# RSC Advances



## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2025, 15, 1915

# In vitro and in silico hybrid approach to unveil triterpenoids from Helicteres hirsuta leaves as potential compounds for inhibiting Nrf2†

Minh Hien Nguyen[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7483-9154) <sup>D\*ab</sup> Nguyen Thien Han Le,<sup>ab</sup> Bui Quoc Huy Nguyen,<sup>c</sup> Mai Thanh Thi Nguyen, bde Truong Nhat Van Do, bde Tho Huu Le, bde Vu Thanh Nguyenf and Chia-Hung Yengh

Cancer is a leading global health concern, with over 20 million new cases and 9.7 million deaths reported in 2022. Chemotherapy remains a widely used treatment, but drug resistance, which affects up to 90% of treatment outcomes, significantly hampers its effectiveness. The transcription factor Nrf2, which is crucial for cellular defense against oxidative stress, plays a dual role in cancer treatment. Although Nrf2 activation can suppress early carcinogenesis, its overexpression in cancer cells contributes to drug resistance, resulting in poor patient outcomes. Thus, inhibiting Nrf2 has emerged as a promising strategy for overcoming cancer drug resistance. Natural compounds such as luteolin and brusatol have shown potential in inhibiting Nrf2, although with limitations. This study isolates and characterizes seven triterpenoids from the  $n$ -hexane sub-fraction of Helicteres hirsuta, a plant traditionally used for medicinal purposes, to evaluate their ability to modulate Nrf2 activity in Huh7 cancer and HaCaT normal cells. Additionally, molecular docking and dynamic simulations were utilized to assess the binding potential of these compounds to the PI3K $\alpha$  receptor, which regulates downstream signaling pathways, thereby suppressing Nrf2 activity in cancer cells. Our findings provide insights into new strategies seeking triterpenoids as promising structures to reverse chemoresistance by regulating Nrf2. The results also reveal the potential of 3b-O-transcaffeoylbetulinic acid from H. hirsuta leaves as the unprecedented compound inhibiting Nrf2 activity, with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 74.5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> in Huh7 cancer cells.

Received 26th October 2024 Accepted 28th December 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra07646j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

a University of Health Sciences, Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, YA1 Administrative Building, Hai Thuong Lan Ong Street, Dong Hoa Ward, Di An City, Binh Duong Province 75308, Vietnam. E-mail: nmhien@uhsvnu.edu.vn; Tel: (+84) 373 696 894

b Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Quarter 6, Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc District, Ho Chi Minh City 70000, Vietnam

c The University of Danang – VN-UK Institute for Research and Executive Education, 41 Le Duan Street, Hai Chau 1 Ward, Hai Chau District, Danang City 50000, Vietnam d Faculty of Chemistry, University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

e Research Lab for Drug Discovery and Development, University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

f Division of Aquacultural Biotechnology, Biotechnology Center of Ho Chi Minh City, 2374, Highway 1, Quarter 2, Trung My Tay Ward, District 12, Ho Chi Minh City 70000, Vietnam

g Graduate Institute of Natural Products, College of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, No. 100, Shih-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City 80708, Taiwan

h National Natural Product Libraries and High-Throughput Screening Core Facility, Kaohsiung Medical University, No. 100, Shih-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City 80708, Taiwan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra07646j>

### Introduction

Cancer, with more than 20 million new cases and nearly 9.7 million cancer deaths according to GLOBOCAN statistics in 2022, remains a major public health concern worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Cancer is also the leading cause of mortality in adults in most countries, along with noncommunicable diseases and cardiovascular diseases.<sup>2</sup> Among the various methods applied in cancer treatment, chemotherapy still stands out as a popular method.<sup>3</sup> It is predicted that by 2030, the number of patients needing first-course chemotherapy annually will increase by more than 50% to 15 million patients.<sup>4</sup>

Cancer drug resistance is a condition in which cancer cells develop the ability to resist the effects of chemotherapy drugs. This results in drug treatment partially or completely losing its expected effectiveness.<sup>5</sup> Ineffective drug treatment resulting from drug resistance is the cause of up to 90% of treatment failures and deaths.<sup>6</sup> Drug resistance can arise through different mechanisms, which can be classified into intrinsic and acquired resistance, depending on the time of occurrence.<sup>7</sup> In general, the goal of overcoming drug resistance in cancer cells is to optimize the sensitivity of the treatment method.

Nuclear factor erythroid-2 p45-related factor 2 (Nrf2) is a transcription factor that regulates the cellular defense against toxic agents and oxidative stress by expressing genes involved in oxidative stress response and detoxification.<sup>8</sup> Nrf2 maintains cellular redox homeostasis and exerts anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer activities, thereby supporting cell survival. Some evidence suggests that Nrf2 activation can suppress carcinogenesis, especially at early stages.<sup>9</sup> However, Nrf2 expression can be considered a double-edged sword for cancer patients. Indeed, overexpression of Nrf2 in cancer cells is closely related to the development of drug resistance in cancer cells.<sup>10</sup> Nrf2 protects cells against toxic agents, which are currently understood as chemotherapy drugs, enhancing their resistance to chemotherapeutic agents.<sup>11</sup> Clinically, overexpression of Nrf2 is consistently observed with poor prognosis in cancer patients.<sup>12</sup> Therefore, direct or indirect inhibition of Nrf2 expression may increase the sensitivity of cancer cells to chemotherapy drugs and reverse drug resistance.<sup>13</sup> The inhibition of Nrf2 expression is now considered a promising target for strategies to combat cancer drug resistance. The dual functions of Nrf2 pose a complex challenge when considering Nrf2 inhibition as a therapeutic strategy. However, this approach carries potential risks, such as increased vulnerability of normal cells to oxidative stress and toxic agents, potentially leading to adverse effects.<sup>14,15</sup> Thus, a thorough risk-benefit strategies should prioritize selective inhibition of Nrf2 in cancer cells, while preserving Nrf2 activity and sparing normal tissues to minimize collateral toxicity.

