



Article Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike Antibody Titers and Neutralizing Antibodies in Vaccinated Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Hiroshi Furukawa ^{1,*}, Shomi Oka ¹, Takashi Higuchi ^{1,2}, Moriyuki Nakama ^{3,4}, Nobuhiro Nagai ³ and Shigeto Tohma ¹

- ¹ Department of Rheumatology, National Hospital Organization Tokyo National Hospital, 3-1-1 Takeoka, Kiyose 204-8585, Japan
- ² Department of Nephrology, Ushiku Aiwa General Hospital, 896 Shishiko-cho, Ushiku 300-1296, Japan
- ³ Department of Clinical Laboratory, National Hospital Organization Tokyo National Hospital, 3-1-1 Takeoka, Kiyose 204-8585, Japan
- ⁴ Department of Clinical Laboratory, National Hospital Organization Shimofusa Psychiatric Medical Center, 578 Heta-cho, Midori-ku, Chiba 266-0007, Japan
- * Correspondence: furukawa-tky@umin.org; Tel.: +81-42-491-2111

Abstract: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). A serological test is used to assess the efficacy of vaccination. It has been reported that anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) and neutralizing antibody (Ab) levels are lower following vaccination in patients with rheumatic disease. Here, we investigated anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and neutralizing Abs in vaccinated rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients in Japan. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and neutralizing Abs were quantified in 101 RA patients and 117 controls. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab levels were lower in RA patients than both earlier after vaccination in controls (mean RA 324.1 \pm 591.8 SDM vs. control 1216.6 ± 854.4 [U/mL], p < 0.0001) and later after vaccination (324.1 ± 591.8 vs. 582.0 ± 415.6 [U/mL], p = 0.0002). The interval between vaccination of the RA patients and serum collection was longer than for controls early after vaccination (142.1 \pm 31.6 vs. 98.3 \pm 11.2 [days], *p* < 0.0001), but shorter than the later sample from the controls (142.1 \pm 31.6 vs. 257.3 \pm 11.2 [days], *p* < 0.0001). Importantly, anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab titers in RA patients were higher than in either early or later control samples (10.7 \pm 4.9 vs. 8.6 \pm 6.6 [%], *p* = 0.0072, and 10.7 \pm 4.9 vs. 3.1 \pm 3.7 [%], *p* < 0.0001, respectively). Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab titers in vaccinated RA patients were lower than in controls, but they were influenced by other clinical manifestations. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels were independently increased in RA.

Keywords: vaccination; anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike antibody; anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody; rheumatoid arthritis

1. Introduction

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was first reported in Wuhan [1], found to be caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). For diagnosis, a quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction from sputum, nasal swab, or saliva samples is commonly performed. The presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) antibody (Ab) is investigated as a surrogate for the efficacy of vaccination and anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N) Ab is detected to establish prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Neutralizing Ab is measured to evaluate the protective capacity of serum Abs against SARS-CoV-2 infection. Plaque-reduction neutralization tests (PRNT) using SARS-CoV-2 and enzyme-linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA)-based neutralizing Ab assays are used for the detection of neutralizing Ab; the results of these two assays correlate well [2–4]. It has been reported that anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab titers persisted longer [5–7] than neutralizing Ab [8] after vaccination. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and neutralizing Ab levels were reported to be lower in patients with rheumatic diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab even being undetectable in some of these patients



Citation: Furukawa, H.; Oka, S.; Higuchi, T.; Nakama, M.; Nagai, N.; Tohma, S. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike Antibody Titers and Neutralizing Antibodies in Vaccinated Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. *Vaccines* 2022, *10*, 1365. https:// doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10081365

Academic Editors: P Veeranna Ravindra, Prashant Chikkahonnaiah and Federico Pratesi

Received: 12 July 2022 Accepted: 18 August 2022 Published: 21 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). after vaccination [9–13]. However, anti-SARS-CoV-2 S titers and neutralizing Abs have not been extensively investigated in vaccinated RA patients. Hence, here, we measured anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and neutralizing Abs in vaccinated RA patients in Japan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients and Sera

