DOI 10.1186/s12891-017-1749-0

Kim et al. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders (2017) 18:389

Open Access



Changes in ankle joint motion after Supramalleolar osteotomy: a cadaveric model

Hak Jun Kim¹, Eui Dong Yeo², Im Joo Rhyu³, Soon-Hyuck Lee⁴, Yeon Soo Lee⁵ and Young Koo Lee^{6*}

Abstract

Background: Malalignment of the ankle joint has been found after trauma, by neurological disorders, genetic predisposition and other unidentified factors, and results in asymmetrical joint loading. For a medial open wedge supramalleolar osteotomy(SMO), there are some debates as to whether concurrent fibular osteotomy should be performed. We assessed the changes in motion of ankle joint and plantar pressure after supramalleolar osteotomy without fibular osteotomy.

Methods: Ten lower leg specimens below the knee were prepared from fresh-frozen human cadavers. They were harvested from five males (10 ankles)whose average age was 70 years. We assessed the motion of ankle joint as well as plantar pressure for SS(supra-syndesmotic) SMO and IS(intra-syndesmotic) SMO. After the osteotomy, each specimen was subjected to axial compression from 20 N preload to 350 N representing half-body weight. For the measurement of the motion of ankle joint, the changes in gap and point, angles in ankle joint were measured. The plantar pressure were also recorded using TekScan sensors.

Results: The changes in the various gap, point, and angles movements on SS-SMO and IS-SMO showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups. Regarding the shift of plantar center of force (COF) were noted in the anterolateral direction, but not statistically significant.

Conclusions: SS-SMO and IS-SMO with intact fibula showed similar biomechanical effect on the ankle joint. We propose that IS-SMO should be considered carefully for the treatment of osteoarthrosis when fibular osteotomy is not performed because lateral cortex fracture was less likely using the intrasyndesmosis plane because of soft tissue support.

Keywords: Ankle, Osteoarthritis, Supramalleolar osteotomy

Background

Malalignment of the ankle joint can occur because trauma, neurological disorders, genetic predisposition and other unidentified factors, and result in asymmetrical joint loading [1, 2]. Asymmetric and neutral osteoarthritis can reportedly be treated with realignment surgery [3]. Similarly, both open and close wedge supramalleolar osteotomies (SMO) have been done for the treatment of malalignment of the ankle joint in adults [4]. For medial open wedge SMO, there is some

⁶Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Bucheon Hospital, College of Medicine, Soonchunhyang University, 1174 Jung-1-dong, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon-si, Gyunggi-do 420-767, Republic of Korea debate as to whether concurrent fibular osteotomy should be performed. One biomechanical study with open wedge SMO reported that in valgus deformities suprasyndesmotic(SS)SMO with intact fibula lead to a paradox shift of the center of force(COF) and peak pressure of the ankle joint in the anteromedial direction [5]. Many reports described that the SMO shifts the weight bearing axis to the lateral aspect of the ankle joint and reduces load on the medial aspect to correct the talar tilt [6–8]. Myerson et al. reported that a greenstick osteotomy without fibular osteotomy markedly increases the stability of the cut, and the tibia can be opened with a lamina spreader to the desired amount of correction [9]. This technique creates a hinge point



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: brain0808@hanmail.net

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

for opening the osteotomy, prevents overcorrection, and maintains tension on the lateral side of the cut [9]. A deeper understanding of the nature of SMO particularly in relation to malaignment of the ankle joint is required.

Lee et al. reported that the incidence of lateral cortical fracture in medial open wedge SMO was less likely to occur at the proximal one-third of the intrasyndesmosis than the suprasyndesmosis [10]. The purpose of this study was to describe the impact of SS-SMO and intra-syndesmotic(IS) SMO with intact fibula on ankle joint motion and plantar pressure and to determine which technique seems to better re-establish ankle alignment in the absence of fibular osteotomy.

Methods

This biomechanical study was performed to know the changes in motion of ankle joint and plantar pressure after supramalleolar osteotomy without fibular osteotomy. Ten fresh-frozen human legs (5 males; average age, 70 years) were prepared by disarticulation at the knee joint.. A normal range of ankle joint movement was established clinically.

