

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Association between total ischemic time and in-hospital mortality after emergency PCI in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a retrospective study

Nan Gao<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaoyong Qi<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Yi Dang<sup>3</sup>, Yingxiao Li<sup>3</sup>, Gang Wang<sup>4</sup>, Xiao Liu<sup>3</sup>, Ning Zhu<sup>2</sup> and Jinguo Fu<sup>4</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Symptom-to-balloon time (SBT) represents the total ischemic time in ST-elevated myocardial infarction (STEMI) and is associated with poor long-term outcomes. The study aimed to explore the association between SBT and in-hospital mortality after emergency percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with acute STEMI.

**Methods:** This retrospective, multicenter, observational study included patients admitted to the Hebei General Hospital, Baoding No. 1 Central Hospital, and Cangzhou Central Hospital from January 2016 to December 2018. The outcome was all-cause mortality during the hospital stay. Logistic regression models were established to explore the association between SBT and all-cause mortality during the hospital stay.

**Results:** This study included 1169 patients: 876 males of  $59.6 \pm 11.4$  years of age, and 293 females  $66.3 \pm 13.3$  years of age. A first analysis showed EF had an interaction with SBT ( $P = 0.01$ ). In patients with  $EF \geq 50\%$ , SBT was not an independent risk factor for postoperative all-cause mortality in the hospital (all  $P > 0.05$ ). In patients with  $EF < 50\%$ , SBT was an independent risk factor for postoperative all-cause mortality in the hospital [model 3: 1.51 (1.17, 1.54),  $P$  for trend = 0.01].

**Conclusions:** SBT was independently associated with all-cause mortality in the hospital after PCI in patients with acute STEMI and  $EF < 50\%$ . Specifically, the risk of in-hospital mortality for those with  $SBT \geq 361$  min is increased by 51% compared with those with  $SBT \leq 120$  min.

**Keywords:** ST-elevated myocardial infarction, Symptom-to-balloon time, Ischemia time, Percutaneous coronary intervention

## Background

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is the leading cause of death in patients with cardiovascular diseases [1, 2]. AMI is responsible for about 1 million hospital admissions in the United States of America annually and 2 million

in Europe [3]. The 30-day all-cause mortality is 7.3%–10.2% [4], and the 5-year all-cause mortality is 36.7% in patients with type 1 AMI, 62.5% for type 2, and 72.4% for myocardial injury [5]. Emergency primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) is considered as the first-line treatment for patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) [6–8], and there is increasing evidence that emergency PCI can improve the outcomes of patients with AMI [9–12]. Still, many patients do not benefit from PCI, and the factors of poor

\*Correspondence: Qixiaoyong123@126.com

<sup>1</sup> School of Graduate, Hebei Medical University, No. 361 Zhongshan East Street, Changan District, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province 050000, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



prognosis include sex, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) classification, slow flow, infarct size, microvascular obstruction, intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP), use of  $\beta$ -blockers, use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI)/angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), symptom-to-door time (SDT), symptom-to-balloon time (SBT), ejection fraction (EF) [13–15].

Among the factors mentioned above, SDT represents the time during which the patient is without medical care and during which damage is uncontrolled, without specific treatments at all, and it is associated with the prognosis of PCI [14, 16]. On the other hand, the door-to-balloon time (DBT) represents when a patient is monitored and might receive medical treatments, but definitive treatment has not yet been undertaken; it is positively associated with the patient's mortality [14, 16]. Differently, the SBT represents the entire myocardial ischemic period; it has no definite relationship with the short-term patient's mortality [17, 18], but a recent study suggested a positive correlation between SBT and long-term mortality of patients with AMI after PCI [16]. Alsamara et al. [19] reported an association between SBT and decreased EF but no direct relationship with mortality. Hromadka et al. [20] showed that a longer ischemic time results more frequently in suboptimal TIMI score and worse EF. They also indicated that the factors for a worse prognosis were female sex, age, and obesity, which influenced the relationship between SBT and outcomes [20]. Thus, the association between SBT and the prognosis after PCI in patients with acute STEMI remains controversial and there is no consensus. It might vary among studies and populations.

Therefore, the present study aimed to explore the association between SBT and in-hospital mortality after emergency PCI in Chinese patients with acute STEMI.

## Methods

### Study design and patients

This retrospective, multicenter, observational study included patients admitted to the Hebei General Hospital, Baoding No. 1 Central Hospital, and Cangzhou Central Hospital from January 2016 to December 2018. The study was approved by the ethics committee of each participating hospital. Informed consent was obtained from the patients. All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by institutional ethics research committee board of Baoding No. 1 Central Hospital (No. [2021]014).

The inclusion criteria were (1) meeting the diagnostic criteria for STEMI, and (2) underwent emergency PCI as per guidelines [7]. Patients with acute STEMI who underwent simple emergency coronary angiography but

no PCI were excluded. According to a previous study, all eligible patients were assigned to four groups according to SBT:  $\leq 120$  min, 121–240 min, 241–360 min, and  $\geq 361$  min [21].

