

# Age Stratification of 30-Day Postoperative Outcomes Following Excisional Laminectomy for Extradural Cervical and Thoracic Tumors

Kevin Phan, MD, MSc, MPhil<sup>1,2</sup>, Zoe B. Cheung, MD, MS<sup>3</sup>,  
Khushdeep S. Vig, BA<sup>3,4</sup>, Awais K. Hussain, BA<sup>3</sup>, Mauricio C. Lima, MD<sup>5,6</sup>,  
Jun S. Kim, MD<sup>3</sup>, John Di Capua, MHS<sup>3</sup>, and Samuel K. Cho, MD<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

**Study Design:** Retrospective cohort study.

**Objectives:** To evaluate age as an independent predictive factor for perioperative morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing surgical decompression for metastatic cervical and thoracic spinal tumors using the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) database from 2011 to 2014.

**Methods:** We identified 1673 adult patients undergoing excisional laminectomy of cervical and thoracic extradural tumors. Patients were stratified into quartiles based on age, with Q1 including patients aged 18 to 49 years, Q2 including patients aged 50 to 60 years, Q3 including patients aged 61 to 69 years, and Q4 including patients  $\geq 70$  years. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were performed to examine the association between age and 30-day perioperative morbidity and mortality.

**Results:** Age was an independent risk factor for 30-day venous thromboembolism (VTE) and reoperation. Patients in Q3 for age had nearly a 4 times increased risk of VTE than patients in Q1 (odds ratio [OR] 3.97; 95% CI 1.91-8.25;  $P < .001$ ). However, there was no significant difference in VTE between patients in Q4 and Q1 ( $P = .069$ ). Patients in Q2 (OR 1.99; 95% CI 1.06-3.74;  $P = .032$ ) and Q4 (OR 2.18; 95% CI 1.06-4.52;  $P = .036$ ) for age had a 2 times increased risk of reoperation compared with patients in Q1.

**Conclusions:** Age was an independent predictive factor for perioperative VTE and reoperation, but there was no clear age-dependent relationship between increasing age and the risk of these perioperative complications.

## Keywords

spinal metastasis; spinal tumor, age, laminectomy, perioperative complications, ACS-NSQIP, venous thromboembolism, reoperation, mortality

## Introduction

Spinal tumors are an important cause of morbidity and mortality in the Western world, with an incidence of 0.62 cases per 100 000 individuals in the United States.<sup>1,2</sup> Spinal tumors can be of primary type or metastatic in nature, the latter making up the majority of spinal tumors and found in up to 70% of cancer patients.<sup>3,4</sup> The tumors can be classified based on histology or anatomical location with respect to the dura, including extradural, intradural extramedullary, or intramedullary. Extradural tumors are predominantly metastatic in origin, and can cause neurological symptoms via extradural epidural cord compression.<sup>5</sup> Lesions of the cervical and thoracic spine are mostly due to the result of lung or breast cancer, while lumbosacral involvement is usually due to prostate, colon, or pelvic involvement.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Prince of Wales Private Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>2</sup> University of New South Wales (UNSW), Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

<sup>4</sup> Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

<sup>5</sup> Spine Group of the Department of Orthopedics of University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>6</sup> Scoliosis Group of AACD (Associação de Assistência à Criança Deficiente), São Paulo, Brazil

## Corresponding Author:

Samuel K. Cho, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 5 East 98th Street 4th Floor, New York, NY 10029, USA.  
Email: samuel.cho@mountsinai.org



In patients with neurological symptoms resulting from compression, surgery can be performed to help improve ambulatory function, decrease pain, and in some cases, improve survival.<sup>7-11</sup> Surgery for spinal tumors are often extensive procedures and have higher rates of perioperative complications compared with elective spinal operations; such complications can worsen morbidity and mortality and can increase associated costs.<sup>3,12-16</sup> Therefore, it is important to understand the incidence and risk factors that contribute to perioperative complications in this population. Postoperative complications have been suggested to vary according to multiple factors, including patient comorbidities, tumor location, tumor size and extent, as well as hospital- and surgeon-related factors.<sup>7-11,17-21</sup>

Elderly patients are becoming an increasingly prevalent demographic in the Western world.<sup>22</sup> However, the influence of elderly age on outcomes following surgery for spinal tumors is currently not well established.<sup>23,24</sup> In general, there has been lack of literature assessing the incidence and predictors of outcomes and complications following extradural spinal tumor surgery. Prior studies have been predominantly limited to single institution, retrospective studies, which are limited in the generalizability of their conclusions given their selection bias.<sup>17-21</sup>

The American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) database has been queried extensively in the past for outcomes following elective spinal surgery, including fusions for degenerative spinal diseases and spinal deformity.<sup>25-34</sup> Using the ACS-NSQIP database, this study aims to determine the role of age as an independent risk factor for perioperative complications following excisional laminectomy for extradural cervical and thoracic spinal tumors. By identifying perioperative complications that elderly patients are at risk, strategies can be developed and implemented to improve appropriate patient selection for surgery as well as direct postoperative management efforts to reduce complication rates.