A total of 101 RA patients was recruited at Tokyo National Hospital. The RA patients fulfilled Rheumatoid Arthritis Classification Criteria [14] or American College of Rheumatology Criteria for RA [15]. None of the RA patients were diagnosed with COVID-19 before the collection of sera post vaccination. All were vaccinated twice against SARS-CoV-2 with BNT162b2 (Pfizer, New York, NY, USA) or mRNA-1273 (Moderna, Cambridge, MA, USA). Two of the RA patients were vaccinated with mRNA-1273, 57 were vaccinated with BNT162b2, and the information of the others was not available. Sera were collected from RA patients before the third vaccination. A total of 117 control subjects was recruited from healthcare workers at Tokyo National Hospital, twice vaccinated with BNT162b2. As with the RA patients, none of the controls were diagnosed with COVID-19 before serum collection. Sera were collected twice for health checkup (early and late collection) from the controls at different times before their third vaccination.

The study was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Tokyo National Hospital (469). Written informed consent was obtained from all RA patients and controls. This study was conducted in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Detection of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N, S, and Neutralizing Abs

Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N Ab titers were analyzed using chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassays (Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N IgG, Fujirebio, Hachioji, Japan, User's manual 2021-09 V1.0, P8B01T). The IgG fraction of anti-SARS-CoV-2 N Abs was measured in the assay. The cut-off value was 1.0 U/mL. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab titers were quantified by the electrochemiluminescence immunoassay system Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany, Package Insert 2020-09, V1.0, #09289275190). The Abs including IgG to the receptor binding domain on S1 subunit (Wuhan-Hu-1) were measured in the assay. The cut-off value was 0.8 U/mL. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Abs were measured by the blocking ELISA system SARS-CoV-2 Neutralization Antibody Detection Kit (Medical & Biological Laboratories Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, User's manual version #201015, https://ruo.mbl.co.jp/bio/dtl/dtlfiles/5360_v201015.pdf accessed on 10 August 2022) [16]. The inhibition effects of anti-SARS-CoV-2 Abs blocking the interaction of receptor-binding domain (Wuhan-Hu-1) and angiotensin converting enzyme 2 were evaluated in the assay. This assay and PRNT were correlated (https://ruo.mbl.co.jp/bio/product/sars-cov-2/ pickup/SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing-antibody.html). The inhibition rate was calculated as follows: inhibition rate = (1 - optical density value of sample/optical density value ofblank) \times 100 (%). The cut-off value was 11.68% based on the 98th percentile among 52 pre-pandemic control subjects, as previously reported [7]. Assays for SARS-CoV-2 Abs were not performed repeatedly. The results of anti-SARS-CoV-2 N, S, and neutralizing Ab for some of the controls were previously reported [7].

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Differences in demographic features and experimental findings of RA patients were analyzed by Fisher's exact test using 2 × 2 contingency tables or Student's *t*-test. Simple linear regression analysis was conducted to estimate whether clinical characteristics correlated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S titers or neutralizing Abs in RA patients. Deviation from zero was tested for partial regression coefficients (PRC); *p* values were calculated. Clinical characteristics with a PRC > 0 associated with increased anti-SARS-CoV-2 S or neutralizing Ab in RA patients and those with PRC < 0 with a decrease. Multiple linear regression analysis of a clinical characteristic was conducted on the results from RA patients and controls (early collection) to identify the independent association of the clinical characteristic from the others.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the RA Patients and Controls

Clinical manifestations of the RA patients and controls are described in Table 1. RA patients were older than controls.

Table 1. Characteristics of RA patients and controls.

	RA	Controls	p
Number	101	117	
Age, years (SD)	71.3 (10.7)	39.5 (12.6)	< 0.0001
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	23 (22.8)	39 (33.6)	* 0.0974
Age at onset, years (SD)	60.4 (16.1)		
Steinbrocker stage III and IV, n (%)	36 (36.0)		
Steinbrocker class 3 and 4, n (%)	14 (14.0)		
Body mass index, kg/m ² (SD)	21.4 (3.9)		
Smoker or past smoker, <i>n</i> (%)	35 (35.7)		
RF, IU/mL (SD)	179.1 (338.8)		
ACPA, IU/mL (SD)	199.8 (249.2)		
DAS28 (SD)	3.0 (0.8)		
DAS28-CRP (SD)	2.0 (0.7)		
Corticosteroid administration, n (%)	38 (37.6)		
csDMARDs administration, n (%)	67 (66.3)		
bDMARDs administration, n (%)	14 (13.9)		
tsDMARDs administration, n (%)	25 (24.8)		

