



Heterogeneous Responses to Changes in Inhibitory Synaptic Strength in Networks of Spiking Neurons

H. Y. Li, G. M. Cheng and Emily S. C. Ching*

Institute of Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Spase Petkoski, INSERM U1106 Institut de Neurosciences des Systèmes, France

Reviewed by:

David Angulo-Garcia, Aix-Marseille Université, France Amirhossein Esmaeili, INSERM U1106 Institut de Neurosciences des Systèmes, France Alice Geminiani, University of Pavia, Italy

> *Correspondence: Emily S. C. Ching ching@phy.cuhk.edu.hk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cellular Neurophysiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience

Received: 28 September 2021 Accepted: 18 January 2022 Published: 24 February 2022

Citation:

Li HY, Cheng GM and Ching ESC (2022) Heterogeneous Responses to Changes in Inhibitory Synaptic Strength in Networks of Spiking Neurons. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 16:785207. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2022.785207 How does the dynamics of neurons in a network respond to changes in synaptic weights? Answer to this question would be important for a full understanding of synaptic plasticity. In this article, we report our numerical study of the effects of changes in inhibitory synaptic weights on the spontaneous activity of networks of spiking neurons with conductance-based synapses. Networks with biologically realistic features, which were reconstructed from multi-electrode array recordings taken in a cortical neuronal culture, and their modifications were used in the simulations. The magnitudes of the synaptic weights of all the inhibitory connections are decreased by a uniform amount subjecting to the condition that inhibitory connections would not be turned into excitatory ones. Our simulation results reveal that the responses of the neurons are heterogeneous: while the firing rate of some neurons increases as expected, the firing rate of other neurons decreases or remains unchanged. The same results show that heterogeneous responses also occur for an enhancement of inhibition. This heterogeneity in the responses of neurons to changes in inhibitory synaptic strength suggests that activity-induced modification of synaptic strength does not necessarily generate a positive feedback loop on the dynamics of neurons connected in a network. Our results could be used to understand the effects of bicuculline on spiking and bursting activities of neuronal cultures. Using reconstructed networks with biologically realistic features enables us to identify a long-tailed distribution of average synaptic weights for outgoing links as a crucial feature in giving rise to bursting in neuronal networks and in determining the overall response of the whole network to changes in synaptic strength. For networks whose average synaptic weights for outgoing links have a long-tailed distribution, bursting is observed and the average firing rate of the whole network increases upon inhibition suppression or decreases upon inhibition enhancement. For networks whose average synaptic weights for outgoing links are approximately normally distributed, bursting is not found and the average firing rate of the whole network remains approximately constant upon changes in inhibitory synaptic strength.

Keywords: neuronal networks, spiking neuron model, bursts, changes in inhibition, heterogeneous responses

1. INTRODUCTION

Synaptic plasticity, the modification of the strength of synaptic connections in response to activity, has long been proposed to play an important and fundamental role in learning and memory (Hebb, 1949). Extensive studies have demonstrated the various forms and mechanisms of synaptic plasticity in both short-term as well as long-term manners (Brown et al., 1990; Bear and Malenka, 1994; Malenka and Nicoll, 1999; Bi and Poo, 2001; Bi, 2002; Zucker and Regehr, 2002; Citri and Malenka, 2008; Bailey et al., 2015). When synapses are strengthened by activity, the stronger synapses are expected to lead to higher activity and, therefore, it is commonly believed that activitydependent synaptic plasticity is a positive feedback process that would lead to instability (Abbott and Nelson, 2000) and a number of stabilization mechanisms have been suggested (Chen et al., 2013; Bannon et al., 2020). Using experiments and simulations on a neuron of the lobster and on a model neuron, it was found that the effect of changes in synaptic strength saturates and additional changes beyond the saturation point produce no further changes in the dynamics of the neuron (Prinz et al., 2003). This result thus suggests that changes in the strength of the synapses onto a neuron do not necessarily lead to changes in the spiking activity of that neuron. Moreover, the effect of changes in the strength of synapses on the dynamics of a neuron can be significantly influenced by the connections of this neuron to other neurons in a network. Thus direct studies answering the question of how the dynamics of neurons in a network would be altered by changes of synaptic strength would be important for a full understanding of synaptic plasticity.

Many computational models of networks of excitatory and inhibitory neurons have been used to study different aspects of the brain systems (Einevoll et al., 2019). Different levels of abstraction have been used to model neurons, from Hodgkin-Huxley type models with detailed ionic mechanisms (e.g., Destexhe et al., 1996; Andreev et al., 2019) to simple leaky-and-fire model and phenomenological models with in-between complexity (e.g., Tomov et al., 2014; Zerlaut et al., 2018; Izhikevich and Edelman, 2020; Górski et al., 2021). At the network level, networks with generic properties allow us to gain qualitative insights of the possible wide range of dynamics (Brunel, 2000) while detailed large-scale networks that mimic real brain regions have been constructed (e.g., Traub et al., 2005; Potjans and Diesmann, 2014; Markram et al., 2015; Arkhipov et al., 2018; Schmidt et al., 2018; Izhikevich and Edelman, 2020) to aim for a full understanding of the brain.

In this article, we report our numerical study of how the dynamics of neurons in a network is altered upon a suppression of the inhibition. We performed simulations of a model of stochastic spiking neurons connected by conductance-based synapses and studied how the spontaneous activity of the neurons would change when the magnitudes of all the inhibitory synaptic weights are decreased. We used networks of biologically realistic features, which were reconstructed from multi-electrode array recordings taken in a cortical neuronal culture, and their modifications in the simulations. Our simulations reveal the surprising result that the responses of neurons are heterogenous and the firing rate does not increase for all the neurons. While some neurons exhibit an expected increase in the firing rate, other neurons exhibit a decrease or no change in the firing rate. In comparison with networks with an applied suppression of inhibition, the original networks can be viewed as networks with an applied enhancement of suppression. Hence, our results imply that heterogeneous responses also occur for an enhancement of inhibition. In addition, we have studied the effects of network architecture and our results demonstrate that the distribution of average synaptic weights of the outgoing links of the network plays a crucial role in determining the dynamics as well as the overall response of the whole network to changes in synaptic strength. For networks whose average synaptic weights for outgoing links have a longtailed distribution, bursting is observed and the average firing rate of the whole network increases upon inhibition suppression or decreases upon inhibition enhancement. For networks whose average synaptic weights for outgoing links are approximately normally distributed, bursting is not found and the average firing rate of the whole network remains approximately constant upon changes in inhibitory synaptic strength.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We performed numerical simulations of networks of neurons connected by conductance-based synapses (Tomov et al., 2014, 2016; Pena et al., 2016) using networks reconstructed from multielectrode array recordings taken in a cortical neuronal culture¹ and their modifications.

