

Effects of ageing on aortic hemodynamics measured by 4D-flow MRI: a case series

Joe F. Juffermans () *, Jos J. M. Westenberg (), Pieter J. van den Boogaard, and Hildo J. Lamb ()

Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA Leiden, The Netherlands

Received 25 October 2022; first decision 6 December 2022; accepted 22 March 2023; online publish-ahead-of-print 10 April 2023

Background	It has been demonstrated that the rate of aortic dilatation is influenced by alteration of aortic hemodynamics, such as normalized flow displacement (FD_N) and wall shear stress (WSS). However, the effects of ageing on aortic hemodynamics have not yet been described.
Case summary	4D-Flow MRI derived aorta hemodynamics were derived in the ascending aorta of a patient with ascending aortic aneurysm (mean \pm standard deviation: 46 ± 1 mm) and a healthy volunteer (aortic diameter 30 ± 1 mm) with long-term follow-up of ten and eight years, respectively. At all timepoints, compared to the healthy volunteer, the patient demonstrated higher magnitudes of FD _N (7% $\pm 1\%$ vs. $3\% \pm 1\%$) and WSS angle ($36^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ vs. $24^{\circ} \pm 6^{\circ}$), and lower WSS magnitude (565 ± 100 mPa vs. 910 ± 115 mPa), axial WSS (426 ± 71 mPa vs. 800 ± 108 mPa) and circumferential WSS (297 ± 64 mPa vs. 340 ± 85 mPa). The patient and healthy volunteer demonstrated different aortic dilatation rates (regression slope \pm standard error: 0.2 ± 0.1 vs. 0.1 ± 0.2 mm per year) and trends in FD _N ($0.1\% \pm 0.1\%$ vs. $0.1\% \pm 0.2\%$ per year), WSS magnitude (22 ± 9 vs. 35 ± 13 mPa per year), axial WSS (19 ± 4 vs. 37 ± 7 mPa per year), circumferential WSS (9 ± 8 vs. 5 ± 15 mPa per year), and WSS angle ($-0.5^{\circ} \pm 0.4^{\circ}$ vs. $-0.8^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ per year).
Discussion	Aortic hemodynamic parameters are marginally affected by ageing and the aortic diameter in this case series. Since aortic hemo- dynamic parameters have been associated with aortic dilation by previous studies, the outcomes of the two subjects suggest that the aortic dilatation rate will remain constant while individuals are ageing and dilating.
Keywords	Aorta • Aortic ageing • Hemodynamics • Aneurysms • 4D-flow MRI • Case series
ESC Curriculum	2.3 Cardiac magnetic resonance • 9.1 Aortic disease

Learning points

- The higher aortic dilatation rate of the patient compared to the healthy volunteer could be explained by the higher magnitudes of FD_N and WSS angle but not circumferential WSS.
- The outcomes of the healthy volunteer suggest that aortic hemodynamics are marginally affected by ageing.
- The outcomes of the patient suggest that aortic hemodynamics are marginally affected by ageing and the aortic diameter.
- 4D-flow MRI is a robust method to assess aortic hemodynamics during long-term follow-up.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +31 71 526 22 33, Fax: +31 71 524 82 56, Email: J.F.Juffermans@lumc.nl

Handling Editor: Harry Klimis

Peer-reviewers: Gautam Sen; Ugur Canpolat

Compliance Editor: Gal Tsaban

Supplementary Material Editor: Tinka Julia van Trier

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Introduction

Aneurysms of the thoracic aorta are predominantly caused by degenerative disease and are mostly located in the ascending aorta.^{1,2} While thoracic aortic aneurysms (TAAs) are mainly asymptomatic, the risk of aortic dissection, rupture, or death increases significantly when the aortic diameter is >60 mm.³ Since the risk of these adverse events exceeds the risk of preemptive surgical aortic replacement,³ surgical repair is recommended for aortic diameters >55 mm for asymptomatic patients.^{1,4} While the yearly rates of aortic rupture, dissection, or death are 14.1% for aortas with a diameter >60 mm, adverse events are already considerable in aortas with diameters >50 mm or >40 mm as they have yearly rates of 6.5% and 5.3%, respectively.³ Therefore. more comprehensive risk stratification methods for patients with TAA are needed.⁵ It has been hypothesized (also referred as the 'hemodynamic hypothesis') that the rate at which the aortic diameter increases is influenced by alterations in aortic hemodynamics which affect hemodynamic forces on the arterial wall.⁶ The rate at which the aortic diameter increases is also known as the aortic dilatation rate.

