The relationship between delivery and the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio in COVID-19: a cohort study

BL Pineles,^a (A Stephens,^a LM Narendran,^a MA Tigner,^b C Leidlein,^c C Pedroza,^d H Mendez-Figueroa,^a BM Sibai^a

^a Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology & Reproductive Sciences, McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA ^b McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA ^c Physicians at Sugar Creek/Memorial Family Medicine Residency Program, Memorial Hermann Medical Group, Houston, TX, USA ^d Department of Pediatrics, McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA *Correspondence*: BM Sibai, MD, Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin St., MSB 3.260, Houston, TX 77030, USA. Email: Baha.M.Sibai@uth.tmc.edu

Accepted 20 July 2021. Published Online 20 August 2021.

Objective To study the effect of delivery on the pO_2/FiO_2 ratio (P/F ratio) in patients with COVID-19-related acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and to compare characteristics between delivered and undelivered pregnant patients with COVID-19.

Design Retrospective cohort.

Setting Four hospitals in Houston, Texas.

Population Pregnant patients admitted to the hospital for COVID-19.

Methods Among patients with ARDS who were delivered during their hospitalisation for COVID-19, linear mixed models were used to investigate time trends before and after delivery of the P/F ratio. Patient characteristics were compared between patients delivered during their hospitalisation for COVID-19 and those discharged undelivered.

Main outcome measures The P/F ratio, age, gestational age, length of stay and severity of illness,

Results Between 4 May 2020 and 26 July 2020, a total of 61 pregnant patients were admitted for COVID-19. Baseline characteristics were similar between the study groups. Delivery occurred in 21 (34%) of patients during their hospitalisation for COVID-19. Delivered patients had more severe disease and were admitted at a later gestational age than patients not delivered. Ten of these 21 patients (48%) were delivered preterm; of these, six were delivered due to complications of COVID-19 and four were delivered for obstetric indications. In patients with ARDS who were delivered (n = 17), the P/F ratio had a negative slope that improved after delivery.

Conclusions COVID-19-related ARDS in pregnancy requires multidisciplinary care and individualised decision-making, but delivery slows the deterioration of the P/F ratio in these patients.

Keywords ARDS, coronavirus, COVID-19, critical care, delivery, pregnancy, respiratory distress, SARS-CoV-2.

Tweetable abstract Delivery improves the P/F ratio in COVID-19related ARDS, though individualised delivery management is needed.

Please cite this paper as: Pineles BL, Stephens A, Narendran LM, Tigner MA, Leidlein C, Pedroza C, Mendez-Figueroa H, Sibai BM. The relationship between delivery and the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio in COVID-19: a cohort study. BJOG 2022;129:493–499.

Introduction

Pregnant people with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) are more likely to be hospitalised and admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) than are their non-pregnant counterparts, though rates of mechanical ventilation are similar.^{1,2} Decisions regarding necessity and timing of delivery are a unique consideration of pregnant women with COVID-19 infection. In several case series of pregnant patients with COVID-19, 39–54% percent of patients were delivered during the initial hospitalisation, and 24–57% were delivered preterm.^{3–5} One of the major causes of morbidity and mortality in COVID-19 is acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).⁶ The case mortality for ARDS in pregnancy is between 9 and 14%.⁷ Pregnant patients with COVID-19-related ARDS are likely to be delivered for maternal indications.⁸ However, whether delivery improves maternal survival and reduces morbidity in ARDS is unknown.

Small case series show improvement in some, but not all, respiratory parameters with delivery in ARDS during pregnancy and no clear recommendation for delivery criteria has emerged.^{9–12} The pO_2/FiO_2 ratio (P/F ratio) is a

Pineles et al.

ratio of the arterial partial pressure of oxygen to the fraction of inspired oxygen, thus lower values represent worse gas exchange. It is both part of the definition of ARDS (P/ F ratio < 300) and defines the severity of ARDS. The P/F ratio is slightly lower in pregnant people than in nonpregnant people and has been used in this population.¹³ A recent study examined respiratory parameters in ten pregnant patients with ARDS (n = 6), pulmonary oedema (n = 3), septic shock (n = 1) or neurological disease (n = 1) delivered while on mechanical ventilatory support and found that oxygenation index and PEEP showed significant improvements from antepartum to 12-15 hours postdelivery.¹⁴ Though the mean P/F ratio did not change, in both patients with P/F ratios < 100, the oxygenation index improved by greater than 40%. However, changes in the P/ F ratio around the time of delivery have not been examined in COVID-19-related ARDS. The objective of this study is to examine whether delivery affects time to recovery in pregnant patients with COVID-19 and COVID-19related ARDS. Our hypothesis is that delivery improves time to recovery in this population.

Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study of all hospitalised pregnant patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 admitted to one of four large metropolitan hospitals staffed by one university physician group between 4 May 2020 and 26 July 2020. Patients with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection were not included. The primary exposure was delivery during hospitalisation for COVID-19. Criteria for admission and delivery were at the discretion of the attending physician. Patients were divided into four groups based on the severity of COVID-19: mild, moderate, severe or critical.¹⁵ Mild COVID-19 was defined as symptomatic patients (fever, cough, fatigue, anorexia, shortness of breath, myalgias) without evidence of viral pneumonia or hypoxia. Moderate COVID-19 was defined as clinical signs of pneumonia (fever, cough, dyspnoea, fast breathing) but no signs of severe pneumonia, including oxygen saturation $(SpO_2) \ge 90\%$ on room air. Severe COVID-19 was defined as clinical signs of pneumonia plus one of the following: respiratory rate > 30 breaths/ min; severe respiratory distress; or $SpO_2 < 90\%$ on room air. Critical COVID-19 was defined as ARDS (P/F ratio \leq 300 mmHg), sepsis or septic shock.¹⁵ When blood gases were unavailable, SpO₂ was converted to pO₂ using an oxyhaemoglobin dissociation curve. Among delivered people with ARDS, the lowest P/F ratio was computed for each day and for the 12 hours before and after delivery. Respiratory specimens were collected by nasopharyngeal swab and SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by reversetranscription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Demographic and clinical characteristics were compared between the group of patients delivered during their hospitalisation for COVID-19 and those discharged undelivered using Chi-square, Fisher exact and Wilcoxon tests as appropriate. Primary outcomes were length of stay and P/F ratios. Length of stay was compared between delivered and undelivered patients. Among patients with ARDS who were delivered during their hospitalisation for COVID-19, linear mixed models were used to investigate time trends of the P/F ratio before and after delivery. For each hospital day, the lowest P/F ratio was used. The model included gestational age at delivery, a time variable (day), an indicator variable for before and after delivery, and their interaction as covariates. Random intercepts and slopes were included in the model to adjust for within patient correlation. An equal number of observations (days) was selected before and after delivery because most patients had more observations after delivery than before, and all but one were discharged home on room air. These models allow us to estimate the change (slope) in the outcome before delivery and after and evaluate whether these slopes are different.

Approval was obtained from the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston. The STROBE guidelines for reporting observational studies in epidemiology were followed in this manuscript.¹⁶

Results

During the study period, 386 women with COVID 19 infection were treated at our four hospitals, of whom 61 (15.8%) met criteria for inclusion in our study. Twentyone (34.4%) patients were delivered during their hospitalisation for COVID-19. Delivered patients had more severe disease and were admitted at a later gestational age than were patients not delivered (Table 1). Length of stay was significantly longer for patients delivered during their hospitalisation (12 days, interquartile range 8–39) compared with those not delivered (6 days, interquartile range 2–9, P = 0.029). Ten patients (48%) were delivered preterm: six delivered due to complications of COVID-19 and four delivered for obstetric indications (Table 2).

Among the delivered patients, 17 had adequate data for inclusion in the P/F ratio analysis (Figure 1). The slope of the P/F ratio before delivery is negative (-28.7; 95% CI -44.8 to -12.6), indicating a decrease in the P/F ratio across time. The slope after delivery was also negative but less steep (-1.02; 95% CI -18.9 to 16.8), indicating less of a decrease in the P/F ratio across time after delivery (P < 0.0001 for difference in slopes).