Luteolin is a flavone that has been reported to be a potent inhibitor of Nrf2 activity. Luteolin reduced the effective inhibitory concentration of Nrf2 mRNA by 34% when co-administered with actinomycin D for 30 min, and by 43% after 1.5 h in A549 human lung carcinoma cells.<sup>16</sup> In vivo studies in mice showed that single treatment with increasing doses of luteolin, and co-treatment with luteolin and cisplatin significantly reduced tumor sizes compared to solely treating with cisplatin.<sup>17</sup> Brusatol was also shown to enhance the therapeutic effect of gemcitabine by inhibiting cell growth and inducing apoptosis in human pancreatic cancer cells compared to others through inhibition of the Nrf2 pathway when combined with 1  $\mu$ M brusatol and 20  $\mu$ M gemcitabine for 48 h of treatment.<sup>18</sup> However, the Nrf2 inhibition by brusatol was reported to be nonspecific, and it also reduced the viability of healthy human colon cells.<sup>19</sup> Other natural compounds, such as ochratoxin A and trigonelline in coffee beans, were also reported to block the nuclear accumulation of Nrf2 in leukemic cells.<sup>20,21</sup> Malabaricone A, a plant-derived antioxidant, effectively inhibited Nrf2 transcriptional activity as re flected by a decrease in HO-1 protein levels, and led to ROS accumulation and subsequent cell apoptosis.<sup>22</sup> Overall, the number of compounds demonstrated to selectively inhibit Nrf2 in cancer cells without affecting healthy cells is currently very limited, and concentrated in the flavonoid group.

Nrf2 inhibitors exhibit regulatory effects through a variety of complex pathways, both upstream and downstream mechanisms, and influence the expression and activity of Nrf2.<sup>23</sup> For instance, the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway has been identified as one of the modulators of Nrf2.<sup>24</sup> Thus, inhibiting the PI3K/AKT pathway can effectively restore cancer

cells' sensitivity to chemotherapy, thereby overcoming drug resistance.<sup>25</sup> This approach targets a key survival pathway that is often hyperactivated in tumors, leading to overexpression of the Nrf2 activity. However, the exact mechanisms regulating Nrf2 expression and activity remain unclear and complicated.<sup>26</sup> The use of in silico approaches for predicting the Nrf2 inhibitory signalling pathway offers a valuable tool for reassessing the potential of Nrf2 inhibition, specifically through the PI3K regulatory receptor.

Helicteres hirsuta, a plant traditionally used for various medicinal purposes in Southeast Asia, has been reported to possess anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities.<sup>27</sup> Previous phytochemical studies have identified various compounds from this plant, including flavonoids, terpenoids, and phenolics.<sup>28</sup> However, the potential of H. hirsuta and its constituents in targeting Nrf2 and overcoming drug resistance in cancer remains largely unexplored. Based on our previous in vitro screening results, the *n*-hexane subfraction of *H. hirsuta* leaves demonstrated a significant decrease in Nrf2 activity on cancer cells and no cytotoxicity on HaCaT normal cells.<sup>28</sup> Furthermore, in an in vivo study using a zebrafish model, the  $n$ -hexane subfraction from H. hirsuta leaves showed antioxidant activity by protecting wild-type larvae against oxidative stress, while significantly reducing both Nrf2 expression and the expression of its target genes on an overexpressed Nrf2 zebrafish model.<sup>29</sup> These findings underscore the potential of this subfraction as a selective Nrf2 modulator with minimal adverse events to normal tissues. Therefore, our study aims to isolate and elucidate the structure of triterpenoids from the  $n$ -hexane subfraction of H. hirsuta. We then evaluated their ability to regulate Nrf2 activity on Huh7 cancer cells and HaCaT normal cells. Compounds demonstrating Nrf2 inhibition in Huh7 cancer cells, while simultaneously activating Nrf2 in HaCaT normal cells, were identified as promising candidates for further investigation. Furthermore, we employed docking methods and dynamic simulations to evaluate the binding ability of these triterpenoids to the Nrf2 activity-regulating receptor PI3Ka.

### Materials and methods

### Chemicals and instrument

NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer (Brucker BioSpin AG) with deuterated solvents as an internal standard, and chemical shifts are expressed in  $\delta$  values. Analytical and preparative TLCs were performed on precoated Kieselgel  $60F_{254}$  or RP-18 $F_{254}$  plates (Merck KGaA). Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), Gibco fetal bovine serum, penicillin–streptomycin, non-essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and alamarblue were sourced from Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA. Luciferase buffer, luciferin and cell culture lysis 5× were obtained from Promega, USA, while dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was sourced from Nacalai Tesque Inc., Japan.

