MYBPC2 and MYL1 as Significant Gene Markers for Rhabdomyosarcoma

Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment Volume 20: 1-15 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1533033820979669 journals.sagepub.com/home/tct



Zihang Chen, MS¹, Xing-yu Li, BA², Peng Guo, MS³, and Dong-lai Wang, MS³

Abstract

Background: Rhabdomyosarcoma is the most common soft tissue tumor in children. Rhabdomyosarcoma commonly results in pain and bleeding caused by tumor compression and is prone to early metastasis and recurrence, which can seriously affect the therapeutic outcomes and long-term prognosis. Up to 37.7% of rhabdomyosarcomas may metastasize. Therefore, the molecular mechanisms underlying rhabdomyosarcoma must be explored to identify an effective target for its early diagnosis and specific treatment. Methods: A dataset of 18 rhabdomyosarcoma tissue samples and 6 healthy skeletal muscle samples was downloaded. Differentially expressed genes between rhabdomyosarcoma and healthy tissue samples were identified by GEO2R. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes and gene ontology pathway enrichment analyses were performed. A protein–protein interaction network was constructed, and hub genes were identified. Expression and survival analyses of hub genes were performed. Additionally, 30 patients with rhabdomyosarcoma were recruited, and overall survival information and samples were collected. Reverse transcription guantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assays were performed to verify the expression of MYBPC2 and MYL1 in rhabdomyosarcoma tumor tissues. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to explore overall survival based on our clinical data. Results: In total, 164 genes were up-regulated and 394 were down-regulated in rhabdomyosarcoma tumor tissues. Gene ontology analysis revealed that variations were predominantly enriched in the cell cycle, muscle contraction, muscle system processes, cytoskeleton, nucleotide binding, and cytoskeletal protein binding. The protein–protein interaction network revealed 3274 edges, and 441 nodes were constructed. Ten hub genes were identified; of these, MYBPC2 and MYLI were significantly up-regulated in rhabdomyosarcoma. Compared with the healthy group, patients with rhabdomyosarcoma exhibiting high expression of MYBPC2 and MYLI exhibited significantly worse overall survival. **Conclusions:** We found differentially expressed genes between rhabdomyosarcoma and healthy tissue samples. MYBPC2 and MYL1 may be involved in the pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma and therefore deserve further exploration.

Keywords

rhabdomyosarcoma, differentially expressed genes, protein-protein interaction, bioinformatics, hub genes

Abbreviations

DAVID, Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; MCODE, Molecular Complex Detection; MYBPC2, myosinbinding protein C2; MYLI, myosin light chain 1; OS, overall survival; PPI, protein–protein interaction; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas

Received: April 21, 2020; Revised: October 12, 2020; Accepted: October 30, 2020.

Introduction

Rhabdomyosarcoma is a malignant soft tissue tumor originating in rhabdomyocytes or myoblast satellite cells. It is the most common soft tissue sarcoma in children.¹ The symptoms of rhabdomyosarcoma mainly depend on the location of the disease and the type of tissue and can include pain and bleeding caused by tumor compression.² The incidence of rhabdomyosarcoma is about 6 cases per million people per year,

Corresponding Author:

Zihang Chen, General Surgery Department, Hangzhou Fuyang District First People's Hospital, No. 429 Beihuan Road, Fuyang District, Hangzhou 311400, People's Republic of China. Email: chenzihang578@163.com



¹ General Surgery Department, Hangzhou Fuyang District First People's Hospital, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China

² School of Basic Medicine, Peking University, Beijing, People's Republic of China

³ Department of Orthopedics, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, People's Republic of China

accounting for about half of all soft tissue sarcomas in children and 2% of adult soft tissue sarcomas.^{3,4} The outcome of rhabdomyosarcoma is often worse in adults than in children.⁵ However, the pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma remains controversial. Genetic, environmental, and other factors are thought to be involved in the occurrence and development of rhabdomyosarcoma.⁶ The incidence of rhabdomyosarcoma in patients with multiple neurofibroma is 20 times higher than that in the general population, suggesting that these diseases may have common pathophysiological changes.⁷ Furthermore, Gasparini et al.⁸ suggested that multiple microRNAs may be involved in the occurrence and development of rhabdomyosarcoma by regulating the function of skeletal muscle cells. These microRNAs can also be used as targets for early diagnosis and treatment.⁸ Surgical resection is the main treatment for rhabdomyosarcoma. Because the tumor is prone to recurrence, chemotherapy and radiotherapy are also necessary. Monoclonal antibodies, such as bevacizumab and temsirolimus, may also improve the prognosis of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma.⁹ In fact, the cure rate of localized rhabdomyosarcoma under combined therapy in children is higher than 70%.¹⁰ However, patients with rhabdomyosarcoma are prone to develop metastases to the bone, lungs, and distant lymph nodes. A retrospective study showed that up to 37.7% of rhabdomyosarcomas may metastasize.¹¹ Therefore, it is clinically important to further explore the molecular mechanisms underlying rhabdomyosarcoma to identify a more effective target for its early diagnosis and specific treatment.