Two maternal deaths occurred. The first was in a 39-yearold patient at 27 weeks who self-extubated and delivered via perimortem caesarean without return of spontaneous

Table	1.	Comparison	of	patient	characteristics	by	delivery	status
-------	----	------------	----	---------	-----------------	----	----------	--------

	Not delivered (n = 40)	Delivered (n = 21)	<i>P</i> -value
Maternal age	29 (26–32)	28 (24–35)	0.982
Race/ethnicity			
Hispanic	22 (55%)	13 (62%)	0.158
Non-Hispanic black	9 (23%)	1 (5%)	
Non-Hispanic white	5 (13%)	3 (14%)	
Non-Hispanic Asian	0	2 (10%)	
Other or unknown	4 (10%)	2 (10%)	
Nulliparous	7 (18%)	6 (29%)	0.316
Twin pregnancy	0	2 (10%)	0.115
Insurance			
Government-assisted	26 (65%)	2 (10%)	0.555
Private	8 (20%)	16 (76%)	
Self-pay/uninsured	6 (15%)	3 (14%)	
Comorbidities			
Obesity*	30 (75%)	18 (86%)	0.332
Hypertensive disease	6 (15%)	0	0.085
Diabetes mellitus	6 (15%)	1 (5%)	0.405
Asthma	6 (15%)	3 (14%)	0.940
Gestational age	28 (25–29)	37 (33–38)	<0.001
at admission			
Gestational age	-	38 (34–39)	-
at delivery			
Severity of illness			
Mild	2 (5%)	0	0.030
Moderate	15 (37%)	3 (14%)	
Severe	4 (10%)	0	
Critical	19 (48%)	18 (86%)	
Acute respiratory distress	17 (43%)	18 (86%)	0.001
syndrome (ARDS)			
Reason for delivery			
COVID-19	_	9 (43%)	_
Labour or scheduled	—	6 (29%)	—
Bro oclampsia with		1 (E0()	
severe features	_	1 (570)	_
Acute fatty liver	_	1 (5%)	_
of pregnancy			
Transaminitis	_	1 (5%)	_
Cholestasis of pregnancy	_	1 (5%)	_
Oligohydramnios	_	1 (5%)	_
Perimortem	_	1 (5%)	_
Length of stay	6 (2–9)	12 (8–39)	0.029

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%). *Body mass index \geq 30 m/kg².

Bold indicates statistical significance between groups with P < 0.05.

circulation due to inability to re-intubate. Her medical history was complicated by obesity and type 2 diabetes (Table 2). A second 18-year-old patient with obesity died 9 months after preterm delivery for maternal respiratory failure on hospital day 8. She had multi-organ failure and was never discharged home between her antepartum admission and death.

Discussion

Main findings

Delivery for pregnant patients with COVID-19-related ARDS improved the trajectory of the P/F ratio.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include its relatively large number of very ill patients delivered and the detailed information available about each patient. In the P/F ratio analysis, the mixed-models approach allowed us to isolate the effect of delivery from that of time by comparing the slope of the P/F ratio before delivery to after delivery. The weaknesses of the study include the overall small number of patients, which may have led to the inability to detect differences in baseline characteristics between groups. Additionally, our results do not apply to patients with COVID-19 without ARDS. Additionally, as this is an observational study, causation cannot be inferred.

Interpretation

This study is consistent with prior evidence that suggests improvement of respiratory parameters after delivery in pregnant patients with ARDS.¹⁴ However, much clinical controversy surrounds the topic, and there is no clear recommendation as to whether delivery improves the clinical course of pregnant patients with ARDS.^{10,11} Multiple studies have described the clinical course of pregnant patients with COVID-19 and critical COVID-19 but this is the first to examine the effect of delivery in pregnant patients with COVID-19-related ARDS. Given the physiological changes of pregnancy that include decreases in total lung capacity, expiratory reserve volume, residual volume and functional residual capacity, delivery may improve patients' respiratory status.¹⁷ Length of stay was longer in delivered patients than those discharged undelivered, which is likely due to the greater severity of COVID-19 in delivered patients. Obstetric complications were rare and did not contribute significantly to length of stay.

