www.nature.com/bcj

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Factors associated with an increased risk of vertebral fracture in monoclonal gammopathies of undetermined significance

JM Piot¹, M Royer¹, A Schmidt-Tanguy², E Hoppé¹, M Gardembas², T Bourrée¹, M Hunault², S François², F Boyer², N Ifrah², G Renier³, A Chevailler³, M Audran^{1,4}, D Chappard⁴, H Libouban⁴, G Mabilleau⁴, E Legrand^{1,4} and B Bouvard^{1,4}

Monoclonal gammopathies of undetermined significance (MGUS) have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of fractures. This study describes prospectively the bone status of MGUS patients and determines the factors associated with vertebral fracture. We included prospectively 201 patients with MGUS, incidentally discovered, and with no known history of osteoporosis: mean age 66.6 ± 12.5 years, 48.3% women, 51.7% immunoglobulin G (lgG), 33.3% lgM and 10.4% lgA. Light chain was kappa in 64.2% patients. All patients had spinal radiographs and bone mineral density measurement in addition to gammopathy assessment. At least one prevalent non-traumatic vertebral fracture was discovered in 18.4% patients and equally distributed between men and women. Fractured patients were older, had a lower bone density and had also more frequently a lambda light chain isotype. Compared with patients with κ light chain, the odds ratio of being fractured for patients with λ light chain was 4.32 (95% confidence interval 1.80-11.16; P=0.002). These results suggest a high prevalence of non-traumatic vertebral fractures in MGUS associated with lambda light chain isotype and not only explained by low bone density.

Blood Cancer Journal (2015) 5, e345; doi:10.1038/bcj.2015.71; published online 28 August 2015

INTRODUCTION

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) is an asymptomatic plasma cells disorder occurring in 3% of adults > 50 years old and 8% of adults > 85 years old.¹ MGUS is often fortuitously discovered and is detected by the electrophoresis of serum or urine protein, confirmed and typed by immunoelectrophoresis or immunofixation of serum and/or urine protein. In most cases, MGUS do not become malignant B-cell disorders.² Although bone consequences of multiple myeloma (MM) are well known,³ the effects of MGUS on bone remodeling remain uncertain. Previous histological, laboratory and mainly clinical evidence have already shown that MGUS is a risk factor of fracture and particularly increases the risk of vertebral fractures. According to retrospective studies, MGUS is associated with an increased risk of fracture at any site of 1.4-2.5 times greater than in control populations and the risk of vertebral fracture up to 6 times greater.^{4,5} Matthew Drake recently proposed to replace the term 'monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance' in favor of the term 'monoclonal gammopathy of skeletal significance' in order to more accurately reflect the enhanced skeletal risks inherent in this condition.⁶ However, factors associated with increased risk of fracture associated with MGUS remains poorly understood. Furthermore, the previous retrospective studies did not distinguish between traumatic and non-traumatic vertebral fractures, and the lack of systematic radiographic evaluation can lead to an underestimated number of vertebral fractures. Previous studies have demonstrated that nearly 50% of vertebral fractures are asymptomatic and that 30-50% of non-traumatic vertebral fractures occur in patients with intermediate bone mineral density (BMD) (-2.5 < T-score > -1).⁷⁻⁹ So that only systematic spinal radiographs allow to detect all fractures and to evaluate the severity of each fracture. The detection of non-traumatic vertebral fractures is crucial because their number and their severity are major predictors of further vertebral and non-vertebral fractures.^{10,11} Subjects with prevalent vertebral fracture have a fivefold increased risk of further vertebral fracture and a threefold increased risk of hip fracture.¹² The last consensus about MGUS evaluation recommend assessing BMD at the initial evaluation but do not mention any systematic vertebral fracture research.^{13,14} The aim of our study was to evaluate prospectively the bone and hematological status of patients with MGUS, to determine vertebral fracture prevalence in this population with systematic spinal radiographs and to identify risk factors for vertebral fracture.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

This prospective and descriptive study was conducted by both the Department of Rheumatology and the Department of Blood Diseases of the University Hospital of Angers, France, from 1 January 2008 to 30 April 2013. Patients were referred by general practitioners.

