SN 2005-0380



Expression of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and survivin in endometrioid and nonendometrioid endometrial cancers and clinicopathologic significance

Evren Yilmaz¹, Meral Koyuncuoglu¹, İlknur Bilkay Görken², Emre Okyay³, Bahadir Saatli³, Emine Cagnur Ulukus¹, Ugur Saygili³

Departments of ¹Pathology, ²Radiation Oncology, ³Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey

Objective: To determine matrix metalloproteinase-2 and survivin expressions in endometrial cancers, their relation to clinical and histologic parameters and to investigate any difference in the expression of these markers between endometrioid and non-endometrioid cancers.

Methods: Ninety-five patients with endometrial cancer, were included. Matrix metalloproteinase-2 and survivin expressions were analyzed immunohistochemically from paraffin-embedded tissues by using specific monoclonal antibodies.

Results: Survivin nuclear expression was higher in endometrioid cancer as compared to nonendometrioid cancer (p=0.040), but there was no difference for cytoplasmic survivin and matrix metalloproteinase-2 expressions between type I and type II carcinomas. Survivin cytoplasmic staining was significantly lower in patients with deep myometrial invasion (p=0.038). Nuclear expression of survivin is decreased in histologic grade 3 tumors compared to grade 1 and 2 tumors (p=0.013), but there is no difference between grade 1 and 2. We did not find any statistically significant difference between survivin or matrix metalloproteinase-2 expressions and survival.

Conclusion: Survivin and matrix metalloproteinase-2 are present in endometrioid and nonendometrioid cancers. Grade 1 and 2 tumors and carcinomas having myometrial invasion less than 50% have higher survivin expression. These results supports that, survivin may play an important role in early stage tumors and early phases of tumor development. We did not find any association between matrix metalloproteinase-2 expression and classical prognostic factors in endometrial cancer and both proteins were not associated with survival.

Keywords: Endometrial cancer, Matrix metalloproteinases, Survivin, Prognosis, Survival

INTRODUCTION

Endometrial cancer is the most common malignancy of

Received Dec. 16, 2010, Revised Feb. 15, 2011, Accepted Mar. 13, 2011

Correspondence to Emre Okyay

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, 35340, Balcova, Izmir, Turkey. Tel: 90-232-412-3116, Fax: 90-232-412- 2198, E-mail: dremreokyay@hotmail.com

the female reproductive tract in developed countries [1]. It affects mainly postmenopausal women and only 20% of women will be diagnosed before menopause. The prognosis is usually good because approximately 72% of cases are diagnosed at stage I [2]. It has commonly been classified into two major clinicopathologic types, type I and type II [3]. It is currently known that these two types of endometrial carcinomas show different molecular alterations. The most frequent early molecular defects of endometrioid carcinomas

Copyright © 2011. Asian Society of Gynecologic Oncology, Korean Society of Gynecologic Oncology and Colposcopy

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

TGO Journal of Gynecologic Oncology

are mutations of PTEN, K-Ras, CTNNB1 (beta-catenin) genes and microsatellite instability (MSI), but they rarely exhibit p53 mutations [1,4,5]. In contrast, nonendometrioid carcinomas often exhibit p53 tumor suppressor gene mutations and loss of heterozygosity located on several chromosomes, but occasionally have MSI [6,7] Unfortunately, none of these molecular markers are prognostic enough for endometrial cancer, and new prognostic markers are necessary.

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are a family of zinc-dependent endopeptidases which degrade the extracellular matrix and play an important role in tumor invasion and progression [8]. MMPs are expressed at low levels in normal adult tissues, except in tissues that undergo remodeling such as the cyclic endometrium and the skin during wound healing [9-11]. Also, MMP-2 expression has been shown to be increased in several human neoplasias such as breast, ovary and colon cancers, and it seems to correlate with their invasion and metastatic behaviours [9]. Recently, MMP-2 has been demonstrated in endometrial carcinoma cells but there are only a few studies comparing MMP-2 expression between type I and type II endometrial carcinoma [12,13].