These data provide information for clinicians caring for pregnant patients with COVID-19-related ARDS and demonstrate a small improvement in the P/F ratio. This finding is not generalisable to most pregnant patients with COVID-19 because most do not have ARDS and thus are at a substantially lower risk of morbidity and mortality. Importantly, the deliveries in this analysis occurred at a median of 38 weeks (interquartile range 34–39; range 27– 40). Consistent with other case series, 48% were delivered preterm. Gestational age is a critical factor in the decision to deliver and, in our analysis, patients not delivered were at significantly lower gestational age. Importantly, a higher P/F ratio allows weaning from respiratory support, which may have implications for long-term health and reduction

Tetter is serviced in the second of		Actails of partic		וכם ממווווא ווסי								
1** Undetate 34* 2 Acute instructions: nonoclonitions operations: nonoclonitions Acute instructions: nonoclonitions Acute instructions: nonoclonitions Acute instructions: nonoclonitions Acute instructions: nonoclonitions Acute instructions Acute instructions: nonoclonitions Acute instructions: nonoclonitions Acute instructions Acute instructins Acute instructions <t< th=""><th>Patient number</th><th>Severity of COVID-19</th><th>Age (years)</th><th>Gestational age at delivery (weeks + days)</th><th>Hospital day at delivery</th><th>Reason for delivery</th><th>Mode of delivery</th><th>Respiratory support at the time of delivery</th><th>Comorbidities</th><th>Complications</th><th>Length of postpartum hospital stay (days)</th><th>Lowest P/F ratio*</th></t<>	Patient number	Severity of COVID-19	Age (years)	Gestational age at delivery (weeks + days)	Hospital day at delivery	Reason for delivery	Mode of delivery	Respiratory support at the time of delivery	Comorbidities	Complications	Length of postpartum hospital stay (days)	Lowest P/F ratio*
2^{**} Moderate 3^{**} 2^{**} 1^{**}	*	Moderate	33	34 ⁺⁵	2	Acute fatty liver of pregnancy, monochorionic-	Caesarean	None	Obesity	Postpartum endometritis, ileus	ы	310
3** Moderate 3 28** 1 Procursion is server features 4 Critical 3 3" 3" 1 Procursion is server features 5 Critical 3 3" 1 Labor None None None 5 Critical 27 38" 5 Labor Vaginal None None None 6 Critical 27 38" 2 Labor Vaginal None Non	2**	Moderate	33	38 ⁺⁴	2	alarmilouc twins Cholestasis of pregnancy	Vaginal	None	Obesity, asthma, late latent svohilis	None	9	329
	**	Moderate	31	28 ⁺⁵	~	Pre-eclampsia with severe features	Caesarean	None	Obesity	None	Q	307
5 Critical 27 38° 5 Labor Vaginal None None None None 7 Critical 36 38° 2 Labor Vaginal None Desriviupus. Display display. 7 Critical 27 38° 2 Labor, non- Casaren None None None 8 Critical 27 38° 2 Labor, non- Casaren None None None 9 Critical 26 38° 1 Nasi canuda None None None 9 Critical 29 39° 1 Labor, non- Casaren None None 10 Critical 29 39° 1 Labor, non- Casaren None None 11 Critical 29 39° 1 Savinal casaren None None 12 Critical 39 39° 1 Critical Nasi canuda None None 13 Critical 39 39° 1	4	Critical	33	37 ⁺²	m	Labor, dichoronic- diamniotic twins	Caesarean	None	Obesity	None	13	60
6 Critical 36 $38^{\circ \circ}$ 2 Labor Vaginal None Obesity, lupus, livascular casonical intravascular casonintrana casonical intravascular casonical intravascular	5	Critical	27	38 ⁺⁰	5	Labor	Caesarean	Nasal cannula	None	None	2	164
7 Critical 27 38*1 2 Labor, non- Cesarean None None None 8 Critical 26 38*3 4 Labor Vaginal Nasal camula None None 9 Critical 26 38*3 1 Scheduled repeat Casarean None None 11 Critical 32 36*3 1 Scheduled repeat Casarean None None 11 Critical 32 36*3 1 Scheduled repeat Casarean None None 11 Critical 32 36*3 1 Scheduled repeat Casarean None None 12 Critical 32 36*3 1 Nasal canula Noseity None 13 Critical 31 27*2 4 Paraminity, fetal Vaginal Nasal canula None 14 Critical 31 27*2 4 Paraminity, fetal Vaginal None None 15*** Critical 31 27*2 4	Q	Critical	36	38 ⁺⁰	2	Labor	Vaginal	None	Obesity, lupus, bipolar disorder	Disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, postpartum hemorrhage	ы	96
8Critical26 38^{+4} 4LaborVaginalNasal camulaNoneNone9Critical23 39^{-4} 1LaborVaginalNasal camulaObesityNone10Critical23 39^{-3} 1Scheduled repeatCaesareanNoneObesityNone11Critical32 36^{-2} 2OligohydramniosVaginalNasal camulaObesityNone11Critical32 36^{+2} 2CligohydramniosVaginalNasal camulaObesity, asthmaNone12Critical23 33^{+3} 77Transaminits, fetalVaginalNasal camulaObesity, asthmaNone13Critical31 27^{-2} 4Respiratory failureCaesareanNoneNone14Critical31 27^{-2} 4Respiratory failureCaesareanNoneNone15***Critical31 31^{+6} 4Respiratory failureCaesareanNoneNone15***Critical31 31^{+6} 4Respiratory failureCaesareanNoneNone15***Critical18 31^{+6} 8Respiratory failureNoneNone15***Critical18 31^{+6} Respiratory failureNoneNone15***Critical18 31^{+6} Respiratory failureNoneNone15***Critical18Respiratory fa	7	Critical	27	38 ⁺¹	7	Labor, non- reassuring fetal heart rate tracing	Caesarean	None	Obesity	None	7	172