Patients included were adults and had a monoclonal gammopathy confirmed by immunoelectrophoresis of serum or urine protein. Monoclonal gammopathy had to be discovered in other situations than osteoporosis assessment. Mostly the electrophoresis was made in the medical check-up of asthenia or inflammatory syndrome or arthralgia. Patients with monoclonal gammopathy discovered during osteoporosis or fracture assessment, patients with previous known and treated osteoporosis and patients known to have a previous history of traumatic vertebral fractures were excluded. At the end of the bone and hematological assessment, patients with a diagnosis of hematologic malignancy were also excluded (symptomatic or asymptomatic MM or Waldenström macroglobulinemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia). Finally,

npg

¹Department of Rheumatology, Angers University Hospital, Angers, France; ²Department of Hematology, INSERM U892/CNRS 6299, Angers University Hospital, Angers, France; ³Laboratory of Immunology and Allergology, Angers University Hospital, Angers, France and ⁴GEROM Research Group on Bone Remodeling and bioMaterials, UPRES EA 4658, University Hospital, Angers, France: Dr B Bouvard, Department of Rheumatology, Angers University Hospital, 4 rue Larrey, Angers, 49933, France. E-mail: bebouvard@chu-angers.fr

Received 21 February 2015; revised 14 July 2015; accepted 23 July 2015

we included only patients with MGUS defined as International Myeloma Working Group criteria by (a) a serum monoclonal immunoglobulin concentration below 3 g/dl; (b) the absence of lytic bone lesions or pathological fractures; (c) the absence of anemia, hypercalcemia or renal insufficiency; and (d) a proportion of plasma cells in the bone marrow below 10%.¹⁵

The study was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki. The entire study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee. Patients gave their informed consent.

Methods

All the following data were collected for each patient. All the exams were performed the same day for one patient.

Clinical data. Interrogation and clinical data examination were performed to each patient to collect the following information: age, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), comorbidities, age at onset of menopause, hormonal replacement therapy, family history of fractures, personal history of fractures and their incidence condition, current treatment and calcium dietary intake.

Spinal radiographs and vertebral fracture assessment. Each patient had antero-posterior and lateral thoracic and lumbar spine radiographs. Two trained investigators (at least a 10-year experience in rheumatology and bone diseases) who were unaware of the patient BMD status, analyzed radiographs independently in three steps: (a) detect vertebral fracture; (b) determine benign or malignant origin; and (c) classify the fracture as mild, moderate or severe.

The patient was classified as having a vertebral fracture if both readers independently found a definite fracture. He/she was classified as normal if both readers independently found that the films were normal. When the readers disagreed, the films were reviewed in conference by both investigators. Each vertebral fracture was classified as benign or malignant using classical radiographic signs (destruction of the cortical margin or not, posterior convexity or not). The benign vertebral fractures were characterized by the semiquantitative classification of Genant and defined as such: *mild* or *grade 1* for a reduction of 20–25% of anterior, middle and/ or posterior height; *moderate* or *grade 2* for a reduction of 26–40% in any height; and *severe* or *grade 3* for a reduction >40% in any height.¹⁶

Bone mineral density. BMD was measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry operating in fan-beam mode (Hologic QDR 4500A densitometer, Hologic Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Quality control scans were carried out daily, using the manufacturer-supplied anthropomorphometric spine phantom; the long-term (>1 year) coefficient of variation was 0.40%. Lumbar BMD was assessed from L2 to L4, in the postero-anterior view incidence, and fractured vertebrae were excluded from the analysis. Total hip BMD and femoral neck BMD were measured at upper left femur. The mean precision error of dual energy X-ray absorptiometry measurement is < 1.5% for the lumbar spine and < 2% for hip BMD. As usually, the results were expressed in absolute values (g/cm²) and using the T-score. The T-scores were calculated using manufacturer's references and expressed the difference between the subject value and the mean value of healthy young adults. The World Health Organization has defined normal BMD as a T-score > -1, low bone density as a T-score between -2.5 and -1, and osteoporosis as a T-score < -2.5.

