



# Article The N-terminal Subunit of the Porcine Deltacoronavirus Spike Recombinant Protein (S1) Does Not Serologically Cross-react with Other Porcine Coronaviruses

Lu Yen<sup>1</sup>, Ronaldo Magtoto<sup>1</sup>, Juan Carlos Mora-Díaz<sup>1</sup>, Jose Antonio Carrillo-Ávila<sup>2</sup>, Jianqiang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Ting-Yu Cheng<sup>1,3</sup>, Precy Magtoto<sup>1,4</sup>, Rahul K. Nelli<sup>1</sup>, David H. Baum<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey J. Zimmerman<sup>1</sup>, and Luis G. Giménez-Lirola<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Andalusian Public Health System Biobank, 18016 Granada, Spain
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- <sup>4</sup> College of Veterinary Medicine, Pampanga State Agricultural University, Pampanga 2011, Philippines
- \* Correspondence: luisggl@iastate.edu

Abstract: Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV), belonging to family *Coronaviridae* and genus *Deltacoronavirus*, is a major enteric pathogen in swine. Accurate PDCoV diagnosis relying on laboratory testing and antibody detection is an important approach. This study evaluated the potential of the receptor-binding subunit of the PDCoV spike protein (S1), generated using a mammalian expression system, for specific antibody detection via indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Serum samples were collected at day post-inoculation (DPI) -7 to 42, from pigs (n = 83) experimentally inoculated with different porcine coronaviruses (PorCoV). The diagnostic sensitivity of the PDCoV S1-based ELISA was evaluated using serum samples (n = 72) from PDCoV-inoculated animals. The diagnostic specificity and potential cross-reactivity of the assay was evaluated on PorCoV-negative samples (n = 345) and samples collected from pigs experimentally inoculated with other PorCoVs (n = 472). The overall diagnostic performance, time of detection, and detection rate over time varied across different S/P cut-offs, estimated by Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. The higher detection rate in the PDCoV group was observed after DPI 21. An S/P cut-off of 0.25 provided 100% specificity with no serological cross-reactivity against other PorCoV. These results support the use of S1 protein-based ELISA for accurate detection of PDCoV infections, transference of maternal antibodies, or active surveillance.

Keywords: PDCoV; recombinant S1 protein; ELISA; diagnostic performance; cross reactivity

# 1. Introduction

Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) is an enveloped, singled-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus that belongs to the order *Nidovirales*, family *Coronaviridae*, subfamily *Coronavirinae*, genus *Deltacoronavirus*, subgenus *Buldecovirus*, and species coronavirus HKU15 [1]. Deltacoronavirus species evolved from a bird coronavirus (CoV) lineage of broad diversity, cross-infecting other bird species, and occasionally some mammalian species like pigs [2]. The PDCoV genome (~25.4 kb) consists of a 5' and 3' untranslated region (UTR) and eight open reading frames (ORFs), which are, in order, the replicase-transcriptase ORF1 (ORF1a and ORF1b), spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), accessory gene (NS) 6, nucleocapsid (N), NS7a, and NS7 [3–6].

PDCoV (originally "PorCoV HK15") was first detected and identified by sequencing during a surveillance study carried out in Hong Kong in 2012 [2], but it was not until 2014 that PDCoV emerged in the USA (Ohio and Indiana) associated with clinical cases of diarrhea, vomiting, and dehydration in suckling pigs [7,8]. Subsequently, it spread



Citation: Yen, L.; Magtoto, R.; Mora-Díaz, J.C.; Carrillo-Ávila, J.A.; Zhang, J.; Cheng, T.-Y.; Magtoto, P.; Nelli, R.K.; Baum, D.H.; Zimmerman, J.J.; et al. The N-terminal Subunit of the Porcine Deltacoronavirus Spike Recombinant Protein (S1) Does Not Serologically Cross-react with Other Porcine Coronaviruses. *Pathogens* **2022**, *11*, 910. https://doi.org/10.3390/ pathogens11080910

Academic Editor: Makoto Ozawa

Received: 25 July 2022 Accepted: 11 August 2022 Published: 13 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). quickly to other states in the USA [9] and Canada [10]. PDCoV has also been reported in South Korea [11], China [12], Thailand, Vietnam and Laos [13], Japan [14], Mexico [15], and Peru [16].

Besides PDCoV, there are at least four other enteropathogenic porcine coronaviruses (PorCoV) that have been described to date, which include transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV) [17], porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) [18], a recombinant PEDV/TGEV coronavirus [19], and a swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus (SADS-CoV) [20], all within the genus *Alphacoronavirus*. In addition, porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (PHEV) [21] in genus *Betacoronavirus*, and porcine respiratory coronavirus (PRCV) [22] in genus *Alphacoronavirus*, are commonly associated with neurological/digestive and respiratory diseases, respectively.

Like other enteropathogenic swine viruses, PDCoV infects villous enterocytes, causing atrophic enteritis that leads to malabsorptive diarrhea and significant mortality in suckling pigs as demonstrated through experimental studies [23–26] and field investigations [12,27–29]. Although generally less virulent than high pathogenic PEDV and TGEV strains, PDCoV has steadily gained clinical relevance in the field.

As reviewed by Zhang [30], different methods and techniques have been described for PDCoV detection in clinical specimens, including electron microscopy, virus isolation in vitro and in vivo assessment of virus viability, different polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods, immunofluorescence, immunohistochemistry, and various antibody detection methods that were designed to aid in the diagnosis, characterization, and epidemiological investigations of PDCoV-associated enteric disease.

The fact that the histologic findings are non-pathognomonic, the non-specific clinical presentation of PDCoV-associated disease, and the co-circulation of different PorCoVs in commercial swine herds, make the differential diagnosis dependent on laboratory testing. In this study, we assessed the potential of a recombinant protein derived from the amino (N)-terminal receptor-binding subunit of the PDCoV S protein (S1) for specific detection of PDCoV antibodies and the presence or absence of serologic cross-reactivity against other PorCoV.