Survivin is a novel and structurally unique member of the inhibitor apoptosis (IAP) family of antiapoptotic proteins. Its gene is located on chromosome 17q25 [14]. Unlike other IAP proteins, survivin was found during fetal development, is not detectable in normal adult tissues, and became reexpressed in all of the most common human cancers [15,16]. Recently, in several studies, overexpression of survivin has been shown to be associated with endometrial carcinoma, but there are conflicting results for the impact on prognosis of endometrial carcinoma [17-19]. The nuclear or cytoplasmic expression of survivin is controversial. Immunofluorescence studies showed that survivin was expressed mainly in the nucleus of endometrial carcinoma cells, whereas previous studies reported that it is restricted to the cytoplasm [18].

This study aimed to investigate the expression of MMP-2 and survivin in surgically treated endometrial cancer patients. MMP-2 and survivin expressions were compared in type I and type II tumors, and the correlation of these markers between well-established prognostic factors and the relationship with survival were analyzed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients and specimens

This study was approved by the institutional review board at Dokuz Eylul University Faculty of Medicine. For immunohistochemical analysis, ninety-five patients with primary endometrial carcinoma, including 74 endometrioid and 21 nonendometrioid carcinomas, were selected from the pathology archive. All patients were surgically treated, histologically diagnosed and given follow-up care at the Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Dokuz Eylul University Faculty of Medicine. Certain clinical and pathologic parameters were recorded, including details on age, histopathologic type, tumor stage, tumor grade, and follow-up. The tumors were classified according to the histologic typing of the female genital tract by the World Health Organization, staged and graded according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) systems [20,21]. Standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded tumor tissues were reviewed to confirm the histopathologic diagnosis; 73 cases were classified as FIGO stage 1, 6 cases as stage 2 and 16 cases as stage 3. All patients were followed up until death or a median of 39 months (range, 9 to 151 months).

2. Immunohistochemistry

Five µm sections were taken onto poly-L lysin-coated slides from each representative archival paraffin-embedded tumor tissue for immunohistochemical staining. The standard streptavidin biotin immunoperoxidase method was performed using the primary antibodies against survivin (1/100, Diagnostic Biosystems, Pleasanton, CA, USA) and MMP-2 (1/50, Diagnostic Biosystems). Briefly, sections were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated in alcohol series, and immersed in distillated water. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked using a 0.3% solution of hydrogen peroxide in phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 mmol/L, pH 7.5) at room temperature for 15 minutes and rinsed with TRIS buffer. After antigen retrieval by heating in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 30 minutes, primary antibodies were applied for 60 minutes at room temperature and washed in TRIS buffer. Biotinylated secondary antibodies and streptavidinperoxidase complex were added consecutively for 10 minutes at room temperature and washed in TRIS buffer. Peroxidase activity was visualized with 0.03% 3-3' diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride applied for 7 minutes. After rinsing in deionized water and counterstaining in Mayer's hematoxylin, the slides were dehydrated and mounted. Appropriate tissue sections as positive controls for each primary antibody were also stained simultaneously. As a negative control, sections were processed in the absence of primary antibody.

3. Immunohistochemical evaluation

Immunohistochemical staining in tumor cells was evaluated

in a semiquantitative fashion. For the survivin antibody, both cytoplasmic and nuclear staining were observed and evaluated. For MMP-2, only cytoplasmic staining was observed and evaluated. The degree of staining for survivin and MMP-2 was evaluated by scoring on a scale from 0 to 4 for intensity (I) and for distribution (D). Tissues with IxD equal to 0 were considered negative, those with less than or equal to 4 were weakly positive, and those with greater than 4 were strongly positive [22]. Results were also further simplified as positive and negative.

4. Statistical analysis

Data analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The relationship between immunohistoche-

Table 1. Distribution of clinicopathologic characteristics in 95 patients with endometrial carcinoma

Characteristics	Values
Total	95 (100)
Histology	
Endometrioid	74 (77.9)
Non endometrioid	21 (22.1)
Stage	
Stage I	73 (76.8)
Stage II+III	22 (23.2)
Histological grade	
1	30 (31.6)
2	36 (37.9)
3	29 (30.5)
Myometrial invasion	
No	7 (7.4)
<50%	40 (42.1)
>50%	48 (50.5)
Vascular/lymphatic invasion	9 (9.47)
Yes	86 (90.53)
No	61±10.26 (34-78)
Age (yr)	60 (63.2)
<65	35 (36.8)
>65	53±37.98 (9-151)
Follow-up duration (mo)	
Local recurrence	3 (3.15)
Yes	92 (96.85)
No	
Metastasis	5 (5.26)
Yes	90 (94.74)
No	9 (9.47)
Death	