Specimen prepare

The skin and subcutaneous tissues around the knee and ankle were removed while preserving the syndesmotic structure, tendons, and ligaments (Fig. 1a). A cylindrical poly-methylmethacrylate(zimmer, Inc.; Warsaw, IN) block was used at the proximal tibia and fibula to apply axial load(Fig. 1b). For the SMO, angular deformities were created in the distal tibia. We assessed ankle joint motion after SS-SMO and IS- SMO. SS-SMO was performed on five leg (right, 3; left, 2)by using an oscillating saw in an oblique direction, mediolaterally above a line perpendicular to the tibial cortex, such that it perforated the lateral cortex 5 mm above the distal tibiofibular joint (Fig. 1c). Wedge plates(Arthrex, Inc.; Naples, FL Low profile plate, wedge size 8 mm) were used to create valgus position. IS-SMO was performed on five legs (right, 2; left, 3) such that it perforated the lateral cortex bisection point of the distal tibiofibular joint (Fig. 1d).

Mechanical system

1) Mechanical loading setup

An ankle joint motion sensor was applied on the distal tibia, fibula, and talus (distal tibia-Tm, fibula-F, talus-Ta [Fig. 2]). Each leg was fixed into a universal mechanical testing machine (Instron model; Starrett, FMS 2500, Instron Co,USA) to simulate a single leg barefoot stance (Fig. 3). Vertical alignment of the lower limb axis was adjusted visually for the measurement. To ensure consistent placement of each specimen in consecutive measurements, three point positioning (second toe, heel, and tibia crest line)was installed. Static axial compression was



Fig. 1 a lower leg preserved syndesmotic structure and tendon, ligament. b PMMA(poly-methylmethacrylate,zimmer) block of cylindrical shape to apply the axial load on Instron model. c Intrasyndesmotic supramalleolar osteotomy with wedge plate. d Suprasyndesmotic supramalleolar osteotomy with wedge plate



Fig. 2 Landmarks are anchored by inserting micro cross-head screws (6 on the tibia, 3 on the fibula, and 4 on the talus). The landmarks are placed alongside joint articulation surfaces

increased continuously from 20 N preload to 350 N representing half-body weight. Maximum load was held for 6 s, and ankle motion and plantar pressure distribution was captured until the load was released.

- Baseline plate coordinate system
 A baseline coordinate system is assigned on the base plate (Fig. 3). In the front view to the testing machine, the median line is set as Y-axis and the right direction is set as X-axis.
- 3) Measurement of kinematics
 - i) Digitizer

The ankle joint kinematics was determined by digitizing anatomic landmarks with a 3D digitizing system, the MicroScribe 3DLX



Fig. 3 Experimental configuration. A cadaveric lower leg specimen is subjected to a compression of a single leg standing posture. The specimen connected to the loading head, through a ball joint which does not constrain the specimen' natural rotational reaction to the loading. Kinematic data is collected using a digitizer, while loading and displacement of the loading head, and bare foot pressure are being measured by in situ digital acquisition systems

(Revware Inc., Raleigh, NC, USA). The accuracy and repeatability of the MicroScribe 3DLX are 0.3 and 0.2 mm, respectively [11].

ii) Landmark configuration

For in situ kinematic data collection using Microscribe, landmarks were specified by placing more than 3 points to each independent bone. For digitizing, small crosshead screws were inserted, 3 on the fibula, 6 on the tibia, and 4 on the talus. A set of 3 points on a rigid body can determine a local coordinates system so that 3-Dimensional kinematics of a bone can be traced. And any line connecting sequentially arrayed 2 or more landmarks will give intuitive information on the movement. Three landmarks of the distal fibula (f1, f2, and f3) were specified on the distal anterior fibula, along the superior to inferior direction.

