

CTPA, DECT, MRI, V/Q Scan, and SPECT/CT V/Q for the noninvasive diagnosis of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

A protocol for systemic review and network meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy

Shuanglan Xu, MM^a, Jiao Yang, MD^b, Yun Zhu, MD^c, Shuangyan Xu, MM^d, Jie Liu, MM^a, Yishu Deng, MB^a, Li Wei, MD^a, Mei Yang, MD^a, Xiaoxian Huang, MM^a, Bing Cao, MD^a, Chunfang Zhang, MM^a, Fangyun Zhao, MB^e, Xing Liu, MM^f, Xiqian Xing, MD^{a,*}, Zhongming Li, MD^{f,*}

Abstract

Background: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of techniques with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) patients via a protocol for systemic review and network meta-analysis.

Methods: We will search PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Google Scholar from inception to October 1, 2018. The reference lists of the retrieved articles are also consulted. Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies 2 (QUADAS-2) will be used to assess the risk of bias in each study. The direct meta-analyses, network meta-analyses, and ranking of competing diagnostic tests will be used by STATA 12.0 and WINBUGS 1.4. Heterogeneity and inconsistency are assessed.

Results: This study is ongoing, will be submitted to a peer-reviewed journal publication once completed.

Conclusion: This study will provide a comprehensive evidence summary of diagnostic test accuracy in detecting the CTEPH, and can help patients and clinicians to select appropriate or best diagnostic test.

Ethics and Communication: No ethical approval and patient consent are required, because it is based on published researches.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42019121279.

Abbreviations: CTEPH = chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, CTPA = Computed Tomography Pulmonary Angiography, DECT = dual energy computed tomography, DOR = diagnostic odds ratios, DSA = digital subtraction angiography, ERS = European Respiratory Society, ESC = European Society of Cardiology, FN = false negative, FP = false positive, MeSH = Medical Subject Heading, mPAP = mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PA = RHC and pulmonary angiography, PH = pulmonary hypertension, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, PROSPERO = prospective register of systematic review, QUADAS-2 = Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies 2, RHC = right heart catheterization, SEN = sensitivity, SPE = specificity, SUCRA = surface under the cumulative ranking, TN = true negative, TP = true positive, V/Q Scan = ventilation perfusion scan, WHO = World Health Organization.

Keywords: chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, diagnostic, network meta-analysis, noninvasive diagnosis, protocol

SX, JY, YZ are co-first authors and contribute equally to this study.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Medicine (2019) 98:34(e16787)

Received: 16 July 2019 / Accepted: 18 July 2019

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81560694 and 81760015), the "Special and Joint Program" of Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department and Kunming Medical University (No. 2018FE001(-2–6) and 2017FE467(-094)), Yunnan health training project of high level talents (No. D-201627), and Young Academic and Technical Leaders of Yunnan Province (No. 2017HB053).

^a Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, The Second People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, ^b First Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, ^c The People's Hospital of Yuxi City, The 6th Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Yuxi, ^d Department of Dermatology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, ^e Department of Pharmacy, Yan'an Hospital Affiliated to Kunming Medical University, [†] Department of Anatomy, Basic Medical Sciences of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Xiqian Xing, Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, The Second People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, No. 176, Qing Nian Road, Kunming 650021, Yunnan, China (e-mail: xingxiqiankm@163.com) or Zhongming Li, Department of Anatomy, Basic Medical Sciences of Kunming Medical University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan, China (e-mail: coppyy1998@163.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000016787

1. Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is defined as an elevated mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) ≥ 25 mm Hg and pulmonary artery wedge pressure ≤ 15 mm Hg at rest as measured by invasive right heart catheterization (RHC).^[1] Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is the clinical classification of PH. It is a progressive pulmonary vascular disease with significant morbidity and mortality.^[2] However, if went through a successful surgical treatment or catheter-based intervention, substantial improvement can be obtained in right ventricular function, exercise capacity, gas exchange, and quality of life.^[3] Unfortunately, the patient cannot use this treatment at the time of diagnosis. This might be partly the signs and symptoms are not specific or even undetected in the early stage of, CTEPH remains largely underdiagnosed.

