

Shaping the meristem by mechanical forces

Patrick Laufs*, Alexis Peaucelle and Herman Höfte

Address: Laboratoire de Biologie Cellulaire, Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, Institut National de Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Route de Saint Cyr, 78026 Versailles CEDEX, France

* Corresponding author: Patrick Laufs (patrick.laufs@versailles.inra.fr)

F1000 Biology Reports 2009, 1:45 (doi:10.3410/B1-45)

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/legalcode), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, for non-commercial purposes provided the original work is properly cited. You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found at: http://F1000.com/Reports/Biology/content/1/45

Abstract

A recent report shows that cells in the *Arabidopsis* apical meristem orientate their cortical microtubules along mechanical stress patterns generated during tissue morphogenesis. This in turn is expected to influence the mechanical properties of the cell via the modification of the cortical microtubule network and the cell wall. This feedback loop controlling the shape of the meristem may act in parallel with auxin signalling, which determines the site of organ primordium formation.

Introduction and context

The diversity of sizes and shapes exhibited by living organisms is an unlimited source of astonishment for the naturalist, and the origin of these shapes and sizes is a central question in developmental biology. Morphogenesis is studied from two different standpoints: the first considers the central role of genes and mobile signalling molecules (morphogens) that act on individual cells to modify basic features such as identities and growth characteristics [1]; the second, in an alternative physical perspective, considers how cells and tissues form structures with their own mechanical characteristics that are central for pattern formation [2,3].

These two views have been used to explain the patterning of new organs in the apex of plants (Figure 1) [4-6]. In plants, patterning and organogenesis are not restricted to embryogenesis, as is mostly the case for animals, they are ongoing processes throughout the life of the plant that take place within groups of undifferentiated and proliferating cells called meristems. The shoot apical meristem, located at the growing tips of the shoots, maintains at its centre a pool of stem cells, while at its flanks it initiates primordia of new lateral organs such as leaves or flowers in a predictable pattern [7]. This regular pattern, which is also recognizable along the shoot and referred to as phyllotaxis, has puzzled observers as far back as Pliny the Elder in ancient Roman times (reviewed in [5]). In the second half of the 19th century, Hofmeister suggested that new primordia arise regularly at the place of maximum space not occupied by the preexisting primordia [5]. Ten years later, in 1878, Schwendener underlined the importance of contact pressure between neighbouring primordia for the formation of the phyllotaxis [5]. An alternative view was proposed by Schoute, who suggested that the positioning of new primordia was determined by the action of an inhibitor produced by older primordia, which locally prevents the emergence of a new primordium [5].

The importance of chemical signalling was recognized in recent years with the identification of the role of auxin gradients. Auxin is a small molecule that can both diffuse and be actively transported from cell to cell by a complex set of influx and efflux transporters [8,9]. Inactivation of one of the auxin efflux transporters, *PIN-FORMED1* (*PIN1*), leads to the absence of primordia initiation that can, however, be restored by local application of auxin [10-12]. These observations form the basis of a current model of phyllotaxis in which auxin is transported by the epidermis into the meristem, depleted from the vicinity of existing primordia, which act as sinks, and accumulated locally to induce the formation of new primordia [13]. This intuitive model was supported by computer modelling, which allowed several hypotheses to be

Figure 1. Spiral phyllotaxis in a daisy flower



Spirals going in two directions are highlighted with dotted and dashed lines and the golden angle (137.51°) between successive primordia is shown.

tested, in particular, one proposing that the auxin distribution controls the polarity of PIN1 protein within the cell [14-16].

Now, in a recent paper, Hamant *et al.* [17] rehabilitate the role of mechanical forces in morphogenesis at the shoot apex by showing that tissue mechanics along with auxin signalling play a crucial role in the shaping of the apex.

Major recent advances

To analyse the role of mechanical forces, Hamant et al. [17] concentrated on the cortical microtubule network. This network controls the deposition of cellulose microfibrils, the main structural components of the cell wall, by guiding the movement of the cellulose synthase complexes within the plasma membrane [18]. The orientation of the stiff microfibrils is expected to impose an anisotropy on the mechanical properties of the cell wall, which underlies anisotropic growth [19]. Starting in the early 1980s, Paul Green underlined the importance of physical forces during morphogenesis in a series of pioneering articles [2,20-22]. In particular, he showed that the cortical microtubules and the newly deposited cellulose microfibrils are essentially aligned and undergo a massive reorientation into a circumferential arrangement around developing leaves [23]. Hamant et al. [17] revisited this topic using modern cell biology tools and integrated multidisciplinary approaches. The authors visualized the orientation of the cortical microtubule network using microtubule-targeted green fluorescent protein combined with a powerful approach to image living meristems [24-27]. They revealed different