## 2. Results

The soluble expression of Fc-tag-fused S1 proteins (761 aa; 84.8 kDa; pI: 6.3) was confirmed by 12% SDS-PAGE (Figure 1), allowing its purification under native conditions. PDCoV S1-Fc protein was first purified from culture supernatant by protein A chromatog-raphy (Figure 2a). The eluted fractions of Fc-tag-fused PDCoV S1 proteins were pooled, dialyzed (PBS pH 3.0), and subjected to enzymatic cleavage (TEV 20 IU/mg sample) at 37 °C overnight (~16 h), under endotoxin control (Figure 2b). TEV-digested PDCoV S1 His-tagged protein (510 aa; 56.6 kDa; pI: 5.8) fractions were subjected to further purification by HisTrap<sup>TM</sup> FF and protein A affinity columns to separate the cleaved Fc tag (Figure 2c). Then, a Superdex<sup>®</sup> 200 exclusion chromatography column was used for further enrichment of the Fc-cleaved S1 protein, the selected fractions were pooled (~0.6 mg/mL), dialyzed against PBS pH 7.4, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 2d).



**Figure 1.** Analysis of porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) S1-Fc-fused recombinant protein (~84.8 kDa) expression and secretion in culture medium using sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Lane M: molecular weight protein marker; Lane 1: supernatant of cell culture; Lane 2: supernatant of cell lysate; Lane 3: precipitate of cell lysate.



**Figure 2.** Analysis of porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) S1-Fc-fused recombinant protein purification via protein A chromatography (GE Healthcare) from HEK293 cell culture supernatant using sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (**a**) Lane M: molecular weight protein marker; Lanes 1–2: non-bound protein flow-through; Lanes 3 and 5: elution by 0.1 M glycine, pH 3.0 (reduced); Lane 4: elution by 0.1 M glycine, pH 3.0 (non-reduced); Lane 6: elution by 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.5 (reduced). Eluted proteins corresponding to lanes 3, 5, and 6, were pooled and dialyzed to phosphate-buffered saline pH 3.0 for next step Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) cysteine protease enzyme digestion (**b**) Lane M: molecular weight protein marker; Lane 1: PDCoV S1 protein after TEV-cleavage

(reduced; red arrow); Lane 2: PDCoV S1 protein after TEV-cleavage (non-reduced; white arrow); Lane 3: PDCoV S1 protein before TEV-cleavage (non-reduced); Lane 4: PDCoV S1 protein before TEV-cleavage (reduced; white arrow). Eluted fractions after TEV-cleavage were subjected to further purification by HisTrap<sup>TM</sup> FF and protein A affinity columns (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) to separate the cleaved Fc tag (c) Lane 1: PDCoV S1-Fc fused protein; M: molecular weight protein marker; Lane 2: load sample (column equilibrated with 20 mM phosphate buffer, 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.4); Lane 3–4: flow-through; Lane 5–6: elution by 0.1 M glycine pH 2.5; Lane 7: elution by 0.1 M glycine pH 2.5 (non-reduced); Lane 8: elution by 0.5 M glycine pH 2.5. Lane 7 protein was subjected for next step purification through exclusion chromatography (Superdex<sup>®</sup> 200; GE Healthcare), and selected fractions were dialyzed against phosphate buffered saline pH 7.4 as final product (d) M: molecular weight protein marker; Lane 1: PDCoV S1 protein (~55.8 kDa) (reduced); Lane 2: S1-PDCoV (non-reduced).

This S1 recombinant protein was then used to develop an indirect (IgG) ELISA. The distribution of PDCoV S1-based ELISA IgG S/P values obtained for each inoculation group is shown in Figure 3. The ROC analysis performed on the cumulative data collected from PDCoV-positive and PDCoV-negative samples tested by the S1-based indirect ELISA showed that the diagnostic performance of the PDCoV S1-based ELISA was subjected to a specific cut-off (Figure 4). The use of an ELISA S/P cutoff of 0.25 ensured a specificity of 100%, without serologic cross-reactivity against other PorCoV, while a cutoff of 0.10 maximized the diagnostic specificity. Thus, based on the ROC analysis, the detection rate of seropositive animals over time was estimated at different S/P cutoffs (0.10, 018, and 0.25) (Table 1). The first positive animals were detected between DPI 7 (3/12), when using an S/P cutoff of 0.1, and DPI 10 using a cutoff of 0.18 (2/12) or 0.25 (3/12). The higher detection rate (12/12) was observed at DPI 42 with an S/P cutoff of 0.10 (Table 1). Variable seroconversion was observed across pigs regardless the cutoff. Only one pig over 12 (pig 9) was seronegative throughout the study when an S/P cutoff value of 0.25 was used for result interpretation.



**Figure 3.** Distribution of cumulative porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) S1-based IgG ELISA sampleto-positive (S/P) ratios from serum samples collected at day post-inoculation (DPI) -7 to 42 from pigs inoculated with different porcine coronaviruses (PorCoVs) under experimental conditions. Each dot represents a sample from the different PorCoVs inoculation groups including PDCoV (n = 132), porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) (n = 132), porcine respiratory coronavirus (PRCV) (n = 132), porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (PHEV) (n = 132), transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) strains Miller (n = 132) and Purdue (n = 121), and negative control (n = 132) groups.





**Table 1.** Antibody (IgG) detection rate over time via porcine deltacoronavirus S1-based ELISA testing after experimental oral inoculation with PDCoV (USA/IL/2014 strain), using different sample-to-positive (S/P) cut-off values (0.10, 0.18, and 0.25). The table contains both individual (pig) and total detection by day post-inoculation. Samples tested positive for PDCoV antibodies are shown in red. Grey shaded boxes indicate changes in the qualitative results (negative to positive) at different S/P cutoff values.