Neuron Model. Each model consists of N neurons. To model the dynamics of a neuron, we used the spiking neuron model proposed by Izhikevich (2003) with the addition of a stochastic noise to mimic external influences. Each neuron, labeled by an index i, i = 1, 2, ..., N, is described by two variables: the membrane potential v_i in mV and the membrane recovery variable u_i . where u_i accounts for the activation and inactivation of potassium and sodium ions and provides negative feedback to v_i . The dynamics of the two variables are governed by two coupled non-linear differential equations

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = 0.04v_i^2 + 5v_i + 140 - u_i + I_i + \alpha\xi$$
(1)

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = a(bv_i - u_i) \tag{2}$$

where *t* is time in ms, $I_i(t)$ is the synaptic current from all presynaptic neurons of neuron *i*, ξ is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and unit variance: $\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi(t_1)\xi(t_2) \rangle =$ $\delta(t_1 - t_2)$ and α is the intensity of the noise term. Every time when $v_i \ge 30$, neuron *i* fires and sends out a spike, then both variables are reset

$$\begin{cases} v_i \to c \\ u_i \to u_i + d \end{cases}$$
(3)

¹Sun, C., Lin, K. C., Yeung, C. Y., Huang, Y.-T., Lai, P.-Y., Chan, C., et al. (2021). Revealing directed effective connectivity of cortical neuronal networks from measurements, under review. *Phys. Rev. E.*

With appropriate values of the four parameters *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d*, the spiking neuron model without the noise term has been shown (Izhikevich, 2007) to be capable to mimic the rich firing patterns exhibited by real neurons from different electrophysiological classes (Nowak et al., 2003; Contreras, 2004). In this study, we focussed on two types of neurons: excitatory regular spiking neurons (a = 0.02 and d = 8) and inhibitory fast spiking neurons (a = 0.1 and d = 2), and both types have b = 0.2 and c = -65 mV (Izhikevich, 2003).

Synapses. Neurons in the network are connected by conductance-based synapses such that the current $I_i(t)$ is given by

$$I_i(t) = G_i^{\text{exc}}(t)(V_E - v_i(t)) + G_i^{\text{inh}}(t)(V_I - v_i(t))$$
(4)

where G_i^{exc} and G_i^{inh} are the excitatory and inhibitory conductances, respectively, and $V_E = 0$ and $V_I = -80$, both in mV, are the reversal potentials of the excitatory and inhibitory synapses, respectively (Cavallari et al., 2014; Tomov et al., 2014, 2016; Pena et al., 2016). Whenever a pre-synaptic excitatory or inhibitory neuron *j* fires, G_i^{exc} or G_i^{inh} increases by an amount corresponding to the synaptic weight, otherwise it decays with a time constant τ_{exc} or τ_{inh} (Tomov et al., 2014, 2016; Pena et al., 2016):

$$\frac{dG_i^{\text{exc}}}{dt} = -\frac{G_i^{\text{exc}}}{\tau_{\text{exc}}} + \sum_{j, w_{ij} > 0} w_{ij} \sum_k \delta(t - t_{j,k})$$
(5)

$$\frac{dG_i^{\text{inh}}}{dt} = -\frac{G_i^{\text{inh}}}{\tau_{\text{inh}}} + \sum_{j,w_{ij}<0} |w_{ij}| \sum_k \delta(t - t_{j,k})$$
(6)

where $\tau_{\text{exc}} = 5$ and $\tau_{\text{inh}} = 6$ in ms, w_{ij} is the synaptic weight of the link from neuron *j* to neuron *i*, with $w_{ij} > 0$ for excitatory synapses and $w_{ij} < 0$ for inhibitory synapses, and $t_{j,k}$ is the time of the *k*th spike of pre-synaptic neuron *j*. Solving Equations (5) and (6), we obtain

$$G_{i}^{\text{exc}} = \sum_{j, w_{ij} > 0} w_{ij} \sum_{k} e^{-(t - t_{j,k})/\tau_{\text{exc}}} \theta(t - t_{j,k})$$
(7)

$$G_{i}^{\text{inh}} = \sum_{j, w_{ij} < 0} |w_{ij}| \sum_{k} e^{-(t - t_{j,k})/\tau_{\text{inh}}} \theta(t - t_{j,k})$$
(8)

where the Heaviside step function $\theta(t - t_0)$ is equal to 1 when $t > t_0$ and zero otherwise. The stochastic differential Equations (1) and (2) together with Equations (4), (7), and (8) were integrated using Euler-Maruyama method (Higham, 2001) with a time step of dt = 0.125 ms for a total time of 7, 500 ms. We set the initial values of v_i to be c = -65 mV and there is no firing activity when the noise term in Equation (1) is turned off by setting $\alpha = 0$. The average firing rate of the neurons increases when the noise intensity α increases and we set $\alpha = 3$ so that the average firing rate is comparable to that measured directly from the multielectrode array measurements of neuronal culture (see below). We studied the spontaneous activity triggered by the noise. Simulations with smaller dt = 0.005 ms and longer total time have been done to check the validity of our simulation results.

