Systematic review and meta-analysis: the impact of co-occurring immune-mediated inflammatory diseases on the disease localization and behavior of Crohn's disease

Mohamed Attauabi (), Mirabella Zhao, Flemming Bendtsen and Johan Burisch

Abstract

Background: Patients with Crohn's disease (CD) are at increased risk of co-occurring immunemediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs). As discrepancy exists regarding the phenotypic presentation of CD among patients with such co-occurring IMIDs, we aimed to conduct a systematic review with meta-analysis characterizing the phenotype of CD among this subgroup of patients. **Methods:** *PubMed, Embase,* and *Scopus* were searched from their earliest records to October 2019 for studies reporting the behavior and localization of CD according to the Vienna or Montreal Classifications and CD-related surgery in patients with co-occurring IMIDs. These studies were the subject of a random effect meta-analysis.

Results: After reviewing 24,413 studies, we identified a total of 23 studies comprising 1572 and 35,043 CD patients with and without co-occurring IMIDs, respectively, that fulfilled our inclusion criteria. Overall, patients with co-occurring IMIDs were more likely to have upper gastrointestinal inflammation than were patients without co-occurring IMIDs [relative risk (RR) = 1.49 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.09–2.04), p = 0.01, $l^2 = 7\%$]. In addition, presence of primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) was associated with a lower occurrence of ileal affection [RR = 0.44 (95% CI 0.24–0.81), p < 0.01, $l^2 = 32\%$], increased occurrence of colonic affection [RR = 1.78 (95% CI 1.33–2.38), p < 0.01, $l^2 = 32\%$] and an increased likelihood of non-stricturing and non-penetrating behavior [RR = 1.43 (95% CI 0.97–2.11), p = 0.07, $l^2 = 86\%$]. The latter reached significance when cumulating different IMIDs [RR = 1.30 (95% CI 1.09–1.55), p < 0.01, $l^2 = 88\%$]. CD patients with PSC also underwent fewer CD-related surgeries [RR = 0.55 (95% CI 0.34–0.88), p = 0.01, $l^2 = 0\%$], irrespective of CD location or behavior.

Conclusion: This study emphasizes that CD patients with co-existing PSC are likely to have a unique inflammatory distribution primarily confined to the colon, while patients with IMIDs in general have higher likelihood of affection of upper gastrointestinal tract and a non-stricturing and non-penetrating behavior. As such a phenotype of CD is typically associated with a milder disease course; future studies are needed to confirm these results.

Keywords: Crohn's disease, disease behavior, disease localization, disease presentation, immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, primary sclerosing cholangitis

Received: 22 January 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 4 March 2021.

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) consists of ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), which are immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) with a multifactorial etiology. Both UC and CD commonly cluster with other IMIDs.^{1–3} These IMIDs have similarities regarding immunological disruptions, as well as genetic and environmental risk factors with UC and CD. In addition, these co-occurring IMIDs might 2021, Vol. 14: 1–15 DOI: 10.1177/ 17562848211004839

© The Author(s), 2021. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to: Mohamed Attauabi

Gastrounit, Medical Section, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Kettegaard Alle 30, Denmark Copenhagen Center for Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Children, Adolescents and Adults, University of Copenhagen, Hvidovre Hospital, Denmark Attauabi12@gmail.com Mirabella Zhao

Flemming Bendtsen Johan Burisch Gastrounit, Medical Section, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark Copenhagen Center for

Copennagen Center for Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Children, Adolescents and Adults, University of Copenhagen, Hvidovre Hospital, Denmark

journals.sagepub.com/home/tag

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



Ther Adv Gastroenterol

have courses that run in parallel with, or independently of, the course of IBD.⁴ The existing literature has focused on describing the localization and behavior of UC in relation to co-occurring primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), showing that these patients experience milder disease activity but a higher risk of pancolitis, backwash ileitis, rectal sparing, colorectal cancer and mortality. Thus, UC-PSC has been acknowledged as a unique subtype of UC.⁵ Unlike with UC, the existing literature has not described the disease characteristics of CD in the presence of other IMIDs in depth.⁶ However, we found in a recent meta-analysis that patients with CD and PSC have a remarkably lower risk of IBDrelated surgeries and an increased risk of malignancies.7 Therefore, the aim of this systematic review was to investigate the disease localization and behavior of CD with and without co-occurring PSC and other IMIDs. In addition, we investigated the association between CD-related surgery, disease phenotype of CD and presence of IMIDs.

Materials and methods

The protocol of this systematic review was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews prior to its initiation (CRD42020166247). The review was conducted according to the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses and the *Cochrane handbook*.^{8,9}

Search strategy

The electronic databases PubMed, Embase and Scopus were searched by two authors, MA and MZ, from their earliest records to October 2019 for studies reporting the disease localization or behavior of CD in the presence of IMIDs. Supplemental File 1 provides the search strategy. The keywords used were 'IMIDs' (not specified further), 'autoimmune disease' (not specified further), 'Diabetes type 1', 'asthma', 'Grave's disease', 'spondyloarthropathy', 'spondyloarthropathies', 'ankylosing spondylitis', 'iridocyclitis', 'uveitis', 'rheumatoid arthritis', 'polymyalgia rheumatica', 'psoriasis', 'psoriatic arthritis', 'primary sclerosing cholangitis', 'celiac disease', 'pyoderma gangrenosum', 'pernicious anemia', 'autoimmune hepatitis', 'sarcoidosis', 'giant cell arteritis', 'primary biliary cholangitis', 'primary biliary cirrhosis', 'Hashimoto's thyroiditis', 'episcleritis' and 'Sjogren's syndrome.'

In addition, a manual search of abstracts from the ECCO congress (European Crohn's and Colitis Organization), UEG Week (United European Gastroenterology) and DDW (Digestive Diseases Week) between 2015 and 2019 was also conducted to obtain potential, near-future publications. The search results were exported to Mendeley and de-duplicated prior to screening. Reference lists of the relevant reviews were also screened for eligibility.

Inclusion criteria

All original studies in the English language reporting the outcome of CD localization or behavior according to the Montreal or Vienna Classifications in the presence of IMIDs were eligible for inclusion in this study. Moreover, final inclusion of studies required a clinically verified diagnosis of CD according to international criteria.^{10,11}

We excluded studies of only UC patients, reviews, case reports, editorials and studies in languages other than English. If a study presented insufficient data, the corresponding author was asked to provide the missing data and the study was included if these data were provided.

Selection process

The selection process is presented in Figure 1. First, two of the study authors, MA and MZ, independently screened all search results based on titles and abstracts and removed irrelevant studies. Subsequently, MA and MZ independently assessed the full texts of the remaining studies for possible inclusion; any disagreements were solved by joint review.

Data extraction and outcomes

One author, MA, extracted the data and repeated the data extraction. The following data were extracted, per the Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group's template:¹² author name, study title, publication year, study design, geographical region, number of patients, age at onset of CD, proportion of females, proportion of smokers, IMID subtype and CD-related disease localization and behavior, namely L1 to L4 and B1 to B3. Due to different study designs, L4 represented both isolated and non-isolated upper gastrointestinal affection. Surgery rates among patients were also extracted.



Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses diagram of the literature search.

DDW, Digestive Diseases Week; ECCO, European Crohn's and Colitis Organization; UEG, United European Gastroenterology.

