Essay

Why Human Health and Health Ethics Must Be Central to **Climate Change Deliberations**

Jerome Amir Singh^{1,2}*

1 Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa (CAPRISA), University of Kwazulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa, 2 Dalla Lana School of Public Health, Joint Centre for Bioethics, and Sandra Rotman Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Human Health and Climate Change

The 17th Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Convention on Climate Change (COP 17) concluded in December 2011, in Durban, South Africa, two days late, after two weeks of negotiations. What ultimately emerged was a further voluntary commitment period for the Kyoto Protocol international instrument that sets binding targets for 37 industrialized countries and the European community for reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and expires in 2012and more significantly, the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action. This is an agreement that commits governments to developing a protocol, legal instrument, or an agreed outcome to cut greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions with legal force applicable to all countries by no later than 2015, under the United Nations Framework Convention Climate Change on (UNFCCC), to be implemented from 2020 [1].

Some regard the outcome of the Durban meeting to be a failure because it will not result in action fast enough [2,3]. Scientists largely concur that if global temperatures rise 2°C beyond preindustrial levels, this will have extremely negative and irreversible planetary consequences [4,5]. To remain within this ceiling, the current rising trend in global emissions will have to be stemmed by 2020 [6]. This will not happen if a binding agreement only takes effect in 2020, especially as the World Meteorological Organization announced at the start of COP 17 that concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere reached new highs in 2011 and are very rapidly approaching levels consistent with a 2-2.4°C rise in average global temperatures [7,8]. Others, such as the United Nations,

The Essay section contains opinion pieces on topics of broad interest to a general medical audience.

hail COP 17 a breakthrough as the world's biggest polluters, including China, the United States, and India, accepted for the first time that an international treaty on climate change should bind them too.

Regardless of COP 17's perceived failure or success, unequivocally clear was that foreign ministers and environmental ministers set and drove the conference agenda, and that economic considerations underpinned all discussions. Despite climate change posing grave risks to human health (see Box 1), and the World Health Organization (WHO) prospectively devising a policy proposal for COP 17 [9], the human health perspective on climate change was relegated to sideevent status at COP 17 [10]. This is also despite COP 17 hosting the largest health presence in a UNFCCC conference to date [11], and spawning a parallel inaugural Global Climate and Health Summit, which yielded the Durban Declaration on Climate and Health and Health Sector Call to Action [12]. The marginalisation of human health considerations at UNFCCC conferences is untenable. Human health must be a core, not peripheral, focus at future COP meetings [13]. Furthermore, the WHO and health ministers should help set and drive UNFCCC conference agendas. Similarly, health ethics considerations should be given equal weighting to economic considerations at future UNFCCC conference negotiations.

Why Ethics Is Crucial to Climate **Change Deliberations**

While movement towards a legally binding international treaty on climate change is welcomed, and while many countries are moving towards domestic legal frameworks to govern greenhouse gas emissions in their settings, these governance instruments could be silent on issues such as the duty of care and custodianship towards resources and the environment that current generations owe future generations (intergenerational justice), or their adherence may not necessarily yield ethical outcomes. For example, COP 17's host, South Africa, is currently experiencing an energy security crisis, with the country's electricity grid network under strain [14]. In response, the country's state energy company, Eskom, with endorsement from the South African government [15], is building the Medupi and Kusile coal-fired power stations, and has successfully sought multi-billion funding for these power stations from the World Bank [16] and the US government's Export-Import Bank [17]. This is ethically questionable

Citation: Singh JA (2012) Why Human Health and Health Ethics Must Be Central to Climate Change Deliberations. PLoS Med 9(6): e1001229. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001229

Copyright: © 2012 Jerome Amir Singh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by an award from the National Research Foundation of South Africa. The author is also supported by the Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa (CAPRISA), Durban, South Africa, which forms part of the Comprehensive International Program on AIDS, funded by the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. The author is also supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation through the Sandra Rothman Centre, Toronto, Canada. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The author has declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: COP 17, 17th Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Convention on Climate Change; GHG, greenhouse gas; UNFCCC, UN Framework Convention on Climate Change; WHO, World Health Organization