Numbers or average values for each group are shown. Standard deviations or percentages are shown in parentheses. Significance of differences was tested by Student's *t*-test or Fisher's exact test using 2 × 2 contingency tables. * Fisher's exact test was employed. RA: RA: rheumatoid arthritis, RF: rheumatoid factor, ACPA: anti-citrullinated peptide antibody, DAS: disease activity score, DMARD: disease modifying anti rheumatic drug, csDMARD: conventional synthetic DMARD, bDMARD: biological DMARD, tsDMARD targeted synthetic DMARD.

3.2. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Abs in Sera of RA Patients

Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab titers were quantified in the RA patients (Table 2). Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N Abs were not detected in any RA patients or controls (late collection). S Ab was detected in almost all RA patients, but the levels were lower than they were in controls, both early and later after vaccination (324.1 ± 591.8 vs. 1216.6 ± 854.4 (U/mL), p < 0.0001 and 324.1 ± 591.8 vs. 582.0 ± 415.6 (U/mL), p = 0.0002, respectively). However, the interval between vaccination and serum collection of the RA patients was longer than the earlier samples from the controls (142.1 ± 31.6 vs. 98.3 ± 11.2 (days), p < 0.0001), but shorter than the later time point (142.1 ± 31.6 vs. 257.3 ± 11.2 (days), p < 0.0001). The percentage of RA patients positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab was slightly lower than controls, both early (n = 97 (96.0%) vs. n = 116 (100.0%), p = 0.0454) or later (vs. n = 116 (100.0%), p = 0.0454) after vaccination.

In contrast, anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab was present in RA patients after vaccination, remarkably, at levels higher than in either early samples from controls (10.7 ± 4.9 vs. 8.6 ± 6.6 (%), p = 0.0072) or later samples (10.7 ± 4.9 vs. 3.1 ± 3.7 (%), p < 0.0001). The proportion of RA patients with anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab tended to be higher than in controls earlier after vaccination (n = 40 (39.6%) vs. n = 33 (28.4%), p = 0.0865) and this difference became significant later (n = 40 (39.6%) vs. n = 5 (4.3%), p < 0.0001). Thus, anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab titers were lower in the RA patients, but anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels and the proportion of patients possessing such Abs were higher.

	RA	Controls (Early Collection)	p	Controls (Late Collection)	p
Number	101	117		117	
Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab, U/mL (SD)	324.1 (591.8)	1216.6 (854.4)	< 0.0001	582.0 (415.6)	0.0002
Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab positive, n (%)	97 (96.0)	116 (100.0)	* 0.0454	116 (100.0)	* 0.0454
Anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab, inhibition rate, % (SD)	10.7 (4.9)	8.6 (6.6)	0.0072	3.1 (3.7)	< 0.0001
Anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab, positive, n (%)	40 (39.6)	33 (28.4)	* 0.0865	5 (4.3)	* <0.0001
Interval between last vaccination and serum collection, days (SD)	142.1 (31.6)	98.3 (11.2)	< 0.0001	257.3 (11.2)	< 0.0001

Table 2. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Abs of RA patients and controls.

Numbers or average values for each group are shown. Standard deviations or percentages are shown in parentheses. Significance of differences was tested in comparison with the controls (early and late collection of sera) by Student's *t*-test or Fisher's exact test using 2×2 contingency tables. * Fisher's exact test was employed. SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, S: spike, RA: rheumatoid arthritis.

3.3. Clinical Correlations with Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab

Simple linear regression analysis was conducted to seek influences of any clinical manifestations on anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab in the RA patients (Table 3). Age was found to be negatively associated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab level (PRC -18.20, 95%CI $-28.47 \sim -7.92, p = 0.0007$). The interval between the last vaccination and serum collection was also associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab level (PRC 4.21, 95%CI $0.62 \sim 7.80, p = 0.0222$). Rheumatoid factor was negatively associated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab level (PRC -0.0003, 95%CI $-0.0006 \sim 0.0000, p = 0.0390$). Biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug administration was also negatively associated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab level (PRC -0.38, 95%CI $-0.65 \sim -0.10, p = 0.0071$). Thus, some clinical manifestations were found be associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab level after mRNA vaccination.

