Medicine

The genetic association between apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and Parkinson disease A meta-analysis of 47 studies

Jianming Li, PhD^{a,b,c}, Jia Luo, PhD^a, Li Liu, MD^a, Hui Fu, MD^{a,b}, Liang Tang, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

Objective: Although the relationship between apolipoprotein E (ApoE) gene polymorphisms and the risk of Parkinson disease (PD) has been established, the results were inconsistent and inconclusive.

Methods: A comprehensive search examining the association between APOE polymorphisms and PD through PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Cochrane Library databases was performed without published year limited.

Results: A total of 47 studies with 7533 cases and 14442 controls were included in present study. The results showed statistically significant association between risk factor ApoE ϵ 4 allele and PD in Asian population (*P*=.003, odds ratio, OR [95% confidence interval, CI]=1.43 [1.13,1.80]). Genotype $\epsilon 2\epsilon 4$ have significantly associated with PD in Asian population (*P*=.004, OR [95% CI]= 4.43 [1.62,12.10]). Genotype $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ was significantly associated with PD in Latin-American population (*P*=.01, OR [95% CI]=1.44 [1.08,1.91]). In addition, the frequency of the genotype $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ is also statistically significant (*P*=.006, OR [95% CI]=0.86 [0.77,0.96]). Although significant heterogeneity was observed among all studies, the results were shown to be stabilized by sensitive analysis. No publish bias was observed.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis suggests that the APOE ϵ 4, but no ϵ 2, might be a risk factor for PD in Asian population. Furthermore, the genotype ϵ 2 ϵ 4 may be a susceptible factor for PD in Asian population, and the genotype ϵ 3 ϵ 4 may be a susceptible factor for PD in both Caucasian and Latin-American populations.

Abbreviations: ApoE = apolipoprotein E, CI = confidence interval, CNKI = Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, NOS = Newcastle–Ottawa Scale, OR = odds ratio, PD = Parkinson disease, SNP = single nuclear polymrphism.

Keywords: apolipoprotein E, meta-analysis, Parkinson disease, polymorphism

1. Introduction

Apolipoprotein E (Apo-E), plays a key role in lipid metabolism, is considered as one of the most powerful genetic risk factors for

Editor: Xiong Kun.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

^a Department of Human Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, Institute of Neuroscience, ^b Department of Human Anatomy, School of Basic Medical Science, Changsha Medical University, ^c Department of Neurology, Xiang-ya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, People's Republic of China.

^{*} Correspondence: Liang Tang, Department of Human Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, Institute of Neuroscience, Changsha Medical University, Changsha 410219, People's Republic of China (e-mail: tlcool318@163.com).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Medicine (2018) 97:43(e12884)

Received: 7 July 2018 / Accepted: 24 September 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000012884 Alzheimer disease (AD).^[1–3] Three common polymorphisms (ϵ_2 , ϵ_3 , and ϵ_4) and 6 genotypes (ϵ_2/ϵ_2 , ϵ_3/ϵ_3 , ϵ_4/ϵ_4 , ϵ_3/ϵ_2 , ϵ_4/ϵ_2 , ϵ_4/ϵ_3) were included in the ApoE gene. The ϵ_3 allele is predominant in all populations, followed by ϵ_4 and ϵ_2 alleles. While, the frequencies of ϵ_2 , ϵ_3 , and ϵ_4 varied among populations.^[4–5] The APOE gene, especially for the ϵ_4 allele, was identified to be a susceptible factor for AD and lower age at disease onset.^[6–7] In addition, Parkinson disease (PD) was revealed to share some clinical, neurochemical, and pathologic features with AD.^[8] For example, patients with PD frequently develop dementia, and patients with AD often develop parkinsonism.^[9] Both the 2 diseases are characterized by neuronal death and protein deposition (eg, amyloid or α -synuclein).^[10] For these reasons, the APOE gene has been hypothesized to be an important susceptible factor for PD.

Previous studies have evaluated the role of APOE in dementia associated with PD.^[11–12] In contrast to AD, the results on the genetic association between APOE polymorphisms and PD were controversial. The APOE ε 4 allele was a major susceptible factor for sporadic PD in Spain,^[13] Mexican,^[14] and Indian^[15] populations. However, most of other publications have reported no significant association between the APOE ε 4 allele and PD risk.^[16–18] In contrast, the APOE ε 2 allele seems to occur with lower frequency in PD, which indicated a protective factor for APOE ε 2 allele in PD. Pulkes et al^[19] and Li et al^[20] have shown that the frequency of APOE ε 2 allele in PD were significantly higher than that in the control group in Thailand and Chinese

This study was funded by the Foundation of the Education Department of Hunan (16A027, 13C1115, 13C1116, 16C0167), the Foundation of the Health Department of Hunan (B2017041), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81873780), and the construct program of the key discipline in Hunan province.

populations. While, most of other researches suggested no association between APOE $\varepsilon 2$ and PD risk.^[21-22] Furthermore, the APOE genotype $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 4$ was reported to be associated with an increased risk of PD in Japanese population.^[21] The APOE genotype $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ was reported to be associated with an increased risk of PD in Mexican^[23] and Indian^[15] populations. While, this positive results cannot be replicated in other studies.^[24-25]

Considered the relatively small sample size and contradictory conclusions in individual study, we attempt to performed a metaanalysis of existing studies to clarify whether APOE alleles ($\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$) and genotype contributes significantly to the risk of PD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patient and public involvement

There was no patient and public involvement in present metaanalysis. An ethical approval is not necessary for a meta-analysis.

2.2. Literature search strategy

This meta-analysis followed the Cochrane collaboration definition and PRISMA 2009 guidelines for meta-analysis and systematic review.^[26] A exhaustive search was performed through Embase, Cochrane Library, PubMed, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) data bases for relevant studies using the following terms: "apolipoprotein E," "APOE," "polymorphism," "single nuclear polymorphism (SNP)," "Parkinson disease," "PD." No language and published year were limited. Other relevant references of identified studies were retrieved by cross-references.

2.3. Inclusion/exclusion criteria

The following criteria were used for the literature inclusion: articles should concern APOE polymorphism and PD risk; casecontrol and/or cohort designed studies; contained SNP genotype data both in case and control groups; adequate data for the calculation of odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs); and the genotype distribution in control groups was in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). In addition, studies were excluded when they were: studies that contained overlapping data with other literature; data came from case-reports, reviews or abstracts; not case-control and/or cohort designed studies; genotype frequencies were unavailable; and control group did not confirm to HWE.

2.4. Data extraction

Two independent authors (JL and LL) simultaneously selected the relevant articles according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria and performed the data extraction process. The following terms were extracted: the first author, published year, ethnicity, age, gender, genotyping methods, diagnostic criteria, number of cases and controls, and number of genotype. All discrepancies were resolved by a consensus achieved by a third author (JML).

2.5. Quality assessment

The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the study quality.^[27] Adequacy of case definition, representativeness

of the cases, selection of controls, definition of controls, comparability cases/controls, comparability cases/controls, same method of ascertainment, and non-response rate were taken into account and given a corresponding score. Total score ranged from 0 (lowest quality) to 8 (highest quality). A study with a score of 6 or higher was classified as high quality.