Biological data. Laboratory tests were performed on fasting subjects at 0800 hours without freezing to confirm and quantify gammopathy and to assess parameters of mineral metabolism and bone turnover: serum protein electrophoresis to obtain the monoclonal protein level, serum and urinary immunoelectrophoresis, cells blood count, $\beta 2$ microglobulin, lactate dehydrogenase, creatinine, bone marrow by sternal puncture in patients with immunoglobulin G (IgG) or IgA isotype, bone marrow biopsy in patients with IgM isotype having a visible protein electrophoresis peak, serum calcium, phosphate, albumin, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, parathyroid hormone, bone-alkaline phosphatase and C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen serum.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using the software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS V.15.0.1, SPSS Inc., IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA). Baseline characteristics of patients were expressed in mean ± 1 s.d.

for continuous variables and *n* (%) for categorical variables. The comparison of groups was performed for continuous variables by analysis of variance and for binary variables by the Pearson X^2 . Differences were considered significant when P < 0.05. Logistic regression was performed to analyze factors associated with at least one vertebral fracture. As number of vertebral fractures is a criterion of fracture severity, logistic regression was also performed to analyze factors associated with at least two vertebral fractures. Multivariate analyses comprised age, sex, BMI, low BMD with a T-score < -2.5, heavy chain isotype and light chain isotype.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the population

Flowchart of the study is detailed in Figure 1 and characteristics of the population in Table 1. Two hundred one patients were included, 97 women (48.3%) and 104 men (51.3%). The average age was 66.6 ± 12.5 years (range: 30-89 years), and the mean BMI was 26.7 ± 5.1 kg/m². One hundred ninety-five patients (97%) were of Caucasian ethnicity. The distribution of heavy chain isotypes was: 104 IgG (51.7%), 67 IgM (33.3%), 21 IgA (10.4%), nine dual isotype (4.5%) and no light chain MGUS. The light chains were distributed as follows: 129κ light chains (64.2%), 63 λ light chains (31.3%) and nine $\kappa+\lambda$ associations (4.5%). The average monoclonal protein was 5.98 ± 4.87 g/l (range: 0–21.3 g/l) and the mean plasma cells in bone marrow of $3.3 \pm 2.3\%$ (range 0–9%). Thirty-six patients (17.9%) had a BMD T-score < -2.5 in at least one of the three measured sites. Thirty-seven patients (18.4%) had at least one prevalent vertebral fracture at the thoracic or lumbar spine. None of these fractures was tumoral or traumatic. Seventy-six vertebral fractures were detected, 21 grade 1, 40 grade 2 and 15 grade 3. Twenty patients had one vertebral fracture; six patients had two vertebral fractures, six patients had three vertebral fractures and five patients had four or more vertebral fractures. The prevalence of vertebral fracture was 10% for patients under 65 years old, 13% for patients between 65 and 74 years old and 33% for patients aged of 75 years old and more. Sixtytwo percent of patients with a vertebral fracture had no densitometric osteoporosis with a T-score ≥ -2.5 at the spine and the hip.

Factors associated with vertebral fracture

The 37 patients with vertebral fracture(s) were significantly older than non-fractured (73.54 vs 65.07 years; P < 0.001) and had a significantly lower T-score and BMD regardless of the studied site. There was no significant difference in sex ratio, BMI or distribution



Figure 1. Flowchart. Abbreviations: MM, multiple myeloma; WM, waldenström's macroglobulinemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DXA, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry.