Values are presented as number (%) or mean±SD (range).

mical scores, tumor stage and histologic and nuclear grade was investigated by using the chi-squared test. Survival analyses were calculated by using the Kaplan-Meier method. The long rank test was used for univariate, and Cox proportional hazards regression model for the multivariate evaluation. The probability level 0.05 or less was chosen to represent statistical significance.

RESULTS

A total of ninety-five patients with endometrial carcinoma were included in the present study. There were 74 (77.9%) endometrioid (type I) and 21 (22.1%) non-endometrioid (type II) carcinomas. The median age of the patients was 61 years (range, 34 to 87 years). Clinicopathologic characteristics of the cases are shown in Table 1.

Distribution of MMP-2 and survivin staining in 95 endometrial tumors are shown in Table 2. For survivin, positive cytoplasmic and nuclear immunohistochemical staining was seen in 73.7% and 66.3% respectively, and also MMP-2 on 66.3% of all tumors (Figs. 1 and 2). Survivin nuclear expression was higher in endometrioid endometrial carcinoma (EEC) compared to non-EEC (NEEC), and this was statistically significant (p= 0.040), but there was no statistically significant relationship between cytoplasmic survivin and MMP-2 expressions between type I and type II carcinomas. Table 3 summarizes the clinicopathologic characteristics of 95 patients with endometrial carcinoma and their association with the expression of survivin and MMP-2. There was no relationship between

Table 2. Distribution of MMP-2 and survivin staining in 95 patientsin endometrial cancer and comparison with endometrioid andnonendometrioid type

Staining	Total	Endo- metrioid (type l)	Nonendo- metrioid (type II)	p-value
MMP-2				0.878
Negative	33 (34.7)	26 (35.1)	7 (33.3)	
Positive	62 (66.3)	48 (64.9)	14 (66.7)	
Survivin (nuclear))			0.040
Negative	32 (33.7)	21 (28.4)	11 (52.4)	
Positive	63 (66.3)	53 (71.6)	10 (47.6)	
Survivin (cytopla	0.408			
Negative	25 (26.3)	18 (24.3)	7 (33.3)	
Positive	70 (73.7)	56 (75.7)	14 (66.7)	
Total	95 (100)	74 (100)	21 (100)	

Values are presented as number (%).

MMP, matrix metalloproteinases.

JGO Journal of Gynecologic Oncology



Fig. 1. Survivin cytoplasmic (A) and nuclear (B) immunohistochemical staining in pT3a endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinoma (×400).



Fig. 2. Matrix metalloproteinase-2 positivity in pT1b endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinoma (\times 100).

survivin cytoplasmic, survivin nuclear and MMP-2 expressions and lymphovascular space involvement and extrauterine disease. However survivin cytoplasmic staining was significantly lower in patients with deep myometrial invasion (p=0.038). The percentage of survivin nuclear staining cells in histologic grade 1, 2 and 3 tumors were 24.2%, 28.4% and 13.7%, respectively. Nuclear expression of survivin was decreased in histologic grade 3 tumors compared to grade 1 and 2 tumors, but there was no difference between grade 1 and 2. Adversely, the survivin cytoplasmic and MMP-2 expressions were decreased in grade 3 tumors but not statistically significant.

Follow-up data were available for all patients. The median duration of follow-up was 39 months (range, 9 to 151 months). During the follow-up, 3 local recurrences, 2 solid organ metastasis, and 3 lymph node metastasis were documented.