2.6. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the STATA 12.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) and Revman 5 (Cochrane Collaboration, London, United Kingdom). The strength of relationship between APOE ε_2 , ε_3 , ε_4 alleles, $\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3$, $\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_4$, ε3ε3, ε3ε4, and ε4ε4 genotypes and PD susceptibility was evaluated using crude ORs with 95% CI. Subsequently, stratified analyses by ethnicity were also performed out. A χ^2 -based Cochran Q test and Higgins I^2 statistic was used to evaluate the between-study heterogeneity of the studies. A P value of less than .05 was considered significant. I^2 values of >50% indicate heterogeneity among studies. A fixed effect model (the Mantel-Haenszel method) was adopted if there was no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$). Otherwise, the random effect model (the DerSimonian and Laird method) was used. The stability of the results was assessed using sensitivity analysis, which omitting single study each time to evaluate the influence of each study on the pooled OR. Funnel plots were used to assess publication bias by the methods of Begg test and Egger test. A t test was performed to determine the significance of the asymmetry. An asymmetric plot suggested possible publication bias ($P \ge .05$ suggests no bias).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the published studies

As shown in Figure 1, a total of 2584 studies were retrieved initially. 957 studies were excluded for duplicated data. After reviewing the titles, abstracts, and full texts, 1475 were excluded for not related to the genetic association between APOE gene polymorphism and PD risk. And 105 were excluded for being review, short communication, letter, and conference abstract. Finally, 47 individual studies were enrolled in present meta-analysis.^[13–25,28–61] Among these studies, 16 were conducted in Chinese population, 27 were in Caucasian population, and 4 were in Latin American population. The quality assessments and NOS scores for each study were list in Table 1^[13–25,28–61] and Table s1, http://links.lww.com/MD/C565.

3.2. Meta-analysis: APOE alleles and PD

The results of the association between APOE alleles and PD risk were listed in Table 2. A total of 47 studies reported the relation of APOE $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$ and PD. and significant association between APOE $\epsilon 2$ and PD were observed (*P*=.04, OR [95% CI]=1.23 [1.01, 1.50]), suggesting this allele might be a risk factor for PD. Both the distributions of APOE $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$ were not statistically different in PD population and healthy population (*P*>.05) (Table 2, Figs. 2–4). Subgroup analysis stratified by ethnicity shown that the significant difference for distribution of APOE $\epsilon 2$ between PD population and healthy population didn't exist anymore (*P*>.05). However, the APOE $\epsilon 4$ was shown to be significantly associated with the PD risk in Asian population (*P*=.003, OR [95% CI]=1.43 [1.13, 1.80]) (Table 2).



3.3. Meta-analysis: APOE genotypes and PD

Significant association was only found between $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 4$ (P = .02, OR [95% CI] = 1.69 [1.10, 2.62]) and PD risk. Subgroup analysis stratified by ethnicity shown that the significant association between $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 4$ and PD was only in Asian population (P = .004, OR [95% CI] = 4.43 [1.62, 12.10]), but not in Caucasian and Latin-American populations (P > .05). In addition, the distribution of $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 4$ was significantly different in PD and control group in both

Caucasian (P=.006, OR [95% CI]=0.86 [0.77, 0.96]) and Latin-American populations (P=.01, OR [95% CI]=1.44 [1.08, 1.91]), but not in Asian population (P=.93, OR [95% CI]=0.99 [0.83, 1.18])(Table 3).

3.4. Test of heterogeneity

Significant heterogeneity was detected in allele models of APOE $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$ (Table 2). Therefore, subgroup analysis stratified

Table 1

Characteristics of included studies.

				Gender, F%,	Genotyping	Diagnostic		
Author	Year	Ethnicity	Age, y, (case/control)	(case/control)	methods	criteria	Case	Control
Hao et al ^[55]	2001	Chinese	63+11/62+11	42.1/47.5	PCR-RFLP	NA	64	101
Zeng et al ^[54]	2000	Chinese	68.2 + 6.1/59.2 + 16.3	31.5/32.5	PCR-RFLP	DSM- IV	54	234
Li et al ^[20]	1998	Chinese	58.8±12.6/NA	40.3/NA	PCR-RFLP	DSM- IV	52	438
Qin et al ^[56]	1998	Chinese	$66.6 \pm 10.3/68.1 \pm 9.2$	33.3/8.3	PCR-RFLP	DSM-III-R	36	60
Wang et al ^[57]	2001	Chinese	66.13 + 7.32/65.5 + 8.07	30.0/42.3	PCR-RFLP	DSM-III-R	40	52
Zhang et al ^[61]	1999	Chinese	$60.67 \pm 11.68/65.88 \pm 9.50$	NA	PCR-RFI P	DSM-III-R	72	66
Zhao et al ^[58]	2003	Chinese	$63 \pm 11/68 \pm 13$	41 2/46 4	PCR-RELP	DSM-III-B	68	110
Zhou et al ^[59]	2004	Chinese	$674 \pm 102/694 \pm 114$	27 5/36 5	PCR-RELP	DSM- IV	36	52
Whitehead et al ^[69]	1996	Iran	$56.9 \pm 6.6/58.0 \pm 7.1$	34 9/37 7	NA	NA NA	189	162
Kivohara et al ^[21]	2011	Jananese	$68.5 \pm 8.68/69.7 \pm 5.63$	61.8/61/5	TagMan	DSM- IV	238	296
Pulkes et al ^[19]	2011	Thailand	NA	43.5/NA	PCB-BELP	DSM- IV	155	158
Sinch et al ^[15]	2014	Indian	58.01 + 8.62/59.71 + 8.11	45 7/39 0	PCB-BELP	MMSE	70	100
Byu et al ^[17]	2010	Korean	$711 \pm 82/722 \pm 49$	70 9/49 5	TagMan	MMSE	234	192
Higuchi et al ^[43]	2010	lananese	$655 \pm 95/740 \pm 56$	56 4/69 4	PCR-RELP	MMSE	140	382
Tang et al ^[52]	2000	Chinese	$65.61 \pm 5.42/55.81 \pm 15.46$	48 5/52 5	PCR-RELP	DSM- IV	68	160
Vamamoto et al ^[53]	1007	lananoso	NA	40.0/02.0 60.8/NA	PCB_RELP	NA NA	163	576
Buchanan et al ^[22]	2007	Australian	66 05 ± 0 80/64 16 ± 10 83	13 1/70 5	PCB_RELP		100	387
Rop of al ^[31]	1000	Nothorland	NA	43.4/70.3 NA		MMSE	100	06
Eorola at al ^[33]	1999	Finland		10 9/62 E			147	107
Econoporation of al ^[34]	2002	Cormony	$07.2 \pm 0.77705.0 \pm 0.20$	40.0/03.3		DOM IV	147	137
Maraa at al	1990	Germany	$70.0\pm0.2/71.2\pm9.3$			DOM IV	20	170
MaCullach et al ^[24]	2013	UK	$01.35 \pm 10.19/54.01 \pm 0.73$	00.3/00.1		DOM IV	103	170
	2008	American	$07.3 \pm 12.2/00.0 \pm 10.0$	31.0/01.0		DOIVI- IV	932	004
Oliveri et al ^{cos}	1999	Italian	$65.8 \pm 9.01/66.3 \pm 8.5$	42.9/52.1	PCK-RFLP	IVIIVISE	126	119
Papapetropoulos et al	2007	UK	//.±/.9//9.1±12.8	28/52.7	sequencing	NA	118	91
Parsian et al	2002	American			INA T. M	NA	100	94
Harrington et al	1994	UK	$(/./\pm/.1/8.1\pm/.7)$	49.0/51.7	Taqivian	NA	51	58
Arai et al	1994	American	NA	NA	NA	DSM-III-R	98	48
Gao et al	2011	Caucasians	NA	23.7/21.2	MassARRAY	NA	786	1537
Harhangi et al	2000	Rotterdam	$75.8 \pm 7.8/69.0 \pm 8.6$	63.0/55.2	PCR-RFLP	DSM-III-R	81	4805
Koller et al	1995	American	$67.4 \pm 7.9/69.9 \pm 6.5$	37.7/52.6	PCR-dot-blot	NA	61	78
Rubinsztein et al	1994	UK	NA	NA	PCR-RFLP	NA	33	34
Williams-Gray et al	2009	UK	62.5±12.8/NA	40/52	Taqman	DSM-IV	505	478
Ghebremedhin et al ^[37]	2006	UK	$75.1 \pm 6.9/73.4 \pm 7.4$	42.6/42.6	PCR-RFLP	MMSE	108	108
Blázquez et al ^[13]	2006	Spain	$72.0 \pm 9.6/70.9 \pm 8$	45.4/48.1	PCR-RFLP	MMSE	185	212
Troster et al ^[16]	2006	USA	$65.6 \pm 8.2/71.5 \pm 7.2$	25.8/51	dot-blot	DSM-IV	62	146
Martinoli et al ^[47]	1995	USA	NA	NA	PCR-RFLP	DSM-III-R	10	243
Helisalmi et al ^[42]	1996	Finland	$71 \pm 6/69 \pm 8$	46.7/53.3	PCR-RFLP	NA	100	100
Grasbon-Frodl et al ^[39]	1999	German	NA	70/58.5	PCR-RFLP	NA	62	53
Ballering et al ^[30]	1997	Netherland	NA	NA	NA	NA	50	107
Goetz et al ^[38]	2001	Chicago	NA	NA	PCR-RFLP	NA	44	44
Dürr et al	1997	France	NA	NA	PCR-RFLP	NA	46	387
Schulte et al ^[51]	2003	UK	$67.5 \pm 10.5/72.0 \pm 4.3$	46/48	PCR-RFLP	NA	382	306
Kruger et al ^[45]	1999	Germany	66.53±11.08/NA	44/NA	PCR-RFLP	NA	193	177
Ezquerra et al ^[35]	2008	Barcelona	$56 \pm 8.4/57.4 \pm 8.7$	NA	PCR-RFLP	DSM- IV	138	91
Gregório et al ^[18]	2013	Brazil	$69.2 \pm 11.1/71.7 \pm 8.5$	38/52	PCR-RFLP	DSM- IV	232	137
Gallegos-Arreola et al ^[14]	2009	Mexican	$63 \pm 9/50 \pm 14$	40/56	PCR-RFLP	NA	105	107
López et al ^[23]	2010	Mexican	62.28±12.85/63.97±11.23	39/7/39.7	NA	DSM-IV	229	229

DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, MMSE = Mini-mental State Examination, NA = not available, PCR-RFLP = polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism, UK = United Kingdom, USA = United States of America.

Table 2		
The associ	ation between APOE polymorphisms and Parkinson's disease.	

			Numbers		Test of association			Test of heterogeneity	
Alleles	Subgroups	Number of studies	Case	Control	OR [95% CI]	Р	Model	Р	ľ² (%)
ε2	Total	47	14866	28848	1.23 [1.01, 1.50]	.04	R	<.00001	75
	Caucasian	27	10100	21478	1.19 [0.98, 1.45]	.08	R	<.0001	59
	Asian	16	3358	6242	1.16 [0.70, 1.92]	.57	R	<.00001	87
	Latin-American	4	1408	1128	1.33 [0.73, 2.42]	.36	F	.27	24
εЗ	Total	47	15066	28696	0.85 [0.66, 1.10]	.21	R	<.00001	94
	Caucasian	27	10300	21264	1.12 [0.90, 1.39]	.32	R	<.00001	87
	Asian	16	3358	6278	0.56 [0.29, 1.10]	.09	R	<.00001	97
	Latin-American	4	1408	1128	0.71 [0.44, 1.13]	.15	R	.005	76
ε4	Total	47	14986	28650	1.11 [0.95, 1.30]	.18	R	<.00001	75
	Caucasian	27	10300	21478	0.94 [0.77, 1.13]	.50	R	<.00001	75
	Asian	16	3278	6044	1.43 [1.13, 1.80]	.003	R	.005	54
	Latin-American	4	1408	1128	1.41 [0.90, 2.21]	.13	R	.03	66

APOE=apolipoprotein E, CIs=confidence intervals, F=fixed model, OR=odds ratios, R=random model.