microtubule orientations depending on the meristem zone and a massive reorientation of the microtubules to align them along the boundary between the meristem and the emerging primordium (Figure 2). This orientation parallels that of the mechanical stress patterns as predicted by several models (at the tissue or cell level, including growth or not), suggesting that cortical microtubule arrays actively align along stress patterns. To confirm this, they ablated one or several cells or compressed growing meristems and observed a reorientation of the microtubules, in agreement with the new predicted stress pattern. Interestingly, the response to these treatments could vary within neighbouring cells, suggesting that each cell could perceive and react to the mechanical signal as shown before for isolated plant cells [28]. Hamant et al. [17] propose a new regulatory network by which cells sense and respond to mechanical signals by reorientating their microtubules and, presumably, the newly deposited cellulose microfibrils. This would be important for some aspects of morphogenesis at the shoot apex, such as the formation of the groove between the meristem and the primordium, but would have a limited effect on the growth and differentiation patterns. The latter aspect is supported by the observation that differential growth and cell differentiation were still observed when the microtubular network was disrupted by a drug [17,26]. Therefore, the mechanical feedback loop revealed here is likely to act in parallel

Figure 2. Stress patterns and cortical microtubule orientations in the apex of *Arabidopsis thaliana*



Thick, blue arrows indicate the modelled stress patterns whereas dark red arrows with dotted lines represent the orientations of the cortical microtubular arrays in different regions of the apex (light blue, centre of the meristem; purple, summit of a primordium; green, boundary domain between meristem and primordium; yellow, base of the meristem). with the previously described auxin-mediated patterning mechanism.

Future directions

These observations raise a number of questions. Firstly, it still remains to be demonstrated whether, in the context of the meristem, the microfibrils are indeed deposited along the microtubules and, if so, whether a change in the deposition of the innermost cell wall layer is sufficient to explain the change in the growth direction of the cell. Secondly, what is the mechanism linking the mechanical stress patterns to the orientation of microtubules? Mechanoperception is an important emerging field at the interface between physics and biology, and innovative genetic screens are needed to dissect the signalling processes involved. Thirdly, to what extent do cell wall properties contribute to meristem function? Is the cell wall only a girdle limiting cell growth and whose anisotropy is mostly determined by the underlying microtubular network, or is there more to find out? Not surprisingly, the formation of a primordium, which involves local changes in growth rate and orientation, is associated with local modifications of cell wall properties and the expression of cell wall-modifying agents [29,30]. For instance, local application of the cell wallloosening proteins, expansins, is sufficient for precocious primordium formation at the expected position [31]. Primordia also can be formed, even at completely ectopic positions, when the methylesterification status of another cell wall component, pectin, is changed [29]. How such components integrate with the mechanical and auxin-dependant network awaits further multidisciplinary research.

Abbreviations

PIN1, PIN-FORMED1.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- I. Lander AD: Morpheus unbound: reimagining the morphogen gradient. Cell 2007, 128:245-56.
- Green PB: Expression pattern in plants: combining molecular and calculus-based biophysical paradigms. Am J Bot 1999, 86:1059-76.
- 3. Wozniak MA, Chen CS: Mechanotransduction in development: a growing role for contractility. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009, **10**:34-43.
- 4. Kuhlemeier C: Phyllotaxis. Trends Plant Sci 2007, 12:143-50.
- Adler I, Barabe D, Jean RV: A history of the study of phyllotaxis. Ann Bot 1997, 80:231-44.
- Green PB, Steele CS, Rennich SC: Phyllotactic patterns: a biophysical mechanism for their origin. Ann Bot 1996, 77:515-27.

- Carraro N, Peaucelle A, Laufs P, Traas J: Cell differentiation and organ initiation at the shoot apical meristem. *Plant Mol Biol* 2006, 60:811-26.
- 8. Benjamins R, Scheres B: Auxin: the looping star in plant development. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2008, 59:443-65.
- 9. Vieten A, Sauer M, Brewer PB, Friml J: Molecular and cellular aspects of auxin-transport-mediated development. *Trends Plant Sci* 2007, 12:160-8.
- Reinhardt D, Mandel T, Kuhlemeier C: Auxin regulates the initiation and radial position of plant lateral organs. *Plant Cell* 2000, 12:507-18.
- 11. Okada K, Ueda J, Komaki MK, Bell CJ, Shimura Y: **Requirement of** the auxin polar transport system in early stages of *Arabidopsis* floral bud formation. *Plant Cell* 1991, **3:**677-84.
- Galweiler L, Guan C, Muller A, Wisman E, Mendgen K, Yephremov A, Palme K: Regulation of polar auxin transport by AtPINI in Arabidopsis vascular tissue. Science 1998, 282:2226-30.
- Reinhardt D, Pesce ER, Stieger P, Mandel T, Baltensperger K, Bennett M, Traas J, Friml J, Kuhlemeier C: Regulation of phyllotaxis by polar auxin transport. Nature 2003, 426:255-60.

F1000 Factor 10.1 *Exceptional* Evaluated by Walter Kockenberger 28 Nov 2003, Elizabeth Kellogg 02 Dec 2003, Rüdiger Simon 11 Dec 2003, John Bowman 18 Dec 2003

- Smith RS, Guyomarc'h S, Mandel T, Reinhardt D, Kuhlemeier C, Prusinkiewicz P: A plausible model of phyllotaxis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006, 103:1301-6.
- de Reuille PB, Bohn-Courseau I, Ljung K, Morin H, Carraro N, Godin C, Traas J: Computer simulations reveal properties of the cell-cell signaling network at the shoot apex in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006, 103:1627-32.

