

Comparison of the effect of postdischarge education with multimedia and group discussion methods on family caregiving for patients with bipolar disorder in Shiraz Psychiatric Hospitals

Farnaz Sajedianfard¹, Shayesteh Salehi², Ladan Zarshenas³

¹Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, ²Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Community Health Research Center, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan), Isfahan, ³Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Community Based Psychiatric Care Research Center, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract

Background and Purpose: Bipolar disorder is a common psychiatric disorder. The purpose of this study was to compare the effect of two postdischarge educational methods including multimedia and group discussion on family caregiving of patients with bipolar disorder. **Methods:** In this study, the families of 30 patients with bipolar disorder in Shiraz hospitals were studied and matched in terms of gender and age into two groups. One group received the group discussion and the other received the multimedia teaching method interventions. The demographic variables including age, gender, education, marital status, and relationship with the patient were collected. Data were analyzed using SPSS 18 software. **Results:** Most of the patients were male and most of their caregivers were female. The results showed that the pre and posttest mean and standard deviations of educational function (P = 0.007), caregiving function (P = 0.0004) and medication function (P = 0.04) of the group receiving the multimedia teaching intervention were significantly different. However, the pre and post-test mean and standard deviations of caregiving function (P = 0.2) and medication function (P = 0.3) of the group receiving group discussion did not show a significant difference. However, there was a significant difference in educational function of this group (P = 0.02). **Conclusion:** The use of multimedia method is more effective than the group discussion method and can be used as a tool to improve the caregivers of patients with bipolar disorder in Iranian society.

Keywords: Bipolar disorder, caregiving function, group discussion, multimedia education

Introduction

Research has shown that educational interventions in the family and relatives of bipolar patients have benefits such as improving patient symptoms, enhancing patient adherence to treatment, reducing relapse and hospitalization, and alleviating stress on the family.^[1]

Address for correspondence: Dr. Shayesteh Salehi, Department of Nursing Teacher, Nursing and Midwifery School, Islamic Azad University Khorasgan (Isfahan) Branch, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: Salehi.sh@gmail.com

Received: 20-07-2019 Accepted: 16-10-2019

Access this article online
Quick Response Code:
Website:
www.jfmpc.com
DOI:
10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_664_19

To achieve ideal medication outcomes, the international guidelines suggest including family education along with patient medication.^[2]

The family plays a highlighted role in reassuring the patient about the psychiatrist's treatment decision, encouraging adherence to treatment, and providing the patient's history with the psychiatrist.^[3] Insufficient knowledge of the patient's family and critical approach to the patient are among the risk factors of disease recurrence and readmission.^[4] Family training is even

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Sajedianfard F, Salehi S, Zarshenas L. Comparison of the effect of postdischarge education with multimedia and group discussion methods on family caregiving for patients with bipolar disorder in Shiraz Psychiatric Hospitals. J Family Med Prim Care 2019;8:3840-4.

Revised: 21-09-2019

Published: 10-12-2019

effective in delaying the onset of the disease in adolescents with hereditary risk factors.^[5]

Another important point is the problems associated with the education of families. Everyday responsibilities, occupational involvement, distance, and lack of time are some of the factors that cause the family members to fail in providing care and supervision in the disease process and sometimes become inaccessible to attend training sessions. Therefore, ease of access to the educational resource is very important.^[1] Therefore, as stated above, it is necessary to provide different educational methods with the possibility of further consolidating information and increasing the willingness to engage to the family members of patients. However, modern teaching methods are rarely used and are largely based on direct and face-to-face communication with health professionals. The role of nurses in caregiving for patients and their families is very important. Given the aforementioned findings, the research experiences regarding caregiving functions of families with bipolar disorder and lack of sufficient studies in this field seems necessary.

Bipolar disorder is a type of mood disorder and mental illness. The disease, which is also known as mania-depression, is a mental disorder that causes abnormal changes in one's mood, energy, and functional ability. It is difficult to estimate the annual incidence of bipolar disorder accurately, but bipolar disorder can be seen in peoples of all races and social classes. It is associated with multiple disabilities and is considered as the sixth leading cause of disability in the world.^[6] The increasing tendency to use and research the family educational interventions of psychiatric patients due to the knowledge of specialists about the burden of patient care on the family and creating a supportive structure and raising awareness among the family and relatives of bipolar patients is one of the important strategies in the treatment of these patients. In order to have a useful and effective intervention in the treatment of bipolar patients, the existence of an appropriate educational approach is of high priority.^[7] The effectiveness of training is subject to the proper use of educational methods. These educational methods are needed to increase the programs' effectiveness. Education can be in the form of lectures, face-to-face or group discussions, audio-visual aids, videos, and online materials. In modern educational approaches, the face-to-face and unattended sessions are compared.[8]