Cooper et al.¹² found that the expression levels of MyoD1 and myogenin in rhabdomyosarcoma were positively correlated with the degree of tumor malignancy (P < 0.05). Higher degrees of rhabdomyosarcoma malignity were associated with greater expression of MyoD1 and myogenin. The expression of MyoD1 and myogenin significantly differs between childhood rhabdomyosarcoma and other small cell malignant tumors; therefore, it can be inferred that MyoD1 and myogenin might be used to assist in the differentiation between these two types of tumors and that they may serve as important molecular markers for the pathological diagnosis of rhabdomyosarcoma.^{13,14} The MyoD1 and myogenin expression levels in rhabdomyosarcoma are significantly correlated with its prognosis. One study showed that among patients with rhabdomyosarcoma, these expression levels were significantly higher in those with a poor prognosis than in those with a better prognosis, and increased MyoD1 and myogenin expression levels were significantly correlated with a poor prognosis for rhabdomyosarcoma.¹⁵ However, none of this research was based on the matrix method, a type of bioinformatics analysis.

Bioinformatics analysis is a reliable means of identifying targets for diagnosis and treatment. It is widely used to find genetic changes involved in the processes of tumorigenesis and development. Zhang et al.¹⁶ analyzed whole-genome miRNA microarray data of patients with gastric cancer and found the most related molecules were miR-19b-3p and miR-16-5p, providing new avenues for the diagnosis and treatment of gastric cancer. In another study using bioinformatics analysis, Zhang

et al.¹⁷ found abnormally expressed genes in patients with melanoma, and further verification showed that molecules such as CXCL8 and hsa-miR-375 were involved in the occurrence and development of melanoma, providing new clues for the study of melanoma. Stewart et al.¹⁸ used transcriptomics and proteomics to identify several abnormally expressed molecules in patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. Further analysis showed that the WEE1 kinase targeting the G2/M pathway may be a potential therapeutic target for rhabdomyosarcoma.¹⁸ Searching for prognostic biomarkers of rhabdomyosarcoma can provide the basis for individualized and targeted therapies, leading to improvement in the long-term prognosis of patients.¹⁹ Li et al.²⁰ found that CCNB1 and CDK1 may be used as early diagnostic biomarkers for rhabdomyosarcoma, providing evidence for the early diagnosis and treatment of rhabdomyosarcoma. However, little research has focused on rhabdomyosarcoma biomarkers.

In the present study, we used bioinformatics analysis to screen differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of rhabdomyosarcoma relative to peritumoral healthy tissues. Gene ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis of DEGs were performed. A protein–protein interaction (PPI) network of the DEGs was then constructed. In addition, data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database were downloaded, and the expression of hub genes and their effects on the prognosis of patients with sarcoma were analyzed. We also collected tissue samples from patients with rhabdomyosarcoma and sequenced them to verify the expression of related hub genes. Finally, we performed a preliminary analysis of the role of DEGs in rhabdomyosarcoma.

Materials and Methods

Access to Public Data

The Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo) is an open source platform for the storage of genetic data.²¹ An expression profile dataset [GSE28511 (GPL6947 platform)] was downloaded from the GEO database. The dataset included 18 rhabdomyosarcoma tissue samples and 6 healthy skeletal muscle samples. If the sample size in each group is more than 3, then repeatability is satisfied. If the sample size in each group is more than 6, the error value is small. Therefore, in this study, 18 rhabdomyosarcoma tissue samples were selected in the experimental group and 6 healthy skeletal muscle samples were selected in the healthy group. All samples in the dataset were selected for this study. Although the sample size was small, the analysis used was rigorous, and the TCGA database was used to verify the impact of the hub genes on the long-term prognosis of rhabdomyosarcoma.

DEGs Identified Using GEO2R

GEO2R (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/) is an interactive online tool used to identify DEGs in datasets from the GEO.²² GEO2R can also be used to distinguish DEGs between rhabdomyosarcoma and healthy tissue samples. Volcano diagrams were delineated using SangerBox software, a tool based on the R package (http://sangerbox.com/).

Functional Annotation of DEGs Using KEGG and GO Pathway Enrichment Analyses

The Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), version 6.8 (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) is an online suite of analysis tools with integrated discovery and annotation functions.²³ The GO resource is widely used in bioinformatics and covers 3 aspects of biology: biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions. KEGG (https://www.kegg.jp/) is one of the most frequently used biological information databases worldwide. The DAVID online tool was used to perform GO and KEGG analyses of DEGs. The pathway and process enrichment analyses were performed using Metascape (http://metascape.org/gp/index.html).