Networks. We studied six different networks, labeled by I to VI, each of which has N = 4095 neurons. Networks I, II, and III were adopted from an earlier study (see text footnote 1) in which the directed effective connectivity of a cortical neuronal culture of rat embryos at different days in vitro (DIV) were estimated from voltage measurements recorded by a high density multi-electrode array (HD-MEA). The HD MEA probe (HD-MEA Arena, 3Brain AG) has 4096 electrodes, which are arranged in a 64 by 64 square grid. Spontaneous neuronal activities were recorded for 5 min with the recording device (BioCAM, 3Brain AG) and the associate software (BrainWave 2.0, 3Brain AG) at 7.06 kHz. One electrode was used for calibration purpose so there were 4095 electrodes that recorded 4095 time series of voltage signals. The voltage measurements from the 4095 working electrodes, after noise reduction, were taken as the activities $x_i(t)$, i =1, 2, ..., 4095, of the nodes of a network. Then the connectivity matrix was reconstructed using quantities calculated from $x_i(t)$'s using a method developed for reconstructing networks from dynamics (Ching and Tam, 2017) (see Appendix for details). The connectivity matrix elements w_{ij} of networks I to III are twice of the reconstructed neuronal networks using MEA recordings taken at 25, 45 and 66 DIV (denoted by DIV25, DIV45 and DIV66) respectively. The factor of 2 is used to allow us to get sufficient amount of spiking activity in the relatively short time span of 7,500 ms.

The connection probability of networks I, II, and III is 1.4, 1.1, and 1.5%, respectively. Neurons are either excitatory or inhibitory except for some which have no outgoing links as none was detected in the reconstruction. The fraction of inhibitory neurons is 0.14, 0.21, and 0.28, respectively, for networks I to III and these values are comparable to measured values of 0.15–0.30 in various cortical regions in monkey (Hendry et al., 1987). For each neuron, we define three averages of synaptic weights, the average synaptic weight of excitatory incoming links s_{in}^+ the average synaptic weight of inhibitory incoming links s_{out}^- and the average synaptic weight of the outgoing links s_{out} , by

$$s_{\rm in}^+(i) = \frac{\sum_{j,w_{ij}>0} w_{ij}}{k_{\rm in}^+(i)}$$
(9)

$$s_{\rm in}^{-}(i) = \frac{\sum_{j, w_{ij} < 0} w_{ij}}{k_{\rm in}^{-}(i)}$$
(10)

$$s_{\text{out}}(i) = \frac{\sum_{j} w_{ji}}{k_{\text{out}}(i)},\tag{11}$$

where the excitatory and inhibitory incoming degrees, k_{in}^+ and k_{in}^- , of a neuron are, respectively, the number of its incoming links of excitatory or positive synaptic weights and inhibitory or negative synaptic weights, and the outgoing degree k_{out} of a neuron is the number of its outgoing links. The distributions of the average synaptic weights s_{in}^+ , $|s_{in}^-|$, and $|s_{out}|$ of networks I, II, and III (**Figure 1**) are skewed and long-tailed, which are generally in accord with the literature (Buzsaki and Mizuseki, 2014). These results show that networks I to III have biologically realistic features.

Networks IV, V, and VI are modifications of network III to allow us to study the possible effects of network topology and the



FIGURE 1 [Distributions of average synaptic weights s_{in}^{-} (upper panel), $|s_{in}^{-}|$ (middle panel), and $|s_{out}|$ (bottom panel) for the six networks studied. In the bottom panel, the distribution of $|s_{out}|$ of network VI is identical to that of network III and is thus denoted by symbols.

 $\label{eq:table_$

	Т	П	ш	IV	v	VI
long-tailed distribution of s_{in}^+	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes
long-tailed distribution of $ s_{in}^- $	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes
long-tailed distribution of $ s_{out} $	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no

average synaptic weights distributions. Network IV is a random network of the same connection probability as that of network III with its synaptic weights taken from a Gaussian distribution of the same mean and standard deviation as those of network III. Then there is an additional sign adjustment to set the sign of the synaptic weights of all the outgoing links of each neuron to be the same and as in network III. The distributions of the average synaptic weights s_{in}^+ , $|s_{in}^-|$, and $|s_{out}|$ of network IV are all approximately Gaussian (Figure 1). Network V is designed to have the same distribution of $\left|s_{\text{out}}\right|$ as network III but with different distributions of s_{in}^+ and s_{in}^- . It is obtained from network III with the elements of the connectivity matrix in each column replaced by a random permutation of these elements. The samesign adjustment is also enforced. Such a permutation randomly assigns the outgoing connections of each neuron among all the neurons thus keeping the outgoing degree and $|s_{out}|$ of each neuron intact. As a result, the distribution of |s_{out}| of network V is identical to that of network III (see bottom panel of Figure 1). The shuffling randomizes both the number and the synaptic weights of the incoming links of the neurons rendering the distributions of s_{in}^+ and $|s_{in}^-|$ to be approximately Gaussian (see upper and middle panels of Figure 1). Network VI is designed to have similar distributions of s_{in}^+ and s_{in}^- as network III but with different distribution of $|s_{out}|$. It is obtained from network III in a similar fashion, with the elements of the connectivity matrix in each row replaced by a random permutation and with the same-sign adjustment of the synaptic weights. Similarly, the distribution of $|s_{out}|$ becomes approximately Gaussian but in this case, the sign adjustment modifies s_{in}^+ and $|s_{in}^-|$ such that their distributions are close to but not the same as those of network III (**Figure 1**). **Table 1** summarizes the features of the three average synaptic weights distributions of the six networks studied.

Suppression of inhibition. For each network, we calculated the standard deviation of all the inhibitory synaptic weights with $w_{ij} < 0$ and denoted the result as σ . We applied three levels of uniform suppression of inhibition by replacing every negative w_{ij} by $w_{ij} + k\sigma$ for (i) k = 0.25, (ii) k = 0.5, and (iii) k = 1. When the magnitudes of the inhibitory synaptic weights are decreased, an inhibitory neuron would not be turned into an excitatory neuron thus we enforced an additional condition: if $w_{ij}+k\sigma > 0$, then that negative w_{ij} is replaced by zero. We carried out simulations for each of the networks as well as the three levels of inhibition suppression and recorded the number of spikes and the times at which the spikes occur for all the 4095 neurons in every simulation.

