# Original Article Microbiology

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Received: May 19, 2019 Revised: Sep 17, 2019 Accepted: Sep 24, 2019

#### \*Corresponding author:

#### Jun Qiao

College of Animal Science and Technology, Shihezi University, North Street No. 4, Shihezi, Xinjiang 832003, China. E-mail: qj710625@163.com

<sup>+</sup>These authors contribute equally to this work.

© 2019 The Korean Society of Veterinary Science

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Yehui Wu D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0090-7035 Jing Li D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4413-8197 Mengfan Qiao D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5432-0988 Dan Meng D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0817-4948

# Characteristic profiles of biofilm, enterotoxins and virulence of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang Province, China

Yehui Wu (b<sup>1,+</sup>, Jing Li (b<sup>1,+</sup>, Mengfan Qiao (b<sup>1,2,+</sup>, Dan Meng (b<sup>1</sup>, Qingling Meng (b<sup>1</sup>, Jun Qiao (b<sup>1,+</sup>, Xingxing Zhang (b<sup>1</sup>, Lixia Wang (b<sup>1</sup>, Kuojun Cai (b<sup>3</sup>, Jinsheng Zhang (b<sup>4</sup>, Zaichao Zhang (b<sup>5</sup>, Weiwei Yu (b<sup>6</sup>, Xuepeng Cai (b<sup>7</sup>)

<sup>1</sup>College of Animal Science and Technology, Shihezi University, Shihezi, Xinjiang 832003, China
 <sup>2</sup>School of biotechnology, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410012, China
 <sup>3</sup>Center for Animal Disease Prevention and Control, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830000, China
 <sup>4</sup>Center for Animal Disease Prevention and Control, Tacheng, Xinjiang 834700, China
 <sup>5</sup>Center for Animal Disease Prevention and Control, Changji, Xinjiang 831100, China
 <sup>6</sup>Center for Animal Disease Prevention and Control, Korla, Xinjiang 841000, China
 <sup>7</sup>State Key Lab of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, Gansu 730046, China

# ABSTRACT

As an important zoonotic pathogen, Staphylococcus aureus has led to serious mastitis and endometritis in infected dairy cows. In this study, a total of 164 strains of S. aureus were isolated from dairy cows in Xinjiang Province, China, and subjected to assays to determine drug susceptibility and biofilm (BF) formation ability. Enterotoxin-related genes were detected, and the transcription levels of genes related to BF formation were determined by using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Moreover, the pathogenicity of isolates with different BF formation abilities was determined by measuring their hemolysis activity, half lethal dose ( $LD_{50}$ ) and organ bacterial load. The results showed that 86.0% of S. aureus isolates could form BF. Among them, 42.1% of the strains had weak BF formation ability, and most strains with a strong BF formation ability were *ica* gene carriers. The S. aureus isolates displayed multidrug resistance and their drug resistance was positively correlated with their BF formation ability. Moreover, 96.3% of the S. aureus isolates carried enterotoxin genes. Among them, the detection rates of the novel enterotoxin genes were higher than those of conventional enterotoxin genes. Furthermore, isolates with a strong BF formation ability had higher LD<sub>50</sub> but lower hemolysis ability and organ bacterial load than those of the isolates with weak or no BF ability. However, isolates without BF ability produced more severe pathological changes than those of isolates with strong BF formation ability. These findings suggest that higher BF ability and presence of novel enterotoxin genes are important characteristics of S. aureus isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang Province, China, and such isolates may pose potential threats to food safety.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus; biofilm; antibiotic resistance; enterotoxin; virulence



Qingling Meng 🕩

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1190-0114 Jun Qiao 匝 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5210-4379 Xingxing Zhang 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8085-154X Lixia Wang 问 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8597-5998 Kuojun Cai 匝 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1927-2677 Jinsheng Zhang 匝 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8311-2678 Zaichao Zhang 🝺 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8985-8094 Weiwei Yu 匝 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2050-5017 Xuepeng Cai 匝 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5725-1968

#### Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program (No. 2016YFD0501005), the Young and Middle-aged Leading Science and Technology Innovation Talents Plan of Xinjiang Corps (No. 2016BC001), and the Cooperation Project of Urumqi-Chongqing in Science and Technology (No. Y161220001).

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Wu Y, Li J; Data curation: Qiao M, Meng D; Formal analysis: Meng Q, Qiao J, Zhang X, Wang L; Funding acquisition: Qiao J, Cai K; Investigation: Wu Y, Zhang J; Methodology: Li J, Cai X; Project administration: Qiao J; Resources: Qiao J, Zhang Z, Yu W; Software: Wu Y, Li J; Supervision: Qiao J, Meng Q; Validation: Qiao M, Wang L; Visualization: Wu Y, Qiao J; Writing - original draft: Wu Y, Qiao J; Writing - review & editing: Wu Y, Li J, Qiao J.