Multimedia technology is one of the newest technologies to provide content by computer and easily communicate with the users through a variety of visual and audio media, enjoyment of dynamism, and beautiful graphical and visual effects.^[9]

Group discussion is a valuable teaching method and gives participants the opportunity to freely express and exchange their ideas. In group discussion, both individual and societal abilities increase. Comprehension, thinking as well as the retention of content in the student mind increases. Learning areas include cognitive, emotional, and psychomotor domains.^[9] Therefore, given the importance of psychiatric illnesses such as bipolar disorder and the well-known impact of family education on the course of bipolar disorder on the one hand and the reduction of the stress imposed to the family and increasing family quality on the other hand, the aim of the present study was to compare the effects of two post-discharge educational methods including multimedia and group discussion on family caregiving function of patients with bipolar disorder in Shiraz psychiatric hospitals.

Materials and Methods

The present study is a quasi-experimental study to compare the effect of two methods of postdischarge training, the multimedia method and the group discussion method, on family caregiving function of patients with bipolar disorder in Shiraz Psychiatric Hospitals in 2017. In this study, the families of 30 patients with bipolar disorder were studied and the convenient sampling was made in this study. The research population in this study is a family member of patients with bipolar disorder. Caregivers of bipolar patients were evaluated using a questionnaire and are divided into two groups of 15 individuals after pre-test. One of the groups received the 4-session group discussion intervention (one session per week) and the other group received a multimedia intervention.

Patients' inclusion criteria were as follows: Patients with definitive diagnosis of bipolar disorder, informed consent of the patients' family, literacy of the caregiver, caregiver's overall health and having an Android phone in the multimedia group. Exclusion criteria included changing the caregiver during the intervention period and the caregiver's unwillingness or ability to continue attending the sessions. In this study, all caregivers of patients with bipolar disorder participated in a public hearing and received the demographic and consent forms. Participation in this study was voluntary.

Participants were pre-tested through a family questionnaire. After the pre-test, the patients with bipolar disorder were randomly divided into two groups. The first group received trainings associated with the required topics as group discussions. The second group received the same educational content in the form of interactive multimedia. The training sessions were designed in the form of multimedia training software, so that they learned how to use multimedia and its different parts in the first session and then the multimedia content was provided to them and they were followed up by telephone calls.

In this study, a questionnaire was used as pre-test and then as a post-test. The questionnaire consists of 38 short, 4-option questions. Responses are rated on a 4-point scale from 1 (never) to 4 (always). The scale consists of three subscales namely educational function, medication function, and caregiving function (min = 38 and max = 152).

Data were analyzed by SPSS software version 18 to address the demographic variables, the descriptive statistics (frequency, mean and standard deviation); to investigate other variables, the inferential statistics (independent *t*-test, analysis of variance with repeated measures, Chi-square, and Pearson correlation) were used.

Results

The results [Table 1] showed that the pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation of the multimedia training group were 2.2 \pm 0.32 and 3.2 \pm 0.51, which showed a significant difference (P = 0.007). There was also a significant difference in the educational function of the multimedia intervention group and the group discussion method with the pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation being 2.67 \pm 0.226 and 2.93 \pm 0.248 (P = 0.024). However, there was no significant difference between the posttests of both the group discussion and multimedia training groups (P = 0.4).

Table 2 shows the mean scores for caregiving functions. Scores between 1, 2, 3, and 4 are considered for always, sometimes, rarely, and never. As the mean approaches the number 4, the (always) option is more approved, which indicates a better function. The results of this study showed that the pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation of the multimedia receiving group were 1.93 ± 0.22 and 3.13 ± 0.19 , which showed a significant difference (P = 0.0004). There pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation of the group discussion intervention group were 2.06 ± 0.18 and 2.64 ± 0.25 , which showed no significant difference (P = 0.2). However, there was a significant difference between the posttests of the intervention group in the group discussion and the group retrieving multimedia method (P = 0.04).

Table 3 shows the mean scores of medication functions. Scores between 1, 2, 3, and 4 are considered for always, sometimes, rarely, and never. As the mean approaches the number 4, the (always) option is more approved, which indicates a better function. The results of this study showed that the pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation of the multimedia receiving group

were 2.46 \pm 0.28 and 3.14 \pm 0.55, which showed a significant difference (P = 0.04). The pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation of the group discussion method were 2.66 \pm 0.15 and post-test 2.93 \pm 0.25, which showed no significant difference (P = 0.37) in the medication function. However, there was no significant difference between the posttests of the intervention group in the group discussion and the group retrieving multimedia method (P = 0.45).