Calculation of firing rates from MEA recordings. By applying the Precise Timing Spike Detection algorithm (Maccione et al., 2009) in the BrainWave software associated with the recording device of the MEA probe, we detected spikes in the MEA recordings DIV25, DIV45, and DIV66 and calculated the firing rates of the measurements of each of the 4095 electrodes. The noise intensity α in Equation (1) is set to be 3 for the simulations so that the average firing rates of the whole network for networks I–III are comparable to the array-wide average firing rates calculated from the MEA recordings.

Three additional sets of MEA recordings were taken, respectively, after 5 μ M, 15 μ M, and 30 μ M of bicuculline were added to the neuronal culture at 66 DIV. We calculated the firing rates of these three sets of MEA recordings. Our simulation results will be useful for understanding the effects of bicuculline on firing rates of neuronal networks.

3. RESULTS

The activity of a neuron is often measured by its firing rate, which is defined as the total number of spikes recorded in a certain time interval T divided by T. We used the whole computational time interval T = 7,500 ms to calculate the firing rates. The distribution of firing rates of neurons in local cortical networks has been reported to be skewed with long tails (Shafia et al., 2007; O'Connor et al., 2010; Peyrache et al., 2012; Buzsaki and Mizuseki, 2014). We first study whether networks I, II, and III, adopted from networks reconstructed from the MEA recordings of a neuronal culture, can reproduce these features in the spiking neuron model. **Figure 2A** shows the distributions of firing rate for networks I-III. They are highly skewed, long tailed, and clearly deviate from Gaussian



FIGURE 2 | Distributions of firing rates. (A) Distributions calculated from the simulation data for networks I–IV. The red curve is a Gaussian distribution with the same median and standard deviation while the blue curve is a Gaussian distribution with the same mean and standard deviation. (B) Comparison of the distributions of firing rates for networks I–IV (upper panel) with those calculated using the spikes detected in the measured MEA recordings DIV25, DIV45, and DIV66 (bottom panel) from which networks I–III were reconstructed. The data points for zero firing rate are removed. A good resemblance of the long tails in the distributions for networks I–III can be seen.

distributions with the same mean or median and same standard deviation. These features indicate that the spiking activities of the whole network are dominated by a small fraction of neurons. The distribution of firing rate depends crucially on the distribution of synaptic weights of the network (Roxin et al., 2011). For the random network IV whose average synaptic weights obey an approximately Gaussian distribution, **Figure 2A** shows that its distribution of firing rates is neither skewed nor long-tailed but is well approximated by a Gaussian distribution with the same mean and standard deviation. We show the distributions of firing rates for networks I–IV in a log-log plot in **Figure 2B**. The good resemblance of the long tails in the distributions for networks I–III with those calculated directly from the MEA recordings (**Figure 2B**) further supports that these three networks have biologically realistic features.

Li et al

When the magnitudes of all the inhibitory synaptic weights are decreased, the magnitudes of the presynaptic inhibitory synapses of every neuron are decreased. Thus one would naturally expect the firing rate of every neuron to be enhanced and that the average firing rate of the whole network to increase with the level of inhibition suppression. **Figure 3** shows the dependence of the average firing rate of the network on the ratio of suppression in inhibitory weights for all the networks. The ratio of suppression in inhibitory weights is equal to the ratio of the decrease in the average magnitude of all the inhibitory weights to the average magnitude of all the inhibitory synapses when there is no suppression. The average firing rate increases for most of the networks as expected but surprisingly, it remains approximately



unchanged for networks IV and VI. Inspection of the change in firing rates of the individual neurons within a network reveals that the responses of neurons are heterogeneous: while the firing rates of some neurons are enhanced as expected, the firing rates of the other neurons decrease or do not change. Such heterogeneous responses are found in all the six networks. **Figure 4** shows the distributions of change in firing rate at the three applied levels of



suppression. It can be seen that there exists a non-zero fraction of neurons whose firing rate decreases for all the networks at the lowest applied level of suppression (k = 0.25). For network V, the firing rates of all neurons increase or do not change at the two highest applied levels of suppression (k = 0.5 and k = 1). For the average firing rate of the whole network to have a net increase, the distribution of the change in firing rate has to be asymmetric and skewed toward positive changes in firing rate. This is indeed the case for all except networks IV and VI. For networks IV and VI, the distribution of the change in firing rate is symmetric about zero and there is thus no net change in the average firing rate or the overall spiking activity in these two networks. Moreover, the distributions of the change in firing rate have a very weak dependence on *k* and the fraction of neurons having an increase in firing rate is approximately constant as *k* increases (Figure 5). For networks I-III and network V, most of the neurons exhibit an increase in their firing rates and the fraction of neurons having an increase in firing rate increases with the ratio of suppression in inhibitory synaptic weights (Figure 5).

What determines the different responses of the individual neurons within a network? A first guess might be the group of neurons with an increase in firing rates and the group of neurons with a decrease in firing rates differ in their network features such as degree and average synaptic weights. However, this possibility has to be ruled out since heterogeneous responses are found in all the networks including network V, which is a random network, and the nodes in a random network have



similar in- and out-degrees and average synaptic weights. We calculated the distributions of the incoming and outgoing degrees and the average synaptic weights of incoming and outgoing links separately for the two groups of neurons, one with an increase in firing rate and the other with a decrease, in networks



I-III and indeed found no significant differences. Moreover, heterogeneous responses are found among excitatory neurons and among inhibitory neurons and no correlation is found between the sign of the change in firing rate and the nature of the neuron.