Overall mean cumulative survival of the patients was 134.30 \pm 5.46 months, and the cumulative overall and disease-free survival rates were 0.822 and 0.821, respectively. The mean survival related to survivin nuclear, cytoplasmic and MMP-2 expression is shown in Table 4. While the mean cumulative survival was 140.96 months in stage I cases, it dropped to 110.22 months in the advanced stage group (p=0.0054). Also, the cumulative survival rates of patients with type I tumors were significantly higher than those of patients with type II tumors (p<0.001). Cox regression analysis showed that stage, grade and histological type have an independent impact on survival. We did not find any statistically significant difference between survivin or MMP-2 expressions and survival (p>0.05) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

MMP-2 and survivin expressions and their relationships with the clinical behaviors and histologic types of endometrial cancers were investigated in this study. In our present study, MMP-2 expression did not show any difference between EEC and NEEC carcinomas. These results confirm those of Graesslin et al. [13]. On the other hand, Shaco-Levy et al. [23] found increased MMP-2 expression in NEEC as compared to EEC. In another study, Monaghan et al. [12] showed that significantly greater MMP-2 expression was present in EEC compared to NEEC. The difference between these two studies could be attributed to the fact that the two study groups were not homogenous in terms of tumor stage and grade. There have been only a few studies investigating the relationship between matrix metalloproteinase expression

Table 3. Clinicopathologic characteristics of 95 cases and association with survivin and MMP-2 expressions

	Comparison of staining patterns								
Clinicopathologic feature	Survivin nuclear			Survivin cytoplasmic			MMP-2		
	Negative	Positive	p-value	Negative	Positive	p-value	Negative	Positive	p-value
Stage			0.628			0.790			0.160
Stage I	23 (24.2)	50 (52.6)		18 (18.9)	55 (57.9)		22 (23.1)	51 (53.7)	
Stage II+III	9 (9.5)	13 (13.7)		7 (7.3)	15 (15.8)		11 (11.6)	11 (11.6)	
MI			0.612			0.012			0.316
<50%	17 (17.9)	30 (31.6)		7 (7.4)	40 (42.1)		14 (14.7)	33 (34.7)	
>50%	15 (15.8)	33 (34.7)		18 (18.9)	30 (31.6)		19 (20)	29 (30.6)	
Histologic grade			0.013			0.229			0.648
1	7 (7.4)	23 (24.2)		6 (6.3)	24 (25.3)		10 (10.5)	20 (21.1)	
2	9 (9.5)	27 (28.4)		8 (8.4)	28 (29.5)		11 (11.6)	25 (26.3)	
3	16 (16.8)	13 (13.7)		11 (11.6)	18 (18.9)		12 (12.6)	17 (17.9)	
LVI			0.354			0.562			0.619
Yes	4 (4.2)	5 (5.3)		2 (2.1)	7 (7.4)		3 (3.2)	6 (6.3)	
No	28 (29.5)	58 (61.1)		23 (24.2)	63 (66.3)		30 (31.6)	56 (58.9)	

Values are presented as number (%).

MMP, matrix metalloproteinases; MI, myometrial invasion; LVI, lymphovascular invasion.

Table 4. Results of survival analysis

	Kaplan-Meier		COX regression		
	Events/total	p-value*	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
Stage		0.0054	4.2 (1.01-18.2)	0.04	
Early (stage I)	4/73				
Late (stage II and III)	5/22				
Histology		<0.001	17.6 (3.2-94.98)	0.001	
Endometrioid	2/74				
Non endometrioid	7/21				
Histologic grade		0.024	3.5 (1.1-11.13)	0.029	
Grade 1	0/30				
Grade 2	3/36				
Grade 3	6/29				
MMP-2		0.945	0.9 (0.2-4.04)	0.909	
Negative	3/33				
Positive	6/62				
Survivin (nuclear)		0.816	2.6 (0.59-12.09)	0.199	
Negative	3/32				
Positive	6/63				
Survivin (cytoplasmic)		0.197	0.2 (0.07-1.2)	0.096	
Negative	4/25				
Positive	5/70				

OR, odds ratios; CI, confidence interval; MMP, matrix metalloproteinases.