Construction of PPI Network

The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (http:// string.embl.de/), an open source online tool, was used to construct a PPI network of the identified DEGs, and Cytoscape visualization software version 3.6.1 was used to display the network.²⁴ A confidence score of >0.4 was considered to be the criterion of judgment.

Identification and Analysis of Hub Genes

Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) version 1.5.1, a Cytoscape plug-in, was used to identify the most important modules in the network map. The criteria of the MCODE analysis were as follows: degree of cutoff = 2, MCODE score > 5, maximum depth = 100, node score cutoff = 0.2, and k-score = 2. Next, cytoHubba, a free Cytoscape plug-in, was used to identify hub genes when the degrees were \geq 10. The hub genes were excavated, and functional annotation of the genes was performed using KEGG and GO analyses in DAVID. The pathway and process enrichment analyses were performed using Metascape.

Expression Analysis of Hub Genes and Survival Analysis

UCSC Xena (https://xena.ucsc.edu/welcome-to-ucsc-xena/) was used to integrate public genomic datasets to analyze and visualize the expression levels of hub genes. Clustering analysis of the expression levels of hub genes was then performed using heatmaps. GEPIA is a web server for cancer and healthy gene expression profiling and interactive analyses (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/).²⁵ Survival analysis was performed using GEPIA to analyze the effect of the hub genes on overall survival (OS) in patients with sarcoma (P < 0.05). The expression of hub genes in tumors was verified by GEPIA. The expression on box plots $|Log_2FC|$ cutoff (where FC is the fold change) was 1, the P-value cutoff was 0.01, and the jitter size was 0.4. The

Table 1. Primers and Their Sequences Used for PCR Analysis.

Primer	Sequence $(5'-3')$
MYH6-hF	TCCTTGTGGCTCCTAACT
MYH6-hR	ACCCTCATACCCACCTCT
ACTN2-hF	TACCACCCACCTGTACTTT
ACTN2-hR	TACTAGCTGCGTTCTTGC
TNNI1-hF	GTCAAGGAAGACAGGGATG
TNNI1-hR	AAGACAAGCGAGGACTGATA
MYL4-hF	GAAGAGTGCGAGCGAAGG
MYL4-hR	GCCCACATCTGCCCATTT
TNNT3-hF	GGGGCAAGTGGAGTGAAGC
TNNT3-hR	GCCCAGCAACCCTGTCATC
NEB-hF	GCCACAACTGTATGCTTT
NEB-hR	ATTTCCCATTTAGTCCTC
MYBPC2-hF	CGGAGCAGTGACTCATACC
MYBPC2-hR	TTTCCTTGCCCAACAGAC
TTN-hF	ATGTTTATGCTGCCTCTG
TTN-hR	AACCCCTAGTTTCTTCCA
MYL1-hF	TACCTAAATCCGACATCA
MYL1-hR	CTTACGGTTTATCCCTCT
MYL3-hF	GAGATGGCATGGAGTAGCG
MYL3-hR	GGTGGGTCACCAGGTTCA

median expression of tumor and healthy samples in bodymap was determined using GEPIA.

Reverse Transcription Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) Verification

RT-qPCR assays were performed to verify the expression of hub genes in rhabdomyosarcoma tumor tissues. Thirty individuals were recruited. Following surgery, rhabdomyosarcoma tissues and adjacent mucosa tissues were obtained. This research conformed to the standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki and was authorized by the Human Ethics and Research Ethics Committees of the Hangzhou Fuyang District First People's Hospital, China. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Total RNA was extracted using the RNAiso Plus (TRIzol) kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and reversetranscribed into cDNA. RT-qPCR was performed in a Light Cycler[®] 4800 System (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) with a specific set of primers (Table 1). The relative quantification units (RQ = $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$, where Ct represents the quantification cycle values) of each sample were calculated and presented as fold changes in gene expression relative to the control group. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used as an endogenous control.

Survival Analysis of Patients With Rhabdomyosarcoma

We collected clinical data from 30 patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. We then performed an OS analysis of the patients based on their *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* gene expression.