Besides firing rate, another measure of spiking activity is the inter-spike interval (ISI). To study neuronal variability, one common method is to study the distribution of ISI or ln(ISI), the logarithm of ISI. In particular, multi-scale bursting activities of a neuronal network can be revealed by a bimodal ln(ISI) distribution with one peak at shorter ISI for spikes within each burst and another peak at longer ISI for spikes between consecutive bursts (Cocatre-Zilgien and Delcomyn, 1992; Selinger et al., 2007). Figure 6A shows the distributions of ln(ISI) for all the six networks. For networks I, II, III, and V, the distributions are bimodal with one peak at ISI of the order of ms and another peak at larger ISI of order of 0.1 s, thus these networks have bursting activities as can be seen directly in the raster plots (Figure 6B). The ln(ISI) distributions of networks IV and VI are unimodal (Figure 6A) and these two networks have no bursts (Figure 6B). Hence, there is an interesting correlation between the bursting dynamics of a network and its overall response to changes in synaptic weights: the average firing rate of the whole network has a net change for networks with bursting but remains unchanged for networks without bursts when the inhibitory synaptic weights are varied.

The network architecture and the synaptic weights distribution are expected to affect the dynamics of the network

and its response to changes in synaptic weights but it is not obvious which specific network feature plays a crucial role. By comparing the features of the distribution of the average synaptic weights of the networks as summarized in **Table 1**, we can conclude that the distribution of average synaptic weights of the outgoing links s_{out} of a network is crucial in determining the dynamics as well as the overall response of the whole network to changes in synaptic strength. For networks whose s_{out} 's have a long-tailed distribution, bursting is observed and the average firing rate of the whole network increases upon inhibition suppression or decreases upon inhibition enhancement. For networks whose s_{out} 's are approximately normally distributed, bursting is not found and the average firing rate of the whole network remains approximately constant upon changes in inhibitory synaptic strength.

Using the calculated firing rates from the MEA recordings of the 4,095 electrodes taken at 66 DIV after three different concentrations of bicuculline were added, we found that the array-wide average firing rate increases with the addition of bicuculline as previously reported (Eisenman et al., 2015). **Figure 7A** shows that the array-wide average firing rate increases as the bicuculline concentration increases. Bicuculline is a competitive GABA_A receptor antagonist that blocks the inhibitory action of the neurotransmitter GABA (Johnston, 1996). The blocking action of bicuculline on the receptors of GABA can be crudely modeled by a suppression of inhibitory synaptic weights and our simulation results thus suggest that the responses in firing rate would be heterogeneous. Bicuculline



of ISI, P(In ISI), calculated using the spikes detected from the MEA recording DIV66.

has indeed been reported to exhibit a heterogeneous effect on firing rate in rat hippocampal neuronal networks (Sokal et al., 2000). We calculated the distributions of change in firing rates of the recordings of individual electrodes. **Figure 7B** shows that there are both positive and negative changes and confirms that bicuculline exhibits a heterogeneous effect on firing rate. Since there is a net change in the array-wide average firing rate on the bicuculline concentration, based on our simulation results, we would expect that the ln(ISI) distribution calculated from the MEA recording DIV66 should be bimodal. **Figure 7C** confirms this prediction.

4. DISCUSSION

One of the most fascinating properties of the brain is neuroplasticity, its ability to change the synaptic strength of connections and/or to form new connections in its neuronal circuits in response to experience and stimuli. Specifically, synaptic plasticity, the activity-dependent modification of the synaptic strength of connections, has been proposed to play a central role in learning and memory over the past century. Changes in synaptic strength are also thought to be crucial during early development of the brain. Many forms and mechanisms of synaptic plasticity have been described in which synaptic strength can be either enhanced or depressed and these changes can be either short term or more long lasting (Brown et al., 1990; Bear and Malenka, 1994; Malenka and Nicoll, 1999; Bi and Poo, 2001; Bi, 2002; Zucker and Regehr, 2002; Citri and Malenka, 2008; Bailey et al., 2015). It is natural to expect that an enhancement of synaptic strength would lead to an enhancement in activity and a depression of synaptic strength would lead to a depression in activity and thus activity-dependent synaptic plasticity alone would lead to instability (Abbott and Nelson, 2000) and a number of stabilization mechanisms have been suggested (Chen et al., 2013; Bannon et al., 2020). There are, however, experimental and numerical results suggesting that changes in the strength of the synapses onto a neuron do not always lead to changes in the spiking activity of the neuron (Prinz et al., 2003). Moreover, the response of a neuron to changes in the synaptic strength is likely to be influenced by its interactions with other neurons in a network. For a full understanding of synaptic plasticity, it is thus useful to study how the effects of changes in synaptic strength on the dynamics of neurons in neuronal networks.

In this work, we carried out numerical simulations of networks of thousands of spiking neurons with conductancebased synapses and showed that a uniform suppression of the inhibitory synaptic weights does not lead to an increase in the firing rate of all the neurons within a network. In comparison with networks with an applied suppression of inhibition, the original networks could be viewed as networks with an applied enhancement of inhibition. Thus our results imply that heterogeneous responses do not only occur for a suppression of inhibition but also for an enhancement of inhibition. That is, neurons in a network respond differently to changes in inhibitory synaptic weights. As a result, a suppression or an enhancement of the magnitudes of the synaptic weights of all presynaptic inhibitory synapses of a neuron in a network does not always lead to an increase or decrease in the firing rate of this neuron. Hence, activity-dependent modification of synaptic strength does not necessarily generate a positive feedback on the dynamics of neurons connected in a network and thus synaptic plasticity does not necessarily lead to unstable runaway synaptic dynamics in neuronal networks.

The effects of different drugs on the spiking and bursting activities of neuronal networks have been commonly studied (e.g., Sokal et al., 2000; Eisenman et al., 2015). It has been found that bicuculline, a drug that blocks the receptors of inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA, has a heterogeneous effect on firing rate in a rat hippocampal neuronal network (Sokal et al., 2000). Our simulations results showing a heterogeneity in the responses to a suppression in inhibition thus suggests a heterogeneity in the action of bicuculine when its blocking action of the GABA receptors is crudely modeled as a decrease in magnitudes of all inhibitory synaptic weights. Our study can be further used to understand the effects of bicuculine on bursting.