Age was negatively associated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab level in controls, both early (PRC -28.39, 95%CI $-39.84 \sim -16.94$, p < 0.0001, Supplementary Table S1) or later (PRC -12.35, 95%CI $-18.04 \sim -6.67$, p < 0.0001) after vaccination. Male sex was also negatively associated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab level in controls, both early (PRC -420.38, 95%CI $-745.24 \sim -95.52$, p = 0.0117) and later (PRC -167.12, 95%CI $-326.64 \sim -7.60$, p = 0.0402) after vaccination. The interval between the last vaccination and serum collection was negatively associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab level in the earlier samples from the controls (PRC -14.11, 95%CI $-28.01 \sim -0.21$, p = 0.0467). The interval between the last vaccination and serum collection was negatively associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Ab level in the later samples from the controls (PRC -0.07, 95%CI $-0.13 \sim -0.01$, p = 0.0318). Thus, some clinical features were associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab level after vaccination in controls, though the manner of associations was not the same.

Multiple linear regression analysis for anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and neutralizing Ab was conducted to identify the independent association of clinical manifestations (Supplementary Table S2). The significant negative association of age with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab was detected, when conditioned on the other clinical manifestations (PRC_{adjusted} –22.86, 95%CI –31.02~-14.71, $p_{adjusted} < 0.0001$). The interval between the last vaccination and serum collection was negatively associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab level (PRC_{adjusted} –0.05, 95%CI –0.09~-0.02, $p_{adjusted}$ =0.0022). The association of RA with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab level still remained significant (PRC_{adjusted} 5.48, 95%CI 2.44~8.52, $p_{adjusted}$ = 0.0005) when conditioned, suggesting an independent association. Thus, some clinical manifestations were independently associated with the anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ab level after vaccination.

	Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Abs		Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Abs			
Clinical Manifestations	PRC	95%CI	р	PRC	95%CI	р
Age, years	-18.20	(-28.47~-7.92)	0.0007	0.003	$(-0.006 \sim 0.013)$	0.4560
Male	-200.26	$(-474.40 \sim 73.87)$	0.1504	0.11	$(-0.13 \sim 0.34)$	0.3639
Age at onset, years	-6.87	$(-13.98 \sim 0.23)$	0.0579	0.003	$(-0.003 \sim 0.009)$	0.3654
Steinbrocker stage	-50.54	$(-143.42 \sim 42.35)$	0.2829	-0.02	$(-0.10 \sim 0.06)$	0.5847
Steinbrocker class	-78.33	$(-239.15 \sim 82.49)$	0.3362	-0.10	$(-0.24 \sim 0.03)$	0.1313
Body mass index, kg/m^2	3.39	$(-27.17 \sim 33.94)$	0.8263	0.003	$(-0.022 \sim 0.028)$	0.8215
Smoker or past smoker	-110.37	(-358.74~138.01)	0.3800	0.03	$(-0.18 \sim 0.24)$	0.7623
RF, IU/mL	0.06	$(-0.28 \sim 0.41)$	0.7199	-0.0003	$(-0.0006 \sim 0.0000)$	0.0390
ACPA, IU/mL	0.15	$(-0.34 \sim 0.64)$	0.5481	-0.00005	$(-0.00046 \sim 0.00035)$	0.7934
DAS28	15.55	$(-146.63 \sim 177.73)$	0.8494	-0.11	$(-0.24 \sim 0.02)$	0.1032
DAS28-CRP	68.06	$(-104.02 \sim 240.13)$	0.4343	0.004	$(-0.138 \sim 0.146)$	0.9577
Corticosteroid administration	-215.14	$(-451.09 \sim 20.81)$	0.0735	-0.09	$(-0.29 \sim 0.11)$	0.3944
csDMARDs administration	206.16	(-36.23~448.54)	0.0946	0.06	$(-0.14 \sim 0.27)$	0.5329
bDMARDs administration	-207.75	(-541.41~125.90)	0.2196	-0.38	$(-0.65 \sim -0.10)$	0.0071
tsDMARDs administration	56.25	$(-212.71 \sim 325.21)$	0.6791	-0.10	$(-0.33 \sim 0.12)$	0.3752
Interval between last vaccination and serum collection, days	4.21	(0.62~7.80)	0.0222	-0.003	(-0.006~0.000)	0.0568

Table 3. Correlations of clinical manifestations and anti-SARS-CoV-2 Abs in RA.