	Experim	nental	Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Arai 1994	12	196	2	96	1.1%	3.07 [0.67, 13.98]	
Ballering 1997	12	100	19	214	2.3%	1.40 [0.65, 3.01]	
Blázquez 2006	25	370	30	424	2.7%	0.95 [0.55, 1.65]	
Bon 1999	12	198	30	192	2.4%	0.35 [0.17, 0.70]	
Buchanan 2007	62	844	50	774	3.1%	1.15 [0.78, 1.69]	
Dürr 1997	13	92	7	774	1.9%	18.03 [6.99, 46.51]	
Eerola 2002	21	294	22	274	2.6%	0.88 [0.47, 1.64]	
Egensperger 1996	4	40	4	108	1.2%	2.89 [0.69, 12.15]	
Ezquerra 2008	11	276	10	182	2.1%	0.71 [0.30, 1.72]	
Gallegos-Arreola 2009	13	210	5	214	1.8%	2.76 [0.97, 7.88]	· · · ·
Gao 2011	126	1572	256	3074	3.3%	0.96 [0.77, 1.20]	+
Ghebremedhin 2006	22	216	15	216	2.5%	1.52 [0.77, 3.02]	+
Goetz 2001	5	88	4	88	1.3%	1.27 [0.33, 4.88]	
Grasbon-Frodl 1999	7	124	4	106	1.5%	1.53 [0.43, 5.36]	
Gregório 2013	20	464	8	274	2.2%	1.50 [0.65, 3.45]	-
Hao 2001	10	128	14	202	2.1%	1.14 [0.49, 2.65]	
Harhangi 2000	16	162	833	9610	2.8%	1.15 [0.69, 1.94]	- - -
Harrington1994	9	102	10	116	1.9%	1.03 [0.40, 2.63]	
Helisalmi 1996	10	200	2	200	1.1%	5.21 [1.13, 24.09]	
Higuchi 2000	13	280	35	764	2.5%	1.01 [0.53, 1.95]	
Kivohara 2011	30	476	22	592	2.7%	1.74 [0.99, 3.06]	
Koller 1995	8	122	14	156	2.0%	0.71 [0.29, 1.76]	
Kru "aer 1999	33	386	27	354	2.8%	1.13 [0.67, 1.92]	
Li 1998	34	104	35	876	2.8%	11.67 [6.86, 19.85]	
Lo'pez 2007	2	458	2	458	0.8%	1.00 [0.14, 7,13]	
Marca 2013	4	326	4	352	1.3%	1.08 [0.27, 4.36]	
Martinoli 1995	0	20	38	486	0.4%	0.28 [0.02, 4, 79]	
McCulloch 2008	149	1864	93	1328	3.3%	1 15 [0 88 1 51]	-
Oliveri 1999	13	252	20	238	2.4%	0.59 [0.29, 1.22]	
Papapetropoulos 2007	19	236	10	182	2.1%	1 51 [0 68 3 32]	
Parsian 2002	19	332	11	188	2.3%	0.98 [0.45, 2.10]	
Pulkes 2011	34	310	18	316	2.0%	2 04 [1 13 3 70]	
Oin 1998	1	72	3	120	0.6%	0.55 [0.06, 5.38]	
Rubinsztein1994	9	66	3	68	1.3%	3 42 [0 88 13 25]	
Rvu 2010	28	468	21	348	2.7%	0.99 [0.55, 1.78]	
schulte 2003	67	764	47	612	3.1%		
Singh 2014	7	1/0	12	200	1 9%		
Tang 2002	, Q	136	25	320	2.2%	0.87 [0.38, 1.84]	
Tro "stor 2006	12	124	20	2020	2.270		
Wang 2001	1	+ ۹۸	2 2	104	0.6%	0 43 10 04 4 181	<u>_</u> _
Whitehead 1006	ו 21	378	20	204	2 7%	1 36 10 76 2 /21	+
Williams_Gray 2000	٦1 ٨٨	1010	20 70	056	2.1 /0	1 01 0 73 1 201	+
Yamamoto1007	14	326	135	1152	2 7%		_ _ _
Zena 2000	14 5	1020	155	1152	2.1/0 1/10/	1 50 [1 28 15 91]	—
Zong 2000 Zhang 1000	ن ۱۹	1//	0 19	120	1.4/0 2 Q0/	4.00 [1.20, 10.01] 0.72 [0.42, 1.40]	
Zhang 1999 Zhao 2003	4Z	144	40 01	220	2.070	0.72 [0.43, 1.19]	
Zhou 2004	9 7	72	17	104	2.2%	0.55 [0.22, 1.41]	+
Total (95% CI)		14866		28848	100.0%	1.23 [1.01, 1.50]	•
Total events	1094		2114				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$	29: Chi ² =	180,90	df = 46 (P	< 0.000)01): l ² = 7	'5%	<u>⊢</u>
Test for overall effect: 7	= 2 03 (P =	= 0 04)		0.000			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Toot for overall effect. Z	2.00 (1 -	0.04)					control experimental
Figu	re 2. Fores	st plots of	f odds rati	os for th	e associat	ion between APOE ε2 and	Parkinson disease.

by ethnicity was performed. Notable, the significant heterogeneity of allele model of APOE $\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$, and $\varepsilon 4$ still exist (Except for APOE $\varepsilon 2$ in Latin-American population) (P=.27, $I^2\%=24$) (Table 2). For the genotypes, significant heterogeneity were also detected in $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 4$ (P < .0001, $I^2\%=58$) and $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ (P < .0001,

 $I^2 \% = 80$). Subgroup analysis stratified by ethnicity shown the significant heterogeneity for $\epsilon 2\epsilon 4$ was only detected in Asian population (P < .0001, $I^2 \% = 72$) but not in Caucasian (P = .47, $I^2 \% = 0$) and Latin-American populations (P = .57, $I^2 \% = 0$). Furthermore, significant heterogeneity for $\epsilon 3\epsilon 3$ were detected in

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Arai 1994	166	196	86	96	2.0%	0.64 [0.30, 1.38]	
Ballering 1997	69	100	0	0		Not estimable	
Blázquez 2006	330	370	356	424	2.3%	1.58 [1.04, 2.39]	
Bon 1999	69	398	26	218	2.2%	1.55 [0.95, 2.52]	
Buchanan 2007	657	844	596	774	2.4%	1.05 [0.83, 1.32]	+
Dürr 1997	78	92	79	774	2.1%	49.01 [26.51, 90.63]	
Eerola 2002	222	294	198	274	2.3%	1.18 [0.81, 1.72]	+
Egensperger 1996	31	40	92	108	1.9%	0.60 [0.24, 1.49]	
Ezquerra 2008	239	276	152	182	2.2%	1.27 [0.76, 2.15]	
Gallegos-Arreola 2009	153	210	189	214	2.2%	0.36 [0.21, 0.59]	
Gao 2011	1377	1572	2616	3074	2.4%	1.24 [1.03, 1.48]	-
Ghebremedhin 2006	145	216	168	216	2.3%	0.58 [0.38, 0.90]	
Goetz 2001	67	88	71	88	2.0%	0 76 [0 37 1 57]	
Grashon-Frodl 1999	101	124	91	106	2.0%	0.72 [0.36, 1.47]	
Gregório 2013	304	161	238	274	2.0%	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]	
Han 2001	100	104	171	202	2.3 /0	0.05 [0.35, 1.51]	_ _
Hao 2001	100	120	7207	202	2.2/0		+
Harrington	129	102	1291	110	∠.3% 2.10/		<u> </u>
narrington 1994	83	102	82	116	2.1%	1.81 [0.96, 3.43]	<u> </u>
	80	200	11	200	2.3%	1.06 [0.71, 1.59]	-
Higuchi 2000	238	280	660	764	2.3%	0.89 [0.61, 1.32]	
Kiyohara 2011	39	476	513	592	2.3%	0.01 [0.01, 0.02]	4
Koller 1995	96	122	121	156	2.2%	1.07 [0.60, 1.90]	
Kru ¨ger 1999	292	386	272	354	2.3%	0.94 [0.67, 1.31]	7
Li 1998	41	104	728	876	2.3%	0.13 [0.09, 0.20]	
Lo´pez 2007	381	458	405	458	2.3%	0.65 [0.44, 0.94]	
Marca 2013	301	326	327	352	2.2%	0.92 [0.52, 1.64]	
Martinoli 1995	18	20	399	486	1.3%	1.96 [0.45, 8.61]	
McCulloch 2008	1473	1864	1049	1328	2.4%	1.00 [0.84, 1.19]	+
Oliveri 1999	218	252	202	238	2.2%	1.14 [0.69, 1.90]	
Papapetropoulos 2007	180	236	158	182	2.2%	0.49 [0.29, 0.82]	
Parsian 2002	279	332	163	188	2.2%	0.81 [0.48, 1.35]	
Pulkes 2011	245	310	257	316	2.3%	0.87 [0.58, 1.28]	
Qin 1998	69	72	112	120	1.4%	1 64 [0 42, 6 40]	
Rubinsztein1994	52	66	54	68	1.9%	0.96 [0.42, 2.21]	
Ryu 2010	404	468	338	384	2.3%	0.86 [0.57, 1.29]	
schulte 2003	582	764	477	612	2.0%		4
Singh 2014	111	1/0	172	200	2.7/0		
Tang 2002	111	140	110	200	2.2/0 2.20/		_ _
Tra ligizouz	00	100	201	320	2.270		_
10 Stel 2000	09	124	220	292	∠.∠% 1 70/		
wang 2001	<i>כ</i> / ۵	80	94	104	1.1%		
vvnitenead 1996	292	3/8	261	324	2.3%	0.82 [0.57, 1.18]	L
vvilliams-Gray 2009	/92	1010	/25	956	2.4%	1.16 [0.94, 1.43]	ļ.
Yamamoto1997	279	326	975	1152	2.3%	1.08 [0.76, 1.53]	[
Zeng 2000	50	108	415	468	2.2%	0.11 [0.07, 0.18]	<u> </u>
Zhang 1999	85	144	71	132	2.2%	1.24 [0.77, 1.99]	
Zhao 2003	111	136	179	220	2.2%	1.02 [0.59, 1.76]	
Zhou 2004	57	72	83	104	2.0%	0.96 [0.46, 2.02]	—
Total (95% CI)		15066		28696	100.0%	0.85 [0.66. 1.10]	•
Total events	11450		22282				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$	72: Chi² = ۶	309.04	df = 45 (P)	< 0 000)01): l ² = 9	4%	⊢
Test for overall effect: 7	= 1.25 (P =	0.21)		0.000			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
		5.21)					control experimental