F1000 Factor 6.0 *Must Read* Evaluated by Michael Lenhard 21 Feb 2006

 Jonsson H, Heisler MG, Shapiro BE, Meyerowitz EM, Mjolsness E: An auxin-driven polarized transport model for phyllotaxis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006, 103:1633-8.

F1000 Factor 6.4 *Must Read* Evaluated by Jan Traas 06 Mar 2006, Elena Alvarez-Buylla 11 Jul 2006

 Hamant O, Heisler MG, Jonsson H, Krupinski P, Uyttewaal M, Bokov P, Corson F, Sahlin P, Boudaoud A, Meyerowitz EM, Couder Y, Traas J: Developmental patterning by mechanical signals in Arabidopsis. Science 2008, 322:1650-5.

F1000 Factor 6.4 *Must Read* Evaluated by Elena Alvarez-Buylla 29 Jan 2009, Vivian Irish 12 Feb 2009

 Paredez AR, Somerville CR, Ehrhardt DW: Visualization of cellulose synthase demonstrates functional association with microtubules. Science 2006, 312:1491-5.

F1000 Factor 6.6 *Must Read* Evaluated by William Margolin 10 May 2006, Geoff Wasteneys 07 Jun 2006, Anna Akhmanova 16 Jun 2006

- Cosgrove DJ: Growth of the plant cell wall. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2005, 6:850-61.
- 20. Green PB: Organogenesis: a biophysical view. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 1980, 31:51-82.
- Green PB: Pattern formation in shoots: a likely role for minimal energy configurations of the tunica. Int J Plant Sci 1992, 153:S59-S75.
- Green PB, Lang JM: Toward a biophysical theory of organogenesis: Biefringence observations on regenerating leaves in the succule, Graptopetalum paraguayense E. Walther. Planta 1981, 151:413-26.
- 23. Hardham AR, Green PB, Lang JM: Reorganization of cortical microtubules and cellulose deposition during leaf formation in Graptopetalum paraguayense. Planta 1980, 149:181-95.

24. Reddy GV, Heisler MG, Ehrhardt DW, Meyerowitz EM: Real-time lineage analysis reveals oriented cell divisions associated with morphogenesis at the shoot apex of Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 2004, 131:4225-37.

F1000 Factor 8.4 Exceptional

Evaluated by Elena Alvarez-Buylla 09 Aug 2004, Kay Schneitz 20 Aug 2004, Mark Running 06 Sep 2004, Jan Traas 06 Sep 2004, Rüdiger Simon 06 Oct 2004

25. Reddy GV, Meyerowitz EM: Stem-cell homeostasis and growth dynamics can be uncoupled in the Arabidopsis shoot apex. Science 2005, 310:663-7.

F1000 Factor 8.2 Exceptional

Evaluated by Rüdiger Simon 20 Oct 2005, Jan Traas 09 Nov 2005, Kay Schneitz 10 Nov 2005, Elena Alvarez-Buylla 23 Jan 2006

 Grandjean O, Vernoux T, Laufs P, Belcram K, Mizukami Y, Traas J: In vivo analysis of cell division, cell growth, and differentiation at the shoot apical meristem in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2004, 16:74-87.

F1000 Factor 6.4 *Must Read* Evaluated by Arp Schnittger 08 Jan 2004, Robert Sablowski 23 Feb 2004

27. Heisler MG, Ohno C, Das P, Sieber P, Reddy GV, Long JA, Meyerowitz EM: Patterns of auxin transport and gene expression during primordium development revealed by live imaging of the *Arabidopsis* inflorescence meristem. *Curr Biol* 2005, **15**:1899-911.

F1000 Factor 9.9 *Exceptional* Evaluated by Christian Hardtke 11 Nov 2005, Detlef Weigel 21 Nov 2005, David Smyth 21 Nov 2005, Elizabeth Kellogg 22 Nov 2005, Philip Benfey 19 Dec 2005

- Lynch TM, Lintilhac PM: Mechanical signals in plant development: a new method for single cell studies. Dev Biol 1997, 181:246-56.
- Peaucelle A, Louvet R, Johansen JN, Hofte H, Laufs P, Pelloux J, Mouille G: Arabidopsis phyllotaxis is controlled by the methylesterification status of cell-wall pectins. *Curr Biol* 2008, 18:1943-8.

F1000 Factor 6.0 *Must Read* Evaluated by Simon McQueen-Mason 08 Jan 2009

- Reinhardt D, Wittwer F, Mandel T, Kuhlemeier C: Localized upregulation of a new expansin gene predicts the site of leaf formation in the tomato meristem. *Plant Cell* 1998, 10:1427-37.
- Fleming A, McQueen-Mason S, Mandel T, Kuhlemeier C: Induction of leaf primordia by the cell wall protein expansin. Science 1997, 276:1415-8.