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#### Abstract

3D structures of proteins with coordinated $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions from bacteria with low, average, and high genomic GC-content have been analyzed ( 149 PDB files were used). Major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders are aspartic acid ( $6.82 \%$ of Asp residues), histidine ( $14.76 \%$ of His residues), and glutamic acid ( $3.51 \%$ of Glu residues). We found out that the motif of secondary structure "beta strand-major binder-random coil" is overrepresented around all the three major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders. That motif may be followed by either alpha helix or beta strand. Beta strands near $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding residues should be stable because they are enriched by such beta formers as valine and isoleucine, as well as by specific combinations of hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acid residues characteristic to beta sheet. In the group of proteins from GC-rich bacteria glutamic acid residues situated in alpha helices frequently coordinate $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions, probably, because of the decrease of Lys usage under the influence of mutational GC-pressure. On the other hand, the percentage of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ sites with at least one amino acid in the "beta strand-major binder-random coil" motif of secondary structure (77.88\%) does not depend on genomic GC-content.


## 1. Introduction

In general, there are three "major binders" of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions: oxygen atoms from carboxyl groups of aspartic and glutamic acids side chains and imidazole nitrogen atom from histidine side chain [1, 2]. Minor binders are oxygen atoms from hydroxyl groups of serine and threonine side chains; amide nitrogen and oxygen atoms from asparagine and glutamine side chains; sulfur atoms from thiol group of cysteine and thioether group of methionine; and oxygen atoms from peptide bonds of all the amino acids including even hydrophobic ones [1, 2].

There is some controversy in the results of in silico studies on amino acid preferences for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. According to the work of Zheng et al. [1], there are three amino acid residues most frequently found in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites: His, Asp, and Glu. Histidine has the highest normalized frequency in binding sites, while glutamic acid has the lowest normalized frequency among those three amino acid residues [1]. According to the work of Brylinski and Skolnick [2],
aspartic acid has much higher preference to bind $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ than glutamic acid and histidine.

Information on amino acid preferences and geometry of coordination spheres is used in algorithms for metal binding sites prediction, such as FINDSITE-metal [2], MetalDetector v2.0 [3], Fold-X [4], and FlexX [5]. However, the information on preferable 3D structural motifs is available mostly for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ binding proteins. Well-known EF-hand motif for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ binding consists of two alpha helices and a loop between them [6]. The first helix known as E consists of 10-12 residues, and the second helix known as F also consists of $10-12$ residues. The angle between those helices is close to $90^{\circ}$. The loop between the helices approximately 12 residues in length often includes "Asp-Xaa-Asp-Xaa-Asp-Gly" motif which is directly involved in $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ coordination [7]. Recently, other proteins, able to bind $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ containing the abovementioned motif but lacking one or both helices, have been described [8]. As to $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ binding 3D structural motifs, Sri Krishna et al. classified them in eight different groups.

The aim of this study was to find out whether there is a secondary structural motif which is characteristic for relatively short parts of polypeptide chains around $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding amino acid residues.

In fact, the same kind of secondary structural motif may be found in several 3D structural motifs. For example, four from eight 3D structural motifs for $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ binding include such a secondary structural motif as beta hairpin. That is why the knowledge on preferable secondary structural motifs around each of the amino acid residues may be even more helpful for prediction of ion binding sites than the knowledge on the 3D structural motifs for the complete coordination spheres. Amino acid preferences have also been studied in the present work not just for binding residues but also for their neighbors.

It is known that amino acid content is not constant among proteins. The major cause of variations in amino acid content is symmetric mutational pressure [9]. Frequencies of those amino acid residues in proteomes which are encoded by GCrich codons (Ala, Gly, Pro, and Arg) show direct dependence on GC-content of genomes [10]. The slope of that dependence for alanine is the steepest one [11]. Frequencies of those amino acid residues in proteomes which are encoded by GC-poor codons (Ile, Lys, Asn, Phe, Tyr, and Met) show inverse dependence on GC-content of genomes [10]. Slopes for isoleucine, lysine, and asparagine are steeper than those for phenylalanine, tyrosine, and methionine [12].

It is known that tertiary and secondary structures are more conserved in proteins than their primary sequences. That phenomenon is known as protein structure degeneracy. Different amino acid residues may substitute each other, while secondary and tertiary structures stay almost the same for homologous proteins because of the negative selection [13]. One may predict that secondary structure distribution around the most of residues binding the same cation will be similar for proteins with different amino acid content. However, that statement has to be tested in each particular study.

Even though three amino acids most frequently involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding (Asp, Glu, and His) are encoded by codons of average GC-content, their binding features and patterns of secondary structure distribution around them may depend on GC-content of genes. There are some interesting consequences of the growth of genomic GCcontent which may bring some changes into the structure of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites. For example, total levels of both strongly hydrophobic and strongly hydrophilic amino acids in proteins show inverse dependence on $G+C$ [11, 13]. The usage of sheet-like pentapeptides grows in alpha helices and in random coil due to mutational GC-pressure [14]. That is why we decided to study $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites in three groups of bacterial proteins: from bacterial species with low, average, and high genomic GC-content. The same kind of methodology may be used in studies on other properties of proteins. Changes in amino acid content that occurred due to symmetric mutational pressure may theoretically result in reorganization of binding sites for certain ligands or even in the availability of potential binding sites.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Three sets of PDB files containing $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions coordinated by amino acid residues have been collected from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.pdb.org). The total number of those files was equal to 149 . The first set includes 39 PDB files with 3D structures of proteins from bacteria with genomic GC-content lower than $40 \%$. The second set includes 62 PDB files with 3D structures of proteins from bacteria with average genomic GC-content (from $40 \%$ to $60 \%)$. The third set is composed of 48 PDB files with 3D structures of proteins from bacteria with GC-rich genomes ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}>60 \%$ ). Identical proteins have not been used in this study, as well as close homologues. According to the results of the "decrease redundancy" algorithm (http://web.expasy.org/decrease_redundancy/), there were no sequences with similarity level higher than $60 \%$ in each of the three data sets.

GC-poor bacteria used in this study are Bacillus subtilis (18 files); Bacillus anthracis (4 files); Bacillus caldovelox (1 file); Bacillus cereus (1 file); Clostridium cellulolyticum (1 file); Haemophilus influenzae (3 files); Listeria monocytogenes (2 files); Staphylococcus aureus (5 files); and Streptococcus pneumoniae (4 files).