*Log-rank test.

and survival in endometrial cancer patients. Previous studies have shown that, MMP -1, MMP-2 or MMP-9 and membranetype 1 (MT1)-MMP proteins or mRNAs exist in endometrial cancer tissues [24,25]. Di Nezza et al. [26] revealed that MMP-2 and MMP-9 expressions in tumor cells are increased in the transition from histologic grade 1 to grades 2 and 3. These results are supported by other studies [13,25]. On the other hand, Lopata et al. [27], with use of gelatin zymography, revealed that elevated levels of latent and active forms of MMP-2 are detected in uterine lavage samples from patients with endometrial cancer, and they found no significant association between the MMP score and the histologic grade of tumor. Likewise, Honkavuori et al. [28] did not determine any correlation between MMP-2 immunostaining and histological grade. These results are consistent with our study. Furthermore, we did not find any correlation between the MMP-2 score and the FIGO stage, vascular/lymphatic invasion, or depth of myometrial invasion. These results agree with those of other authors [25,26,28,29]. Graesslin et al. [13] found that high MMP-2 expression is correlated with only lymph node metastasis, but that there is no association with other clinical features of endometrial cancer. Their results are in keeping with the study of lurlaro et al. [30] Survival analyses have been rarely performed in previous studies. Moser et al. [24], Aglund et al. [25], and, recently, Honkavuori et al. [28] found no statistically significant correlation between MMP-2 expression and overall survival in endometrial cancer. Similarly, in the present study, we did not find any association between survival and the MMP-2 staining score.

Survivin, a member of the IAP family, has been detected to be over expressed in 60 human cancer types studied, including endometrial carcinoma [31,32]. Although some immunohistochemical studies suggested that survivin expression occurs primarily in the nucleus and partially in the cytoplasm of endometrial cancer cells, Pallares et al. [33] found that most of the survivin proteins were cytoplasmic. The present study did not determine statistically significant difference between cytoplasmic and nuclear expressions. In a small number of previous reports investigating the role of survivin in the endometrial cancer, controversial results have been obtained [17,18,33-35]. Saitoh et al. [34] demonstrated that levels of survivin mRNA in endometrial cancer samples were significantly higher than the levels that were seen in the normal endometrium, and did not show any correlation with histologic grade of the tumors. Similarly, in their study, Ai et al. [32] showed that survivin protein expression levels were significantly higher in endometrial cancer cells than atypical or normal endometrium, and were not different between type 1 and type 2 endometrial cancers. Also, they did not observe any association with patient age, tumor grade and stage, and these results were supported by Pallares et al. [33]. In our present study, unlike the results of Ai et al. [32], survivin nuclear expression was higher in EEC as compared to NEEC. This difference may be due to the nonhomogenous stage distribution in type 1 and type 2 patient groups in the above studies including ours. Lehner et al. evaluated survivin mRNA levels in both normal endometrium and endometrial cancer specimens, and suggested that survivin mRNA is not a specific marker of endometrial cancer. In contrast to the studies of Ai et al. [32] and Pallares et al. [33], Lehner et al. [35] suggested that survivin mRNA expression only correlates with the histologic grade of the tumors. The study of Takai et al. [18], supporting the results of Lehner et al. [35], showed that survivin protein expressions within endometrial carcinoma cells were significantly associated with significant clinicopathologic prognostic parameters and survival rates. Recently, at another immunohistochemical study of Erkanli et al. [17], they showed survivin expression to be increased in a spectrum from normal to hyperplastic endometrium and to endometrial cancer. Also, different from Takai et al. [18], they have reported no correlation between survivin and classical prognostic factors or survival rates in endometrial carcinomas. Eventually these authors concluded that these findings suggest that survivin overexpression is one of the most important factors in the developmental pathway of endometrioid carcinomas [17]. Likewise, in our study, we found that nuclear expression of survivin is decreased in histologic grade 3 tumors compared to both grade 1 and grade 2 tumors, and survivin cytoplasmic expression was significantly lower in patients with deep myometrial invasion (p=0.038). These results differ from other studies, supporting the suggestion in the study of Erkanli et al., and may be explained as survivin expression playing a role in the earlier stages or development of tumor, but not in tumor aggressiveness of it. Also, in our study, we did not find any relation between survivin expression and clinical prognostic factors including lymphovascular space involvement and extrauterine disease or survival. However, these results are not consistent with the study of Lambropoulou et al. [19]. They found a significant correlation survivin expression with myometrial invasion, histological grade, stage and survival rates. This difference may be due to different staining criteria.