## Methods

This study received an exemption from the institutional review board at our institution.

### Data Source

This was a retrospective study of prospectively collected data in the 2010-2014 ACS-NSQIP database. ACS-NSQIP is a large national database with risk-adjusted 30-day postoperative morbidity and mortality outcomes. More than 500 hospitals that vary in size, socioeconomic location and academic affiliation contributed data to the 2010-2014 ACS-NSQIP database.<sup>35</sup> ACS-NSQIP data is collected prospectively by dedicated clinical abstractors at each institution on more than 150 demographic, preoperative, intraoperative, and 30-day postoperative variables.<sup>35</sup>

### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Adult patients ( $\geq 18$  years) undergoing laminectomy and excision of cervical (Current Procedural Terminology [CPT]:

63 275) and thoracic extradural tumors (CPT: 63 276) were identified. Cases with missing preoperative data, emergency cases, patients with a wound class of 2, 3, or 4, an open wound on their body, current sepsis, current pneumonia, prior surgeries within 30 days, cases requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) prior to surgery, were excluded in order to reduce the risk of confounding variables. Patients were stratified into quartiles based on age. Quartile 1 (Q1) included patients aged 18 to 49 years, quartile 2 (Q2) included patients aged 50 to 60 years, quartile 3 (Q3) included patients aged 61 to 69 years, and quartile 4 (Q4) included patients aged  $\geq 70$  years.

### Variable Definitions

Patient demographic variables included sex and race (white, black, Hispanic and other). Other race included American Indian, Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander, or Unknown/Not Reported. Preoperative variables included obesity ( $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), diabetes (non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus or insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus), current smoking (within 1 year of surgery), dyspnea ( $\leq 30$  days prior to surgery), functional status prior to surgery (independent or partially/totally dependent  $\leq 30$  days prior to surgery), pulmonary comorbidity (ventilator dependent  $\leq 48$  hours prior to surgery or history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease  $\leq 30$  days prior to surgery), cardiac comorbidity (use of hypertensive medication or history of chronic heart failure  $\leq 30$  days prior to surgery), renal comorbidity (acute renal failure  $\leq 24$  hours prior to surgery or dialysis treatment  $\leq 2$  weeks prior to surgery), steroid use for chronic condition ( $\leq 30$  days prior to surgery),  $\geq 10\%$  loss of body weight (in the past 6 months), bleeding disorder (chronic, active condition), preoperative transfusion of  $\geq 1$  unit of whole/packed red blood cells (RBCs) ( $\leq 72$  hours prior to surgery), concurrent posterior fusion, and preoperative oncologic treatment (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy  $\leq 30$  days prior to surgery).

Thirty-day perioperative outcome variables included mortality, wound complication (superficial or deep surgical site infection, organ space infection or wound dehiscence), pulmonary complication (pneumonia, unplanned reintubation, or duration of ventilator-assisted respiration  $\geq 48$  hours), venous thromboembolism (VTE; pulmonary embolism or deep vein thrombosis), renal complication (progressive renal insufficiency or acute renal failure), urinary tract infection (UTI), cardiac complication (cardiac arrest requiring CPR or myocardial infarction), intra/postoperative RBC transfusion, prolonged operative time  $\geq 95$ th percentile (ie,  $\geq 477$  minutes), prolonged length of stay (LOS)  $\geq 75$ th percentile (ie,  $\geq 8$  days), reoperation (related to initial procedure), and unplanned readmission (related to initial procedure). Nonelective surgery unrelated to the procedure includes those where the patient was transferred from another acute care hospital to the hospital for surgery, transferred from an emergency, clinic, undergoing emergent/urgent surgical case, or admitted to the hospital on the

