7):523-532.
- Sawant DV, Yano H, Chikina M, et al. Adaptive plasticity of IL-10(+) and IL-35(+) Treg cells cooperatively promotes tumor T cell exhaustion. *Nat Immunol.* 2019;20(6):724-735.
- Demetris AJ, Bellamy CO, Gandhi CR, et al. Functional immune anatomy of the liver-as an allograft. *Am J Transplant*. 2016;16(6):1653-1680.
- 140. Tiegs G, Lohse AW. Immune tolerance: what is unique about the liver. *J Autoimmun*. 2010;34(1):1-6.
- 141. Borowsky ML, Hynes RO. Layilin, a novel talin-binding transmembrane protein homologous with C-type lectins, is localized in membrane ruffles. *J Cell Biol*. 1998;143(2):429-442.
- Bhairavabhotla R, Kim YC, Glass DD, et al. Transcriptome profiling of human FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. *Hum Immunol*. 2016;77(2):201-213.
- 143. Kalathil S, Lugade AA, Miller A, Iyer R, Thanavala Y. Higher frequencies of GARP(+)CTLA-4(+)Foxp3(+) T regulatory cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma patients are associated with impaired T-cell functionality. *Cancer Res.* 2013;73(8):2435-2444.
- 144. Han Y, Yang Y, Chen Z, et al. Human hepatocellular carcinoma-infiltrating CD4(+)CD69(+)Foxp3(-) regulatory T cell suppresses T cell response via membrane-bound TGFbetal. J Mol Med (Berl). 2014;92(5):539-550.
- 145. Han Y, Guo Q, Zhang M, Chen Z, Cao X. CD69+ CD4+ CD25-T cells, a new subset of regulatory T cells, suppress T cell proliferation through membrane-bound TGF-beta 1. *J Immunol*. 2009;182(1):111-120.
- 146. Li H, Han Y, Guo Q, Zhang M, Cao X. Cancer-expanded myeloid-derived suppressor cells induce anergy of NK cells through membrane-bound TGF-beta 1. *J Immunol.* 2009;182(1):240-249.
- 147. Pedroza-Gonzalez A, Verhoef C, Ijzermans JN, et al. Activated tumor-infiltrating CD4+ regulatory T cells restrain antitumor immunity in patients with primary or metastatic liver cancer. *Hepatology*. 2013;57(1):183-194.
- 148. Nocentini G, Giunchi L, Ronchetti S, et al. A new member of the tumor necrosis factor/nerve growth factor receptor family inhibits T cell receptor-induced apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A. 1997;94(12):6216-6221.
- 149. Kwon B, Yu KY, Ni J, et al. Identification of a novel activation-inducible protein of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily and its ligand. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274(10):6056-6061.
- 150. Gurney AL, Marsters SA, Huang RM, et al. Identification of a new member of the tumor necrosis factor family and its receptor, a human ortholog of mouse GITR. *Curr Biol.* 1999;9(4):215-218.
- 151. Shimizu J, Yamazaki S, Takahashi T, Ishida Y, Sakaguchi S. Stimulation of CD25(+)CD4(+) regulatory T cells through GITR breaks immunological self-tolerance. *Nat Immunol.* 2002;3(2):135-142.
- 152. Calmels B, Paul S, Futin N, et al. Bypassing tumor-associated immune suppression with recombinant adenovirus constructs expressing membrane bound or secreted GITR-L. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2005;12(2):198-205.
- 153. Ji HB, Liao G, Faubion WA, et al. Cutting edge: the natural ligand for glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor-related

24 of 28 | MedComm

protein abrogates regulatory T cell suppression. *J Immunol*. 2004;172(10):5823-5827.