### Data collection and outcome

Patients' data were collected, including general data, vital signs, auxiliary examination results, related data of chest pain, and data related to interventional therapy and medication. General data included age, sex, body mass index, history of smoking, and alcoholism. Past medical history included a history of hypertension, type 2 diabetes, myocardial infarction, and atrial fibrillation. Vital signs included pulse, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and Killip classification. Auxiliary examination results included white blood cell count (WBC), red blood cell count, hemoglobin, platelet count, serum potassium, sodium, chloride, creatinine, Acute kidney injury (AKI), uric acid, cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), random blood glucose, creatine kinase (CK)-MB peak, and left ventricular EF (LVEF). Data of chest pain included the time from symptom onset to first medical contact and SBT. Data related to interventional therapy included the blood vessels involved by the lesion, lesion length, SYNTAX score, thrombus score, postoperative TIMI, blood flow grade, IABP, intraoperative slow blood flow, and intraoperative ventricular fibrillation. Medications after admission included  $\beta$ -blockers, ACEI/ARB, diuretic, and nicorandil.

The outcome of this study was in-hospital mortality, i.e., all-cause mortality during the hospital stay.

### Hemodynamic evaluation

The clinical evaluation was performed after the first medical contact upon admission. Killip I was defined as AMI patients without clinical symptoms of heart failure; Killip II as AMI complicated with left heart failure, and the moist rales of both lungs were less than 50% of the lung fields; Killip III as AMI complicated with acute pulmonary edema, and large, small, dry, and moist rales of the whole lung; Killip IV as AMI complicated with cardiogenic shock and other different degrees or stages of hemodynamic changes [22].

### Echocardiography evaluation

Transthoracic echocardiography was used to assess LVEF for the first time after the confirmation of STEMI. The patient was in the supine position. According to the frontier approaches of the American Society of Echocardiography, at least three consecutive cardiac cycles were used to measure the internal dimensions of the left ventricle (that is, the end-systolic dimension and

end-diastolic dimension). The LVEF was calculated as  $LVEF (\%) = [(LVEDD^3 - LVESD^3) / LVEDD^3] \times 100\%$ .

### Evaluation of severity

The SYNTAX score is a scoring system designed based on the degree and extent of coronary lesions after coronary angiography [23].

The thrombus score was evaluated after the guidewire crossed the lesion (but before balloon dilation). The filling defect could be seen in multiple dimensions of angiography and was persistently present in multiple cardiac cycles. The inner membrane dissection caused by the guidewire in the false lumen was excluded. The thrombus score was graded as 0=no thrombus; 1=haziness; 2=definite thrombus < 1/2 vessel diameter; 3=definite thrombus 1/2 to 2 vessel diameters; 4=definite thrombus > 2 vessel diameters; 5: unable to evaluate thrombus due to vascular occlusion [24].

TIMI blood flow classification was evaluated after PCI and non-compliant balloon dilation. TIMI flow grade was: grade 0 (no perfusion), i.e., no antegrade flow beyond the point of occlusion; grade 1 (penetration without perfusion), i.e., minimal antegrade flow after occlusion, but fails to opacify the entire distal coronary bed; grade 2 (partial perfusion), occlusion was complete but showed delayed perfusion of the distal coronary bed with contrast material; and grade 3 (complete perfusion) was antegrade flow to the entire distal bed at a normal rate [25].

AKI was defined as  $\geq 26.52 \mu\text{mol/L}$  increase in serum creatinine within 48 h or a  $\geq 50\%$  increase in serum creatinine in 7 days [26].

### Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The continuous data were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Normally distributed continuous variables are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation and were analyzed using ANOVA and the LSD post hoc test. Non-normally distributed variables are presented as medians (interquartile ranges) and were analyzed using parametric tests. Categorical variables are presented using n (%) and were compared using the chi-square test. According to a previous study by the authors' group, in addition to SBT, risk factors for mortality after emergency PCI in acute STEMI patients are sex, Killip grade, LM lesion, TIMI classification, symptom onset to first-medical-contact, Syntax score, WBC, CK-MB peak, use of  $\beta$ -blockers and ACEI/ARB after surgery, BMI, EF, and LDL [13]. AKI was an independent prognostic factor for long-term mortality among patients with STEMI complicated by cardiogenic shock (CS) and treated with primary percutaneous

coronary intervention [26]. Therefore, the present study used in-hospital mortality as the dependent variable and SBT as the independent variable, and three logistic regression models were established to adjust for confounding factors to explore whether SBT was independently associated with the in-hospital mortality risk. Model 1: crude model. Model 2: adjusted for Killip, TIMI and AKI. Model 3: model 2 with sex, LM, SDT, SYNTAX, WBC, CK-MB,  $\beta$ -blocker, ACEI/ARB, BMI, and LDL-C. In addition, the product item was added to the regression model to detect whether there was an interaction between SBT and confounding factors. Two-sided P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## Results

### Characteristics of patients

This study identified 1205 patients with acute STEMI, excluded 36 who did not undergo PCI, and finally included 1169 patients. Among them, 876 were males of  $59.6 \pm 11.4$  years of age, and 293 were females  $66.3 \pm 13.3$  years of age.

### Factor interaction with SBT

A first analysis showed EF had an interaction with the total ischemic time ( $P=0.01$ ), while all other factors had no interaction with SBT (all  $P>0.17$ ); then, a stratified analysis was conducted according to whether the LVEF was normal or not.

### Analysis of SBT in patients with EF < 50%

In patients with EF < 50% (Table 1), as SBT was prolonged, the proportion of patients with Killip IV, post-operative TIMI grade 0 and 1 and SDT increased; The proportion of patients with thrombus grade 4, receiving ACEI/ARB, the systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels were lower; The length of the coronary lesion was longer. Besides, there were statistical significance in the proportion of patients with Killip I, slow flow, receiving  $\beta$ -blocker and the length of the coronary lesion between groups, not keep pace with the prolonging of SBT (all  $P<0.05$ ) (Table 1).