#### Sample collection

The mature leaves of H. hirsuta were collected from Tinh Bien district, An Giang province, Vietnam, in December 2023. The plant was taxonomically verified by Dr Tuan Anh Le Dang of the Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, VNUHCM-University of Science. A voucher specimen (No. MDC-9008) has been catalogued and securely stored in the herbarium of the Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, VNUHCM-University of Science.

#### Plant extraction and isolation

A total of 4 kg of fresh plant material was cleaned, naturally airdried, and stored in a dry place. The moisture content of the dried material was measured to be  $10 \pm 1.9$ %. The dried material was then chopped into a fine powder. This powdered material was subjected to Soxhlet extraction with methanol (500 g of sample  $\times$  2 L of solvent  $\times$  8 hours), yielding a methanolic-soluble extract. This extract was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude methanol extract (100.2 g). This crude extract was fully dispersed in water and then subjected to liquid–liquid partitioning using solvents of increasing polarity, including n-hexane and EtOAc, to yield three distinct sub-fractions: *n*-hexane (6.2 g), EtOAc (3.4 g), and H<sub>2</sub>O (150.9 g).

A part of the *n*-hexane sub-fraction  $(AxH; 4.1 g)$  was fractionated by normal-phase silica gel column chromatography (NP-CC) using a gradient of n-hexane–acetone (0%, 5%, 10%, 20%, and 50% acetone), yielding six major fractions: AxH1 (626.3 mg), AxH2 (425.0 mg), AxH3 (335.0 mg), AxH4 (1.2 g), AxH5 (771.6 mg), and AxH6 (327.4 mg). Fraction AxH2 underwent further separation via NP-CC with a gradient of *n*-hexane– isopropanol (100 : 0, 97 : 3, 95 : 5, 90 : 10, 85 : 15, 80 : 20, and 70 : 30), producing two subfractions: AxH2.1 and AxH2.2. Subfraction AxH2.1 (64.7 mg) was recrystallized in MeOH, affording 1 (5.0 mg). Subfraction AxH2.2 (290.2 mg) was subjected to NP-CC using an n-hexane–EtOAc gradient (0–80% EtOAc), followed by preparative normal-phase thin-layer chromatography (NP-PTLC) with *n*-hexane–isopropanol  $(95:5)$ , which led to the isolation of 7 (5.2 mg). Fraction AxH4 (1.2 g) underwent further separation by NP-CC using a gradient of  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH (100:0, 1)$ 97 : 3, 95 : 5, 90 : 10, 85 : 15, and 70 : 30), resulting in six subfractions:  $AxH4.1 - AxH4.6$ . Subfraction  $AxH4.2$  (166.9 mg) was further purified by NP-CC using an *n*-hexane–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gradient (0-100% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), yielding 2 (5.3 mg). Subfraction AxH4.6 (224.8 mg) was divided by NP-CC utilizing a gradient of  $CH_2Cl_2$ acetone (0-30% acetone), followed by RP-PTLC using  $H_2O$ -ACN–MeOH system (50 : 40 : 10), resulting in the isolation of 6 (5.3 mg). Subfraction AxH4.4 (325.4 mg) was processed via RP-CC with  $H_2O$ -acetone gradient (10-100% acetone), yielding 4 (4.1 mg) and 5 (3.7 mg). Fraction AxH5 (771.6 mg) was subjected to RP-CC using a gradient of  $H<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH$  (10-100% MeOH), resulting in three subfractions: AxH5.1 − AxH5.3. Subfraction AxH5.2 (324.7 mg) was further fractionated by RP-CC using an H2O–ACN gradient (80 : 20, 50 : 50, and 30 : 70), followed by NP-PTLC with *n*-hexane–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–isopropanol (50 : 45 : 5), yielding 3 (2.0 mg).

#### ARE-luciferase reporter assay and cell viability assay

HaCaT/ARE and Huh7/ARE cell lines were cultured as described in our previous publication. The luciferase reporter assay and

cell viability assay were performed based on methods described in the same paper.<sup>30</sup> The relative luciferase activity was calculated by normalizing the luciferase activity to the cell viability. The average relative luciferase activity of DMSO wells was defined as the control, and assigned to a relative Nrf2 activity of 100%.