Aortic hemodynamics can be assessed by 4D-flow MRI which comprises a three dimensional velocity vector field over the cardiac cycle.⁷ From the velocity vector field, various parameters of aortic hemodynamics can mathematically be derived.⁸ For the mathematical derivation of such parameters, a patient specific segmentation of the aortic lumen is required.⁹ Although the resolution of the 4D-flow MRI acquisition is not optimal, the shape of aortic lumen segmentation can be

Timeline

used to derive aortic morphology, like maximal aortic diameter and curvature radius,¹⁰ especially when comparing for follow up. For some of the aortic hemodynamic parameters, it has already been demonstrated that they are associated with aortic dilatation rate, such as normalized flow displacement (FD_N; measure of flow eccentricity), regional elevated wall shear stress (WSS; viscous shear force on the vessel wall) magnitude, circumferential WSS and WSS angle (angle between the axial and circumferential WSS).^{6,11–15} Besides, the aortic diameter is well known to be inversely associated with the flow velocity and WSS.¹⁶ So, presumably the aortic diameter, hemodynamics and dilatation rate are continuously influencing each other while ageing, see Figure 1. Since the long-term course of the aortic hemodynamics has not yet been reported, it is unknown if an increasing aortic diameter will change the aortic hemodynamics in such manner that the aortic dilatation rate will increase, decrease, or remains constant while ageing.

To review in this case series the natural course of aortic hemodynamics in individual subjects while ageing, we present a patient with an ascending aortic aneurysm and a healthy volunteer with a unique follow-up by 4D-flow MRI of ten and eight years, respectively. To assess the variation and trend of the aortic diameters and 4D-flow MRI-derived hemodynamic parameters over all MRI examinations, the mean \pm standard deviation and the regression slope \pm standard error (SE) are quantified, respectively. The aortic diameter and hemodynamic parameters were quantified as previous described.¹⁰

	Patien	t with Ascend	ling Aortic	Aneu	rysm				Healthy	Volunteer			
Date	Age [years]	MRI Examination	Aortic Diameter [mm]	FD _N [%]	Cir-WSS [mPa]	WSS angle [°]	Date	Age [years]	MRI Examination	Aortic Diameter [mm]	FD _N [%]	Cir-WSS [mPa]	WSS angle [°]
September 2012	52	1	44	6	185	35	September 2014	45	1	29	2	279	25
April 2014	53	2	46	6	278	40	March 2018	48	2	31	5	458	33
October 2017	57	3	45	7	375	39	October 2019	50	3	30	3	220	17
January 2019	58	4	46	8	368	39	December 2020	51	4	28	2	405	24
January 2021	60	5	46	7	290	33	August 2020	53	5	31	4	338	20
June 2022	62	6	47	7	284	32							

Abbreviations: FD_N - normalized flow displacement, Cir-WSS - circumferential wall shear stress, and WSS - wall shear stress.

Case summaries

Patient with ascending aortic aneurysm

Over the course of 10 years a patient (male, 52-62 years, 77-81 kg, 187 cm, body surface area (BSA) 1.93-2.06 m², Caucasian) with ascending aortic aneurysm of the outpatient clinic of the Leiden University Medical Center underwent serial MRI examinations for disease surveillance, which included 4D-flow MRI of the thoracic aorta. Other than the aortic aneurysm, there are no other diseases known

to the patient. A decade after his first MRI examination, the asymptomatic patient underwent his sixth and most recent 4D-flow MRI examination, see *Figure 2*. For details about the MRI system and 4D-flow MRI sequences, see *Table 1*. The aortic diameter and hemodynamic parameters of the ascending aorta were calculated at peak systole for all examination and are summarized in *Table 2*.