### Analysis of SBT in patients with EF $\geq$ 50%

In patients with EF  $\geq$  50% (Table 2), as SBT was prolonged, the proportion of patients with thrombus grade 5, SDT, was increased; the proportion of patients receiving  $\beta$ -blockers, ACEI/ARB, nicorandil was decreased; the SYNTAX score was higher. Besides, there were statistical significance in the proportion of patients with Killip I, II and IV, thrombus grade 2 and 4, TIMI blood flow of grade 0, 1 and 3, slow flow, IABP application, syntax score and the level of WBC, chlorine and glucose between groups,

**Table 1** General characteristics of subjects with the number of EF < 50%

Characteristic	SBT (minutes)				P
	≤ 120 (n = 31)	121–240 (n = 130)	241–360 (n = 126)	≥ 361 (n = 92)	
Male, n (%)	25 (80.6)	101 (77.7)	98 (77.8)	70 (76.1)	0.96
Smoking history, n (%)	19 (61.3)	65 (50.0)	55 (43.7)	39 (42.4)	0.23
Drinking history, n (%)	12 (38.7)	33 (25.4)	34 (27.0)	7 (7.6)	0.5
DM history, n (%)	2 (6.5)	30 (23.1)	29 (23.0)	20 (21.7)	0.21
Hypertension history, n (%)	14 (45.2)	54 (41.5)	50 (39.7)	50 (54.3)	0.15
Myocardial infarction history, n (%)	1 (3.2)	12 (9.2)	13 (10.3)	8 (8.7)	0.67
Atrial fibrillation history, n (%)	1 (3.2)	2 (1.5)	3 (2.4)	3 (3.2)	0.85
<i>Killip classification, n (%)</i>					
I	23 (74.2)	100 (76.9)	102 (81.0)	59 (64.1)	0.04
II	7 (22.6)	23 (17.7)	11 (8.7)	15 (16.3)	0.1
III	1 (3.2)	3 (2.3)	6 (4.8)	4 (4.4)	0.74
IV	0 (0)	4 (3.1)	7 (5.5)	14 (15.2)	0.001
LM, n (%)	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	1 (0.8)	4 (4.3)	0.11
LAD, n (%)	20 (64.5)	77 (59.2)	70 (55.6)	47 (51.1)	0.5
LCX, n (%)	2 (6.5)	13 (10.0)	10 (7.9)	13 (14.1)	0.43
RCA, n (%)	9 (29.0)	39 (30.0)	45 (35.7)	30 (32.6)	0.77
<i>Grading of thrombus, n (%)</i>					
0	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.59
1	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	1 (1.1)	0.67
2	2 (6.5)	10 (7.7)	10 (7.9)	6 (6.5)	0.97
3	9 (29.0)	55 (42.3)	53 (42.1)	42 (45.7)	0.45
4	18 (58.1)	44 (33.1)	36 (28.6)	26 (28.3)	0.01
5	2 (6.4)	20 (15.3)	27 (21.4)	17 (18.4)	0.21
<i>TIMI classification, n (%)</i>					
0	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	5 (5.4)	0.008
1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (3.3)	0.02
2	1 (3.2)	13 (10.0)	10 (7.9)	11 (12.0)	0.47
3	30 (96.8)	117 (90.0)	116 (91.3)	73 (79.3)	0.13
Slow flow, n (%)	2 (6.5)	18 (13.8)	14 (11.1)	20 (21.7)	0.08
VF, n (%)	1 (3.2)	5 (3.8)	4 (3.2)	2 (2.2)	0.92
Application of IABP, n (%)	0 (0)	4 (3.1)	7 (5.6)	8 (8.7)	0.15
Administration of β-blocker, n (%)	22 (71.0)	98 (75.4)	81 (64.3)	50 (54.3)	0.01
ACEI/ARB, n (%)	19 (61.3)	74 (56.9)	59 (46.8)	35 (38.0)	0.02
Administration of diuretic, n (%)	10 (32.3)	32 (24.6)	35 (27.8)	27 (29.3)	0.79
Administration of nicorandil, n (%)	7 (22.6)	16 (12.3)	14 (11.1)	6 (6.5)	0.11
AKI, n(%)	3 (9.7)	8 (6.2)	7 (5.6)	15 (16.3)	0.04
Age, years, mean ± SD	60.06 ± 15.36	60.62 ± 11.44	60.14 ± 11.99	63.10 ± 11.01	0.28
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , mean ± SD	25.62 ± 3.89	25.57 ± 3.83	25.08 ± 3.34	25.41 ± 3.60	0.72
Symptom-to-door time, minutes, mean ± SD	34.94 ± 19.18	88.57 ± 39.34	137.52 ± 73.03	236.72 ± 155.35	0.001
P, bpm, mean ± SD	75.77 ± 17.81	76.44 ± 15.94	75.53 ± 14.97	75.68 ± 19.51	0.96
SBP, mmHg, mean ± SD	131.90 ± 23.90	131.43 ± 25.16	123.24 ± 23.61	119.67 ± 28.76	0.002
DBP, mmHg, mean ± SD	81.61 ± 14.31	81.34 ± 13.34	76.36 ± 14.48	73.25 ± 17.20	0.001
Length of lesion, mm, mean ± SD	24.90 ± 7.35	30.55 ± 10.98	28.59 ± 9.72	29.97 ± 11.62	0.04
Syntax score, mean ± SD	21.26 ± 6.60	22.27 ± 8.30	22.65 ± 8.06	23.94 ± 8.90	0.34
WBC, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L, mean ± SD	10.83 ± 4.86	10.45 ± 3.04	11.07 ± 3.94	11.32 ± 3.40	0.32
RBC, 10 <sup>12</sup> /L, mean ± SD	4.64 ± 0.55	4.52 ± 0.57	4.53 ± 0.65	4.48 ± 0.58	0.66
HGB, g/L, mean ± SD	144.85 ± 19.42	139.76 ± 17.61	141.30 ± 18.20	139.14 ± 18.20	0.43