## INTRODUCTION

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an important zoonotic pathogen that can cause various diseases including bovine mastitis and endometritis in veterinary clinics, which seriously endangers the sound development of dairy cow farming [1,2]. Once successfully infecting the mammary gland, *S. aureus* will rapidly propagate, release a variety of toxins and extracellular enzymes, and form a biofilm, leading to increased resistance to antibiotics [3,4]. In recent years, the emergence of methicillin- and vancomycin-resistant strains, and especially their persistent propagation across animals and humans have seriously threatened public health [5]. Therefore, bovine mastitis and endometritis caused by *S. aureus* have become the most costly and hardest to prevent and control diseases in veterinary clinics.

As an important foodborne bacterium, *S. aureus* can release a variety of virulence factors including staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs), hemolysin, and toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (TSST-1) during propagation [6,7]. As a result, *S. aureus* and SEs contained in bovine milk are important factors causing food poisoning in human populations. Poisoning cases due to drinking bovine milk account for as high as 33%–50% of total food poisonings caused by bacteria and about 95% of staphylococcal food poisoning outbreaks are caused by the conventional SE genes *sea* to *see* [8-10].

Xinjiang is one of China's most important milk-producing areas, with milk production in that region ranking as number one in western China. However, mastitis and endometritis in dairy cows have not only led to serious economic losses in dairy industry but also posed a great threat to food safety. Due to long-term use or abuse of antibiotics in dairy farms, drug-resistant strains have noticeably emerged in recent years. However, the phenotypic and molecular characteristics of *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang have not been completely described. The main purpose of the present study was to characterize the biofilm, antibiotics resistance, enterotoxin, and virulence profiles of *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang Province, China. Such information can provide deep insights into the biological characteristics of the endemic strains of this foodborne bacterium and contribute to food safety control.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Isolation and identification of S. aureus

A total of 386 clinical samples were collected during 2015 and 2018 from bovine milk produced from 15 large-scale dairy farms in Xinjiang, China. Among them, 276 samples were collected from cows with mastitis and 110 from cows with endometritis. The collected samples were inoculated on Baird-Parker medium (Sigma, USA) at 37°C for 12–24 h. Then, suspected bacterial colonies with typical staphylococci characteristics were stained using the Gram method in order to inspect bacterial morphology. Colonies characterized as Gram-positive cocci were further subjected to catalase and coagulase testing. After DNA was extracted from the Gram-positive colonies of bacteria, the *16S rRNA* gene was specifically amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for molecular level-based identification of the isolate [11].



## **Biofilm formation ability assay**

The biofilm formation ability of the *S. aureus* isolates was semi-quantitatively determined using a microtiter plate-based adhesion (MPA) assay and the crystal violet staining method. According to their biofilm formation ability, the isolates were classified into four categories: 1) no biofilm formation ability ( $OD \le OD_c$ ,  $BF^-$ ); 2) weak biofilm formation ( $OD_c < OD \le 2 \times OD_c$ ,  $BF^+$ ); 3) medium biofilm formation ( $2 \times OD_c < OD \le 4 \times OD_c$ ,  $BF^{2+}$ ); and 4) strong biofilm formation ( $4 \times OD_c < OD, BF^{3+}$ ) [12,13].

#### Detection of biofilm formation-related genes and their transcription levels

The *S. aureus* isolates were inoculated into BHI medium (Sangon, China) and cultured overnight at 37°C. Genomic DNA was extracted using commercial kits (Qiagen, Germany) and used as templates for PCR amplification of genes *clfA*, *clfB*, *fnbA*, *fnbB*, *fib*, *can*, *icaA*, *icaC*, *icaD*, and other biofilm formation-related genes. Primers used for PCR are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. In addition, the transcription levels of these genes were determined by using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR with the *16S rRNA* gene as the internal control. Briefly, total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, USA) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the PrimeScript<sup>™</sup> RT reagent kit (Takara, Japan). The obtained cDNA was used as a template in quantitative real-time PCR to analyze the transcription levels of genes related to biofilm formation using the FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (ROX) kit (Roche, Switzerland). Each sample was measured in triplicate and data were calculated using the modified 2<sup>-ΔΔCt</sup> method [14].

#### **Detection of enterotoxin genes**

*S. aureus* genomic DNA was extracted using a bacterial genomic DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany). Primers specific to the enterotoxin *sea–see* and *seg–seo* genes were designed and used in PCR to detect the *sea–see* and *seg–seo* genes (**Supplementary Table 2**) [15].

#### **Drug susceptibility test**

Briefly, the drug susceptibility of the *S. aureus* isolates to penicillin (10 U), oxacillin (1  $\mu$ g), cefoxitin (30  $\mu$ g), erythromycin (15  $\mu$ g), clindamycin (2  $\mu$ g), trimethoprim (5  $\mu$ g), ciprofloxacin (5  $\mu$ g), levofloxacin (5  $\mu$ g), tetracycline (30  $\mu$ g), chloramphenicol (30  $\mu$ g), gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g), rifampicin (5  $\mu$ g), teicoplanin (30  $\mu$ g), nitrofurantoin (300  $\mu$ g), and fosfomycin (200  $\mu$ g) (Sigma) were measured using K-B method and following the procedure described by the American Clinical Laboratory Standardization Institute (CLSI, 2015) [10,16].