Significance of associations with anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Abs was tested by linear regression analysis. SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, S: spike, RA: rheumatoid arthritis, PRC: partial regression coefficient, CI: confidence interval, RF: rheumatoid factor, ACPA: anti-citrullinated peptide antibody, DAS: disease activity score, DMARD: disease modifying anti rheumatic drug, csDMARD: conventional synthetic DMARD, bDMARD: biological DMARD, tsDMARD targeted synthetic DMARD.

4. Discussion

In the present study, anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab levels were found to be lower in RA patients than in controls after mRNA vaccination. That anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab levels are lower in patients with rheumatic diseases has been reported before and even that anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab are not produced at all in some patients after vaccination [9–13]. Our results are consistent with those of previous studies, though the significant association disappeared when conditioned. However, here, we found that anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels in RA patients were apparently higher than in controls, in contrast to previous studies. This association remained significant when conditioned, suggesting an independent association.

It is possible that the results of anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab assays could have been influenced by certain factors other than rheumatoid factor, which might have interfered with the assay, because no positive association between rheumatoid factor and anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab was detected in linear regression analysis (Table 3). It has been reported that anti-rat immunoglobulin light-chain Abs found in human sera might interfere with the ELISA assay [17], which was also suspected for immunochromatographic assays [18]. These results suggest that similar interference mechanisms may result in the apparently increased anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels detected in RA patients by the kit used in the present study.

No patient or control was diagnosed with COVID-19 before serum collection. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N Abs were not detected in any RA patients or controls (late collection) [7]. However, it was reported that anti-SARS-CoV-2 N Ab was not found in about 15% of COVID-19 patients after 14 days of infection [19]. Thus, a different ratio of subclinical infection of COVID-19 in RA patients and controls could cause the higher levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 N Ab in RA, though it cannot explain the lower levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab in RA.

Anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels in subjects vaccinated with mRNA-1273 were higher than those with BNT162b2 [20,21]. In the present study, RA patients were vaccinated with BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273, though controls were vaccinated with BNT162b2. Further, 3% of RA patients were vaccinated with mRNA-1273, while the information of vaccination was obtained in less than 60% of RA patients. Thus, it is possible that the difference between vaccines might cause the higher anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels in RA, though it cannot explain the lower levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab in RA.

Apart from that, this report on anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and neutralizing Ab in vaccinated RA has some other limitations. The sample size is modest. Larger-scale independent studies need to be performed. The results of anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Abs in patients with RA and other autoimmune diseases should also be validated by several methods, including PRNT or chemiluminescent immunoassay, for neutralizing Ab. The mechanisms responsible for the apparently increased anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab found in RA patients should be clarified, as discussed above. The role of memory B and memory T cells should be investigated to evaluate the immunological function in RA patients after vaccination [11,12,22].

5. Conclusions

In the present study, anti-SARS-CoV-2 S Ab levels were decreased in RA patients but were influenced by other clinical manifestations. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels were independently increased in RA compared with controls, suggesting an influence of RA on anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Ab levels after vaccination. However, independent replication studies need to be performed; the results of anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing Abs in RA patients should also be validated by other methods.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/vaccines10081365/s1, Table S1: Correlations of clinical manifestations and anti-SARS-CoV-2 Abs in controls, Table S2: Multiple linear regression analysis of clinical manifestations for anti-SARS-CoV-2 Abs.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.F. and S.T.; validation, H.F. and S.T.; formal analysis, H.F.; investigation, H.F., S.O. and T.H.; resources, H.F., S.O., T.H., M.N., N.N. and S.T.; data curation, H.F. and S.O.; writing—original draft preparation, H.F.; writing—review and editing, S.T.; visualization, H.F.; supervision, S.T.; project administration, S.T.; funding acquisition, H.F. and S.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Clinical Research from the National Hospital Organization as well as by research grants from the following pharmaceutical companies: Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Abbott Japan Co., Ltd., Astellas Pharma Inc., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eisai Co., Ltd., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Merck Sharp and Dohme Inc., Pfizer Japan Inc., Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, and Teijin Pharma Limited.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Tokyo National Hospital (469).