**Table 1** (continued)

Characteristic	SBT (minutes)				P
	≤ 120	121–240	241–360	≥ 361	
	(n = 31)	(n = 130)	(n = 126)	(n = 92)	
PLT, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L, mean ± SD	228.88 ± 53.25	218.05 ± 58.11	230.72 ± 62.61	229.07 ± 67.13	0.36
K, mmol/L, mean ± SD	4.03 ± 0.64	4.05 ± 0.47	3.99 ± 0.46	4.08 ± 0.50	0.56
Na, mmol/L, mean ± SD	138.48 ± 2.76	138.54 ± 3.38	138.74 ± 3.00	139.09 ± 4.15	0.67
Cl, mmol/L, mean ± SD	104.25 ± 2.37	102.29 ± 7.23	102.30 ± 3.34	101.44 ± 8.15	0.19
Cr, μmol/L, mean ± SD	83.60 ± 51.03	74.24 ± 20.31	74.83 ± 23.76	77.63 ± 29.77	0.33
UA, μmol/L, mean ± SD	335.20 ± 94.89	307.62 ± 87.65	312.18 ± 75.66	330.02 ± 105.64	0.17
CHOL, mmol/L, mean ± SD	4.54 ± 1.16	4.58 ± 0.97	4.61 ± 1.00	4.24 ± 1.00	0.05
TG, mmol/L, mean ± SD	1.44 ± 0.73	1.55 ± 1.17	1.65 ± 1.03	1.59 ± 0.79	0.71
HDL, mmol/L, mean ± SD	1.02 ± 0.22	1.04 ± 0.24	1.03 ± 0.37	1.01 ± 0.23	0.82
LDL, mmol/L, mean ± SD	3.03 ± 0.82	2.90 ± 0.73	2.93 ± 0.74	2.81 ± 0.69	0.45
CK-MB, ng/mL, mean ± SD	200.34 ± 108.59	185.11 ± 95.02	185.27 ± 98.24	201.82 ± 104.59	0.53
GLU, mmol/L, mean ± SD	7.56 ± 2.60	8.28 ± 3.29	8.73 ± 3.67	8.84 ± 3.86	0.25

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as %. ANOVA test (comparison of > 2 groups) for continuous and ordinal variables and the chi-squared test for categorical variables

SBT: symptom to balloon time; BMI: body mass index; DM: diabetes mellitus; LM: left main coronary artery; LAD: left anterior descending coronary artery; LCX: left circumflex branch coronary artery; RCA: right coronary artery; TIMI: thrombolysis in myocardial infarction; IABP: intra-aortic balloon pump; VF: ventricular fibrillation; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; EF: ejection fraction, CK-MB: creatinine kinase MB; P: pulse; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; WBC: white blood cell; RBC: red blood cell; HGB: hemoglobin; PLT: blood platelet; K: potassium; Na: sodium; Cl: chlorine; Cr: creatinine; UA: uric acid; CHOL: cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; GLU: glucose. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

not keep pace with the prolonging of SBT (all  $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 3).

### Multivariable analyses

In patients with  $EF \geq 50\%$ , SBT was not an independent risk factor for postoperative all-cause mortality in the hospital (model 2:  $P = 0.09$ ; model 3:  $P$  for trend = 0.08). In patients with  $EF < 50\%$ , SBT was an independent risk factor for postoperative all-cause mortality in the hospital [model 3: 1.51 (1.17, 1.54),  $P$  for trend = 0.01] (Table 3).

### Discussion

The association between SBT and the prognosis after PCI in patients with acute STEMI remains controversial. The results of this study suggest that SBT is an independent risk factor for in-hospital all-cause mortality after emergency PCI in acute STEMI patients with  $EF < 50\%$ . The risk of in-hospital mortality for patients with  $SBT \geq 361$  min was increased by 51% compared with  $SBT \leq 120$  min. However, there was no correlation between SBT and in-hospital mortality in patients with  $EF \geq 50\%$ . This study refines the previous studies by showing that the association of SBT with short-term mortality is only significant in patients with impaired EF, while no significant association was seen in patients with normal EF.

In Model 2, AKI, Killip grade and TIMI grade were included in the model to adjust the influence of these three factors on the outcome. The results showed that after adjusting the above three factors, whether  $EF > 50\%$  group or  $EF < 50\%$  group, the trend  $P$  values were all greater than 0.05, suggesting that there was no statistical relationship between SBT and in-hospital mortality risk. These results suggest that AKI, Killip and TIMI grade are important risk factors for in-hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. This is similar to the results of Hayiroğlu Mİ et al. and Çınar T et al. [26, 27].