#### Molecular docking

Molecular docking was performed to obtain the binding energies and the interactions between the PI3Ka receptor and the filtered ligands. The 3D crystal structure of the PI3K $\alpha$  complex was obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank database (PDB ID: 5XGJ), and SMILES formats of natural compounds were converted to 3D structures using the OpenBabel tool. All protein and compound structures were prepared prior to docking by removing water molecules and other non-standard residues, adding missing hydrogen atoms, and assigning charges using the Dock Prep tool of UCSF Chimera program version 1.17.3. Molecular docking on PI3Ka was conducted on five allosteric binding sites.<sup>31</sup> The docking box dimensions for sites 1 and 2 are 43.21 Å  $\times$  40.10 Å  $\times$  36.10 Å, with center coordinates at  $x =$ 5.25,  $y = 38.6$ , and  $z = 62.80$ . For site 3, the docking box size was 34.07 Å  $\times$  34.40 Å  $\times$  29.31 Å, at  $x = -0.70$ ,  $y = 64.14$ , and  $z =$ 26.90. The dimensions of site 4 are 35.24 Å  $\times$  25.30 Å  $\times$  35.00 Å, centered at  $x = -0.80$ ,  $y = 29.90$ , and  $z = 15.40$ . Lastly, the docking box for site 5 has dimensions of 35.62 Å  $\times$  48.00 Å  $\times$ 39.00 Å, with the center at  $x = 25.80$ ,  $y = 15.00$ , and  $z = 12.80$ . Next, the docking process was conducted using AutoDock Vina 1.1.2. Finally, PyMOL 3.0 software was used to visualize the docking poses and the interaction types between the PI3K and ligands.

#### Molecular dynamics

MD simulations were performed on PI3K $\alpha$  (PDB ID: 5XGJ) and the docked complexes of PI3K $\alpha$  with 3 $\beta$ -O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid, betulinic acid, and  $\beta$ -sitosterol ligands using Gromacs 2024.1.32. The topology of PI3Ka was prepared using CHARMS-36 force field and TIP3P GROMACS recommended water model. The ligand topologies were prepared using the CGENFF web server tool. After that, the GROMACS compatible topology files of the ligands were converted using a Python script downloaded from the MacKerell-lab website. Then, the topology files of PI3K $\alpha$  and the ligands were manually merged together. Next, the complex was placed in a dodecahedron box with a minimum distance of 1 nm between the solute and the box wall. All systems were solvated using the simple point charge-216 explicit water model (spc216.gro), and then neutralized using  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  ions. Energy minimization was run using the steepest descent algorithm until all atomic forces in the systems were below 100 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> nm<sup>-1</sup>. Under position restraints, all systems were equilibrated in two stages with a time step of 2 fs and a duration of 1 ns. In the first stage, all systems were equilibrated in an NVT ensemble using the Vrescale thermostat at the temperature of 300 K. The second equilibration stage was conducted in an NPT ensemble using the C-rescale barostat at the pressure of 1 bar. To account for

electrostatic forces, the Ewald Particle Mesh (PME) method was used. A 1 nm cutoff was applied to treat short-range electrostatics and van der Waals interactions. Hydrogen bonds were constrained in both equilibration and production steps using the LINCS algorithm. Finally, the production run was conducted for 100 ns with a trajectory snapshot saved every 10 ps.

#### In silico ADME and toxicology profile

Compounds underwent in silico ADME predictions using the SwissADME web tool (<https://www.swissadme.ch/>).<sup>32</sup> The SMILES format of each compound was input to calculate physicochemical properties, including molecular weight, hydrogen bond acceptor/donor counts, topological polar surface area (TPSA), lipophilicity, and water solubility. Additional assessments included pharmacokinetic behavior and drug-lead likeness. The in silico toxicity profiles of the compounds were analyzed using the ProTox 3.0 web tool (<https://tox.charite.de/protox3/>).<sup>33</sup> Predicted toxicity was evaluated based on toxicity classification and median lethal dose  $(LD_{50})$ , providing valuable insights into the safety and potential risks associated with these compounds.

### Result and discussion

### Isolation and structure identification of triterpenoids from H. hirsuta

Phytochemical investigation of the n-hexane sub-fraction of H. hirsuta leaves resulted in the isolation of seven known compounds. The structure elucidation of these compounds was accomplished through extensive-NMR spectroscopy analysis and supported by comparison with reference literature (Fig. S1– S14<sup>†</sup>). The identified compounds include betulinic acid  $(1)$ ,<sup>34</sup> lupeol  $(2)$ ,<sup>35</sup> 3 $\beta$ -O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid  $(3)$ ,<sup>36</sup> oleanolic acid (4), $\mathrm{^{37}}$  maslinic acid (5), $\mathrm{^{38}}$  ursolic acid (6) $\mathrm{^{39}}$  and  $\mathrm{\beta}\text{-sitosterol}$  $(7)^{40}$  (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Compounds isolated from the  $n$ -hexane sub-fraction of H. hirsuta leaves.

 $\overline{7}$ 

#### Screening Nrf2 activity on Huh7 and HaCaT cells

The Nrf2 activity screening results of the triterpenoid compounds isolated from the  $n$ -hexane fraction of  $H$ . hirsuta on Huh7 liver cancer cells and HaCaT normal cells are summarized in Fig. 2 and Table 1. Out of the seven compounds tested, three compounds, including betulinic acid (1), 3b-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid  $(3)$ , and  $\beta$ -sitosterol  $(7)$ , demonstrated dual activity, inhibiting Nrf2 activity in Huh7 cells, while activating it in HaCaT cells. Specifically, compound 3 reduced Nrf2 activity by 16% in Huh7 cells, while tripling Nrf2 activation in HaCaT cells. Compounds 1 and 7 inhibited Nrf2 by more than 50% and 70%, respectively, in Huh7 cells, while boosting Nrf2 activity by nearly 400% in normal cells. Neither compound showed cytotoxicity in either cell line, whereas compound 3 exhibited mild cytotoxicity (less than 30%) in both Huh7 and HaCaT cells.