At the first (September 2012) and last (June 2022) 4D-flow MRI examination, the maximal diameter of the ascending aorta measured 44 and 47 mm, respectively. While using the outcomes of all six 4D-flow MRI acquisitions, the average maximal diameter \pm standard



deviation of the ascending aorta was 46 ± 1 mm and regression slope \pm SE showed an average increase of 0.2 ± 0.1 mm per year. The aver-

age aortic diameter indexed for BSA was 2.31 cm/m^{2.17} Furthermore, the mean blood velocity in the ascending aorta was 37 \pm 2 cm/s at peak systole and the regression slope showed a decrease close to the SE over time (-0.2 \pm 0.2 cm/s per year). Mean FD_N was 7% \pm 1% and the regression slope showed an increase close to the SE over time (0.1% \pm 0.1% per year). The mean WSS magnitude, and its axial and circumferential component, were 565 \pm 100, 426 \pm 71 and 297 \pm 64 mPa, respectively. Mean WSS magnitude and axial WSS increased on average (22 \pm 9 and 19 \pm 4 mPa per year, respectively) while the regression slope of circumferential WSS showed no change relative to the SE over time (9 \pm 8 mPa per year). The mean WSS angle was 36° \pm 3° and decreased on average with -0.5° \pm 0.4° per year.

The aortic hemodynamics per MRI acquisition are illustrated in *Figure 3* by radial-plots. This figure demonstrates comparable hemodynamic patterns between the follow-up examinations for the patient. This agreement in aortic hemodynamics between the follow-up examinations is also demonstrated by the relatively small standard deviations of the parameters.

Healthy volunteer

Over the course of eight years a healthy volunteer (male, 45–53 years, 70–73 kg, 180 cm, BSA 1.89–1.92 m², Caucasian) underwent five MRI examinations for scientific research including a 4D flow acquisition of the thoracic aorta, see *Figure 4*. For details about the MRI scanner and sequences, see *Table 1*. The aortic diameter and hemodynamic parameters of the ascending aorta were measured at peak systole and are summarized in *Table 2*.

At the first (September 2014) and last (August 2022) 4D-flow MRI examination, the maximal diameter of the ascending aorta measured 29 and 31 mm, respectively. While using the outcomes of all five examinations, the average maximal diameter of the ascending aorta was 30 \pm 1 mm and the regression slope showed no change relatively to the SE

(0.1 \pm 0.2 mm per year). The average aortic size indexed for BSA was 1.57 cm/m $^{2.17}$

Besides, the mean blood velocity in the ascending aorta was $54 \pm 4 \text{ cm/s}$ at peak systole and regression slope showed no change relative to the SE over time ($0.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ cm/s}$ per year). Mean FD_N was $3\% \pm 1\%$ and the regression slope showed no change relative to the SE over time ($0.1\% \pm 0.2\%$ per year). The mean WSS magnitude, and its axial and circumferential component, were 910 ± 115 , 800 ± 108 , and $340 \pm 85 \text{ mPa}$, respectively. Mean WSS magnitude and axial WSS increased on average (35 ± 13 and 37 ± 7 mPa per year, respectively) while circumferential WSS showed no change relative to the SE over time ($5 \pm 17 \text{ mPa}$ per year). The mean WSS angle was $24^\circ \pm 6^\circ$ and the regression slope showed no change relative to the SE over time ($-0.8 \pm 1.0^\circ$ per year).

