

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN 49 (2022) 675-676

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Clinical Nutrition ESPEN** 

journal homepage: http://www.clinicalnutritionespen.com

# Letter to the Editor

# Parenteral nutrition in oxygen escalation/de-escalation in SARS-CoV. *When and how?*



We have read with great interest how COVID-19 patients under parental nutrition (PN) are less likely to require oxygen escalation [1]. This observation is clinically significant on providing adequate nutrition in patients with respiratory insufficiency.

We agree that consensus concerning these patients' nutritional support is lacking. PN seems to be the nutritional choice in NIV patients for some authors, as Subramanian et al., most likely explained by the fear of complications associated with the presence of nasogastric tubes while using a conventional oro-nasal mask [1]. Despite this, post-pyloric feeding tubes are often used to favor early nutrition, ameliorate enteral nutrition tolerance, and diminish the risk of aspiration pneumonia [2].

However, we would like to highlight some aspects that must be carefully reviewed.

First, the authors fail to describe the type of respiratory insufficiency leading to NIV, and the criteria used to determine nutritional management, basing their choice merely on subjectivity which represents a great bias in this paper. Remarks were made on how recently PN is recommended when enteral nutrition (EN) is not practical or feasible; however there is no discussion on EN patients exclusion criteria nor the reason for not delivering EN. Moreover, the PN choice is explained as the clinician's strategy to avoid periods of NIV deescalation, not accounting for other reasons such as improving NIVpatient ventilator synchronization. A strong background on nutrition strategies in NIV could have supported the focus of this paper.

Another aspect not accounted for is the increased risk of hospital complications such as those associated with the use of a catheter. Lastly, PN vs. EN cost-analysis is lacking; disadvantages in this area could have been a solid argument for reevaluating current practice.

Although nutrition in NIV patients is a challenge, and international guidelines on this matter are lacking, clinical decisions must be well supported. NIV and EN are not usually given simultaneously, increasing risks associated with the temporal reduction of oxygen supply or its removal to provide nutritional support. The literature has recently described the development and implementation of unique devices designed to allow EN support while providing NIV continuously [3,4]. Quintero et al., implemented a nasogastric tube adapter that enables the use of up to two nasogastric tubes for nutritional support or gastric content control while continuing NIV [3]. This device has shown to decrease the risk of oro-nasal masks' air leaks, patients' discomfort, and potential use in difficult airway in post-extubation failure [3,5].

When evaluating nutrition management in any patient requiring NIV, EN should be considered a feasible and safe option with the aid of novel devices designed for such purpose. However, further clinical randomized trials are needed in order to explore the best nutritional approach in NIV.

### Authors' contributions

Oscar Iván Quintero: literature review, critical review manuscript, letter to editor writing. Maria Paula Garcia–Garcia: literature review, critical review manuscript, letter to editor writing. Antonio M. Esquinas: literature review, critical review manuscript, letter to editor writing.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

authors declare no conflict of interest.

# **Funding information**

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

## Acknowledgments

NA.

#### References

- [1] Subramanian K, Solomon N, Faillace R, Menon V, Raiszadeh F, Brandeis G. Effect of parenteral nutrition in oxygen escalation/de-escalation in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients who are pre-intubation: a multicenter, observational study. Clin Nutr ESPEN 2021 Dec;46:206–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.10.007. Epub 2021 Oct 20. PMID: 34857197; PMCID: PMCB526114.
- [2] Wang Q, Xuan Y, Liu C, Lu M, Liu Z, Chang P. Blind placement of postpyloric feeding tubes at the bedside in intensive care. Crit Care 2021;25(1):1–3.
- [3] Quintero OI, Sanchez AI, Chavarro PA, Casas IC, Ospina Tascón GA. Impact of using a novel gastric feeding tube adaptor on patient's comfort and air leaks during non-invasive mechanical ventilation. English, Spanish Arch Bronconeumol 2020 Jun;56(6):353-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2019.10.002. Epub. 2019 Nov. 13. PMID: 31732357.
- [4] Gregoretti C, Confalonieri M, Navalesi P, Squadrone V, Frigerio P, Beltrame F, et al. Evaluation of patient skin breakdown and comfort with a new face mask for non-invasive ventilation: a multi-center study. Intensive Care Med 2002;28:278–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-002-1208-7.
- [5] Quintero Osorio OI, Arenas JV, Cuervo JA, Ospina Tascón GA. Non-invasive ventilation and airway exchange catheter using a novel adapter in a difficult airway patient with post-extubation respiratory failure. Respirol Case Rep 2020 Apr 18;8(5):e00558. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcr2.558.eCollection.2020.Jul. PMID: 32313653.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2022.02.120

2405-4577/© 2022 European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.





DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2022.02.119.

Clinical Nutrition ESPEN 49 (2022) 675–676

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* oscar.quintero.os@fvl.org.co (O.I. Quintero O).

31 January 2022

Oscar Iván Quintero O<sup>\*</sup>, Maria Paula Garcia-Garcia Centro de Investigaciones Clínicas, Fundación Valle Del Lili, Cali, Colombia

Antonio M. Esquinas Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Morales Meseguer, Murcia, Spain