These preliminary results underscore the potential application of H. hirsuta triterpenoids as promising compounds selectively inhibiting Nrf2 in Huh7 cancer cells. Compounds 5 and 6, while not selective, were able to reduce Nrf2 activity by over 80% in both cell types, showing further potential for anticancer strategies targeting Nrf2.

#### Molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulation

Based on in vitro results, the study selected compounds that demonstrated selective inhibition of Nrf2 activity in Huh7 cancer cells, while preserving Nrf2 activity in HaCaT normal cells for in silico studies, including 3b-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid (3), betulinic acid (1), and  $\beta$ -sitosterol (7). The docking results of the three compounds isolated from H. hirsuta leaves, showing promising inhibition of Nrf2 and PI3K $\alpha$ , are summarized in Table 2 and Fig. 3. The molecular docking results indicated that compound 3 exhibited the strongest binding affinity to the PI3K $\alpha$  receptor. Among the five binding sites, compound 3 had the highest affinity to binding site 5 of PI3Ka with a docking score of  $-10.7$  kcal mol $^{-1}$ . Similar patterns were also observed in compounds 1 and 7. This binding site is



Fig. 2 Relative Nrf2 activity on HaCaT and Huh7 cells of the following seven triterpenoids obtained from the n-hexane sub-fraction of Helicteres hirsuta leaves: betulinic acid (1), lupeol (2), 3b-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid (3), oleanolic acid (4), maslinic acid (5), ursolic acid (6), and  $\beta$ -sitosterol (7).

Table 1 Relative Nrf2 activity on HaCaT cells and Huh7 cells of the following seven triterpenoids obtained from the n-hexane sub-fraction of H. hirsuta leaves: betulinic acid (1), lupeol (2), 3ß-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid (3), oleanolic acid (4), maslinic acid (5), ursolic acid (6), and  $\beta$ sitosterol (7)<sup>a</sup>



 $a$  Betulinic acid (1), lupeol (2), 3ß-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid (3), oleanolic acid (4), maslinic acid (5), ursolic acid (6), and  $\beta$ -sitosterol (7). DMSO\*: negative control. tBHQ\*\* (tert-butylhydroquinone 10 μM): positive control for HaCaT. Luteolin\*\*\* (50 μM): positive control for Huh7.

Table 2 Molecular docking results of the five binding sites on PI3Ka and three compounds isolated from H. hirsuta, namely, 3ß-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid, betulinic acid, and  $\beta$ -sitosterol



surrounded by several key residues capable of forming hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interaction, including Glu365, Leu540, Glu542, Ileu543, Leu570, Cys604, Asn605 and Phe1016 of p110 $\alpha$  and residues Glu341, Asn344 and Asn377 on the p85 $\alpha$  subunit, which is similar to the previous study – compound 3 with an aromatic ring and a hydroxyl group interacted with the Glu542 residue. $31$  The binding site 5 is located at the interface of the helical kinase, C2, and nSH2 domains, commonly referred to as the phosphopeptide-binding site.<sup>41</sup> Upon upstream activation, the nSH2 domain of PI3K binds to auto-phosphorylated tyrosine residues, subsequently triggering the activation of the kinase activity. The docking pose of compound 3 observed in binding sites 1 and 2 also

demonstrated significant potential in inhibiting PI3K. The aromatic ring of the caffeoyl group was inverted deep within the binding pocket, establishing interactions with residues, including Pro168, Asn170, Val166, Arg575, Arg662, Val851, and Ala758. These residues are similar to those PI3K inhibitors currently under investigation, targeting the region situated between the adaptor-binding domain (ABD) and the kinase domain of PI3Ka.<sup>42,43</sup> Targeting this specific pocket with an inhibitor is expected to enhance the interaction between the ABD and kinase domain, thereby stabilizing their interface and potentially inhibiting PI3Ka activity.<sup>44</sup> Current research on  $PI3K\alpha$  inhibitors focuses on the competitors on ATP-binding site. However, this approach often results in undesirable side



Fig. 3 2D and 3D molecular interaction between 3b-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid (3) and five active sites on PI3Ka: (A) site 1 and 2, (B) site 3, (C) site 4, and (D) site 5.

effects. Additionally, PI3K plays a crucial role in activating the Nrf2 signaling pathway and regulating other signaling pathways in normal cells.<sup>45</sup> To reduce side effects and avoid inhibiting PI3K in healthy cells, research studies increasingly focus on developing inhibitors that target allosteric sites, distinct from the active site.<sup>44</sup> This strategy offers the potential to achieve selective inhibition of the PI3K activity, reducing adverse effects while maintaining therapeutic efficacy. Overall, the docking results suggest that PI3Ka can serve as a promising target protein for triterpenoid 3 derived from H. hirsuta. Triterpenoid 3 effectively inhibits the kinase activity of PI3K, regulating the downstream signaling pathways; thereby suppressing Nrf2 activity in cancer cells.

