RESEARCH ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

Estrogen related receptor alpha triggers the migration and invasion of endometrial cancer cells via up regulation of TGFB1

Xiumin Huang^a, Xuelian Wang^a, Jing Shang^a, Zhiqin Zhaang^a, Binbin Cui^a, Yanzhen Lin^a, Ying Yang^a, Youyi Song^a, Shengnan Yu^a, and Junjie Xia^b

^aDepartment of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Zhongshan Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, China; ^bOrgan Transplantation Institute, Xiamen University, No. 308, Xiang'an South Road, Xiamen City, Fujian Province, China

ABSTRACT

Estrogenic signals have been suggested to be important for the tumorigenesis and progression of endometrial cancer (EC) cells. Our present data showed that estrogen related receptor alpha (ERR α), while not ERR β or ERR γ , was significantly elevated in EC cells and tissues when compared to their controls. Targeted inhibition of ERR α by siRNA or its inverse agonist XCT-790 can suppress the migration and invasion of EC cells. Both si-ERR α and XCT-790 decreased the expression of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β). ERR α can directly bind with the promoter of TGFB1 and then increase its transcription. Further, ERR α was involved in the positive self-feedback loop of TGF- β in EC cells. Targeted inhibition of ERR α /TGF- β can synergistically suppress the in vitro invasion of EC cells. Collectively, our data suggested that ERR α can trigger the cell migration and invasion via increasing the positive self-feedback regulation of TGF- β .

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 6 March 2018 Revised 11 May 2018 Accepted 14 May 2018

KEYWORDS ERR α ; endometrial cancer; TGF- β ; migration; invasion

1. Introduction

As one of the most common gynecologic cancer, the incidence of endometrial cancer (EC) has increased worldwide [1]. There is 60,050 women diagnosed with EC, and 10,470 women died from this disease in 2016 [2]. Numerous factors such as exogenous hormone, obesity, late menopause can induce the turmorigenesis and progression of EC [3]. During the past decade, the primary treatment for EC is surgery followed by adjuvant radiation therapy and chemotherapy. However, metastasis is one of the major reasons responsible for treatment failure. It has been reported that 28% patients being diagnosed have regional or distant metastasis [4]. The fiveyear survival rate for EC patients with metastasis is only about 15-20% [5]. Therefor understanding the mechanisms for EC metastasis will be great helpful for the drug development and clinical treatment of EC patients.

As one of the most important hormone-responsive tumors, the metastasis of EC is frequently correlated with activity of estrogen signals and expression of estrogen receptors (ER α and ER β) [6]. Targeted inhibition of ER has suggested a possible role in the development of novel therapy approach for EC treatment [7]. Estrogen receptor (ER)-related receptors (ERRs; ERR α , ERR β , and ERR γ), which belong to the NR3B group of nuclear receptors, have high homologous DNA-binding domains with ER α [8]. Accumulating evidences indicate that orphan nuclear receptor ERR can regulate cell growth and tumorigenesis in various cancers [9]. For example, increased ERR α levels are correlated with a higher risk of recurrence and poor prognosis in breast cancer [10]. ERR α is one of the negative prognostic factors in human prostate cancer [11]. Recently, ERR α has been detected in human EC tissues and cell lines [12]. The upregulation of ERR α might be correlated with the tumor growth and advancement in uterine endometrial cancers [13]. Furthermore, expression of ERR α mRNA is positively correlated with FIGO stage (determined by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics with increasing numbers indicating the neoplastic progression, P =0.019) and myometrial invasion (P = 0.043) of endometrial adenocarcinoma [14]. All these results suggest that ERR α is involve in the progression and metastasis of EC, while how ERR α contribute to the malignant state of EC remains unclear.

In order to elucidate the function and related mechanisms of ERR α in endometrial cancer, we checked the expression of ERR α in both cells and EC tissues in the

CONTACT Dr. Xiumin Huang Xmhuangxmu@126.com Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Zhongshan Hospital of Xiamen University, Hubin Nan Road 201, 361004, Xiamen Fujian, PRChina; Dr. Junjie Xia Xia@xmu.edu.cn Organ Transplantation Institute, Xiamen University, No. 308, Xiang'an South Road, 361102, Xiamen City, Fujian Province, China.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed at Attps://doi.org/10.1080/19336918.2018.1477901

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

present study. By performing gain and loss of function experiments, we demonstrated the promotion effects of ERR α on migration and invasion of EC cells via upregulation of TGF- β .