**Table 1.** Baseline Patient Demographics, Preoperative Characteristics, and Intraoperative Variables.

	Q1 (N = 430)		Q2 (N = 438)		Q3 (N = 416)		Q4 (N = 388)		P <sup>a</sup>
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Sex									
Female	182	42.3	166	37.9	136	32.8	140	36.1	<b>.033</b>
Male	248	57.7	272	62.1	280	67.3	248	63.9	
Race									
White	260	61.9	318	74.0	318	77.6	310	81.6	<b>&lt;.001</b>
Other	30	7.1	16	3.7	12	2.9	14	3.7	
Black	62	14.8	56	13.0	40	9.8	30	7.9	
Hispanic	68	16.2	40	9.3	40	9.8	26	6.8	
Obesity	160	37.2	152	34.7	128	30.8	96	24.7	<b>&lt;.001</b>
Diabetes	14	3.3	38	8.7	62	14.9	72	18.6	<b>&lt;.001</b>
Dyspnea	28	6.5	24	5.5	38	9.1	42	10.8	<b>.018</b>
Functional status									
Independent	380	88.4	404	92.2	364	87.5	328	84.5	<b>.007</b>
Partially or totally dependent	50	11.6	34	7.8	52	12.5	60	15.5	
Pulmonary comorbidity	12	2.8	22	5.0	34	8.2	28	7.2	<b>.004</b>
Cardiac comorbidity	76	17.7	182	41.6	224	53.8	278	71.6	<b>&lt;.001</b>
Renal comorbidity	2	0.5	2	0.5	0	0	6	1.5	<b>.034</b>
ASA classification $\geq 3$	252	58.6	350	79.9	372	39.4	346	89.2	<b>&lt;.001</b>
Smoking	110	25.6	118	26.9	76	18.3	44	11.3	<b>&lt;.001</b>
Steroid use	62	14.4	48	11.0	44	10.6	40	10.3	.207
Recent weight loss	28	6.5	36	8.2	42	10.1	20	5.2	<b>.046</b>
Bleeding disorder	12	2.8	12	2.7	22	5.3	28	7.2	<b>.004</b>
Preoperative RBC transfusion	16	3.7	16	3.7	18	4.3	12	3.1	.835
Nonelective surgery	184	46.5	230	57.8	222	57.8	20.8	47.5	<b>.002</b>
Concurrent posterior fusion	202	47.0	200	45.7	238	57.2	186	47.9	<b>.003</b>
Preoperative Chemotherapy	8	8.2	10	13.1	12	20.7	8	12.9	.167
Preoperative radiotherapy	8	8.7	2	2.7	2	3.5	4	6.5	.329
Preoperative chemoradiotherapy	2	2.2	0	0	2	3.5	4	6.5	.083

Abbreviations: RBC, red blood cells; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists.

<sup>a</sup>P values in boldface indicate statistical significance ( $P < .05$ ).

day(s) prior to a scheduled procedure for any reason. ACS-NSQIP provides further information on variable characteristics.

### Statistical Analysis

Univariate analysis was performed on patient demographic, preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative variables using Pearson's chi-square test. Fischer's exact test was used where appropriate. Multivariable logistic regression models were then employed to identify the effect of age on 30-day perioperative outcomes, while adjusting for patient demographics, comorbidities, and preoperative characteristics. The C-statistic, which is the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, was also retrieved from the multivariate logistic regression analysis to evaluate the accuracy of this model. The ROC curve is a graph of the fall-out rate (1 – specificity) against the sensitivity (true-positive rate). The area under this curve measures the ability of the model to correctly classify patients who experienced a complication and those who did not. Statistical significance was set at the  $P = .05$  level. SAS Studio Version 3.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) was used for all statistical analysis.

## Results

### Study Population

A total of 1673 patients met the inclusion criteria for the study. Age stratification of this cohort based on quartiles resulted in 430 patients in Q1 (25.7%), 438 patients in Q2 (26.1%), 416 patients in Q3 (24.9%), and 388 patients in Q4 (23.2%). Baseline patient demographics and characteristics among the 4 quartiles are outlined in Table 1. There were significant differences among the age quartiles in terms of sex, race, obesity, diabetes, dyspnea, functional status, pulmonary comorbidity, cardiac comorbidity, renal comorbidity, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification, smoking, recent weight loss, bleeding disorder, nonelective surgery, and concurrent posterior fusion. There were no significant differences among the age quartiles in terms of preoperative steroid use ( $P = .207$ ), blood transfusion ( $P = .835$ ), chemotherapy ( $P = .167$ ), radiotherapy ( $P = .329$ ), and chemoradiotherapy ( $P = .083$ ).

### Unadjusted Analysis

The results of the unadjusted univariate analysis are summarized in Table 2. There was no significant difference in 30-day

**Table 2.** Univariate Analysis of 30-Day Perioperative Outcomes.

	Q1 (N = 430)		Q2 (N = 438)		Q3 (N = 416)		Q4 (N = 388)		P <sup>a</sup>
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Mortality	30	7.0	22	5.0	32	7.7	34	8.8	.192
Wound complication	8	1.9	6	1.4	12	2.9	10	2.6	.417
Pulmonary complication	12	2.8	20	4.6	22	5.3	20	5.2	.267
Venous thromboembolism	10	2.3	22	5.0	34	8.2	18	4.6	<b>.002</b>
Renal complication	0	0	6	1.4	0	0	6	1.5	<b>.006</b>
Urinary tract infection	8	1.9	10	2.3	14	3.4	18	4.6	.090
Cardiac complication	0	0	4	0.9	6	1.4	4	1.0	.129
Prolonged length of stay <sup>b</sup>	130	30.2	136	31.1	128	30.8	100	25.8	.309
Intra-/Postoperative RBC transfusion	140	32.6	158	36.1	162	38.9	120	30.9	.073
Prolonged operative time <sup>c</sup>	24	5.6	20	4.6	26	6.3	14	3.6	.325
Reoperation related to initial procedure	18	4.6	28	7.0	10	2.6	20	5.5	<b>.035</b>
Unplanned readmission <sup>d</sup>	16	17.8	8	11.8	6	11.1	12	24.0	.217

Abbreviation: RBC, red blood cells.