Bacteria with average genomic GC-content are Escherichia coli (38 files); Brucella melitensis (2 files); Geobacillus stearothermophilus (4 files); Neisseria meningitidis (3 files); Paenibacillus polymyxa (1 file); Salmonella typhimurium (1 file); Synechocystis sp. (4 files); and Thermotoga maritima (9 files).

The list of bacteria with GC-rich genomes is the following: Mycobacterium tuberculosis (19 files); Deinococcus radiodurans (4 files); Pseudomonas aeruginosa (5 files); Pseudomonas cichorii (1 file); Pseudomonas putida (3 files); Pseudomonas stutzeri (2 files); Streptomyces rubiginosus (1 file); Thermus thermophilus ( 10 files); and Xanthomonas campestris (3 files).

Complete list of PDB identifiers can be found in the supplementary material file "PDB identifiers.xlsx;" (see Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/ $10.1155 / 2014 / 501841)$. The data on classification displayed in "Annotations" section of PDB pages were available for almost one half of proteins. About 54\% of proteins were classified according to CATH (Class, Architecture, Topology, Homologous superfamily), and 49\% were classified according to SCOP (Structural Classification of Proteins). From all proteins classified according to CATH, 77\% were alpha and beta proteins, $7 \%$ were mostly alpha proteins, and $5 \%$ were mostly beta ones, while $11 \%$ of them contained several different domains. From all proteins classified by SCOP, $47 \%$ were alpha and beta ( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ ) proteins, $16 \%$ were alpha and beta $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ ) proteins, $10 \%$ were all alpha proteins, and $4 \%$ were all beta proteins, while $23 \%$ of them were mixed proteins. So, most of the studied proteins contain both alpha helices and beta strands. Percentage of parallel beta strands is higher than that of antiparallel beta strands. It is also important to mention that $85 \%$ of proteins used in this study are enzymes. Most of the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating sites should be involved in enzymatic activity.

We used descriptions of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites which can be found in PDB files. For each of the amino acid residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination, the following data have been collected: (i) amino acid residues situated in five positions towards N -terminus ( $-5 /-4 /-3 /-2 /-1$ ) and C -terminus $(+1 /+2 /+3 /+4 /+5)$ from the binding residue; (ii) secondary structure of those amino acid residues and of the binding residue itself. In other words, we collected three sets of short amino acid sequences ( 11 amino acids in length) with the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding residue in the center of each of them.

Certain amino acid residues may be included in two binding sites (for different $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions). To avoid the bias in our data set, we deleted repeated records. Finally, there were 161 amino acids involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding in proteins from GC-poor bacteria; 248 amino acids in proteins from bacteria with average genomic $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$; and 194 amino acids in proteins from GC-rich bacteria.

There are three amino acid residues (major binders) most frequently coordinating $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions: aspartic acid, histidine, and glutamic acid. We repeated the procedure of data extraction for Asp, His, and Glu residues which are not involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding in the common set of PDB files. There were 2813 Asp, 1080 His, and 3572 Glu residues in the "control" data set.

Three sets of amino acid sequences containing $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding residues in their centers are available in supplementary material file "Mn(II) binding sites.xlsx". Three control sets of amino acid sequences with those major binders (Asp, His, and Glu) which did not coordinate $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in their centers can be found in supplementary material file "D, H and E residues non binding Mn (II).xlsx".

Amino acid usage in each of the ten positions around each of the three major binders has been calculated for binding and nonbinding residues. Then, probabilities to be situated around each of the major binders have been calculated as ratios between the usage of a given amino acid in the certain position near the binding residue and the sum of its usages around binding and nonbinding residues. Statistical significance of those probabilities has been acquired from the results of two-tailed $t$-test. Similar statistical procedure has been performed for secondary structure elements around binding and nonbinding Asp, His, and Glu residues.

For calculation of amino acid frequencies in proteins from three data sets, we deleted their polyhistidine tails. This procedure was important for correct calculation of the percentage of His residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. We also calculated percentage of Asp and Glu residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination (relatively to their total usages).

Average usages of Lys and Arg have been calculated near binding and nonbinding glutamic acid residues being in alpha helix, beta strand, and random coil.

To complete analyses of secondary structure motifs involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination, we compared by $t$-test usages of amino acids situated in certain types of secondary and supersecondary structure in the set of binding residues and in the whole set of amino acids. For this in silico experiment, we used alpha helices, beta strands, four types of coil regions (BCH: coil between beta strand and alpha helix; HCB: coil
between alpha helix and beta strand; BCB: coil between two beta strands; and HCH: coil between two alpha helices), and four types of supersecondary structural motifs (B-BCH-H: beta strand and alpha helix separated by a region of coil; $\mathrm{H}-$ HCB-B: alpha helix and beta strand separated by the region of coil; B-BCB-B: two beta strands and coil between them; $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HCH}-\mathrm{H}$ : two alpha helices and coil between them).

There are 15 apo forms available in the Protein Data Bank for proteins used in this study. Amino acid sequences of apo and holo forms are $100 \%$ identical. All other ligands, except $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ cation(s), are identical for apo and holo forms. Secondary structures around $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating residues have been compared for holo and apo forms in subsequent pairs: 1VHA-1VH8; 3F8N-2RGV; 1ONO1ONN; 1WSE-1WSH; 1XUU-3CM4; 2D0C-2D0A; 2HXG2AJT; 2NRZ-2NRW; 3GME-3GLL; 3TMY-1TMY; 2E6C2E69; 2YES-2WE9; 2YF3-2YF4; 2ZXP-2ZXO; 3ITX-3ITY.

Types of pentapeptides composed of hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids have been determined for " $-5-1$ " and " $-4-0$ " positions for Asp, Glu, and His residues. Amino acid residues have been classified into hydrophilic (W) and hydrophobic (O) ones according to the Eisenberg scale [15] in which Asp, Glu, His, Gln, Ser, Thr, Arg, Asn, and Lys are hydrophilic. Percentages of sheet-like pentapeptides [14] in beta strands situated in the N -terminal direction from the binding and nonbinding Asp, Glu, and His residues have been compared by $t$-test.