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells culture, transfection, and treatment

Human endometrial cell line endometrial stromal cell (ESC) and human endometrial cancer cell lines HEC1A, HEC1B, ECC1, AN3CA, KLE, and RL95-2 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) and maintained with Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM)/F12 (HyClone, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. For cell transfection, siRNA for ERR α and siRNA negative control (siNC) obtained from Ambion (Austin, TX) were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The final working concentration of siRNA was 20 nM. To confirm the roles of TGF- β in ERR α regulated cell motility, recombinant TGF- β (10 ng/ml) or anti-TGF- β neutralization antibody (50 ng/ml) was added in to the culture medium of EC cells.

2.2. Human tissue collection

Total 32 paired of tumor tissues and adjacent normal endometrial samples (including 9 carcinoma tissues with lymphoma metastasis) were collected in our hospital during July 2012 to October 2016 according to the approval of Medical Ethical Committee of our hospital. All endometrial cancer samples were collected from patients did not receive any therapy before surgery. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. Total RNA in tissues were extracted by use of Trizol, then the mRNA expression of ERR α was measured by qRT-PCR. The protein expression of ERR α was measured by western blot analysis.

2.3. qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from tissues or cells by use of Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the the manufacturer's instructions. Then, 1 μ g of total RNA was converted to cDNA by use of the PrimeScript RT-PCR Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). Samples for real-time PCR analysis were prepared using the Light Cycler 480 DNA SYBR Green I Master (Roche Diagnostic, Mannheim, Germany) in Light Cycler 480 system II (Roche Diagnostic, Mannheim,

Germany) with the following primers: ERR α forward primer, 5'- CGA GAG GAG TAT GTT CTA CT-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- TGC AGA GCT TCT CGC AGC T-3'; ERR β forward primer, 5'- CCG AGA GCT TGT GGT CAT CA-3'; and reverse primer, 5'-ACA CCA GCT TGT CGT CAT AG-3'; ERRy forward primer, 5'- TAA TGC TAT CCT GCA GCT GG-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- CTG CAG CGC TTC ATG TAA GA-3'; IL-3 forward primer, 5'- CGG AGA ATC TGA CCT GCT GGA T-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- GAC ACT CGT ACT GTT GAC GCC T-3'; IL-4 forward primer, 5'- CCG TAA CAG ACA TCT TTG CTG CC-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- GAG TGT CCT TCT CAT GGT GGC T-3'; IL-6 forward primer, 5'- AGA CAG CCA CTC ACC TCT TCA G-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- TTC TGC CAG TGC CTC TTT GCT G-3'; IL-8 forward primer, 5'- GAG AGT GAT TGA GAG TGG ACC AC-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- CAC AAC CCT CTG CAC CCA GTT T-3'; IL-10 forward primer, 5'- TCT CCG AGA TGC CTT CAG CAG A-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- TCA GAC AAG GCT TGG CAA CCC A-3'; BMP1 forward primer, 5'- CCA ATG GCT ACT CTG CTC ACA TG-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- AAG CCA TCT CGG ACC TCC ACA T-3'; TGFB1 forward primer, 5'- TAC CTG AAC CCG TGT TGC TCT C-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- GTT GCT GAG GTA TCG CCA GGA A-3'; TNF- α forward primer, 5'- CTC TTC TGC CTG CTG CAC TTT G -3'; and reverse primer, 5'- ATG GGC TAC AGG CTT GTC ACT C -3'; VEGFA forward primer, 5'- TTG CCT TGC TGC TCT ACC TCC A -3'; and reverse primer, 5'- GAT GGC AGT AGC TGC GCT GAT A -3'; CSF forward primer, 5'- TGA GAC ACC TCT CCA GTT GCT G -3'; and reverse primer, 5'- GCA ATC AGG CTT GGT CAC CAC A -3'; GAPDH forward primer, 5'-ATG GTG AAG GTC GGT GTG AAC-3'; and reverse primer, 5'- TGT AGT TGA GGT CAA TGA AGG-3'. The level of gene expression in each sample was normalized to the respective GAPDH expression level.