Table 1. Characteristics of the population (201 patients)				
Characteristics	Mean value \pm s.d.			
Age, years	66.63 ± 12.49			
Weight, kg	72.35 ± 14.54			
Height, cm	164.06 ± 9.13			
BMI, kg/m ²	26.73 ± 5.1			
BMD total hip, g/cm ²	0.897 <u>+</u> 0.154			
T-score total hip, s.d.	-0.72 ± 1.01			
BMD femoral neck, g/cm ²	0.738 ± 0.14			
T-score femoral neck, s.d.	-1.30 ± 1.05			
BMD lumbar spine, g/cm ²	0.958 ± 0.163			
T-score lumbar spine, s.d.	-1.09 ± 1.45			
Albumin, g/l (nl: 40–47)	42.36 ± 5.01			
Calcium, mmol/l (nl: 2.10–2.43)	2.33 ± 0.11			
Creatinine, μmol/l (nl: 64–104)	77.31 <u>+</u> 24.79			
25-Hydroxyvitamin D, nmol/l (nl: 75–250)	55.47 <u>+</u> 28.48			
PTH, pg/ml (nl: 15–65)	38.06 ± 24.08			
β2 Microglobulin, mg/l (nl: 1.20–2.50)	2.22 ± 0.98			
LDH, UI/I (nl: 125–220)	278.51 ± 108.75			
CTX, ng/ml	0.64 ± 1.31			
BALP, UI/I (nl: 5.5–24.6)	13.75 ± 8.89			
Marrow plasma cells (%)	3.3 ± 2.33			
Peak value, g/l	5.9 ± 4.8			
IgA	4.2 ± 3.6			
lgG	6.3 <u>+</u> 5.3			
IgM	5.9 <u>+</u> 4.3			
Double peak	7.2 ± 6.4			
Categorical variables				
Women	97 (48.3%)			
α Heavy chain	21 (10.4%)			
γ Heavy chain	104 (51.7%)			
μ Heavy chain	67 (33.3%)			
Double heavy chain isotype	9 (4.5%)			
к Light chain	129 (64.2%)			
λ Light chain	63 (31.3%)			
Double light chain isotype	9 (4.5%)			
Abbreviations: BALP bone-alkaline phosphatase:	BMD bone mineral			

Abbreviations: BALP, bone-alkaline phosphatase; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CTX, C-terminal telopeptide of collagen-1; lg, immunoglobulin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; nl, normal; PTH, parathormone.

of heavy chain isotypes between groups. Patients with vertebral fracture had significantly more λ light chain isotype than patients without fracture (48.65% vs 27.44%; P = 0.01) (Table 2). In univariate and multivariate analyses, age, low BMD and λ light chain isotype were associated with a significantly increased risk of vertebral fracture (Table 3). Compared with patients with κ light chain, the odds ratio of being fractured for patients with λ light chain was 4.32 (95% confidence interval 1.80–11.16; P = 0.002). We did not observe any biological difference between fractured and non-fractured patients in terms of 25 hydroxyvitamin D, PTH, calcemia, β 2 microglobulin, monoclonal protein value and marrow cells count.

Factors associated with ≥ 2 vertebral fractures

As number of vertebral fractures is a criterion of fracture severity, we analyzed factors associated with ≥ 2 vertebral fractures (Table 4). Seventeen patients (8.4%) were concerned. In univariate analysis, age, BMD < -2.5 and λ isotype light chain were associated with a significant risk of ≥ 2 vertebral fractures. In multivariate analysis, low BMD and λ isotype light chain remained significantly associated with the risk of ≥ 2 vertebral fractures. A higher BMI was also significantly associated with the presence of ≥ 2 vertebral fractures. There was no difference between patients with λ isotype light chain and patients with κ isotype light chain in terms of sex, age, BMI, BMD or biological parameters.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we conducted a prospective bone evaluation in 201 men and women with MGUS. The population of this study is representative of a MGUS population, with a sex ratio close to 1 (48.3% women), an isotype distribution of heavy and light chains (IgG 51.7%, 33.3% IgM, 10.4% IgA, biclonal 4.5%, κ chain 64.2% and λ chain 31.3%) similar to what is usually described in MGUS cohort studies of western France albeit with a greater percentage of IgM compared with north American registry studies.^{5,17,18} To better define the risk factors associated with vertebral fracture in MGUS, we selected patients with strict criteria, excluding patients with hematological diseases, known osteoporosis or a history of vertebral fracture. All patients had spine radiography, which are the most reliable means to detect vertebral fracture, especially asymptomatic ones. Two trained investigators have read spine radiography in this study to be sure to detect all vertebral fractures, to diagnose pathological fractures and to eliminate simple vertebral deformity, which sometimes can be confused with mild vertebral fractures.