- 154. Tu JF, Ding YH, Ying XH, et al. Regulatory T cells, especially ICOS(+) FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells, are increased in the hepatocellular carcinoma microenvironment and predict reduced survival. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:35056.
- 155. Gogali F, Paterakis G, Rassidakis GZ, et al. Phenotypical analysis of lymphocytes with suppressive and regulatory properties (Tregs) and NK cells in the papillary carcinoma of thyroid. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97(5):1474-1482.
- 156. Huang XM, Liu XS, Lin XK, et al. Role of plasmacytoid dendritic cells and inducible costimulator-positive regulatory T cells in the immunosuppression microenvironment of gastric cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2014;105(2):150-158.
- 157. Yu H, Huang X, Liu X, et al. Regulatory T cells and plasmacytoid dendritic cells contribute to the immune escape of papillary thyroid cancer coexisting with multinodular non-toxic goiter. *Endocrine*. 2013;44(1):172-181.
- 158. Strauss L, Bergmann C, Szczepanski MJ, et al. Expression of ICOS on human melanoma-infiltrating CD4+CD25highFoxp3+ T regulatory cells: implications and impact on tumor-mediated immune suppression. J Immunol. 2008;180(5):2967-2980.
- 159. Wu Q, Zhou W, Yin S, et al. Blocking triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1-positive tumor-associated macrophages induced by hypoxia reverses immunosuppression and anti-programmed cell death ligand 1 resistance in liver cancer. *Hepatology*. 2019;70(1):198-214.
- 160. Gao Y, You M, Fu J, et al. Intratumoral stem-like CCR4+ regulatory T cells orchestrate the immunosuppressive microenvironment in HCC associated with hepatitis B. J Hepatol. 2022;76(1):148-159.
- 161. Curiel TJ, Coukos G, Zou L, et al. Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. *Nat Med.* 2004;10(9):942-949.
- 162. Gatenby RA, Gillies RJ. Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2004;4(11):891-899.
- 163. Ho PC, Kaech SM. Reenergizing T cell anti-tumor immunity by harnessing immunometabolic checkpoints and machineries. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2017;46:38-44.
- 164. Warburg O. On the origin of cancer cells. *Science*. 1956;123(3191):309-314.
- 165. Kumagai S, Koyama S, Itahashi K, et al. Lactic acid promotes PD-1 expression in regulatory T cells in highly glycolytic tumor microenvironments. *Cancer Cell*. 2022;40(2):201-218.
- 166. San-Millan I, Brooks GA. Reexamining cancer metabolism: lactate production for carcinogenesis could be the purpose and explanation of the Warburg Effect. *Carcinogenesis*. 2017;38(2):119-133.
- 167. Chen YJ, Mahieu NG, Huang X, et al. Lactate metabolism is associated with mammalian mitochondria. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2016;12(11):937-943.
- Watson MJ, Vignali P, Mullett SJ, et al. Metabolic support of tumour-infiltrating regulatory T cells by lactic acid. *Nature*. 2021;591(7851):645-651.
- Muller MR, Rao A. NFAT, immunity and cancer: a transcription factor comes of age. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2010;10(9):645-656.
- 170. Wang G, Tajima M, Honjo T, Ohta A. STAT5 interferes with PD-1 transcriptional activation and affects CD8+ T-cell sen-

sitivity to PD-1-dependent immunoregulation. *Int Immunol.* 2021;33(11):563-572.

- 171. Iyer RV, Maguire O, Kim M, et al. Dose-dependent sorafenibinduced immunosuppression is associated with aberrant NFAT activation and expression of PD-1 in T cells. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2019;11(5):681.
- 172. Oestreich KJ, Yoon H, Ahmed R, Boss JM. NFATc1 regulates PD-1 expression upon T cell activation. *J Immunol*. 2008;181(7):4832-4839.
- 173. Zou W, Wolchok JD, Chen L. PD-L1 (B7-H1) and PD-1 pathway blockade for cancer therapy: mechanisms, response biomarkers, and combinations. *Sci Transl Med*. 2016;8(328):324r-328r.
- 174. Pardoll DM. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012;12(4):252-264.
- 175. Zhang B, Chikuma S, Hori S, Fagarasan S, Honjo T. Nonoverlapping roles of PD-1 and FoxP3 in maintaining immune tolerance in a novel autoimmune pancreatitis mouse model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016;113(30):8490-8495.
- 176. Stathopoulou C, Gangaplara A, Mallett G, et al. PD-1 inhibitory receptor downregulates asparaginyl endopeptidase and maintains Foxp3 transcription factor stability in induced regulatory T cells. *Immunity*. 2018;49(2):247-263.
- 177. Park HJ, Park JS, Jeong YH, et al. PD-1 upregulated on regulatory T cells during chronic virus infection enhances the suppression of CD8+ T cell immune response via the interaction with PD-L1 expressed on CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol*. 2015;194(12):5801-5811.
- Asano T, Meguri Y, Yoshioka T, et al. PD-1 modulates regulatory T-cell homeostasis during low-dose interleukin-2 therapy. *Blood.* 2017;129(15):2186-2197.
- 179. Kamada T, Togashi Y, Tay C, et al. PD-1(+) regulatory T cells amplified by PD-1 blockade promote hyperprogression of cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2019;116(20):9999-10008.
- Champiat S, Dercle L, Ammari S, et al. Hyperprogressive disease is a new pattern of progression in cancer patients treated by anti-PD-1/PD-L1. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(8):1920-1928.
- 181. Kato S, Goodman A, Walavalkar V, et al. Hyperprogressors after immunotherapy: analysis of genomic alterations associated with accelerated growth rate. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(15):4242-4250.
- 182. Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, et al. Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(26):2443-2454.
- Brahmer JR, Tykodi SS, Chow LQ, et al. Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. *N Engl* J Med. 2012;366(26):2455-2465.
- 184. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10027):1540-1550.
- Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(2):123-135.
- 186. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(17):1627-1639.
- 187. Bellmunt J, de Wit R, Vaughn DJ, et al. Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma. *N Engl* J Med. 2017;376(11):1015-1026.