2.4. Western blot analysis

Cells were lysed by use of the M-Per Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent (Pierce Biotechnology, Woburn, MA). Total proteins (40 μ g) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membrane was blocked by PBS containing 5% non-fat dry milk and incubated with primary monoclonal antibodies, against ERR α , ERR β , ERR γ , MMP-2, MMP-9, TGF- β , or GAPDH at a dilution of 1:2000, at 4°C for overnight. After washed with PBS three times, membranes were further incubated with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies provided by Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). The immunoblot was visualized by use of ECL western blot detection reagent (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and analyzed by ImageQuant LAS 4000 System (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). GAPDH was blotted to show equal protein loading.

2.5. Wound healing and cell invasion assay

The effects of ERR α on the motility of EC cells were evaluated by use of wound healing and cell invasion assay according to the previous study [15]. For wound healing assay, cells were plated at 6-well plates with 90% confluence. After transfection or treatment, cells were wounded with a 1-mm-wide pipette tip and then incubated with serum-free medium for the indicated times. Distance migrated was quantitated by taking pictures at the indicated times.

The transwell plates (8 μ m-pore size, 24-well plate, Corning Costar, Cambridge, MA) coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) were used to measure the *in vitro* cell invasion ability. After transfection, 2 × 10⁴ cells were seeded in the upper compartment of the transwell without FBS. The lower chamber was filled with culture media containing 10% FBS. At the end of the experiment, cells invaded to the lower surface of the filter were fixed with 10% formalin, stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and counted under a light microscope. Tests were repeated via three independent experiments.

2.6. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) for TGF- β

The effects of ERR α on the expression of TGF- β in medium of EC cells were evaluated by use of a TGF- β ELISA kit (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland). Briefly, supernatants of 2 × 10⁶ cells treated with or without XCT-790, a potent and selective inverse agonist ligand of ERR α , for 24 h were collected and concentrated using an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (3K) (Millipore, Temecula, CA). Then levels of TGF- β in medium were measured by use of the kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.7. Promoter activity of TGFB1

The effects of XCT-790 on the transcription activity of TGFB1 promoter were evaluated by use of a luciferase reporter assay on the basis of the previous study [16]. Briefly, the -436/+55 bp flanking the transcription start

site of the human *TGFB1* promoter was cloned to generate the pTGF- β -luciferase plasmid. Then cells were transfected with pTGF- β -luciferase (250 ng) and the pSV- β -galactosidase vector (25 ng) (Promega, USA) and further treated with or without XCT-790. The luciferase activity (Promega, USA) and β -galactosidase activity (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were analyzed by use of commercial kits according to the instructions.

2.8. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) PCR

After treated with or without XCT-790, cells were crosslinked by use of formaldehyde to crosslink proteins and their interacting DNA. Then cells were collected, lysed, and sonicated to shear DNA into fragments of 500–1000 bp in length. The antibody against ERR α and human IgG were used for immunoprecipitation. The promoter of TGFB1 was amplified by use of the primer as follow: forward 5'-TCC TTG GCG TAG TAG TAG TC-3', and reverse 5'-CAA GAC TAT CGA CAT GGA G-3'.

2.9. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by use of the SPSS statistical software (Abbott Laboratories, USA). The data were presented as mean \pm standard error. The comparison between two groups was analyzed by t-test (two-sided). In all samples, P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. The expression of ERR $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ in EC cells

Firstly, we used qRT-PCR to evaluate the mRNA expression of ERR $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ in EC cells and compared the expression with that in human endometrial stromal cell (ESC). Our data showed that the expression of ERR α was significantly increased in human EC cells as compared to that in human ESC cells. The expression of ERR γ was also increased in some EC cell lines such as ECC1 and AN3CA as compared to that in ESC cells (Figure 1A). We then evaluated the protein expression of ERR $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ in EC cells. In order to evaluate the relative expression of ERR family, we firstly evaluate the expression of GAPDH in equal amount of protein by western blot analysis. Then the adjusted amount of protein was loaded to obtain the comparable levels of GAPDH in each cell samples. Our data showed that the expression of ERR α , while not ERR β or ERR γ , was significantly increased in EC



Figure 1. The expression of ERR $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ in EC cells. (A) The mRNA expression of ERR $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ in human EC and ESC cells were checked by qRT-PCR; (B) The protein expression of ERR $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ in human EC and ESC cells were checked by western blotting (left) and quantitatively analyzed (right). Each experiment has been replicated for three times. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 as compared with the control group.

cells as compared with that in human ESC cells (Figure 1B). These data showed that expression of ERR α was significantly increased in human EC cells.