The first observation was the high number of prevalent vertebral fractures in our cohort (18.4%). Seventy-two percent of fractures were grade 2 or 3, and multiple vertebral fractures were detected in 45% of the fractured patients. We do not have a control group to compare the prevalence of vertebral fracture of MGUS patients with the general population. Data from the European Vertebral Osteoporosis Study (EVOS), a multicenter multipopulation survey of vertebral osteoporosis included 15 570 males and women aged 50-79 years, have shown that the standardized population prevalence of morphometrically vertebral deformity across Europe was 12.2% for men and 12.0% for women.¹⁹ This result indicates that the discovery of vertebral fractures is frequent in monoclonal gammopathy even in absence of MM or Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Patients with vertebral fracture were significantly older and had a lower spine and hip BMD, two known risk factors for osteoporotic fractures. However, some characteristics associated with vertebral fractures were unusual. In our study, prevalent fractures were as frequent in men as in women, a part of vertebral fractures occurred also before 65 years, and patients with high BMI were exposed to multiple fractures. These features strongly contrast with the characteristics of osteoporosis, that is, a more frequent prevalence of vertebral fractures in women, after 65 years and in patients with low BMI. These results strongly suggest another mechanism than osteoporosis to explain vertebral fracture in this population. An important role of bone quality with an altered bone microstructure in MGUS has been recently suggested.²⁰

The second main result is the significant association between the presence of the λ light chain isotype and the presence of vertebral fractures with an odds ratio of vertebral fracture of 4.32 in the λ group compared with the κ group. The association between vertebral fracture and λ light chain remains significant in multivariate analysis after adjustment on BMD, age, sex and BMI. The association between λ light chain and vertebral fractures remains also significant when we analyzed patients with at least two vertebral fractures. Previous studies have shown contradictory results concerning the influence of isotypes on fracture risk.^{4,5,21–23} Melton et al.5 have found a protective effect of IgG isotype whereas Kristinsson et al.²² found no influence of heavy or light chain isotype on the risk of fracture. With regard to our study, we do not have any explanations concerning the link between the λ light chains and the risk of vertebral fracture. The κ and λ light chains have different chemical properties and despite the number of potential combinations of light chain because of the genetic rearrangement, some subtypes are more frequently associated with deposit diseases.²⁴ The immunoglobulin light chain amyloidosis and POEMS syndrome are most commonly linked with λ light chains, whereas myeloma with renal involvement is more



4

Characteristics	Fractured (N = 37)	Not fractured ($N = 164$)	P-value
	Mean \pm s.d.	Mean \pm s.d.	
Age (years)	73.54 ± 10.28	65.07 ± 12.47	< 0.00
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.27 ± 4.73	26.75 ± 4.75	0.55
BMD total hip (g/cm ²)	0.789 ± 0.114	0.920 ± 0.152	< 0.00
T-score total hip (DS)	-1.40 ± 0.76	-0.56 ± 0.99	< 0.00
BMD femoral neck (g/cm ²)	0.636 ± 0.094	0.759 ± 0.139	< 0.00
T-score femoral neck (DS)	-1.96 ± 0.75	-1.14 ± 1.04	< 0.00
BMD lower spine (q/cm^2)	0.851 ± 0.121	0.974 ± 0.161	0.00
T-score lower spine (DS)	-2.09 ± 1.10	-0.92 ± 1.43	0.00
Albumin (g/l)	40.74 ± 5.07	42.75 ± 4.94	0.02
Calcium (mmol/l)	2.33 ± 0.12	2.33 ± 0.10	0.96
Phosphate (mmol /l)	1.03 ± 0.13	0.99 ± 0.16	0.21
Creatinine (µmol/l)	80.19 ± 27.49	76.66 ± 24.26	0.43
C-reactive protein (mg/l)	12.11 ± 16.78	7.43 ± 16.23	0.11
25 Hydroxyvitamine D (nmol/l)	61.89 ± 34.67	54.22 ± 26.93	0.15
PTH (pg/ml)	36.89 ± 27.06	38.36 ± 23.52	0.74
β2 Microglobulin (mg/l)	2.49 ± 0.89	2.16 ± 0.99	0.07
LDH (UI/I)	287.54 ± 104.57	276.56 ± 110.21	0.59
Marrow plasma cells (%)	3.13 ± 2.16	3.34 ± 2.37	0.75
Monoclonal protein in serum (g/l)	6.95 ± 5.32	5.75 ± 4.78	0.180
BALP (UI/I)	14.94 ± 7.03	13.49 ± 9.29	0.37