## 3. Results

3.1. Amino Acids Involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding. The percentage of aspartic acid residues in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites is equal to $34.16 \%$. The percentage of histidine residues in those sites is somewhat lower ( $31.01 \%$ ), while the difference between them is not significant $(P>0.05)$. The percentage of glutamic acid residues in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites ( $21.56 \%$ ) is significantly lower than those for aspartic acid and histidine $(P<0.001)$. As one can see in Table 1, this situation is characteristic for all the three groups of proteins. There is no dependence between GC-content of genes and the distribution of three major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders (aspartic acid, histidine, and glutamic acid) in binding sites.

On the other hand, the difference between the usage of all other amino acid residues (minor binders) in those sites from proteins encoded by GC-rich genes (6.70\%) and proteins encoded by genes with average GC-content (17.34\%) is significant ( $P<0.001$ ). The difference between the sum of minor $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders for proteins encoded by GC-rich and GC-poor genes is also significant (6.70\% versus $14.91 \%$; $P<$ 0.01 ). This fact can be explained by the known tendency: total usage of hydrophilic amino acid residues in proteins decreases with the growth of GC-content in genes [11, 13].

It is also important to calculate the percentage of amino acid residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding relative to their average usage in proteins. It is known that histidine is one of the rare amino acids, while glutamic acid is even more abundant than aspartic acid [10, 12]. In the proteins from our data set, amino acid usages of the major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders

Table 1: The most common interacting residues in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites.

| Amino acid | GC-poor bacteria |  | Bacteria with average genomic $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$ |  | GC-rich bacteria |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% among amino acid residues from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites | \% of amino acid residues involved in binding of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ from the total amino acid usage | \% among amino acid residues from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites | \% of amino acid residues involved in binding of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ from the total amino acid usage | \% among amino acid residues from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites | \% of amino acid residues involved in binding of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ from the total amino acid usage |
| Asp | 32.92 | 6.68 | 33.47 | 6.73 | 36.08 | 7.06 |
| Glu | 19.25 | 2.96 | 22.18 | 3.47 | 22.68 | 4.11 |
| His | 32.92 | 17.10 | 27.02 | 13.24 | 34.54 | 14.86 |

are as follows: Glu: $7.59 \pm 0.34 \%$; Asp: $6.22 \pm 0.24 \%$; His: $2.68 \pm 0.19 \%$. One can easily come to the conclusion that histidine is overrepresented in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites relatively to aspartic and, especially, glutamic acids. Indeed, $14.76 \%$ of histidine residues are involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. In contrast, $6.82 \%$ of aspartic and just $3.51 \%$ of glutamic acid residues participate in binding of that ion (ions). GC-content of genes does not significantly influence the percentage of His, Asp, and Glu residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding by proteins (see Table 1).

It is important to mention that $17.69 \%$ of glutamic acid residues and $13.59 \%$ of aspartic acid residues participated in binding of two $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions. Histidine residues cannot bind two $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions simultaneously.

So, the major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders are Asp, His, and Glu. His is overrepresented in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites relatively to Asp, while Glu is underrepresented.

### 3.2. Secondary Structure of the Region around the Aspartic

 Acid Involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding. We compared distribution of secondary structure elements around the Asp residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding and those Asp residues which are not involved in that ion coordination. Probabilities for Asp to be $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding residue are given in Table 2. As one can see in Table 2, beta strand is significantly overrepresented in $-5,-4,-3,-2,-1,0$, and +1 positions from the Asp residues which bind $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ relatively to those which do not bind that ion. It means that there is usually a beta strand near the Asp from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites. Interestingly, that beta strand can usually be found in the N -terminal direction and not in the C-terminal one. Random coil is significantly overrepresented in $+1,+2,+3,+4$, and +5 positions (see Table 2 ). So, Asp residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ are usually surrounded by the beta strand in the N -terminal direction and random coil in the C terminal direction. Alpha helix and helix $3 / 10$ are, in general, underrepresented around Asp residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination.Most of the preferences in amino acid distribution near Asp residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ can be explained by their secondary structure formation propensities. Such strong beta strand formers as valine and isoleucine [16] are overrepresented in certain positions in the N -terminal direction from the Asp residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. Even though leucine
is usually described as strong helix former [16], it is often involved in beta strand formation because of its hydrophobicity [14]. That is why leucine is significantly overrepresented in $-5,-4$, and -3 positions (see Table 2). Three other strong helix formers (Ala, Glu, and Gln) are underrepresented in certain positions in the N -terminal direction from the Asp involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding (see Table 2). As to Arg and Lys, which are listed among helix formers too [16], their underrepresentation can be linked with the positive charge of their side chains as well.

It is important to highlight that Asp residues are significantly overrepresented in -2 and +2 positions around the Asp residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. One may think that there should be many Asp-Xaa-Asp-Xaa-Asp-Gly motifs in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites; see Table 2. However, this type of site characteristic for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ binding regions [17] was found only once in our data set. There are also just two Asp-Xaa-Asp-Xaa-Xaa-Gly and three Xaa-Xaa-Asp-Xaa-Asp-Gly sites which are similar to canonical sites for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ binding. So, relatively short Asp-XaaAsp and Asp-Xaa-Xaa-Gly motifs seem to be characteristic for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites. Histidine residues are also overrepresented around Asp interacting with $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (in $-2,+1,+2,+3$, and +4 positions). Serine which may sometimes provide its -OH group for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination is overrepresented in -1 position, while threonine also possessing that kind of group is overrepresented in +1 position. Asparagine with carboxamide group able to participate in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination can frequently be found in +2 position (see Table 2 ). From these data, we can conclude that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders can often be found in the same linear sequence. Minor binders (such as Ser, Thr, and Asn) are involved in binding mostly in case if they are close neighbors of the major binders. On the other hand, they can contribute to the total hydrophilicity of the binding area.

Glycine is overrepresented in $-5,-1$, and +3 positions probably contributing into the flexibility of the Asp residue involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. Being a strong secondary structure breaker [16], proline is underrepresented in $-3,-1,+1$, and +2 positions, while it is overrepresented in +4 position.

In general, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding aspartic acid residue is usually surrounded by hydrophobic amino acids (Val, Ile, and Leu) which form beta strand in the N -terminal direction and coil formers (His, Asp, Asn, Pro, and Gly) in the C-terminal direction. Major (His, Asp) and minor (Ser, Thr, and Asn) $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders are overrepresented near that residue.

