

### G OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Bakshi M, Kim TK, Porter L, Mwangi W, Mulenga A (2019) *Amblyomma americanum* ticks utilizes countervailing pro and anti-inflammatory proteins to evade host defense. PLoS Pathog 15 (11): e1008128. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. ppat.1008128

**Editor:** Kevin R. Macaluso, University of South Alabama, UNITED STATES

Received: June 5, 2019

Accepted: October 5, 2019

Published: November 22, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Bakshi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data is available in public repository. The recombinant protein sequences have been deposited in the GenBank repository identified as AamIGFBP-rP6S (Accession number GU907778; DOI: 10.1186/ 1471-2164-15-518), AamIGFBP-rP6L (Accession no. GU907779; DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-518), AamIGFBP-rP1 (Accession no. GU907780; DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-518), AAS41 (Accession no. GAYW01000021; DOI: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2014. 08.002) and AAS27 (Accession no. **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## *Amblyomma americanum* ticks utilizes countervailing pro and anti-inflammatory proteins to evade host defense

Mariam Bakshi<sup>1\*</sup>, Tae Kwon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Lindsay Porter<sup>1</sup>, Waithaka Mwangi<sup>1,2</sup>, Albert Mulenga<sup>1\*</sup>

1 Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, TAMU, College Station, Texas, United States of America, 2 Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, United States of America

¤ Current address: Animal Parasitic Diseases Lab, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, Maryland, United States of America

\* amulenga@cvm.tamu.edu

### Abstract

Feeding and transmission of tick-borne disease (TBD) agents by ticks are facilitated by tick saliva proteins (TSP). Thus, defining functional roles of TSPs in tick evasion is expected to reveal potential targets in tick-antigen based vaccines to prevent TBD infections. This study describes two types of Amblyomma americanum TSPs: those that are similar to LPS activate macrophage (MΦ) to express pro-inflammation (PI) markers and another set that suppresses PI marker expression by activated MФ. We show that similar to LPS, three recombinant (r) A. americanum insulin-like growth factor binding-related proteins (rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6S, and rAamIGFBP-rP6L), hereafter designated as PIrTSPs, stimulated both PBMC -derived MΦ and mice RAW 267.4 MΦ to express PI co-stimulatory markers, CD40, CD80, and CD86 and cytokines, TNFα, IL-1, and IL-6. In contrast, two A. americanum tick saliva serine protease inhibitors (serpins), AAS27 and AAS41, hereafter designated as anti-inflammatory (AI) rTSPs, on their own did not affect MΦ function or suppress expression of PI markers, but enhanced expression of AI cytokines (IL-10 and TGFβ) in MΦ that were pre-activated by LPS or PI-rTSPs. Mice paw edema test demonstrated that in vitro validated PI- and AI-rTSPs are functional in vivo since injection of HEK293-expressed PI-rTSPs (individually or as a cocktail) induced edema comparable to carrageenan-induced edema and was characterized by upregulation of CD40, CD80, CD86, TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, and chemokines: CXCL1, CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, and CCL11, whereas the AI-rTSPs (individually and cocktail) were suppressive. We propose that the tick may utilize countervailing PI and AI TSPs to regulate evasion of host immune defenses whereby TSPs such as rAamIGFBP-rPs activate host immune cells and proteins such as AAS27 and AAS41 suppress the activated immune cells.

GAYW01000017; DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ttbdis.2014.08</u>. 002).

**Funding:** This research was supported by National Institutes of Health grants (Al0810893, Al093858, Al074789) to AM, startup funds from Texas A&M University AgriLife Research and Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine to AM. MB received Graduate student trainee grant from Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine. The open access publishing fees for this article have been partially covered by the Texas A&M University Open Access to Knowledge Fund (OAKFund), supported by the University Libraries and the Office of the Vice President for Research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### Author summary

Several studies have documented immuno-suppressive activities in whole tick saliva and salivary gland protein extracts. We have made contribution toward understanding the molecular basis of tick feeding, as we have described functions of defined tick saliva immuno-modulatory proteins. We have shown that *A. americanum* injects two groups of functionally opposed tick saliva proteins: those that could counter-intuitively be characterized as pro-host defense, and those that are expected to have anti-host immune defense functions. Based on our data, we propose that the tick evades host defense using countervailing pro- and anti- inflammatory proteins in which the pro-host defense tick saliva proteins stimulate host immune cells such as macrophages, and the anti-host defense tick saliva proteins using counter-tick saliva proteins suppress functions of the activated immune cells.