Pig ID	Cutoff	Day Post-Inoculation							
I lg ID		7	10	14	17	21	28	35	42
	0.25	Neg <sup>a</sup>	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
1	0.18	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.10	Neg	Pos <sup>b</sup>	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
2	0.25	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.18	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.10	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
3	0.25	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.18	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.10	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
4	0.25	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.18	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.10	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
5	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg
	0.18	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos
	0.10	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos
6	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.18	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.10	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos

Pig ID	Cutoff -	Day Post-Inoculation							
I Ig ID		7	10	14	17	21	28	35	42
	0.25	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
7	0.18	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.10	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos
	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg
8	0.18	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg
	0.10	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
9	0.18	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
	0.10	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos
	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg
10	0.18	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Neg
	0.10	Neg	Pos						
11	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.18	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.10	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.25	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg
12	0.18	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos
	0.10	Pos	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Pos	Pos
Total	0.25	0/12	1/12	4/12	6/12	7/12	7/12	7/12	7/12
		(0%)	(8.3%)	(33.3%)	(50%)	(58.3%)	(58.3%)	(58.3%)	(58.3%)
	0.18	0/12	2/12	6/12	9/12	7/12	9/12	8/12	9/12
		(0%)	(16.7%)	(50%)	(75%)	(58.3%)	(75%)	(66.7%)	(75%)
	0.10	3/12	4/12	8/12	11/12	9/12	10/12	9/12	12/12
		(25%)	(33.3%)	(66.7%)	(91.7%)	(75%)	(83.3%)	(75%)	(100%)

Table 1. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Antibody negative; <sup>b</sup> Antibody Positive.

#### 3. Discussion

In the absence of commercial vaccines, the detection of PDCoV antibodies indicates that an animal is or was previously infected or received passive antibodies through lactation. Different immunoassays for the detection of PDCoV antibodies have been described [31–37]. However, pigs are exposed to different coronaviruses, and the potential antibody cross-reactivity may contribute to false-positive results, particularly when using conservative antigens for assay development [38–40]. Therefore, any immunoassays would have limited utility in the field unless the absence of potential cross-reactivity against other porcine coronaviruses commonly circulating in commercial swine herds is ruled out.

In a previous study focused on PEDV, we demonstrated that among different structural proteins evaluated, the N-terminal portion of the S protein (S1) not only contains major antigenic sites, but it is also virus-specific, showing no serological cross-reactivity with other porcine coronaviruses [39]. Immunoassays based on a more conservative protein (e.g., structural protein N) [23,31,33,38,41] are more susceptible to potential cross-reactivity with other coronaviruses. Therefore, among the different PDCoV structural and non-structural proteins, the present study evaluated the suitability of the S1 recombinant protein for specific detection of PDCoV antibodies used on an indirect ELISA platform.

As with other coronaviruses, PDCoV S protein is a glycosylated structural protein that consists of two subunits, the N-terminal S1 receptor-binding globular hydrophilic head and the C-terminal S2 membrane-fusion stalk, which is more conserved and highly hydrophobic [42]. Here, the S1 subunit was produced using a mammalian expression system and purified following a stepwise purification approach that combined affinity chromatography techniques, aided by the addition of both IgG-Fc and His tags, and molecular exclusion purification techniques. This strategy allowed for the generation of a recombinant protein in its native structure, including the appropriate post-translational modifications required for a glycosylated protein to be functional, e.g., proper antigen recognition, and receptor binding. Although the aminopeptidase N (APN) was initially

proposed as a cellular receptor for PDCoV [43,44], further evidence suggested the existence of additional unknown receptors for PDCoV [45]. Different studies identified major APN receptor-binding domains in the PDCoV S1 protein that are key targets of neutralizing antibodies [46,47]. The neutralizing activity was partially attributed to the blockage of sugarbinding activity, highlighting the importance of glycosylation and native conformation of the S1 protein [47].

Previous reports described the development of PDCoV ELISAs, based on the S1 protein [32,36], but none of them adequately addressed the potential cross-reactivity with other PorCoVs. Thus, the main goal of this study was to assess the presence or absence of antibody cross-reactivity against anti-sera obtained from pigs individually infected under experimental conditions with different porcine coronaviruses currently circulating in most commercial herds. As expected, the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, and the analytical specificity were directly associated with the specific cut-off used to interpret the ELISA results. No serological cross-reactivity was detected by ELISA when using an S/P cut-off value of 0.25, providing 100% specificity, though this had an impact on the overall time of detection and overall diagnostic sensitivity. Although all pigs were inoculated at the same time and with the same inoculum, both the time and the pattern of seroconversion varied across pigs. Contrarily, an S/P cutoff of 0.10 maximized the detection rate over time and overall diagnostic sensitivity but compromised the diagnostic specificity. In any case, the selection of an appropriate cutoff should be linked to the purpose of the testing and status of the herd (e.g., diagnosis vs. surveillance).

Previous studies in poultry [48], calves [49], mice [47], and even humans [50] evidenced the potential of PDCoV for interspecies and cross-species transmission. The PDCoV S1based indirect ELISA described herein could be easily adapted for antibody detection in different animal species simply by switching the labelled secondary antibody, i.e., species-specific antibody or non-species-specific protein A or G for primary binding antibodies. This could help in future serological investigations of the potential circulation of PDCoV across species.

This study concluded that, despite the complexity of the *Coronaviridae* family, coronavirus-specific serological tools could be developed when the right antigen target (i.e., S1) and the testing platform are appropriately selected and designed.