16 (43.24%)

17 (45.94%)

2 (5.41%)

2 (5.41%)

16 (43.24%)

18 (48.65%)

3 (8.11%)

Abbreviations: BALP, bone-alkaline phosphatase; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CTX, C-terminal telopeptid of collagen-1;

Blood Cancer Journal

 γ Heavy chain (IgG)

μ Heavy chain (IgM)

 α Heavy chain (IgA)

κ Light chain

 λ Light chain

Double isotype heavy chain

Double isotype light chain

Table 3. Factors associated with vertebral fracture in 201 patients				
	Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval	P-value	
Univariate analysis	-			
Age	1.07	1.03–1.11	0.001	
Sex	1.13	0.55-2.32	0.730	
BMI	1.02	0.95-1.10	0.560	
Low BMD (T-score < -2.5)	4.19	1.85–9.50	0.001	
IgM vs IgG and IgA	1.92	0.93-3.97	0.078	
IgG vs IgM and IgA	0.67	0.32–1.37	0.270	
IgA vs IgG and IgM	0.43	0.10-1.95	0.270	
Lambda vs kappa	2.51	1.21–5.24	0.014	
Multivariate analysis				
Age	1.07	1.03-1.12	0.002	
Sex	1.08	0.45-2.58	0.860	
BMI	1.03	0.94–1.13	0.470	
Low BMD	3.65	1.44–9.26	0.006	
Heavy chain isotype	1.67	0.87-3.19	0.120	
Lambda vs kappa	4.32	1.80–11.16	0.002	
Abbreviations: BMD, bon Ig, immunoglobulin.	e mineral	density; BMI, body ma	ss index;	

Ig, immunoglobulin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PTH, parathormone.

common in the presence of κ light chains.^{25-27} Our study describes another potential λ light chain-associated disease.

Our study shows a high prevalence of vertebral fracture in MGUS, which is not completely explained by osteoporosis. MGUS represents a potentially pre-neoplastic condition that may

	Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval	P-value
Univariate analysis			
Age	1.05	1–1.10	0.033
Sex	1.06	0.39-2.88	0.900
BMI	1.11	1.01-1.23	0.026
Low BMD (T-score	3.57	1.25-10.19	0.017
<-2.5)			
IgM vs IgG and IgA	1.87	0.68-5.09	0.220
lgG vs lgM and lgA	0.48	0.17–1.36	0.170
IgA vs IgG and IgM	1.15	0.24-5.42	0.860
Lambda vs kappa	4.65	1.64–13.23	0.004
Multivariate analysis			
Age	1.05	0.29-3.13	0.086
Sex	0.86	0.26-2.80	0.800
BMI	1.15	1.03-1.29	0.014
Low BMD	4.11	1.20-14.08	0.025
Heavy chain isotype	1.59	0.63-4.00	0.320
Lambda vs kappa	6.09	1.77-20.92	0.004
Lambda vs kappa Abbreviations: BMD, bor Ig, immunoglobulin.	6.09 ne mineral	1.77–20.92 density; BMI, body ma	0.004 ss index

88 (53.66%)

50 (30.49%)

19 (11.59%)

7 (4.27%) 113 (68.90%)

45 (27.44%)

6 (3.66%)