Figure 3. Forest plots of odds ratios for the association between APOE $\epsilon 3$ and Parkinson disease.

Asian (P=.0004, I^2 %=64) and Latin-American populations (P<.00001, I^2 %=98), but not in Caucasian population (P=.31, I^2 %=11) (Table 2).

3.5. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis on the overall risk estimate by excluding one study at a time was confirmed. The ORs were not

significantly altered in APOE $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$ allele analysis (Fig. 5). Begg test and Egger test were used to evaluate publication bias. The *P* value for Egger linear regression test is shown in Figure 6. The results revealed that there was no obvious publication bias in overall analysis for $\epsilon 2$ ($P_{\rm egger}$ =.367), $\epsilon 3$ ($P_{\rm egger}$ =.586) and $\epsilon 4$ ($P_{\rm egger}$ =.069) in APOE.

	Experim	nental	Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Arai 1994	18	196	8	96	1.6%	1.11 [0.47, 2.66]	_
Ballering 1997	19	100	34	214	2.2%	1.24 [0.67, 2.31]	
Blázquez 2006	15	370	38	424	2.2%	0.43 [0.23, 0.79]	
Bon 1999	19	398	48	192	2.3%	0.15 [0.09, 0.26]	
Buchanan 2007	112	844	117	774	3.0%	0.86 [0.65, 1.14]	
Dürr 1997	9	92	14	774	1.6%	5.89 [2.47, 14.02]	
Eerola 2002	51	294	56	274	2.7%	0.82 [0.54, 1.24]	
Egensperger 1996	5	40	12	108	1.2%	1.14 [0.38, 3.48]	
Ezquerra 2008	26	276	20	182	2.2%	0.84 [0.46, 1.56]	
Gallegos-Arreola 2009	44	210	20	214	2.3%	2.57 [1.46, 4.54]	
Gao 2011	169	1572	400	3074	3.2%	0.81 [0.67, 0.97]	-
Ghebremedhin 2006	49	216	33	216	2.5%	1 63 [1 00 2 65]	
Goetz 2001	15	88	13	88	1.8%	1 19 [0 53 2 66]	
Grashon-Frodl 1999	16	124	10	106	1.8%	1.28 [0.57, 2.89]	
Gregório 2013	50	464	28	274	2.5%		- -
Hao 2001	18	128	20 17	202	2.0%	1 78 [0.88 3 60]	<u>+</u>
Harbandi 2000	17	162	1/180	9610	2.0%		
Harrington1004	10	102	1400	116	2.5 /0	1 15 10 /6 2 001	_ _
Holicolmi 1006	10	200	10	200	1.0 /0		_
Hensainii 1990	20	200	60	200	2.6%	1 16 [0.23, 1.27]	
Higuchi 2000	29 E 4	200	69 57	704 500	2.0%	1.10 [0.74, 1.04]	
Kiyonara 2011 Kollor 1005	10	4/0	07	09Z	2.0%	1.20 [0.01, 1.70]	_ _
Kollel 1995	10	122	21	100	2.0%		
	31	300	21	354	2.4%		
LI 1998	29	104	113	876	2.6%	2.61 [1.63, 4.19]	
Lo pez 2007	57	458	30	458	2.6%	1.67 [1.07, 2.58]	
Marca 2013	21	326	21	352	2.2%	1.09 [0.58, 2.03]	
Martinoli 1995	2	20	61	486	0.8%	0.77 [0.18, 3.42]	1
McCulloch 2008	242	1864	186	1328	3.2%	0.92 [0.75, 1.13]	
Oliveri 1999	21	252	16	238	2.1%	1.26 [0.64, 2.48]	-
Papapetropoulos 2007	37	236	14	182	2.1%	2.23 [1.17, 4.27]	
Parsian 2002	34	332	14	188	2.1%	1.42 [0.74, 2.72]	
Pulkes 2011	31	310	40	316	2.5%	0.77 [0.47, 1.26]	
Qin 1998	2	72	5	120	0.7%	0.66 [0.12, 3.48]	
Rubinsztein1994	7	66	11	68	1.4%	0.61 [0.22, 1.70]	
Ryu 2010	36	468	25	384	2.4%	1.20 [0.70, 2.03]	T ⁻
schulte 2003	58	764	88	612	2.9%	0.49 [0.34, 0.69]	-
Singh 2014	22	140	15	200	2.0%	2.30 [1.15, 4.61]	
Tang 2002	16	136	34	320	2.2%	1.12 [0.60, 2.11]	
Tro "ster 2006	27	124	46	292	2.4%	1.49 [0.88, 2.53]	<u>†</u>
Wang 2001	4	80	7	104	1.0%	0.73 [0.21, 2.58]	
Whitehead 1996	55	378	43	324	2.7%	1.11 [0.72, 1.71]	+-
Williams-Gray 2009	134	1010	152	956	3.1%	0.81 [0.63, 1.04]	
Yamamoto1997	34	326	43	1152	2.6%	3.00 [1.88, 4.79]	
Zeng 2000	2	54	12	234	0.8%	0.71 [0.15, 3.28]	
Zhang 1999	17	144	13	132	1.9%	1.23 [0.57, 2.63]	-
Zhao 2003	16	110	20	220	2.0%	1.70 [0.84, 3.43]	+
Zhou 2004	8	72	4	104	1.1%	3.13 [0.90, 10.80]	<u> </u>
Total (95% CI)		14986		28650	100.0%	1.11 [0.95, 1.30]	•
Total events	1716		3569				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	19; Chi² =	184.63.	df = 46 (P	< 0.000)01); l ² = 7	5%	
Test for overall effect ⁻ 7	= 1.35 (P =	= 0.18)	(,		0.01 0.1 1 10 100
							control experimental

Figure 4. Forest plots of odds ratios for the association between APOE £4 and Parkinson disease.