Based on the results of the in vitro study, PI3K $\alpha$  and the complex of PI3Ka with compounds 1, 3, and 7 were subjected to MD simulation in 100 ns. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) analysis indicated that the PI3Ka-ligand complexes exhibited greater stability compared to free PI3Ka (Fig. 4A). For the entire MD simulation, the free  $PI3K\alpha$  and its complexes displayed fluctuations within the  $3-4.1$  Å range. Notably, the PI3Ka-3 complex reached a stable state during MD simulation and exhibited RMSD values below 2.5 Å, much less than that of the free PI3K $\alpha$  and other complexes, indicating their significantly enhanced stability. The radii of gyration (Rg) were consistent with the RMSD values when they maintained the lowest stable values within 3.45–3.50 nm throughout the simulation time, as shown in Fig. 4B. To determine the effect of fluctuations on protein residues, the root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) were calculated for chain A and chain B of PI3Ka, as demonstrated by Fig. 4C and D, respectively. The peaks observed in the RMSF curves of chain  $A$  (p110 $\alpha$ ) around residues 500–560 and 1000 are consistent with the residues mentioned in Table 2 and Fig. 3D (binding site 5). From residues 500–530 and around residue 600 on chain B ( $p85\alpha$ ), there were also RMSF peaks that corresponded well with the surrounding residues in binding site 5. The peaks observed in the RMSF curves of chain A, 200–300 residues, were closely aligned with the residues identified in Fig. 3A, corresponding to binding sites 1 and 2. In particular, the residues that formed hydrogen bonds contributed to peaks in RMSF. When in combination with compounds, the PI3Ka backbone atoms showed a distinguished decrease in the fluctuation of residues; specifically, around the region covering the amino acids mentioned. Further analysis of the hydrogen bond interactions revealed that compound 3 formed the greatest number of hydrogen bonds with PI3Ka (up to 6), demonstrating higher binding affinity compared to other compounds. In contrast, compound 1 established only a single hydrogen bond, leading to lower stability within the complex (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, solvent accessible surface area (SASA) analysis was carried out to evaluate the surface area of all systems that are available to water molecules (Fig. 4F). It is noted that the SASA values follow a similar trend demonstrated by Rg values, with compound 3 almost having the lowest SASA values. Regions with a decrease in the SASA value of the complexes indicate a relative contraction of their structure, suggesting a more compact conformation compared to free PI3Ka. These results align with the in vitro findings, where compound 3 exhibited great Nrf2 inhibitory activity on Huh7 cells, followed by compounds 1 and 7. These findings also support the hypothesis that  $PI3K\alpha$  is a regulator of



Fig. 4 MD simulation analysis of PI3Ka (blue), PI3Ka/3ß-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid (orange), PI3Ka/betulinic acid (green), PI3Ka/ß-sitosterol (red), (A) RMSD values, (B) Rg values, (C) RMSF values of PI3Ka chain A (p110a), (D) RMSF values of PI3Ka chain B (p110a), (E) hydrogen bond numbers, and (F) solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) values.

the Nrf2 signaling pathway, suggesting that inhibiting the PI3Ka activity may contribute to the suppression of the Nrf2 activity in cancer cells.

### In silico ADME and toxicology profile

In silico computational tools were used to assess the predicted ADME properties of the compounds, which are presented in





 $^a$  Probability that a compound may have  $>10\%$  bioavailability on rat model.

Table 3. The physicochemical properties analysis revealed that betulinic acid and  $\beta$ -sitosterol exhibited favorable drug-likeness profiles, according to Lipinski's Rule of Five. While both compounds showed a single violation due to the  $log P$  values exceeding 5, other parameters, including molecular weight and the number of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors, complied with the established criteria. Meanwhile, 3ß-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid violated Lipinski's Rule of Five as the molecular weights exceeded 500 g mol<sup>-1</sup> and the log P values were higher than 5. All three compounds were predicted to have poor solubility in water, poor gastrointestinal absorption, and negative  $log K<sub>p</sub>$  values, indicating limited skin permeability. The ADME predictions also revealed that none of the compounds are expected to bind to P-glycoprotein, potentially reducing the likelihood of drug efflux.

The oral toxicity predictions from ProTox 3.0 revealed varying safety profiles among the compounds.  $3\beta$ -O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid is predicted to be non-toxic, with a  $LD_{50}$  of 9600 mg kg $^{-1}$ , an accuracy level of 69.26%, and a confidence level of 72.94%. Betulinic acid has an LD<sub>50</sub> of 2610 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and an accuracy level of 69.26%. β-Sitosterol exhibited higher toxicity, with an  $LD_{50}$  of 890 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and an accuracy level of 70.97%, similar to other compounds in databases up to 89.38%. The pharmacophore models also indicated that none of the compounds interact with toxicity targets, including adenosine receptor A2a, β-2 adrenergic receptor, or androgen receptor, avoiding the neurotoxic potentials of these compounds. These findings underscore the toxicity levels of the compounds, emphasizing the need for careful safety assessment in future studies.

Based on the results from both in vitro and in silico screening the relative Nrf2 activity, compound 3 was selected to determine the concentration that inhibits 50% of Nrf2 activity in Huh7 cells. The result indicated that the  $IC_{50}$  of compound 3 was 74.2



Fig. 5 Concentration response of  $3\beta$ -O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid toward Nrf2 activity on the Huh7 cell line.