Discussion

The normal increase in aortic diameter per decade of an ageing aorta without aneurysm is 0.9 mm in men and 0.7 mm in women.⁴ Besides an aortic diameter >55 mm, asymptomatic patients with high dilatation rates (>3–5 mm per year) should be considered for surgical replacement.^{1, 4} In this case series, one patient with ascending aneurysm and one healthy volunteer were presented with a unique follow-up by 4D-flow MRI of ten and eight years, respectively. The patient showed an aortic dilatation rate of 0.2 ± 0.1 mm per year (i.e. 2 ± 1 mm per decade) which is considerably higher than the aortic dilatation rate of an ageing aorta without aneurysm.

When the aortic hemodynamics of the subjects in this case series are compared, the patient demonstrated higher magnitudes of FD_N (7% \pm 1% vs. 3% \pm 1%) and WSS angle (36° \pm 3° vs. 24° \pm 6°) but lower flow velocity (37 \pm 2 cm/s vs. 54 \pm 4 cm/s), WSS magnitude (565 \pm 100 mPa vs. 910 \pm 115 mPa), axial WSS (426 \pm 71 mPa vs. 800 \pm 108 mPa), and circumferential WSS (297 \pm 64 mPa vs. 340 \pm 85 mPa) as compared to the healthy volunteer. Previous studies have demonstrated that



Figure 2 Peak systolic streamline visualizations of the 4D-flow MRI acquisitions of a patient with an ascending aortic aneurysm.

increased magnitudes of FD_N, circumferential WSS and WSS angle are associated with higher aortic dilatation rates.^{11–15} Based upon these previous studies, the higher aortic dilatation rate of the patient could be explained by the observed magnitudes of FD_N and WSS angle but not circumferential WSS.

While the maximal diameter of the healthy volunteer in this case series showed no change relative to the SE during the follow-up (0.1 \pm 0.2 mm per year), the mean flow velocity, FD_N, circumferential WSS, and WSS angle also showed no change relative to the SE over time. However, the WSS magnitude and axial WSS showed increase over time (35 \pm 13 and 37 \pm 7 mPa per year, respectively). These outcomes suggest that, at least for the healthy volunteer in this case series over a follow-up period of 8 years, aortic hemodynamic parameters are probably only marginally affected by ageing in aortas with normal diameters.

In contrast, the ascending aorta of the patient demonstrated a considerable aortic dilatation rate during follow-up. While mean flow velocity decreased close to the SE over time (-0.2 \pm 0.2 cm/s per year), the mean WSS magnitude and axial WSS both showed increase (22 \pm 9 and 19 \pm 4 mPa per year, respectively). The circumferential WSS and FD_N showed no change relatively to the SE. The more predominant increase of axial WSS compared to circumferential WSS presumably explains the decrease in WSS angle (-0.5° \pm 0.4° per year). These outcomes

suggest that, at least for the patient in this case series over a follow-up period of 10 years, aortic hemodynamic parameters are probably only marginally affected by ageing and the aortic diameter in TAA. Since aortic hemodynamic parameters have been associated with aortic dilation by previous studies,^{11–15} we expect that the dilatation rate will remain approximately constant in patients with TAA while these patients are ageing and dilating. In other words, the increase in aortic diameter will presumably not affect the aortic hemodynamics of patients in such manner that the aortic dilatation rate will further increase or decrease. This pathophysiological mechanism of TAAs possibly explains the growth of the aneurysm in some patients.

In addition, the flow velocity and the WSS magnitude are both well known to be inversely associated with the vessel diameter.¹⁶ This coupling between the aortic diameter and flow velocity will affect the magnitude of several hemodynamic parameters which are mathematically derived from the velocity vectors.⁸ Hereby, the hemodynamic parameters, which are affected by the inverse association between aortic diameter and flow velocity, indirectly will reflect the aortic dilation. In contrast, the FD_N and WSS angle describe the eccentricity and asymmetry of the flow profile independent of the flow velocity and vessel diameter, respectively.^{15,18} We hypothesize that hemodynamic parameters, which are not affected by the inverse association between