* E-mail: singhj9@ukzn.ac.za

Provenance: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



Summary Points

- The human health implications of climate change must be afforded greater prominence.
- Governments, the private sector, financiers, and society have a moral responsibility to practice socially responsible investment and to mitigate against the impact of climate change, particularly in relation to human health.
- Human health must be a core, not peripheral, focus in future climate change deliberations.
- The health community, led by health ministers, must play a central role in climate change deliberations.
- Health ethics principles must be afforded equal status to economics principles in climate change deliberations.

given that coal-powered stations are the biggest contributors to global warming. For example, US coal-fired power plants released 72% of US greenhouse gases reported for 2010 to the US Environmen-

tal Protection Agency [18]. Alarmingly, an estimated 6,000 and 10,700 annual deaths just from cardio-pulmonary diseases and cancer can be attributed to the 88 coal-fired power plants and companies that

Box 1. The Impact of Climate Change on Human Health

The World Health Organization (WHO), the World Meteorological Organization, and the United Nations Environmental Programme have noted, with concern, the implications of climate change on human health.

The United States Interagency Working Group on Climate Change and Health has identified at least eleven categories of climate change's impact on human health, including:

- asthma and respiratory disease;
- cancer:
- cardiovascular disease and stroke:
- foodborne diseases and nutrition;
- human developmental effects;
- mental health and stress-related disorders;
- neurological diseases;
- vectorborne and zoonotic diseases;
- waterborne diseases;
- weather-related morbidity and mortality.

The Wildlife Conservation Society has identified 12 pathogens—dubbed the "deadly dozen"—that could spread into new regions and affect human health as a result of climate change:

- avian flu;
- tuberculosis;
- Ebola virus;
- cholera;
- babesiosis;
- parasites;
- Lyme disease;
- plague;
- Rift Valley fever;
- sleeping sickness;
- yellow fever;
- red tides (algal blooms).

Key references: [9,28-38].

received public international financing, including from the World Bank [19,20]. Further, more than US\$37billion in direct funding and over US\$100 billion in indirect financial support for new coal-fired generation has been provided by multilateral development banks and export credit agenciessince 1994, compared to the \$6.36 billion mobilized by the United Nations Global Environment Facility for climate change mitigation over the same period [21].

World Bank funding for coal-powered stations comes despite the World Bank recognizing the impact of development on the environment [22-24]. This anomaly highlights that the World Bank and other international public financial institutions are not adequately practicing socially responsible investment, and have not given due regard to environmental, social, governance (ESG) factors in relation to some of the projects in which they are investing. Human health implications and ethics should be central to their feasibility and risk-benefit evaluation process. For example, if the public health ethics principle of harm prevention were to be applied to the issue of energy security in South Africa, it would hold that if the existing or proposed project or policy (coal-source energy) is impacting (or could impact) climate change and human health, and could be realised by feasible alternatives that are less harmful to human health (such as solar- or wind-based power), the latter alternatives should be pursued as a first resort. Development banks should practice the principle of beneficence by dedicating increased support for research and development of clean technologies, as this will ultimately improve the effectiveness and feasibility of these technologies. Conversely, financiers should practice the principle of non-maleficence and refrain from sponsoring developments (such as coal-powered plants) that will likely detrimentally impact human health.

The gap in ethics governance concerning climate change decision-making underscores the argument that policy-making on a variety of issues impacting climate change, including energy, transport, and development, needs to be underpinned by ethically sound principles, not just economic and legal considerations. Further, the human health implications of energy, transport, and development must be given equal weighting in policy deliberations as economic implications. While the field of climate justice has largely focused on distribution, development, and justice, particularly in relation to intergenerational justice and reparations for vulnerable

states that stand to be affected by climate change [25], the field of health ethics should also become a central component of future risk management in relation to climate change.