Six landmarks (t1, t2, t3, t4, t5, and t6) were specified on the distal tibia, alongside distal tibiofibular and talotibial articular surface. Four

Category		SSO		ISO		P-value	Posthoc power
		Median	90% C.I.	Median	90% C.I.		(%)
Lateral(–) / Medial (+)	Tm1 - F1	0.66	(-1.19, 2.50)	0.77	(-0.82, 2.37)	1	3.2
	Tm2 - F2	1.54	(-3.48, 3.98)	1.03	(-0.69, 2.75)	1	4.9
	Tm3 - F3	1.48	(-1.98, 3.22)	1.81*	(0.71, 2.46)	1	4.9
	Tm3 - Ta1	0.75	(-0.64, 2.14)	1.52*	(0.60, 1.90)	1	24.5
	Tm4 - Ta2	1.33	(-1.82, 4.47)	1.26*	(0.96, 1.56)	1	2.8
	Tm5 - Ta3	0.87	(-1.20, 2.17)	1.10*	(0.69, 1.52)	1	5.2
	Tm6 - Ta4	-0.44	(-1.19, 0.27)	-0.16	(-0.62, 0.70)	1	10.9
Infra(–) / Supra(+)	Tm1 - F1	-0.34	(-0.85, 0.18)	-0.60	(-1.08, 0.38)	0.98	11.2
	Tm2 - F2	-1.47	(-2.24, 0.33)	-0.76	(-1.26, 0.02)	0.98	24.1
	Tm3 - F3	-2.04	(-2.77, -1.11)	-1.64	(-2.32, -1.13)	0.98	16.7
	Tm3 - Ta1	0.26	(-0.73, 1.41)	0.19	(-1.06, 0.46)	0.98	8.7
	Tm4 - Ta2	-0.20	(-1.85, 0.76)	-0.01	(-1.26, 0.64)	0.98	4.8
	Tm5 - Ta3	-0.16	(-0.47, 0.65)	-0.26	(-0.75, 0.13)	0.98	5.4
	Tm6 - Ta4	-0.83	(-1.38, -0.27)	-0.87	(-2.29, -0.09)	1	3.0

Table 1 Change of gap of ankle joint for each supramalleolar osteotomy

P-values were calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test and adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg correction

*significant compared to no change, p < 0.1 after Benjamini-Hochberg correction

landmarks (ta1, ta2, ta3, and ta4) were assigned on the talus, alongside the talotibial articulation surface (Fig. 2). t4-ta2, t5-ta4, and t6-ta4 are the joint gaps of interest.

iii) Joint gaps

From the landmarks, changes in joint gaps can be measured. The distances are t1-f1, t2-f2, t3-ta1,

iv) Movements of articulation lines
 The articulation lines are interpreted with
 lines connecting same-bone landmarks, i.e.
 tibial articulation line (t1-t2-t3-t4-t5-t6), fibular



Category		SSO		ISO		P-value	Posthoc power
		Median	90% C.I.	Median	90% C.I.		(%)
Lateral(–) / Medial (+)	Tm1	8.28*	(5.03, 23.90)	7.33	(-4.17, 18.83)	0.52	3.7
	Tm2	10.21*	(8.00, 24.40)	7.55	(-4.47, 19.57)	0.52	6.7
	Tm3	8.27*	(6.02, 21.31)	8.69	(-2.71, 20.09)	0.52	3.0
	Tm4	8.66*	(6.74, 21.27)	8.82	(-2.39, 20.03)	0.52	2.7
	Tm5	8.45*	(6.45, 21.52)	9.11	(-2.28, 20.5)	0.52	3.3
	Tm6	6.55*	(3.63, 19.52)	7.04	(-4.34, 18.42)	0.52	3.0
	Ta1	7.66*	(5.42, 19.42)	7.68	(-3.31, 18.67)	0.52	2.5
	Ta2	7.37*	(3.63, 19.80)	7.55	(-3.48, 18.59)	0.52	2.7
	Ta3	7.56*	(5.30, 20.63)	7.76	(-3.46, 18.98)	0.52	2.8
	Ta4	6.99*	(3.21, 20.71)	7.42	(-4.19, 19.03)	0.55	2.9
Infra(–) / Supra(+)	Tm1	1.37	(-4.20, 2.75)	1.01	(-0.49, 2)	0.93	4.4
	Tm2	0.31	(-3.55, 1.19)	0.92	(-0.35, 2.06)	0.93	8.5
	Tm3	-0.17	(-3.32, 1.23)	0.02	(-1.59, 1.12)	0.93	3.8
	Tm4	-1.95*	(-5.92, -0.64)	-1.44*	(-3.75, -0.44)	0.93	6.2
	Tm5	-3.35*	(-8.32, -2.43)	-3.05*	(-5.28, -0.82)	0.93	4.0
	Tm6	-4.58*	(-10.51, -3.61)	-4.46*	(-5.88, -3.45)	0.93	3.0
	Ta1	-0.38	(-1.56, 0.39)	0.09	(-1.21, 1.03)	0.93	12.3
	Ta2	-1.60*	(-5.22, -0.23)	-1.60*	(-2.48, -0.86)	1	2.5
	Ta3	-3.40*	(-8.06, -2.11)	-3.00*	(-4.4, -2.25)	0.93	5.1
	Ta4	-4.03*	(-9.13, -2.47)	-3.26*	(-4.6, -2.84)	0.93	8.1