Characteristics			Patient					Health	y Volunteer		
year Vendor	2012	2014	2017 Philins Healthrare	2019	2021	2022	2014	2018 Philin	2019 ss Healthcare	2020	2022
	(17 H 4						-	-			
l ype	NI 15 Cyroscan Intera		Ingenia		Ingeni	L Elition	_	Ingenia	Ingenia Elition	Ingenia	Ingenia Elition
Field strength [T]	1.5			č					S		
Field of View [mm ³]	$480 \times 240 \times 90$	$400 \times 300 \times 60$	$350 \times 250 \times 70$	350 × 300 × 75	350 × 270 ×	350 × 290 × 75	$400 \times 350 \times 60$	$350 \times 260 \times 70$	350 × 270 × 70	400 × 260 ×	320 × 280 ×
Acquired Isotropic Spatial Resolution	5.0		2.5	C /	0.5 3.0	2.5		2.5	3.0	00	.5 8
[mm']											
Reconstructed spatial resolution [mm ²]	1.9 × 1.9 × 5.0	2.5 × 2.5 × 2.5	$1.5 \times 1.5 \times 2.5$	1.8×1.8× 2.5	1.5 × 1.5 × 3.0	1.5 × 1.5 × 2.5	2.5 × 2.5 × 2.5	1.5 × 1.5 × 2.5	1.5 × 1.5 × 3.0	1.3 × 1.3 × 2.5	1.4 × 1.4 × 2.5
Reconstructed temporal resolution [ms]	35	50	30	34	33	42	47	34	34	29	36
Reconstructed phases [n]	30	24	40	32	32	32	21	30	32	34	32
Echo time [ms]	3.9	3.2	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.7	3.2	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.6
Repetition time [ms]	6.7	6.0	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.6	6.0	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.5
Flip Angle [°]			10						10		
Readout method			Cartesian					0	Cartesian		
Number of signal average	2			-					-		
Acceleration Method	EPI: 5	SENSE: 2.0 × 1.0*	TFE: 2 SENSE: 2.5 × 1.5*	TFE	2 SENSE: 2.5 >	: 1.2*	SENSE: 2.0 × 1.0*	TFE: 2 SENSE: 2.5 × 1.5*	TFE:	2 SENSE: 2.5 >	: 1.2*
Cardiac gating			Retrospective					Ret	trospective		
Hemi-diaphragm respiratory navigator	No			Yes					Yes		
gating											
Flow-encoding scheme			Asymmetric four-pc	int				Asymm	etric four-point		
VENC [cm/s]	150	200	200	150	150	150	200	200	175	150	175

Abbreviations: VENC – velocity encoding, EPI – echo-planar imaging, SENSE – sensitivity encoding, and TFE – turbo field echo. * – in-plane and out-of-plane parallel reduction factor, respectively.



Figure 3 Radial-plots of hemodynamic parameters obtained from the 4D-flow MRI acquisitions of the patient (left) and healthy volunteer (right). In order to compare hemodynamic parameters, the magnitudes were indexed to highest magnitude among all MRI examinations and both subjects and presented as percentage. Abbreviations; D – diameter, V – flow velocity, FD_N – normalized flow displacement, WSS_{MAG} – wall shear stress magnitude, WSS_{AX} – axial wall shear stress, WSS_{CIR} – circumferential wall shear stress, and WSS_{ANGLE} – wall shear stress angle.