To date, conventional pulmonary angiography remains the gold standard for confirming the diagnosis of CTEPH. However, with the development of noninvasive diagnosis technique, this invasive technique is mandatory no more to confirm the diagnosis. The guidelines of European Respiratory Society (ERS) and European Society of Cardiology (ESC) published in 2015 can provide an algorithm to diagnose CTEPH.^[4] And diagnosis flow of CTEPH based on this guideline show that such test can proved useful diagnose of CTEPH (Fig. 1), including echocardiography, ventilation perfusion scan (V/Q Scan), computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA), RHC and pulmonary angiography (PA). Dual energy computed tomography (DECT) and single photon emission computed tomography SPECT/CT V/Q scanning as newer modalities,



Figure 1. Diagnosis flow of CTEPH. CTEPH=chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension.

which have emerged in the past decade, and used to the diagnosis of CTEPH now. However, it is still unclear which individual test or combined test is the best for the noninvasive diagnosing CTEPH based on currently available studies. Thus, it is important to find specific test for this type of PH.

We know that network analysis is widely used to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of different drugs. No network metaanalysis to rank the diagnostic test accuracy for CTEPH now. Therefore, we aimed to evaluate and compare the diagnostic accuracy of techniques for CTEPH using network meta-analysis method, and to rank these test using superiority index.

2. Methods

2.1. Design and registration

We will conduct a network meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy. The study protocol has been registered on the international prospective register of systematic review (PROS-PERO), the registration number was CRD42019121279. We will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)^[5] statements for reporting our systematic review.

2.2. Information sources

We searched PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Google Scholar from inception to October 1, 2018 to identify relevant eligible systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

2.3. Search strategy

The search strategy was designed and conducted by an experienced medical librarian with input from study investigators. The Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and free keywords used to identify article. We combined search terms for applied technique (CTPA, dual-energy computed tomography [DECT], MRI, V/Q scan, or SPECT/CT V/Q) and disease (chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, CTEPH).

2.4. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: a cohort study or casecontrol study; a suspected or confirmed diagnosis of CTEPH based on World Health Organization (WHO) Evian/Venice classification; determination of tests including CTPA, DECT, MRI, V/Q Scan, or SPECT/CT V/Q; an evaluation of the association between technique tests and the diagnosis of CTEPH patients; and the presence of sufficient data including true positive (TP), false positive (FP), true negative (TN), and false negative (FN) to calculate the sensitivity (SEN), specificity (SPE), and diagnostic odds ratios (DOR). Studies were excluded based on the following criteria: a review, abstract, case report, or letter; use of animals; and duplicate report. If the data were duplicated or the same population was used in >1 study, we chose the most recent or complete study. The published language was not limited.

2.5. Study selection

We will import initial search records form databases into ENDNOTE X8 (Clarivate Analytics, 2017) literature management software. Two independent investigators (XXQ and XSL) examined titles and abstracts of all search results. After one



investigator regarded a study as potentially eligible, we read the full article and resolved disagreements by discussion. The flow chart of searching and screening studies is showed at the Fig. 2.

2.6. Data extraction

According to the aforementioned selection criteria, data extraction was performed by one investigator (XSL) using a standardized data abstraction form, and verified by a second investigator (XXQ). Any disagreements were resolved by discussion. The following information was extracted from the eligible studies: studies characteristics (i.e., the name of the first author, publication year, study period, country, study type, gold standard, index test), patients characteristics (i.e., sample number, sex of patients, mean age or age range, non-invasive method, cut-off level, risk factors of CTEPH), and outcomes (TP, FP, FN, TN, SEN, SPE, DOR). If available, data were recorded on patient basis (i.e., past history about pulmonary thromboembolism or deep vein thrombosis) and vessel basis (i.e., main + lobar pulmonary arteries and segmental arteries).

2.7. Quality evaluation

The Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies 2 (QUADAS-2)^[6] will used for methodological quality evaluation for each study, and has 2 parameters: risk of bias and concerns regarding applicability. High-quality, low-biased literature will be screened for this work. Two reviewers will independently assess, and conflicts will be resolved by discussion.

2.8. Statistical analysis

2.8.1. Direct meta-analyses. We will perform pairwise metaanalyses for all diagnostic tests comparisons, the Q-test and I^2 test will be used to assess heterogeneity among the studies.^[7] We also calculated the P values of the Q-test, which represent heterogeneity; values of $P \le .10$ or $I^2 \ge 50\%$ indicated heterogeneity among studies, and a D-L random random-effect model was used. Otherwise, the M-H fixed-effects model was applied. Data analyses will be performed using STATA statistical software version 12.0 (STATA Corp. LLC, College Station, TX).