#### **Determination of hemolytic activity**

In brief, *S. aureus* isolates with different biofilm formation abilities were cultured overnight in tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Sangon, China) and diluted  $10^9$  times. A total of  $100 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the diluted cultures were coated onto agar plates prepared using  $100 \,\text{mL}$  TSB supplemented with 5 mL fresh sheep serum and 2 g agar and then incubated at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 24 h. The diameters of the hemolytic rings formed by each isolate were measured and the average diameter was used to evaluate the hemolytic activity of different *S. aureus* isolates [4].

### Determination of half lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>)

A total of 8 *S. aureus* isolates with different biofilm formation abilities were prepared as  $10^5$ /mL,  $10^6$ /mL,  $10^7$ /mL,  $10^8$ /mL, and  $10^9$ /mL suspensions of each isolate. Two hundred Kunming mice weighing 18–22 g were randomly assigned to 8 groups with 25 mice in each group. The five different suspensions of each isolate were injected intraperitoneally into 5 groups of mice with 5 repeats per suspension. The survival rate of mice in each group was observed and the



 $LD_{50}$  of each isolate to Kunming mice was calculated using the modified Karber's method as reported previously [17,18].

#### Bacterial load and histopathological analysis

Briefly, the mice were infected with a BF<sup>-</sup> *S. aureus* isolate, a BF<sup>3+</sup> *S. aureus* isolate, or sterile PBS (control group). After infection, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, and small intestine samples were taken from each mouse under aseptic conditions. A small piece of the individual organs of mice in the same group was placed in a 1.5 mL sterile Eppendorf tube for measuring the organ bacterial load. The remaining organ blocks were fixed with 4% formaldehyde solution, prepared as 4  $\mu$ m thick sections, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and observed under a microscope to visualize histopathological changes [17].

#### Data analyses

All data were analyzed using SPSS (version 21.0, IBM, USA) statistical software. Differences between groups were compared by using one-way analysis of variance. Values of p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 were considered significant and extremely significant differences, respectively.

### **Ethical approval**

Ethical approval for this study was given by the Research and Ethical Committee of the Shihezi University.

## RESULTS

#### Assay of biofilm formation ability of S. aureus isolates

A total of 164 *S. aureus* isolates were obtained from 386 bovine samples. Most of the isolates formed a biofilm in 96-well plates (**Fig. 1A**). The results of the MPA assays confirmed that 23 (14.0%) of the isolates did not form a biofilm (BF<sup>-</sup>), while 141 (86.0%) could form a biofilm (BF-positive). Of the 141 BF-positive isolates, 69 (42.1%) were BF<sup>+</sup>, 38 (23.2%) were BF<sup>2+</sup>, and 34 (20.7%) were BF<sup>3+</sup> (**Fig. 1B and C**).

### Measurement of genes related to biofilm formation

Among the BF-positive *S. aureus* isolates, the detection rate of the *icaD* gene was the highest (100%), and those of *clfB* and *fib* were the lowest (58.8%). By comparison, among the BF<sup>-</sup> isolates, the detection rate of the *icaD* gene was the highest (100%), and those of *clfB* and *icaC* were the lowest (65.2%) (**Fig. 2A**). Overall, the detection rates for *icaA* and *icaD* were higher than that of the other biofilm formation-related genes; whereas, the detection rates for the *clfB*, *fnbA*, and *fib* genes were highest in BF<sup>-</sup> isolates (**Fig. 2B** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**).

#### Transcription levels of biofilm formation-related genes in S. aureus isolates

The transcription levels of 9 genes related to biofilm formation were significantly different between the BF-negative and BF-positive isolates (p < 0.05). Among them, the transcription levels of 6 genes (*fnbA*, *fnbB*, *cna*, *fib*, *icaA*, and *icaC*) were significantly higher in the BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates than those in the other isolates (p < 0.05) (**Fig. 2B and C**).

#### Detection of enterotoxin genes in S. aureus isolates

The detection rate for all enterotoxin genes (*sea–seo*) in the 164 *S. aureus* isolates was 96.3%. Among them, the detection rate of the novel enterotoxin gene *seg* was the highest (81.1%). The detection rate of the conventional enterotoxin genes (*sea–see*) in the 164 *S. aureus* isolates





**Fig. 1.** Determination of BF formation ability of *S. aureus* isolates. (A) Straining of BF in 96-well microtiter plates; (B) determination of OD<sub>600nm</sub> of BF in *S. aureus* isolates with different BF formation ability; (C) Number of isolates with different BF formation ability. BF, biofilm; OD, optical density; *S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus.* 

was 62.8%, of which, that of the *see* gene was the highest (57.3%). In addition, the detection rate of the enterotoxin genes was not correlated with the biofilm formation ability of the *S. aureus* isolates (**Fig. 3** and **Supplementary Fig. 2**).