0.27

0.013

progress to malignant B-cell disorders, such as MM. In MM, bone lesions are due to the secretion of many cytokines by plasma cells, bone marrow stromal cells, osteoblasts and osteoclasts, leading to an uncoupling of bone remodeling with increased bone resorption contrasting with a reduction in bone formation.^{28–30} Some



In summary, in this prospective study of 201 men and women with well-defined MGUS, we observed a high prevalence of non-traumatic vertebral fractures, equally distributed between men and women, and strongly associated with the presence of a λ light chain. The mechanism of these fractures is currently unknown and may be not only limited to low bone density. The significance of these fractures on the future of MGUS needs further prospective studies. These results confirm the previously published data and reinforce the concept of the need for bone assessment of all patients with MGUS with systematic vertebral fracture assessment in addition to BMD.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1 Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Br J Haematol* 2006; **134**: 573–589.
- 2 Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Rajkumar SV, Offord JR, Larson DR, Plevak MF et al. A long-term study of prognosis in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. N Engl J Med 2002; 346: 564–569.
- 3 Terpos E, Morgan G, Dimopoulos MA, Drake MT, Lentzsch S, Raje N et al. International Myeloma Working Group recommendations for the treatment of multiple myeloma-related bone disease. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 2347–2357.
- 4 Gregersen H, Jensen P, Gislum M, Jørgensen B, Sørensen HT, Nørgaard M. Fracture risk in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. Br J Haematol 2006; 135: 62–67.
- 5 Melton LJ 3rd, Rajkumar SV, Khosla S, Achenbach SJ, Oberg AL, Kyle RA. Fracture risk in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *J Bone Miner Res* 2004; **19**: 25–30.
- 6 Drake MT. Unveiling skeletal fragility in patients diagnosed with MGUS: no longer a condition of undetermined significance? J Bone Miner Res 2014; 29: 2529–2533.
- 7 Ross PD. Clinical consequences of vertebral fractures. Am J Med 1997; 103: 30S-42S.
- 8 Cooper C, Atkinson EJ, O'Fallon M, Melton LJ. Incidence of clinically diagnosed vertebral fractures: a population-based study in Rochester, Minnesota, 1985-1989. *J Bone Miner Res* 1992; 7: 221–227.
- 9 El Maghraoui A, Rezqi A, Mounach A, Achemlal L, Bezza A, Ghozlani I. Systematic vertebral fracture assessment in asymptomatic postmenopausal women. *Bone* 2013; **52**: 176–180.
- 10 Lindsay R, Silverman SL, Cooper C, Hanley DA, Barton I, Broy SB et al. Risk of new vertebral fracture in the year following a fracture. JAMA 2001; 285: 320–323.
- 11 Melton LJ 3rd, Atkinson EJ, Cooper C, O'Fallon WM, Riggs BL. Vertebral fractures predict subsequent fractures. Osteoporos Int 1999; 10: 214–221.
- 12 Kanis JA, Johnell O, De Laet C, Johansson H, Oden A, Delmas P et al. A meta-analysis of previous fracture and subsequent fracture risk. Bone 2004; 35: 375–382.
- 13 Berenson JR, Anderson KC, Audell RA, Boccia RV, Coleman M, Dimopoulos MA et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance: a consensus statement. Br J Haematol 2010; 150: 28–38.
- 14 Van de Donk NWCJ, Palumbo A, Johnsen HE, Engelhardt M, Gay F, Gregersen H et al. The clinical relevance and management of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and related disorders: recommendations from the European Myeloma Network. *Haematologica* 2014; **99**: 984–996.
- 15 International Myeloma Working Group. Criteria for the classification of monoclonal gammopathies, multiple myeloma and related disorders: a report of

the International Myeloma Working Group. Br Journal Haematol 2003; 121: 749–757.