<sup>a</sup>P values in boldface indicate statistical significance ( $P < .05$ ).

<sup>b</sup>Prolonged length of stay was defined as length of stay  $\geq 75$ th percentile (ie,  $\geq 8$  days).

<sup>c</sup>Prolonged operative time was defined as operative time  $\geq 95$ th percentile (ie,  $\geq 477$  minutes).

<sup>d</sup>Only data from 2012 to 2014 available for analysis (N = 262).

**Table 3.** Multivariate Analysis of Age as an Independent Risk Factor for 30-Day Perioperative Outcomes.<sup>a</sup>

Outcome	Quartile	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P <sup>b</sup>	C-Statistic
VTE	Q2	2.56 (1.18-5.54)	<b>.017</b>	0.663
	Q3	3.97 (1.91-8.25)	<b>&lt;.001</b>	
	Q4	2.10 (0.95-4.67)	<b>.069</b>	
Reoperation	Q2	1.99 (1.06-3.74)	<b>.032</b>	0.685
	Q3	0.74 (0.33-1.66)	<b>.460</b>	
	Q4	2.18 (1.06-4.52)	<b>.036</b>	

Abbreviation: VTE, venous thromboembolism.

<sup>a</sup>Q1 used as reference group.

<sup>b</sup>P values in boldface indicate statistical significance ( $P < .05$ ).

mortality rate among age quartiles ( $P = .19$ ). There were significant differences among age quartiles in terms of VTE, renal complication, and reoperation. The highest rate of VTE was seen in patients aged 60 to 69 years, followed closely by patients aged 50 to 60 years and patients  $\geq 70$  years (Q1 = 2.3%, Q2 = 5.0%, Q3 = 8.2%, Q4 = 4.6%;  $P < .001$ ). Patients aged  $\geq 70$  years had the highest rate of perioperative renal complications, followed closely by patients aged 50 to 60 years (Q1 = 0%, Q2 = 1.4%, Q3 = 0%, Q4 = 1.5%;  $P = .006$ ). Patients aged 50 to 60 years had the highest incidence of reoperation, whereas patients aged 61 to 69 years had the lowest rate of reoperation (Q1 = 4.6%, Q2 = 7.0%, Q3 = 2.6%, Q4 = 5.5%,  $P = .035$ ). There were no significant differences among the age quartiles in terms of wound complications ( $P = .417$ ), pulmonary complications ( $P = .267$ ), urinary tract infections ( $P = .090$ ), cardiac complications ( $P = .129$ ), intra- or postoperative RBC transfusions ( $P = .073$ ), prolonged length of stay  $\geq 8$  days ( $P = .309$ ), and unplanned readmission ( $P = .217$ ).

### Multivariate Analysis

The results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis are outlined in Table 3. With the youngest quartile as reference, patients aged 50 to 60 years (odds ratio [OR] 2.56, 95% CI 1.18-5.54,  $P = .017$ ) and patients aged 61 to 69 years (OR 3.97, 95% CI 1.91-8.25,  $P < .001$ ) had increased odds of perioperative VTE. Patients aged 50 to 60 years (OR 1.99, 95% CI 1.06-3.74,  $P = .032$ ) and patients aged  $\geq 70$  years (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.06-4.52,  $P = .036$ ) had increased odds of 30-day reoperation. Patients aged 61 to 69 years, however, were not at increased risk of reoperation.

### Discussion

Elderly patients are an increasing demographic in the Western world, and the spine surgery population is no exception.<sup>22-24</sup> Compared with younger patients, older patients tend to have poorer physiological reserve, increased complications following procedures and restricted access to resources.<sup>36,37</sup> There is also an increase in oncological conditions in the elderly population, with a significant proportion associated with spinal metastasis. Given that the most common location of spinal metastasis are extradural spinal tumors, we sought to investigate age as a risk factor for the perioperative complications following surgical treatment of extradural cervical and thoracic spinal tumors. This information can be used by health care teams to develop and implement strategies to improve surgical patient selection, risk stratification, and safety.