Journal of Veterinary Science

#### Determination of drug susceptibility of S. aureus isolates

Of 164 isolates of *S. aureus*, most isolates (81.7%) were resistant to penicillin, followed by trimethoprim (69.5%), cefoxitin (52.4%) and clindamycin (51.2%). In contrast, these isolates had low drug resistance to fosfomycin (6.1%), teicoplanin (10.4%), nitrofurantoin (14.6%), and rifampicin (26.8%). Furthermore, BF-positive isolates were resistant to cefoxitin (56.7%), erythromycin (48.2%), clindamycin (52.4%), chloramphenicol (31.2%), gentamicin (32.6%), rifampin (27.6%), and fosfomycin (7.1%), and those resistance levels were significantly higher than those of BF-negative isolates (26.1%, 34.7%, 43.4%, 26.1%, 26.1%, 21.7% and 0%, respectively) (p < 0.05) (**Fig. 4**).

## Assay of hemolytic activity of the S. aureus isolates

All BF<sup>-</sup>, BF<sup>+</sup>, and BF<sup>2+</sup> isolates produced obvious hemolytic rings. The BF<sup>-</sup> strains had the largest average hemolytic ring diameter (up to 13.2 mm), while the BF<sup>3+</sup> strains had the smallest average hemolytic ring diameter of (up to) 1.6 mm. Moreover, isolates with a higher biofilm formation ability had weaker hemolytic activity (**Fig. 5**).

#### LD<sub>50</sub> of S. aureus isolates with different biofilm formation abilities

Among the four groups of *S. aureus* isolates with different biofilm formation abilities (BF<sup>-</sup>: XJC-45 and XJC-91; BF<sup>+</sup>: XJC-A2 and XJC-73; BF<sup>2+</sup>: XJC-A1 and XJC-90; BF<sup>3+</sup>: XJC-3 and XJC-49), the LD<sub>50</sub> levels differed significantly between the BF<sup>3+</sup> and BF<sup>2+</sup> isolates, as well as between the BF<sup>+</sup> and BF<sup>-</sup> isolates (p < 0.05). The LD<sub>50</sub> values of BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates were markedly high, indicating they were less pathogenic to Kunming mice (**Fig. 6**).







Fig. 2. Determination of BF formation-related genes and their transcriptional levels in *S. aureus* isolates with different BF forming ability. (A) BF formationrelated genes in BF-positive isolates of *S. aureus*; (B) Comparison of detection rate of BF formation-related genes in BF-positive isolates of *S. aureus*; (C) Relative transcriptional levels of BF formation-related genes. BF, biofilm; *S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus*.

# Histopathological changes in mice infected by *S. aureus* with different BF formation abilities

Pathological changes in mice infected by BF<sup>-</sup> isolates were more severe than those in mice infected by BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). The histopathological changes in liver sections revealed granulation and vesicle degeneration in hepatocytes, blurry boundaries of hepatic lobules, and dilated central veins. The histopathological changes in spleen included unclear boundaries between the red pulp and white pulp, red blood cell exudation in the red pulp, and spleen body atrophy. Pulmonary interstitial edema and hemorrhage, plasma cells, and lymphocyte infiltration were observed in lung tissue. The histopathological change in kidneys included renal interstitial vasodilatation and renal tubular epithelial cell degeneration (**Fig. 7**).









**Fig. 4.** Profiles of susceptibility to 15 antimicrobial agents of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates with different BF formation ability. BF, biofilm.

# Bacterial load of mice infected by *S. aureus* isolates with different biofilm formation abilities

The invasiveness of BF<sup>-</sup> isolates to liver, spleen, lungs, kidneys, and small intestine of mice was stronger than that of BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates (p < 0.05). The bacterial load was the highest in kidneys (range,  $3.73 \pm 2.36$  to  $3.50 \pm 1.84$ ) and the lowest in liver (range,  $1.15 \pm 0.21$  to  $0.99 \pm 0.23$ ) (**Table 1**).

#### Profiles of biofilm, enterotoxins and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus





Fig. 5. Determination of the hemolytic activity of S. aureus isolates with different BF formation abilities cultured on SBA. (A) Hemolysis of S. aureus on SBA; (B) Diameter of erythrocyte hemolysis ring.

BF, biofilm; SBA, sheep blood agar; S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus.

\* indicates *p* < 0.05, \*\*\* indicates *p* < 0.001.



S. aureus strains

**Fig. 6.** Mortality and LD<sub>50</sub> of mice with different BF formation ability infected with *S. aureus* strains. (A) LD<sub>50</sub> of *S. aureus* isolates in Kunming mice; (B) Survival curves of mice infected *via* intraperitoneal injection with BF<sup>-</sup> and BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates. BF, biofilm; LD<sub>50</sub>, half lethal dose; *S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus.* 

## **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we characterized the biofilm, antimicrobial resistance, enterotoxin, and virulence profiles of *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows with clinical mastitis and endometritis. We obtained 164 *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang, China. Among them, 86.0% could form a biofilm, which was higher than those of *S. aureus* in other studies (58.0% to 69.8%) [16,19,20], indicating that *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows commonly possess biofilm formation ability and are mainly BF-positive.