- 188. Ohm JE, Carbone DP. VEGF as a mediator of tumor-associated immunodeficiency. *Immunol Res.* 2001;23(2-3):263-272.
- 189. Ferrara N, Gerber HP, LeCouter J. The biology of VEGF and its receptors. *Nat Med.* 2003;9(6):669-676.
- 190. Cross MJ, Dixelius J, Matsumoto T, Claesson-Welsh L. VEGF-receptor signal transduction. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2003;28(9):488-494.
- 191. Suzuki H, Onishi H, Wada J, et al. VEGFR2 is selectively expressed by FOXP3high CD4+ Treg. Eur J Immunol. 2010;40(1):197-203.
- 192. Tada Y, Togashi Y, Kotani D, et al. Targeting VEGFR2 with Ramucirumab strongly impacts effector/activated regulatory T cells and CD8(+) T cells in the tumor microenvironment. J Immunother Cancer. 2018;6(1):106.
- Jayson GC, Kerbel R, Ellis LM, Harris AL. Antiangiogenic therapy in oncology: current status and future directions. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10043):518-529.
- 194. Wilke H, Muro K, Van Cutsem E, et al. Ramucirumab plus paclitaxel versus placebo plus paclitaxel in patients with previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINBOW): a double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15(11):1224-1235.
- 195. Petrylak DP, de Wit R, Chi KN, et al. Ramucirumab plus docetaxel versus placebo plus docetaxel in patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma after platinumbased therapy (RANGE): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10109):2266-2277.
- 196. Korbecki J, Grochans S, Gutowska I, Barczak K, Baranowska-Bosiacka I. CC chemokines in a tumor: a review of procancer and anti-cancer properties of receptors CCR5, CCR6, CCR7, CCR8, CCR9, and CCR10 ligands. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21(20):7619.
- 197. Correale P, Rotundo MS, Botta C, et al. Tumor infiltration by T lymphocytes expressing chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7) is predictive of favorable outcome in patients with advanced colorectal carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;18(3):850-857.
- 198. Mao FY, Kong H, Zhao YL, et al. Increased tumor-infiltrating CD45RA(-)CCR7(-) regulatory T-cell subset with immunosuppressive properties foster gastric cancer progress. *Cell Death Dis.* 2017;8(8):e3002.
- 199. Qu Y, Wang X, Bai S, et al. The effects of TNF-alpha/TNFR2 in regulatory T cells on the microenvironment and progression of gastric cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2022;150(8):1373-1391.
- Wang L, Simons DL, Lu X, et al. Connecting blood and intratumoral Treg cell activity in predicting future relapse in breast cancer. *Nat Immunol.* 2019;20(9):1220-1230.
- 201. Bruder D, Probst-Kepper M, Westendorf AM, et al. Neuropilin1: a surface marker of regulatory T cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 2004;34(3):623-630.
- Delgado M, Chorny A, Gonzalez-Rey E, Ganea D. Vasoactive intestinal peptide generates CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in vivo. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2005;78(6):1327-1338.
- 203. Milpied P, Renand A, Bruneau J, et al. Neuropilin-1 is not a marker of human Foxp3+ Treg. Eur J Immunol. 2009;39(6):1466-1471.
- 204. Leclerc M, Voilin E, Gros G, et al. Regulation of antitumour CD8 T-cell immunity and checkpoint blockade immunotherapy by Neuropilin-1. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):3345.