4. Discussion

It has suggested that the AD and PD may share several similar pathogenesis. Both the two diseases were characterized by neuronal loss and protein aggregation.^[62] And both the clinical

features included dementia and extra-pyramidal symptoms.^[63] The APOE gene was a major cholesterol carrier that supports lipid transport and injury repair in the brain, which located on chromosome 19q13.2.^[64–65] It was considered to be a plausible candidate gene for influencing the neurodegenerative process in

Table 3				
The associa	ation between A	APOE polymorphism	s and Parkinson	disease

			Nu	mbers	Test of associat	ion		Test of hete	erogeneity
Genotypes	subgroups	Number of studies	case	control	OR [95% CI]	Р	Model	Р	ľ (%)
ε2ε2	Total	24	4056	11049	0.99 [0.65, 1.51]	.98	F	.96	0
	Caucasian	13	2819	8625	1.09 [0.64, 1.86]	.75	F	.91	0
	Asian	10	1132	2317	0.67 [0.31, 1.45]	.31	F	.86	0
	Latin-American	1	105	107	4.20 [0.46, 38.20]	.20	_	_	_
ε2ε3	Total	42	5979	13040	1.11 [0.99, 1.24]	.07	F	.17	17
	Caucasian	23	3736	9719	1.12 [0.98, 1.28]	.10	F	.34	9
	Asian	15	1539	2757	1.02 [0.82, 1.28]	.84	F	.06	40
	Latin-American	4	704	564	1.36 [0.86, 2.17]	.19	F	.67	0
ε2ε4	Total	36	5528	12363	1.69 [1.10, 2.62]	.02	R	<.00001	58
	Caucasian	20	3397	9206	1.05 [0.78, 1.41]	.76	F	.47	0
	Asian	12	1427	2593	4.43 [1.62, 12.10]	.004	R	<.0001	72
	Latin-American	4	704	564	0.52 [0.15, 1.72]	.28	F	.57	0
6363	Total	42	5979	13040	0.98 [0.81, 1.17]	.80	R	<.00001	80
	Caucasian	23	3736	9719	1.02 [0.92, 1.13]	.74	F	.31	11
	Asian	15	1539	2757	0.86 [0.66, 1.12]	.26	R	.0004	64
	Latin-American	4	704	564	1.93 [0.26, 14.23]	.52	R	<.00001	98
ε3ε4	Total	41	5813	12946	0.94 [0.86, 1.02]	.14	F	.01	36
	Caucasian	22	3570	9652	0.86 [0.77, 0.96]	.006	F	.64	0
	Asian	15	1539	2757	0.99 [0.83, 1.18]	.93	F	.10	33
	Latin-American	4	704	564	1.44 [1.08, 1.91]	.01	R	.007	35
ε4ε4	Total	35	5361	12447	1.11 [0.86, 1.43]	.42	F	.84	0
	Caucasian	20	3534	9536	1.11 [0.84, 1.46]	.48	F	.54	0
	Asian	11	1123	2347	0.94 [0.47, 1.91]	.87	F	.83	0
	Latin-American	4	704	564	1.62 [0.56, 4.67]	.37	F	.58	0

APOE = apolipoprotein E, Cls = confidence intervals, F = fixed model, OR = odds ratios, R = random model.

AD, PD and PD dementia (PDD).^[66–67] Among the three alleles ($\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$), the APOE $\epsilon 4$ was shown to be significantly associated with AD,^[67] onset of late onset AD (LOAD), PD, and PDD risk.^[23,67–68] The APOE $\epsilon 4$ is the "risk" variant for several phenotypes compared with other 2 alleles. APOE $\epsilon 3$ was considered to be neutral. And APOE $\epsilon 2$ generally was considered to be a protective factor in neurodegenerative diseases.

Three studies have reported the association between APOEE2 and/or ɛ4 and PD risk. Whitehead et al^[69] has firstly investigated the APOE ɛ4 and PD risk using a case-control study and metaanalysis of 6 studies and shown no significant association between APOE ɛ4 and the risk of PD. Subsequently, Huang et al^[70] carried out a meta-analysis with 22 publications and found the APOE £2, but not APOE £4, was positively associated with PD. Five years later, Williams-Gray et al^[71] performed a update meta-analysis with 32 articles and detected the APOE £4 was a susceptible factor for PD compared to healthy control. In present meta-analysis, we detected a significant increase of APOE-E2 carriers among PD patients compared to controls. However, no association was observed between APOE-E3 and - ϵ 4 and PD risk in overall populations, which was similar with the results reported by Whitehead et al^[69] and Huang et al,^[70] but different from the results reported by Williams-Gray et al.^[71] This inconsistent in the 2 meta-analysis may due to the different number of cases and controls included in the studies. In present study, we included 15 more article than that in Williams-Gray et al,^[71] which may increase the power to detect the genetic association between APOE-E4 and PD. However, no subgroup analysis stratified by ethnicity was performed out in previous meta-analysis. For the important role of gene background in PD, subgroup analysis based on ethnicity was carried out. Notable, the genetic association between APOE polymorphisms and PD

varied in different populations. The significant association between APOE- $\epsilon 2$ and PD risk disappeared in Caucasian, Asian, and Latin-American subgroups. In addition, a statistical genetic association was found between APOE- $\epsilon 4$ and PD risk in Asian subgroup, but not in Caucasian and Latin-American subgroups, which might indicate the important role of genetic background in the pathogenesis of PD.

Recent researches have been shown a significant higher totaland LDL-cholesterol levels typically occur with the ε 4 allele; which, forming monofibrillary peptides, precipitates and forms dense structures (amyloid plaques) that are the main component of neurofibrillary tangles.^[72] However, ε 3 and ε 2 isoforms have affinity to the Tau protein; which confers protection from hyperphosphorylationon the Tau protein.^[73] Our combined analysis suggested the APOE- ε 4, but not APOE- ε 2, was the susceptible factor for PD. The inconsistent results in previous meta-analyses may due to the limited number of included studies, as well as the number of subjects. Furthermore, we firstly conducted a subgroup analysis stratified by ethnicity and detected the APOE- ε 4 was a risk factor for PD only in Asian population, but not in Caucasian and Latin-American populations.

Several limitations were presented in this meta-analysis. First, mixed dementia might exist in included case-control study, which was shown to increase the apparent association of APOE with PD. Second, multiple factors including genetic factors, environmental factors, as well as the interaction of the 2 factors, and other unknown risk factors should be considered in the pathogenesis of PD. Third, the number of studies and subjects was relatively small, especially in Latin-American population. To identify these genetic associations, larger number of case-control designed studies with more subjects is necessary.



bias

1.143009

.6127706





10

Figure 6. Publication bias of literatures for allelic model of APOE £2, £3, and £4 were tested by Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test. A: £2; B: £3; C: £4.