Definitions

IMIDs were defined as diseases in which either autoimmune, autoinflammatory or inflammatory mechanisms play a vital role in the pathophysiology. Population-based studies were defined as studies that included all CD patients within a well-defined geographical area.

Historically, features of CD were described in the Vienna Classification System, which considered age of onset, disease location and disease behavior as the predominant phenotypic elements.¹³ Primarily in order to compensate for rigid categorization in terms of inability to describe upper gastrointestinal disease along with more distal disease and difficulty categorizing perianal disease, the Vienna Classification got revised to the

Montreal Classification System.^{13,14} Accordingly, the main update is that the newer system takes perianal disease to consideration as a feature to be added to the non-stricturing and non-penetrating (B1), stricturing (B2) or penetrating (B3) behavior of CD, while upper gastrointestinal involvement (L4) is considered a modifier of ileal (L1), colonic (L2) or ileocolonic (L3) involvement.

Quality assessments

Quality assessment of the studies was conducted by two authors (MA and MZ) independently, using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS), which is based on a total of eight factors across three domains: selection, comparability and outcome/ exposure.¹⁵ To our knowledge, NOS has not been externally validated, but is among the most frequently used quality assessment tools for nonrandomized studies. In addition, it is used by The Cochrane Collaboration.⁹

A high-quality study was defined as having a score of 7–9, while moderate- and low-quality studies were defined as having scores of 4–6 and 0–3, respectively. Publication bias was assessed using Egger's regression test using RStudio version 1.2.1335 and illustrated via funnel plots in Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.3.

Statistical analysis

The extracted data were analyzed using RevMan version 5.3. Meta-analysis of the pooled proportions of L1-L4 and B1-B3 among patients with CD, with and without co-occurring IMIDs, was conducted using DerSimonian and Laird's random-effect model in accordance with Cochrane recommendations. The results were expressed as risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). A p-value smaller than 0.05 was considered significant. In addition, subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were also performed in RStudio version 1.2.1335 and visualized in bubble plots weighting the studies by their variance and heterogeneity. Heterogeneity was assessed by squared inconsistency (I²) statistics and, as per the Cochrane recommendations, an I^2 higher than 75% indicated a substantial between-study variance, while an I^2 lower than 25% indicated low heterogeneity. Subgroup-analyses were conducted of the type of co-occurring IMIDs and type of study design, while meta-regressions were conducted to assess the effect measures in relation to the proportion of females, age at onset of CD and the proportion of smokers. Subgroupanalysis was conducted whenever an IMID was investigated in at least two publications. The risk of CD-related surgery among patients with cooccurring IMIDs was also assessed in relation to the disease localization and behavior of CD and the aforementioned variables.

Results

Patients and study characteristics

The systematic search (presented in Figure 1) yielded a total of 5634 studies and 23,197 congress abstracts. After removing duplicates, irrelevant studies and studies not fulfilling the eligibility criteria, 23 studies were ultimately included in this meta-analysis, including nine retrospective casecontrol studies, seven prospective cohort studies, six retrospective cohort studies and one population-based cohort study.¹⁶⁻³⁸ A total of 1572 CD patients with IMIDs and 35,043 CD patients without IMIDs were included. Among the IMIDs, CD behavior and localization was most extensively investigated in relation to PSC in a total of eight studies.^{16,19–21,32,36–38} The remaining studies investigating CD patients with co-occurring ankylosing spondylitis and sacroiliitis,17,18,22 autoimmune pancreatitis,²³ axial spondyloarthropathy,²⁴ celiac disease,^{25,27} erythema nodosum,^{29,35} multiple sclerosis,³¹ psoriasis³⁴ and uveitis²⁸ were pooled in the group 'IMIDs other than PSC'.

An overview of the data extraction including sample sizes, study designs, type of IMID and proportion of phenotypes is presented in Table 1. A summary of the results of the meta-analysis stratified according to each IMID is presented in Table 2. The statistical analysis, including all forest and funnel plots, is provided in Supplemental File 2.

Description of the quality of studies

The mean Newcastle–Ottawa Scale score was 7.6, including 21 high-quality studies and two studies of moderate quality. Supplemental File 3 summarizes the quality assessment using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale.

CD localization among patients with and without co-occurring IMIDs

All the included studies described the CD localization in presence and absence of other IMIDs. Overall, the distribution of disease location with regard to L1 [RR=0.86 (95% CI 0.73–1.02), p=0.09, $I^2=54\%$; Supplemental Figure 1(a)], L2 [RR=1.16 (95% CI 0.95–1.41), p=0.14, $I^2=$ 72%; Supplemental Figure 2(a)] and L3 [RR=1.03 (95% CI 0.94–1.12), p=0.54, $I^2=9\%$; Supplemental Figure 3(a)] was found to be comparable in CD patients with and without cooccurring IMIDs. However, L4 was more frequent in patients with co-occurring IMIDs [RR=1.49 (95% CI 1.09–2.04), p=0.01, $I^2=7\%$; Supplemental Figure 4(a)].

Risk stratification for specific IMIDs revealed that CD patients with concurrent PSC had a distinct inflammatory distribution, with a lower