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Experimental Samples of Known Porcine Coronavirus Infection Status

The animal study was approved by the Iowa State University Office for Responsible Research and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC #12-17-8658-S). A conventional wean-to-finish farm with no history of porcine coronavirus infections was selected as the source to procure the pigs used in this study. Seven-week-old pigs (n = 83) that tested negative for PDCoV, PEDV, TGEV, PRCV, and PHEV by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) [23,51–53] and serologic [immunofluorescence assay (IFA) or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)] methods [23,39,40,52,54] were randomized into seven inoculation groups allocated to separate rooms. Detailed information about specific virus strains, virus titers, inoculum, inoculation routes, and number of pigs per group of inoculation is presented in Table 2. The pigs were closely observed twice daily for clinical signs throughout the study. Serum samples were collected from each group on day post-inoculation (DPI) –7, 0, 3, 7, 10, 14, 17, 21, 28, 35, and 42. All pigs were euthanized at DPI 42 by penetrative captive bolt (Accles and Shelvoke, Ltd., Sutton Coldfield, UK).

	Virus Strain	Cell Line	Virus Titer (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Virus Inoculum (mL)	Inoculation Route	Reference
PDCoV (12)	USA/IL/2014	Swine testicle	$1.5 imes10^6$	30	Orogastric	[23]
TGEV Miller (12)	ATCC <sup>a</sup> VR-1740	(ATCC <sup>®</sup> CRL-1746)	$4.0 imes10^6$	35	Orogastric	
TGEV Purdue (12)	ATCC VR-763		$2.4 imes 10^8$	30	Orogastric	[39,55]
PRCV (12)	ATCC VR-2384		$4.0  imes 10^5$	15	Nasal	
PEDV (12)	USA/IN/ 2013/19338E	Vero (ATCC® CCL-81)	$1.5 imes10^6$	15	Orogastric	
PHEV (12)	NVSL PHEV 67N	Swine kidney primary cells (NVSL-USDA <sup>b</sup> )	1:128 <sup>c</sup>	5	Oronasal	[53]
Negative control (12)	Culture medium	-	-	20	Oronasal	-

 Table 2. Porcine coronaviruses, inoculum dose and route of inoculation used for experimental inoculations.

<sup>a</sup> ATCC: American Type Culture Collection; <sup>b</sup> NVSL: National Veterinary Service Laboratory—United States Department of Agriculture; <sup>c</sup> Hemagglutination titer.

# 4.2. Generation of PDCoV S1 Recombinant Protein

With minor modifications, the PDCoV S1 recombinant protein was generated using a mammalian expression system as previously described for PEDV [39] and PHEV [54]. In brief, the coding region of the PDCoV S1 subunit gene was synthetically produced (Shanghai Genery Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) with the addition of a 5' terminal eukaryotic native signal, followed by a 3' terminal Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) cysteine protease site, and the Fc portion of human IgG1 (~2200 bp) (Table 3). After amplification by PCR, the amplicons were cloned into pNPM5 eukaryotic expression vector (Novoprotein, Short Hills, NJ, USA). Thereafter, human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells ( $1 \times 10^6$  cells/mL) (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY, USA) were transfected with the recombinant plasmid using polyethylenimine (PEI) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 1:4 ratio (plasmid: PEI, w/w) for protein expression. The transfected cells were grown in serum-free FreeStyle<sup>™</sup> 293 Expression Medium (Gibco, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37 °C with 8% CO<sub>2</sub> by orbital shaking at 130 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation  $(3500 \times g \text{ for } 20 \text{ min})$  on the fifth-day post-transfection, and culture supernatants were filter-sterilized (0.45 µm filter). Pilot assessment of protein expression was performed by dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and protein purification was performed through affinity chromatography, i.e., protein A and HisTrap<sup>TM</sup> FF and protein A affinity columns, according to manufacturer's instructions (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The last purification-polishing step was performed via a Superdex® 200 exclusion chromatography column (GE Healthcare) for high-resolution separation of proteins according to size.

	Sequences
DNA	ATGACATCCACTTTGCCTTTCTCTCCACAGGTGTCCACTCCCAGGTCCAAGTTT AAACGGATCTCTAGCGAATTCGCCGCCACCATGCAGAGAGCACTGCTGATTAT GACTCTGCTGGTGTGGTG
Primers	SPDCV-F-F: 5'-TAAACGGATCTCTAGCGAATTCGCCGCCACCATGCAGAGAGC-3' SPDCV-F-R1:5'- GTCTTGTCGGAGCCGCTCTGGAAGTACAGGTTCTCGTAGAAGAAGGTTGGTGTCAC-3' SPEDV-F1: 5'-CTTCCAGAGCGGCTCCGACAAGACCCACACCGTCGAGTGCCCACGTGCCCAG-3' SPEDV-R: 5'-CGAGCGGCCGCTAGCAAGCTTTCATTTACCCGGAGACAGGGAG-3' Sequences
Amino acid	MQRALLIMTLLCLVRAKFADDLLDLLTFPGAHRFLHKPTRNSSSLYSRANNNFDVGVLPGYPT KNVNLFSPLTNSTLPINGLHRSYQPLMLNCLTKITNHTLSMYLLPSEIQTYSCGGAMVKYQTHD AVRIILDLTATDHISVEVVGQHGENYVFVCSEQFNYTTALHNSTFFSLNSELYCFTNNTYLGILPP DLTDFTVYRTGQFYANGYLLGTLPITVNYVRLYRGHLSANSAHFALANLTDTLITLTNTTISQIT YCDKSVVDSIACQRSSHEVEDGFYSDPKSAVRARQRTIVTLPKLPELEVVQLNISAHMDFGEAR LDSVTINGNTSYCVTKPYFRLETNFMCTGCTMNLRTDTCSFDLSAVNNGMSFSQFCLSTESGAC EMKIIVTYVWNYLLRQRLYVTAVEGQTHTGTTSVHATDTSSVITDVCTDYTIYGVSGTGIIKPSD LLLHNGIAFTSPTGELYAFKNITTGKTLQVLPCETPSQLIVINNTVVGAITSSNSTENNRFTTTIVT PTFFYENLYFQSGSDKTHTVECPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVVDVSHE DPEVKFNWYVDGVEVHNAKTKPREEQYNSTYRVVSVLTVLHQDWLNGKEYKCKVSNKALPA PIEKTISKAKGQPREPQVYTLPPSREEMTKNQVSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYKTTP PVLDSDGSFFLYSKLTVDKSRWQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQKSLSLSPGK

**Table 3.** Primers, DNA and amino acid sequences of the codon-optimized PDCoV S1 protein for cloning and expression using a mammalian expression system.