Such heterogeneous responses are found in all networks studied including a generic random network (network V) in which all the nodes have similar degrees and average synaptic weights described by an approximately Gaussian distribution with small standard deviation (see **Figure 1**). Moreover, heterogeneous responses are found among excitatory neurons and also among inhibitory neurons. We have indeed found that the two groups of neurons that have opposite changes in the firing rate upon changes in inhibitory synaptic strength in networks I–III have similar distributions of degrees and average synaptic weights. These results thus indicate that whether the firing rate of a neuron increases or decreases upon a changes in inhibition is not a simple consequence of its network features or whether it is excitatory or inhibitory.

The firing rate of neuron i is controlled by the synaptic current $I_i(t)$, which depends on the excitatory and inhibitory conductances. The inhibitory conductance G_i^{inh} depends not only on the magnitude of the synaptic weights $|w_{ij}|$ but also on the firing history of the presynaptic inhibitory neurons of neuron *i*. Thus G_i^{inh} could increase even when the magnitudes of all the synaptic weights of the presynaptic inhibitory synapses are decreased if some of the presynaptic inhibitory neurons fire more frequently. Similarly, the excitatory conductance G_i^{exc} could decrease even when the magnitudes of all the synaptic weights of the presynaptic excitatory synapses are fixed if the firing rate of some of the presynaptic excitatory neurons decreases. This explains why $I_i(t)$ can decrease and leads to a decrease in the firing rate of neuron i even when all the synaptic weights of its presynaptic inhibitory synapses are suppressed. The response of an individual neuron to changes in synaptic strength is affected by the firing activity of its presynaptic neurons, which is in turn affected by the firing activity of their own presynaptic neurons, and hence the heterogeneous responses are the result of the interactions among neurons in the network.

Detailed exploration of how the architecture of a neuronal network, which describes the inter-actions among neurons, gives rise to the heterogeneous responses should provide new insights on synaptic plasticity. For such an exploration to be fruitful, it is important to use neuronal networks with biologically realistic connections rather than generic networks like random networks that are often used in computational studies. Our simulations show that unrealistic dynamics are obtained for a random network (network V) in that there is no bursting and no change in the overall average firing rate upon variations of the inhibitory synaptic strength.

In addition to the heterogeneity in the responses of individual neurons within a network, our results show that network structure induces a variability in the overall response of the whole network to changes in inhibitory synaptic strength. It is not surprising that there is a relationship between network structure and dynamics but it is challenging to pin down which specific network feature plays a crucial role. Using biologically realistic networks and their modifications enables us to address this challenge. The reconstructed networks from MEA recordings of

REFERENCES

- Abbott, L. F., and Nelson, S. B. (2000). Synaptic plasticity: taming the beast. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 1178–1183. doi: 10.1038/81453
- Andreev, A. V., Frolov, N. S., Pisarchik, A. N., and Hramov, A. E. (2019). Chimera state in complex networks of bistable hodgkin-huxley neurons. *Phys. Rev. E* 100:022224. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022224
- Arkhipov, A., Gouwens, N., Billeh, Y., Gratiy, S., Iyer, R., Wei, Z., et al. (2018). Visual physiology of the layer 4 cortical circuit in silico. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 14:e1006535. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006535
- Bailey, C. H., Kandel, E. R., and Harris, K. M. (2015). Structural components of synaptic plasticity and memory consolidation. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 7:a021758. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a021758
- Bannon, N. M., Chistiakova, M., and Volgushev, M. (2020). Synaptic plasticity in cortical inhibitory neurons: What mechanisms may help to balance synaptic weight changes? *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 14:204. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2020.00204

neuronal culture (networks I–III) have biologically realistic longtailed distributions of average synaptic weights. By comparing the dynamics of these networks with those of the modified ones (networks IV–VI), we are able to conclude that a longtail distribution of average synaptic weights of the outgoing links s_{out} is the crucial feature that gives rise to bursting in neuronal networks and determines the overall response of the whole network to changes in synaptic strength. Understanding how a long-tailed distribution of s_{out} gives rise to bursting and why networks lacking such a feature would experience little or no change in the overall average firing rate upon changes in synaptic strength are interesting problems to be explored in future studies.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

CODE AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The numerical code written in Python can be found in GitHub: https://github.com/escching/NetworkSpikingModel.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HL and GC: modified the computer code, ran the simulations, and did the data analysis. EC: designed the work, did the data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

Our work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grants Council under grant no. CUHK 14304017.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Y.-T. Huang, P.-Y. Lai, and C.K. Chan for providing the experimental MEA recordings and C. Y. Yeung for writing the first version of the Python code and obtaining networks V and VI from network III.

- Bear, M., and Malenka, R. (1994). Synaptic plasticity: Ltp and ltd. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 4, 389–99.
- Bi, G. (2002). Spatiotemporal specificity of synaptic plasticity: cellular rules and mechanisms. *Biol. Cybern.* 87, 319–332. doi: 10.1007/s00422-002-0349-7
- Bi, G. and Poo, M. (2001). Synaptic modification by correlated activity: Hebb's postulate revisited. Ann. Rev. Neurosci. 24, 139–166. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.139
- Brown, T., Kaoross, K., and Keenan, C. (1990). Hebbian synapses: biophysical mechanisms and algorithms. *Ann. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 475–511.
- Brunel, N. (2000). Dynamics of sparsely connected networks of excitatory and inhibitory spiking neurons. J. Neurosci. 8, 183–208. doi: 10.1023/a:1008925309027
- Buzsaki, G., and Mizuseki, K. (2014). The log-dynamic brain: how skewed distributions affect network operations. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 264–278. doi: 10.1038/nrn3687