In December 2004, the Collaborative Program on the Ethical Dimensions of Climate Change was launched at the 10th Conference of Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP 10) in Buenos Aires, Argentina. The major outcome of this meeting was the Buenos Aires Declaration on the Ethical Dimensions of Climate Change [26]. While the Declaration was an important first step in recognising the role of ethics in climate change deliberations, it does not contain a guidance evaluative framework, and did not integrate principles of ethics from a variety of fields. The Declaration also raises general questions for consideration, rather than suggesting concrete ethics guidance or governance principles. For instance, the Declaration poses the following questions without answering them: "What ethical principles should guide the choice of specific climate change policy objectives including but not limited to maximum human-induced warming, and atmospheric greenhouse gas targets? What ethical principles should be followed in allocating responsibility among people, organizations, and governments at all levels to prevent ethically intolerable impacts from climate change?" As a result, the Declaration has failed to serve as an adequate guidance framework to national and international policy-makers or climate change negotiators.

Addressing the questions the Declaration poses will require more than just drawing on principles from the fields of environmental ethics, economic ethics, and climate justice. While the ethical dimensions of climate change have received recent attention [27], to date health ethics has been absent in climate change discourse. Going forward, any ethics-based climate change guidance framework for investors, policymakers, and the private sector should incorporate relevant principles from the fields of bioethics, public health ethics, and global health ethics (see Box 2 for a proposed set of principles drawn from the field of health ethics). These should be integrated in addition to environmental ethics, climate justice (reciprocal justice and intergenerational justice), economics ethics, and socially responsible corporate governance. Given that deliberations for a binding international treaty commence at COP 18 in Doha 2012, there is an urgent need to devise such a multi-disciplinary

Box 2. Proposed Set of Health Ethics Principles to Guide Decision-Making in Relation to Climate Change

1. Stewardship and responsibility

Authorities, financiers, the private sector, and society have a responsibility to protect and develop limited resources, and to ensure ecological integrity and human well-being. Initiatives should be implemented in a manner that most enhances human health, and the physical and social environment.

2. Respect for persons

Authorities, financiers, the private sector, and society have a duty to act responsibly and prudently towards each other, and towards future generations in relation to resources and in respect of initiatives that could impact on climate change and human health.

3. Non-maleficence

Authorities, financiers, the private sector, and society have a moral obligation not to harm, facilitate harm, or be complicit in the harm of others in relation to initiatives that could have an impact on climate change and human health.

4. Risk-benefit analysis and burden identification

The implications of initiatives that have an impact on climate change and human health must be timeously identified, preferably prospectively.

5. Reasonableness and relevance

The rationale that underpins initiatives which impact, or could impact, climate change and human health must appeal to relevant evidence, values, and principles.

6. Collaboration

Authorities, the private sector, the international community, and local communities should engage in collaborations to mitigate against the potential impact of climate change and adverse human health outcomes associated therewith.

7. Least harm

If an existing or proposed project or policy that impacts, or could impact, climate change can be realised by feasible alternatives that are less adverse to human health, these alternatives ought to be pursued as a first resort.

8. Solidarity, duty of rescue, justice, and reciprocity

Humans have a moral responsibility to ensure the common welfare of humankind, particularly the poor and marginalised, who are experiencing or could experience detrimental health outcomes related to climate change. This necessitates providing aid and support to these individuals.

9. Transparency, publicity, and engagement

The rationales and potential health implications of existing or proposed initiatives that have an impact on, or could have an impact on, climate change and human health must be publically disclosed and accessible to affected stakeholders through meaningful engagement processes.

10. Accountability, Appeal, and enforcement

Stakeholders who are being, or who stand to be, affected by initiatives that are impacting, or could impact, climate change and human health, must be given a fair opportunity to appeal against such initiatives, and to have their appeal upheld.