Our analysis of 1673 patients in the ACS-NSQIP database identified age as an independent risk factor for VTE and reoperation within 30 days of surgery. On multivariate analysis, patients aged 61 to 69 years old had the highest odds of VTE, whereas patients aged 50 to 60 years and patients aged

$\geq 70$  years old were at increased risk for reoperation. The success of quality improvement initiatives based on ACS-NSQIP data has been validated by decreased mortality rates in the Veterans Affairs (VA) system, as well as decreased surgical site infection rates in the private sector.<sup>38-40</sup>

Surgery for spinal tumors and metastasis is more likely associated with complications compared to elective spinal surgery for degenerative disease. In particular, cancer is a known risk factor for venous thromboembolism given the patient prothrombotic states.<sup>41</sup> This is particularly relevant for extradural cervical and thoracic tumors, the majority of which are metastatic tumors originating from primary lung or breast tumors. In our study, elderly patients aged 50 to 69 years were almost twice as likely to experience VTE following surgery for extradural cervical or thoracic spinal tumors. The oldest age quartile (ie, age  $\geq 70$  years) did not have a statistically significant increased risk of VTE, but the OR trended toward significance. The overall VTE rate was 5.0%, but increased to 8.2% for patients aged 61 to 69 years. One contributing factor may be that elderly patients require a longer LOS given their poorer physiological reserve and recovery after surgery. Prolonged hospitalization with likely prolonged immobilization can further increase their risk of VTE due to increased venous stasis.

A similar VTE rate of 4.5% was reported in an analysis of all types of spinal tumors, including both extradural and intradural subtypes, with this complication having the largest contribution to adverse events in this study.<sup>42</sup> Kalakoti et al<sup>43</sup> analyzed the National Inpatient Sample for risk factors of unfavorable outcomes following surgery for benign intradural spinal tumors. They reported a lower rate of thromboembolism (ie, 1.4%) compared with the current study; this may be attributed to the fact that extradural tumors tend to be metastatic in origin, and oncological state is associated with increased prothrombotic state and coagulopathy.<sup>43</sup> An association between patient age and VTE is not limited to only spinal tumor surgery. Similar patterns have been reported in other types of surgery. Hamel et al<sup>44</sup> conducted a prospective cohort study of 26 648 patients and found that patients  $\geq 80$  years had a significantly higher incidence of deep vein thrombosis compared to their younger counterparts. Even for smaller, less traumatic spinal procedures such as anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF), Buerba et al<sup>45</sup> identified patients aged 65 to 74 years as having a 4.14 times greater odds of VTE compared with younger patients. As such, the higher risk of VTE associated with increasing age may not be specific to extradural spinal tumors, but may be generalized across all types of spinal surgery.

Our analysis demonstrated an overall 30-day reoperation rate of 4.5% in patients undergoing excisional laminectomy for cervical and thoracic extradural tumors. Elderly patients  $\geq 70$  years old had greater than 2 times the odds of reoperation compared with patients  $\leq 49$  years old. Older patients are likely to have poorer physiological reserve to withstand the stresses and complications of surgery, and this may translate into higher rates of reoperation. The reoperation rate in this study is similar to that reported by Karhade et al.<sup>42</sup> In their study, the unplanned reoperation rate was 5.3% across all types of cervical, thoracic,

lumbar, and sacral spinal tumors. Their analysis demonstrated that preoperative steroid use and ASA class 4 or 5 were significantly associated with reoperation, but did not assess the influence of age on reoperation. Quraishi et al<sup>46</sup> performed a retrospective review of 289 patients treated over an 8-year period for spinal metastasis and found a higher reoperation rate of 10.7%. However, they found no significant difference in the age of patients requiring revision surgery versus patients not requiring revision surgery.

When comparing reoperation rates among different types of spinal surgery, studies have found similar 30-day reoperation rates for adult deformity spinal surgery (5%),<sup>47</sup> but lower rates for ACDF (1.2%) and cervical disc arthroplasty (0.4%).<sup>48</sup> Age has been shown to be predictive of a higher risk of reoperation following ACDF.<sup>48</sup> Unplanned reoperation is taxing on a patient's health and also drives increasing health care costs. Under the Affordable Care Act, any cost associated with patient readmission up to 30 days following discharge becomes the financial burden of the hospital. Considering the overall frailty of many elderly patients with extradural spinal tumors, care should be taken to prevent premature discharge of patients following any hospital stay.

In this study, the overall perioperative mortality rate was 7.6%, but age was not a predictive factor for mortality. This finding is in contrast to existing literature that has demonstrated higher mortality rates in older patients undergoing spinal surgery.<sup>26,27</sup> Additionally, literature from multiple surgical subspecialties has demonstrated higher mortality rates in older cancer patients undergoing general, cardiac, oncological, vascular, and orthopedic surgery.<sup>49-51</sup> One possible explanation for our results is that we only assessed 30-day postoperative mortality, which may not have been a long enough time period to fully capture the effect of age on postoperative mortality. Outcomes following surgery for extradural spinal tumors are not well reported in the literature, and thus, our finding warrants further investigation in future studies.