2.8.2. Network meta-analyses. A network is including headby-head comparisons and indirect comparisons. Type of network plot have showed (Fig. 3), a node represents a test, the thickness of the lines between the nodes is proportional to the direct comparisons between tests, and the size of the nodes is proportional to the number of studies evaluating a test. We make a hypothesis V/Q scan as fundamental reference test, the relative diagnostic outcomes between different tests will calculated, including relative SEN, relative SPE, and relative DOR.^[8] Data analyses will be conducted using STATA statistical software version 12.0 (STATA Corp. LLC, College Station, TX) and Bayesian Inference Using Gibbs Sampling (WINBUGS 1.4, MRC Biostatistics Unit, England).

2.8.3. Ranking of competing diagnostic tests. We will rank diagnostic test in order of their accuracy using the surface under the cumulative ranking (SUCRA).

2.8.4. Heterogeneity and consistency test. The strategies employed to explore the origin of pairwise meta-analysis, also can be used in network analysis to tackle inconsistency.^[9] Differences between direct and indirect evidence were assessed using tests of model consistency by including trial design as an



additional covariate in the model. Whether the heterogeneity or inconsistency among the studies will be detected, subgroup analysis, sensitivity analysis or meta-regression model will be performed to explore the possible sources of heterogeneity or inconsistency.

Subgroup analysis of variables is including sample size, severity of CTEPH, diagnostic duration. A sensitivity analysis used to evaluate the robustness of our analysis by recalculating the pooled results from the primary analyses after excluding one study per iteration.

2.8.5. Publication bias. There are >10 studies, the Deek funnel plot will be applied to evaluate the extent of potential publication bias.^[10] Otherwise, the Begg rank correlation test and Egger linear regression test will be applied.

2.8.6. Patient and public involvement. Not involved.

3. Discussion

Before on the most previous studies, we found that this will be the first diagnostic network meta-analysis comprehensively comparing different diagnostic accuracy of techniques for CTEPH.

As we all known, many tests can be applied as diagnostic tools for CTEPH, inclusive of invasive and non-invasive. There is the first meta-analysis carried out to estimate the role of CT in detection of CTEPH, it indicated a moderate sensitivity and a high specificity of CT for the assessment of CTEPH on patient basis, therefore CT can be used as a good tool for the diagnosis of CTEPH.^[11] With the development of technology, DECT has introduced into clinical practice in 2006, and it is used maturely to detect physiological gradients of lung perfusion and subtle perfusion defects.^[12] DECT is more accurate than angiography at identifying the segmental location of abnormalities.^[13] Recent studies have shown that the diagnostic value for DECT was consistent with V/Q Scan excellently.^[14]

Tunaria's group showed V/Q scan have the sensitivity (96– 97.4% vs 51%) and the specificity (90–95% vs 99%) compared with CTPA. So, they believe their results demonstrate that V/Q scan has a higher sensitivity than CTPA in detecting CTEPH.^[15] Compared with CTPA, V/Q scans with less radiation have 2 advantages, avoid complications secondary to administration of intravenous contrast, other one is more cost effective. Furthermore, 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines showed the V/Q scan as the fastest imaging method to diagnose CTEPH, gives 96 to 97% sensitivity and 90 to 97% specificity.^[4] However, V/Q scan is not specific for CTEPH, false positive results can be seen in pathologies such as fibrosing mediastinitis,^[16] pulmonary artery sarcoma.^[17] What's more, several studies have performed that the superiority of SPECT/CT V/Q imaging tool over planar techniques in the assessment of pulmonary thromboembolic disease.^[18]

Combining digital subtraction angiography (DSA) and right heart catheterization, it can provide the choice of diagnosing CTEPH and assess the eligibility of surgery.^[19] But DSA not be widely used yet, because it's invasive and expensive.^[20] Contrastenhanced MRI has high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing CTEPH compared with CT pulmonary angiography.^[21] However, uncertainty still persists about the performance of MRI. But now, it is still unclear which test will be favorable among those tests for the patient with CTEPH. Hence, this study will provide a comprehensive evidence summary of diagnostic test accuracy in detecting the CTEPH, in order to help clinicians and patients.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Xiqian Xing, Shuanglan Xu, Jiao Yang, Yun Zhu, Zhongming Li.