Key references: [39-48].

synergized framework so that it can influence deliberations at future COP meetings, especially in the run-up to 2015, when a legally binding agreement is expected to come into effect, as outlined in the Durban platform.

Conclusion

Climate change represents this century's most dire environmental, food security, and public health challenge. If we are serious about negotiating a

meaningful global treaty to govern climate change, the WHO, health ministers, and ethics considerations need to be at the centre of climate change policies and treaty negotiations, not at the periphery.

Author Contributions

Analyzed the data: JAS. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: JAS. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: JAS. ICMJE criteria for authorship read and met: JAS. Agree with manuscript results and conclusions: JAS.

References

- United Nations Climate Change Secretariat (2011) Durban conference delivers breakthrough in international community's response to climate change. Available: http://unfccc.int/files/press/ press_releases_advisories/application/pdf/ pr20111112cop17final.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Editorial (2011) The masks slips. Nature 480: 292, doi:10.1038/480292a.
 Available: http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v480/n7377/pdf/480292a.pdf. Accessed 12 March 2012.
- Petermann A, Langelle O (2012) UN Climate Conference: The Durban Disaster. Available: http://www.climate-justice-now.org/un-climateconferencethe-durban-disaster/. Accessed 10 March 2012.
- Solomon S, Plattnerb GS, Knuttic R, Friedlingstein P (2009) Irreversible climate change due to carbon dioxide emissions. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106(6): 1704–1709. Available: www.pnas.org/cgi/ doi/10.1073/pnas.0812721106. Accessed 19 Jannary 2012
- Meinshausen M, Meinshausen N, Hare W, Raper SCB, Katja Frieler K, et al. (2009) Greenhouse-gas emission targets for limiting global warming to 2 degrees C. Nature 458: 1158-1162
- Rogeli J, Hare W, Lowe J, van Vuuren DP, Riahi K, et al. (2011) Emission pathways consistent with a 2°C global temperature limit. Nature Climate Change 1: 413–418. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1258.
- World Meteorological Organization (2011) 2011: world's 10th warmest year, warmest year with La Niña event, lowest Arctic sea ice volume. Available: http://www.wmo.int/pages/mediacentre/ press_releases/pr_935_en.html. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- McMichael AJ, Haines A, Slooff R, Kovats S, eds. (1996) Climate change and human health: an assessment by a task force on behalf of the World Health Organzation, the World Meteorological Association, and the United Nations Environmental Programme. WHO/EHG/96.7. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- McMichael AJ, Campbell-Lendrum DH, Corvalán CF, Ebi KL, Githeko AK, et al. (2003) Climate change and human health - risks and responses. Geneva: World Health Organization, Available: http://www.who.int/globalchange/ publications/climchange.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- WHO (2011) Improving coherence of climate change, health and development policy. Update and policy proposals for UNFCCC CoP17 in Durban. Available: http://www.who.int/ globalchange/mediacentre/events/2011/WHO_ Health_in_UNFCCC_COP17_.pdf. Accessed 10 March 2012.
- WHO (2011) Health issues gain traction at UN climate conference. available: http://www.who. int/globalchange/mediacentre/events/2011/ durban_conference_update/en/index.html. Accessed 10 March 2012.
- Durban Declaration on Climate and Health and Health Sector Call to Action (2011) Durban Declaration on Climate and Health. Available: http://www.climateandhealthcare.org/action/