Matrix Matrix<	Author	Study design	Continent	Study period (years)	IMI	Total nur patients	mber of	Proportion .	of patients (%	with/%witho	ut IMIDs)							
Modeline Genue						CD with IMIDs	cD only	18	B2	B3	L	L2	13	z	CD- related surgery	Age at diagnosis of CD	Smoking	Female gender
Webber Webber<	Farhi <i>et al.</i> ³⁵	Prospective cohort	Europe	2000-2005	Erythema nodosum	85	1435	54.1/45.4	4.7/11.4	35.3/37.6	10.6/35.0	52.9/27.0	29.4/27.0	7.1/10.7				
Underfactive Underfactive<	Ananthakrishnan et al. ¹⁶	Retrospective case-control	America	2005-2007	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	6	27	66.7/37.0	22.2 29.6	11.1/25.9								
Betaredic form Bordine form Concord Bordine form Concord Bordine form Concord C	Lindström <i>et al.</i> ²¹	Retrospective cohort	Europe	2003-2006	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	28	46		7.1/28.2		3.6/21.7				17.9/45.7	26/24	14.0/46.0	
Inductorial Energies Energies <thenergies< th=""> Energies Energies</thenergies<>	Boonstra et al. ³²	Population-based cohort	Europe	2000-2010	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	24	25		8.3/20.0		4.2/28.0	58.3/32.0	37.5/40.0		20.8/28.0			
Utata Respective leave Jac Weeding Seconds Weeding Seconds	Halliday <i>et al.</i> ³⁸	Retrospective case-control	Europe	1985–2010	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	32	64	75.0/78.1	28.1/12.5	3.1/6.3	6.3/31.3	50.0/40.6	43.8/26.6	3.1/1.6	21.9/34.4	26.1/27.3		50.0/50.0
Other daretality Entropediation Entro	Yi et al. ³⁰	Retrospective cohort	Asia	1986–2011	Multiple IMIDs	20	133	65.0/59.4	25.0/23.3	10.0/17.3	20.0/29.3	20.0/30.8	60.0/36.8	0/3.0	35.0/31.6	32.3/33.8		40.0/38.0
Catched of the function of the functine functine function of the function of the function of the funct	Oxford et al. ²⁵	Retrospective case–control	America	1996–2013	Celiac disease	28	57	64.2/66.7	7.1/8.8	14.3/19.3	28.6/26.3	20.0/19.3	32.1/49.1	14.3/8.8	28.6/36.8	27.2/26	28.0/47.4	60.7/52.6
Weitmant of the formed of the second of the secon	Koczka et al. ²⁰	Retrospective cohort	America	1990–2011	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	5	69				0/15.9					19.5/36.0		0/46.0
Zerbinetarial Retroaction Europe Unitability Mutube Use	Weizman <i>et al.²⁹</i>	Retrospective case-control	America	Not specified	Erythema nodosum	69	3578	50.7/17.4	30.4/18.4	42.0/14.4								
Up the dual is accounded. Rate pace with the counced of	Zephir <i>et al.</i> ³¹	Retrospective cohort	Europe	2011-2012	Multiple sclerosis	34	135	55.9/55.6	23.5/14.1	17.7/30.4	32.4/32.6	29.4/30.4	35.3/36.3	8.8/0.7	35.3/46.7	31.0/31.0	74.0/68.0	75.0/75.0
Converted: Prospective object Multiple object 268 94.782 8.4732 8.4756 8.1750 8.1750 9.05 8.1750 9.05 8.1750 9.05 9.1750 9.05 9.1750 9.05 9.1750 9.05 9.1750 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11730 9.11330 9.11330 9.11330 9.11330 9.11330 9.11330 9.11330 9.11330 9.11300 9.11300 9.11300 9.11300 9.111300 9.11300	Liu <i>et al.</i> ²²	Retrospective case–control	Asia	2011-2015	Ankylosing spondylitis	8	16	62.5/31.3	25.0/56.3	12.5/12.5	50.0/37.5	12.5/6.3	37.5/56.3	25.0/25.0	37.5/62.5			0/0
Propose $t^{1,4}$ Refresencie Europe $209-2014$ Posities 10^{1} 10^{1} 10^{1} 10^{1} 10^{1} 10^{10}	Conway <i>et al.</i> ³³	Prospective cohort	America	1996–2013	Multiple IMIDs	268	943	84.7/88.2	36.6/37.2	49.3/53.4	27.6/23.6	24.3/25.6	48.1/50.3	0/0.5				
Fage tet d^{13} Prospective cohort Europe schrosing total Primary schrosing total P 1547 P 44/20.5 3.3/45.2 0.0.8 11.1/30.8 2.0/23.0 $hhtttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt$	Eppinga <i>et al.³⁴</i>	Retrospective case–control	Europe	2009–2014	Psoriasis	21	199	38.1/54.3	4.8/47.6	47.6/26.6	14.3/22.6	23.8/36.2	57.1/38.7			20.0/32.0	19.0/40.0	57.1/56.8
Iny et al. ¹⁹ Retrospective case-control Asia 2011-2014 Primary 18 90 83.3/33.3 11.1/33.3 5.6/34.4 50.0/15.6 44.4/50.0 28.4/26.0 Case-control sclerosing case-control sclerosing cholangitis 10.1/13.6 5.6/34.4 50.0/15.6 44.4/50.0 28.4/26.0 Lorenzo et al. ²⁰ Retrospective Europe 2012-2015 Autoimmune 33 6.1/22.7 6.1/24.2 24.4/3.9/ 36.4/27.3 21.1/10.6 21.2/30.3 Lorenzo et al. ²⁰ case-control encreatitis 33 6.1/22.7 6.1/24.2 24.4/3.9/ 36.4/27.3 12.1/10.6 21.2/30.3 33.0/27.0	Fraga <i>et al.³⁶</i>	Prospective cohort	Europe	2006–2014	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	6	1547				22.2/22.8	44.4/20.5	33.3/45.2	0/0.8	11.1/30.8	26.0/23.0		55.6/52.6
Lorenzo <i>et al.</i> ²³ Retrospective Europe 2012–2015 Autoimmune 33 66 87.9/53.0 6.1/22.7 6.1/24.2 24.2/28.8 39.4/43.9/ 36.4/27.3 12.1/10.6 21.2/30.3 33.0/27.0 case-control	Iny et al. ¹⁹	Retrospective case-control	Asia	2011-2014	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	18	06	83.3/33.3	11.1/33.3	5.6/33.3	5.6/34.4	50.0/15.6	44.4/50.0			28.4/26.0		45.0/42.0
	Lorenzo <i>et al.</i> ²³	Retrospective case-control	Europe	2012-2015	Autoimmune pancreatitis	33	66	87.9/53.0	6.1/22.7	6.1/24.2	24.2/28.8	39.4 /43.9/	36.4/27.3	12.1/10.6	21.2/30.3		33.0/27.0	

M Attauabi, M Zhao *et al.*

6

Author

uthor	Study design	Continent	Study period (years)	QIMI	Total nu patients	mber of	Proportion	of patients (%	with/%withou	ut IMIDs)							
					CD with IMIDs	only	B1	B2	B3	5	2	Г3	L4	CD- related surgery	Age at diagnosis of CD	Smoking	emale Jender
Issu <i>et al.²⁴</i>	Prospective cohort	Europe	1990-2010	Axial spondy- loatrhopathy	17	78	41.2/26.9			11.8/16.7	17.6/28.2	70.6/55.1					
se et al. ²⁷	Retrospective case-control	America	1997–2016	Celiac disease	35	70	74.2/57.1	11.4/10.0	11.4/32.3	74.3/65.7	11.4/32.9	11.4/15.7	17.1/17.1				
tay <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷	Retrospective cohort	Asia	1999–2014	Ankylosing spondylitis	44	76	84.1/72.3	11.4/10.5	4.6/17.1	43.2/44.7	13.6/13.2	40.9/42.1	2.3/0				
iedermann <i>et al.</i> ²⁸	Prospective cohort	Europe	2006-2018	Uveitis	205	1635				24.4/28.6	34.6/29.7	26.3/27.0	4.4/2.3	52.7/51.0	28.0/26.0	ĩ	0.5/51.
ùuerra <i>et al.</i> 37	Retrospective cohort	Europe	2006-2018	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	74	21563	66.1/57.3	17.6/24.6	16.2/18.1	31.1/46.0	31.1/18.8	37.8/35.2					
liller <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸	Prospective cohort	Europe	2006-2018	Ankylosing spondylitis/ sacroilitis	155	1705				33.5/27.5	21.9/30.6	28.4/27.5	3.9/2.4	53.6/49.2	29.0/26.0		56.1/52.
oth <i>et al.</i> ²⁶	Prospective cohort	Europe	2006-2018	Skin IMIDs	354	1486				26.6/28.5	32.2/29.7	24.6/27.5	4.5/2.0	52.0/51.0			

occurrence of L1 [RR = 0.44 (95% CI 0.24-0.81), p < 0.01, $I^2 = 32\%$; Supplemental Figure 1(a)] and a higher occurrence of L2 [RR=1.78 (95%) CI 1.33–2.38), p < 0.01, $I^2 = 32\%$] in comparison with patients without PSC. Such a lower occurrence of L1 was not observed among patients with ankylosing spondylitis [RR=1.16 (95% CI 0.95-1.42, p=0.14, $I^2=0\%$] or celiac disease [Supplemental Figure 1(a)]. Likewise, the increased occurrence of L2 among patients with PSC was not found among patients with other IMIDs; in contrast, patients with ankylosing spondylitis showed a trend toward a lower occurrence of colonic disease [RR=0.75 (95% CI 0.56-1.00, p = 0.05, $I^2 = 0\%$] (Figure 2). Aiming to address the high heterogeneity observed in the distribution of L2, we conducted subgroup analysis stratifying the study design, but this did not explain the heterogeneity [Supplemental Figure 2(b)]. Instead, at least part of the heterogeneity seems to be related to the types of IMID (Figure 2).