### Introduction

Ticks are among the most important ecto-parasites with global public and veterinary health impact. In terms of diversity of transmitted disease pathogens, ticks far outpace any known vector arthropod, and are considered second to mosquitoes in terms of impact of the transmitted disease pathogens. In the livestock industry, losses due to ticks and tick-borne diseases (TBD) are estimated to be worth millions of US dollars annually [1]. Globally, the impact of TBD in public health has been on the rise, with the food-for-thought article on "One Health" listing several TBDs among sources of human health concerns needing One Health solutions [2]. Similarly, of the 23 human vector-borne diseases (VBD) that were listed by the World Health Organization, seven are TBD agents: Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever, Lyme disease, relapsing fever (borreliosis), rickettsial diseases (spotted fever and Q fever), tick-borne encephalitis, and tularemia (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs387/en/). In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) listed 16 human TBD agents (http://www.cdc. gov/ticks/diseases), six of which were transmitted by *Ixodes scapularis* and four by Amblyomma americanum ticks. Additionally, six human TBD agents were listed on the 2018 National Notifiable human VBD in the USA and its territories. In fact, from 2004 to 2016, the six human TBDs accounted for nearly 77% of human VBDs in the USA and its territories [3].

In the absence of effective vaccines against major TBD agents, controlling ticks using acaricides remains the only method to protect animals and humans against TBD infections [4]. Serious limitations such as environmental contamination and ticks developing resistance that threaten acaricide-based tick control have justified the need to develop alternative tick control methods [5–8]. Immunization of animals against tick feeding has been validated as an alternative method [9]. The approach is attractive because it is environmentally friendly and is postulated to be effective against both susceptible and acaricide-resistant tick populations. The limiting step is the availability of effective vaccine antigens. Except for a few instances when human TBD infections occurred after exposure to contaminated materials [10-16], transmission of both animal and human TBD agents occur during tick feeding. Thus, a deeper understanding of how the tick accomplishes feeding is a rational approach through which vaccine targets can be identified [17-21].

Host inflammatory response is the first line of defense against the tick feeding style of disrupting host tissue and sucking up blood. Macrophages (M $\Phi$ ), which have been confirmed to infiltrate the tick feeding site [22–25] are among key effector cells of the inflammatory response. Importantly, M $\Phi$  act as a bridge between innate and adaptive immunity [26, 27]. From this perspective, it is logical that parasites including ticks might target M $\Phi$  to evade host immune defenses. A limited number of studies have documented immuno-modulatory effects against M $\Phi$  functions by mostly yet undefined tick salivary factors. Salivary gland protein extracts (SGE) of fully fed *Rhipicephalus microplus* suppressed expression of pro-inflammatory (PI) co-stimulatory markers CD86 and CD69, but not CD40 and CD80 by LPS-activated M $\Phi$  (92). The same authors found that *R. microplus* SGE suppressed secretion of PI cytokines by LPS activated M $\Phi$  [28]. Likewise, SGE of *D. variabilis* inhibited secretion of PI cytokines by LPS-activated M $\Phi$  [29]. In this same study, *D. variabilis* tick saliva proteins stimulated M $\Phi$  to secrete copious amounts of prostaglandin 2, which in turn stimulated fibroblast migration. In a related study, *D. variabilis* tick saliva was shown to increase both basal and platelet-derived growth factor stimulated migration of M $\Phi$  [30]. In another study, *Amblyomma variegatum* tick saliva proteins were shown to suppress expression of MHC-II, CD40, CD80, IL-12-p40, and TNF- $\alpha$ , but increased IL-10 expression by M $\Phi$  [31]. Similar immuno-modulatory effects against M $\Phi$  functions were also reported in *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* [32]. These studies clearly indicated that ticks target M $\Phi$  functions in their quest to evade host immune defenses and facilitate feeding and transmission of TBD agents.

At the time of drafting this manuscript few defined tick proteins that modulated MΦ functions were reported including a pro-inflammatory MΦ migration inhibitory factor has been described in ticks including *A. americanum* [33–36], but function was not validated. In another study, *Ixodes scapularis* cystatin was shown to affect MΦ function in response to *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection [37]. Here, we report that similar to LPS, *A. americanum* insulin-like growth factor binding protein-related proteins (*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, *Aam*IGFBPrP6L, and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6S) [38, 39] stimulated MΦ to express PI markers. Interestingly, we also show that *A. americanum* serine protease (AAS) inhibitors (serpin)-27 and 41 [40], blocked LPS and *Aam*IGFBP-rPs activated MΦ to express PI markers. We have described our findings with reference to understanding molecular mechanisms that regulate tick feeding.