- summit/durban-declaration/. Accessed 10 March 2012.
- Neira M (2011) Interview: Dr Maria Neira, World Health Organization, speaking at COP17 in Durban, South Africa [video]. Available: http:// www.youtube.com/watch?v=ICyDfC7fEJQ. Accessed 10 March 2012.
- Business Report (2012) Eskom raises red flags on power use. Available: http://www.iol.co.za/ business/companies/eskom-raises-red-flags-onpower-use-1.1212127. Accessed 13 January 2012.
- Peters D (2010) Comments by the Minister of Energy regarding the World Bank loan. Available: http://www.info.gov.za/speech/Dynamic Action?pageid=461&sid=9280&tid=9297. Accessed 13 January 2012.
- 16. The World Bank (2010) World Bank supports South Africa's energy security plans [press release]. No. 2010/340/AFR. Available: http://web. worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/ COUNTRIES/AFRICAEXT/SOUTHAFRICA EXTN/0,,contentMDK:22534959~menuPK:368 082~pagePK:2865066~piPK:2865079~theSite PK:368057,00.html. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Eskom (2011) Eskom pleased with the approval of US Export Import Bank loan for Kusile. Available: http://www.eskom.co.za/c/article/ 630/media-statement-30-may-2011/. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Environmental Protection Agency (2011) 2010 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from large facilities. Available: http://epa.gov/climate change/emissions/ghgdata/2010data.html. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Friedman L (2011) World Bank prepares to support a coal plant in India through intermediary. Bank Information Center. Available: http:// www.bicusa.org/en/Article.12441.aspx. Accessed 13 January 2012.
- Penney S, Bell J, Balbus J (2009) Estimating the health impacts of coal-fired power plants receiving international financing. Washington (D.C.): Environmental Defense Fund. Available: http:// www.edf.org/sites/default/files/9553_coalplants-health-impacts.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Rich B (2009) Foreclosing the future. Coal, climate, and international finance. Environmental Defense Fund. Available: http://www.cdf. org/sites/default/files/9593_coal-plants-report. pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- The World Bank (1992) World development report. Development and the environment. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- The World Bank (2009) Development and climate change. Washington (DC): The World Bank Group, Available: http://siteresources.worldbank. org/EXTCC/Resources/WBGatWork.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- The World Bank (2010) Development and climate change. Stepping up support to developing countries. Report on progress by the World Bank Group. Available: http://www-wds.worldbank.org/ external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/ 2010/07/16/000334955_20100716050525/ Rendered/PDF/556890W10SFDCC1box0349464 B01PUBLIC1.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.

- De Lucia V (2008) What climate justice? A critical analysis. Sixth International Conference on Ethics and Environmental Policies. Ethics and Climate Change. Scenarios for Justice and Sustainability. Pavova, 23–25 October 2008. Available: http://www.ecopaxmundi.org/docs/what-climate-justice-proceedings-version.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Buenos Aires Draft Declaration (2004) On the ethical dimensions of climate change. Available: http://manitobawildlands.org/pdfs/BA_ draftDECLonCC_dec04.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- Murthi SKA, Murugan M (2011) Ethical dimensions in global climate change. Exploring a philosophical perspective: an analytical approach. Recent Research in Science and Technology 3(2): 16–23. Available: http://recent-science.com/article/viewFile/5200/2662. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- 28. Portier CJ, Thigpen Tart K, Carter SR, Dilworth CH, Grambsch AE, et al. (2010) A human health perspective on climate change: a report outlining the research needs on the human health effects of climate change. Research Triangle Park (NC): Environmental Health Perspectives/ National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Available: http://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/assets/docs_a_e/climatereport2010.pdf. Accessed 23 April 2012.
- de Gruijl FR, Longstreth J, Norval M, Cullen AP, Slaper H, et al. (2003) Health effects from stratospheric ozone depletions and interactions with climate change. Photochem Photobiol Sci 2: 16–28.
- World Health Assembly (WHA) (1998) The protection of human health from threats related to climate change and stratospheric ozone depletion. WHA51.29. A51/VR/10. Available: https://apps.who.int/peh/climate/51%20WHAss. html. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- World Health Assembly (2008) Climate change and health. WHA61.19. A61/VR/8. Available: http://www.who.int/globalchange/A61_R19_ en.pdf. Accessed 19 January 2012.
- World Health Organization (2008) Climate change and health. Geneva: World Health Organization, Available: http://unfccc.int/files/ adaptation/sbi_agenda_item_adaptation/ application/pdf/who.pdf. Accessed 11 March 2011
- World Health Organization (2009) Protecting health from climate change: connecting science, policy and people. Geneva: World Health Organization, Available: http://whqlibdoc.who. int/publications/2009/9789241598880_eng.pdf. Accessed 22 April 2012.
- World Health Organization (2011) Health in the green economy: health co-benefits of climate change mitigation -transport sector. Geneva: World Health Organization, Available: http:// www.who.int/hia/examples/trspt_comms/hge_ transport_lowresdurban_30_11_2011.pdf. Accessed 10 March 2012.
- World Health Organization (2011) Health in the green economy: health co-benefits of climate change mitigation - housing sector. Geneva: World Health Organization, Available: www. who.int/hia/hgebrief_housing.pdf. Accessed 10 March 2012.