Signal peptide (blue); Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) cysteine protease site (green); Human IgG1 Fc tag (purple).

#### 4.3. PDCoV Indirect ELISA

The optimum concentration of the purified PDCoV S1 recombinant protein for coating was determined via checkerboard titration using known antibody-positive and antibody-negative sera and maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio. Among the different coating concentrations evaluated (0.15, 0.3, 0.6, 1.2, and 2.4 µg/mL), the protein was diluted at 0.6 µg/mL in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Gibco<sup>®</sup>, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY, USA) pH 7.4, coated (100 µL per well) onto 96-well plates (Universal Binding, catalog number 95029390; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and incubated at 4 °C for 16 h. Plate wells were washed five times with 350 µL PBS pH 7.4 containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), blocked with a 1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin solution (Jackson ImmunoResearch Inc., West Grove, PA, USA), incubated at room temperature (20–25 °C) for 2 h, dried at 37 °C for 3 h, sealed and stored at 4 °C until use. Coated plates were subject to quality control (intraand inter-plate; percent coefficient of variation  $\leq 12\%$ ).

Serum samples (single well) and internal positive and negative controls (duplicate) were diluted to 1/100 in PBS pH 7.4 solution containing 50% goat serum (Gibco<sup>®</sup>, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and added to the PDCoV S1 protein-coated plates. The plates were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C, then washed three times with 350  $\mu$ L per well of PBST. Next, 100  $\mu$ L of HRP-labelled goat anti-pig IgG (Fc) (Bethyl Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX, USA) at 1:50,000 was added to each well, followed by a 30 min incubation at 37 °C. After an additional washing step with PBST, a 100  $\mu$ L tetramethylbenzidine-hydrogen peroxide (TMB) substrate solution (SurModics IVD, Inc., Eden Prairie, MN, USA) was added to each well and incubated for 5 min at room temperature in the dark. The reaction was stopped by adding 100  $\mu$ L of stop solution (SurModics IVD, Inc.) per well, and the optical density (OD<sub>450</sub>) at 450 nanometers was measured using an ELISA plate reader (SoftMax Pro 7; Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA) operated with SoftMax Pro7 software (Molecular Devices). Antibody responses were expressed as the sample-to-positive (S/P) ratios:

$$S/P ratio = \frac{(\text{sampleOD}_{450} - \text{negative controlmeanOD}_{450})}{(\text{positive controlmeanOD}_{450} - \text{negative control meanOD}_{450})}$$

### 4.4. Data Analysis

The ELISA S/P serum IgG responses across inoculation groups were plotted using GraphPad Prism<sup>®</sup> (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The R software package pROC (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pROC/index.html, accessed on 24 July 2022) [56] was used to perform a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis on experimental samples of known PorCoV infection status to estimate the diagnostic performance of the PDCoV S1-based indirect ELISA. The non-parametric DeLong method was used to estimate the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the area under curve (AUC) [57]. Before performing the analyses, the S/P data were normalized by a 1/3 power transformation; diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were derived from the ROC analyses for specific assay cut-offs.

The diagnostic sensitivity was estimated on sera from PDCoV-inoculated pigs collected after 14 DPI (n = 72). The diagnostic specificity and potential cross-reactivity of the PDCoV S1-based ELISA was assessed on serum samples from PorCoV-negative pigs (n = 333) and pigs inoculated with PEDV, TGEV, PRCV, and PHEV between DPI 7 to 42 (n = 472).

Typical methods for estimating confidence intervals of proportions are based on binomial distribution and do not account for the correlated structure of longitudinal data, i.e., repeated observations from the same animals over time. Therefore, a method for deriving CI for correlated, normally distributed data was utilized [39]. In brief, the correlation of the data was taken into account by fitting normalized data into a linear mixed model.

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \gamma i + \tau s_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} \tag{1}$$

the random error of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  observation for the  $j^{\text{ch}}$  observation for the  $l^{\text{ch}}$  subject; and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is the random error of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  observation for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  subject. The variances from equation 1 were used to calculate the 95% CIs for ROC-derived diagnostic sensitivity and diagnostic specificity estimates. Logit transformation was used to prevent the estimated intervals from exceeding the range of probability, i.e., (0, 1).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.G.G.-L., L.Y.; Methodology, L.Y., R.M., P.M., J.C.M.-D., J.Z., R.K.N., J.A.C.-Á., L.G.G.-L.; Data curation, J.C.M.-D., L.G.G.-L.; Formal analysis, L.Y., T.-Y.C., J.C.M.-D., L.G.G.-L.; investigation, L.Y., R.M., L.G.G.-L.; writing (original draft preparation), L.Y., J.C.M.-D., R.K.N., L.G.G.-L.; writing—review and editing, L.Y., J.C.M.-D., R.K.N., R.M., P.M., J.J.Z., J.A.C.-Á., T.-Y.C., D.H.B., J.Z., L.G.G.-L.; visualization, L.Y., R.K.N., J.C.M.-D., L.G.G.-L.; Supervision, L.G.G.-L.; Project administration, L.G.G.-L.; Funding acquisition, L.G.G.-L. We attest to the fact that all authors listed on the title page have read the manuscript, attest to the validity and legitimacy of the data and its interpretation, and agree to its submission to Pathogens. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was partially supported by Iowa Pork Producers Association funds (NPB#15-142) distributed through the National Pork Board (PO Box 9114, Des Moines, Iowa USA 50306).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Iowa State University Office (12-17-8658-S; 15 March 2016)." for studies involving animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Qi Chen for his help during virus propagation andtitration.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest with respect to the research authorship, and/or publication of this article. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