For the purpose of investigating the association between colonic involvement of CD and the presence of PSC, we pooled the L2 and L3 results and found that patients with co-occurring PSC were more likely to suffer from colonic disease as compared with patients without PSC [RR=1.33 (95% CI 1.21–1.45), p < 0.01, $I^2 = 0\%$]. In fact, there was a trend toward a higher likelihood of colonic involvement of CD among patients with any IMID [RR=1.10 (95% CI 1.00-1.21), p=0.05, $I^2=79\%$], including celiac disease (Table 2). However, there was a trend toward less colonic affection among patients with ankylosing spondylitis [Supplemental Figure 5(a)]. The high heterogeneity was not explained by study design but partly by stratification of IMIDs [Supplemental Figure 5(a) and (b)].

Possible modulators of CD localization in the presence of co-occurring IMIDs were also investigated in meta-regressions but neither age at diagnosis of CD (p=0.16), gender (p=0.31), nor smoking status (p = 0.07) was associated with CD localization in patients with IMIDs. However, age at CD diagnosis was significantly associated with L1 among patients without IMIDs (p < 0.01), and being female was significantly associated with the occurrence of L4 (p=0.01). In addition, smoking was significantly associated with L1 (p=0.04) and L4 (p<0.01). All meta-regressions are summarized in Supplemental File 4, but could

iournals.sagepub.com/home/tag

Table 2. Summary of results from the meta-analysis.

		Risk ratio (95% confi	dence interval)	
Characterization of Crohn's disease	Type of IMID		p-value	Heterogeneity (<i>I</i> ²)
L1: ileal disease	Overall	0.86 (0.73–1.02)	0.09	54
	PSC	0.44 (0.24–0.81)	< 0.01	32
	AS	1.16 (0.95–1.42)	0.14	0
	Celiac disease	1.13 (0.88–1.44)	0.34	0
L2: colonic disease	Overall	1.16 (0.95–1.41)	0.14	72
	PSC	1.78 (1.33–2.38)	<0.01	32
	AS	0.75 (0.56–1.00)	0.05	75
	Celiac disease	0.69 (0.19–2.50)	0.57	75
L3: ileocolonic disease	Overall	1.03 (0.94–1.12)	0.54	9
	PSC	1.07 (0.87–1.34)	0.51	0
	AS	1.00 (0.80–1.24)	0.97	0
	Celiac disease	0.67 (0.40–1.13)	0.13	0
L2 or L3	Overall	1.10 (1.00–1.21)	0.05	79
	PSC	1.33 (1.21–1.45)	<0.01	0
	AS	0.88 (0.77–1.02)	0.09	0
	Celiac disease	0.67 (0.39–1.16)	0.15	55
L4: (not isolated) upper gastrointestinal disease	Overall	1.49 (1.09–2.04)	0.01	7
	PSC	3.38 (0.48–23.54)	0.22	0
	AS	1.53 (0.75–3.11)	0.24	0
	Celiac disease	1.18 (0.57–2.44)	0.65	0
B1: non-stricturing and non-penetrating	Overall	1.30 (1.09–1.55)	<0.01	88
	PSC	1.43 (0.97–2.11)	0.07	86
	AS	1.28 (0.84–1.96)	0.25	30
	Celiac disease	1.13 (0.85–1.52)	0.40	45
	EN	1.86 (0.77–4.51)	0.17	97
B2: stricturing disease	Overall	0.87 (0.66–1.16)	0.34	51
	PSC	0.68 (0.36–1.28)	0.23	53
	AS	0.75 (0.32–1.76)	0.51	10
	Celiac disease	1.01 (0.40–2.58)	0.98	0
	EN	0.89 (0.23–3.44)	0.87	86

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

		Risk ratio (95% confid	ence interval)	
Characterization of Crohn's disease	Type of IMID		p-value	Heterogeneity (/²)
B3: penetrating disease	Overall	0.80 (0.55–1.17)	0.25	82
	PSC	0.70 (0.39–1.25)	0.22	7
	AS	0.39 (0.12–1.32)	0.13	0
	Celiac disease	0.50 (0.24–1.04)	0.06	6
	EN	1.66 (0.55–5.05)	0.37	97
B2 or B3	Overall	0.79 (0.61–1.02)	0.07	88
	PSC	0.63 (0.39–1.00)	0.05	52
	Celiac disease	0.61 (0.37–1.03)	0.07	0
	EN	1.35 (0.37–1.03)	0.54	98

L1–L4 and B1–B3 are disease localization and behavior of Crohn's disease according to the Montreal Classification. AS, ankylosing spondylitis; EN, erythema nodosum; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis. Bold values indicate statistical significance.

not be stratified according to specific types of IMIDs.

We found no evidence of publication bias as funnel plot and the Egger's regression test was conducted for each disease localization (Supplemental Files 1c-5c).

CD behavior among patients with and without co-occurring IMIDs

The behavior of CD in relation to co-occurring IMIDs was investigated in 18 studies comprising a total of 847 and 28,601 patients with and without co-occurring IMIDs, respectively.^{16,17,19,21–25,27,29–35,37,38}

Non-stricturing and non-penetrating disease (B1) was significantly more frequent among patients with co-occurring IMIDs than among patients without IMIDs [RR=1.30 (95% CI 1.09–1.55), p < 0.01, $I^2 = 88\%$], which was primarily driven by PSC [RR=1.43 (95% CI 0.97–2.11), p = 0.07, $I^2 = 86\%$] rather than ankylosing spondylitis, celiac disease or erythema nodosum in the subgroup analysis (Figure 3). The high heterogeneity was found to be driven by case–control studies and this subgroup demonstrated significance regarding distribution of B1 [Supplemental Figure 6(b)].

In contrast to B1, the co-existence of PSC, ankylosing spondylitis, celiac disease, or erythema nodosum along with CD was not associated with an increased risk of stricturing disease [Supplemental Figure 7(a)] or penetrating disease [Supplemental Figure 8(a) and Table 2].

For the purpose of investigating the risk of complicated disease, we pooled B2 and B3 and found that patients with co-occurring IMIDs had a trend towards less complicated disease behavior [RR=0.79 (95% CI 0.61–1.02), p = 0.07, $I^2 = 88\%$; Supplemental Figure 9(a)]. This was numerically attributed to PSC [RR=0.63 (95% CI 0.39–1.00), p = 0.05, $I^2 = 52\%$] and celiac disease [RR=0.61 (95% CI 0.37-1.03), p=0.07, $I^2 = 0\%$] but not ervthema nodosum. The high heterogeneity was addressed in subgroup analysis according to study design, finding that this was related to case-control studies, while cohort studies showed a low degree of heterogeneity and a significantly reduced risk of complicated CD in presence of IMIDs [RR=0.90 (95% CI 0.82-(0.98), p = 0.02; Supplemental Figure 9(b)].