Statistical Analysis

P-values of the DEGs were obtained using a Bayes test based in the limma package. Values were considered statistically



Figure 1. Identification of DEGs between rhabdomyosarcoma tissue samples and healthy skeletal muscle samples in the GSE28511 dataset.

significant if P < 0.05 and the fold change was >2 or <-2. P-values of the DAVID analysis were obtained using Fisher's exact test. Values were considered statistically significant if P < 0.05. The survival analysis of hub genes in GEPIA was performed based on the Cox proportional hazards model, logrank test, and Mantel–Cox test. The PCR data are expressed as a percentage of the total and mean \pm standard deviation. When 2 groups were compared, Student's t-test was used to determine statistical significance. The least significant difference was used for the post hoc test. We used the Kaplan–Meier method to explore OS based on our own clinical data. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS software, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Screening of DEGs Between Rhabdomyosarcoma and Healthy Tissues

Volcano diagrams were used to show the DEGs; 164 genes were up-regulated and 394 were down-regulated in rhabdomyosarcoma tumor tissues (Figure 1).

Functional Annotation of DEGs Using KEGG and GO Analyses

The results of the GO analysis revealed that variations in biological processes were predominantly enriched in the cell cycle, muscle contraction, muscle system processes, cytoskeleton organization, and muscle organ development. Changes in cellular components were primarily enriched in intracellular non-membrane-bounded organelles, non-membrane-bounded organelles, the cytoskeleton, cytoskeletal parts, and mitochondria. Variations in molecular functions were enriched in nucleotide binding, cytoskeletal protein binding, purine

Table 2. GO and KEGG Pathway	Enrichment	Analyses	of DEGs in
Rhabdomyosarcoma Samples.			

Term	Description	Count in gene set	P-value
GO:0007049	Cell cycle	52	9.31E-09
GO:0006936	Muscle contraction	44	1.79E-31
GO:0003012	Muscle system process	44	1.3E-29
GO:0007010	Cytoskeleton organization	43	2.01E-12
GO:0007517	Muscle organ development	36	9.77E-18
GO:0043232	Intracellular non-membrane-	134	1.09E-14
	bounded organelle		
GO:0043228	Non-membrane-bounded	134	1.09E-14
GO:0005856	Cytoskeleton	104	2.03E-22
GO:0044430	Cytoskeletal part	79	4.19E-19
GO:0005739	Mitochondrion	55	1.32E-05
GO:0000166	Nucleotide binding	77	0.04579
GO:0008092	Cytoskeletal protein binding	69	4.11E-28
GO:0017076	Purine nucleotide binding	69	0.02485
GO:0032555	Purine ribonucleotide binding	63	0.07086
GO:0032553	Ribonucleotide binding	63	0.07086
hsa04260	Cardiac muscle contraction	19	3.74E-11
hsa05414	Dilated cardiomyopathy	17	3.82E-08
hsa05410	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	16	8.51-08
hsa05010	Alzheimer's disease	14	0.00279
hsa04530	Tight junction	13	0.00152

GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DEGs, differentially expressed genes

nucleotide binding, purine ribonucleotide binding, and ribonucleotide binding (Table 2). KEGG analysis demonstrated that DEGs were largely enriched in pathways in cardiac muscle contraction, dilated cardiomyopathy, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, Alzheimer's disease, and tight junctions (Table 2). Pathway and process enrichment analysis by Metascape showed that the DEGs were mainly enriched in muscle contraction, muscle structure development, myofibril assembly, muscle tissue morphogenesis, skeletal muscle contraction, skeletal muscle adaptation, and cell division (P < 0.05) (Figure 2).

Construction of PPI Network

Construction of the PPI network revealed 3274 edges and 441 nodes (Figure 3A). The network possessed significantly more interactions than expected, highlighting a greater number of interactions between DEGs than would be expected for a random set of proteins of similar size from the same genome. Such enrichment indicates that the identified proteins were at least partially associated.

Hub Gene Selection and Functional Annotation

The most important module of the network map is shown in Figure 3B.

Ten hub genes (*MYH6*, *ACTN2*, *TNNI1*, *MYL4*, *TNNT3*, *NEB*, *MYBPC2*, *TTN*, *MYL1*, and *MYL3*) were identified using



Figure 2. Enrichment analysis of DEGs using DAVID and Metascape. (A) Heatmap of enriched terms across input differently expressed gene lists, colored by P-values, via Metascape. (B) Network of enriched terms colored by cluster identity. (C) Network of enriched terms colored by P-value, where terms containing more genes tend to have a more significant P-value.

Cytoscape (Figure 4A). The pathway and process enrichment analyses performed using Metascape showed that the hub genes were mainly enriched in striated muscle contraction (Figure 4B–D).

Analysis of Hub Genes

The hub gene expression levels and the clustering analysis of the hub gene expression levels indicated that some hub genes



Figure 3. PPI network of DEGs and significant MCODE module. (A) The PPI network consisted of 3274 edges and 441 nodes. (B) The significant MCODE module.

were highly expressed in sarcoma tumor tissues; however, some hub genes were highly expressed in healthy tissues. In particular, compared with healthy samples, *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* were up-regulated in rhabdomyosarcoma tumor samples (Figure 5A and B).

