



## Genome Sequences of Allochromatium palmeri and Allochromatium humboldtianum Expand the Allochromatium Family Tree of Purple Sulfur Photosynthetic Bacteria within the Gammaproteobacteria and Further Refine the Genus

John A. Kyndt,<sup>a</sup> Terry E. Meyer<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Science and Technology, Bellevue University, Bellevue, Nebraska, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA

**ABSTRACT** New genomes of two *Allochromatium* strains were sequenced. Wholegenome and average nucleotide identity based on BLAST (ANIb) comparisons show that *Allochromatium humboldtianum* is the nearest relative of *Allochromatium vinosum* (ANIb, 91.5%), while both *Allochromatium palmeri* and *Thermochromatium tepidum* are more distantly related (ANIb, <87%). These new sequences firmly establish the position of *Allochromatium* on the family tree.

**C**hromatium vinosum (now Allochromatium vinosum) is the prototypic purple sulfur bacterium, and it is the only species in the genus to have had a genome sequence determined (1). Moreover, there are several genera that are fairly closely related to Allochromatium, including Thiocystis, Thermochromatium, Chromatium, and Thiorhodococcus (2), although the relationships are not clear despite single-gene comparisons (3); therefore, a whole-genome comparison including multiple Allochromatium species is needed.

Allochromatium palmeri DSM 15591<sup>T</sup> was originally isolated from a cave system in the Bahamas (4), while Allochromatium humboldtianum DSM 21881<sup>T</sup> was isolated from marine sediments in Peru (5). Cultures were grown and genomic DNA was prepared by the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ). DNA analysis showed A260/A280 ratios of 1.60 for A. palmeri and 1.96 for A. humboldtianum. The sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina Nextera DNA Flex library preparation kit and were sequenced by an Illumina MiniSeg sequencer using 500  $\mu$ l of a 1.8 pM library. Paired-end (2  $\times$  150-bp) sequencing generated 2,433,982 reads and 192 Mbp for A. palmeri and 3,349,346 reads and 252.2 Mbp for A. humboldtianum. Quality control of the reads was performed using FastQC within BaseSpace (version 1.0.0; Illumina), using a k-mer size of 5 and contamination filtering. We assembled the genome de novo through PATRIC (6) using SPAdes (version 3.10.0) (7) for A. palmeri and Unicycler for A. humboldtianum. The assembly yielded 196 contigs (>300 bp) and an  $N_{50}$  value of 74,142 bp for A. palmeri (45× coverage), while A. humboldtianum was assembled into 86 contigs with an  $N_{50}$  value of 305,111 bp (55× coverage). The A. palmeri genome had a GC content of 62.5% and a length of 4,272,782 bp, whereas the A. humboldtianum genome had a GC content of 63.9% and a length of 4,584,820 bp. The genomes were annotated using the RAST tool kit (RASTtk) (8) within PATRIC (6). This annotation showed A. palmeri to have 4,134 coding sequences and 45 tRNAs and A. humboldtianum to contain 4,391 coding sequences and 47 tRNAs. Default parameters were used for all software applications unless otherwise noted.

A JSpeciesWS comparison (9) of average nucleotide identity based on BLAST (ANIb)

Citation Kyndt JA, Meyer TE. 2020. Genome sequences of Allochromatium palmeri and Allochromatium humboldtianum expand the Allochromatium family tree of purple sulfur photosynthetic bacteria within the Gammaproteobacteria and further refine the genus. Microbiol Resour Announc 9:e00774-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00774-20.

**Editor** Frank J. Stewart, Georgia Institute of Technology

**Copyright** © 2020 Kyndt and Meyer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to John A. Kyndt, jkyndt@bellevue.edu.

Received 2 July 2020 Accepted 21 July 2020 Published 13 August 2020

## TABLE 1 ANIb comparisons

	ANIb (%) with strain:			
Strain	A. vinosum DSM 180 <sup>⊤</sup>	A. humboldtianum DSM 21881 <sup>⊤</sup>	A. palmeri DSM 15591 <sup>⊤</sup>	T. tepidum ATCC 43061 <sup>⊤</sup>
A. humboldtianum DSM 21881 <sup>⊤</sup>	91.5			
A. palmeri DSM 15591 <sup>⊤</sup>	86.6	86.8		
T. tepidum ATCC 43061 <sup>⊤</sup>	84.3	84.9	82.2	
A. warmingii DSM 173 <sup>⊤</sup>	76.6	76.5	76.4	74.7

showed 86.8% identity between *A. palmeri* and *A. humboldtianum* (Table 1). *A. humboldtianum* is closer to *Allochromatium vinosum* with 91.5% ANIb, while *A. palmeri* showed 86.6% ANIb. All of these ANIb values are clearly below the proposed 95% cutoff value for genome definition of a species (9). *Thermochromatium tepidum* is about equidistant from all three of the *Allochromatium* species; however, *Allochromatium warmingii* appears to be more distant from all of them.

Whole-genome-based phylogenetic analysis was performed with RAxML within PATRIC (10, 11) using all of the *Allochromatium* and related genomes (1, 12–16). This analysis grouped all of the *Allochromatium* species (Fig. 1); however, it also placed *Thermochromatium tepidum* within this group. Consistent with the ANIb analysis, *A. warmingii* is more distant from the other *Allochromatium* species. Further genetic and physiological studies may be needed to determine whether a nomenclature change of the latter species is warranted. The addition of these new *Allochromatium* genomes has substantially strengthened the phylogenetic tree of this genus.