Table 2 Change of point movements of ankle joint for each supramalleolar osteotomy

P-values were calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test and adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg correction

*significant compared to no change, p < 0.1 after Benjamini-Hochberg correction

articulation line (f1-f2-f3), and talar articulation line (ta1-ta2-ta3-ta4). The kinematics in the lines provides changes in alignments of each bones as well as in alignment between bones.

v) Measurement of foot plantar pressure Pressure measurements were obtained using a TekScan sensor 3000E (F-scan research 7.0, TekScan Inc., Boston, MA) calibrated according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The measurements were processed with F-scan software version 7.00. Using the 'center of force' tool and the 'peak pressure' tool, the location was measured for each of the two parameters on the sensor for every valgus deformity in all specimens. The total matrix area of the plantar sensor is 12,125 mm²(60 × 21 sensels, 236 mm ×51 mm), resulting in a spatial resolution of 9.6 mm² per sensel. The sensor was gently placed into the foot plantar region.

Statistical analysis

The primary endpoints were the changes in ankle joint motion and the shifts in plantar COF. The changes amount in the dependent variables: gap, point movements and angular motion, and the shift of plantar COF from the neutral position was presented by median and interquartile range (IQR) and also showed on the boxplots. The significance of the changes of those variables was determined by Wilcoxon's signed rank test under the null hypothesis that the change amount of each variable equals to zero. To compare the change amount between SS-SMO and IS-SMO, Mann-Whitney U test was performed for each variable. All the *p*-values were corrected by Benjamini-Hochberg correction to control the false positive error rate. The correlation coefficients were derived from Spearman's rank correlation to assess whether there is a relationship between the changes of angles of ankle joints. Posthoc power analysis was conducted using the effect size of difference between SS-SMO and IS-SMO groups.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 14.0KO version and R 3.1.3. version freely available on the web (http://cran.r-project.org/). Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. However, because many comparisons are based on small sample sizes, results of statistical tests with 0.05 were also reported as suggestive where they have some tendencies.

Results

Regarding the changes in gap, a direction of the gap change means a change of the proximal to distal portion. The SS-SMO group showed no significant changes in



neigher of the gaps. The IS-SMO group showed significant changes in the gap of Tm3-Ta1, Tm4-Ta2, and Tm5-Ta3 in the medial direction. The changes in the various gaps showed no statistically differences between the two groups (Wilcoxon test, P > 0.1). However, large deviation was found in the gap change of Tm4-Ta2 in the medial direction (Table 1) (Fig. 4).

Regarding the changes in point movement, the SS-SMO group showed significant changes in movement in the medial direction for all points, with several points moving in the distal direction. The points in the IS-SMO group also showed points moving in the medial direction, with several points moving in the distal direction. However, the changes in the various point movements showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups (P > 0.1) (Table 2) (Fig. 5).

The changes in the tibial plafond and talar dome angles, the SS-SMO group showed slightly more changes in the angles on both the tibial plafond and talar dome as compared with the IS-SMO group, in which the changes in the angles were statistically significant in the inferomedial direction(P < 0.1). The changes in the angles showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (P > 0.1). The change in the angles of the talar dome showed significantly larger angle than those in tibial plafond in both the groups (Table 3) (Fig. 6).