- Cavallari, S., Panzeri, S., and Mazzoni, A. (2014). Comparison of the dynamics of neural interactions between current-based and conductancebased integrate-and-fire recurrent networks. *Front. Neural Circ.* 8, 1–23. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2014.00012
- Chen, J.-Y., Lonjers, P., Lee, C., Chistiakova, M., Volgushev, M., and Bazhenov, M. (2013). Heterosynaptic plasticity prevents runaway synaptic dynamics. J. Neurosci. 33, 15915–15929. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5088-12.2013
- Ching, E. S. C. and Tam, H. C. (2017). Reconstructing links in directed networks from noisy dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E* 95:010301(R). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.95.010301
- Citri, A., and Malenka, R. (2008). Synaptic plasticity: multiple forms, functions and mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33, 18–41. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301559
- Cocatre-Zilgien, J. H., and Delcomyn, F. (1992). Identification of bursts in spike trains. J. Neurosci. Methods 41, 19–30. doi: 10.1016/0165-0270(92)90120-3
- Contreras, D. (2004). Electrophysiological classes of neocortical neurons. *Neural Netw.* 17, 633–646. doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2004.04.003
- Destexhe, A., Bal, T., McCormick, D. A., and Sejnowski, T. J. (1996). Ionic mechanisms underlying synchronized oscillations and propagating waves in a model of ferret thalamic slices. J Neurophysiol 76, 2049–2070. doi: 10.1152/jn.1996.76.3.2049
- Einevoll, G. T., Destexhe, A., Diesmann, M., Grün, S., Jirsa, V., Kamps, M., et al. (2019). The scientific case for brain simulations. *Neuron* 102, 735–744. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.03.027
- Eisenman L. N., Emnett C. M., Mohan J., Zorumski C. F., and Mennerick, S. (2015). Quantification of bursting and synchrony in cultured hippocampal neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 114, 1059–1071. doi: 10.1152/jn.00079.2015
- Górski, T., Depannemaecker, D., and Destexhe, A. (2021). Conductance-based adaptive exponential integrate-and-fire model. *Neural Comput.* 33, 41–66. doi: 10.1162/neco_a_01342
- Hebb, D. (1949). The Organization of Behavior. (New York, NY: Wiley).
- Hendry, S. H., Schwark, H. D., Jones, E. G., and Yan, J. (1987). Numbers and proportions of gaba-immunoreactive neurons in different areas of monkey cerebral cortex. J. Neurosci. 7, 1503–1519. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.07-05-01503.1987
- Higham, D. J. (2001). An algorithmic introduction to numerical simulation of stochastic differential equations. SIAM Rev. 43, 525–546. doi: 10.1137/S0036144500378302
- Izhikevich, E. M. (2003). Simple model of spiking neurons. IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. 14, 1569–1572. doi: 10.1109/TNN.2003.820440
- Izhikevich, E. M. (2007). Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience: The Geometry of Excitability and Bursting. (Cambridge: The MIT Press).
- Izhikevich, E. M., and Edelman, G. M. (2020). Large-scale model of mammalian thalamocortical systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105, 3593–3598. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0712231105
- Johnston, G. A. R. (1996). Gabaa receptor pharmacology. *Pharmacol. Therapeutics* 69, 173–198. doi: 10.1016/0163-7258(95)02043-8
- Maccione, A., Gandolfo, M., Massobrio, P., Novellino, A., Martinoia, S., and Chiappalone, M. (2009). A novel algorithm for precise identification of spikes in extracellularly recorded neuronal signals. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 177, 241–249. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.09.026
- Malenka, R., and Nicoll, R. (1999). Long-term potentiation a decade of progress? Science 285, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1126/science.285.5435.1870
- Markram, H., Muller, E., Ramaswamy, S., Reimann, M., Abdellah, M., Sanchez, C., et al. (2015). Reconstruction and simulation of neocortical microcircuitry. *Cell* 163, 456–493. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.029
- Nowak, L., Azouz, R., Sanchez-Vives, M., Gray, C., and McCormick, D. (2003). Electrophysiological classes of cat primary visual cortical neurons *in vivo* as revealed by quantitative analyses. *J. Neurophysiol.* 89, 1541–1566. doi: 10.1152/jn.00580.2002
- O'Connor, D. H., Peron, S. P., Huber, D., and Svoboda, K. (2010). Neural activity in barrel cortex underlying vibrissa-based object localization in mice. *Neuron* 67, 1048–1061. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.026
- Pena, R. F. O., Zaks, M. A., and Roque, A. C. (2016). Dynamics of spontaneous activity in random networks with multiple subtypes and synaptic noise. J. Comput. Neurosci. 10, 1–17. doi: 10.1007/s10827-018-0688-6