Table 2: Probabilities of amino acids and secondary structure elements occurrence near the aspartic acid binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$. Significantly overrepresented amino acids and secondary structure elements are written in bold font; significantly underrepresented ones are written in italic font.

|  | Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | +3 | +4 | +5 |
| Secondary structure elements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpha helix | 0.1796 | 0.2099 | 0.2427 | 0.2757 | 0.3284 | 0.3450 | 0.3750 | 0.3923 | 0.4062 | 0.4153 | 0.4229 |
| Helix 3/10 | 0.1435 | 0.1510 | 0.1379 | 0.1846 | 0.1902 | 0.3374 | 0.3890 | 0.5680 | 0.5579 | 0.5497 | 0.5340 |
| Beta strand | 0.7168 | 0.7501 | 0.7617 | 0.7797 | 0.7586 | 0.7487 | 0.6100 | 0.5517 | 0.5250 | 0.4016 | 0.4096 |
| Random coil | 0.5246 | 0.4327 | 0.4106 | 0.4193 | 0.4908 | 0.5285 | 0.5748 | 0.5660 | 0.5771 | 0.6264 | 0.6217 |
| Amino acid residues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gly | 0.6370 | 0.5558 | 0.3270 | 0.4731 | 0.6460 |  | 0.5860 | 0.4783 | 0.6672 | 0.4998 | 0.5666 |
| Ala | 0.4842 | 0.3034 | 0.4950 | 0.3750 | 0.4514 |  | 0.5373 | 0.3277 | 0.5514 | 0.3597 | 0.5503 |
| Arg | 0.2299 | 0.3577 | 0.1282 | 0.4743 | 0.1549 |  | 0.1403 | 0.4793 | 0.1268 | 0.5027 | 0.5420 |
| Pro | 0.5154 | 0.3658 | 0.1608 | 0.3739 | 0.2431 |  | 0.2746 | 0.2586 | 0.4131 | 0.6845 | 0.5039 |
| Asp | 0.5393 | 0.4436 | 0.3350 | 0.6726 | 0.5070 |  | 0.4226 | 0.6602 | 0.5108 | 0.6133 | 0.5779 |
| Glu | 0.2582 | 0.3646 | 0.1760 | 0.0657 | 0.4343 |  | 0.4201 | 0.3424 | 0.4847 | 0.4610 | 0.4299 |
| Ser | 0.4719 | 0.5163 | 0.4048 | 0.4725 | 0.6910 |  | 0.6380 | 0.5689 | 0.5003 | 0.5770 | 0.6512 |
| Thr | 0.3882 | 0.1855 | 0.4723 | 0.6461 | 0.5266 |  | 0.6485 | 0.4065 | 0.5931 | 0.5352 | 0.4776 |
| His | 0.4805 | 0.6318 | 0.6570 | 0.7083 | 0.1879 |  | 0.7599 | 0.7252 | 0.7558 | 0.6928 | 0.5135 |
| Gln | 0.4885 | 0.3112 | 0.3250 | 0.4054 | 0.3304 |  | 0.5678 | 0.3378 | 0.5758 | 0.4960 | 0.5348 |
| Leu | 0.6035 | 0.6947 | 0.6182 | 0.4934 | 0.5553 |  | 0.4201 | 0.5345 | 0.5134 | 0.4761 | 0.4477 |
| Val | 0.6213 | 0.5765 | 0.7197 | 0.4899 | 0.6191 |  | 0.5851 | 0.4018 | 0.4680 | 0.4045 | 0.4777 |
| Cys | 0.6184 | 0.5520 | 0.5529 | 0.4680 | 0.7590 |  | 0.4053 | 0.5605 | 0.5840 | 0.3918 | 0.3335 |
| Trp | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4445 | 0.4243 | 0.5059 |  | 0.4874 | 0.7362 | 0.0000 | 0.2677 | 0.6925 |
| Phe | 0.2463 | 0.4276 | 0.5089 | 0.4954 | 0.6110 |  | 0.5702 | 0.5567 | 0.4872 | 0.4516 | 0.4760 |
| Tyr | 0.4526 | 0.5446 | 0.5939 | 0.5095 | 0.3509 |  | 0.3762 | 0.3193 | 0.5080 | 0.4889 | 0.3463 |
| Met | 0.5173 | 0.3223 | 0.6056 | 0.6057 | 0.4699 |  | 0.5728 | 0.5943 | 0.2946 | 0.6626 | 0.4124 |
| Ile | 0.6001 | 0.6224 | 0.7370 | 0.5852 | 0.4886 |  | 0.4826 | 0.4942 | 0.4968 | 0.4914 | 0.3347 |
| Asn | 0.4551 | 0.5931 | 0.0000 | 0.5943 | 0.3750 |  | 0.3671 | 0.6858 | 0.4067 | 0.4742 | 0.4787 |
| Lys | 0.3930 | 0.3637 | 0.2196 | 0.2408 | 0.0000 |  | 0.1701 | 0.5178 | 0.1870 | 0.2516 | 0.4428 |

In Figure 1, one can see the concrete distribution of secondary structure elements around Asp residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. More than $60 \%$ of amino acid residues in -4 and -3 positions form beta strand (see Figure 1(a)). The percentage of amino acid residues forming beta strand is also high in -5 and -2 positions (see Figure 1(a)). This preference for beta strand from -5 to -2 positions is characteristic for proteins encoded by GC-poor (Figure 1(b)) and GC-rich genes (Figure 1(d)), as well as for proteins encoded by genes with average GC-content (Figure 1(c)).

Random coil is the most frequently observed conformation of amino acid residues near the aspartic acid involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding in the positions from -1 to +5 . This tendency is characteristic for all the three groups of proteins encoded by genes of different GC-content (see Figure 1).

Secondary structure near Asp residues which are not involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding is quite different from that represented in Figure 1. Alpha helix is the most frequently observed element of secondary structure from -5 to -3 and from +1 to +5 positions (about 35-45\%). Random coil is most frequently observed from -2 to 0 positions only (about 4045\%). Beta strand can rarely be found near the Asp residue
which is not involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. The highest frequency is characteristic to -5 and +5 positions (above $20 \%$ ).

There is a clear preference for asymmetric secondary structure distribution around aspartic acid residues providing oxygen atoms from their side chains for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination: beta strand is situated in the N-terminal direction, while random coil is situated in the C-terminal direction.