- 36. Pinkerton KE, Rom WN, Akpinar-Elci M, Balmes JR, Bayram H, et al. (2012) An official American Thoracic Society workshop report: climate change and human health. Proc Am Thorac Soc 9: 3-8.
- 37. Stevens L, Dorn PL, Hobson J, de la Rua NM, Lucero DE, et al. (2012) Vector blood meals and Chagas disease transmission potential, United States. Emerg Infect Dis 18(4): 646-649. Available: http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/18/4/ 11-1396_article.htm. Accessed 22 April 2012.
- 38. The Wildlife Conservation Society (2008) The deadly dozen. The Wildlife Conservation Society sounds the alarm on wildlife-human disease threats in the age of climate change. http://ccsl. iccip.net/deadlydozen_sm.pdf. Accessed 22 April
- 39. Beauchamp T, Childress J (2001) Principles of biomedical ethics. Oxford: Oxford University

- 40. Tindana PO, Singh JA, Tracy CS, Upshur REG, Daar AS, et al. (2007) Grand challenges in global health: community engagement in research in developing countries. PLoS Med 4(9): e273. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040273.
- Cohen ER, Masum H, Berndtson K, Saunders V, Hadfield T, et al. (2008) Public engagement on global health challenges. BMC Public Health 8: 168. Available: http://www.biomedcentral.com/ 1471-2458/8/168. Accessed 18 January 2012.
- 42. Daniels N (2000) Accountability for reasonableness BMJ 321: 1300-1301.
- 43. Kass NE (2001) An ethics framework for public health. Am J Public Health 91(11): 1776-1782. doi:10.2105/AJPH.91.11.1776. Available: http:// ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/abs/10.2105/ AJPH.91.11.1776. Accessed 18 January 2012.
- Childress JF, Faden RR, Gaare RD, Gostin LO Kahn J, Bonnie RJ, et al. (2002) Public health ethics: mapping the terrain. J Law Med Ethics 30:

- 170-178. Available: http://www2.cdc.gov/phlp/ docs/CDC.Childress.web.pdf. Accessed 18 January 2012.
- 45. Uphsur R (2002) Principles for the justification of public health intervention. Can J Public Health 93: 101–103.
- 46. Benatar SR, Daar AS, Singer PA (2003) Global health ethics: the rationale for mutual caring. Int Aff 79: 101-138. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.00298. Available: http://blogs.ubc.ca/ecohealth449/ files/2011/01/global-ethics.pdf. Accessed 18 January 2012.
- 47. Harmon SHE (2006) Solidarity: a (new) ethic for global health policy. Health Care Anal 14: 215-236. doi:10.1007/s10728-006-0030-8.
- 48. Public Health Leadership Society (2002) Principles of the ethical practice of public health. Available: http://www.apha.org/NR/rdonlyres/ 1CED3CEA-287E-4185-9CBD-BD405FC60856/ 0/ethicsbrochure.pdf. Accessed 18 April 2012.