	(<u></u>			9		acto un	2								
Subject					Patient						-	Healthy ¹	Voluntee	er	
Year	2012	2014	2017	2019	2021	2022	Mean ± SD	$\beta \pm SE$	2014	2018	2019	2020	2022	Mean ± SD	$\beta \pm SE$
Age	52	53	57	58	60	62	57 ± 4		45	48	50	51	53	50 ± 3	
Maximal Diameter [mm]	44	46	45	46	46	47	46 ± 1	0.2 ± 0.1	29	31	30	28	31	30 ± 1	0.1 ± 0.2
Flow Velocity [cm/s]	38	36	37	39	37	33	37 ± 2	-0.2 ± 0.2	56	49	51	56	58	54 ± 4	0.3 ± 0.7
Normalized Flow Displacement [%]	9	9	7	8	7	7	7±1	0.1 ± 0.1	2	S	m	2	4	3 ± 1	0.1 ± 0.2
WSS magnitude [mPa]	379	493	656	629	598	604	565 ± 100	22 ± 9	731	952	824	1008	1035	910 ± 115	35 ± 13
Axial WSS [mPa]	301	356	466	485	470	479	426 ± 71	19 ± 4	642	756	763	892	948	800 ± 108	37 ± 7
Circumferential WSS [mPa]	185	278	375	368	290	284	297 ± 64	9±8	279	458	220	405	338	340 ± 85	5 ± 17
WSS angle [°]	35	40	39	39	33	32	36 ± 3	-0.5 ± 0.4	25	33	17	24	20	24 ± 6	-0.8 ± 1.0

Abbreviations: SD – standard deviation, β – beta (i.e. the difference per year) of the regression analysis, SE – standard error of the regression analysis and WSS – wall shear stress

aortic diameter and flow velocity, will demonstrate a stronger association with the aortic dilatation rate and risk stratification of patients with TAA.

The unlikely negative dilatation rates between some MRI acquisitions of the patient and healthy volunteer demonstrate the measurement uncertainty of aortic diameters. Like all parameters assessed by an imaging modality, the precision and accuracy of the quantification of the maximal vessel diameter is affected, among other factors, by the imaging modality, acquisition, quantification method, and observer variability.^{8,10,19} As a result, a clinical guideline indicated that changes in maximal diameter <3 mm could not reliable be detected.⁴ Based upon the aortic dilatation rate of an ageing aorta without aneurysm, reliable differences in the aortic diameter of healthy volunteers can presumably be measured after 3.3 decades (3/0.9 mm per decade) in men and 4.3 decades (3/0.7 mm per decade) in women. Besides, the relatively large SEs of the regression analyses compared to the regression slopes demonstrates the uncertainties while estimating the trends of most guantified parameters.

The patient and healthy volunteer demonstrated approximately comparable levels of variation for the hemodynamic parameters between follow-up examinations. This overlap in variation demonstrates the robustness of 4D-flow MRI to capture aortic hemodynamics, despite of variation in MRI scanners and 4D flow sequences. While no unexpected changes in the aortic hemodynamics were observed between MRI examinations, variation in MRI scanners and 4D flow sequences potentially may affect quantified parameters. The aortic diameters indexed for BSA of the patient and healthy volunteers both are associated with a low risk for complications (i.e. $\pm 1\%$ per year).¹⁷ Patients with an increased risk for complications could possibly demonstrate different trends in aortic hemodynamics while ageing and dilatation.

Conclusion

Aortic hemodynamic parameters are marginally affected by ageing and the aortic diameter in this case series. Since aortic hemodynamic parameters have been associated with aortic dilation by previous studies, the outcomes of the two subjects suggest that the aortic dilatation rate will remain constant while individuals are ageing and dilating.

Lead author biography



Joe F. Juffermans holds a Master Biomedical Engineering and Bachelor in Industrial Design Engineering from Technical University of Delft, The Netherlands. He is currently finishing his PhD at the Leiden University Medical Center (The Netherlands) in a research project funded by the Dutch Heart Foundation (see below) focusing on the earlier recognition of aortic dissection and aneurysm rupture by 4D flow MRI. After his PhD we would like expand his work in the field of data en-

gineering and data science.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal - Case Reports online.

Slide sets: A fully edited slide set detailing this case and suitable for local presentation is available online as Supplementary data.

Consent: The authors confirm that written informed consent has been obtained from the patient and healthy volunteer for submission and publication of this case series including images and associated text, in line with COPE guidance.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: This work was supported by the Dutch Heart Foundation [CVON2017-08-RADAR].