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from all RA patients and controls. This study was conducted in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Availability Statement: All data are presented in the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: H.F. was supported by research grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. H.F. received honoraria from Ajinomoto Co., Inc., Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma Co., Ltd., Pfizer Japan Inc., and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company. S.T. was supported by research grants from nine pharmaceutical companies: Abbott Japan Co., Ltd., Astellas Pharma Inc., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eisai Co., Ltd., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Merck Sharp and Dohme Inc., Pfizer Japan Inc., Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, and Teijin Pharma Limited. S.T. received honoraria from Asahi Kasei Pharma Corporation, Astellas Pharma Inc., AbbVie GK., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, and Pfizer Japan Inc. The other authors declare no financial or commercial conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Huang, C.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Ren, L.; Zhao, J.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Fan, G.; Xu, J.; Gu, X.; et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 497–506. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, B.; Reimerink, J.; Torriani, G.; Brouwer, F.; Godeke, G.J.; Yerly, S.; Hoogerwerf, M.; Vuilleumier, N.; Kaiser, L.; Eckerle, I.; et al. Validation and clinical evaluation of a SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralisation test (sVNT). *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2020, 9, 2394–2403. [PubMed]
- Murray, M.J.; McIntosh, M.; Atkinson, C.; Mahungu, T.; Wright, E.; Chatterton, W.; Gandy, M.; Reeves, M.B. Validation of a commercially available indirect assay for SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibodies using a pseudotyped virus assay. J. Infect. 2021, 82, 170–177. [PubMed]
- Papenburg, J.; Cheng, M.P.; Corsini, R.; Caya, C.; Mendoza, E.; Manguiat, K.; Lindsay, L.R.; Wood, H.; Drebot, M.A.; Dibernardo, A.; et al. Evaluation of a Commercial Culture-Free Neutralization Antibody Detection Kit for Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus-2 and Comparison with an Antireceptor-Binding Domain Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2021, *8*, ofab220.
- Campo, F.; Venuti, A.; Pimpinelli, F.; Abril, E.; Blandino, G.; Conti, L.; De Virgilio, A.; De Marco, F.; Di Noia, V.; Di Domenico, E.G.; et al. Antibody Persistence 6 Months Post-Vaccination with BNT162b2 among Health Care Workers. *Vaccines* 2021, 9, 1125. [CrossRef]
- Bayart, J.L.; Douxfils, J.; Gillot, C.; David, C.; Mullier, F.; Elsen, M.; Eucher, C.; Van Eeckhoudt, S.; Roy, T.; Gerin, V.; et al. Waning of IgG, Total and Neutralizing Antibodies 6 Months Post-Vaccination with BNT162b2 in Healthcare Workers. *Vaccines* 2021, 9, 1092. [CrossRef]
- Midorikawa, R.; Nakama, M.; Furukawa, H.; Oka, S.; Higuchi, T.; Nagai, H.; Nagai, N.; Tohma, S. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid, spike, and neutralizing antibodies in vaccinated Japanese. *Viruses* 2022, 14, 965. [CrossRef]
- Terpos, E.; Karalis, V.; Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Apostolakou, F.; Gumeni, S.; Gavriatopoulou, M.; Papadopoulos, D.; Malandrakis, P.; Papanagnou, E.D.; Korompoki, E.; et al. Sustained but Declining Humoral Immunity Against SARS-CoV-2 at 9 Months Postvaccination with BNT162b2: A Prospective Evaluation in 309 Healthy Individuals. *Hemasphere* 2021, 6, e677. [CrossRef]
- Boyarsky, B.J.; Ruddy, J.A.; Connolly, C.M.; Ou, M.T.; Werbel, W.A.; Garonzik-Wang, J.M.; Segev, D.L.; Paik, J.J. Antibody response to a single dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2021, *80*, 1098–1099. [CrossRef]
- Simon, D.; Tascilar, K.; Fagni, F.; Krönke, G.; Kleyer, A.; Meder, C.; Atreya, R.; Leppkes, M.; Kremer, A.E.; Ramming, A.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination responses in untreated, conventionally treated and anticytokine-treated patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2021, *80*, 1312–1316.