	œ	Critical	26	38 ⁺⁴	4	Labor	Vaginal	Nasal cannula	None	None	9	172
10Critical39 39^{+2} 1Scheduled repeatCaesareanNoneObesityNone11Critical32 36^{+2} 2OligohydramniosVaginalNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone12Critical23 33^{+3} 7Transaminits, fetalVaginalNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone12Critical23 37^{+3} 7Transaminits, fetalVaginalNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone13Critical31 27^{+2} 4Respiratory failureOligohydramniosVaginalNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone13Critical31 27^{+2} 4Respiratory failureCesareanNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone14Critical31 31^{+0} 4 Respiratory failureCesareanNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone15***Critical31 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureCesareanNasal cannulaObesityRespiratory failure15***Critical18 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureCesareanNethanicalNethanical15***Critical18 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureNethanicalNethanical15***Critical18 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureNethanicalNethanical15***Critical18 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureNethanicalNethanical<	б	Critical	23	39 ⁺⁴	-	Labor	Vaginal	Nasal cannula	Obesity	None	2	246
11Critical32 36^{+2} 2OlgohydramnosVaginalNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone12Critical23 33^{+3} 7Transaminits, fetalVaginalNasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone13Critical31 27^{+2} 4Respiratory failureVaginalCoesity, asthmaNone14Critical31 31^{+5} 4Respiratory failureVasal cannulaObesity, asthmaNone15***Critical31 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureVasal cannulaObesityRespiratory failure15***Critical18 31^{+5} 8Respiratory failureVasal cannulaVasal cannula <tr< td=""><td>10</td><td>Critical</td><td>39</td><td>39⁺²</td><td>-</td><td>Scheduled repeat</td><td>Caesarean</td><td>None</td><td>Obesity</td><td>None</td><td>4</td><td>216</td></tr<>	10	Critical	39	39 ⁺²	-	Scheduled repeat	Caesarean	None	Obesity	None	4	216
12 Critical 23 33^{+3} 7 Transaminitis, fetal Vaginal Nasal cannula Obesity, asthma None growth restriction, 13 Critical 31 27^{+2} 4 Respiratory failure Caesarean Mechanical Obesity Respiratory failure 14 Critical 31 31^{+0} 4 Respiratory failure Caesarean Nasal cannula Obesity Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 18 31^{+5} 8 Respiratory failure Caesarean Nasal cannula Obesity Respiratory failure Caesarean Achanical Obesity Respiratory failure Critical 18 31^{+5} 8 Respiratory failure Caesarean Nasal cannula Respiratory failure Caesarean Rechanical Respiratory failure Caesarean Rechanical Respiratory failure Caesarean Rechanical Respiratory failure Respiratory fa	11	Critical	32	36 ⁺²	2	caesarean Oligohydramnios	Vaginal	Nasal cannula	Obesity	None	m	181
13 Critical 31 27 ⁺² 4 Respiratory failure 14 Critical 31 31 ⁺⁰ 4 Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 31 31 ⁺⁰ 4 Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 31 31 ⁺⁰ 4 Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 18 31 ⁺⁵ 8 Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 18 31 ⁺⁵ 8 Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 18 31 ⁺⁶ 4 Respiratory failure	12	Critical	23	33 ⁺³	2	Transaminitis, fetal growth restriction,	Vaginal	Nasal cannula	Obesity, asthma	None	m	112
14 Critical 31 31 ⁺⁰ 4 Respiratory failure Caesarean Nasal cannula Obesity Respiratory failure 15*** Critical 18 31 ⁺⁵ 8 Respiratory failure Caesarean Nechanical Obesity Respiratory failure, sepsiratory failure, sepsisepsiratory failure, sepsiratory failure, s	13	Critical	31	27 ⁺²	4	oligonydramnios Respiratory failure	Caesarean	Mechanical ventilation	Obesity	Respiratory failure	39	55
ventilation course activities transformed activities activi	14 1.0 **	Critical Critical	31 18	31 ⁺⁰ 31 ⁺⁵	4α	Respiratory failure Respiratory failure	Caesarean	Nasal cannula Machanical	Obesity Obesity	Respiratory failure Respiratory failure	6 793	69 77
	2		2	-)			ventilation		issession and failure, liver failure, trachea-esophageal fistula		ì