3.2. The expression of ERR α was up regulated in human EC tissues

We then checked the expression of ERR α in 32 human EC tissues paired with the adjacent non-neoplastic normal tissues (9 carcinoma tissues with lymphoma metastasis) (Table 1). Our data showed that the expression of ERR α in EC tissues was significantly greater than that in their matched adjacent non-neoplastic normal tissues (Figure 2A). In addition, the expression of ERR α was consistently increased even more in EC tissues with lymph node metastases (8/9, 88.9%, Figure 2B). The expression of ERR α in EC patients with lymph node metastases was significantly greater than that in patients without lymph node metastases (p < 0.05, Table 1). Collectively, clinical data revealed that expression of ERR α was increased in EC tissues and might be involved in cancer metastasis.

3.3. Targeted inhibition of ERR α suppressed migration and invasion of EC cells

We then evaluated the effects of ERR α on cell motility of EC cells. Firstly, we knocked down the expression of ERR α in both HEC1A and ECC cells by use of si-RNA (Figure 3A). Our data confirmed that si-NC had no effect

Table 1. The detailed pathological features of clinical EC tissues (n = 32).

Characteristics		Ν	Expression of ERR α	p value
Tumor/Adjacent	Tumor	32	0.94 ± 0.54	<0.01
	Adjacent	32	2.97 ± 1.41	
Age	<u>≤</u> 50	11	3.27 ± 1.01	0.802
	>50	21	$\textbf{3.02} \pm \textbf{1.37}$	
FIGO stage	l~II	25	$\textbf{2.94} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	0.168
	III~IV	7	3.11 ± 1.27	
Grade	1~2	19	$\textbf{2.83} \pm \textbf{1.31}$	0.132
	3	13	3.09 ± 1.54	
Lymph node metastasis	No	23	2.67 ± 1.28	0.045
	Yes	9	$\textbf{3.34} \pm \textbf{1.23}$	

on protein expression (Figure S1). The si-ERR α -1 was used for next study due to the better efficiency. Our data showed that si-ERR α -1 can decrease the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in both HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 3B). Wound healing assay showed that si-ERR α -1 can impair the wound healing of both HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 3C). This was confirmed by the transwell analysis that knockdown of ERRa significantly inhibited the invasion of both HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 3D), while si-NC had no effect on cell migration (Figure S2). In addition, cell viability assay showed that si-ERR α -1 had no significant effect on the proliferation of HEC1A or ECC1 cells (Figure S3). We further targeted inhibition of ERR α by its specific inverse agonist XCT-790. The results showed that XCT-790 can also inhibit the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 3E) and suppress their invasion (Figure 3F), while vehicle control had no effect on protein expression (Figure S4). These results showed that targeted inhibition of ERR α can suppress the migration and invasion of EC cells.

3.4. ERRα regulated the motility of EC cells via modulating TGFB1

We then investigated the mechanisms responsible for ERR α regulated motility of EC cells. We tested the mRNA expression of major cytokines in both si-ERR α and XCT-790 treated HEC1A cells. The IL-3, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, BMP1, TGFB1, TNF, VEGFA and CSF were measured due to their positive role in cell motility [17] and the progression of endometrial cancer [18, 19]. Our data showed that both si-ERRa (Figure 4A) and XCT-790 (Figure 4B) can significantly decrease the expression of TGFB1 in HEC1A cells. In addition, si-ERR α and XCT-790 also decreased TGFB1 in ECC cells (Figure 4C). ELISA confirmed that XCT-790 can decrease the protein secretion of TGF- β in both HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 4D). To investigate whether TGF- β is involved in ERR α regulated the motility of cells, we treated HEC1A cells with XCT-790, TGF- β , or together.