Analysis of OS

The OS analysis for sarcoma over 50, 100, and 150 months is shown in Figure 6A to I. No correlation was found between the expression of ACTN2 and OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma (Figure 6A). The expression of MYBPC2 was negatively correlated with the OS rate in patients with sarcoma (P < 0.01) (Figure 6B). No correlation was present between the expression of *MYH6* and the OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma (P >0.05) (Figure 6C). When the expression of MYL1 was upregulated, the OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma was reduced (P < 0.05) (Figure 6D). When the expression of *MYL3* was up-regulated, the OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma was reduced (P < 0.05) (Figure 6E). When the expression of MYL4 was down-regulated, the OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma was reduced (P < 0.05) (Figure 6F). When the expression of NEB was up-regulated, the OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma was reduced (P < 0.05) (Figure 6G). No correlations were found between the expression of TNNI1 and TNNT3 and the OS of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma (P >0.05) (Figure 6H and I).

Further Analysis of Key Genes

The expression of hub genes in sarcoma tissues and healthy tissues were verified again by GEPIA (Figure 7A–I). *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* were highly expressed in tumor samples (Figure 7B and D). In addition, the median expression of tumor and healthy samples in bodymap showed the expression of *MYBPC2*, *MYL3*, *MYL4*, and *NEB* (Figure 8A–D).

Relative Expression of Hub Genes via RT-qPCR

Compared with their expression in the control group, *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* were significantly up-regulated in rhabdomyosarcoma tumor tissues (P < 0.05). However, the expression of other hub genes was not significantly different between the healthy and rhabdomyosarcoma tissues (Figure 9).

Survival Analysis in Patients with Rhabdomyosarcoma

MYBPC2 and *MYL1* expression were significantly associated with survival in patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. Compared with the healthy group, patients with rhabdomyosarcoma showing high expression of *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* had reduced OS (P < 0.05) (Figure 10).

Discussion

Rhabdomyosarcoma is the most common soft tissue tumor in children¹ and includes embryonic rhabdomyosarcoma, acinar



Figure 4. Hub genes network and analysis. (A) The 10 hub genes. (B–D) Pathway and process enrichment analysis of the hub genes.



Figure 5. Expression analysis of hub genes in UCSC. (A, B) Some hub genes were highly expressed in sarcoma tumor tissues.

rhabdomyosarcoma, and pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma.^{26,27} Embryonic rhabdomyosarcoma is most common in children and adolescents; its average age at onset is 5 years, and it accounts for about 2% of all rhabdomyosarcomas.²⁸ Acinar rhabdomyosarcoma is more common in males than in females. In addition, acinar rhabdomyosarcoma is prone to blood metastasis and lymph node metastasis in its early stages.²⁹ Pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma mainly occurs in the biceps and quadriceps femoris of adults.³⁰ Rhabdomyosarcoma often induces pain and bleeding caused by tumor compression.² Furthermore, rhabdomyosarcoma is prone to early metastasis, which seriously affects the therapeutic outcome and long-term prognosis.¹¹ Therefore, it is of great value to explore the molecular mechanisms underlying the occurrence and development of rhabdomyosarcoma and to realize its early diagnosis and targeted treatment. In this study, bioinformatics techniques were used to screen hub genes from a dataset of rhabdomyosarcoma samples; these hub genes can potentially be used as therapeutic targets and biomarkers for rhabdomyosarcoma. Ten hub genes were identified: *MYH6*, *ACTN2*, *TNNI1*, *MYL4*, *TNNT3*, *NEB*, *MYBPC2*, *TTN*, *MYL1*, and *MYL3*. Among them, *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* deserve the most attention.

MYBPC2 (myosin-binding protein C2) is mainly involved in regulating actin binding, protein binding, structural constituents of muscles, cell adhesion, and muscle filament sliding. Abnormal expression of *MYBPC2* may be involved in the



Figure 6. Overall survival analysis. (A) ACTN2, (B) MYBPC2, (C) MYH6, (D) MYL1, (E) MYL3, (F) MYL4, (G) NEB, (H) TNN11, (I) TNNT3.