In conclusion, differing from those of previous studies, we have shown that in histologic grade 1 and 2 tumors and cases with myometrial invasion less than 50%, survivin expression was found to be higher. These results support the hypothesis that survivin may play an important role in early stage tumors and early phases of tumor development. However, we did not find any association between MMP-2 expression and classical

prognostic factors in endometrial carcinoma, additionally both proteins were not associated with survival. As far as we know our study includes the largest number of cases of both survivin and MMP-2 expression in the literature. Further studies with longer follow-up and larger number of cases are needed.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

- 1. Prat J. Prognostic parameters of endometrial carcinoma. Hum Pathol 2004;35:649-62.
- 2. Sorosky Jl. Endometrial cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2008;111: 436-47.
- 3. Bokhman JV. Two pathogenetic types of endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1983;15:10-7.
- 4. Matias-Guiu X, Catasus L, Bussaglia E, Lagarda H, Garcia A, Pons C, et al. Molecular pathology of endometrial hyperplasia and carcinoma. Hum Pathol 2001;32:569-77.
- 5. Sun H, Enomoto T, Fujita M, Wada H, Yoshino K, Ozaki K, et al. Mutational analysis of the PTEN gene in endometrial carcinoma and hyperplasia. Am J Clin Pathol 2001;115:32-8.
- Tashiro H, Isacson C, Levine R, Kurman RJ, Cho KR, Hedrick L. p53 gene mutations are common in uterine serous carcinoma and occur early in their pathogenesis. Am J Pathol 1997;150:177-85.
- 7. Tritz D, Pieretti M, Turner S, Powell D. Loss of heterozygosity in usual and special variant carcinomas of the endometrium. Hum Pathol 1997;28:607-12.
- 8. Aznavoorian S, Murphy AN, Stetler-Stevenson WG, Liotta LA. Molecular aspects of tumor cell invasion and metastasis. Cancer 1993;71:1368-83.
- 9. Chang C, Werb Z. The many faces of metalloproteases: cell growth, invasion, angiogenesis and metastasis. Trends Cell Biol 2001;11:S37-43.
- 10. Parks WC. Matrix metalloproteinases in repair. Wound Repair Regen 1999;7:423-32.
- 11. Rodgers WH, Osteen KG, Matrisian LM, Navre M, Giudice LC, Gorstein F. Expression and localization of matrilysin, a matrix metalloproteinase, in human endometrium during the reproductive cycle. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;168: 253-60.

- 12. Monaghan H, MacWhinnie N, Williams AR. The role of matrix metalloproteinases-2, -7 and -9 and beta-catenin in high grade endometrial carcinoma. Histopathology 2007;50:348-57.
- 13. Graesslin O, Cortez A, Uzan C, Birembaut P, Quereux C, Darai E. Endometrial tumor invasiveness is related to metalloproteinase 2 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 expressions. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2006;16:1911-7.
- 14. Ambrosini G, Adida C, Altieri DC. A novel anti-apoptosis gene, survivin, expressed in cancer and lymphoma. Nat Med 1997;3:917-21.
- 15. Altieri DC. Survivin, versatile modulation of cell division and apoptosis in cancer. Oncogene 2003;22:8581-9.
- 16. Altieri DC, Marchisio PC. Survivin apoptosis: an interloper between cell death and cell proliferation in cancer. Lab Invest 1999;79:1327-33.
- 17. Erkanli S, Kayaselcuk F, Kuscu E, Bagis T, Bolat F, Haberal A, et al. Expression of survivin, PTEN and p27 in normal, hyperplastic, and carcinomatous endometrium. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2006;16:1412-8.
- 18. Takai N, Miyazaki T, Nishida M, Nasu K, Miyakawa I. Survivin expression correlates with clinical stage, histological grade, invasive behavior and survival rate in endometrial carcinoma. Cancer Lett 2002;184:105-16.
- Lambropoulou M, Papadopoulos N, Tripsianis G, Alexiadis G, Pagonopoulou O, Kiziridou A, et al. Co-expression of survivin, c-erbB2, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2): prognostic value and survival of endometrial cancer patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2010;136:427-35.
- 20. Lee KR, Tavassoli FA, Prat J. Surface epithelial-stromal tumours. In: Tavassoli FA, Devilee P, editors. World Health Organization classification of tumours. Pathology and genetics of the breast and female genital organs. Lyon: IARC Press; 2003. p. 117-45.
- 21. Benedet JL, Bender H, Jones H 3rd, Ngan HY, Pecorelli S. FIGO staging classifications and clinical practice guidelines in the management of gynecologic cancers: FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2000;70:209-62.
- 22. Gilcrease MZ, Truong L, Brown RW. Correlation of very late activation integrin and CD44 expression with extrarenal invasion and metastasis of renal cell carcinomas. Hum Pathol 1996;27:1355-60.
- 23. Shaco-Levy R, Sharabi S, Piura B, Sion-Vardy N. MMP-2, TIMP-1, E-cadherin, and beta-catenin expression in endometrial serous carcinoma compared with low-grade endometrial endometrioid carcinoma and proliferative endometrium. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2008;87:868-74.