- 205. Battaglia A, Buzzonetti A, Monego G, et al. Neuropilin-1 expression identifies a subset of regulatory T cells in human lymph nodes that is modulated by preoperative chemoradiation therapy in cervical cancer. *Immunology*. 2008;123(1):129-138.
- 206. Overacre-Delgoffe AE, Chikina M, Dadey RE, et al. Interferongamma drives Treg fragility to promote anti-tumor immunity. *Cell*. 2017;169(6):1130-1141.
- 207. Bell RB, Leidner RS, Crittenden MR, et al. OX40 signaling in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: overcoming immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment. Oral Oncol. 2016;52:1-10.
- 208. Lai C, August S, Albibas A, et al. OX40+ regulatory T cells in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma suppress effector T-cell responses and associate with metastatic potential. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2016;22(16):4236-4248.
- 209. Hamidinia M, Ghafourian BM, Talaiezadeh A, et al. Concomitant increase of OX40 and FOXP3 transcripts in peripheral blood of patients with breast cancer. *Iran J Immunol.* 2013;10(1):22-30.
- 210. Govindaraj C, Scalzo-Inguanti K, Madondo M, et al. Impaired Th1 immunity in ovarian cancer patients is mediated by TNFR2+ Tregs within the tumor microenvironment. *Clin Immunol.* 2013;149(1):97-110.
- 211. Zhang T, Jiao J, Jiao X, et al. Aberrant frequency of TNFR2(+) Treg and related cytokines in patients with CIN and cervical cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9(4):5073-5083.
- Kurschus FC, Croxford AL, Heinen AP, et al. Genetic proof for the transient nature of the Th17 phenotype. *Eur J Immunol*. 2010;40(12):3336-3346.
- 213. Lee YK, Turner H, Maynard CL, et al. Late developmental plasticity in the T helper 17 lineage. *Immunity*. 2009;30(1):92-107.
- 214. Shi G, Cox CA, Vistica BP, et al. Phenotype switching by inflammation-inducing polarized Th17 cells, but not by Th1 cells. *J Immunol.* 2008;181(10):7205-7213.
- 215. Annunziato F, Cosmi L, Santarlasci V, et al. Phenotypic and functional features of human Th17 cells. *J Exp Med.* 2007;204(8):1849-1861.
- Downs-Canner S, Berkey S, Delgoffe GM, et al. Suppressive IL-17A(+)Foxp3(+) and ex-Th17 IL-17A(neg)Foxp3(+) Treg cells are a source of tumour-associated Treg cells. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:14649.
- 217. Korn T, Bettelli E, Oukka M, Kuchroo VK. IL-17 and Th17 cells. Annu Rev Immunol. 2009;27:485-517.
- Shevach EM, Thornton AM. tTregs, pTregs, and iTregs: similarities and differences. *Immunol Rev.* 2014;259(1):88-102.
- Yang XO, Nurieva R, Martinez GJ, et al. Molecular antagonism and plasticity of regulatory and inflammatory T cell programs. *Immunity*. 2008;29(1):44-56.
- 220. Yu X, Harden K, Gonzalez LC, et al. The surface protein TIGIT suppresses T cell activation by promoting the generation of mature immunoregulatory dendritic cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2009;10(1):48-57.
- 221. Stanietsky N, Simic H, Arapovic J, et al. The interaction of TIGIT with PVR and PVRL2 inhibits human NK cell cytotoxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009;106(42):17858-17863.
- 222. Joller N, Lozano E, Burkett PR, et al. Treg cells expressing the coinhibitory molecule TIGIT selectively inhibit proinflammatory Th1 and Th17 cell responses. *Immunity*. 2014;40(4):569-581.