### Limitations

The present study is limited by several constraints. Firstly, the ACS-NSQIP database classified cases based on CPT codes. CPT codes also do not give information on primary tumor location and histology, which are significant prognostic predictors.<sup>52-54</sup> A patient undergoing excisional laminectomy for an isolated, slow growing vertebral metastasis has a much different disease burden than one with an aggressive, widely disseminated cancer, representing a markedly different type of surgical candidate. The number of vertebral and visceral metastases is an important prognostic indicator that is not accounted for in our data set.<sup>55,56</sup>

Second, the ACS-NSQIP database accumulates data that is biased toward predominantly academic centers. As such, this cross-section may not be reflective of surgical practices for surgery for extradural spinal tumors nationwide. Third, only 30-day perioperative outcomes are collected in this database; therefore, our results may reflect long-term outcomes in this

population. Last, other relevant parameters including neurological outcomes, functional outcomes, intraoperative complications, tumor characteristics such as size and histology are not collected in this database. Despite these limitations, the ACS-NSQIP database provides a large sample size and allows analysis of risk factors for perioperative complications following extradural spinal tumor surgery, which has previously not been well reported in the literature.

## Conclusions

This is the first nation-wide study to evaluate age as a risk factor for 30-day perioperative outcomes following surgical decompression of extradural cervical and thoracic spinal tumors. Age was an independent predictive factor for perioperative VTE and reoperation, although there was no clear age-dependent effect on the risk of these complications. In contrast to previous studies in the literature, age was not a predictive factor for 30-day perioperative mortality.





## Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## ORCID iD

Zoe B. Cheung  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0189-5390>  
 Khushdeep S. Vig  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5758-0665>  
 John Di Capua  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4867-5800>  
 Samuel K. Cho  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3826-1786>

## References

- Sharma M, Sonig A, Ambekar S, Nanda A. Discharge dispositions, complications, and costs of hospitalization in spinal cord tumor surgery: analysis of data from the United States Nationwide Inpatient Sample, 2003-2010. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2014;20:125-141. doi:10.3171/2013.9.spine13274.
- Duong LM, McCarthy BJ, McLendon RE, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of malignant and nonmalignant primary spinal cord, spinal meninges, and cauda equina tumors, United States, 2004-2007. *Cancer*. 2012;118:4220-4227. doi:10.1002/cncr.27390.
- Jacobs WB, Perrin RG. Evaluation and treatment of spinal metastases: an overview. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2001;11:e10.
- Sebastian A, Huddleston P 3rd, Kakar S, Nassr A. Risk factors for surgical site infection after posterior cervical spine surgery: an analysis of 5,441 patients from the ACS NSQIP 2005-2012. *Spine J*. 2016;16:504-509. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2015.12.009.
- Elsberg CA. Some aspects of the diagnosis and surgical treatment of tumors of the spinal cord: with a study of the end results in a series of 119 operations. *Ann Surg*. 1925;81:1057-1073.
- Drudge-Coates L, Rajbabu K. Diagnosis and management of malignant spinal cord compression: part 1. *Int J Palliat Nurs*. 2008;14:110-116. doi:10.12968/ijpn.2008.14.3.28890.
- Adams H, Avendaño J, Raza SM, Gokaslan ZL, Jallo GI, Quiñones-Hinojosa A. Prognostic factors and survival in primary malignant astrocytomas of the spinal cord. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37:E727-E735. doi:10.1097/brs.0b013e31824584c0.
- Bydon A, Xu R, Parker SL, et al. Recurrent back and leg pain and cyst reformation after surgical resection of spinal synovial cysts: systematic review of reported postoperative outcomes. *Spine J*. 2010;10:820-826. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2010.04.010.
- Bydon M, De la Garza-Ramos R, Suk I, et al. Single-staged multi-level spondylectomy for en bloc resection of an epithelioid sarcoma with intradural extension in the cervical spine. *Operative Neurosurg*. 2015;11:E585-E593 doi:10.1227/neu.0000000000000961.
- Bydon M, Gokaslan ZL. Spinal meningioma resection. *World Neurosurg*. 2015;83:1032-1033. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2015.01.049.
- Choy W, Lam SK, Smith ZA, Dahdaleh NS. Predictors of thirty day hospital readmission following posterior cervical fusion in 3401 patients [published online January 14 2016]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001450.
- Holman PJ, Suki D, McCutcheon I, Wolinsky JP, Rhines LD, Gokaslan ZL. Surgical management of metastatic disease of the lumbar spine: experience with 139 patients. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2005;2:550-563.
- Chou D, Gökaslan Z. Malignant primary tumors of the vertebral column. In: Berger M., Prados M., eds. *Textbook of Neuro-Oncology*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier; 2005:517-520.
- Fisher CG, Versteeg AL, Dea N, et al. Surgical management of spinal chondrosarcomas. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2016;41:678-685. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001485.
- Fourney DR, Gokaslan ZL. Current management of sacral chordoma. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2003;15:E9. doi:10.3171/foc.2003.15.2.9.
- Zamorano J, Fehlings M, Nater A, et al. Risk factors for local recurrence after surgical resection of spine schwannomas: retrospective analysis of 169 patients from a multicenter international database. *Global Spine J*. 2015;05(1 suppl):A005. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1554109.
- Emel E, Abdallah A, Sofuoglu OE, et al. Long-term surgical treatment outcomes of spinal schwannomas: retrospective analysis of 49 consecutively operated cases. *Turk Neurosurg*. 2017;27:217-225. doi:10.5137/1019-5149.jtn.15678-15.1.
- Lau D, Chan AK, Theologis AA, et al. Costs and readmission rates for the resection of primary and metastatic spinal tumors: a comparative analysis of 181 patients. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016;25:366-378. doi:10.3171/2016.2.spine15954.
- Li C, Ye Y, Gu Y, Dong J. Minimally invasive resection of extradural dumbbell tumors of thoracic spine: surgical techniques and literature review. *Eur Spine J*. 2016;25:4108-4115. doi:10.1007/s00586-016-4677-z.
- Ramani PS. Thoracic laminectomy. In: Ramani PS, ed. *WFNS Spine Committee Textbook on Thoracic Spine*. New Delhi, India: Jaypee Brothers Medical; 2016:429-431.
- Soleman J, Baumgarten P, Perrig WN, Fandino J, Fathi AR. Non-instrumented extradural lumbar spine surgery under low-dose acetylsalicylic acid: a comparative risk analysis study. *Eur Spine J*. 2015;25:732-739. doi:10.1007/s00586-015-3864-7.