Figure 2. The expression of ERR α was increased in human EC tissues with lymph node metastases. (A) The mRNA expression of ERR α in 32 human EC tissues paired with the adjacent non-neoplastic normal tissues was measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to GAPDH; (B) The expression of ERR α in human EC tissues was detected by western blotting (left) and quantitatively analyzed (right). The expression of ERR α in cancer tissue (C) was higher than that in adjacent normal tissue (N). The higher expression of ERR α was observed in EC patients with lymph metastasis (L) in 88.9% (8/9) EC patients.



Figure 3. Targeted inhibition of ERR α suppressed migration and invasion of EC cells. (A) HEC1A and ECC cells were transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α for 24 h; (B) After transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α for 48 h, the expression of MMP-2/-9 in HEC1A and ECC cells were checked by western blotting; (C) The wound healing assay for HEC1A and ECC cells transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α for 48 h; (D) The *in vitro* invasion assay of HEC1A and ECC cells transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α for 48 h; (E) HEC1A and ECC cells were treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) for 48 h, the expression of MMP-2/-9 in HEC1A and ECC cells were checked by western blotting; (F) The in vitro invasion assay of HEC1A and ECC cells treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) for 48 h, the expression of MMP-2/-9 in HEC1A and ECC cells were checked by western blotting; (F) The in vitro invasion assay of HEC1A and ECC cells treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) for 48 h. Each experiment has been replicated for three times. * p<0.05, **p<0.01 as compared with the control group.



Figure 4. ERR α regulated the motility of EC cells via modulating TGFB1. HEC1A transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α (A) or treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) (B) for 24 h, then the mRNA expression of cytokines was measured by use of qRT-PCR; (C) ECC cells were transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α or treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) for 24 h, the mRNA of TGFB1 was measured by use of qRT-PCR; (D) HEC1A or ECC cells were treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) for 24 h, the expression of TGF- β in medium was measured by use of ELISA; HEC1A (E) or ECC (F) cells were treated with XCT-790 (1 μ M), TGF- β (10 ng/ml) or together for 48 h, the in vitro invasion of cells was measured by tranwell assay. Each experiment has been replicated for three times. * p<0.05, **p<0.01 as compared with the control group.

The in vitro invasion assay showed that TGF- β can attenuate the XCT-790 suppressed invasion of HEC1A (Figure 4E) and ECC (Figure 4F) cells. These data confirmed that ERR α regulated the motility of EC cells via modulating TGFB1.

3.5. ERR α regulated the transcription of TGFB1via directly binding to its promoter

We then investigated the mechanisms responsible for ERR α regulated expression of TGF- β . By use of XCT-790, we observed that the transcription of TGFB1 was rapidly decreased in HEC1A since the treatment of 1 h (Figure 5A). Similarly, XCT-790 also decreased the mRNA expression of TGFB1 in ECC cells after 1 h (Figure 5B). We then evaluated the promoter activity of TGFB1 in cells treated with or without XCT-790. The dual luciferase assay indicated that XCT-790 can suppress the promoter activity of TGFB1 in both HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 5C). We then investigated whether ERR α can directly bind to the promoter of TGFB1 by ChIP assay. Our data showed that ERR α can bind with the promoter of TGFB1, while XCT-790 can decrease the binding between ERR α and promoter of TGFB1 in HEC1A

cells (Figure 5D). Collectively, these data confirmed that ERR α regulated the transcription of TGFB1via directly binding to its promoter.