Discussion

In this study, the hypothesis of the difference between the two intervention methods (multimedia and group discussion) was investigated. The results showed that the multimedia method has more influence on family caregiving function than group discussion.

Consistent with the results of the present study, Magliano *et al.* (2006) also reported a positive effect of education on the educational function of caregivers of patients with schizophrenia.^[10] The results of Mojarad Kahani *et al.* based on the effectiveness of family group educational interventions of people with mental disorders on the educational function of families are consistent with the results of the present study.^[11]

There was no significant difference between the posttests of the intervention group that received group discussion and the group receiving the multimedia method (P = 0.4); the results of the two methods did not show a significant difference in improving their educational function.

Schulz *et al.* believed that family psychological training could increase patient survival. Moreover, the lack of agreement, coordination, and cooperation in the families or caregivers of the affected person aggravates the behavioral problems, lowers the quality of family functioning, and lowers the levels of problem solving in the family and reduces parent–child efficiency. They stated that increasing the coordination and cooperation in the family could reduce the stress in the home environment and

Table 1: Mean scores of educational fu	nction of the	discussion g	group and the group receiving	educational software	
Educational function of the intervention group in	n multimedia m	ethod	Educational function of the intervention group in gro discussion		
Variable	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest	
Mean and standard deviation	2.2±0.32	3.2±0.51	2.67±0.226	2.93±0.248	
Significance level between pre-test and post-test	0.007**		0.024*		

Table 2: Caregiving function scores in the of the discussion group and the group receiving educational softwareEducational function of the intervention group in multimedia methodEducational function of the intervention

			group in group discussion	
Variable	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest
Mean and standard deviation	1.93±0.22	3.13±0.19	2.06±0.18	2.64±0.25
Significance level between pretest and posttest	0.0004***		0/2 insignificant	
Significance level between pretest and posttest of the multimedia group	0.04*			

Table 5. Wedeation function scores in the of the discussion group and the group receiving educational software							
Educational function of the intervention group in multimedia meth	Educational function of the intervention group in group discussion						
Variable	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest			
Mean and standard deviation	2.46 ± 0.28	3.14±0.55	2.66±0.15	2.93±0.25			
Significance level between pretest and posttest	0.04*		0.37 insignificant				
Significance level between pretest and posttest of the multimedia group	0.45 insignificant						

Table 3: Medication function scores in the of the discussion group and the group receiving educational software

thus reduce symptoms in the individual. Schulz also showed a decrease in the severity of symptoms and improvement in the intervention group under psychiatric training of family compared to the control group. Increased coordination and cooperation in the family is expected to reduce stress at home and thus reduce symptoms in the individual.^[12]

The results of the present study also showed that the pre- and posttest mean and standard deviation of the medication function of the multimedia receiving group were 2.46 \pm 0.28 and 3.14 \pm 0.55, which showed a significant difference (P = 0.04). Therefore, this method is effective in improving the quality of families' function on medication and treatment. There was no significant difference between the pre-test 2.66 \pm 0.15 and post-test 2.93 \pm 0.25 of the group discussion group (P = 0.37).

Regarding the effectiveness of the group discussion method on the families of patients with mental disorders, the results of the present study are consistent with that of Vernooij *et al.*, which suggest that group training of family members of patients with bipolar disorder have no significant impact on group medicinal function. The results of Dassen *et al.* indicate that multimedia training is effective for the medication function of patients with Alzheimer's disease, which is consistent with the present study.^[13,14] Moreover, the findings of the research by Touzandeh Jani *et al.* on the group effect of family members of people with chronic psychiatric disorder suggest that group training did not have a significant impact on the medication function of caregivers of patients with chronic psychiatric disorder, which is in agreement with the present study.^[15]

There was no significant difference between the posttests of the intervention group following the group discussion method and the group receiving multimedia training (P = 0.45). This suggests that the efficacies of these two approaches in improving the medication function of families involved with bipolar disorder are not significantly different.