Prasad et al. [28] used the myocardial blush grade (MBG) and resolution of ST-segment elevation (STR) to determine the degree of myocardial injury after AMI-related infarct coronary recanalization, and the results showed that the degree of myocardial injury was aggravated with the prolongation of SBT, which was stronger than the correlation between DBT and myocardial injury. Shiomi et al. [18] also found that AMI patients with a short SBT (< 3 h) had a lower risk of mortality and heart failure than those with a long SBT (> 3 h), but patients with  $DBT > 90$  min and those with  $DBT < 90$  min had a similar risk of mortality and heart failure; only when  $SBT < 120$  min, the risk of mortality and heart failure in AMI patients with  $DBT < 90$  min was reduced. It suggested that SBT can better reflect the degree of

**Table 2** General characteristics of subjects with the number of EF  $\geq 50\%$ 

Characteristic	SBT (minutes)				P
	$\leq 120$ (n = 90)	121–240 (n = 310)	241–360 (n = 272)	$\geq 361$ (n = 118)	
Male, n (%)	67 (74.4)	228 (73.5)	209 (76.8)	78 (66.1)	0.18
Smoking history, n (%)	50 (55.6)	147 (47.4)	132 (48.5)	46 (39.0)	0.12
Drinking history, n (%)	27 (30.0)	79 (25.5)	76 (27.9)	31 (26.3)	0.82
DM history, n (%)	16 (17.8)	68 (21.9)	45 (16.5)	30 (25.4)	0.16
Hypertension history, n (%)	44 (48.9)	165 (53.2)	127 (46.7)	63 (53.3)	0.39
Myocardial infarction history, n (%)	6 (6.7)	15 (4.8)	16 (5.9)	6 (5.1)	0.89
Atrial fibrillation history, n (%)	0 (0)	2 (0.6)	8 (2.9)	3 (2.5)	0.08
<i>Killip classification, n (%)</i>					
I	80 (88.9)	267 (86.1)	254 (93.4)	89 (75.4)	<0.001
II	7 (7.8)	36 (11.6)	13 (4.8)	12 (10.2)	0.03
III	3 (3.3)	2 (0.6)	4 (1.5)	3 (2.5)	0.22
IV	0 (0)	5 (1.7)	1 (0.3)	14 (11.9)	<0.001
LM, n (%)	0 (0)	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (0.8)	0.81
LAD, n (%)	42 (46.7)	123 (39.7)	114 (41.9)	60 (50.8)	0.17
LCX, n (%)	10 (11.1)	51 (16.5)	29 (10.7)	11 (9.3)	0.09
RCA, n (%)	38 (42.2)	135 (43.5)	128 (47.1)	46 (39.0)	0.5
<i>Grading of thrombus, n (%)</i>					
0	2 (2.2)	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	0.2
1	1 (1.1)	7 (2.3)	3 (1.1)	2 (1.7)	0.71
2	5 (5.6)	22 (7.1)	36 (13.2)	7 (5.9)	0.02
3	31 (34.4)	119 (38.4)	119 (43.8)	51 (43.2)	0.32
4	47 (52.2)	121 (39.0)	66 (24.3)	35 (29.7)	<0.001
5	4 (4.5)	39 (12.6)	47 (17.2)	23 (19.5)	0.006
<i>TIMI classification, n (%)</i>					
0	0 (0)	4 (1.3)	1 (0.4)	6 (5.1)	0.002
1	0 (0)	3 (1.0)	1 (0.4)	5 (4.2)	0.006
2	2 (2.2)	16 (5.2)	8 (2.9)	8 (6.8)	0.21
3	88 (97.8)	287 (92.5)	262 (96.3)	99 (83.9)	0.01
Slow flow, n (%)	3 (3.3)	30 (9.7)	18 (6.6)	22 (18.6)	0.001
VF, n (%)	6 (6.7)	10 (3.2)	10 (3.7)	5 (4.2)	0.52
Application of IABP, n (%)	0 (0)	5 (1.6)	2 (0.7)	6 (5.1)	0.009
Administration of $\beta$ -blocker, n (%)	77 (85.6)	240 (77.4)	201 (73.9)	72 (61.0)	0.001
ACEI/ARB, n (%)	68 (75.6)	205 (66.1)	146 (53.7)	58 (49.2)	0.001
Administration of diuretic, n (%)	15 (16.7)	64 (20.6)	58 (21.3)	24 (20.3)	0.82
Administration of nicorandil, n (%)	24 (26.7)	52 (16.8)	19 (7.0)	6 (5.1)	0.001
AKI, n(%)	2 (2.2)	5 (1.6)	10 (3.7)	8 (6.7)	0.062
Age, years, mean $\pm$ SD	59.44 $\pm$ 11.13	59.77 $\pm$ 11.22	59.16 $\pm$ 12.03	61.37 $\pm$ 12.08	0.38
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , mean $\pm$ SD	25.09 $\pm$ 2.77	25.66 $\pm$ 3.22	25.44 $\pm$ 3.36	25.53 $\pm$ 2.77	0.5
Symptom-to-door time, minutes, mean $\pm$ SD	39.63 $\pm$ 23.39	82.12 $\pm$ 38.93	134.06 $\pm$ 69.88	181.08 $\pm$ 138.33	0.001
P, bpm, mean $\pm$ SD	75.98 $\pm$ 14.76	75.67 $\pm$ 14.18	74.87 $\pm$ 17.13	76.20 $\pm$ 16.65	0.85
SBP, mmHg, mean $\pm$ SD	131.54 $\pm$ 22.67	128.03 $\pm$ 22.95	129.65 $\pm$ 21.90	126.66 $\pm$ 24.55	0.38
DBP, mmHg, mean $\pm$ SD	81.53 $\pm$ 15.17	78.85 $\pm$ 14.53	81.03 $\pm$ 14.59	77.96 $\pm$ 14.72	0.09
Length of lesion, mm, mean $\pm$ SD	27.49 $\pm$ 11.41	28.70 $\pm$ 10.95	28.72 $\pm$ 10.36	29.09 $\pm$ 9.81	0.73
Syntax score, mean $\pm$ SD	20.15 $\pm$ 7.89	20.66 $\pm$ 7.83	20.24 $\pm$ 7.99	22.83 $\pm$ 9.09	0.03
WBC, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L, mean $\pm$ SD	9.77 $\pm$ 2.76	10.77 $\pm$ 3.33	10.74 $\pm$ 3.60	11.37 $\pm$ 4.38	0.02
RBC, 10 <sup>12</sup> /L, mean $\pm$ SD	4.50 $\pm$ 0.53	4.54 $\pm$ 0.61	4.49 $\pm$ 0.49	4.46 $\pm$ 0.56	0.64
HGB, g/L, mean $\pm$ SD	138.93 $\pm$ 17.51	138.48 $\pm$ 18.01	139.05 $\pm$ 15.69	138.97 $\pm$ 17.26	0.98