Many studies have demonstrated that *S. aureus* can attach to biotic or abiotic surfaces to form a special structure, biofilm, which is an aggregated community of cells and is involved in adaptation to stressful environments [14,21-24]. However, this structure can make *S. aureus* resistant to antibiotics or allow it to escape the host's immune system *via* restricting antibiotic penetration, limiting nutrients, and forming special phenotypes [21,25,26].





Fig. 7. Histopathological changes in lungs, spleen, liver, and kidney tissues of mice infected by *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates with different BF formation ability. BF, biofilm.

Table 1. Comparison of bacteria	l loads in various organs betwee	en mice infected by BF <sup>3+</sup>	and BF <sup>-</sup> isolates	s of Staphylococcus aureus

Lungs         46.51 ± 1.71         1.67 ± 0.23         58.33 ± 3.11         1.76 ± 0.49         9.80 ± 1.12         0.99 ± 0.05         9.35 ± 0.16         0.97 ± 0.78           Kidneys         5,420.05 ± 229.95         3.73 ± 2.36         3,412.97 ± 1,214.68         3.53 ± 3.08         3,184.71 ± 68.79         3.50 ± 1.84         3,344.48 ± 72.24         3.52 ± 1.85				0	2				
Bacterial load (n/mg)         Logarithm         Bacterial load (n/mg)         Loga	Organ	Negative biofilm producer (BF <sup>-</sup> isolate)			Strong biofilm producer (BF <sup>3+</sup> isolate)				
(n/mg)         (n/mg)         (n/mg)         (n/mg)         (n/mg)           Liver         12.57 ± 1.49         1.10 ± 0.17         14.20 ± 0.61         1.15 ± 0.21         9.88 ± 0.58         0.99 ± 0.23         11.82 ± 0.48         1.07 ± 0.31           Spleen         1,411.04 ± 72.76         3.15 ± 1.86         152.67 ± 7.80         2.18 ± 0.89         71.43 ± 3,81         1.85 ± 0.58         101.53 ± 2.17         2.01 ± 0.34           Lungs         46.51 ± 1.71         1.67 ± 0.23         58.33 ± 3.11         1.76 ± 0.49         9.80 ± 1.12         0.99 ± 0.05         9.35 ± 0.16         0.97 ± 0.78           Kidneys         5,420.05 ± 229.95         3.73 ± 2.36         3,412.97 ± 1,214.68         3.53 ± 3.08         3,184.71 ± 68.79         3.50 ± 1.84         3,344.48 ± 72.24         3.52 ± 1.85		XJC-45		XJC-91		XJC-3		XJC-49	
Spleen         1,411.04 ± 72.76         3.15 ± 1.86         152.67 ± 7.80         2.18 ± 0.89         71.43 ± 3,81         1.85 ± 0.58         101.53 ± 2.17         2.01 ± 0.34           Lungs         46.51 ± 1.71         1.67 ± 0.23         58.33 ± 3.11         1.76 ± 0.49         9.80 ± 1.12         0.99 ± 0.05         9.35 ± 0.16         0.97 ± 0.78           Kidneys         5,420.05 ± 229.95         3.73 ± 2.36         3,412.97 ± 1,214.68         3.53 ± 3.08         3,184.71 ± 68.79         3.50 ± 1.84         3,344.48 ± 72.24         3.52 ± 1.85			Logarithm		Logarithm		Logarithm		Logarithm
Lungs         46.51 ± 1.71         1.67 ± 0.23         58.33 ± 3.11         1.76 ± 0.49         9.80 ± 1.12         0.99 ± 0.05         9.35 ± 0.16         0.97 ± 0.78           Kidneys         5,420.05 ± 229.95         3.73 ± 2.36         3,412.97 ± 1,214.68         3.53 ± 3.08         3,184.71 ± 68.79         3.50 ± 1.84         3,344.48 ± 72.24         3.52 ± 1.85	Liver	$12.57 \pm 1.49$	1.10 ± 0.17	$14.20 \pm 0.61$	$1.15 \pm 0.21$	$9.88 \pm 0.58$	$0.99 \pm 0.23$	11.82 ± 0.48	$1.07 \pm 0.31$
Kidneys         5,420.05 ± 229.95         3.73 ± 2.36         3,412.97 ± 1,214.68         3.53 ± 3.08         3,184.71 ± 68.79         3.50 ± 1.84         3,344.48 ± 72.24         3.52 ± 1.85	Spleen	1,411.04 ± 72.76	$3.15 \pm 1.86$	152.67 ± 7.80	$2.18 \pm 0.89$	71.43 ± 3,81	$1.85 \pm 0.58$	101.53 ± 2.17	$2.01 \pm 0.34$
	Lungs	46.51 ± 1.71	$1.67 \pm 0.23$	58.33 ± 3.11	$1.76 \pm 0.49$	9.80 ± 1.12	$0.99 \pm 0.05$	9.35 ± 0.16	$0.97 \pm 0.78$
Small intestine         10.17 ± 0.47         1.01 ± 0.31         172.16 ± 15.54         2.23 ± 1.19         9.30 ± 0.16         0.97 ± 0.78         10.07 ± 0.23         1.00 ± 0.63	Kidneys	5,420.05 ± 229.95	$3.73 \pm 2.36$	3,412.97 ± 1,214.68	$3.53 \pm 3.08$	3,184.71 ± 68.79	3.50 ± 1.84	3,344.48 ± 72.24	$3.52 \pm 1.85$
	Small intestine	$10.17 \pm 0.47$	1.01 ± 0.31	172.16 ± 15.54	$2.23 \pm 1.19$	9.30 ± 0.16	$0.97 \pm 0.78$	10.07 ± 0.23	1.00 ± 0.63

BF, biofilm.