3.6. ERR α was involved in the self-feedback loop of TGF- β in EC cells

Previous studies indicated that TGF- β can positively regulate its own expression in normal and transformed cells. Our data confirmed that exogenous TGF- β increased the mRNA (Figure 6A) and protein (Figure 6B) levels of TGF- β in both HEC1A and ECC cells. Intriguingly, TGF- β also increased the mRNA (Figure 6C) and protein (Figure 6B) expression of ERR α in both HEC1A and ECC cells. Considering that ERR α can regulate the transcription of TGF- β , we investigated the expression of TGF- β in HEC1A cells transfected with si-NC or si-ERR α . The results showed that si-ERR α can abolish TGF- β self-feedback loop in HEC1A cells (Figure 6D). We then checked the mRNA expression of TGFB1 in 32 human EC tissues paired with the adjacent non-neoplastic normal tissues. Our data showed that the expression of TGFB1 in EC tissues was significantly greater than that in the adjacent non-neoplastic normal tissues



Figure 5. ERR α regulated the transcription of TGFB1 via directly binding to its promoter. HEC1A (A) or ECC (B) cells were treated with XCT-790 (1 μ M) for the indicated times, the mRNA expression of TGFB1 was checked by qRT-PCR; (C) Cells were treated with or without 1 μ M XCT-790, the luciferase activities of TGFB1 promoter were measured by use of the dual-luciferase assay; (D) HEC1A cells were treated with or without XCT-790 (1 μ M) for 2 h, the binding between ERR α and promoter of TGFB1 was measured by ChIP assay. Each experiment has been replicated for three times. **p < 0.01 as compared with the control group.

(Figure 6E). These data indicated that ERR α was involved in the self-feedback loop of TGF- β in EC cells.

3.7. Targeted inhibition of ERR α /TGF- β suppressed the motility of EC cells

Considering that ERR α can trigger the cell migration via upregulation the expression of TGF- β , we then evaluated the effects of targeted inhibition of ERR α /TGF- β on the motility of EC cells. Our data showed that both si-ERR α and TGF- β neutralization antibody can suppress the invasion of HEC1A cells, furthermore, they had synergistic effects to suppress the invasion of HEC1A cells (Figure 7A). This was confirmed by the results that si-ERR α and TGF- β neutralization antibody can synergistically inhibit the expression of MMP-2 in HEC1A and ECC cells (Figure 7B). Our data suggested that targeted inhibition of ERR α /TGF- β suppressed the motility of EC cells.

4. Discussion

Metastasis is one of the most important characteristics of EC cells, while the related under mechanisms are not

well illustrated. Our present study showed that the expression of ERR α , while not ERR β or ERR γ , was increased in the EC cells and tissues as compared with the normal controls. The expression of ERR α in EC patients with lymph node metastases was significantly greater than that in patients without lymph node metastases. Mechanically studies indicated that ERRa can bind to the promoter of TGF- β and then trigger the migration and invasion of EC cells. Silencing of ERR α can block the self-feedback loop of TGF- β and then synergistically increase the inhibition effects of anti-TGF- β neutralization antibody on the invasion of EC cells. Collectively, our study suggested that up regulation of ERR α in EC cells can trigger the cell migration and invasion via increasing the positive self-feedback regulation of TGF- β (Figure 7C).

Our present study confirmed that ERR α plays a positive role on tumorigenesis and development of various cancers including EC. It has been speculated that ERR α might be a candidate for prognostic factors in uterine endometrial cancer [13]. The expression of ERR α mRNA was positively correlated with FIGO stage (P =0.019) and myometrial invasion (P = 0.043) of



Figure 6. ERR α was involved in the self-feedback loop of TGF- β in EC cells. HEC1A or ECC cells were treated with or without 10 ng/ml TGF- β for 24 h, the mRNA of TGFB1 (A) and ERR α (C) was checked by qRT-PCR; the protein expression of TGF- β and ERR α was checked by western blotting (B); (D) After transfected with siNC or si- ERR α -1 for 12 h, the HEC1A cells were further treated with or without 10 ng/ml TGF- β for 24 h, the mRNA of TGFB1 was checked by qRT-PCR; (E) The mRNA expression of TGFB1 in 32 human EC tissues paired with the adjacent non-neoplastic normal tissues was measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to GAPDH. Each experiment has been replicated for three times. * p<0.05, **p<0.01 as compared with the control group.