occurrence and development of many diseases. Using gene sequencing analysis of patients with degenerative joint diseases, Bayram et al.³¹ identified several genes that were significantly related to degenerative joint disease. Further analysis suggested that *MYBPC2* may participate in the occurrence and development of degenerative joint diseases by affecting the normal functioning of muscles. *MYBPC2* may be a potential therapeutic target for joint degeneration.³¹ Salinas-Torres et al.³² found that multiple genes are coordinated to promote the development of gastroschisis, in which *MYBPC2* plays an important role. In addition, Ding et al.³³ studied the relationship between FHL1 protein deletion and the progression of hereditary myopathy; they found that autophagy plays an

important role in the development of hereditary myopathy and that *MYBPC2* may be involved in its regulation. Autophagy may also be involved in the regulation of drug tolerance when rhabdomyosarcoma is treated with temozolomide and can impact the therapeutic effect.³⁴ Furthermore, Wang et al.³⁵ found that PFK15 can down-regulate the AMPK signaling pathway and inhibit autophagy and proliferation of rhabdomyosarcoma cells, suggesting that the regulation of autophagy can improve the tumor prognosis. Similar to previous studies, we found that *MYBPC2* was highly expressed in patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. Our survival analysis showed that patients with rhabdomyosarcoma showing high expression of *MYBPC2* had a poor prognosis. We also verified high expression of



Figure 7. Expression of hub genes verified by GEPIA. (A) ACTN2, (B) MYBPC2, (C) MYH6, (D) MYL1, (E) MYL3, (F) MYL4, (G) NEB, (H) TNN11, (I) TNNT3.



Figure 8. Median expression of genes in tumor and healthy samples in bodymap. (A) MYBPC2, (B) MYL3, (C) MYL4, (D) NEB.

MYBPC2 in patients with sarcoma using data from TCGA in UCSC and GEPIA, and we used RT-qPCR to verify the significantly higher expression of *MYBPC2* in patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. We speculate that *MYBPC2* may be involved in the occurrence and development of rhabdomyosarcoma by affecting protein binding, structural constituents of muscles, cell adhesion, muscle filament sliding, and autophagy. The molecular mechanism underlying how *MYBPC2* participates in rhabdomyosarcoma is worthy of further study.

MYL1 (myosin light chain 1) is mainly involved in regulating calcium ion binding, structural constituents of muscles, muscle contraction, and muscle filament sliding. Cellular components include cytosol, muscle myosin complex, and sarcomeres. The abnormal expression of *MYL1* is involved in a variety of pathophysiological processes. *MYL1* is involved in zebrafish muscle fiber differentiation and is an early marker of rapid muscle cell differentiation.³⁶ Ravenscroft et al.³⁷ found that abnormal *MYL1* may cause structural and functional

abnormalities of myosin, which in turn may lead to congenital myopathy, suggesting that MYL1 may be a potential target for the diagnosis and treatment of congenital myopathy. Using bioinformatics analysis, Lin and Lin³⁸ found that MYL1 may participate in the occurrence and development of steroidinduced necrosis of the femoral head by regulating muscle function, suggesting that MYL1 may be a potential therapeutic target. In addition, Chen et al.³⁹ found several regulatory factors that regulate muscle differentiation, among which MYL1 and long non-coding RNA Filip1 may play an important role in maintaining normal muscle differentiation and function. Similarly, Blackburn et al.⁴⁰ found abnormal expression of MYL1 in the muscle fibers of aged mice using a single-myofiber RNA-Seq analysis approach, suggesting that *MYL1* may be beneficial for the normal functioning of muscle fibers by regulating metabolism and stabilizing their structure. Finally, Sun et al.⁴¹ analyzed the gene sequence data of patients with prostate cancer and found that MYL1 was highly expressed in these patients;



Figure 9. Relative expression of hub genes between healthy and rhabdomyosarcoma tissues via PCR. (A) *MYH6*, (B) *ACTN2*, (C) *TNN11*, (D) *MYL4*, (E) *TNNT3*, (F) *NEB*, (G) *MYBPC2*, (H) *TTN*, (I) *MYL1*, (J) *MYL3*.

they suggested that *MYL1* may be involved in the occurrence and development of prostate cancer by regulating muscle contraction, growth, and metabolism, and may be a potential therapeutic target. Similar to the findings of these previous studies, we found that *MYL1* was highly expressed in patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. Our survival analysis showed that the prognosis of patients with high expression of rhabdomyosarcoma was poor. We also verified high expression of *MYL1* in patients with sarcoma using the TCGA data in UCSC and GEPIA and verified high expression of *MYL1* in patients with



Figure 10. Overall survival analysis of MYBPC2 and MYL1 expression in 30 patients with rhabdomyosarcoma. (A) MYBPC2, (B) MYL1.

rhabdomyosarcoma by RT-qPCR. Overall, our results suggest that *MYL1* is involved in the onset and development of rhabdomyosarcoma by regulating the normal differentiation of muscles and the structural constituents of muscles. *MYL1* may be a molecular target for the early diagnosis and treatment of rhabdomyosarcoma.

Despite our rigorous bioinformatics analysis, this study still has some limitations. First, the sample size was small. Second, this study only included a small number of clinical samples for verification; this should be comprehensively verified by larger numbers of clinical samples and animal experiments. Overall, before drawing any conclusions with high confidence, this study requires further validation in larger cohorts.