1.87

0.069

-.0911745

2.377192

5. Conclusion

Our meta-analysis suggests that APOE $\varepsilon 2$ is associated with PD in total group and APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carrier is associated with PD in Asian population. In addition, it provides a support for the risk effect of $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 4$ in total group and $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 4$ in Latin-American population.

Acknowledgments

We should appreciate the contribution of Dr. Bifeng Chen from Wuhan University of Technology for the help of statistical analysis during the writing process.

Author contributions

Data curation: Jia Luo, Li Liu.

Funding acquisition: Jianming Li.

Methodology: Jia Luo, Li Liu.

Project administration: Jianming Li.

Software: Li Liu.

Supervision: Hui Fu.

Validation: Hui Fu.

Visualization: Hui Fu.

Writing – original draft: Liang Tang.

Writing – review & editing: Jianming Li, Liang Tang.

References

- Kim J, Park S, Yoo H, et al. The impact of APOE É'4 in Alzheimer's disease differs according to age. J Alzheimers Dis 2018;61:1377–85.
- [2] Li L, Zeng F, Liu YH, et al. CYP46A1, and the APOEɛ4, Allele polymorphisms correlate with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. Mol Neurobiol 2018;5:1–9.
- [3] Van SDL, Wolters FJ, Ikram MK, et al. The effect of APOE and other common genetic variants on the onset of Alzheimer's disease and dementia: a community-based cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2018; 17:434.
- [4] Cacciottolo M, Christensen A, Moser A, et al. The APOE4 allele shows opposite sex bias in microbleeds and Alzheimer's disease of humans and mice. Neurobiol Aging 2016;37:47.
- [5] Cacciottolo M, Wang X, Driscoll I, et al. Particulate air pollutants, APOE alleles and their contributions to cognitive impairment in older women and to amyloidogenesis in experimental models. Transl Psychiatry 2017;7:e1022.
- [6] Löwe LC, Gaser C, Franke K. The effect of the APOE genotype on individual brain age in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease. Plos One 2016;11:e0157514.
- [7] Riedel Brandalyn C, Thompson Paul M, Brinton Roberta Diaz. Age, APOE and sex: triad of risk of Alzheimer's disease. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2016;160:134–47.
- [8] Ellison DW, Clevens RA, Beal MF. Cortical amino acids in brains with pathological features of Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases. Neurochem Pathol 1988;8:53–62.
- [9] Murman DL, Kuo SB, Powell MC, et al. The impact of Parkinsonism on costs of care in patients with AD and dementia with Lewy bodies. Neurology 2003;61:944–9.
- [10] Chouhan AK, Guo C, Hsieh YC, et al. Uncoupling neuronal death and dysfunction in Drosophila models of neurodegenerative disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2016;4:62.
- [11] Collins LM, Williams-Gray CH. The genetic basis of cognitive impairment and dementia in Parkinson's disease. Front Psychiatry 2016;7(Pt 5):
- [12] Paul KC, Rausch R, Creek MM, et al. APOE, MAPT, and COMT and Parkinson's disease susceptibility and cognitive symptom progression. J Parkinsons Dis 2016;6:349–59.
- [13] Blázquez L, Otaegui D, Sáenz A, et al. Apolipoprotein E ɛ4 allele in familial and sporadic Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett 2006;406: 235–9.
- [14] Gallegos-Arreola MP, Figuera LE, Ortiz GG, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotypes in Mexican patients with Parkinson's disease. Dis Marker 2009;27:225.

- [15] Singh NK, Banerjee BD, Bala K, et al. APOE and LRPAP1 gene polymorphism and risk of Parkinson's disease. Neurol Sci 2014;35: 1075–81.
- [16] Tröster AI, Fields JA, Paolo AM, et al. Absence of the apolipoprotein E epsilon4 allele is associated with working memory impairment in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci 2006;248:62–7.
- [17] Ryu HG, Kwon OD. Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele is not associated with age at onset or MMSE of Parkinson's disease in a Korean study. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2010;16:615–7.
- [18] Gregório ML, Pinhel MA, Sado CL, et al. Impact of genetic variants of apolipoprotein E on lipid profile in patients with Parkinson's disease. Biomed Res Int 2013;2013:641515.
- [19] Pulkes T, Papsing C, Mahasirimongkol S, et al. Association between apolipoprotein E genotypes and Parkinson's disease. J Clin Neurosci 2011;18:1333–5.
- [20] Li J, Pan Y, Zhang Z. Study on relationship between the gene of apoprotin and Parkinson's disease. Chin J Nervous Mental Dis 1998; 24:275–6.
- [21] Kiyohara C, Miyake Y, Koyanagi M, et al. APOE, and CYP2E1, polymorphisms, alcohol consumption, and Parkinson's disease in a Japanese population. J Neural Transm 2011;118:1335–44.
- [22] Buchanan DD, Silburn PA, Prince JA, et al. Association of APOE with Parkinson disease age-at-onset in women. Neurosci Lett 2007;411: 185–8.
- [23] López M, Bsc JG, Yescas P, et al. Apolipoprotein E ε4 allele is associated with Parkinson disease risk in a Mexican Mestizo population. Mov Disord 2010;22:417–20.
- [24] Mcculloch CC, Kay DM, Factor SA, et al. Exploring gene-environment interactions in Parkinson's disease. Hum Gen 2008;123:257–65.
- [25] Papapetropoulos S, Farrer MJ, Stone JT, et al. Phenotypic associations of tau and ApoE in Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett 2007;414:141–4.
- [26] Boccia S. PRISMA: an attempt to improve standards for reporting systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiol Biostat Public Health 2009;6:ε382.
- [27] Han Y, Xia Z, Guo S, et al. Laparoscopically assisted anorectal pullthrough versus posterior sagittal anorectoplasty for high and intermediate anorectal malformations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLos One 2017;12:e0170421.
- [28] Whitehead AS, Bertrandy S, Finnan F, et al. Frequency of the apolipoprotein E 4 allele in a case-control study of early onset Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996;61:347–51.
- [29] Arai H, Muramatsu T, Higuchi S, et al. Apolipoprotein E gene in Parkinson's disease with or without dementia. Lancet 1994;344:889.
- [30] Ballering LAP, Steffens-nakken HM, Esselink RAJ, et al. Apolipoprotein E Genotyping in patients with neurodegenerative diseases. Clin Biochem 1997;30:405–11.
- [31] Bon MA, Jansen Steur EN, de Vos RA, et al. Neurogenetic correlates of Parkinson's disease: apolipoprotein-E and cytochrome P450 2D6 genetic polymorphism. Neurosci Lett 1999;266:149–51.
- [32] The French Parkinson's Disease Genetics Study GroupApolipoprotein E genotype in flamilial Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1997;63:394–5.
- [33] Eerola J, Launes J, Hellström O, et al. Apolipoprotein E (APOE), PARKIN, and catechol- O-methyltransferase (COMT) genes and susceptibility to sporadic Parkinson's disease in Finland. Neurosci Lett 2002;330:296–8.
- [34] Egensperger R, Bancher C, Kösel S, et al. The apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele in Parkinson's disease with Alzheimer lesions. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1996;224:484.
- [35] Ezquerra M, Campdelacreu J, Gaig C, et al. Lack of association of APOE and tau polymorphisms with dementia in Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett 2008;448:20–3.
- [36] Gao J, Huang X, Park Y, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotypes and the risk of Parkinson disease. Neurobiol Aging 2011;32:2106.e1–6.
- [37] Ghebremedhin E, Del TK, Vuksic M, et al. Relationship of apolipoprotein E and age at onset to Parkinson disease neuropathology. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2006;65:116–23.
- [38] Goetz CG, Burke PF, Leurgans S, et al. Genetic variation analysis in parkinson disease patients with and without hallucinations: case-control study. Arch Neurol 2001;58:209–13.
- [39] Grasbonfrodl EM, Egensperger R, Kösel S, et al. The alpha1antichymotrypsin A-allele in German Parkinson disease patients. J Neural Transm 1999;106:729.
- [40] Harhangi BS, de Rijk MC, van Duijn CM, et al. APOE and the risk of PD with or without dementia in a population-based study. Neurology 2000;54:1272–6.