### 3.3. Secondary Structure of the Region around the Histidine

 Involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding. Preferable secondary structure around histidine residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (see Table 3) is similar to that around aspartic acid residues. Beta strand is the preferable type of secondary structure for positions from -5 to 0 . Random coil is overrepresented from +2 to +5 positions (see Table 3). Alpha helix is underrepresented around histidine residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.Amino acid preferences for ten positions near $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding histidine residues do not have too much in common with those near aspartic acid residues (see Table 3). The only one overrepresented beta strand former is isoleucine (in -5

Table 3: Probabilities of amino acids and secondary structure elements occurrence near the histidine binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$. Significantly overrepresented amino acids and secondary structure elements are written in bold font; significantly underrepresented ones are written in italic font.

and -4 positions). Interestingly, alanine (strong helix former) has some position specific preferences: it is underrepresented in $-3,-1$, and +5 positions, but it is overrepresented in +1 position (see Table 3). Glycine is overrepresented in -3 position, while proline is underrepresented in -2 and +1 positions.

Major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders are grouped in the following way: His in -2 and +2 positions; Asp in -1 position; Glu in +5 position. As to the minor $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders, Ser is overrepresented in +1 position; Asn is overrepresented in +4 position, and Thr is overrepresented in +2 and +5 positions.

Positively charged arginine is underrepresented in -2 and +1 positions, while lysine is significantly underrepresented in $-3,-2,-1,+2$, and +4 positions.

In Figure 2(a), one can see that beta strand is the preferable conformation for amino acid residues from -5 to -3 positions. However, frequencies of amino acid residues in beta strand conformation in those positions are somewhat lower for histidine surroundings (about 45\%) than for aspartic acid surroundings. Random coil is the favorable conformation from -2 to +5 positions. There are some variations on this common theme in Figures 2(b)-2(d), while in general GC-content of genes seems to have no influence on
the preferable secondary structure around histidine residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.

Secondary structure elements around histidine residues not involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding are distributed in the following way: alpha helix is preferable (from 35 to $45 \%$ ) for all positions, except -1 position with the preference for random coil; the difference between percentage of helix and percentage of coil is low; the percentage of beta strand in all positions is close to $20 \%$.

Manganese (II) ions binding histidine residues are usually surrounded by the same kind of asymmetric secondary structure elements as aspartic acid residues.

### 3.4. Secondary Structure of the Region around the Glutamic

 Acid Involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding. There is a clear preference for beta strand situated from -4 to +2 positions for glutamic acid residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding (see Table 4). Random coil is overrepresented in +5 position only (see Table 4). These data confirm that "beta strand-major binder-random coil" secondary structural motif is a characteristic of all the three major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders (Asp, His, and Glu).Table 4: Probabilities of amino acids and secondary structure elements occurrence near the glutamic acid binding Mn ${ }^{+2}$. Significantly overrepresented amino acids and secondary structure elements are written in bold font; significantly underrepresented ones are written in italic font.

|  | Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | +3 | +4 | +5 |
| Secondary structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpha helix | 0.4389 | 0.4369 | 0.4184 | 0.4169 | 0.4132 | 0.4239 | 0.4369 | 0.4466 | 0.4658 | 0.4784 | 0.4824 |
| Helix 3/10 | 0.3244 | 0.1428 | 0.1397 | 0.1206 | 0.2046 | 0.2120 | 0.2941 | 0.3227 | 0.4288 | 0.4298 | 0.4209 |
| Beta strand | 0.5667 | 0.7125 | 0.7486 | 0.7876 | 0.7906 | 0.7422 | 0.6879 | 0.6441 | 0.5720 | 0.5235 | 0.4387 |
| Random coil | 0.5514 | 0.4260 | 0.4037 | 0.3634 | 0.3782 | 0.4596 | 0.5024 | 0.5168 | 0.5190 | 0.5276 | 0.5635 |
| Amino acid residues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gly | 0.5211 | 0.6336 | 0.4237 | 0.5153 | 0.4063 |  | 0.5083 | 0.5928 | 0.5402 | 0.5435 | 0.4032 |
| Ala | 0.3723 | 0.4991 | 0.5324 | 0.5452 | 0.4904 |  | 0.5185 | 0.5102 | 0.5357 | 0.3980 | 0.3706 |
| Arg | 0.5484 | 0.3906 | 0.1075 | 0.6085 | 0.1092 |  | 0.4305 | 0.5382 | 0.1652 | 0.6204 | 0.4530 |
| Pro | 0.2502 | 0.5342 | 0.5540 | 0.1581 | 0.1908 |  | 0.5971 | 0.3686 | 0.5569 | 0.1365 | 0.5218 |
| Asp | 0.5290 | 0.4307 | 0.3942 | 0.6160 | 0.5934 |  | 0.3986 | 0.6196 | 0.3634 | 0.5335 | 0.5604 |
| Glu | 0.4256 | 0.4299 | 0.3910 | 0.3654 | 0.5080 |  | 0.4157 | 0.3132 | 0.4221 | 0.4578 | 0.5145 |
| Ser | 0.4331 | 0.4726 | 0.3015 | 0.3992 | 0.5043 |  | 0.5340 | 0.4678 | 0.5944 | 0.5238 | 0.1456 |
| Thr | 0.4928 | 0.6066 | 0.5354 | 0.5818 | 0.5080 |  | 0.5094 | 0.4405 | 0.4055 | 0.6249 | 0.6619 |
| His | 0.6939 | 0.2517 | 0.5485 | 0.6433 | 0.5667 |  | 0.6924 | 0.6965 | 0.8356 | 0.5716 | 0.6361 |
| Gln | 0.3413 | 0.2860 | 0.3184 | 0.5547 | 0.3351 |  | 0.4316 | 0.4573 | 0.3449 | 0.4392 | 0.6356 |
| Leu | 0.4900 | 0.3047 | 0.5152 | 0.4727 | 0.6081 |  | 0.4881 | 0.3579 | 0.4890 | 0.4532 | 0.3911 |
| Val | 0.4343 | 0.5487 | 0.6632 | 0.3459 | 0.5596 |  | 0.6440 | 0.2802 | 0.4865 | 0.5228 | 0.4701 |
| Cys | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.7130 | 0.4774 | 0.7331 |  | 0.0000 | 0.6770 | 0.5011 | 0.4911 | 0.4146 |
| Trp | 0.7566 | 0.7077 | 0.3942 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  | 0.8008 | 0.0000 | 0.4296 | 0.0000 | 0.5235 |
| Phe | 0.6538 | 0.6079 | 0.7460 | 0.6494 | 0.6041 |  | 0.3868 | 0.5892 | 0.5105 | 0.5135 | 0.5668 |
| Tyr | 0.5313 | 0.5891 | 0.4059 | 0.3326 | 0.4239 |  | 0.4810 | 0.3842 | 0.6738 | 0.5359 | 0.5808 |
| Met | 0.7144 | 0.4412 | 0.2897 | 0.6551 | 0.6262 |  | 0.4713 | 0.4759 | 0.5786 | 0.6281 | 0.6272 |
| Ile | 0.5946 | 0.6594 | 0.5899 | 0.4762 | 0.6830 |  | 0.4488 | 0.5580 | 0.5384 | 0.5150 | 0.5065 |
| Asn | 0.1730 | 0.5097 | 0.6126 | 0.4046 | 0.3917 |  | 0.4976 | 0.6646 | 0.4686 | 0.4375 | 0.6089 |
| Lys | 0.3916 | 0.3233 | 0.3320 | 0.3510 | 0.2028 |  | 0.1066 | 0.5681 | 0.2369 | 0.4770 | 0.4492 |