**Table 2** (continued)

Characteristic	SBT (minutes)				P
	≤ 120	121–240	241–360	≥ 361	
	(n = 90)	(n = 310)	(n = 272)	(n = 118)	
PLT, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L, mean ± SD	229.69 ± 55.36	225.93 ± 58.73	229.64 ± 63.82	233.48 ± 82.61	0.73
K, mmol/L, mean ± SD	3.96 ± 0.40	3.94 ± 0.45	4.00 ± 0.55	4.06 ± 0.46	0.11
Na, mmol/L, mean ± SD	138.43 ± 3.85	138.87 ± 3.23	139.08 ± 2.96	138.86 ± 3.22	0.43
Cl, mmol/L, mean ± SD	104.14 ± 3.77	102.92 ± 3.61	102.55 ± 5.49	102.63 ± 3.31	0.02
Cr, μmol/L, mean ± SD	76.96 ± 20.62	72.82 ± 17.51	72.53 ± 22.30	72.85 ± 28.57	0.37
UA, μmol/L, mean ± SD	329.09 ± 89.98	313.02 ± 96.22	311.27 ± 84.37	308.79 ± 94.02	0.38
CHOL, mmol/L, mean ± SD	4.59 ± 0.97	4.59 ± 1.01	4.55 ± 0.98	4.50 ± 1.07	0.83
TG, mmol/L, mean ± SD	1.82 ± 1.11	1.66 ± 1.12	1.59 ± 0.91	1.78 ± 1.07	0.19
HDL, mmol/L, mean ± SD	1.02 ± 0.25	1.04 ± 0.25	1.03 ± 0.23	0.97 ± 0.20	0.06
LDL, mmol/L, mean ± SD	3.05 ± 0.81	2.98 ± 0.92	3.01 ± 0.88	2.87 ± 0.94	0.43
CK-MB, ng/mL, mean ± SD	163.33 ± 63.29	164.41 ± 74.37	166.03 ± 47.63	177.44 ± 89.39	0.31
GLU, mmol/L, mean ± SD	7.30 ± 3.09	8.28 ± 3.43	8.12 ± 3.14	8.75 ± 3.77	0.02

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as %. ANOVA test (comparison of > 2 groups) for continuous and ordinal variables and the chi-squared test for categorical variables

SBT: symptom to balloon time; BMI: body mass index; DM: diabetes mellitus; LM: left main coronary artery; LAD: left anterior descending coronary artery; LCX: left circumflex branch coronary artery; RCA: right coronary artery; TIMI: thrombolysis in myocardial infarction; IABP: intra-aortic balloon pump; VF: ventricular fibrillation; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker, EF: ejection fraction, CK-MB: creatinine kinase MB; P: pulse; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; WBC: white blood cell; RBC: red blood cell; HGB: hemoglobin; PLT: blood platelet; K: potassium; Na: sodium; Cl: chlorine; Cr: creatinine; UA: uric acid; CHOL: cholesterol; HDL: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; GLU: glucose. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

**Table 3** Adjusted odds ratios (with 95% CIs) from logistic regression models for associations of SBT with death

Models	SBT (min)				P for trend
	≤ 120	121–240	241–360	≥ 361	
<i>EF &lt; 50%</i>					
No. of subjects, n	31	130	126	92	
Death cases, n	0	3	9	42	
Model 1	1	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	1.03 (1.01, 1.13)	1.23 (1.08, 1.38)	< 0.001
Model 2	1	1.05 (0.89, 1.16)	1.10 (1.02, 1.15)	1.15 (1.00, 1.47)	0.15
Model 3	1	1.03 (1.01, 1.18)	1.17 (1.03, 1.34)	1.51 (1.17, 1.54)	0.01
<i>EF ≥ 50%</i>					
No. of subjects, n	90	310	272	118	
Death cases, n	0	8	3	20	
Model 1	1	1.05 (0.89, 1.28)	1.15 (1.04, 1.46)	1.34 (1.12, 1.59)	< 0.001
Model 2	1	1.04 (0.81, 1.17)	1.07 (0.82, 1.54)	1.13 (1.01, 1.73)	0.09
Model 3	1	1.01 (0.85, 1.24)	1.18 (1.01, 2.41)	1.11 (1.04, 1.94)	0.08