- 16 Genant HK, Wu CY, van Kuijk C, Nevitt MC. Vertebral fracture assessment using a semiquantitative technique. J Bone Miner Res 1993; 8: 1137–1148.
- 17 Decaux O, Rodon P, Ruelland A, Estepa L, Leblay R, Grosbois B. Epidemiology of monoclonal gammopathy in a general hospital and a university internal medicine department. *Rev Med Interne* 2007; 28: 670–676.
- 18 Hurez D, Youinou P, Gombert J, Preud'Homme JL. Survey of monoclonal gammopathy in western France: incidence and unexpected high frequency of lgM. *Immunology* 1985; 557–560.
- 19 O'Neill TW, Felsenberg D, Varlow J, Cooper C, Kanis JA, Silman AJand the European vertebral osteoporosis study group. The prevalence of vertebral deformityin european men and women: the european vertebral osteoporosis study group. J Bone Miner Res 1996; 11: 1010–1018.
- 20 Farr JN, Zhang W, Kumar SK, Jacques RM, Ng AC, McCready LK et al. Altered cortical microarchitecture in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Blood* 2014; **123**: 647–649.
- 21 Bida JP, Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Melton LJ 3rd, Plevak MF, Larson DR et al. Disease associations with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance: a population-based study of 17,398 patients. Mayo Clin Proc 2009; 84: 685–693.
- 22 Kristinsson SY, Tang M, Pfeiffer RM, Björkholm M, Blimark C, Mellqvist UH et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and risk of skeletal fractures: a population-based study. Blood 2010; 116: 2651–2655.
- 23 Pepe J, Petrucci MT, Nofroni I, Fassino V, Diacinti D, Romagnoli E et al. Lumbar bone mineral density as the major factor determining increased prevalence of vertebral fractures in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. Br J Haematol 2006; **134**: 485–490.
- 24 Myatt EA, Westholm FA, Weiss DT, Solomon A, Schiffer M, Stevens FJ. Pathogenic potential of human monoclonal immunoglobulin light chains: relationship of *in vitro* aggregation to *in vivo* organ deposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994; **91**: 3034–3038.
- 25 Sanchorawala V. Light-chain (AL) amyloidosis: diagnosis and treatment. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006; 1: 1331–1341.
- 26 Dispenzieri A. POEMS syndrome: 2011 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. Am J Hematol 2011; 86: 591–601.
- 27 Abraham RS, Geyer SM, Price-Troska TL, Allmer C, Kyle RA, Gertz MA et al. Immunoglobulin light chain variable (V) region genes influence clinical presentation and outcome in light chain-associated amyloidosis (AL). Blood 2003; 101: 3801–3808.
- 28 Bataille R, Chappard D, Basle MF. Quantifiable excess of bone resorption in monoclonal gammopathy is an early symptom of malignancy: a prospective study of 87 bone biopsies. *Blood* 1996; 87: 4762–4769.
- 29 Qiang Y-W, Chen Y, Stephens O, Brown N, Chen B, Epstein J et al. Myeloma-derived Dickkopf-1 disrupts Wnt-regulated osteoprotegerin and RANKL production by osteoblasts: a potential mechanism underlying osteolytic bone lesions in multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2008; **112**: 196–207.
- 30 Roux S, Meignin V, Quillard J, Meduri G, Guiochon-Mantel A, Fermand JP et al. RANK (receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappaB) and RANKL expression in multiple myeloma. Br J Haematol 2002; 117: 86–92.
- 31 Ng AC, Khosla S, Charatcharoenwitthaya N, Kumar SK, Achenbach SJ, Holets MF et al. Bone microstructural changes revealed by high-resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography imaging and elevated DKK1 and MIP-1α levels in patients with MGUS. Blood 2011; **118**: 6529–6534.
- 32 Politou M, Terpos E, Anagnostopoulos A, Szydlo R, Laffan M, Layton M et al. Role of receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand (RANKL), osteoprotegerin and macrophage protein 1-alpha (MIP-1a) in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS). Br J Haematol 2004; **126**: 686–689.
- 33 Rossi JF, Chappard D, Marcelli C, Laplante J, Commes T, Baldet P et al. Micro-osteoclast resorption as a characteristic feature of B-cell malignancies other than multiple myeloma. Br J Haematol 1990; 76: 469–475.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/