22. Carreon LY, Puno RM, Dimar JR 2nd, Glassman SD, Johnson JR. Perioperative complications of posterior lumbar decompression and arthrodesis in older adults. *J Bone and Joint Surg Am*. 2003;85-A:2089-2092.
23. Rajaei SS, Bae HW, Kanim LE, Delamarter RB. Spinal fusion in the United States: analysis of trends from 1998 to 2008. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37:67-76. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31820cccfb.
24. Cowan JA Jr, Dimick JB, Wainess R, Upchurch GR Jr, Chandler WF, La Marca F. Changes in the utilization of spinal fusion in the United States. *Neurosurgery*. 2006;59:15-20. doi:10.1227/01.NEU.0000219836.54861.CD.
25. Di Capua J, Somani S, Kim JS, et al. Analysis of risk factors for major complications following elective posterior lumbar fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:1347-1354. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000002090.
26. Shin JI, Kothari P, Phan K, et al. Frailty index as a predictor of adverse postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing cervical spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:304-310. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001755.
27. Phan K, Kim JS, Lee NJ, et al. Frailty is associated with morbidity in adults undergoing elective anterior lumbar interbody fusion surgery (ALIF). *Spine J*. 2017;17:538-544. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2016.10.023.
28. Phan K, Kim JS, Somani S, et al. Impact of age on 30-day complications after adult deformity surgery [published online August 3, 2016]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001832
29. Phan K, Kim JS, Lee N, Kothari P, Cho SK. Impact of insulin dependence on perioperative outcomes following anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF). *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:456-464. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001829.
30. Phan K, Kothari P, Lee NJ, Virk S, Kim JS, Cho SK. Impact of obesity on outcomes in adults undergoing elective posterior cervical fusion [published online May 24, 2016]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001711.
31. Lee NJ, Kothari P, Phan K, et al. The incidence and risk factors for 30-day unplanned readmissions after elective posterior lumbar fusion [published online May 29, 2016]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001586.
32. Lee NJ, Kothari P, Kim JS, et al. Nutritional status as an adjunct risk factor for early postoperative complications following posterior cervical fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:1367-1374. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000002119.
33. Phan K, Kim JS, Lee NJ, Kothari P, Cho SK. Relationship between ASA scores and 30-day readmissions in patients undergoing anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:85-91. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001680.
34. Phan K, Lee NJ, Kothari P, Kim JS, Cho SK. Risk factors for readmissions following anterior lumbar interbody fusion [published online May 9, 2016]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2016. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000001677.
35. Hall BL, Hamilton BH, Richards K, et al. Does surgical quality improve in the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program: an evaluation of all participating hospitals. *Ann Surg*. 2009;250:363-376. doi:10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b4148f.
36. Parks R, Rostoft S, Ommundsen N, Cheung KL. Peri-operative management of older adults with cancer: the roles of the surgeon and geriatrician. *Cancers*. 2015;7:1605-1621. doi:10.3390/cancers7030853.
37. Fukuse T, Satoda N, Hijiya K, Fujinaga T. Importance of a comprehensive geriatric assessment in prediction of complications following thoracic surgery in elderly patients. *Chest*. 2005;127:886-891. doi:10.1378/chest.127.3.886.
38. Molina CS, Thakore RV, Blumer A, Obremsky WT, Sethi MK. Use of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program in orthopaedic surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2015;473:1574-1581. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3597-7.
39. Schoenfeld AJ, Carey PA, Cleveland AW 3rd, Bader JO, Bono CM. Patient factors, comorbidities, and surgical characteristics that increase mortality and complication risk after spinal arthrodesis: a prognostic study based on 5,887 patients. *Spine J*. 2013;13:1171-1179. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2013.02.071.
40. Aynardi M, Jacovides CL, Huang R, Mortazavi SM, Parvizi J. Risk factors for early mortality following modern total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2013;28:517-520. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.06.040.
41. Lee AY, Levine MN. Venous thromboembolism and cancer: risks and outcomes. *Circulation*. 2003;107:I17-I21. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000078466.72504.AC.
42. Karhade AV, Vasudeva VS, Dasenbrock HH, et al. Thirty-day readmission and reoperation after surgery for spinal tumors: a National Surgical Quality Improvement Program analysis. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2016;41:E5. doi:10.3171/2016.5.focus16168.
43. Kalakoti P, Missios S, Menger R, Kukreja S, Konar S, Nanda A. Association of risk factors with unfavorable outcomes after resection of adult benign intradural spine tumors and the effect of hospital volume on outcomes: an analysis of 18, 297 patients across 774 US hospitals using the National Inpatient Sample (2002-2011). *Neurosurg Focus*. 2015;39:E4. doi:10.3171/2015.5.FOCUS15157.
44. Hamel MB, Henderson WG, Khuri SF, Daley J. Surgical outcomes for patients aged 80 and older: morbidity and mortality from major noncardiac surgery. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53:424-429. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53159.x.
45. Buerba RA, Giles E, Webb ML, Fu MC, Gvozdyev B, Grauer JN. Increased risk of complications after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the elderly: an analysis of 6253 patients in the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:2062-2069. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000000606.
46. Quraishi NA, Rajabian A, Spencer A, et al. Reoperation rates in the surgical treatment of spinal metastases. *Spine J*. 2015;15:S37-S43. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2015.01.005.
47. Scheer JK, Tang JA, Smith JS, et al. Reoperation rates and impact on outcome in a large, prospective, multicenter, adult spinal deformity database. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2013;19:464-470. doi:10.3171/2013.7.spine12901.
48. Bhashyam N, De la Garza Ramos R, Nakhla J, et al. Thirty-day readmission and reoperation rates after single-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion versus those after cervical disc replacement. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2017;42:E6. doi:10.3171/2016.11.focus16407.