Meta-regressions were also conducted to investigate possible modulators of CD behavior. While age at CD diagnosis (p=0.09) and gender (p=0.12) had no influence on the behavior of CD in the presence of IMIDs, ongoing or former smoking was positively associated with the risk of stricturing disease (p=0.02), although this was not the case for patients without co-occurring

	CD with I	MIDs	CD withou	t IMIDs		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% CI
6.1.1 Primary scleros	ing cholan	gitis						
Boonstra K et al.,	14	24	8	25	4.5%	1.82 [0.94, 3.54]	2012	· · · ·
Halliday JS et al.,	16	32	26	64	6.3%	1.23 [0.78, 1.94]	2012	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fraga M et al.,	4	9	317	1547	4.0%	2.17 [1.04, 4.53]	2017	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Inv O et al	9	18	14	90	4.5%	3.21 [1.65, 6.26]	2018	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Guerra I et al	23	74	3948	21012	7.5%	1.65 [1.18, 2.33]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)		157		22738	27.0%	1.78 [1.33, 2.38]		•
Total events	66		4313					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Chi ² =	= 5.91, d	f = 4 (P = 0.1)	21); I ² = 3	2%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.88 (P	= 0.000	1)					
6.1.2 Ankylosing spo	ndylitis							
Liu S et al.,	1	8	1	16	0.5%	2.00 [0.14, 27.99]	2016	
Atay K et al.,	6	44	10	76	3.0%	1.04 [0.40, 2.66]	2019	
Hiller A et al.,	34	155	522	1705	7.9%	0.72 [0.53, 0.97]	2019	
Subtotal (95% CI)		207		1797	11.3%	0.75 [0.56, 1.00]		•
Total events	41		533					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	= 1.07, d	f = 2 (P = 0.	59); l ² = 0	%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.94 (P	= 0.05)						
6.1.3 Celiac disease	_							
Oxford EC et al.,	7	28	11	57	3.5%	1.30 [0.56, 2.98]	2013	
Tse CS et al.,	4	35	23	70	2.8%	0.35 [0.13, 0.93]	2018	
Subtotal (95% CI)		63		127	6.3%	0.69 [0.19, 2.50]		
l otal events	11		34		50/			
Heterogeneity: Tau* =	0.65; Chi* =	= 4.01, d	f = 1 (P = 0.)	$(05); 1^{2} = 7$	5%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.57 (P	= 0.57)						
6.1.4 Other IMIDs								
Farhi D et al.,	45	85	387	1435	8.7%	1.96 [1.58, 2.44]	2008	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Yi F et al.,	4	20	41	133	3.1%	0.65 [0.26, 1.62]	2012	
Zephir H et al.,	10	34	41	135	5.2%	0.97 [0.54, 1.73]	2014	
Eppinga H et al.,	5	21	72	199	3.7%	0.66 [0.30, 1.45]	2017	· · · · · ·
Conway G et al.,	65	268	241	943	8.5%	0.95 [0.75, 1.20]	2017	· +
Lorenzo D et al.,	13	33	29	66	5.9%	0.90 [0.54, 1.48]	2018	· · · ·
Ossum AM et al.,	3	17	22	78	2.4%	0.63 [0.21, 1.85]	2018	
Biedermann L et al.,	71	205	485	1635	8.8%	1.17 [0.95, 1.43]	2019	, -
Roth N et al.,	114	354	442	1486	9.1%	1.08 [0.91, 1.28]	2019	• +
Subtotal (95% CI)		1037		6110	55.5%	1.07 [0.85, 1.35]		•
Total events	330		1760					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Chi ² =	= 31.86,	df = 8 (P < 0	.0001); l ²	= 75%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.55 (P	= 0.59)						
Total (95% CI)		1464		30772	100 0%	1 16 [0 95 4 41]		
Total (95% CI)	440	1404	6640	30/12	100.0%	1.10 [0.33, 1.41]		
Hotoregonoity Tou? -	448 0 10: Chi2 -	65.00	0040	0.0004	12 - 70%			
Test (or everall offert	0.10; Chi* =	- 05.08,	ui = 18 (P <	0.00001);	1-= 72%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
rest for overall effect:	Z = 1.49 (P	= 0.14)						Favours [CD with IMID] Favours [CD without IMID]

Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 17.76, df = 3 (P = 0.0005), $I^2 = 83.1\%$

Figure 2. Forest plot for the risk of colonic affection (L2) in patients with Crohn's disease and co-occurring immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) as compared with patients without IMIDs. CD, Crohn's disease; CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance.

IMIDs (p=0.36). On the other hand, among patients without co-occurring IMIDs, being female was associated with a lower risk of complicated disease behavior (B2 and B3) (p<0.01). All meta-regressions are summarized in Supplemental File 4.

While Egger's regression test proved negative for patients without co-occurring IMIDs, the regression test regarding data of patients with co-occurring IMIDs were significant (p < 0.05), indicating presence of publication bias.

Risk of IBD-related surgery in relation to CD behavior, localization and the presence of IMIDs

Finally, we investigated the possible association between IBD-related surgery and CD localization and behavior among patients with and without co-occurring IMIDs.

A total of 12 studies comprising 833 and 1682 CD patients with and without co-occurring IMIDs, respectively, were included in the meta-regressions.^{18,21–23,25,26,28,30–32,36,38} We investigated

Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology 14

Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl Year M-H, Random, 95% Cl 1.1.1 Primary sclerosing cholangitis Ananthakrishnan et al., 6 9 10 27 3.8% 1.80 [0.92, 3.53] 2010 Halliday JS et al., 24 32 50 64 7.3% 0.96 [0.76, 1.22] 2012 Iny O et al., 15 18 30 90 6.2% 2.50 [1.75, 3.58] 2018 Guerra l et al., 49 74 12352 21563 7.8% 1.16 [0.98, 1.36] 2019 Subtotal (95% Cl) 133 21744 25.1% 1.43 [0.97, 2.11] - Total events 94 12442 - - - - Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); I ² = 86% - - - - Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 - Subtotal (95% Cl) 52 92 10.3% 1.
1.1.1 Primary sclerosing cholangitis Ananthakrishnan et al., 6 9 10 27 3.8% $1.80 [0.92, 3.53]$ 2010 Halliday JS et al., 24 32 50 64 7.3% $0.96 [0.76, 1.22]$ 2012 Iny O et al., 15 18 30 90 6.2% $2.50 [1.75, 3.58]$ 2018 Guerral et al., 49 74 12352 21563 7.8% $1.16 [0.98, 1.36]$ 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 133 21744 25.1% $1.43 [0.97, 2.11]$ $1.43 [0.97, 2.11]$ Total events 94 12442 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); I ² = 86% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% $2.00 [0.81, 4.94]$ 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% $1.16 [0.96, 1.40]$ 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% $1.28 [0.84, 1.96]$ $1.28 [0.84, 1.96]$ $1.28 [0.84, 1.96]$ Total
Ananthakrishnan et al., 6 9 10 27 3.8% 1.80 [0.92, 3.53] 2010 Halliday JS et al., 24 32 50 64 7.3% 0.96 [0.76, 1.22] 2012 Iny O et al., 15 18 30 90 6.2% 2.50 [1.75, 3.58] 2018 Guerra et al., 49 74 12352 21563 7.8% 1.16 [0.98, 1.36] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 133 21744 25.1% 1.43 [0.97, 2.11] Total events 94 12442 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); l ² = 86% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Halliday JS et al., 24 32 50 64 7.3% 0.96 [0.76, 1.22] 2012 Iny O et al., 15 18 30 90 6.2% 2.50 [1.75, 3.58] 2018 Guerra et al., 49 74 12352 21563 7.8% 1.16 [0.98, 1.36] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 133 21744 25.1% 1.43 [0.97, 2.11] Total events 94 12442 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); l ² = 86% Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.80$ (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Guera I et al., 49 74 12352 21563 7.8% 1.16 [0.98, 1.36] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 133 21744 25.1% 1.43 [0.97, 2.11] Total events 94 12442 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); l ² = 86% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30% 30% 30% 30% 30%
Subtotal (95% CI) 133 21744 25.1% 1.43 $[0.97, 2.11]$ Total events 94 12442 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); l ² = 86% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Total events 94 12442 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); I ² = 86% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% Cl) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); I ² = 30%
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi ² = 21.03, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); I ² = 86% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtoal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); I ² = 30%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.80 (P = 0.07) 1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
1.1.2 Ankylosing spondylitis Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Image: Comparison of the temperature of temperature of the temperature of tem
Liu S et al., 5 8 5 16 2.6% 2.00 [0.81, 4.94] 2016 Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Atay K et al., 37 44 55 76 7.6% 1.16 [0.96, 1.40] 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Subtotal (95% CI) 52 92 10.3% 1.28 [0.84, 1.96] Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Total events 42 60 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 1.44, df = 1 (P = 0.23); l ² = 30%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.14 (P = 0.25)
1.1.3 Celias disease
Ise C5 et al., 20 35 40 70 0.9% 1.30 [0.96, 1.72] 2010
$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac$
The top spiral -0.02 , clin -1.02 , lin
Test to overall effect. $2 = 0.50$ (F = 0.40)
1.1.4 Erythema nodosum
Farhi D et al., 46 85 652 1435 7.5% 1.19 (0.97.1.46) 2008
Weizman A et al. 35 69 623 3578 7.2% 2.91 [2.28.3.72] 2014
Subtotal (95% CI) 154 5013 14.8% 1.86 [0.77, 4.51]
Total events 81 1275
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.40; Chi ² = 31.21, df = 1 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 97%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.37 (P = 0.17)
1.1.5 Unspecified IMIDs
Yi Fetal., 13 20 79 133 6.3% 1.09 [0.77, 1.55] 2012
Zephir H et al., 19 34 75 135 6.4% 1.01 [0.72, 1.41] 2014
Eppinga H et al., 8 21 108 199 4.6% 0.70 [0.40, 1.23] 2017
Conway G et al., 227 268 832 943 8.3% 0.96 [0.91, 1.02] 2017
Lorenzo D et al., 29 33 35 66 7.1% 1.66 [1.28, 2.15] 2018
Ossum AM et al., 7 17 21 78 3.8% 1.53 [0.78, 3.01] 2018
Subtotal (95% Cl) 393 1554 36.5% 1.11 [0.88, 1.41]
Total events 303 1150
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; Chi ² = 19.89, df = 5 (P = 0.001); l ² = 75%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39)
Total (95% Cl) 795 28530 100.0% 1.30 [1.09.1.55]
Heterogeneity: Tau? = 0.10: Ch? = 130.30: eff = 15/0 < 0.00001\; l2 = 88%
The for the second sec
Test for subgroun differences: Chi2 = 2.35 df = 4 (P = 0.67) 12 = 0%

Figure 3. Forest plot for the probability of a non-stricturing disease in patients with Crohn's disease and co-occurring immunemediated inflammatory diseases.

CD, Crohn's disease; CI, confidence interval; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

whether CD localization and behavior associated with the presence of PSC could explain the lower risk of IBD-related surgery.⁷ As shown in Table 3 and Figure 4, colonic disease (L2 and L3) does seem to affect the risk of surgery; however, this modulation was also observed in patients without co-occurring IMIDs (p < 0.01), indicating that the association between PSC and risk of CD-related surgery might be unrelated to the localization and behavior of CD. The behavior of CD was also not associated with the risk of CD-related surgery (Table 3). These analyses could not be stratified according to specific IMIDs.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review investigating the localization and behavior of CD in relation to co-occurring IMIDs. We

	Meta-regression on effe	ct of localization and beha	vior of CD on	surgery rates –	p-values	
	With IMIDs	Without IMIDs	With PSC	Without PSC	With IMIDs other than PSC	Without IMIDs other than PSC
L1	0.02 (estimate +0.79)	0.24	0.65	0.71	0.9	0.26
L2	0.04 (estimate –0.71)	0.32	0.74	0.59	0.67	0.15
L3	0.04 (estimate –0.87)	0.52	0.64	0.55	<0.01 (estimate -2.36)	0.97
L4	0.66	0.56	0.66	0.56	<0.01 (estimate -2.20)	0.06
L2 or L3	<0.01 (estimate -0.80)	<0.01 (estimate -0.98)	0.58	0.95	0.03 (estimate –1.08)	<0.01 (estimate -0.98)
B1	0.13	0.17			0.2	0.22
B2	0.24	0.2	0.79	0.29	0.22	0.27
B3	0.16	0.68			0.21	0.62
B2 or B3	0.07	0.26	0.79	0.16	0.18	0.26

Table 3. Meta-regression analysis for the risk of CD-related surgeries.

L1–L4 and B1–B3 are disease localization and behavior of Crohn's disease according to the Montreal Classification. CD, Crohn's disease; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis.



Figure 4. Bubble plot illustrating the meta-regression of the association between colonic Crohn's disease (CD) in patients with and without co-occurring immune-mediated inflammatory diseases and their risk of CD-related surgeries.

L2 and L3 are according to the Montreal Classification.

IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease.

found that CD patients with co-occurring IMIDs had a significantly increased affection of the upper gastrointestinal tract, while patients with cooccurring PSC had a lower occurrence of ileal disease and a higher occurrence of colonic affection. In contrast, patients with ankylosing spondylitis showed a trend towards a lower involvement of CD. In addition, we found that patients with PSC showed a trend towards increased inflammatory behavior B1. The findings might indicate that CD patients with co-occurring IMIDs, specifically PSC, constitute a distinct subgroup of CD with a milder phenotype. Interestingly, a milder phenotype of IBD in the presence of PSC is already acknowledged to exist among patients with UC and it has been proposed that PSC colitis might represent a separate and milder disease entity.⁵ We recently showed that the presence of IMIDs increases the risk of IBD-related surgery and the present findings underline the importance of future prospective studies for evaluating whether the risk of surgery is due to altered disease activity or rather to changes in clinicians' perception of the right treatment strategy.⁷

The relationship between the liver and the gut has been recognized for more than 50 years and it has been shown that the course of UC worsens after liver transplantation due to PSC and that the risk of a recurrence of PSC negatively correlates with colectomy rates.³⁹⁻⁴¹ This indicates a pathophysiological connection between the gut and the liver. However, the pathophysiological mechanisms driving the association between IBD and PSC are still unknown. A recent and comprehensive review⁴² of this topic summarizes three hypotheses aiming to explain the association between IBD and PSC. First, 'leaky gut'43 and 'gut lymphocyte homing'44 refer to a translocation of bacteria, secondly T-cells and memory cells from an inflamed gut into the portal circulation, causing biliary inflammation. The third hypothesis focuses on an antigenic overlap between the colon and the biliary system that causes inflammation, including MAdCAM-1 and CCL25, which promote the migration of $\alpha 4\beta$ 7-positive T-cells into the liver.⁴⁵ However, this pathway does not fully explain the distribution of inflammation in CD, nor the fact that vedolizumab, which acts as an antibody against the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin, is safe in patients with co-occurring IBD and PSC but does not seem to have an impact on the hepatic inflammation.46-48