Hydrophobic amino acids known as strong beta strand formers are overrepresented in the N -terminal direction from the Glu residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (see Table 4). Valine is overrepresented in -3 and +1 positions; isoleucine is overrepresented in -1 position; phenylalanine is overrepresented in -3 position.

Among major and minor $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders, only histidine is significantly overrepresented in +3 position (see Table 4). Once again, arginine is underrepresented in three positions, while lysine is underrepresented in five different positions (see Table 4).

In proteins encoded by GC-poor genes and by genes with average $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$, the pattern of secondary structure distribution around Glu residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (see Figures 3(b) and 3(c)) is in general similar to the patterns found around aspartic acid and histidine. However, in proteins encoded by GC-rich genes, glutamic acid preferably binds $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ being included in alpha helix (see Figure 3(d)). The kind of secondary structure elements distribution shown in Figure 3(d) is similar to that for Glu residues which do not bind $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (percentage of alpha helix is about $45-50 \%$ in all positions, percentage of coil is equal to approximately $30 \%$, and the rest is left for beta strand
and helix $3 / 10$ ). However, the traces of the preference for beta strand from -4 to 0 positions still can be seen in Figure 3(d).

Even though the most commonly distributed kind of secondary structural motif (beta strand-major binder-random coil) is characteristic for Glu residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$, in proteins encoded by GC-rich genes glutamic acid residues from alpha helices became able to bind that ion too.
3.5. Secondary Structures in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Coordinating Spheres without "Beta Strand-Major Binder-Random Coil" Motif. The number of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating spheres which contain at least one binding residue situated in the "beta strand-major binder-random coil" motif is equal to $77.8 \%$. Coordinating sites without that motif demonstrate some characteristic features. The most frequently used binder in those sites is Glu (51.6\%). Two other major binders (Asp, 15.6\%, and His, $23.0 \%$ ) are used less frequently, while the percentage of all other amino acids participating in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination is relatively high (25.4\%).

Secondary structure distribution around Glu residues from the described type of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites is very specific:


FIgURe 1: Secondary structure distribution around aspartic acid residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$ ions in all the proteins (a); in proteins from GC-poor bacteria (b); in proteins from bacteria with average genomic GC-content (c); in proteins from GC-rich bacteria (d).
alpha helix is found in $80-90 \%$ of cases in all the positions around glutamic acid. Lysine residues are underrepresented in $-4,-3,-2,-1,+1$, and +3 positions around Glu, while arginine residues are underrepresented in -3 and +3 positions and overrepresented in -2 and +2 positions. It means that Arg situated on the different surface of alpha helix cannot disturb $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding by Glu, unlike Arg situated on the same surface. As to Lys, its high frequency in helices seems to be the main cause of their low level of usage around $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating residues. However, those helices (or regions of helices) which have no lysine residues are able to bind $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.

Some parts of coordination spheres which do not have any binder that fit within the dominant pattern (29.2\%) contain just a single amino acid residue coordinating $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ cation. Other ligands included in coordination spheres together with those single amino acid residues should be responsible for the cation binding.
3.6. Decrease of Lysine Usage as the Most Probable Cause of the GC-Pressure Induced Switch in Structural Types of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding Sites for Glutamic Acid. As one can see in Tables 2-4, lysine is underrepresented around Asp, His, and Glu residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ much more than any other amino acid. Lysine is encoded by GC-poor codons (AAA and AAG). It is known that total level of lysine usage in proteins decreases steeply with the growth of $G+C$ in genes [12]. Indeed, in the set of proteins used in the present work, the usage of lysine is equal to $7.21 \pm 0.66 \%$ for proteins from GC-poor bacteria; $5.94 \pm$ $0.58 \%$ for proteins from bacteria with average genomic $\mathrm{G}+$ C; and just $2.92 \pm 0.55 \%$ for proteins from GC-rich bacteria.

In Figure 4(a), we placed average usage of lysine around Glu residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding and those Glu residues which are not involved in binding. The difference is significant only for Glu residues in alpha helices: the usage of Lys around Glu residues which are not involved in binding is


FIgURe 2: Secondary structure distribution around histidine residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$ ions in all the proteins (a); in proteins from GC-poor bacteria (b); in proteins from bacteria with average genomic GC-content (c); in proteins from GC-rich bacteria (d).
about 3 times higher than that around Glu residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. It means that the presence of Lys near Glu residue in alpha helix strongly decreases its ability to participate in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. Once again, we have to highlight that lysine is known to be helix former, as well as glutamic acid [16]. So, they should be situated near each other in helices at a high probability. Some parts of those pairs should be involved in helix stabilization by the way of polar interactions or even salt bridges formation. Probably, those interactions do not allow oxygen atoms from side chains of Glu to participate in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. With the growth of GC-content, the usage of lysine in helices decreases, while the usage of glutamic acid does not decrease (or does not decrease as steeply as the usage of lysine) [11]. That is why some glutamic acid residues from alpha helices become available for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding under the influence of mutational GC-pressure.