49. Lin H-S, Watts JN, Peel NM, Hubbard RE. Frailty and post-operative outcomes in older surgical patients: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2016;16:57. doi:10.1186/s12877-016-0329-8.
50. Ommundsen N, Kristjansson SR, Wyller TB. Frailty predicts 5-year survival in older patients with colorectal cancer. *Eur Geriatr Med*. 2013;4:S90. doi:10.1016/j.eurger.2013.07.293.
51. Kristjansson SR, Nesbakken A, Jordhøy MS, et al. Comprehensive geriatric assessment can predict complications in elderly patients after elective surgery for colorectal cancer: a prospective observational cohort study. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2010;76:208-217. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2009.11.002.
52. Aoude A, Fortin M, Aldebeyan S, et al. The revised Tokuhashi score; analysis of parameters and assessment of its accuracy in determining survival in patients afflicted with spinal metastasis [published online December 23, 2016]. *Eur Spine J*. doi:10.1007/s00586-016-4921-6.
53. Bollen L, de Ruyter GCW, Pondaag W, et al. Risk factors for survival of 106 surgically treated patients with symptomatic spinal epidural metastases. *Eur Spine J*. 2013;22:1408-1416. doi:10.1007/s00586-013-2726-4.
54. Cho W, Chang U-K. Neurological and survival outcomes after surgical management of subaxial cervical spine metastases. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37:E969-E977. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31824ee1c2.
55. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, et al. Direct decompressive surgical resection in the treatment of spinal cord compression caused by metastatic cancer: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2005;366:643-648. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66954-1.
56. Quraishi NA, Gokaslan ZL, Boriani S. The surgical management of metastatic epidural compression of the spinal cord. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2010;92:1054-1060. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.92B8