**Data availability.** These whole-genome shotgun projects have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers WNKT00000000 for *Allochromatium palmeri* and JABZEO000000000 for *Allochromatium humboldtianum*. The versions described in this paper are versions WNKT010000000 and JABZEO010000000. The raw sequencing reads have been submitted to SRA, and the accession numbers are SRR12110462 for *Allochromatium palmeri* and SRR12110432 for *Allochromatium humboldtianum*.



**FIG 1** Whole-genome-based phylogenetic tree of all sequenced *Allochromatium* and related species. The phylogenetic tree was generated using the Codon Tree method within PATRIC (6), which used PATRIC global protein families (PGFams) as homology groups; 467 PGFams were found among these selected genomes using the Codon Tree analysis, and the aligned proteins and coding DNA from single-copy genes were used for RAxML analysis (10, 11). The support values for the phylogenetic tree are shown on the tree branches and were generated using 100 rounds of the rapid bootstrapping option of RaxML. *Thiorhodovibrio* was used as an outgroup. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) was used for the tree visualization (17).

This work was sponsored by the Wilson Enhancement Fund for Applied Research in Science at Bellevue University.

## REFERENCES

- Weissgerber T, Zigann R, Bruce D, Chang YJ, Detter JC, Han C, Hauser L, Jeffries CD, Land M, Munk AC, Tapia R, Dahl C. 2011. Complete genome sequence of *Allochromatium vinosum* DSM 180<sup>T</sup>. Stand Genomic Sci 5:311–330. https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.2335270.
- Imhoff JF, Süling J, Petri R. 1998. Phylogenetic relationships among the *Chromatiaceae*, their taxonomic reclassification and description of the new genera Allochromatium, Halochromatium, Isochromatium, Marichromatium, Thiococcus, Thiohalocapsa and Thermochromatium. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48:1129–1143. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-48-4-1129.
- Imhoff JF, Rahn T, Künzel S, Neulinger SC. 2017. Photosynthesis is widely distributed among proteobacteria as demonstrated by the phylogeny of PufLM reaction center proteins. Front Microbiol 8:2679. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02679.
- Herbert RA, Ranchou-Peyruse A, Duran R, Guyoneaud R, Schwabe S. 2005. Characterization of purple sulfur bacteria from the South Andros Black Hole cave system: highlights taxonomic problems for ecological studies among the genera *Allochromatium* and *Thiocapsa*. Environ Microbiol 7:1260–1268. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00815.x.
- Serrano W, Schrübbers J, Amann R, Fischer U. 2015. Allochromatium humboldtianum sp. nov., isolated from soft coastal sediments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:2980–2985. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000364.
- Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, Bun C, Conrad N, Dietrich EM, Disz T, Gabbard JL, Gerdes S, Henry CS, Kenyon RW, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg EK, Olsen GJ, Murphy-Olson DE, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Warren A, Xia F, Yoo H, Stevens RL. 2017. Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial Bioinformatics Database and Analysis Resource Center. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D535–D542. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1017.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008.

The RAST server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.

- Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Glöckner FO, Peplies J. 2016. JSpeciesWS: a Web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. Bioinformatics 32:929–931. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btv681.
- Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont JJS. 2008. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML Web servers. Syst Biol 57:758–771. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10635150802429642.
- Stamatakis AJB. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033.
- Sattley WM, Swingley WD, Burchell BM, Gurbani SA, Kujawa CM, Nuccio DA, Schladweiler J, Shaffer KN, Stokes LM, Touchman JW, Blankenship RE, Madigan MT. 2019. The complete genome of the thermophilic, anoxygenic phototrophic gammaproteobacterium *Thermochromatium tepidum*. GenBank https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ\_CP039268 (accession number NZ\_CP039268).
- Varghese N, Submissions S. 2016. Whole genome shotgun sequence of Allochromatium warmingii strain DSM 173. GenBank https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih .gov/nuccore/NZ\_FNOW00000000 (accession no. NZ\_FNOW00000000).
- Aviles FA, Meyer TE, Kyndt JA. 2020. Draft genome sequences of *Thi-orhodococcus mannitoliphagus* and *Thiorhodococcus minor*, purple sulfur photosynthetic bacteria in the gammaproteobacterial family *Chromatiaceae*. Microbiol Resour Announc 9:e00193-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MRA.00193-20.
- Luedin SM, Liechti N, Storelli N, Danza F, Wittwer M, Pothier JF, Tonolla MA. 2018. The complete genome sequence of *Chromatium okenii* LaCa, a purple sulfur bacterium with a turbulent life. GenBank https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih .gov/nuccore/NZ\_PPGH00000000 (accession no. NZ\_PPGH00000000).
- Saini MK, Hanada S, Tank M. 2020. Caldichromatium gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic purple sulfur bacterium member of the family Chromatiaceae isolated from Nakabusa hot spring, Japan, GenBank https://www.ncbi.nlm .nih.gov/nuccore/NZ\_CP048029 (accession no. NZ\_CP048029).
- Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:256–259. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkz239.