JGO Journal of Gynecologic Oncology

- 24. Moser PL, Hefler L, Tempfer C, Neunteufel W, Kieback DG, Gitsch G. Immunohistochemical detection of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) 1 and 2, and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 (TIMP 2) in stage I and II endometrial cancer. Anticancer Res 1999;19(3B):2365-7.
- 25. Aglund K, Rauvala M, Puistola U, Angstrom T, Turpeenniemi-Hujanen T, Zackrisson B, et al. Gelatinases A and B (MMP-2 and MMP-9) in endometrial cancer-MMP-9 correlates to the grade and the stage. Gynecol Oncol 2004;94:699-704.
- Di Nezza LA, Misajon A, Zhang J, Jobling T, Quinn MA, Ostor AG, et al. Presence of active gelatinases in endometrial carcinoma and correlation of matrix metalloproteinase expression with increasing tumor grade and invasion. Cancer 2002;94:1466-75.
- 27. Lopata A, Agresta F, Quinn MA, Smith C, Ostor AG, Salamonsen LA. Detection of endometrial cancer by determination of matrix metalloproteinases in the uterine cavity. Gynecol Oncol 2003;90:318-24.
- 28. Honkavuori M, Talvensaari-Mattila A, Soini Y, Turpeenniemi-Hujanen T, Santala M. MMP-2 expression associates with CA 125 and clinical course in endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2007;104:217-21.
- 29. Shaco-Levy R, Sharabi S, Benharroch D, Piura B, Sion-Vardy N. Matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9, E-cadherin, and betacatenin expression in endometriosis, low-grade endomet-

rial carcinoma and non-neoplastic eutopic endometrium. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2008;139:226-32.

- 30. Iurlaro M, Loverro G, Vacca A, Cormio G, Ribatti D, Minischetti M, et al. Angiogenesis extent and expression of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9 correlate with upgrading and myometrial invasion in endometrial carcinoma. Eur J Clin Invest 1999;29:793-801.
- 31. Tamm I, Wang Y, Sausville E, Scudiero DA, Vigna N, Oltersdorf T, et al. IAP-family protein survivin inhibits caspase activity and apoptosis induced by Fas (CD95), Bax, caspases, and anticancer drugs. Cancer Res 1998;58:5315-20.
- 32. Ai Z, Yin L, Zhou X, Zhu Y, Zhu D, Yu Y, et al. Inhibition of survivin reduces cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in human endometrial cancer. Cancer 2006;107:746-56.
- 33. Pallares J, Martinez-Guitarte JL, Dolcet X, Llobet D, Rue M, Palacios J, et al. Survivin expression in endometrial carcinoma: a tissue microarray study with correlation with PTEN and STAT-3. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2005;24:247-53.
- 34. Saitoh Y, Yaginuma Y, Ishikawa M. Analysis of Bcl-2, Bax and Survivin genes in uterine cancer. Int JOncol 1999;15:137-41.
- 35. Lehner R, Enomoto T, McGregor JA, Shroyer L, Haugen BR, Pugazhenthi U, et al. Correlation of survivin mRNA detection with histologic diagnosis in normal endometrium and endometrial carcinoma. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2002;81:162-7.