Nonetheless, increasing evidence has now established that PSC is in fact a multifactorial disease. Genome-wide associations have revealed that the macrophage stimulating 1 (MST 1) gene is central in the pathogenesis of both CD49 and PSC.50 However, its role in inducing the biliary inflammation which characterizes PSC has yet to be defined. Among environmental factors, vitamin D has well-known immunological properties and more than half of patients with CD and PSC have a vitamin D deficiency.^{51,52} As the microbiota, which is disrupted in patients with both CD and PSC, is responsible for the metabolism of different bile acids, and these in turn regulate activation of the vitamin D receptor, it could be that this disruption results in a defective regulation of vitamin D functions. In addition, smoking is a known risk factor for a more progressive CD,⁵³ while no such association exists between CD and PSC.54 If fewer patients with CD and co-occurring PSC are smokers, this could partially explain the milder phenotype of CD found in patients with co-occurring IMIDs.

In this systematic review we also found a lower risk of CD-related surgeries and ileal involvement among patients with co-occurring PSC. The exact reasons for this have yet to be explained but might be secondary to a milder inflammatory burden that also characterizes patients with ulcerative colitis with co-occurring PSC. We aimed to explore whether less ileal affection could explain the lower proportion of surgeries, but this was not the case in patients with PSC (p=0.65) or IMIDs other than PSC (p=0.90).

This study has some limitations. First, we were unable to describe the course of CD in relation to the severity of PSC. A recent study compared CD patients with co-occurring PSC requiring liver transplantation with patients with a milder phenotype of PSC and found that they did not differ in terms of disease activity nor risk of colectomy.55 Similar findings were reported among patients with UC and PSC.³⁹ Second, we described the association between localization and behavior of CD and the presence of co-occurring IMIDs irrespective of the type of IMID and its incidence in patients with CD, and this might have introduced bias because of the underreporting of specific IMIDs. In addition, pooling data for different IMIDs might have increased the heterogeneity in our pooled results; however, this heterogeneity might also be ascribed to patient-related (e.g. ethnicity and age groups) and study-related (e.g. study design and exclusion of studies in languages other than English) factors, which themselves also are considered limitations. However, we aimed to take these factors into consideration following current guidelines on this topic as we conducted subgroup analysis based on study design and conducted meta-regression of gender, smoking status and age at diagnosis of CD. We have not investigated perianal CD among patients with co-occurring IMIDs as this has already been reviewed.⁷ Finally, there is a potential risk of misclassifying UC as colonic CD, as PSC appears more frequently in UC.56 Unfortunately some of the studies included in this review did not state explicitly that the diagnosis of CD was based on biopsies and, hence, clearly distinguishable from UC.

In conclusion, this systematic review and metaanalysis shows that the presence of IMIDs affects disease localization and behavior in CD patients, and that this is especially the case for PSC, which was shown to be associated with a colonic phenotype of CD, while IMIDs in general were associated with upper gastrointestinal involvement and a non-stricturing and non-penetrating behavior. These results underline the importance of recognizing IMIDs, especially PSC, for their prognostic value among patients with CD, and not only among those with UC. This may impact clinical practice with increased awareness of upper gastrointestinal affection among patients with CD and IMIDs. Future studies in a prospective setting are needed to confirm and elaborate on these findings.

Author contributions

MA: study concept design, search strategy design and execution, data extraction, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of manuscript, critical revision of manuscript.

MZ: study concept design, search strategy design and execution, critical revision of manuscript.

FB and JB: study concept design, search strategy design, critical revision of manuscript, supervision.

All authors approved the final version of the manuscript, including the authorship list.

Conflict of interest statement

MA: none

MZ: travel fees from Takeda Pharma A/S.

FB: grants from Ferring and Tillotts. None of these pertain to the research submitted here.

JB: personal fees from AbbVie, Janssen-Cilag, Celgene, MSD, Samsung Bioepis, and Pfizer; grants and personal fees from Takeda and Tillots Pharma; grants from Novo Nordisk. None of these pertain to the research submitted here.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

ORCID iD

Mohamed Attauabi D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0323-7030

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

1. Weng X, Liu L, Barcellos LF, *et al.* Clustering of inflammatory bowel disease with immune

mediated diseases among members of a northern california-managed care organization. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; 102: 1429–1435.

- 2. Bernstein CN, Wajda A and Blanchard JF. The clustering of other chronic inflammatory diseases in inflammatory bowel disease: a population-based study. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 129: 827–836.
- Burisch J, Jess T and Egeberg A. Incidence of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases among patients with inflammatory bowel diseases in Denmark. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Epub ahead of print 29 March 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j. cgh.2019.03.040.
- Harbord M, Annese V, Vavricka SR, et al. The first European evidence-based consensus on extra-intestinal manifestations in inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis 2016; 10: 239–254.
- 5. Loftus EVJ, Harewood GC, Loftus CG, *et al.* PSC-IBD: a unique form of inflammatory bowel disease associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut* 2005; 54: 91–96.
- Sinakos E, Samuel S, Enders F, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease in primary sclerosing cholangitis: a robust yet changing relationship. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2013; 19: 1004–1009.
- Attauabi M, Zhao M, Bendtsen F, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the impact of co-occurring immune-mediated inflammatory diseases on the disease course of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* Epub ahead of print 6 July 2020. DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izaa167.
- 8. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 151: 264–269.
- Higgins J and Green S (eds). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 5. The Cochrane Collaboration, www.handbook. cochrane.org (2011, accessed 06 January 2021).
- Lennard-Jones JE. Classification of inflammatory bowel disease. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1989; 170: 2–6; discussion 16–19.
- Gomollón F, Dignass A, Annese V, et al. 3rd European evidence-based consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease 2016: part 1: diagnosis and medical management. *J Crohns Colitis*. Epub ahead of print 22 September 2016. DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw168.
- 12. Ryan R, Synnot A, Prictor M, *et al.* Cochrane Consumers and Communication La Trobe University: data extraction template [Internet].

La Trobe University. Journal contribution, https:// doi.org/10.26181/5b57cfd711743 (accessed 23 May 2020).

- 13. Satsangi J, Silverberg M, Vermeire S, *et al.* The Montreal classification of inflammatory bowel disease: controversies, consensus, and implications. *Gut* 2006; 55: 749–753.
- Silverberg M, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, et al. Toward an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: report of a working party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. Can J Gastroenterol 2005; 19: 5A-36A.
- GA Wells B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in metaanalyses, http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_ epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed 23 May 2020).
- Ananthakrishnan AN, Beaulieu DB, Ulitsky A, et al. Does primary sclerosing cholangitis impact quality of life in patients with inflammatory bowel disease? *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010; 16: 494–500.
- Atay K, Eyvazov H, Bozcan S, *et al.* The effect of concomitant ankylosing spondylitis on long-term outcome of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2019; 30: 599–604.
- Hiller A, Biedermann L, Fournier N, et al. The appearance of joint manifestations in the Swiss inflammatory bowel disease cohort. PLoS One 2019; 14: e0211554.
- Iny O, Yanai H, Matalon S, *et al.* Crohn's disease behavior and location is altered when associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Isr Med Assoc* J 2018; 20: 25–29.
- Koczka CP, Geraldino-Pardilla LB and Lawlor G. Primary sclerosing cholangitis and its relationship to the colon in a black cohort of inflammatory bowel disease patients. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2014; 48: e19–e21.
- Lindström L, Lapidus A, Ost A, et al. Increased risk of colorectal cancer and dysplasia in patients with Crohn's colitis and primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011; 54: 1392–1397.
- 22. Liu S, Ding J, Wang M, *et al.* Clinical features of Crohn disease concomitant with ankylosing spondylitis: a preliminary single-center study. *Medicine* 2016; 95: e4267.
- Lorenzo D, Maire F, Stefanescu C, et al. Features of autoimmune pancreatitis associated with inflammatory bowel diseases. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; 16: 59–67.