- 11. Farroni, C.; Picchianti-Diamanti, A.; Aiello, A.; Nicastri, E.; Laganà, B.; Agrati, C.; Castilletti, C.; Meschi, S.; Colavita, F.; Cuzzi, G.; et al. Kinetics of the B- and T-Cell Immune Responses After 6 Months From SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccination in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Front. Immunol.* **2022**, *13*, 846753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krasselt, M.; Wagner, U.; Nguyen, P.; Pietsch, C.; Boldt, A.; Baerwald, C.; Pierer, M.; Seifert, O. Humoral and Cellular Response to COVID-19 Vaccination in Patients with Autoimmune Inflammatory Rheumatic Diseases under Real-life Conditions. *Rheumatology* 2022, 61, SI180–SI188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Connolly, C.M.; Boyarsky, B.J.; Ruddy, J.A.; Werbel, W.A.; Christopher-Stine, L.; Garonzik-Wang, J.M.; Segev, D.L.; Paik, J.J. Absence of Humoral Response After Two-Dose SARS-CoV-2 Messenger RNA Vaccination in Patients With Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases: A Case Series. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2021, *174*, 1332–1334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Aletaha, D.; Neogi, T.; Silman, A.J.; Funovits, J.; Felson, D.T.; Bingham, C.O., 3rd; Birnbaum, N.S.; Burmester, G.R.; Bykerk, V.P.; Cohen, M.D.; et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: An American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Arthritis Rheum.* **2010**, *62*, 2569–2581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arnett, F.C.; Edworthy, S.M.; Bloch, D.A.; McShane, D.J.; Fries, J.F.; Cooper, N.S.; Healey, L.A.; Kaplan, S.R.; Liang, M.H.; Luthra, H.S.; et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1988, *31*, 315–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Takeshita, M.; Nishina, N.; Moriyama, S.; Takahashi, Y.; Ishii, M.; Saya, H.; Kondo, Y.; Kaneko, Y.; Suzuki, K.; Fukunaga, K.; et al. Immune evasion and chronological decrease in titer of neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2 and its variants of concerns in COVID-19 patients. *Clin Immunol.* 2022, 238, 108999. [CrossRef]
- 17. Degn, S.E.; Andersen, S.H.; Jensen, L.; Thiel, S.; Jensenius, J.C. Assay interference caused by antibodies reacting with rat kappa light-chain in human sera. *J. Immunol. Methods* **2011**, *372*, 204–208. [CrossRef]
- Oka, S.; Higuchi, T.; Furukawa, H.; Shimada, K.; Hashimoto, A.; Matsui, T.; Tohma, S. False-positive detection of IgM anti-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 antibodies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Possible effects of IgM or IgG rheumatoid factors on immunochromatographic assay results. SAGE Open Med. 2022, 10, 20503121221088090. [CrossRef]
- Furukawa, H.; Oka, S.; Higuchi, T.; Yamaguchi, M.; Uchiyama, S.; Koiwa, T.; Nakama, M.; Minegishi, M.; Nagai, H.; Tohma, S. Detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and spike antibodies in patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Japan. *Clin. Med. Insights Circ. Respir. Pulm. Med.* 2022, 16, 11795484221075492. [CrossRef]
- Tyner, H.L.; Burgess, J.L.; Grant, L.; Gaglani, M.; Kuntz, J.L.; Naleway, A.L.; Thornburg, N.J.; Caban-Martinez, A.J.; Yoon, S.K.; Herring, M.K.; et al. Neutralizing Antibody Response to Pseudotype SARS-CoV-2 Differs between mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccines and by History of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2021, ciab1038. [CrossRef]

- 21. Shurrab, F.M.; Al-Sadeq, D.W.; Abou-Saleh, H.; Al-Dewik, N.; Elsharafi, A.E.; Hamaydeh, F.M.; Halawa, B.Y.A.; Jamaleddin, T.M.; Hameed, H.M.A.; Nizamuddin, P.B.; et al. Assessment of the Neutralizing Antibody Response of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines in Naïve and Previously Infected Individuals: A Comparative Study. *Vaccines* 2022, 10, 191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Willyard, C. What the Omicron wave is revealing about human immunity. *Nature* 2022, 602, 22–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]