#### References

- Taxonomy History Taxonomy—ICTV. Available online: https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/p/taxonomy-history?taxnode\_ id=202101870 (accessed on 2 June 2022).
- Woo, P.C.Y.; Lau, S.K.P.; Lam, C.S.F.; Lau, C.C.Y.; Tsang, A.K.L.; Lau, J.H.N.; Bai, R.; Teng, J.L.L.; Tsang, C.C.C.; Wang, M.; et al. Discovery of Seven Novel Mammalian and Avian Coronaviruses in the Genus Deltacoronavirus Supports Bat Coronaviruses as the Gene Source of Alphacoronavirus and Betacoronavirus and Avian Coronaviruses as the Gene Source of Gammacoronavirus and Deltacoronavirus. J. Virol. 2012, 86, 3995–4008. [CrossRef]
- Woo, P.C.Y.; Huang, Y.; Lau, S.K.P.; Yuen, K.Y. Coronavirus Genomics and Bioinformatics Analysis. *Viruses* 2010, 2, 1805–1820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Chen, F.; Zhu, Y.; Wu, M.; Ku, X.; Yao, L.; He, Q. Full-Length Genome Characterization of Chinese Porcine Deltacoronavirus Strain CH/SXD1/2015. *Genome Announc.* 2015, *3*, 1284–1299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fang, P.; Fang, L.; Liu, X.; Hong, Y.; Wang, Y.; Dong, N.; Ma, P.; Bi, J.; Wang, D.; Xiao, S. Identification and Subcellular Localization of Porcine Deltacoronavirus Accessory Protein NS6. *Virology* 2016, 499, 170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fang, P.; Fang, L.; Hong, Y.; Liu, X.; Dong, N.; Ma, P.; Bi, J.; Wang, D.; Xiao, S. Discovery of a Novel Accessory Protein NS7a Encoded by Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2017, *98*, 173–178. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Byrum, B.; Zhang, Y. Detection and Genetic Characterization of Deltacoronavirus in Pigs, Ohio, USA, 2014. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2014, 20, 1227. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hu, H.; Jung, K.; Vlasova, A.N.; Chepngeno, J.; Lu, Z.; Wang, Q.; Saif, L.J. Isolation and Characterization of Porcine Deltacoronavirus from Pigs with Diarrhea in the United States. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2015**, *53*, 1537. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Byrum, B.; Zhang, Y. Porcine Coronavirus HKU15 Detected in 9 US States, 2014. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2014, 20, 1594. [CrossRef]