- Peyrache, A., Dehghani, N., Eskandar, E. N., Madsen, J. R., Anderson, W. S., Donoghue, J. A., et al. (2012). Spatiotemporal dynamics of neocortical excitation and inhibition during human sleep. *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 1731–1736. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1109895109
- Potjans, T., and Diesmann, M. (2014). The cell-type specific cortical microcircuit: relating structure and activity in a full-scale spiking network model. *Cereb. Cortex* 24, 785–806. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhs358
- Prinz, A. A., Thirumalai, V., and Marder, E. (2003). The functional consequences of changes in the strength and duration of synaptic inputs to oscillatory neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 943–954. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-03-00943.2003
- Roxin, A., Brunel, N., Hansel, D., Mongillo, G., and van Vreeswijk, C. (2011). On the distribution of firing rates in networks of cortical neurons. J. Neurosci. 31, 107–120. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1677-11.2011
- Schmidt, M., Bakker, R., Hilgetag, C., Diesmann, M., and van Albada, S. (2018). Multi-scale account of the network structure of macaque visual cortex. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 14:e1006359. doi: 10.1007/s00429-017-1554-4
- Selinger, J. V., Kulagina, N. V., thomas J O'Shaughnessy, Ma, W., and Pancrazio, J. J. (2007). Methods for characterizing interspike intervals andidentifying bursts in neuronal activity. J. Neurosci. Methods 162, 64–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2006.12.003
- Shafia, M., Zhou, Y., Quintana, J., Chow, C., Fuster, J., and Bodner, M. (2007). Variability in neuronal activity in primate cortex during working memory tasks. *Neuroscience* 146, 1082–1108. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.12.072
- Sokal, D. M., Mason, R., and Parker, T. L. (2000). Multi-neuronal recordings reveal a differential effect of thapsigargin on bicuculline- or gabazineinduced epileptiform excitability in rat hippocampal neuronal networks. *Neuropharmacology* 39, 2408–2417. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3908(00)0 0095-2
- Tam, H. (2017). Reconstruction of Networks From Noisy Dynamics. Ph.D. thesis, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.
- Tomov, P., Pena, R. F. O., Roque, A. C., and Zaks, M. A. (2016). Mechanisms of self-sustained oscillatiory states in hierarchical modular networks with mixtures of electrophysiological cell types. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 8, 1–16. doi: 10.3389/fncom.2016.00023
- Tomov, P., Pena, R. F. O., Zaks, M. A., and Roque, A. C. (2014). Sustained oscillations, irregular firing, and chaotic dynamics in hierarchical modular networks with mixtures of electrophysiological cell types. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 8, 1–16. doi: 10.3389/fncom.2014.00103
- Traub, R., Contreras, D., Cunningham, M., Murray, H., LeBeau, F., Roopun, A., et al. (2005). Single-column thalamocortical network model exhibiting gamma oscillations, sleep spindles, and epileptogenic bursts. *J. Neurophysiol.* 93, 2194–2232. doi: 10.1152/jn.00983.2004
- Zerlaut, Y., Chemla, S., Chavane, F., and Destexhe, A. (2018). Modeling mesoscopic cortical dynamics using a mean-field model of conductancebased networks of adaptive exponential integrate-and-fire neurons. J. Comput. Neurosci. 44, 45–61. doi: 10.1007/s10827-017-0668-2
- Zucker, R. S., and Regehr, W. G. (2002). Short-term synaptic plasticity. *Ann. Rev. Physiol.* 64, 355–405. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physiol.64.092501.114547

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Li, Cheng and Ching. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

5. APPENDIX

The recorded 4,095 time series of voltage signals are denoted as $y_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., 4,095. To reduce the effect of measurement noise, a moving average filter was first applied to obtain $x_i(t) = [y_i(t) + y_i(t + \Delta)]/2$, where $\Delta = 0.142$ ms is the sampling time interval for i = 1, 2, ..., 4,095. Then $x_i(t)$ is treated as the activity of node *i* of a network of N = 4,095 nodes with their connections given by the connectivity matrix elements \tilde{w}_{ij} . That is, $\tilde{w}_{ij} \neq 0$ is the weight of the connection from node *j* to node *i* and $\tilde{w}_{ij} = 0$ when there is no connection from node *j* to node *i*. We assume no self-connections such that $\tilde{w}_{ii} = 0$.

Using $x_i(t)$'s, we calculated two matrices, the time-lagged covariance matrix $\mathbf{K}(\tau)$ whose elements are given by

$$K_{ij}(\tau) = \langle [x_i(t+\tau) - \langle x_i(t+\tau) \rangle] [x_j(t) - \langle x_j(t) \rangle] \rangle$$
(12)

using $\tau = \Delta$ and the equal-time covariance matrix **K**(0), whose elements are given by Equation (12) with $\tau = 0$. Here, $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes a time average. Then, we calculated

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{\tau} \log(\mathbf{K}(\tau)\mathbf{K}(0)^{-1}) \tag{13}$$

where log is the principal matrix logarithm.

For systems whose dynamics are described by a set of coupled stochastic differential equations and approach a fixed point in the noise-free limit, it has been derived that (Ching and Tam, 2017)

$$\widetilde{w}_{ij} \approx M_{ij} \qquad i \neq j \tag{14}$$

Relation (Equation (14)) thus implies that for each node *j*, the offdiagonal elements M_{ij} , with $i \neq j$, would separate into two groups corresponding to $\tilde{w}_{ij} = 0$ (no links from node *j* to node *j*) and $\tilde{w}_{ij} \neq 0$ (links from node *j* to node *i* with weights \tilde{w}_{ij}). Motivated by this result, a method has been developed to estimate \tilde{w}_{ij} by performing clustering analysis of M_{ij} into two groups for each node *j*. This covariance-relation based method of recovering the connectivity matrix has been validated by numerical simulations not only for systems that have a fixed point in the noise free limit but also for some systems that do not approach a fixed point in the noise-free limit, including especially systems that obey the FitzHugh-Nagumo dynamics that is commonly used to model neurons (Ching and Tam, 2017; Tam, 2017).

This method was adopted (see text footnote 1) to estimate \tilde{w}_{ii} from MEA recordings taken at different Days in Vitro (DIV). In each case, the distribution of M_{ii} with $i \neq j$ for each node j is fitted by a sum of two Gaussian component distributions using MATLAB "fitgmdist" and the Gaussian component of the larger proportion or the Gaussian component with the average closer to zero is taken as the unconnected component of $\tilde{w}_{ii} = 0$. The probability p_i of each of the M_{ij} values belonging to the unconnected component is then obtained by using MATLAB "cluster." If $p_i > 0.5$, then $\tilde{w}_{ij} = 0$ and there is no link from node *j* to node *i*. Otherwise if $p_i \le 0.5$, then there is a link from node *j* to node *i* with $\tilde{w}_{ij} = M_{ij} - \langle M_{ki} | \tilde{w}_{ki} = 0 \rangle_k$ where $\langle M_{ki} | \tilde{w}_{ki} = 0 \rangle_k$ is the average over k of those M_{kj} values that are estimated to correspond to $\tilde{w}_{ki} = 0$. This procedure is repeated for all the nodes *j* to estimate all the off-diagonal elements \tilde{w}_{ij} with $i \neq j$. In the event that, the two Gaussian component distributions are not well separated, the distribution of M_{ij} is fitted again by one single Gaussian distribution with the outliers inferred as the connected component with $\tilde{w}_{ii} \neq 0$.

The w_{ij} 's of networks I, II, and III are twice of \tilde{w}_{ij} reconstructed from MEA recordings DIV25, DIV45, and DIV66, respectively.