- 24. Ossum AM, Palm O, Lunder AK, et al. Ankylosing spondylitis and axial spondyloarthritis in patients with long-term inflammatory bowel disease: results from 20 years of follow-up in the IBSEN study. J Crohns Colitis 2018; 12: 96–104.
- 25. Oxford EC, Nguyen DD, Sauk J, *et al.* Impact of coexistent celiac disease on phenotype and natural history of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; 108: 1123–1129.
- Roth N, Biedermann L, Fournier N, et al. Occurrence of skin manifestations in patients of the Swiss Inflammatory Bowel Disease Cohort Study. PLoS One 2019; 14: e0210436.
- Tse CS, Deepak P, De La Fuente J, et al. Phenotype and clinical course of inflammatory bowel disease with co-existent celiac disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018; 12: 973–980.
- Biedermann L, Renz L, Fournier N, et al. Uveitis manifestations in patients of the Swiss Inflammatory Bowel Disease Cohort Study. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2019; 12: 1756284819865142.
- 29. Weizman A, Huang B, Berel D, *et al.* Clinical, serologic, and genetic factors associated with pyoderma gangrenosum and erythema nodosum in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014; 20: 525–533.
- Yi F, Chen M, Huang M, et al. The trend in newly diagnosed Crohn's disease and extraintestinal manifestations of Crohn's disease in central China: a retrospective study of a single center. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012; 24: 1424–1429.
- Zephir H, Gower-Rousseau C, Salleron J, et al. Milder multiple sclerosis course in patients with concomitant inflammatory bowel disease. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20: 1135–1139.
- 32. Boonstra K, van Erpecum KJ, van Nieuwkerk KMJ, *et al.* Primary sclerosing cholangitis is associated with a distinct phenotype of inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2012; 18: 2270–2276.
- Conway G, Velonias G, Andrews E, et al. The impact of co-existing immune-mediated diseases on phenotype and outcomes in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2017; 45: 814–823.
- Eppinga H, Poortinga S, Thio HB, et al. Prevalence and phenotype of concurrent psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017; 23: 1783–1789.
- 35. Farhi D, Cosnes J, Zizi N, *et al.* Significance of erythema nodosum and pyoderma gangrenosum

in inflammatory bowel diseases: a cohort study of 2402 patients. *Medicine* 2008; 87: 281–293.

- 36. Fraga M, Fournier N, Safroneeva E, et al. Primary sclerosing cholangitis in the Swiss Inflammatory Bowel Disease Cohort Study: prevalence, risk factors, and long-term follow-up. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 29: 91–97.
- Guerra I, Bujanda L, Castro J, et al. Clinical characteristics, associated malignancies and management of primary sclerosing cholangitis in inflammatory bowel disease patients: a multicenter retrospective cohort study. J Crohns Colitis 2019; 13: 1492–1500.
- Halliday JS, Djordjevic J, Lust M, et al. A unique clinical phenotype of primary sclerosing cholangitis associated with Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2012; 6: 174–181.
- Marelli L, Xirouchakis E, Kalambokis G, *et al.* Does the severity of primary sclerosing cholangitis influence the clinical course of associated ulcerative colitis? *Gut* 2011; 60: 1224–1228.
- 40. Vera A, Moledina S, Gunson B, *et al.* Risk factors for recurrence of primary sclerosing cholangitis of liver allograft. *Lancet* 2002; 360: 1943–1944.
- Buchholz BM, Lykoudis PM, Ravikumar R, et al. Role of colectomy in preventing recurrent primary sclerosing cholangitis in liver transplant recipients. World J Gastroenterol 2018; 24: 3171–3180.
- 42. Palmela C, Peerani F, Castaneda D, *et al.* Inflammatory bowel disease and primary sclerosing cholangitis: a review of the phenotype and associated specific features. *Gut Liver* 2018; 12: 17–29.
- 43. Cesaro C, Tiso A, Del-Prete A, *et al.* Gut microbiota and probiotics in chronic liver diseases. *Dig Liver Dis* 2011; 43: 431–438.
- Grant A, Lalor P, Salmi M, et al. Homing of mucosal lymphocytes to the liver in the pathogenesis of hepatic complications of inflammatory bowel disease. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 150–157.
- Eksteen B, Grant A, Miles A, *et al.* Hepatic endothelial CCL25 mediates the recruitment of CCR9+ gut-homing lymphocytes to the liver in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *J Exp Med* 2004; 200: 1511–1517.
- 46. Christensen B, Micic D, Gibson PR, *et al.* Vedolizumab in patients with concurrent primary

sclerosing cholangitis and inflammatory bowel disease does not improve liver biochemistry but is safe and effective for the bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018; 47: 753–762.

- Tse CS, Loftus EVJ, Raffals LE, *et al.* Effects of vedolizumab, adalimumab and infliximab on biliary inflammation in individuals with primary sclerosing cholangitis and inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018; 48: 190–195.
- Lynch KD, Chapman RW, Keshav S, et al. Effects of vedolizumab in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and inflammatory bowel diseases. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 179–187.e6.
- Fisher S, Tremelling M, Anderson C, et al. Genetic determinants of ulcerative colitis include the ECM1 locus and five loci implicated in Crohn's disease. Nat Genet 2008; 40: 710–712.
- Karlsen TH, Franke A, Melum E, et al. Genomewide association analysis in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastroenterology* 2010; 138: 1102–1111.
- Siffledeen J, Siminoski K, Steinhart H, et al. The frequency of vitamin D deficiency in adults with Crohn's disease. Can J Gastroenterol 2003; 17: 473–478.
- Jorgensen R, Lindor K, Sartin J, *et al.* Serum lipid and fat-soluble vitamin levels in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1995; 20: 215–219.
- Lawrance I, Murray M, Batman B, *et al.* Crohn's disease and smoking: is it ever too late to quit? *J Crohns Colitis* 2013; 7: e665–e671.
- 54. Wijarnpreecha K, Panjawatanan P, Mousa OY, et al. Association between smoking and risk of primary sclerosing cholangitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. United European Gastroenterol J 2018; 6: 500–508.
- Navaneethan U, G K Venkatesh P, Lashner BA, et al. Severity of primary sclerosing cholangitis and its impact on the clinical outcome of Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis 2012; 6: 674–680.
- 56. Sorensen JO, Nielsen OH, Andersson M, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease with primary sclerosing cholangitis: a Danish population-based cohort study 1977–2011. *Liver Int* 2018; 38: 532–541.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tag

SAGE journals