26 of 28 | MedComm

- 223. Shibuya A, Campbell D, Hannum C, et al. DNAM-1, a novel adhesion molecule involved in the cytolytic function of T lymphocytes. *Immunity*. 1996;4(6):573-581.
- 224. Bottino C, Castriconi R, Pende D, et al. Identification of PVR (CD155) and Nectin-2 (CD112) as cell surface ligands for the human DNAM-1 (CD226) activating molecule. *J Exp Med*. 2003;198(4):557-567.
- 225. Tahara-Hanaoka S, Shibuya K, Onoda Y, et al. Functional characterization of DNAM-1 (CD226) interaction with its ligands PVR (CD155) and nectin-2 (PRR-2/CD112). *Int Immunol.* 2004;16(4):533-538.
- 226. Shibuya K, Shirakawa J, Kameyama T, et al. CD226 (DNAM-1) is involved in lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 costimulatory signal for naive T cell differentiation and proliferation. *J Exp Med.* 2003;198(12):1829-1839.
- 227. Joller N, Hafler JP, Brynedal B, et al. Cutting edge: TIGIT has T cell-intrinsic inhibitory functions. *J Immunol.* 2011;186(3):1338-1342.
- 228. Fourcade J, Sun Z, Chauvin JM, et al. CD226 opposes TIGIT to disrupt Tregs in melanoma. *JCI Insight*. 2018;3(14).
- 229. Wu K, Zeng J, Shi X, et al. Targeting TIGIT inhibits bladder cancer metastasis through suppressing IL-32. *Front Pharmacol.* 2021;12:801493.
- 230. Yang ZZ, Kim HJ, Wu H, et al. TIGIT expression is associated with T-cell suppression and exhaustion and predicts clinical outcome and anti-PD-1 response in follicular lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2020;26(19):5217-5231.
- 231. Wu L, Mao L, Liu JF, et al. Blockade of TIGIT/CD155 signaling reverses T-cell exhaustion and enhances antitumor capability in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2019;7(10):1700-1713.
- 232. Manieri NA, Chiang EY, Grogan JL. TIGIT: a key inhibitor of the cancer immunity cycle. *Trends Immunol.* 2017;38(1):20-28.
- 233. Tsokos GC. Systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(22):2110-2121.
- 234. Valencia X, Yarboro C, Illei G, Lipsky PE. Deficient CD4+CD25high T regulatory cell function in patients with active systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol. 2007;178(4):2579-2588.
- 235. Bonelli M, Savitskaya A, von Dalwigk K, et al. Quantitative and qualitative deficiencies of regulatory T cells in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). *Int Immunol.* 2008;20(7):861-868.
- 236. Kato H, Perl A. Blockade of Treg cell differentiation and function by the interleukin-21-mechanistic target of rapamycin axis via suppression of autophagy in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2018;70(3):427-438.
- 237. Wang Y, Su MA, Wan YY. An essential role of the transcription factor GATA-3 for the function of regulatory T cells. *Immunity*. 2011;35(3):337-348.
- 238. Sakaguchi S, Vignali DA, Rudensky AY, Niec RE, Waldmann H. The plasticity and stability of regulatory T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2013;13(6):461-467.
- Linterman MA, Pierson W, Lee SK, et al. Foxp3+ follicular regulatory T cells control the germinal center response. *Nat Med*. 2011;17(8):975-982.
- Vanderleyden I, Fra-Bido SC, Innocentin S, et al. Follicular regulatory T cells can access the germinal center independently of CXCR5. *Cell Rep.* 2020;30(3):611-619.

- 241. Koenig A, Vaeth M, Xiao Y, et al. NFATc1/alphaA and Blimp-1 support the follicular and effector phenotype of Tregs. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:791100.
- 242. Liu C, Wang D, Lu S, et al. Increased circulating follicular Treg cells are associated with lower levels of autoantibodies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in stable remission. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2018;70(5):711-721.
- 243. Xu B, Wang S, Zhou M, et al. The ratio of circulating follicular T helper cell to follicular T regulatory cell is correlated with disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clin Immunol*. 2017;183:46-53.
- 244. Liu C, Wang D, Song Y, et al. Increased circulating CD4(+)CXCR5(+)FoxP3(+) follicular regulatory T cells correlated with severity of systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2018;56:261-268.
- 245. Hao H, Nakayamada S, Yamagata K, et al. Conversion of T follicular helper cells to T follicular regulatory cells by interleukin-2 through transcriptional regulation in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2021;73(1):132-142.
- 246. Kurata I, Mikami N, Ohyama A, et al. Impaired function of PD-1(+) follicular regulatory T cells in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2021;206(1):28-35.
- 247. Hao H, Nakayamada S, Ohkubo N, et al. Involvement of lncRNA IL21-AS1 in interleukin-2 and T follicular regulatory cell activation in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2021;23(1):302.
- 248. Johnston RJ, Su LJ, Pinckney J, et al. VISTA is an acidic pHselective ligand for PSGL-1. *Nature*. 2019;574(7779):565-570.
- 249. Tinoco R, Carrette F, Barraza ML, et al. PSGL-1 is an immune checkpoint regulator that promotes T cell exhaustion. *Immunity*. 2016;44(5):1190-1203.
- 250. Scherlinger M, Guillotin V, Douchet I, et al. Selectins impair regulatory T cell function and contribute to systemic lupus erythematosus pathogenesis. *Sci Transl Med.* 2021;13(600): i4994.
- 251. Martin R, Jaraquemada D, Flerlage M, et al. Fine specificity and HLA restriction of myelin basic protein-specific cytotoxic T cell lines from multiple sclerosis patients and healthy individuals. *J Immunol.* 1990;145(2):540-548.
- 252. Frisullo G, Nociti V, Iorio R, et al. Regulatory T cells fail to suppress CD4T+-bet+ T cells in relapsing multiple sclerosis patients. *Immunology*. 2009;127(3):418-428.
- 253. Michel L, Berthelot L, Pettre S, et al. Patients with relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis have normal Treg function when cells expressing IL-7 receptor alpha-chain are excluded from the analysis. *J Clin Invest.* 2008;118(10):3411-3419.
- 254. Lock C, Hermans G, Pedotti R, et al. Gene-microarray analysis of multiple sclerosis lesions yields new targets validated in autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Nat Med.* 2002;8(5):500-508.
- 255. Koenen HJ, Smeets RL, Vink PM, et al. Human CD25highFoxp3pos regulatory T cells differentiate into IL-17-producing cells. *Blood*. 2008;112(6):2340-2352.
- 256. Fletcher JM, Lonergan R, Costelloe L, et al. CD39+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells suppress pathogenic Th17 cells and are impaired in multiple sclerosis. *J Immunol*. 2009;183(11):7602-7610.
- 257. Borsellino G, Kleinewietfeld M, Di Mitri D, et al. Expression of ectonucleotidase CD39 by Foxp3+ Treg cells: hydrolysis of extracellular ATP and immune suppression. *Blood*. 2007;110(4):1225-1232.