Figure 7. Targeted inhibition of ERR α /TGF- β suppressed the motility of EC cells. (A) HEC1A cells were treated with si-NC or si- ERR α -1 for 12 h before treated with or without anti-TGF- β neutralization antibody for another 48 h, the cell invasion was evaluated with transwell analysis; (B) Cells were treated as (A), the expression of MMP-2 was checked by western blotting (B). Each experiment has been replicated for three times. (C) The schematic of ERR α triggered cell migration and invasion via increasing the positive self-feedback regulation of TGF- β . * p<0.05, **p<0.01 as compared with the control group.

endometrial adenocarcinoma [14]. Similarly, our data showed that expression of ERR α in EC patients with lymph node metastases was significantly greater than that in patients without lymph node metastases (p<0.05, Table 1). Our data showed that ERR α can trigger the migration and invasion of EC cells. It was reported that knockdown of ERR α can suppress the angiogenesis and proliferation of uterine endometrial cancer cells [20]. The positive roles of ERR α on motility of cancer cells were also observed in colorectal [21] and triple negative breast [22, 23] cancer cells. Together with the published data, our present study suggested that ERR α might be a potential target to suppress the metastasis of EC cells.

Our results showed that ERR α triggers the migration and invasion of EC cells via strengthening the self-feedback loop of TGF- β in EC cells. This was evidenced by the results that ERR α can directly bind with the promoter of TGF- β , and TGF- β can increase the expression of ERR α . Knockdown of ERR α blocked the TGF- β induced its own expression. Recent study revealed that ERR α can mediate the TGF- β induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of osteosarcoma cells via upregulation of Snail [24]. As one of the most important cytokines, TGF- β can promote cancer metastasis by regulating the composition of extracellular matrix and induction of EMT [25]. High levels of TGF- β can promote cancer progression in an autocrine and/or paracrine manner that favours invasion and metastasis of EC cells [26]. TGF- β 1 was also able to promote cell migration by up-regulating the activity of MMPs [27], which was observed in our present study that TGF- β mediated induced up regulation of MMP-2/-9. It was reported that TGF- β 1 can trigger the cancer progression via activation of SMAD2/3 [28], whether SMAD2/3 mediates TGF- β 1 induced ERR α in EC cell still needs further studies.

Taken together, our data showed that ERR α was over expressed in EC cells and tissues. It can positively regulate the migration and invasion of EC cells via up regulation of TGF- β 1. Targeted inhibition of ERR α /TGF- β can suppress the invasion of EC cells efficiently. Our study suggested that ERR α might be a potential target for EC treatment.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References

 Bell DW. Novel genetic targets in endometrial cancer. Expert Opin Ther Tar. 2014;18:725–30. doi:10.1517/ 14728222.2014.909414.

- [2] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66:7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21332.
- [3] Kurra V, Krajewski KM, Jagannathan J, et al. Typical and atypical metastatic sites of recurrent endometrial carcinoma. Cancer Imaging. 2013;13:113–22. doi:10.1102/ 1470-7330.2013.0011.
- [4] van der Steen MJ, de Waal YR, Westermann A, et al. An impressive response to pazopanib in a patient with metastatic endometrial carcinoma. Neth J Med. 2016;74:410–3.
- [5] Thanapprapasr D, Thanapprapasr K. Molecular Therapy as a Future Strategy in Endometrial Cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer P. 2013;14:3419–23. doi:10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.6.3419.
- [6] Kent CN, Reed IKG. Regulation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in endometrial cancer: connecting PI3K, estrogen signaling, and microRNAs. Clin Transl Oncol. 2016;18:1056–61. doi:10.1007/s12094-016-1492-2.
- [7] Pessoa JN, Freitas ACL, Guimaraes RA, et al. Endometrial Assessment: When is it Necessary? J Clin Med Res. 2014;6:21–5.
- [8] Razzaque MA, Masuda N, Maeda Y, et al. Estrogen receptor-related receptor gamma has an exceptionally broad specificity of DNA sequence recognition. Gene. 2004;340:275–82. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2004.07.010.
- [9] Giguere V. Transcriptional Control of Energy Homeostasis by the Estrogen-Related Receptors. Endocr Rev. 2008;29:677–96. doi:10.1210/er.2008-0017.
- [10] Suzuki T, Miki Y, Moriya T, et al. Estrogen-related receptor alpha in human breast carcinoma as a potent prognostic factor. Cancer Res. 2004;64:4670–6. doi:10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-04-0250.
- [11] Fujimura T, Inoue S, Urano T, et al. Increased Expression of Tripartite Motif (TRIM) 47 Is a Negative Prognostic Predictor in Human Prostate Cancer. Clin Genitourin Canc. 2016;14:298–303. doi:10.1016/j. clgc.2016.01.011.
- [12] Watanabe A, Kinoshita Y, Hosokawa K, et al. Function of estrogen-related receptor alpha in human endometrial cancer. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91:1573–7. doi:10.1210/jc.2005-1990.
- [13] Fujimoto J, Sato E. Clinical implication of estrogenrelated receptor (ERR) expression in uterine endometrial cancers. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2009;116:71–5. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2009.04.012.
- [14] Gao M, Sun P, Wang J, et al. Expression of estrogen receptor-related receptor isoforms and clinical significance in endometrial adenocarcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2006;16:827–33. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1438.2006.00527.x.
- [15] Seo E, Lim JS, Jun JB, et al. Exendin-4 in combination with adipose-derived stem cells promotes angiogenesis and improves diabetic wound healing. J Transl Med. 2017;15:35. doi:10.1186/s12967-017-1145-4.
- [16] Fichtner-Feigl S, Strober W, Kawakami K, et al. IL-13 signaling through the IL-13alpha2 receptor is involved in induction of TGF-beta1 production and fibrosis. Nat Med. 2006;12:99–106. doi:10.1038/nm1332.
- [17] Setrerrahmane S, Xu H. Tumor-related interleukins: old validated targets for new anti-cancer drug development. Mol Cancer. 2017;16:153. doi:10.1186/s12943-017-0721-9.
- [18] Chopra V, Dinh TV, Hannigan EV. Serum levels of interleukins, growth factors and angiogenin in patients with