The examination of biofilm formation ability showed that BF-positive strains were the predominant strains in dairy cows in Xinjiang, China, which may be related to the irrational use of antibiotics in dairy farms.

Previous studies have shown that several genes are involved in the biofilm formation process [14,17,22,23,27-30]. PCR analyses in this study showed that 9 genes related to biofilm formation were detected in most isolates, and each isolate contained at least 4 genes



related to biofilm formation; moreover, the number of genes detected was related to the biofilm formation ability of the isolate. Among the 9 genes related to biofilm formation, 6 genes encoding microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMM) had high detection rates (range, 61.5% to 82.9%). Moreover, the detection rates of the *clfB*, *fnbA*, and *fibB* genes were the highest in the BF<sup>-</sup> isolates, and relatively low in the BF<sup>2+</sup> and BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates. In addition, the transcription levels of the *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes were significantly high in BF<sup>3+</sup> isolates, which was consistent with results reported in previous studies [31,32], further confirming that *fnbA* and *fnbB* have important roles in biofilm formation in *S. aureus* strains.

Compared to bacteria in the planktonic state, bacteria in the biofilm state are significantly more resistant to antibiotics. Herein, we showed that BF-positive isolates had significantly greater resistance to erythromycin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, rifampicin, and fosfomycin than BF-negative isolates, and they appeared to be multidrug resistant, suggesting that biofilm formation ability of *S. aureus* is closely related to its drug resistance.

SEs are exogenous superantigens produced by *S. aureus* that can activate host systems to produce large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which can result in fever, hypotension, and shock [15]. Therefore, they are key substances to be monitored in food production. Nazari et al. suggested that the distribution of SE genes in *S. aureus* isolates from different regions have obvious regional characteristics [11]. It is notable that the detection rate among all enterotoxin genes (*sea–seo*) was 96.3% in this study. Furthermore, of the examined genes encoding SEs, detection rates of the novel enterotoxin genes *seg, sej*, and *sek* were higher than those of the conventional ones and were obviously different from those reported by Wang et al. [33]. Accordingly, the high level of presence of novel enterotoxin genes is deemed an important molecular characteristic of the *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang. Therefore, surveillance of novel enterotoxins genes has the same importance as biofilm monitoring. Nevertheless, the pathogenicity and epidemiological risks associated with those isolates carrying novel enterotoxin genes need to be further explored.

Under stress, *S. aureus* can form a biofilm to resist the hosts' immune responses and can re-infect the host in the planktonic state under favorable conditions, producing a persistent *S. aureus* infection [34-36]. Therefore, exploring the intrinsic correlation between biofilm formation ability and pathogenicity in *S. aureus* strains is significantly important. Herein, we compared the  $LD_{50}$  and hemolytic activity of 8 *S. aureus* isolates with different virulence and biofilm formation ability and determined that isolates with a higher biofilm formation ability had weaker virulence, confirming the biofilm and virulence diversity character of *S. aureus* [37]. This phenomenon is possibly related to the influence of its ability to form biofilm on the release of virulence factors during the planktonic state [38-40].

In summary, we, for the first time, have characterized the biofilm, enterotoxin, and virulence profiles of *S. aureus* isolates from dairy cows in Xinjiang, China. The present study demonstrated that BF-positive isolates were predominant; moreover, the BF-positive isolates had higher resistance but lower virulence than that of the BF-negative isolates. Considering that *S. aureus* is an important foodborne bacterium, which can be transmitted between dairy cows and humans, the high frequency of BF ability and the presence of novel enterotoxin genes in Holstein dairy cows in Xinjiang large-scale dairy farms may pose potential threats to food safety.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the field staff who provided the samples for this study.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

## **Supplementary Table 1**

Primers used for the detection of biofilm formation-related genes in Staphylococcus aureus isolates

**Click here to view** 

#### Supplementary Table 1

Primers used for the detection of enterotoxin genes in Staphylococcus aureus isolates

Click here to view

## Supplementary Fig. 1

Detection of biofilm formation-related genes carried by *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from dairy cows. M, Standard DNA marker I; 1, *clfA* gene (104 bp); 2, *clfB* gene (194 bp); 3, *nbA* gene (133 bp); 4, *fnbB* gene (197 bp); 5, *cna* gene (155 bp); 6, *fib* gene (239 bp); 7, *icaA* gene (178 bp); 8, *icaD* gene (164 bp); 9, *icaC* gene (209 bp).