Regarding the shift of plantar COF, both groups showed no statistically significant shift (P > 0.1), were noted in the anterolateral direction. The shift of plantar COF showed no statistically significant difference between groups (P > 0.1) (Table 4) (Fig. 7). As a result of posthoc power analysis, this study was underpowered (ranged from 2.5 to

 Table 3 Change of angles of ankle joint for each supramalleolar osteotomy

Category	<u> </u>	SSO		ISO		<i>P</i> -value ^a	Posthoc power
		Median	90% C.I	Median	90% C.I.		(%)
Lateral(–) / Medial (+)	Tm	5.43*	(0.18, 10.67)	4.47*	(1.58, 7.35)	1	6.0
	Та	8.33*	(3.49, 13.17)	7.32*	(5.85, 8.54)	1	7.3
	Correlation ^b	0.6		0.9**			

*significant compared to no change, p < 0.1 after Benjamini-Hochberg correction

**significant correlation, p < 0.1

^aP-values were calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test and adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg correction

^bCorrelation coefficients were calculated by Spearman's method



24.1%) to detect the significant differences of all the values between SS-SMO and IS-SMO group due to small sample size.

Discussion

In our study, SS-SMO and IS-SMO with intact fibula showed changes of movement in gaps the proximal portion to distal portion in the medial and the angular changes in inferomedial direction. The point movements were observed movement in a medial direction as the weight bearing axis on ankle joint was translated. The distal tibia fragment probably showed the shift in the range of syndesmotic clearance because of the weakness of the syndesmosis and instability after SMO. Lee et al. reported that medial ankle osteoarthritis with mortise widening should have performed restoration of width and shape of ankle mortise as well as lateral shifting of weight-bearing axis. He recommended IS-SMO with intact fibula than plafondplasty of intraarticular osteotomy [12]. Lee et al. showed that the incidence of lateral cortical fracture in

medial open wedge SMO was less likely to occur at the proximal one-third of the intrasyndesmosis than at the suprasyndesmosis [10]. Knupp et al. reported that with increasing valgus tilt contact area decreased on the anteromedial aspect of the ankle joint [6]. Thus, the medially increased gap in the ankle joint changes the contact area associated with the joint.

Lee et al. reported that after performing SS-SMO with fibula osteotomy, the immediate postoperative radiographs showed that the narrowest area of the joint had shifted from the medial gutter to the tibial plafond-talus area [8]. This can be a result of lateral translation and valgus angulation of the ankle joint [8]. We observed more angle changes in the ankle joint and more translation of axis in case of SS-SMO without statistical significance. The shift of plantar COF in the two groups showed a tendency in the anterolateral direction. The hindfoot was changed in valgus when performed SMO. On the other hand, several biomechanical studies have demonstrated effective correction of malalignment of the ankle joint by the redistribution of ankle joint pressure by using calcaneal osteotomy with either neutral hind foot alignment or valgus deformity [13, 14]. All these studies reported small changes in the ankle joint stresses. This was thought to be due to intact subtalar joint motion in neutral and valgus hindfoot alignment compensating for the altered position of the ground contact point [15].

Our results demonstrate that an oblique supramalleolar opening wedge osteotomy without fibular osteotomy can be used as alternate technique for the treatment of osteoarthrosis of the ankle with neutral alignment and varus deformity. But Bennett et al. recommended concurrent fibular osteotomy to attain derotation and to avoid changing the biomechanics of the ankle joint when rotational osteotomy of the distal tibia is performed [16]. They also observed that the medial malleolus would rotate against the fixed lateral malleolus if distal transverse osteotomy of the fibulais not perfomed [16]. However, Banks et al. and Ryan et al. achieved complete derotation without concurrent fibular osteotomy [17, 18]. A intact fibula also provides additional support for the osteotomized tibia and protects it from sagittal plane angulation. This operation

Table 4 Change of plantar COF for each supramalleolar osteotomy

0 1			,				
Category		SSO			ISO	P-value	Posthoc power
		Median	90% C.I.	Median	90% C.I.		(%)
Lateral(–) / Medial (+)	Global	-2.88	(-10.24, 4.49)	-4.04	(-16.60, 4.68)	1	4.1
	Heel	-1.64	(-10.29, 7.01)	-3.05	(-15.71, 8.62)	1	4.3
Anterior(–) / Posterior(+)	Global	-5.75	(-8.89, 3.54)	-3.71	(-12.17, 4.66)	1	7.1
	Heel	-3.26	(-8.20, 3.85)	-1.38	(-11.60, 2.99)	1	7.3

P-values were calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test and adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg correction COF center of force



is easily performed, fast, stable, reproducible, and safe for the treatment of osteoarthrosis of the ankle [19].