Data curation: Xiqian Xing, Shuanglan Xu, Jiao Yang, Yun Zhu. Formal analysis: Xiqian Xing, Shuanglan Xu, Jiao Yang, Yun

- Zhu, Zhongming Li.
- Funding acquisition: Xiqian Xing.
- Investigation: Yishu Deng, Li Wei, Mei Yang, Xiaoxian Huang.
- Methodology: Yishu Deng, Li Wei, Mei Yang, Xiaoxian Huang.
- Project administration: Shuangyan Xu, Jie Liu, Bing Cao.
- Resources: Shuangyan Xu, Jie Liu, Bing Cao.

Software: Chunfang Zhang, Fangyun Zhao, Xing Liu.

Supervision: Chunfang Zhang, Fangyun Zhao, Xing Liu.

Validation: Xiqian Xing, Zhongming Li.

Visualization: Xigian Xing, Zhongming Li.

Writing - original draft: Shuanglan Xu.

Writing - review & editing: Jiao Yang, Yun Zhu.

Xiqian Xing orcid: 0000-0002-5359-1778.

References

- Gopalan D, Delcroix M, Held M. Diagnosis of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir Rev 2017;26:pii: 160108.
- [2] Elwing JM, Vaidya A, Auger WR. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: an update. Clin Chest Med 2018;39:605–20.
- [3] Fedullo P, Kerr KM, Kim NH, et al. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011;183:1605–13.
- [4] Galie N, Humbert M, Vachiery JL, et al. 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: The Joint Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS): Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC), International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). Eur Respir J 2015;46:903–75.
- [5] McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, et al. Preferred reporting items for a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies: the PRISMA-DTA statement. JAMA 2018;319:388–96.
- [6] Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med 2011;155:529–36.
- [7] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–60.
- [8] Glas AS, Lijmer JG, Prins MH, et al. The diagnostic odds ratio: a single indicator of test performance. J Clin Epidemiology 2003;56:1129–35.
- [9] Harbord RM, Deeks JJ, Egger M, et al. A unification of models for metaanalysis of diagnostic accuracy studies. Biostatistics 2007;8:239–51.
- [10] Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L. The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. J Clin Epidemiol 2005;58:882–93.
- [11] Dong C, Zhou M, Liu D, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0126985.
- [12] Felloni P, Duhamel A, Faivre JB, et al. Regional distribution of pulmonary blood volume with dual-energy computed tomography: results in 42 subjects. Acad Radiol 2017;24:1412–21.
- [13] Dournes G, Verdier D, Montaudon M, et al. Dual-energy CT perfusion and angiography in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: diagnostic accuracy and concordance with radionuclide scintigraphy. Eur Radiol 2014;24:42–51.
- [14] Masy M, Giordano J, Petyt G, et al. Dual-energy CT (DECT) lung perfusion in pulmonary hypertension: concordance rate with V/Q scintigraphy in diagnosing chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH). Eur Radiol 2018;28:5100–10.
- [15] Tunariu N, Gibbs SJ, Win Z, et al. Ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy is more sensitive than multidetector CTPA in detecting chronic thromboembolic pulmonary disease as a treatable cause of pulmonary hypertension. J Nucl Med 2007;48:680–4.
- [16] Rossi SE, McAdams HP, Rosado-de-Christenson ML, et al. Fibrosing mediastinitis. Radiographics 2001;21:737–57.

- [17] Kerr KM. Pulmonary artery sarcoma masquerading as chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med 2005;2:108–12. quiz 113.
- [18] Renapurkar RD, Bolen MA, Shrikanthan S, et al. Comparative assessment of qualitative and quantitative perfusion with dual-energy CT and planar and SPECT-CT V/Q scanning in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2018;8:414–22.
- [19] Pitton MB, Duber C, Mayer E, et al. Hemodynamic effects of nonionic contrast bolus injection and oxygen inhalation during pulmonary

angiography in patients with chronic major-vessel thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Circulation 1996;94:2485–91.

- [20] He J, Fang W, Lv B, et al. Diagnosis of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: comparison of ventilation/perfusion scanning and multidetector computed tomography pulmonary angiography with pulmonary angiography. Nucl Med Commun 2012;33:459–63.
- [21] Rajaram S, Swift AJ, Capener D, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of contrastenhanced MR angiography and unenhanced proton MR imaging compared with CT pulmonary angiography in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Eur Radiol 2012;22:310–7.