 $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> or 119 nM (Fig. 5). This result reveals that the triterpenoid derived from H. hirsuta, 3b-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid, is a compound with promising inhibition of Nrf2 activity in cancer cells without affecting healthy cells.

### **Conclusions**

Among the seven triterpenoids isolated and identified from the n-hexane sub-fraction of H. hirsuta leaves, 3b-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid was revealed as a potential inhibitor of Nrf2 activity in Huh7 cells, with an  $IC_{50}$  value of 74.2 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, molecular docking and simulations showed that these compounds have potential binding affinity for PI3Ka, modulating downstream pathways and reducing Nrf2 activity in cancer cells. These findings underscore the potential of triterpenoids as Nrf2 inhibitors, especially 3β-O-trans-caffeoylbetulinic acid, emerging as a promising candidate. However, the regulatory mechanisms of Nrf2 remain unclear, and further in vitro studies are needed to confirm the relationship between Nrf2 regulation and PI3Ka.

### Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: M. H. N.; isolation and identification: M. T. T. N., T. N. V. D. and T. H. L.; bioassay: C. H. Y and V. T. N.; computational studies: B. Q. H. N., M. H. N. and T. H. N. L.; writing - original draft preparation: M. H. N., B. Q. H. N., T. H. N. L., T. N. V. D. and T. H. L.; writing – review and editing: M. H. N., B. Q. H. N. and T. H. N. L.; supervision: M. H. N.; project administration: M. H. N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing nancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgements

This research is funded by Vietnam National University HoChiMinh City (VNU-HCM) under grant number B2023-44-01.