**Click here to view** 

## Supplementary Fig. 2

Detection of enterotoxin genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from dairy cows. (A) M, DNA marker 2000; 1, *sea* gene (101 bp); 2, *seb* gene (197 bp); 3, *sec* gene (170 bp); 4, *sed* gene (128 bp); 5, *see* gene (244 bp). (B) M, DNA marker 2000; 1, *seg* gene (287 bp); 2, *seb* gene (213 bp); 3, *sei* gene (454 bp); 4, *sej* gene (152 bp); 5, *sek* gene (293 bp); 6, *sel* gene (383 bp); 7, *sem* gene (379 bp); 8, *sen* gene (282 bp); 9, *seo* gene(214 bp).

**Click here to view** 

#### Supplementary Fig. 3

Pathological changes in mice inoculated with BF<sup>3+</sup> or BF<sup>-</sup> isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* or with PBS (control group), left to right, respectively. (A) Kidney, (B) Lung, (C) Liver, (D) Spleen.

**Click here to view** 

# REFERENCES

- Li T, Lu H, Wang X, Gao Q, Dai Y, Shang J, Li M. Molecular characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* causing bovine mastitis between 2014 and 2015. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:127.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Pereira UP, Oliveira DG, Mesquita LR, Costa GM, Pereira LJ. Efficacy of Staphylococcus aureus vaccines for bovine mastitis: a systematic review. Vet Microbiol 2011;148:117-124.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Joshi S, Mumtaz S, Singh J, Pasha S, Mukhopadhyay K. Novel miniature membrane active lipopeptidomimetics against planktonic and biofilm embedded methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Sci Rep 2018;8:1021.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kong C, Chee CF, Richter K, Thomas N, Abd Rahman N, Nathan S. Suppression of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation and virulence by a benzimidazole derivative, UM-C162. Sci Rep 2018;8:2758.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Li J, Feßler AT, Jiang N, Fan R, Wang Y, Wu C, Shen J, Schwarz S. Molecular basis of rifampicin resistance in multiresistant porcine livestock-associated MRSA. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016;71:3313-3315.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Oliveira D, Borges A, Simões M. Staphylococcus aureus toxins and their molecular activity in infectious diseases. Toxins (Basel) 2018;10:252.
   PUBMED I CROSSREF
- 7. Wang W, Baloch Z, Jiang T, Zhang C, Peng Z, Li F, Fanning S, Ma A, Xu J. Enterotoxigenicity and antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from retail food in China. Front Microbiol 2017;8:2256.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Kadariya J, Smith TC, Thapaliya D. Staphylococcus aureus and staphylococcal food-borne disease: an ongoing challenge in public health. BioMed Res Int 2014;2014:827965.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Wu S, Duan N, Gu H, Hao L, Ye H, Gong W, Wang Z. A review of the methods for detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins. Toxins (Basel) 2016;8:176.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Wu S, Huang J, Wu Q, Zhang F, Zhang J, Lei T, Chen M, Ding Y, Xue L. Prevalence and characterization of Staphylococcus aureus isolavitroted from retail vegetables in China. Front Microbiol 2018;9:1263.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Nazari R, Godarzi H, Rahimi Baghi F, Moeinrad M. Enterotoxin gene profiles among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw milk. Majallah-i Tahqiqat-i Dampizishki-i Iran 2014;15:409-412.
- Atshan SS, Shamsudin MN, Lung LT, Sekawi Z, Ghaznavi-Rad E, Pei CP. Comparative characterisation of genotypically different clones of MRSA in the production of biofilms. J Biomed Biotechnol 2012;2012:417247.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Stepanović S, Vuković D, Hola V, Di Bonaventura G, Djukić S, Cirković I, Ruzicka F. Quantification of biofilm in microtiter plates: overview of testing conditions and practical recommendations for assessment of biofilm production by *staphylococci*. APMIS 2007;115:891-899.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Atshan SS, Shamsudin MN, Karunanidhi A, van Belkum A, Lung LT, Sekawi Z, Nathan JJ, Ling KH, Seng JS, Ali AM, Abduljaleel SA, Hamat RA. Quantitative PCR analysis of genes expressed during biofilm development of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Infect Genet Evol 2013;18:106-112.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Corredor Arias LF, Luligo Espinal JS, Moncayo Ortiz JI, Santacruz Ibarra JJ, Álvarez Aldana A. Relationship between super antigenicity, antimicrobial resistance and origin of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated. Colomb Med (Cali) 2016;47:15-20.
   PUBMED
- Neopane P, Nepal HP, Shrestha R, Uehara O, Abiko Y. *In vitro* biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from wounds of hospital-admitted patients and their association with antimicrobial resistance. Int J Gen Med 2018;11:25-32.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Gogoi-Tiwari J, Williams V, Waryah CB, Costantino P, Al-Salami H, Mathavan S, Wells K, Tiwari HK, Hegde N, Isloor S, Al-Sallami H, Mukkur T. Mammary gland pathology subsequent to acute infection with strong versus weak biofilm forming *Staphylococcus aureus* bovine mastitis isolates: a pilot study using non-invasive mouse mastitis model. PLoS One 2017;12:e0170668.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Gogoi-Tiwari J, Williams V, Waryah CB, Eto KY, Tau M, Costantino P, Tiwari HK, Mukkur T. Comparative studies of the immunogenicity and protective potential of biofilm vs planktonic *Staphylococcus aureus* vaccine against bovine mastitis using non-invasive mouse mastitis as a model system. Biofouling 2015;31:543-554.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Namvar AE, Asghari B, Ezzatifar F, Azizi G, Lari AR. Detection of the intercellular adhesion gene cluster (ica) in clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. GMS Hyg Infect Control 2013;8:Doc03.