MedComm

- 258. Dominguez-Villar M, Baecher-Allan CM, Hafler DA. Identification of T helper type 1-like, Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in human autoimmune disease. *Nat Med*. 2011;17(6):673-675.
- 259. Balashov KE, Smith DR, Khoury SJ, Hafler DA, Weiner HL. Increased interleukin 12 production in progressive multiple sclerosis: induction by activated CD4+ T cells via CD40 ligand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1997;94(2):599-603.
- 260. Haque R, Kim Y, Park K, et al. Altered distributions in circulating follicular helper and follicular regulatory T cells accountable for imbalanced cytokine production in multiple sclerosis. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2021;205(1):75-88.
- 261. Tzartos JS, Craner MJ, Friese MA, et al. IL-21 and IL-21 receptor expression in lymphocytes and neurons in multiple sclerosis brain. *Am J Pathol.* 2011;178(2):794-802.
- 262. Zhu C, Ma J, Liu Y, et al. Increased frequency of follicular helper T cells in patients with autoimmune thyroid disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;97(3):943-950.
- 263. BMC. Abstracts of the 8th Global Arthritis Research Network (GARN) Meeting and 1st Bio-Rheumatology International Congress (BRIC). November 14–16, 2011. Tokyo, Japan. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2012;14(Suppl 1):1-54.
- 264. Zhang CJ, Gong Y, Zhu W, et al. Augmentation of circulating follicular helper T cells and their impact on autoreactive B cells in Myasthenia gravis. *J Immunol.* 2016;197(7):2610-2617.
- 265. Keyvani H, Zahednasab H, Aljanabi H, et al. The role of human herpesvirus-6 and inflammatory markers in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol*. 2020;346:577313.
- 266. Okada Y, Ochi H, Fujii C, et al. Signaling via toll-like receptor 4 and CD40 in B cells plays a regulatory role in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis through interleukin-10 production. J Autoimmun. 2018;88:103-113.
- 267. Waubant E, Gee L, Bacchetti P, et al. Relationship between serum levels of IL-10, MRI activity and interferon beta-1a therapy in patients with relapsing remitting MS. *J Neuroimmunol*. 2001;112(1-2):139-145.
- 268. Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League against rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62(9):2569-2581.
- 269. Firestein GS. Evolving concepts of rheumatoid arthritis. *Nature*. 2003;423(6937):356-361.
- 270. Maity S, Wairkar S. Dietary polyphenols for management of rheumatoid arthritis: pharmacotherapy and novel delivery systems. *Phytother Res.* 2022. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.7444
- 271. Ronnelid J, Turesson C, Kastbom A. Autoantibodies in rheumatoid arthritis—laboratory and clinical perspectives. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:685312.
- 272. Agrawal S, Misra R, Aggarwal A. Autoantibodies in rheumatoid arthritis: association with severity of disease in established RA. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2007;26(2):201-204.
- 273. Santinon F, Batignes M, Mebrek ML, et al. Involvement of tumor necrosis factor receptor type II in FoxP3 stability and as a marker of Treg cells specifically expanded by anti-tumor necrosis factor treatments in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020;72(4):576-587.
- 274. Chen X, Baumel M, Mannel DN, Howard OM, Oppenheim JJ. Interaction of TNF with TNF receptor type 2 promotes expansion and function of mouse CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. J Immunol. 2007;179(1):154-161.