- [41] Harrington CR, Louwagie J, Rossau R, et al. Influence of apolipoprotein E genotype on senile dementia of the Alzheimer and Lewy body types. Significance for etiological theories of Alzheimer's disease. Am J Pathol 2011;145:1472–84.
- [42] Helisalmi S, Linnaranta K, Lehtovirta M, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in patients with different neurodegenerative disorders. Neurosci Lett 1996;205:61–4.
- [43] Higuchi S, Matsushita S, Nakane J, et al. Alpha2-macroglobulin gene polymorphisms show racial diversity and are not associated with Alzheimer's disease. Neuroreport 2000;11:1167–71.
- [44] Koller WC, Glatt SL, Hubble JP, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotypes in Parkinson's disease with and without dementia. Ann Neurol 1995; 37:242–5.
- [45] Krüger R, Vieirasaecker AM, Kuhn W, et al. Increased susceptibility to sporadic Parkinson's disease by a certain combined alpha-synuclein/ apolipoprotein E genotype. Ann Neurol 1999;45:611–7.
- [46] Acosta O. Association between apolipoprotein E (ApoE) genetic polymorphism and Parkinson's disease. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2011;64:559–60.
- [47] Martinoli MG, Trojanowski JQ, Schmidt ML, et al. Association of apolipoprotein ε4 allele and neuropathologic findings in patients with dementia. Acta Neuropathologica 1995;90:239–43.
- [48] Oliveri RL, Nicoletti G, Cittadella R, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms and Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett 1999;277:83.
- [49] Parsian A, Racette B, Goldsmith LJ, et al. Parkinson's disease and apolipoprotein E: possible association with dementia but not age at onset. Genomics 2002;79:458–61.
- [50] Rubinsztein DC, Hanlon CS, Irving RM, et al. Apo E genotypes in multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, schwannomas and late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Mol Cell Probes 1994;8:519–25.
- [51] Schulte T, Böhringer S, Schöls L, et al. Modulation of disease risk according to a cathepsin D /apolipoprotein E genotype in Parkinson's disease. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 2003;110:749.
- [52] Tang G, Xie H, Xu L, et al. Genetic study of apolipoprotein E gene, alpha-1 antichymotrypsin gene in sporadic Parkinson disease. Am J Med Genet 2002;114:446–9.
- [53] Yamamoto M, Kondo I, Ogawa N, et al. Genetic association between susceptibility to Parkinson's disease and alpha 1 antichymotrypsin polymorphism. Brain Res 1997;759:153–5.
- [54] Zeng X, Guo H, Qin B. The study of relationship between Alzheimer, s and Parkinson, s disease with dementia and apolipoprotein E polymorphism. Chin Gen Practice 2000;3:352–4.
- [55] Hao Y, Xie H, Xu L. Association between polymorphism of alpha 1antichymotrypsin and apolipoprotein E gene and Parkinson's disease in Shanghai Hans. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2001;81:1172-L1175.
- [56] Qin B, Zeng X, Guo H. Analysis of apolipoprotein E in Parkinson's disease with and without dementia. Chin J Neurol 1998;31:149–51.

- [57] Wang F, Li ML. Analysis the polymorphism of apolipoprotein E gene in patients of Parkinson disease with dementia. Modern Rehab 2001;5:50–1.
- [58] Zhao XP, Zhang WW, Feng J, et al. Relationship of apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism to Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. Chin J Clinic Rehab 2003;7:4262–3.
- [59] Zhou C, Xu J, Gui J. Association between polymorphism of apolipoprotein E gene and Parkinson's disease. Teratog Carcinog Mutagen 2004;16:21–3.
- [60] Dong X. Analysis the polymorphism of apolipoprotein E gene to Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. Guide of Chin Med 2014; 12:206–7.
- [61] Zhang JH, Pan YH. Association between polymorphism of apolipoprotein E gene and Parkinson's disease. Chin J Birth Health Heredity 1999;7:17–8.
- [62] Hashimoto M, Rockenstein E, Crews L, et al. Role of protein aggregation in mitochondrial dysfunction and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Neuromolecular Med 2003;4:21–36.
- [63] Rinne JO, Rummukainen J, Paljärvi L, et al. Neuronal loss in the substantia nigra in patients with Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease in relation to extrapyramidal symptoms and dementia. Prog Clin Biol Res 1988;317:325–32.
- [64] Meluš V, Krajčovičová Z. APOE gene polymorphisms: from lipids metabolism, brain injuries and Alzheimer disease to new highlights in hyperbaric oxygen therapy. University Review 2014;1337–47.
- [65] Mccoll BW, Mcgregor AL, Wong A, et al. APOE ε3 gene transfer attenuates brain damage after experimental stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2007;27:477–87.
- [66] Mata IF, Leverenz JB, Weintraub D, et al. APOE, MAPT, and SNCA genes and cognitive performance in Parkinson disease. JAMA Neurology 2014;71:1405.
- [67] Wang J, Li L, Yuan J, et al. The distribution characteristic of ApoE gene in Alzheimer's disease population of North China. Chin J Practical Neru Dis 2014;20:3–5.
- [68] Flier WVD. Early-onset versus late-onset Alzheimer's disease: a role for APOE ε4? Alzheimers & Dementia. J Alzheimers Assoc 2011;7:S89–189.
- [69] Whitehead AS, Bertrandy S, Finnan F, et al. Frequency of the apolipoprotein E ε4 allele in a case-control study of early onset Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996;61:347–51.
- [70] Huang XM, Chen PC, Poole C. APOE-ε2 allele associated with higher prevalence of sporadic Parkinson disease. Neurology 2004;62:2200–1.
- [71] Williamsgray CH, Goris A, Saiki M, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype as a risk factor for susceptibility to and dementia in Parkinson's Disease. J Neurol 2009;256:493–8.
- [72] Orth M, Bellosta S. Cholesterol: its regulation and role in central nervous system disorders. Cholesterol 2014;2012:292598.
- [73] Paradowski B, Szczepaniak M, Dobosz T, et al. Apolipoprotein E(ApoE) and tau protein in Alzheimer type dementia. Pol Merkur Lekarski 2000;9:758–9.