Model 1: Crude model. Model 2: Adjusted for Killip, TIMI and AKI. Model 3: Additionally adjusted for sex, LM, symptom-to-door time, syntax, WBC, CK-MB, β-blocker, ACEI/ARB, BMI, and LDL. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

myocardial injury and necrosis than DBT, thereby affecting the outcomes of patients, as suggested by more recent studies [14, 16, 20]. Like these studies, the present study revealed that with the prolongation of SBT, the risk of in-hospital mortality in AMI patients with EF < 50% was increased, but there was no increase in the risk of mortality in AMI patients with EF ≥ 50%, which has not been

observed in previous studies. As is known, myocardial cells begin to be irreversibly injured after 20 min of coronary occlusion, and myocardial cells will be completely necrotic by about 6 h [29]. The whole progression of the infarct depends upon the presence or absence of the establishment of collateral circulation, ischemic preconditioning, and SBT. EF < 50% at admission suggests the

presence of a larger area of myocardial cell injury and necrosis, and the infarct-related coronary arteries are still occluded or have no collateral circulation. Therefore, with the prolongation of SBT, the number of necrotic myocardial cells continues to increase, and in-hospital mortality will be further increased. On the other hand, for patients with  $EF \geq 50\%$ , the number of damaged and necrotic myocardial cells is still small, which might be due to the presence of collateral circulation or infarct-related coronary occlusion, recanalization, and reocclusion, myocardial cells underwent the process of ischemic preconditioning, reducing the number of necrotic myocardial cells, so these patients did not show an increase in the in-hospital mortality with the prolongation of SBT. Nevertheless, the patients with  $EF < 50\%$  might include some patients with early-stage heart failure due to any cause, and such patients would have a poorer prognosis. Since these patients are usually undiagnosed because of no particular or non-specific symptoms, the present study cannot answer this point.

Unlike the present study, previous findings suggest that DBT is associated with the short- and long-term mortality of AMI patients undergoing emergency PCI, while SBT has no obvious correlation with the mortality of patients. A clinical observation study of 43,801 AMI patients by Rathore et al. [30] found that longer DBT was associated with a higher risk of in-hospital mortality. Based on data from the Second National Registry of Myocardial Infarction, studies by Cannon et al. [17] showed that the average DBT recorded by the center was 116 min. As the DBT prolonged, the mortality was increased, while there was no correlation between the SBT and mortality. McNamara et al. [31] obtained similar findings. The mortality of AMI patients was associated with DBT but did not correlate with SBT. That may be due to various confounding factors like the levels of the hospitals and the general characteristics of the patients. In addition, pooling all the patients together without stratification based on EF might also dilute the significance of the relationships. Still, the results of the present study need to be confirmed.

#### Limitations and prospect

This study was a retrospective study, not a prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial. Although the patients have been selected from multiple centers, selection bias is inevitable. Previous studies have shown that IABP use was an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality risk [32]. But this study did not confirm this result. This may be due to the low number of IABP applications. Of the 1169 patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, 32(2.7%) patients received IABP, which was related to the size of the hospital and the level of medical

care, the next step is to expand the number of treatment centers and increase the sample size. Further research with larger-scale clinical case selection might be closer to the real world, achieving more convincing results. Secondly, research at the cellular level can further explain the findings of the present study, which will be our next research direction.

#### Conclusions

In acute STEMI patients undergoing emergency PCI with  $EF < 50\%$ , the risk of in-hospital mortality for those with total ischemic time  $\geq 361$  min is increased by 51% compared with those with a total ischemic time of  $\leq 120$  min. There is no association between total ischemic time and in-hospital mortality in patients with  $EF \geq 50\%$ . Thus, for AMI patients with  $EF < 50\%$  upon admission, it is imperative to shorten SBT as much as possible to reduce mortality and improve prognosis.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

XQ conceived and supervised the study; NG designed experiments; GW, XL, NZ and JF collected data; NG and XL analysed data; NG and XL wrote the manuscript; XQ, YD and YL made manuscript revisions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

#### Funding

Not applicable.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### Declarations

##### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by institutional ethics research committee board of Baoding No. 1 Central Hospital (No. [2021]014). Informed consent was obtained from the patients.

##### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

##### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

##### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Graduate, Hebei Medical University, No. 361 Zhongshan East Street, Changan District, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province 050000, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Baoding No. 1 Central Hospital, Baoding 071000, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Hebei General Hospital, Shijiazhuang 050000, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China. <sup>4</sup>Department of Cardiology, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou 061000, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China.