Arginine is encoded by six codons. Four of those codons are GC-rich (CGX). The usage of arginine in three groups of bacterial proteins used in this study is growing with
the increase of genomic $G+C(4.12 \pm 0.46 \% ; 5.46 \pm$ $0.41 \% ; 7.25 \pm 0.54 \%)$. Even though both lysine and arginine possess positively charged side chains, arginine is not underrepresented in helices around glutamic acid residues (see Figure 4(b)). That is why the increase of arginine usage with the growth of GC-content does not prevent $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding by glutamic acid residues situated in helices.

## 3.7. $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding Amino Acid Residues Are Overrepresented

 in Such Motifs of Supersecondary Structure as B-BCH-H and $B-B C B-B$. In Figure 5, one can see that the usage of amino acids in beta strands is 1.66 times higher among $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding residues than among all the residues from the studied proteins ( $P<0.001$ ). In contrast, the usage of amino acids in alpha helices is 1.66 times lower among $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding residues than among all the residues ( $P<0.001$ ).Regions of coil between beta strand and alpha helix $(\mathrm{BCH})$ contain much more amino acid residues coordinating


FIGURE 3: Secondary structure distribution around glutamic acid residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$ ions in all the proteins (a); in proteins from GC-poor bacteria (b); in proteins from bacteria with average genomic GC-content (c); in proteins from GC-rich bacteria (d).
$\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ than regions of coil between alpha helix and beta strand (HCB) (see Figure 5). The usage of amino acids situated in the BCH region is 2.3 times higher in the set of residues coordinating manganese cations relatively to the whole set ( $P<0.001$ ).

Amino acids binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions are significantly overrepresented in regions of coil between two beta strands (BCB) and significantly underrepresented in regions between two alpha helices (HCH) (see Figure 5).

To complete the study, we compared usages of amino acids in the long sequences forming certain supersecondary structure motifs in the set of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating residues and in the complete set of them. According to our results, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions avoid such supersecondary structure motifs as H-HCBB and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HCH}-\mathrm{H}$ (see Figure 5). Such motifs as B-BCH-H and B-BCB-B are quite suitable for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination (see Figure 5). One may say that both alpha helix and beta strand may be situated after the "beta strand-major binder-random coil" motif.
3.8. Comparison between Apo and Holo Forms of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ Binding Proteins. There were 61 amino acid residues coordinating $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in 15 proteins for which apo forms with $100 \%$ identical amino acid sequences have been found. Interestingly, $46.7 \%$ ( 7 from 15) of apo forms do not differ from holo forms in secondary structures around $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating amino acids. Moreover, there are no differences in secondary structure elements distribution around 72.1\% (44 from 61) of those $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating amino acids.

Around $13.1 \%$ ( 8 from 61) of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding amino acids beta strands are shorter in holo forms than in apo forms. The difference between their lengths varies from 1 to 3 residues. It means that sometimes coordination of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions may lead to the beta strand to coil transition. On the other hand, there are two cases (3.3\%) when beta strand is a little bit longer in holo form than in apo form.

In two cases, the difference between structures of apo and holo forms is associated with the fact that some residues situated around $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating amino acids were not


Figure 4: The usage of lysine (a) and arginine (b) around glutamic acid residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$ and nonbinding ones situated in alpha helices, beta strands, and random coil regions. Significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) is shown by asterisk.


- Mn (II) binding residues
- All residues

Figure 5: The usage of amino acid residues coordinating $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in different types of secondary and supersecondary elements in comparison with the usage of all amino acid residues in subsequent elements. All the differences are significant $(P<0.05)$.
located in crystallographic experiment. Other differences are caused by alpha helix to $3 / 10$ helix transition (3.3\%), coil to $3 / 10$ helix transition (3.3\%), and 3/10 helix to coil transition (1.6\%). On one hand, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions (as well as other ions) may cause some changes in secondary structures around their binding sites: if atoms from amino acid residues form coordination bonds with cation, they cannot participate
anymore in some previously existing interactions stabilizing secondary structure elements. On the other hand, one may find some minor differences between 3D structures of two $100 \%$ identical proteins without any ligands or with the same set of ligands. Anyway, differences in secondary structures between apo and holo forms for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding proteins are rare and minor.

## 4. Discussion

In our opinion, such supersecondary structural motif as B-$\mathrm{BCH}-\mathrm{H}$ is suitable for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination because of some specific amino acid propensities. At first, N-termini of helices are enriched by negatively charged amino acid residues: aspartic and glutamic acids [18]. At second, BCH regions demonstrate decreased usage of positively charged amino acids: lysine and arginine [19]. Because of these reasons, B-$\mathrm{BCH}-\mathrm{H}$ motifs should frequently carry a total negative charge which should attract positively charged cations, such as $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ [19]. In contrast, H-HCB-B motifs should usually carry a total positive charge: both C-termini of helices and HCB regions are enriched by lysine and arginine [19].

There should be certain features of B-BCB-B motifs of supersecondary structure which make them suitable for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. Indeed, BCB regions are enriched by such major $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binder, as Asp [19]. Those regions of coil are flexible because of the enrichment by glycine residues [19]. This feature should play some role in the successful coordination of ions. Moreover, BCB regions are more hydrophilic than HCH ones [19].

It is known that the binding of metal ions may induce changes in secondary structure of proteins. For example, it
was shown that $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions are able to promote intermolecular beta-sheet formation by human prion protein (90-231 fragment) in vitro [20]. Aggregation of another amyloidogenic protein (alpha-synuclein involved in Parkinson disease pathogenesis) was shown to be accelerated by $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ binding [21]. Alzheimer's beta amyloid peptides in fibril form were shown to be able to bind $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions [22]. Calcitonin was shown to form aggregates in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions [23]. So, it is important to discuss here the question on causes and consequences.

We showed that there is usually beta strand in the N terminal direction from the residue binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. There are just a few apo structures available for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordinating bacterial proteins. Even though changes induced by $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding are rare and minor in our data set, stability of beta strands found in N -terminal direction of coordinating residues has to be checked bioinformatically. According to the data from Tables 2-4, beta strand formers (Val, Ile, Phe, and Leu) are overrepresented in certain positions in the N terminal direction from three major binders (Asp, His, and Glu). So, beta strands near $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites should be formed by strong beta formers. It means that most of those beta strands are quite predictable: they should exist in apo forms of the proteins and they should not be destroyed after the binding of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ion.