- 275. Chen X, Wu X, Zhou Q, et al. TNFR2 is critical for the stabilization of the CD4+Foxp3+ regulatory T cell phenotype in the inflammatory environment. *J Immunol.* 2013;190(3):1076-1084.
- 276. Sun H, Gao W, Pan W, et al. Tim3(+) Foxp3 (+) Treg cells are potent inhibitors of effector T cells and are suppressed in rheumatoid arthritis. *Inflammation*. 2017;40(4):1342-1350.
- 277. DiMeglio LA, Evans-Molina C, Oram RA. Type 1 diabetes. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10138):2449-2462.
- Bluestone JA, Herold K, Eisenbarth G. Genetics, pathogenesis and clinical interventions in type 1 diabetes. *Nature*. 2010;464(7293):1293-1300.
- 279. Vecchione A, Jofra T, Gerosa J, et al. Reduced follicular regulatory T cells in spleen and pancreatic lymph nodes of patients with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2021;70(12):2892-2902.
- Hull CM, Peakman M, Tree T. Regulatory T cell dysfunction in type 1 diabetes: what's broken and how can we fix it? *Diabetologia*. 2017;60(10):1839-1850.
- 281. Chung Y, Tanaka S, Chu F, et al. Follicular regulatory T cells expressing Foxp3 and Bcl-6 suppress germinal center reactions. *Nat Med.* 2011;17(8):983-988.
- 282. Sage PT, Sharpe AH. T follicular regulatory cells in the regulation of B cell responses. *Trends Immunol.* 2015;36(7):410-418.
- 283. Guo H, Shen Y, Kong YH, et al. The expression of Tim-1 and Tim-4 molecules in regulatory T cells in type 1 diabetes. *Endocrine*. 2020;68(1):64-70.
- Degauque N, Mariat C, Kenny J, et al. Immunostimulatory Tim-1-specific antibody deprograms Tregs and prevents transplant tolerance in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2008;118(2):735-741.
- 285. Rodriguez-Manzanet R, Meyers JH, Balasubramanian S, et al. TIM-4 expressed on APCs induces T cell expansion and survival. *J Immunol.* 2008;180(7):4706-4713.
- 286. Mizui M, Shikina T, Arase H, et al. Bimodal regulation of T cell-mediated immune responses by TIM-4. *Int Immunol.* 2008;20(5):695-708.
- 287. Wolfe RA, Ashby VB, Milford EL, et al. Comparison of mortality in all patients on dialysis, patients on dialysis awaiting transplantation, and recipients of a first cadaveric transplant. N Engl J Med. 1999;341(23):1725-1730.
- 288. Ochando J, Fayad ZA, Madsen JC, Netea MG, Mulder W. Trained immunity in organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant*. 2020;20(1):10-18.
- Radtke F, MacDonald HR, Tacchini-Cottier F. Regulation of innate and adaptive immunity by Notch. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2013;13(6):427-437.
- 290. Pui JC, Allman D, Xu L, et al. Notch1 expression in early lymphopoiesis influences B versus T lineage determination. *Immunity*. 1999;11(3):299-308.
- 291. Magee CN, Murakami N, Borges TJ, et al. Notch-1 inhibition promotes immune regulation in transplantation via regulatory T cell-dependent mechanisms. *Circulation*. 2019;140(10):846-863.
- 292. Charbonnier LM, Wang S, Georgiev P, Sefik E, Chatila TA. Control of peripheral tolerance by regulatory T cell-intrinsic Notch signaling. *Nat Immunol.* 2015;16(11):1162-1173.
- 293. Hoerning A, Kohler S, Jun C, et al. Peripherally circulating CD4(+) FOXP3(+) CXCR3(+) T regulatory cells correlate with renal allograft function. *Scand J Immunol*. 2012;76(3):320-328.
- 294. Muller M, Carter SL, Hofer MJ, et al. CXCR3 signaling reduces the severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis

28 of 28 MedComm

by controlling the parenchymal distribution of effector and regulatory T cells in the central nervous system. *J Immunol*. 2007;179(5):2774-2786.