Conclusions

Bioinformatics techniques can be used to explore the pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma. We identified DEGs between rhabdomyosarcoma and healthy tissues. Several trends suggested that *MYBPC2* and *MYL1* may be involved in the pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma, and these genes deserve further exploration.

Authors' Note

Zihang Chen analyzed the data and was the major contributor to performing the experiments and writing the manuscript. Zihang Chen and Peng Guo were involved in critically revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Zihang Chen and Dong-lai Wang made substantial contributions to conceiving the research and designing the draft of the research process. Xing-yu Li made substantial contributions to writing the content related to Myod1 and myogenin, searching for references, and improving the manuscript. Xing-yu Li contributed to writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The data for this research were downloaded from the GEO database, a public website. All institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of participants were followed. The research conformed to the standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki and was authorized by the Human Ethics and Research Ethics Committees of the Hangzhou Fuyang District First People's Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Dong-lai Wang D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9098-5891

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Vaarwerk B, Bisogno G, McHugh K, et al. Indeterminate pulmonary nodules at diagnosis in rhabdomyosarcoma: are they clinically significant? A report from the European Paediatric Soft Tissue Sarcoma Study Group. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(9):723-730.
- Dasgupta R, Fuchs J, Rodeberg D. Rhabdomyosarcoma. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2016;25(5):276-283.
- Ferrari A, Dileo P, Casanova M, et al. Rhabdomyosarcoma in adults. A retrospective analysis of 171 patients treated at a single institution. *Cancer*. 2003;98(3):571-580.
- Pastore G, Peris-Bonet R, Carli M, Martinez-Garcia C, de Toledo JS, Steliarova-Foucher E. Childhood soft tissue sarcomas incidence and survival in European children (1978-1997): report from the Automated Childhood Cancer Information System project. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006;42(13):2136-2149.
- Sultan I, Qaddoumi I, Yaser S, Rodriguez-Galindo C, Ferrari A. Comparing adult and pediatric rhabdomyosarcoma in the surveillance, epidemiology and end results program, 1973 to 2005: an analysis of 2,600 patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(20):3391-3397.
- Casey DL, Wexler LH, Pitter KL, Samstein RM, Slotkin EK, Wolden SL. Genomic determinants of clinical outcomes in rhabdomyosarcoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;26(5):1135-1140.

- Sung L, Anderson JR, Arndt C, Raney RB, Meyer WH, Pappo AS. Neurofibromatosis in children with rhabdomyosarcoma: a report from the Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study IV. J Pediatr. 2004;144(5):666-668.
- Gasparini P, Ferrari A, Casanova M, et al. MiRNAs as players in rhabdomyosarcoma development. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(22): 5818.
- Mascarenhas L, Chi YY, Hingorani P, et al. Randomized phase II trial of bevacizumab or temsirolimus in combination with chemotherapy for first relapse rhabdomyosarcoma: a report from the children's oncology group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2019;37(31):2866-2874.
- Stevens MC, Rey A, Bouvet N, et al. Treatment of nonmetastatic rhabdomyosarcoma in childhood and adolescence: third study of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology–SIOP Malignant Mesenchymal Tumor 89. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(12):2618-2628.
- Kim JR, Yoon HM, Koh KN, Jung AY, Cho YA, Lee JS. Rhabdomyosarcoma in children and adolescents: patterns and risk factors of distant metastasis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2017;209(2): 409-416.
- Cooper ST, Kizana E, Yates JD, et al. Dystrophinopathy carrier determination and detection of protein deficiencies in muscular dystrophy using lentiviral MyoD-forced myogenesis. *Neuromuscul Disord*. 2007;17(4):276-284.
- Morotti RA, Nicol KK, Parham DM, et al. An immunohistochemical algorithm to facilitate diagnosis and subtyping of rhabdomyosarcoma: The Children's Oncology Group experience. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2006;30(8):962-968.
- Sartori F, Alaggio R, Zanazzo G, et al. Results of a prospective minimal disseminated disease study in human rhabdomyosarcoma using three different molecular markers. *Cancer*. 2006; 106(8):1766-1775.
- Zibat A, Missiaglia E, Rosenberger A, et al. Activation of the hedgehog pathway confers a poor prognosis in embryonal and fusion gene-negative alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Oncogene*. 2010;29(48):6323-6330.
- Zhang J, Song Y, Zhang C, et al. Circulating MiR-16-5p and MiR-19b-3p as two novel potential biomarkers to indicate progression of gastric cancer. *Theranostics*. 2015;5(7):733-745.
- Zhang Q, Wang Y, Liang J, Tian Y, Zhang Y, Tao K. Bioinformatics analysis to identify the critical genes, microRNAs and long noncoding RNAs in melanoma. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017; 96(29):e7497.
- Stewart E, McEvoy J, Wang H, et al. Identification of therapeutic targets in rhabdomyosarcoma through integrated genomic, epigenomic, and proteomic analyses. *Cancer Cell*. 2018;34(3): 411-426.e19.
- Arnold MA, Barr FG. Molecular diagnostics in the management of rhabdomyosarcoma. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2017;17(2): 189-194.
- Li Q, Zhang L, Jiang J, et al. CDK1 and CCNB1 as potential diagnostic markers of rhabdomyosarcoma: validation following bioinformatics analysis. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2019;12(1):198.
- Wang Z, Monteiro CD, Jagodnik KM, et al. Extraction and analysis of signatures from the Gene Expression Omnibus by the crowd. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:12846.