Data availability

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

References

- Members WC, Isselbacher EM, Preventza O, Black JH III, Augoustides JG, Beck AW, et al. 2022 ACC/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and management of aortic disease: a report of the American heart association/American college of cardiology joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2022; 80:e223-e393.
- e Melo RG, Duarte GS, Lopes A, Alves M, Caldeira D, e Fernandes RF, et al. Incidence and prevalence of thoracic aortic aneurysms: A systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies (ed.). Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2022; 34:1–16.
- Elefteriades JA. Natural history of thoracic aortic aneurysms: indications for surgery, and surgical versus nonsurgical risks. Ann Thorac Surg 2002;74:S1877–S1880.
- 4. Erbel R, Aboyans V, Boileau C, Bossone E, Bartolomeo RD, Eggebrecht H, et al. 2014 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases: document covering acute and chronic aortic diseases of the thoracic and abdominal aorta of the adult the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases of the European society of cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2014;**35**:2873–2926.
- Pape LA, Tsai TT, Isselbacher EM, Oh JK, O'Gara PT, Evangelista A, et al. Aortic diameter ≥5.5 cm is not a good predictor of type A aortic dissection: observations from the international registry of acute aortic dissection (IRAD). Circulation 2007;116: 1120–1127.

- Soulat G, Scott MB, Allen BD, Avery R, Bonow RO, Malaisrie SC, et al. Association of regional wall shear stress and progressive ascending aorta dilation in bicuspid aortic valve. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2022;15:33–42.
- Markl M, Chan FP, Alley MT, Wedding KL, Draney MT, Elkins CJ, et al. Time-resolved three-dimensional phase-contrast MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging 2003;17:499–506.
- Soulat G, McCarthy P, Markl M. 4D Flow with MRI. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2020;22: 103–126.
- Dyverfeldt P, Bissell M, Barker AJ, Bolger AF, Carlhäll C-J, Ebbers T, et al. 4D Flow cardiovascular magnetic resonance consensus statement. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2015;17: 72.

10. [Anonymised for review].

- van Hout M, Juffermans J, Lamb H, Kröner E, van den Boogaard P, Schalij M, et al. Ascending aorta curvature and flow displacement are associated with accelerated aortic growth at long-term follow-up: a MRI study in Marfan and thoracic aortic aneurysm patients. Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc 2022;38:100926.
- Korpela T, Kauhanen SP, Kariniemi E, Saari P, Liimatainen T, Jaakkola P, et al. Flow displacement and decreased wall shear stress might be associated with the growth rate of an ascending aortic dilatation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2022;61:395–402.
- Hope MD, Sigovan M, Wrenn SJ, Saloner D, Dyverfeldt P. MRI Hemodynamic markers of progressive bicuspid aortic valve-related aortic disease. J Magn Reson Imaging 2014; 40:140–145.
- Guala A, Dux-Santoy L, Teixido-Tura G, Ruiz-Muñoz A, Galian-Gay L, Servato ML, et al. Wall shear stress predicts aortic dilation in patients with bicuspid aortic valve. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2021.
- Minderhoud S, Roos-Hesselink J, Chelu R, Bons L, Van Den Hoven A, Korteland S, et al. Wall shear stress angle determines aortic growth in patients with bicuspid aortic valves. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2021;23:1680–1689.
- Callaghan FM, Grieve SM. Normal patterns of thoracic aortic wall shear stress measured using 4D-flow MRI in a large population. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2018.
- Davies RR, Gallo A, Coady MA, Tellides G, Botta DM, Burke B, et al. Novel measurement of relative aortic size predicts rupture of thoracic aortic aneurysms. Ann Thorac Surg 2006;81:169–177.
- Sigovan M, Hope MD, Dyverfeldt P, Saloner D. Comparison of four-dimensional flow parameters for quantification of flow eccentricity in the ascending aorta. J Magn Reson Imaging 2011;34:1226–1230.
- Elefteriades JA, Farkas EA. Thoracic aortic aneurysm clinically pertinent controversies and uncertainties. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:841–857.