### Notes and references

- 1 International Agency for Research on Cancer, Cancer Today, <https://gco.iarc.who.int/today/en>, accessed 21 Oct, 2024.
- 2 F. Bray, M. Laversanne, E. Weiderpass and I. Soerjomataram, Cancer, 2021, 127, 3029–3030.
- 3 B. Liu, H. Zhou, L. Tan, K. T. H. Siu and X.-Y. Guan, Signal Transduction Targeted Ther., 2024, 9, 175.
- 4 B. E. Wilson, S. Jacob, M. L. Yap, J. Ferlay, F. Bray and M. B. Barton, Lancet Oncol., 2019, 20, 769–780.
- 5 K. Bukowski, M. Kciuk and R. Kontek, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2020, 21, 3233.
- 6 J. Rueff and A. S. Rodrigues, Cancer Drug Resistance: A Brief Overview from a Genetic Viewpoint, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2016.
- 7 Z. N. Lei, Q. Tian, Q. X. Teng, J. N. D. Wurpel, L. Zeng, Y. Pan and Z. S. Chen, MedComm, 2023, 4, e265.
- 8 Q. Ma, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol., 2013, 53, 401–426.
- 9 S. Wu, H. Lu and Y. Bai, Cancer Med., 2019, 8, 2252–2267.
- 10 X.-J. Wang, Z. Sun, N. F. Villeneuve, S. Zhang, F. Zhao, Y. Li, W. Chen, X. Yi, W. Zheng, G. T. Wondrak, P. K. Wong and D. D. Zhang, Carcinogenesis, 2008, 29, 1235–1243.
- 11 H. S. Khalil, A. Goltsov, S. P. Langdon, D. J. Harrison, J. Bown and Y. Deeni, J. Biotechnol., 2015, 202, 12–30.
- 12 L. M. Solis, C. Behrens, W. Dong, M. Suraokar, N. C. Ozburn, C. A. Moran, A. H. Corvalan, S. Biswal, S. G. Swisher, B. N. Bekele, J. D. Minna, D. J. Stewart and I. I. Wistuba, Clin. Cancer Res., 2010, 16, 3743–3753.
- 13 D. Li, X. Hong, F. Zhao, X. Ci and S. Zhang, Cancer Cell Int., 2021, 21, 116–125.
- 14 M. Negrette-Guzmán, Eur. J. Pharmacol., 2019, 859, 172513.
- 15 D. D. Zhang and E. Chapman, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2020, 37, 797– 826.
- 16 Z. Hong, X. Cao, N. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Lan, Y. Zhou, X. Pan, L. Shen, Z. Yin and L. Luo, Br. J. Pharmacol., 2014, 171, 2842–2853.
- 17 H. Wang, Y. Luo, T. Qiao, Z. Wu and Z. Huang, J. Ovarian Res., 2018, 11, 93–104.
- 18 Y. Xiang, W. Ye, C. Huang, D. Yu, H. Chen, T. Deng, F. Zhang, B. Lou, J. Zhang, K. Shi, B. Chen and M. Zhou, Oxid. Med. Cell. Longevity, 2018, 2018, 2360427.
- 19 J. P. Evans, B. K. Winiarski, P. A. Sutton, R. P. Jones, L. Ressel, C. A. Duckworth, M. D. Pritchard, Z.-X. Lin, V. L. Fretwell, E. M. Tweedle, E. Costello, C. E. Goldring, I. M. Copple, K. B. Park, D. H. Palmer and N. R. Kitteringham, Oncotarget, 2018, 9, 27104.
- 20 A. Limonciel and P. Jennings, Toxins, 2014, 6, 371–379.
- 21 A. Arlt, S. Sebens, S. Krebs, C. Geismann, M. Grossmann, M. L. Kruse, S. Schreiber and H. Schäfer, Oncogene, 2013, 32, 4825–4835.
- 22 A. Manna, S. De Sarkar, S. De, A. K. Bauri, S. Chattopadhyay and M. Chatterjee, Phytomedicine, 2015, 22, 713–723.
- 23 J. Zhang, H. X. Xu, J. Q. Zhu, Y. X. Dou, Y. F. Xian and Z. X. Lin, Int. J. Biol. Sci., 2023, 19, 3029.
- 24 M. Hammad, M. Raftari, R. Cesário, R. Salma, P. Godoy, S. N. Emami and S. Haghdoost, Antioxidants, 2023, 12, 1371.
- 25 C. Dong, J. Wu, Y. Chen, J. Nie and C. Chen, Front. Pharmacol., 2021, 12, 628690.
- 26 S. Adinolfi, T. Patinen, A. Jawahar Deen, S. Pitkänen, J. Härkönen, E. Kansanen, J. Küblbeck and A.-L. Levonen, Redox Biol., 2023, 63, 102726–102735.
- 27 V. V. Chi, Dictionary of Vietnamese Medicinal Plants, Publishing House Medicine: Hanoi, Vietnam, 2012.
- 28 M. H. Nguyen, N. Y. T. Nguyen, Y.-S. Chen, H. T. Nguyen Le, H. T. Vo and C.-H. Yen, Heliyon, 2024, 10, e38411.
- 29 N. T. Vu, L. T. N. Tam, L. N. T. Han, N. K. Anh, T. K. Minh, L. M. Tri and N. M. Hien, J. Community Med., 2024, 65, 26–31.
- 30 Y.-S. Chen, H.-S. Chang, H.-H. Hsiao, Y.-F. Chen, Y.-P. Kuo, F.-L. Yen and C.-H. Yen, Antioxidants, 2021, 10, 544–559.
- 31 M. S. Miller, S. Maheshwari, F. M. McRobb, K. W. Kinzler, L. M. Amzel, B. Vogelstein and S. B. Gabelli, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2017, 25, 1481–1486.
- 32 A. Daina, O. Michielin and V. Zoete, Sci. Rep., 2017, 7, 42717.
- 33 P. Banerjee, E. Kemmler, M. Dunkel and R. Preissner, Nucleic Acids Res., 2024, 52, W513–W520.
- 34 A. Yili, Mutalipu, H. A. Aisa and M. I. Isaev, Chem. Nat. Compd., 2009, 45, 592–594.
- 35 A. H. Laghari, S. Memon, A. Nelofar and K. M. Khan, Ind. Crops Prod., 2011, 34, 1141–1145.
- 36 H. Pan, L. N. Lundgren and R. Andersson, Phytochemistry, 1994, 37, 795–799.
- 37 H. Jae-Kwan, S. Jae-Seok, P. Kyung-Min and C. Jae-Youn, Prev. Nutr. Food Sci., 2002, 7, 215–218.
- 38 L. Y. Mooi, N. A. Wahab, N. H. Lajis and A. M. Ali, Chem. Biodiversity, 2010, 7, 1267–1275.
- 39 D. D. Tshilanda, D. N. Onyamboko, P. Babady-Bila, K.-t.-N. Ngbolua, D. S. Tshibangu, E. dia Fita Dibwe and P. T. Mpiana, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect., 2015, 5, 215–221.
- 40 T. T. Le Nguyen, T. T. Pham, P. E. Hansen and P. K. P. Nguyen, STDJ, 2019, 22, 106–113.
- 41 D. Mandelker, S. B. Gabelli, O. Schmidt-Kittler, J. Zhu, I. Cheong, C. H. Huang, K. W. Kinzler, B. Vogelstein and L. M. Amzel, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2009, 106, 16996– 17001.
- 42 H. Cheng, S. T. M. Orr, S. Bailey, A. Brooun, P. Chen, J. G. Deal, Y. L. Deng, M. P. Edwards, G. M. Gallego, N. Grodsky, B. Huang, M. Jalaie, S. Kaiser, R. S. Kania, S. E. Kephart, J. Lafontaine, M. A. Ornelas, M. Pairish, S. Planken, H. Shen, S. Sutton, L. Zehnder, C. D. Almaden, S. Bagrodia, M. D. Falk, H. J. Gukasyan, C. Ho, X. Kang, R. E. Kosa, L. Liu, M. E. Spilker, S. Timofeevski, R. Visswanathan, Z. Wang, F. Meng, S. Ren, L. Shao, F. Xu and J. C. Kath, J. Med. Chem., 2021, 64, 644–661.
- 43 J. Huang, L. Chen, J. Wu, D. Ai, J.-Q. Zhang, T.-G. Chen and L. Wang, J. Med. Chem., 2022, 65, 16033–16061.
- 44 M. Zhang, H. Jang and R. Nussinov, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 5855–5865.
- 45 K. Sotolongo, J. Ghiso and A. Rostagno, Alzheimer's Res. Ther., 2020, 12, 13.