- Ajayi, T.; Dara, R.; Misener, M.; Pasma, T.; Moser, L.; Poljak, Z. Herd-level Prevalence and Incidence of Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea Virus (PEDV) and Porcine Deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) in Swine Herds in Ontario, Canada. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 2018, 65, 1197. [CrossRef]
- 11. Lee, S.; Lee, C. Complete Genome Characterization of Korean Porcine Deltacoronavirus Strain KOR/KNU14-04/2014. *Genome Announc.* 2014, 2, e01191-14. [CrossRef]
- Song, D.; Zhou, X.; Peng, Q.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, F.; Huang, T.; Zhang, T.; Li, A.; Huang, D.; Wu, Q.; et al. Newly Emerged Porcine Deltacoronavirus Associated with Diarrhoea in Swine in China: Identification, Prevalence and Full-Length Genome Sequence Analysis. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 2015, *62*, 575–580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saeng-chuto, K.; Lorsirigool, A.; Temeeyasen, G.; Vui, D.T.; Stott, C.J.; Madapong, A.; Tripipat, T.; Wegner, M.; Intrakamhaeng, M.; Chongcharoen, W.; et al. Different Lineage of Porcine Deltacoronavirus in Thailand, Vietnam and Lao PDR in 2015. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 2017, 64, 3–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Suzuki, T.; Shibahara, T.; Imai, N.; Yamamoto, T.; Ohashi, S. Genetic Characterization and Pathogenicity of Japanese Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2018**, *61*, 176–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Pérez-Rivera, C.; Ramírez-Mendoza, H.; Mendoza-Elvira, S.; Segura-Velázquez, R.; Sánchez-Betancourt, J.I. First Report and Phylogenetic Analysis of Porcine Deltacoronavirus in Mexico. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2019**, *66*, 1436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Vicente-Huaman, J.; Gómez-Quispe, O.E. Evaluation of a Porcine Deltacoronavirus Eradication Program in a Full-Cycle Pig Farm in Peru. J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res. 2021, 8, 300–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Doyle, L.; Hutchings, L. A Transmissible Gastroenteritis in Pigs. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 1946, 108, 257–259.
- 18. Wood, E. An Apparently New Syndrome of Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea. Vet. Rec. 1977, 100, 243–244. [CrossRef]
- 19. Akimkin, V.; Beer, M.; Blome, S.; Hanke, D.; Höper, D.; Jenckel, M.; Pohlmann, A. New Chimeric Porcine Coronavirus in Swine Feces, Germany, 2012. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 22, 1314. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, P.; Fan, H.; Lan, T.; Yang, X.-L.; Shi, W.F.; Zhang, W.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, Y.W.; Xie, Q.M.; Mani, S.; et al. Fatal Swine Acute Diarrhoea Syndrome Caused by an HKU2-Related Coronavirus of Bat Origin. *Nature* 2018, 556, 255–259. [CrossRef]
- Greig, A.S.; Mitchell, D.; Corner, A.H.; Bannister, G.L.; Meads, E.B.; Julian, R.J. A Hemagglutinating Virus Producing Encephalomyelitis in Baby Pigs. *Can. J. Comp. Med. Vet. Sci.* 1962, 26, 49–56.
- 22. Pensaert, M.; Callebaut, P.; Vergote, J. Isolation of a Porcine Respiratory, Non-Enteric Coronavirus Related to Transmissible Gastroenteritis. *Vet. Q.* **1986**, *8*, 257–261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, Q.; Gauger, P.; Stafne, M.; Thomas, J.; Arruda, P.; Burrough, E.; Madson, D.; Brodie, J.; Magstadt, D.; Derscheid, R.; et al. Pathogenicity and Pathogenesis of a United States Porcine Deltacoronavirus Cell Culture Isolate in 5-Day-Old Neonatal Piglets. *Virology* 2015, 482, 51–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jung, K.; Hu, H.; Eyerly, B.; Lu, Z.; Chepngeno, J.; Saif, L.J. Pathogenicity of 2 Porcine Deltacoronavirus Strains in Gnotobiotic Pigs. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2015, 21, 650. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Liang, X.; Lou, F.; Oglesbee, M.; Krakowka, S.; Li, J. Origin, Evolution, and Virulence of Porcine Deltacoronaviruses in the United States. *mBio* 2015, 6, e00064-15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, H.; Jung, K.; Vlasova, A.N.; Saif, L.J. Experimental Infection of Gnotobiotic Pigs with the Cell-Culture-Adapted Porcine Deltacoronavirus Strain OH-FD22. Arch. Virol. 2016, 161, 3421. [CrossRef]
- Li, G.; Chen, Q.; Harmon, K.M.; Yoon, K.J.; Schwartz, K.J.; Hoogland, M.J.; Gauger, P.C.; Main, R.G.; Zhang, J. Full-Length Genome Sequence of Porcine Deltacoronavirus Strain USA/IA/2014/8734. *Genome Announc.* 2014, 2, 278–292. [CrossRef]
- Janetanakit, T.; Lumyai, M.; Bunpapong, N.; Boonyapisitsopa, S.; Chaiyawong, S.; Nonthabenjawan, N.; Kesdaengsakonwut, S.; Amonsin, A. Porcine Deltacoronavirus, Thailand, 2015. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 22, 757. [CrossRef]
- 29. Wang, L.; Hayes, J.; Sarver, C.; Byrum, B.; Zhang, Y. Porcine Deltacoronavirus: Histological Lesions and Genetic Characterization. *Arch. Virol.* **2016**, *161*, 171. [CrossRef]
- 30. Zhang, J. Porcine Deltacoronavirus: Overview of Infection Dynamics, Diagnostic Methods, Prevalence and Genetic Evolution. *Virus Res.* **2016**, 226, 71–84. [CrossRef]
- Su, M.; Li, C.; Guo, D.; Wei, S.; Wang, X.; Geng, Y.; Yao, S.; Gao, J.; Wang, E.; Zhao, X.; et al. A Recombinant Nucleocapsid Protein-Based Indirect Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent to Detect Antibodies against Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2016, 78, 601. [CrossRef]
- Thachil, A.; Gerber, P.F.; Xiao, C.T.; Huang, Y.W.; Opriessnig, T. Development and Application of an ELISA for the Detection of Porcine Deltacoronavirus IgG Antibodies. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0124363. [CrossRef]
- Okda, F.; Lawson, S.; Liu, X.; Singrey, A.; Clement, T.; Hain, K.; Nelson, J.; Christopher-Hennings, J.; Nelson, E.A. Development of Monoclonal Antibodies and Serological Assays Including Indirect ELISA and Fluorescent Microsphere Immunoassays for Diagnosis of Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2016, *12*, 95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Luo, S.-X.; Fan, J.H.; Opriessnig, T.; Di, J.M.; Liu, B.-J.; Zuo, Y.Z. Development and Application of a Recombinant M Protein-Based Indirect ELISA for the Detection of Porcine Deltacoronavirus IgG Antibodies. J. Virol. Methods 2017, 249, 76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Hsu, T.H.; Liu, H.P.; Chin, C.Y.; Wang, C.; Zhu, W.Z.; Wu, B.L.; Chang, Y.C. Detection, Sequence Analysis, and Antibody Prevalence of Porcine Deltacoronavirus in Taiwan. *Arch. Virol.* **2018**, *163*, 3113–3117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, M.; Liu, Q.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, X.; Shi, D.; Liu, J.; Shi, H.; Chen, J.; Feng, L. Development of an Indirect ELISA for Detecting Porcine Deltacoronavirus IgA Antibodies. *Arch. Virol.* 2020, 165, 845–851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Xia, L.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, H. ELISA, Virus Neutralization, and Immunofluorescence Assays for Detection of Antibodies Against Porcine Deltacoronavirus. In *Animal Coronaviruses*; Humana: New York, NY, USA, 2022; pp. 197–207.