As per the technique used by M. Knupp [5, 6], after performing SMO, we found there was more translation medially and angulation inferomedially the talar dome than tibia plafond and anterolateralization shift in plantar COF, along with changes in plantar pressure. M. Knupp et al. performed at biomechanical study to determine the effect of supramalleolar varus and valgus alignment on the ankle joint [5]. They evaluated the tibiotalar contact area, tibiotalar force, mean pressure, and peak pressure of tibiotalar in the varus and valgus (5°,10°, and 15°) positions. They reported a mean decrease in the contact area and tibiotalar force transmission in the valgus position and, a mean increase in the contact area and tibiotalar force transmission in the varus position [5]. Schmid et al. evaluated the ankle joint pressure in SMO and lateral calcaneal osteotomy [7]. They reported that both procedures had a significant effect on COF lateralization and peak pressure reduction of ankle joint implies a change from an incongruent joint to a congruent joint [7].

The strength of this study is that the changes in ankle joint motion and plantar pressure after SMO with intact fibula were appropriately measured using Instron modeland TekScan sensor 3000E. This study has a few limitations. First, our cadaver model did not incorporate fibular osteotomy. Stufkens et al. reported in their biomechanical study that when they compared load transfer between procedures that included fibular osteotomy and those that did not, changes in the distal fibula played an important role in determining the contact area and pressure distribution at the ankle [6]. Second, we did not evaluate the COF and mean pressure on the ankle joint. Knupp et al. suggested that in congruent joints, such as, joints with maintained interosseous ligament complex and collateral ligaments, an isolated correction of the distal tibial joint surface angle may not re-establish a physiological load pattern in the ankle joint [5]. Third, although the IS-SMO was shown to be stable because of the inferior tibiofibula ligament, anterior inferior tibiofibula ligament, and posterior inferior tibiofibula ligament, the effect of injury on the syndesmosis after IS-SMO was not studied. Four, The cadaver studies were done on normal joints. The pathologic varus arthritic ankle joint may behave differently. Deltoid release may need to be done to effectively transfer load.

Conclusions

SS-SMO and IS-SMO with intact fibula showed similar biomechanical effect on the ankle joint. IS-SMO should be considered carefully for the treatment of osteoarthrosis when fibular osteotomy is not performed because lateral cortex fracture is less likely using the IS-SMO.

Abbreviations

COF: Center of force; IS SMO: Intra-syndesmotic supramalleolar osteotomy; SMO: Supramalleolar osteotomy; SS SMO: Supra-syndesmotic supramalleolar osteotomy

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Soonchunhyang University Research Fund. We thank the Korea University Practical Anatomy Research Institute for supporting the cadaver study and the Daegu Catholic University Biomedical Engineering Institute for conducting the mechanical measurements.

Funding

This work was supported by the Soonchunhyang University Research Fund.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Authors' contributions

EDY wrote the manuscript and performed the data analysis, including the statistical analysis. HJK coordinated the study and contributed to the discussion. IJR, SHL, and YSL participated in the study design and coordination, and helped draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted following the approval of the Institutional review board of Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital. (reference No. 2014–01-012).

All cadavers were supported by the Korea University Practical Anatomy Research Institute.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Each author certifies that he or she has no commercial association (eg, consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Guro Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 80 Gurodong, Gurogu, Seoul 152-703, South Korea. ²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Veterans Health Service Medical Center, 53, Jinhwangdo-ro 61-gil, Gangdong-gu, Seoul 134-791, South Korea. ³Department of Anatomy, Korea University College of Medicine University, 73 Inchon-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul, South Korea. ⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 73 Inchon-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-705, South Korea. ⁵Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Medical Science, Catholic University of Daegu, 330, Geumrak, Hayang-eup, Gyeongsan-si, Gyeongbuk 712-702, South Korea. ⁶Department of Orthopaedic Surgery,Bucheon Hospital, College of Medicine, Soonchunhyang University, 1174 Jung-1-dong, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon-si, Gyungji-do 420-767, Republic of Korea.