   PUBMED
- Shin K, Yun Y, Yi S, Lee HG, Cho JC, Suh KD, Lee J, Park J. Biofilm-forming ability of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from human skin. J Dermatol Sci 2013;71:130-137.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 21. Bjarnsholt T. The role of bacterial biofilms in chronic infections. APMIS Suppl 2013;121:1-51.
- Götz F. Staphylococcus and biofilms. Mol Microbiol 2002;43:1367-1378.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Laverty G, Gorman SP, Gilmore BF. Biomolecular mechanisms of *staphylococcal* biofilm formation. Future Microbiol 2013;8:509-524.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 24. Scaletsky IC, Souza TB, Aranda KR, Okeke IN. Genetic elements associated with antimicrobial resistance in enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) from Brazil. BMC Microbiol 2010;10:25.
- Howlin RP, Brayford MJ, Webb JS, Cooper JJ, Aiken SS, Stoodley P. Antibiotic-loaded synthetic calcium sulfate beads for prevention of bacterial colonization and biofilm formation in periprosthetic infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:111-120.
- Moormeier DE, Bose JL, Horswill AR, Bayles KW. Temporal and stochastic control of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm development. MBio 2014;5:e01341-e14.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- O'Gara JP. Ica and beyond: biofilm mechanisms and regulation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2007;270:179-188.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Otto M. *Staphylococcal* infections: mechanisms of biofilm maturation and detachment as critical determinants of pathogenicity. Annu Rev Med 2013;64:175-188.
   PUBMED I CROSSREF
- 29. Vandecasteele SJ, Peetermans WE, R Merckx R, Rijnders BJ, Van Eldere J. Reliability of the ica, aap and atlE genes in the discrimination between invasive, colonizing and contaminant Staphylococcus epidermidis isolates in the diagnosis of catheter-related infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 2003;9:114-119. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Waryah CB, Gogoi-Tiwari J, Wells K, Eto KY, Masoumi E, Costantino P, Kotiw M, Mukkur T. Diversity of virulence factors associated with west Australian methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates of human origin. BioMed Res Int 2016;2016:8651918.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- O'Neill E, Pozzi C, Houston P, Smyth D, Humphreys H, Robinson DA, O'Gara JP. Association between methicillin susceptibility and biofilm regulation in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from device-related infections. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:1379-1388.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 32. O'Neill E, Humphreys H, O'Gara JP. Carriage of both the fnbA and fnbB genes and growth at 37 degrees C promote FnBP-mediated biofilm development in meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolates. J Med Microbiol 2009;58:399-402.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 33. Wang D, Zhang L, Yong C, Shen M, Ali T, Shahid M, Han K, Zhou X, Han B. Relationships among superantigen toxin gene profiles, genotypes, and pathogenic characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis. J Dairy Sci 2017;100:4276-4286. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Jain A, Agarwal A. Biofilm production, a marker of pathogenic potential of colonizing and commensal *staphylococci*. J Microbiol Methods 2009;76:88-92.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 35. Kosikowska U, Korona-Głowniak I, Niedzielski A, Malm A. Nasopharyngeal and adenoid colonization by *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* in children undergoing adenoidectomy and the ability of bacterial isolates to biofilm production. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e799.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 36. Wood TK. Biofilm dispersal: deciding when it is better to travel. Mol Microbiol 2014;94:747-750. PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Huseby MJ, Kruse AC, Digre J, Kohler PL, Vocke JA, Mann EE, Bayles KW, Bohach GA, Schlievert PM, Ohlendorf DH, Earhart CA. Beta toxin catalyzes formation of nucleoprotein matrix in *staphylococcal* biofilms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:14407-14412.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Li Y, Petrova OE, Su S, Lau GW, Panmanee W, Na R, Hassett DJ, Davies DG, Sauer K. BdlA, DipA and induced dispersion contribute to acute virulence and chronic persistence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. PLoS Pathog 2014;10:e1004168.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Morgan R, Kohn S, Hwang SH, Hassett DJ, Sauer K. BdlA, a chemotaxis regulator essential for biofilm dispersion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 2006;188:7335-7343.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 40. Perez-Soto N, Moule L, Crisan DN, Insua I, Taylor-Smith LM, Voelz K, Fernandez-Trillo F, Krachler AM. Engineering microbial physiology with synthetic polymers: cationic polymers induce biofilm formation in *Vibrio cholerae* and downregulate the expression of virulence genes. Chem Sci (Camb) 2017;8:5291-5298. PUBMED | CROSSREF