- Ma, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Liang, X.; Oglesbee, M.; Krakowka, S.; Niehaus, A.; Wang, G.; Jia, A.; Song, H.; Li, J. Two-Way Antigenic Cross-Reactivity between Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus and Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2016, 186, 90–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gimenez-Lirola, L.G.; Zhang, J.; Carrillo-Avila, J.A.; Chen, Q.; Magtoto, R.; Poonsuk, K.; Baum, D.H.; Piñeyro, P.; Zimmerman, J. Reactivity of Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus Structural Proteins to Antibodies against Porcine Enteric Coronaviruses: Diagnostic Implications. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2017, 55, 1426. [CrossRef]
- Magtoto, R.; Poonsuk, K.; Baum, D.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Q.; Ji, J.; Piñeyro, P.; Zimmerman, J.; Giménez-Lirola, L.G. Evaluation of the Serologic Cross-Reactivity between Transmissible Gastroenteritis Coronavirus and Porcine Respiratory Coronavirus Using Commercial Blocking Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay Kits. *mSphere* 2019, 4, e00017-19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vitosh-Sillman, S.; Loy, J.D.; Brodersen, B.; Kelling, C.; Doster, A.; Topliff, C.; Nelson, E.; Bai, J.; Schirtzinger, E.; Poulsen, E.; et al. Experimental Infection of Conventional Nursing Pigs and Their Dams with Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *J. Vet. Diagn. Investig.* 2016, 28, 486–497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Bosch, B.J.; van der Zee, R.; de Haan, C.A.M.; Rottier, P.J.M. The Coronavirus Spike Protein Is a Class I Virus Fusion Protein: Structural and Functional Characterization of the Fusion Core Complex. *J. Virol.* **2003**, 77, 8801–8811. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.; Hulswit, R.J.G.; Kenney, S.P.; Widjaja, I.; Jung, K.; Alhamo, M.A.; van Dieren, B.; van Kuppeveld, F.J.M.; Saif, L.J.; Bosch, B.J. Broad Receptor Engagement of an Emerging Global Coronavirus May Potentiate Its Diverse Cross-Species Transmissibility. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, 115, E5135–E5143. [CrossRef]
- 44. Zhu, X.; Liu, S.; Wang, X.; Luo, Z.; Shi, Y.; Wang, D.; Peng, G.; Chen, H.; Fang, L.; Xiao, S. Contribution of Porcine Aminopeptidase N to Porcine Deltacoronavirus Infection. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2018**, *7*, 65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Vlasova, A.N.; Kenney, S.P.; Jung, K.; Wang, Q.; Saif, L.J. Deltacoronavirus Evolution and Transmission: Current Scenario and Evolutionary Perspectives. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2021**, *7*, 1257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, R.; Fu, J.; Hu, J.; Li, C.; Zhao, Y.; Qu, H.; Wen, X.; Cao, S.; Wen, Y.; Wu, R.; et al. Identification of the Immunodominant Neutralizing Regions in the Spike Glycoprotein of Porcine Deltacoronavirus. *Virus Res.* 2020, 276, 197834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, Y.; Wang, B.; Liang, Q.-Z.; Shi, F.-S.; Ji, C.-M.; Yang, X.-L.; Yang, Y.-L.; Qin, P.; Chen, R.; Huang, Y.-W. Roles of Two Major Domains of the Porcine Deltacoronavirus S1 Subunit in Receptor Binding and Neutralization. *J. Virol.* 2021, 95, e01118-21. [CrossRef]
- 48. Boley, P.A.; Alhamo, M.A.; Lossie, G.; Yadav, K.K.; Vasquez-Lee, M.; Saif, L.J.; Kenney, S.P. Porcine Deltacoronavirus Infection and Transmission in Poultry, United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *26*, 255. [CrossRef]
- 49. Jung, K.; Hu, H.; Saif, L.J. Calves Are Susceptible to Infection with the Newly Emerged Porcine Deltacoronavirus, but Not with the Swine Enteric Alphacoronavirus, Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus. *Arch. Virol.* **2017**, *162*, 2357. [CrossRef]
- Lednicky, J.A.; Tagliamonte, M.S.; White, S.K.; Elbadry, M.A.; Alam, M.M.; Stephenson, C.J.; Bonny, T.S.; Loeb, J.C.; Telisma, T.; Chavannes, S.; et al. Independent Infections of Porcine Deltacoronavirus among Haitian Children. *Nature* 2021, 600, 133–137. [CrossRef]
- Kim, S.H.; Kim, I.J.; Pyo, H.M.; Tark, D.S.; Song, J.Y.; Hyun, B.H. Multiplex Real-Time RT-PCR for the Simultaneous Detection and Quantification of Transmissible Gastroenteritis Virus and Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus. J. Virol. Methods 2007, 146, 172–177. [CrossRef]
- Madson, D.M.; Magstadt, D.R.; Arruda, P.H.E.; Hoang, H.; Sun, D.; Bower, L.P.; Bhandari, M.; Burrough, E.R.; Gauger, P.C.; Pillatzki, A.E.; et al. Pathogenesis of Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus Isolate (US/Iowa/18984/2013) in 3-Week-Old Weaned Pigs. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2014, 174, 60–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mora-Díaz, J.C.; Temeeyasen, G.; Magtoto, R.; Rauh, R.; Nelson, W.; Carrillo-Ávila, J.A.; Zimmerman, J.; Piñeyro, P.; Giménez-Lirola, L. Infection and Immune Response to Porcine Hemagglutinating Encephalomyelitis Virus in Grower Pigs. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2021, 253, 108958. [CrossRef]
- Mora-Díaz, J.C.; Magtoto, R.; Houston, E.; Baum, D.; Carrillo-Ávila, J.A.; Temeeyasen, G.; Zimmerman, J.; Piñeyro, P.; Giménez-Lirola, L. Detecting and Monitoring Porcine Hemagglutinating Encephalomyelitis Virus, an Underresearched Betacoronavirus. *mSphere* 2020, 5, e00199-20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Chen, Q.; Li, G.; Stasko, J.; Thomas, J.T.; Stensland, W.R.; Pillatzki, A.E.; Gauger, P.C.; Schwartz, K.J.; Madson, D.; Yoon, K.J.; et al. Isolation and Characterization of Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Viruses Associated with the 2013 Disease Outbreak among Swine in the United States. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2014, 52, 234–243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Robin, X.; Turck, N.; Hainard, A.; Tiberti, N.; Lisacek, F.; Sanchez, J.C.; Müller, M. PROC: An Open-Source Package for R and S+ to Analyze and Compare ROC Curves. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2011, 12, 77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. DeLong, E.R.; DeLong, D.M.; Clarke-Pearson, D.L. Comparing the Areas under Two or More Correlated Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves: A Nonparametric Approach. *Biometrics* **1988**, *44*, 837–845. [CrossRef] [PubMed]