- 295. Ferrara JL, Levine JE, Reddy P, Holler E. Graft-versus-host disease. *Lancet*. 2009;373(9674):1550-1561.
- 296. Trzonkowski P, Bieniaszewska M, Juscinska J, et al. Firstin-man clinical results of the treatment of patients with graft versus host disease with human ex vivo expanded CD4+CD25+CD127- T regulatory cells. *Clin Immunol.* 2009;133(1):22-26.
- 297. Di Ianni M, Falzetti F, Carotti A, et al. Tregs prevent GVHD and promote immune reconstitution in HLA-haploidentical transplantation. *Blood*. 2011;117(14):3921-3928.
- 298. Martelli MF, Di Ianni M, Ruggeri L, et al. HLA-haploidentical transplantation with regulatory and conventional T-cell adoptive immunotherapy prevents acute leukemia relapse. *Blood*. 2014;124(4):638-644.
- 299. Brunstein CG, Miller JS, Cao Q, et al. Infusion of ex vivo expanded T regulatory cells in adults transplanted with umbilical cord blood: safety profile and detection kinetics. *Blood*. 2011;117(3):1061-1070.
- 300. Meyer EH, Laport G, Xie BJ, et al. Transplantation of donor grafts with defined ratio of conventional and regulatory T cells in HLA-matched recipients. JCI Insight. 2019;4(10):e127244.
- 301. Koreth J, Matsuoka K, Kim HT, et al. Interleukin-2 and regulatory T cells in graft-versus-host disease. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(22):2055-2066.
- 302. Matsuoka K, Koreth J, Kim HT, et al. Low-dose interleukin-2 therapy restores regulatory T cell homeostasis in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Sci Transl Med.* 2013;5(179):143r-179r.
- 303. Ulbar F, Villanova I, Giancola R, et al. Clinical-grade expanded regulatory T cells are enriched with highly suppressive cells producing IL-10, granzyme B, and IL-35. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2020;26(12):2204-2210.
- Schiering C, Krausgruber T, Chomka A, et al. The alarmin IL-33 promotes regulatory T-cell function in the intestine. *Nature*. 2014;513(7519):564-568.
- 305. Matta BM, Lott JM, Mathews LR, et al. IL-33 is an unconventional alarmin that stimulates IL-2 secretion by dendritic cells to selectively expand IL-33R/ST2+ regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2014;193(8):4010-4020.
- 306. Turnquist HR, Zhao Z, Rosborough BR, et al. IL-33 expands suppressive CD11b+ Gr-1(int) and regulatory T cells, including ST2L+ Foxp3+ cells, and mediates regulatory T celldependent promotion of cardiac allograft survival. *J Immunol.* 2011;187(9):4598-4610.
- 307. Matta BM, Reichenbach DK, Zhang X, et al. Peri-alloHCT IL-33 administration expands recipient T-regulatory cells that protect mice against acute GVHD. *Blood*. 2016;128(3):427-439.

- 308. Wang H, Song H, Pham AV, et al. Human LAP(+) GARP(+)FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells attenuate xenogeneic graft versus host disease. *Theranostics*. 2019;9(8):2315-2324.
- Jing L, Tamplin OJ, Chen MJ, et al. Adenosine signaling promotes hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell emergence. *J Exp Med.* 2015;212(5):649-663.
- 310. Yamazaki S, Ema H, Karlsson G, et al. Nonmyelinating Schwann cells maintain hematopoietic stem cell hibernation in the bone marrow niche. *Cell*. 2011;147(5):1146-1158.
- Rowe JH, Ertelt JM, Xin L, Way SS. Pregnancy imprints regulatory memory that sustains anergy to fetal antigen. *Nature*. 2012;490(7418):102-106.
- 312. Aluvihare VR, Kallikourdis M, Betz AG. Regulatory T cells mediate maternal tolerance to the fetus. *Nat Immunol.* 2004;5(3):266-271.
- 313. Sasaki Y, Sakai M, Miyazaki S, et al. Decidual and peripheral blood CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in early pregnancy subjects and spontaneous abortion cases. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2004;10(5):347-353.
- 314. Tilburgs T, Roelen DL, van der Mast BJ, et al. Evidence for a selective migration of fetus-specific CD4+CD25bright regulatory T cells from the peripheral blood to the decidua in human pregnancy. J Immunol. 2008;180(8):5737-5745.
- 315. Heikkinen J, Mottonen M, Alanen A, Lassila O. Phenotypic characterization of regulatory T cells in the human decidua. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2004;136(2):373-378.
- 316. Somerset DA, Zheng Y, Kilby MD, Sansom DM, Drayson MT. Normal human pregnancy is associated with an elevation in the immune suppressive CD25+ CD4+ regulatory T-cell subset. *Immunology*. 2004;112(1):38-43.
- 317. Wienke J, Brouwers L, van der Burg LM, et al. Human Tregs at the materno-fetal interface show site-specific adaptation reminiscent of tumor Tregs. *JCI Insight*. 2020;5(18): e137926.
- 318. Salvany-Celades M, van der Zwan A, Benner M, et al. Three types of functional regulatory T cells control T cell responses at the human maternal-fetal interface. *Cell Rep.* 2019;27(9):2537-2547.

How to cite this article: Jiang Z, Zhu H, Wang P, et al. Different subpopulations of regulatory T cells in human autoimmune disease, transplantation, and tumor immunity. *MedComm.* 2022;3:e137. https://doi.org/10.1002/mco2.137