endometrial cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 1997;123:167-72.

- [19] Daley-Brown D, Oprea-Ilies GM, Lee R, et al. Molecular cues on obesity signals, tumor markers and endometrial cancer. Horm Mol Biol Clin Investig. 2015;21:89–106.
- [20] Matsushima H, Mori T, Ito F, et al. Anti-tumor effect of estrogen-related receptor alpha knockdown on uterine endometrial cancer. Oncotarget. 2016;7:34131–48. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9151.
- [21] Ding SJ, Tang ZH, Jiang YJ, et al. IL-8 Is Involved in Estrogen-Related Receptor alpha-Regulated Proliferation and Migration of Colorectal Cancer Cells. Digest Dis Sci. 2017;62:3438–46. doi:10.1007/s10620-017-4779-4.
- [22] Wu YM, Chen ZJ, Liu H, et al. Inhibition of ERRalpha suppresses epithelial mesenchymal transition of triple negative breast cancer cells by directly targeting fibronectin. Oncotarget. 2015;6:25588–601.
- [23] Carnesecchi J, Forcet C, Zhang L, et al. ERRalpha induces H3K9 demethylation by LSD1 to promote cell invasion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114:3909–14. doi:10.1073/pnas.1614664114.

- [24] Chen Y, Zhang K, Li Y, et al. Estrogen-related receptor alpha participates transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition of osteosarcoma cells. Cell Adh Migr. 2017;11:338–46. doi:10.1080/19336918.2016.1221567.
- [25] Katsuno Y, Lamouille S, Derynck R. TGF-beta signaling and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer progression. Curr Opin Oncol. 2013;25:76–84. doi:10.1097/ CCO.0b013e32835b6371.
- [26] Horiguchi M, Ota M, Rifkin DB. Matrix control of transforming growth factor-beta function. J Biochem. 2012;152:321–9. doi:10.1093/jb/mvs089.
- [27] Matsuzaki K, Seki T, Okazaki K. TGF-beta signal shifting between tumor suppression and fibro-carcinogenesis in human chronic liver diseases. Journal of Gastroenterology. 2014;49:971–81. doi:10.1007/s00535-013-0910-2.
- [28] Pathil A, Mueller J, Ludwig JM, et al. Ursodeoxycholyl lysophosphatidylethanolamide attenuates hepatofibrogenesis by impairment of TGF-beta1/Smad2/3 signalling. Br J Pharmacol. 2014;171:5113–26.