According to the propensity scale [14], certain hydrophobic (WOOOO; OWOOO; OOOWO; OOOOW; OOOOO) and amphiphilic (WOWOW; WOWOO; OOWOW; OWOWO) pentapeptides are overrepresented in beta strands. We calculated total usage of those sheet-like pentapeptides in " $-5-1$ " and " $-4-0$ " positions for amino acid residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding in case if there were beta strands in " -3 " and " -2 " positions, respectively.

As one can see in Figure 6, the percentage of sheet-like pentapeptides in " $-5-1$ " positions from the Asp involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding is significantly higher than that percentage for Asp which is not involved in metal ion coordination ( $69.84 \%$ versus $55.13 \% ; P<0.01$ ). The difference for " $-4-$ 0 " pentapeptides is even higher (62.28\% versus 37.12\%; $P<$ 0.001 ). Beta strands near the aspartic acid residues from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites are formed from sheet-like pentapeptides even more frequently than beta strands near Asp residues which are not involved in binding. So, the kind of secondary structural site for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding described in the present work ("beta strand-major binder-random coil") should be stable. Beta strands from those sites should not be formed or destroyed due to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding.

The same tendency is characteristic for glutamic acid residues binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. Pentapeptides in " $-5--1$ " positions are sheet-like in $69.09 \%$ beta strands situated near the Glu binding $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and just $48.77 \%$ of them are sheet-like in case if Glu is not involved in binding ( $P<0.01$ ). For " $-4-0$ " pentapeptides, the difference is somewhat higher ( $56.90 \%$ versus $35.68 \%$; $P<0.01$ ).

Beta strands situated near histidine residues involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding contain approximately the same percentage of sheet-like pentapeptides as those situated near histidine residues which are not involved in binding (see Figure 6).


Figure 6: The usage of sheet-like pentapeptides in beta strands situated in " $-5--1$ " and " $-4-0$ " positions before Asp, Glu, and His residues involved and not involved in $\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$ binding. Significant differences $(P<0.05)$ are designated by asterisks.

In general, we can state that beta strands in "beta strandmajor binder-random coil" secondary structural motifs for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding are stable enough since both amino acid residues known as strong beta formers and sheet-like pentapeptides are overrepresented in them.

It is known that the "two-histidines-one-carboxylate" binding motif is a widely represented first coordination sphere motif present in the active site of a variety of metalloenzymes [24]. Since histidine and two amino acid residues with carboxyl groups in their side chains are the major binders of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$, this motif should be present in our data set as well. However, there are just 11 from 215 (5.12\%) $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding sites which consist of two histidines and a single glutamic or aspartic acid. The percentage of sites with three amino acid residues ( $28.37 \%$ ) is lower than the percentage of sites with four amino acid residues (36.74\%). There also may be five ( $5.12 \%$ ), two ( $17.67 \%$ ), or even a single amino acid residue ( $12.09 \%$ ) in a binding site. Cases when there is only a single atom from the protein participating in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination can be explained by the fact that there are also several atoms from another ligand bound to that protein interacting with $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. Oxygen atoms from water molecules are also frequently described as those participating in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination.

Since there are usually four or three amino acid residues in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding site, it is very interesting to estimate the percentage of "type I" sites containing at least one amino acid residue with characteristic beta strand in the N -direction. This percentage is equal to $77.78 \%$ for proteins from GCpoor bacteria; $80.00 \%$ for proteins from bacteria with average
genomic GC-content; and 74.63\% for proteins from GC-rich bacteria. The differences between those values are insignificant.

Theoretically, existence of at least one "beta strand-major binder-random coil" secondary structural motif may be important for successful $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binding. In proteins encoded by GC-rich genes, the percentage of binding Glu residues situated in alpha helices increased significantly, while most of those residues bind the ion together with at least one amino acid from characteristic "beta strand-major binderrandom coil" motif. It is likely that amino acid residues in that characteristic secondary structural motif are "active" $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binders, while all the other atoms are included in coordination sphere just because they are situated near that "active" binder. On the other hand, $20-25 \%$ of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions were bound by proteins without involvement of the characteristic "beta strand-major binder-random coil" structural motif. Most of those proteins coordinate $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions by "type II" sites which are made from Glu residues included in helices with low Lys usage.

## 5. Conclusions

In this work, we used a new bioinformatical approach to study the preferences in secondary structure motifs for metal coordinating amino acid residues microenvironment. Three sets of PDB files have been collected in respect of GCcontent of genes encoding the proteins with determined three-dimensional structures. With the help of this approach, one will be able not only to test whether the data are reproducible in three different sets, but to find out previously unknown consequences of symmetric mutational pressure.

In this particular study, we showed that beta strand is often situated before the amino acid residue participating in $\mathrm{Mn} 2+$ ion coordination, region of coil is usually situated after the interacting residue, that region of coil may connect abovementioned beta strand with either another beta strand or alpha helix. This information is useful for future development of an algorithm for $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ binding sites prediction. Moreover, we showed that mutational GC-pressure leads to the more frequent involvement of glutamic acid residues situated in alpha helices into the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ coordination.

## Abbreviations

G + C, GC-content: The usage of guanine and cytosine in a gene or genome
Xaa: Any amino acid
W: Any hydrophilic amino acid (Arg; Lys; His; Asp; Glu; Asn; Gln; Ser; Thr)
O: Any hydrophobic amino acid (Ala; Gly; Pro; Val; Leu; Met; Ile; Tyr; Phe; Cys; Trp)
BCH: Random coil between beta strand and alpha helix
BCB: Random coil between two beta strands

HCB: Random coil between alpha helix and beta strand
$\mathrm{HCH}: \quad$ Random coil between two alpha helices
B-BCH-H: Supersecondary structural motif which includes beta strand, coil, and alpha helix (from N - to C-terminus)
B-BCB-B: Supersecondary structural motif which includes beta strand, coil, and beta strand (from N - to C-terminus)
H-HCB-B: Supersecondary structural motif which includes alpha helix, coil, and beta strand (from N- to C-terminus)
H-HCH-H: Supersecondary structural motif which includes alpha helix, coil, and alpha helix (from N - to C -terminus).
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