Table 2.	(Continued)										
Patient number	Severity of COVID-19	Age (years)	Gestational age at delivery (weeks + days)	Hospital day at delivery	Reason for delivery	Mode of delivery	Respiratory support at the time of delivery	Comorbidities	Complications	Length of postpartum hospital stay (days)	Lowest P/F ratio*
16	Critical	23	34 ⁺³	4	Respiratory failure	Caesarean	Nasal cannula	Obesity	Respiratory failure, deep vein thrombosis	m	132
17	Critical	38	35 ⁺⁵	2	Respiratory failure	Caesarean	Non-invasive mechanical	Obesity, severe	Respiratory failure	38	37
18	Critical Critical	23 35	37 ⁺⁰ 38 ⁺⁰	~ ~ ~	Respiratory failure COVID-19	Caesarean Vaginal	Vanimation Nasal cannula Non-rebreather	Obesity Obesity	Respiratory failure Respiratory failure	11 5	106 73
20	Critical	22	40 ⁺¹	7	pneumonia Respiratory failure, non-reassuring fetal heart rate tracing	Caesarean	None	None	Respiratory failure	Ø	78
	21***, **** Respiratory failure with self- extubation	Critical	б М	27+1	a cardiopulmonary arrest	o	Perimortem 133	Caesarean	None***	Obesity, diabetes	
*pO ₂ /FiO ₂ **Not inc ***No res ****Not ii	e ratio. Iuded in P/F rati spiratory suppor ncluded in P/F r	io analysis t at the ti atio analy	s as there was r ime of delivery : isis due to perin	no adult respirat secondary to se nortem caesare:	fory distress syndrome If-extubation. an delivery with no po	e. ostpartum P/F	F ratios.				



Figure 1. Change in pO_2/FiO_2 (P/F) ratio before and after delivery among patients with COVID-19-related acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (n = 17). One patient delivered with ARDS is not included due to perimortem delivery without postpartum data.

of lung injury.¹⁸ This paper provides data on the response of the P/F ratio to delivery to assist multidisciplinary teams with the decision to deliver, which is complex and must be individualised.

Further studies are needed to strengthen the body of evidence surrounding delivery considerations in patients with COVID-19. This study was limited to pregnant patients with ARDS, but patients with critical COVID-19 due to other organ system failures may also benefit from delivery and research is needed in this population. Larger studies that can examine mortality and long-term lung injury are sorely needed.

Conclusions

COVID-19-related ARDS in pregnancy requires multidisciplinary care and individualised decision-making, but delivery slows the deterioration of the P/F ratio in these patients.