Received: 16 February 2017 Accepted: 31 August 2017 Published online: 09 September 2017

References

- Sugimoto K, Takakura Y, Okahashi K, Samoto N, Kawate K, Iwai M. Chondral injuries of the ankle with recurrent lateral instability: an arthroscopic study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91(1):99–106.
- Tanaka Y, Takakura Y, Hayashi K, Taniguchi A, Kumai T, Sugimoto K. Low tibial osteotomy for varus-type osteoarthritis of the ankle. J Bone Joint Surg British Vol. 2006;88(7):909–13.
- Pagenstert GI, Hintermann B, Barg A, Leumann A, Valderrabano V. Realignment surgery as alternative treatment of varus and valgus ankle osteoarthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2007;462:156–68.
- Stamatis ED, Myerson MS. Supramalleolar osteotomy: indications and technique. Foot Ankle Clin. 2003;8(2):317–33.
- Knupp M, Stufkens SA, van Bergen CJ, Blankevoort L, Bolliger L, van Dijk CN, Hintermann B. Effect of supramalleolar varus and valgus deformities on the tibiotalar joint: a cadaveric study. Foot & Ankle Int. 2011;32(6):609–15.
- Stufkens SA, van Bergen CJ, Blankevoort L, van Dijk CN, Hintermann B, Knupp M. The role of the fibula in varus and valgus deformity of the tibia: a biomechanical study. J Bone Joint Surg British Vol. 2011;93(9):1232–9.
- Schmid T, Zurbriggen S, Zderic I, Gueorguiev B, Weber M, Krause FG. Ankle joint pressure changes in a pes cavovarus model: supramalleolar valgus osteotomy versus lateralizing calcaneal osteotomy. Foot & Ankle Int. 2013; 34(9):1190–7.
- Lee WC, Moon JS, Lee K, Byun WJ, Lee SH. Indications for supramalleolar osteotomy in patients with ankle osteoarthritis and varus deformity. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93(13):1243–8.

- Myerson MS, Zide JR. Management of varus ankle osteoarthritis with jointpreserving osteotomy. Foot Ankle Clin. 2013;18(3):471–80.
- Nha KW, Lee SH, Rhyu IJ, Kim HJ, Song JG, Han JH, Yeo ED, Lee YK. Safe zone for medial open-wedge Supramalleolar osteotomy of the ankle: a cadaveric study. Foot & Ankle Int. 2016;37(1):102–8.
- 11. Lee YS, Lee TQ. Specimen-specific method for quantifying glenohumeral joint kinematics. Ann Biomed Eng. 2010;38(10):3226–36.
- Lee WC. Extraarticular Supramalleolar osteotomy for managing Varus ankle osteoarthritis, alternatives for osteotomy: how and why? Foot Ankle Clin. 2016;21(1):27–35.
- Michelson JD, Mizel M, Jay P, Schmidt G. Effect of medial displacement calcaneal osteotomy on ankle kinematics in a cadaver model. Foot & Ankle Int. 1998;19(3):132–6.
- Davitt JS, Beals TC, Bachus KN. The effects of medial and lateral displacement calcaneal osteotomies on ankle and subtalar joint pressure distribution. Foot & ankle Int. 2001;22(11):885–9.
- Steffensmeier SJ, Saltzman CL, Berbaum KS, Brown TD. Effects of medial and lateral displacement calcaneal osteotomies on tibiotalar joint contact stresses. J Orthop Res. 1996;14(6):980–5.
- Bennett JT, Bunnell WP, MacEwen GD. Rotational osteotomy of the distal tibia and fibula. J Pediatr Orthop. 1985;5(3):294–8.
- Ryan DD, Rethlefsen SA, Skaggs DL, Kay RM. Results of tibial rotational osteotomy without concomitant fibular osteotomy in children with cerebral palsy. J Pediatr Orthop. 2005;25(1):84–8.
- Banks SW, Evans EA. Simple transverse osteotomy and threaded-pin fixation for controlled correction of torsion deformities of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1955;37-A(1):193–5.
- Lee KB, Cho YJ. Oblique supramalleolar opening wedge osteotomy without fibular osteotomy for varus deformity of the ankle. Foot & Ankle Int. 2009; 30(6):565–7.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