The results of this study also showed that the mean and standard deviation of the pre and posttests of the multimedia receiving group were 1.93 ± 0.22 and 3.13 ± 0.19 , which showed a significant difference (P = 0.0004). Therefore, this method can affect the function and care of patients. In contrast, those of the group discussion group— 2.06 ± 0.18 and 2.64 ± 0.25 —showed no significant difference (P = 0.2). Therefore, this method does not have a great impact on the caregiving function of the patients' families. The results of this

study are consistent with that of Sheikholeslami *et al.* in which effective training for stress-coping skills were provided using a multimedia psychoeducational approach for family caregiving of schizophrenic patients.^[16] The present study is in line with that of Tarraga *et al.* on improving family caregiving function of patients with Alzheimer's disease through a multimedia educational approach.^[17]

There was a significant difference between the posttests of the intervention group and the multimedia receiving group (P = 0.04). This suggests that the multimedia approach can work more effectively in improving the caregiving functions of families with bipolar patients.

Conclusion

In this study, considering the effectiveness of multimedia intervention method compared with the group discussion of caregivers of patients with bipolar disorder, it seems that this intervention can reduce the depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms of family caregivers of patients with other psychiatric disorders by applying some changes. These findings can be used to educate patients, administrators, and nurses and to emphasize on the use of different approaches in teaching patients' caregiving function to students.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the family members of the patients included in the study have given their consent for their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. They understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

 Fiorillo A, Del Vecchio V, Luciano M, Sampogna G, De Rosa C, Malangone C, *et al.* Efficacy of psychoeducational family intervention for bipolar I disorder: A controlled, multicentric, real-world study. J Affect Disord 2015;172:9-291.

- 2. Tremblay M, Palin S. Implementing the clinical standards of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) bipolar clinical guideline. Eur Psychiatry 2016;33:1-20.
- 3. Fisher A, Manicavasagar V, Sharpe L, Laidsaar-Powell R, Juraskova I. A qualitative exploration of patient and family views and experiences of treatment decision-making in bipolar II disorder. Community Ment Health J 2017:1-14.
- 4. Scott J, Colom F, Pope M, Reinares M, Vieta E. The prognostic role of perceived criticism, medication adherence and family knowledge in bipolar disorders. J Affect Disord 2012;142:6-72.
- 5. Akbari M, Alavi M, Irajpour A, Maghsoudi J. Challenges of family caregivers of patients with mental disorders in Iran: A narrative review. Iranian J Nursing Midwifery Res 2018;23:329-37.
- 6. Grunze H. Bipolar Disorder, Neurobiology of Brain Disorders. Academic Press; 2015. p. 655-73.
- 7. Fristad MA, Gavazzi SM, Mackinaw-Koons B, Family psychoeducation: An adjunctive intervention for children with bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2003;53:8-1000.
- 8. Bordbar MRF, Soltanifar A, Talaei A. Short-term family-focused psycho-educational program for bipolar mood disorder in Mashhad. IJBMS 2015;34:9-104.
- 9. Librenza-Garcia D, Kotzian BJ, Yang J, Mwangi B, Cao B, Pereira Lima LN, *et al.* The impact of machine learning techniques in the study of bipolar disorder: A systematic review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2017;80:54-538.
- 10. Magliano L, Fiorillo A, Malangone C, De Rosa C, Favata G, Sasso A, *et al.* Family psychoeducational interventions

for schizophrenia in routine settings: Impact on patients' clinical status and social functioning and on relatives' burden and resources. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2006;15:27-219.

- 11. Mojarad Kahani A, Ghanbari Hashemabadi B. Evaluating the effectiveness of group psychosocial intervention on the family function of patients with mood disorders. J Fam Couns Psychother 2012;1:399-412.
- 12. Schulz R, Sherwood PR. Physical and mental health effects of family caregiving. J Soc Work Edu 2008;44:13-105.
- 13. Wan K, Wong M. Stress and burden faced by family caregivers of people with schizophrenia and early psychosis in Hong Kong. Intern Med J 2019;49:9-15.
- 14. Tsai J, Bond G. A comparison of electronic records to paper records in mental health centers. Int J Qual Health Care 2007;20:43-136.
- 15. Touzandeh Jani H. Relative effectiveness of family education and life skills on the maintenance of patients with chronic psychological disorders in family. JIMS 2010;28:1587-97.
- 16. Sheikholeslami F, Khalatbary J, Ghorbanshiroudi S. Effectiveness of stress coping skills training with psycho-educational approach among caregivers` of schizophrenic patients on family function and psychological wellbeing. J Holist Nurs Midwifery 2016;26:46-54.
- 17. Tarraga L, Boada M, Modinos G, Espinosa A, Diego S, Morera A, *et al.* A randomised pilot study to assess the efficacy of an interactive, multimedia tool of cognitive stimulation in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2006;77:21-1116.