Received: 18 August 2021 Accepted: 24 February 2022

Published online: 04 March 2022



## References

- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet*. 1997;349:1436–42.
- Chen JS, Ko WJ, Yu HY, Lai LP, Huang SC, Chi NH, et al. Analysis of the outcome for patients experiencing myocardial infarction and cardiopulmonary resuscitation refractory to conventional therapies necessitating extracorporeal life support rescue. *Crit Care Med*. 2006;34:950–7.
- Braunwald E. Unstable angina and non-ST elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;185:924–32.
- Chung SC, Gedeberg R, Nicholas O, James S, Jeppsson A, Wolfe C, et al. Acute myocardial infarction: a comparison of short-term survival in national outcome registries in Sweden and the UK. *Lancet*. 2014;383:1305–12.
- Chapman AR, Shah ASV, Lee KK, Anand A, Francis O, Adamson P, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with type 2 myocardial infarction and myocardial injury. *Circulation*. 2018;137:1236–45.
- O’Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, Chung MK, de Lemos JA, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2013;127:e362–425.
- Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:119–77.
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *Circulation*. 2018;138:e618–51.
- Santoro GM, Carrabba N, Migliorini A, Parodi G, Valenti R. Acute heart failure in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2008;10:780–5.
- DeGeare VS, Boura JA, Grines LL, O’Neill WW, Grines CL. Predictive value of the Killip classification in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2001;87:1035–8.
- Tsai TH, Chua S, Hussein H, Leu S, Wu CJ, Hang CL, et al. Outcomes of patients with Killip class III acute myocardial infarction after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Crit Care Med*. 2011;39:436–42.
- Shiraishi J, Kohno Y, Nakamura T, Yanagiuchi T, Hashimoto S, Ito D, et al. Predictors of in-hospital outcomes after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction in patients with a high Killip class. *Intern Med*. 2014;53:933–9.
- Gao N, Qi X, Dang Y, Li Y, Wang G, Liu X, et al. Establishment and validation of a risk model for prediction of in-hospital mortality in patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction after primary PCI. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2020;20:513.
- Redfors B, Mohebi R, Giustino G, Chen S, Selker HP, Thiele H, et al. Time delay, infarct size, and microvascular obstruction after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for st-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2021;14:e009879.
- Kang MG, Kang Y, Kim K, Park HW, Koh JS, Park JR, et al. Cardiac mortality benefit of direct admission to percutaneous coronary intervention-capable hospital in acute myocardial infarction: Community registry-based study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021;100:e25058.
- Meisel SR, Kleiner-Shochat M, Abu-Fanne R, Frimerman A, Danon A, Minha S, et al. Direct admission of patients with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction to the catheterization laboratory shortens pain-to-balloon and door-to-balloon time intervals but only the pain-to-balloon interval impacts short- and long-term mortality. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021;10:e018343.
- Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lambrew CT, Shoultz DA, Levy D, French WJ, et al. Relationship of symptom-onset-to-balloon time and door-to-balloon time with mortality in patients undergoing angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 2000;283:2941–7.
- Shiomi H, Nakagawa Y, Morimoto T, Furukawa Y, Nakano A, Shirai S, et al. Association of onset to balloon and door to balloon time with long term clinical outcome in patients with ST elevation acute myocardial infarction having primary percutaneous coronary intervention: observational study. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e3257.
- Alsamara M, Degheim G, Gholkar G, Hiner E, Zughaib M. Is symptom to balloon time a better predictor of outcomes in acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction than door to balloon time? *Am J Cardiovasc Dis*. 2018;8:43–7.
- Hromadka M, Motovska Z, Hlinomaz O, Kala P, Varvarovsky I, Dusek J. Relationship between symptom-onset-to-balloon time and outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:5.
- Solhpour A, Chang KW, Arain SA, Balan P, Loghin C, McCarthy JJ, et al. Ischemic time is a better predictor than door-to-balloon time for mortality and infarct size in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;87:1194–200.
- Killip T 3rd, Kimball JT. Treatment of myocardial infarction in a coronary care unit. A two year experience with 250 patients. *Am J Cardiol*. 1967;20:457–64.
- Magro M, Räber L, Heg D, Taniwaki M, Kelbaek H, Ostojic M, et al. The MI SYNTAX score for risk stratification in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for treatment of acute myocardial infarction: a substudy of the COMFORTABLE AMI trial. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;175:314–22.
- Burzotta F, Trani C, Romagnoli E, Belloni F, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Mazzari MA, et al. A pilot study with a new, rapid-exchange, thrombus-aspirating device in patients with thrombus-containing lesions: the Diver C.E. study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2006;67:887–93.
- The thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) trial. Phase I findings. *N Engl J Med*. 1985; 312:932–6.
- Hayiroğlu M, Bozbeyoğlu E, Yıldırım Türk Ö, Tekkeşin A, Pehlivanoğlu S. Effect of acute kidney injury on long-term mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock who underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention in a high-volume tertiary center. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars*. 2020;48:1–9.
- Çinar T, Hayiroğlu M, Şeker M, Doğan S, Çiçek V, Öz A, et al. The predictive value of age, creatinine, ejection fraction score for in-hospital mortality in patients with cardiogenic shock. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2019;30:569–74.
- Prasad A, Gersh BJ, Mehran R, Brodie BR, Brener SJ, Dizon JM, et al. Effect of ischemia duration and door-to-balloon time on myocardial perfusion in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: an analysis from HORIZONS-AMI trial (harmonizing outcomes with revascularization and stents in acute myocardial infarction). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015;8:1966–74.
- Nallamothu BK, Bradley EH, Krumholz HM. Time to treatment in primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:1631–8.
- Rathore SS, Curtis JP, Chen J, Wang Y, Nallamothu BK, Epstein AJ, et al. Association of door-to-balloon time and mortality in patients admitted to hospital with ST elevation myocardial infarction: national cohort study. *BMJ*. 2009;338:b1807.
- McNamara RL, Wang Y, Herrin J, Curtis JP, Bradley EH, Magid DJ, et al. Effect of door-to-balloon time on mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47:2180–6.
- Hayiroğlu M, Çanga Y, Yıldırım Türk Ö, Bozbeyoğlu E, Gümüşdağ A, Uzun AO, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of acute coronary syndrome patients with intra-aortic balloon pump inserted in intensive cardiac care unit of a tertiary clinic. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars*. 2018;46:10–7.

### Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.