- Barrett T, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, et al. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets—update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013; 41(Database issue):D991-D995.
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Tan Q, et al. The DAVID gene functional classification tool: a novel biological module-centric algorithm to functionally analyze large gene lists. *Genome Biol.* 2007; 8(9):R183.
- Smoot ME, Ono K, Ruscheinski J, Wang PL, Ideker T. Cytoscape
 new features for data integration and network visualization. *Bioinformatics*. 2011;27(3):431-432.
- Tang Z, Li C, Kang B, Gao G, Li C, Zhang Z. GEPIA: a web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45(W1):W98-W102.
- Amer KM, Thomson JE, Congiusta D, et al. Epidemiology, incidence, and survival of rhabdomyosarcoma subtypes: SEER and ICES database analysis. *J Orthop Res.* 2019;37(10): 2226-2230.
- Rostgaard K, AUID-Oho, Hjalgrim H, et al. Survival after cancer in children, adolescents and young adults in the Nordic countries from 1980 to 2013. *Br J Cancer*. 2019;121(12):1079-1084.
- Davicioni E, Anderson MJ, Finckenstein FG, et al. Molecular classification of rhabdomyosarcoma—genotypic and phenotypic determinants of diagnosis: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Am J Pathol.* 2009;174(2):550-564.
- 29. Xia SJ, Pressey JG, Barr FG. Molecular pathogenesis of rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2002;1(2):97-104.
- Alavi S, Eckes L, Kratschell R, et al. Pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma of the uterus—case report and a systematic review of the literature. *Anticancer Res.* 2017;37(5):2509-2514.
- Bayram Y, Karaca E, Coban AZ, et al. Molecular etiology of arthrogryposis in multiple families of mostly Turkish origin. *J Clin Invest*. 2016;126(2):762-778.
- 32. Salinas-Torres VM, Gallardo-Blanco HL, Salinas-Torres RA, et al. Bioinformatic analysis of gene variants from gastroschisis recurrence identifies multiple novel pathogenetic pathways: implication for the closure of the ventral body wall. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(9):2295.
- Ding J, Cong YF, Liu B, Miao J, Wang L. Aberrant protein turnover associated with myofibrillar disorganization in FHL1 knockout mice. *Front Genet.* 2018;9:273.
- Moghadam AR, da SRSC, Samiei E, et al. Autophagy modulates temozolomide-induced cell death in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *Cell Death Discov*. 2018;4:52.
- Wang C, Qu J, Yan S, Gao Q, Hao S, Zhou D. PFK15, a PFKFB3 antagonist, inhibits autophagy and proliferation in rhabdomyosarcoma cells. *Int J Mol Med.* 2018;42(1):359-367.
- Burguiere AC, Nord H, von HJ. Alkali-like myosin light chain-1 (myl1) is an early marker for differentiating fast muscle cells in zebrafish. *Dev Dyn.* 2011;240(7):1856-1863.
- Ravenscroft G, Zaharieva IT, Bortolotti CA, et al. Bi-allelic mutations in MYL1 cause a severe congenital myopathy. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2018;27(24):4263-4272.
- Lin Z, Lin Y. Identification of potential crucial genes associated with steroid-induced necrosis of femoral head based on gene expression profile. *Gene*. 2017;627:322-326.

- 39. Chen R, Jiang T, She Y, et al. Comprehensive analysis of lncRNAs and mRNAs with associated co-expression and ceRNA networks in C2C12 myoblasts and myotubes. *Gene.* 2018;647:164-173.
- 40. Blackburn DM, Lazure F, Corchado AH, Perkins TJ, Najafabadi HS, Soleimani VD. High-resolution genome-wide expression

analysis of single myofibers using SMART-Seq. *J Biol Chem*. 2019;294(52):20097-20108.

41. Sun J, Li S, Wang F, Fan C, Wang J. Identification of key pathways and genes in PTEN mutation prostate cancer by bioinformatics analysis. *BMC Med Genet*. 2019;20(1):191.