Disclosures of interests

None declared. Completed disclosure of interest forms are available to view online as supporting information.

Contribution to authorship

BP, AS and SB conceived and designed the analysis. LN, AT and CL collected the data. BP and CP analysed the data. BP wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed substantially to the revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. Beth L. Pineles, MD, PhD; Angela Stephens, MD; Leena M. Narendran, MBBS; Ms. Alyssa Tigner, BS; Christopher Leidlein, MD; Claudia Pedroza, PhD, Hector Mendez-Figueroa, MD; Baha M. Sibai, MD.

Details of ethics approval

Approval was obtained on 13 April 2020 from the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (Protocol number HSC-MS-20-0336).

Funding

This study was not supported by any funding source.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the patients represented in this series and their families.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- 1 Ellington S, Strid P, Tong VT, Woodworth K, Galang RR, Zambrano LD, et al. Characteristics of women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status—United States, January 22-June 7, 2020. MIWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:769–75.
- **2** Pineles BL, Goodman KE, Pineles L, O'Hara LM, Nadimpalli G, Magder LS, et al. In-hospital mortality in a cohort of hospitalized pregnant and nonpregnant patients with COVID-19. *Ann Intern Med* 2021;174:1186–1188.
- **3** Sentilhes L, De Marcillac F, Jouffrieau C, Kuhn P, Thuet V, Hansmann Y, et al. COVID-19 in pregnancy was associated with maternal morbidity and preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2020;223:914.e1–e15.
- **4** Pierce-Williams RAM, Burd J, Felder L, Khoury R, Bernstein PS, Avila K, et al. Clinical course of severe and critical COVID-19 in hospitalized pregnancies: a US cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM* 2020;2:100134.

- **5** Blitz MJ, Rochelson B, Minkoff H, Meirowitz N, Prasannan L, London V, et al. Maternal mortality among women with coronavirus disease 2019 admitted to the intensive care unit. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2020;223:595–9.e5.
- **6** Xu J, Yang X, Yang L, et al. Clinical course and predictors of 60-day mortality in 239 critically ill patients with COVID-19: a multicenter retrospective study from Wuhan, China. *Crit Care* 2020;24:394.
- 7 Rush B, Martinka P, Kilb B, McDermid RC, Boyd JH, Celi LA. Acute respiratory distress syndrome in pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2017;129:530–5.
- 8 Collop NA, Sahn SA. Critical illness in pregnancy. An analysis of 20 patients admitted to a medical intensive care unit. *Chest.* 1993;103:1548–52.
- **9** Mabie WC, Barton JR, Sibai BM. Adult respiratory distress syndrome in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1992;167:950–7.
- 10 Tomlinson MW, Caruthers TJ, Whitty JE, Gonik B. Does delivery improve maternal condition in the respiratory-compromised gravida? *Obstet Gynecol* 1998;91:108–11.
- **11** ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 211. Critical care in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2019;133:e303–19.
- 12 Halscott T, Vaught J. Management Considerations for Pregnant Patients with COVID-19. Washington, DC: Society for Maternal-

Fetal Medicine; 2021 [https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.smfm.org/ media/2734/SMFM_COVID_Management_of_COVID_pos_preg_patie nts_2-2-21_(final).pdf]. Accessed 2/23/21.

- **13** Muthu V, Agarwal R, Dhooria S, Prasad KT, Aggarwal AN, Suri V, et al. Epidemiology, lung mechanics and outcomes of ARDS: a comparison between pregnant and non-pregnant subjects. *J Crit Care* 2019;50:207–12.
- **14** Lapinsky SE, Rojas-Suarez JA, Crozier TM, et al. Mechanical ventilation in critically-ill pregnant women: a case series. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2015;24:323–8.
- **15** *Clinical Management of COVID-19: Interim Guidance.* Geneva: WHO Global; 2020.
- 16 von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:573–7.
- 17 le S, Rubio ER, Alper B, Szerlip HM. Respiratory complications of pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2002;57:39–46.
- 18 Chiumello D, Coppola S, Froio S, Gotti M. What's next after ARDS: long-term outcomes. *Respir Care* 2016;61:689–99.