### Results

# Amblyomma americanum insulin-like growth factors (rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6S, and rAamIGFBP-rP6L) induced pro-inflammatory response in macrophages (M $\Phi$ )

Flow cytometric analyses was used to demonstrate that similar to LPS, [39] HEK293-expressed rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6S, and rAamIGFBP-rP6L (Fig 1) stimulated mice RAW 267 M $\Phi$  to significantly express PI co-stimulatory markers, CD40, CD80, and CD86 (Fig 2).

Low (0.1 µg/ml) and medium (1 µg/mL) of doses of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 induced significant expression of CD40 and CD80 (Fig 2A and 2B) but not CD86 (Fig 2C). For *Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, all three doses induced high expression of CD40 (Fig 2D), CD80 by the low and high dose (Fig 2E), and CD86 by high dose (Fig 2F). Similarly, all doses of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S induced significant expression of CD40 (Fig 2G), while significant expression of both CD80 and CD86 were observed at the high dose (Fig 2H and 2I).

We further analyzed the effects on M $\Phi$  expression of PI cytokines (Fig 3). Furthermore, ELISA results determined the expression of PI cytokines showed that r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1- and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L- treated M $\Phi$  induced expression of TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 (Fig 3). The effects of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6L displayed a dichotomous effect on TNF $\alpha$  expression: whereas transcription was not affected (Fig 3A and 3C), it was secreted at significant levels in high dose r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 (Fig 3B) and low dose r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L (Fig 3D). In r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S treated M $\Phi$ , the effect was opposite: the high dose induced significant TNF- $\alpha$ transcript but had no effect on its secretion (Fig 3F). In the case of IL-6, both r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1



Fig 1. Expression of recombinant *Amblyomma americanum* tick saliva insulin-like growth factor binding proteins-related proteins (*Aam*IGFBP-rP) in Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293 cells. Top panel: HEK cells expressing recombinant tick saliva proteins were immune-stained using the antibody to the FLAG tag as indicated by red staining. Bottom panel: Coomassie or silver stained of affinity purified r*Aam*IGFBP-rPL, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S, and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 elutions (E) on 12.5% acrylamide gels.

and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6L apparently induced transcription and secretion (Fig 3G, 3H, 3I and 3J), while r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S had not effect (Fig 3K and 3L).

We next tested the synergistic effect of the three PI-rTSPs as a cocktail (Fig 4). It is interesting to note that secretion levels of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1, and IL-6, were statistically similar to levels that were induced by LPS activated of M $\Phi$  (Fig 4A, 4B and 4C). Treatment of M $\Phi$  with the PI-rTSP cocktail might have over activated the cells in that AI cytokines, IL-10 (Fig 4D) and TGF $\beta$  (Fig 4E) were also significantly induced.



Fig 2. HEK 293 cell-expressed rAamIGFBP-rPs stimulated M $\Phi$  to express pro-inflammatory co-stimulatory markers and nitric oxide (NO) secretion. Median fluorescent intensities of co-stimulatory markers CD40 (Fig 2A, 2D and 2G), CD80 Fig 2B, 2E and 2H), and CD86 (Fig 2C, 2F and 2I) in RAW M $\Phi$  264.7 that were treated with increasing amount of rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6L and rAamIGFBP-rP6S. Data are reported as the mean (three biological replicates)  $\pm$  SE of three replicates. (\*) = p  $\leq$  0.05, (\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.01, (\*\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.001, (\*\*\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.0001, indicating statistically significant difference between media and treatments. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.g002



Fig 3. HEK expressed r*Aam*IGFBP-rPs stimulated M $\Phi$  to express pro-inflammation cytokines. (A, C, E, G, I, K) Relative transcript abundance and (B, D, F, H, J, L) Secretion abundance of TNF $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 in cell culture supernatants from M $\Phi$  that were treated with various doses of *rAam*IGFBP-rP1, *rAam*IGFBP-rP6L and *rAam*IGFBPrP6S. Data are reported as the mean (three biological replicates) absorbance values ± SE of three replicates. (\*\*) =  $p \le 0.01$ , (\*\*\*) =  $p \le 0.001$ , (\*\*\*\*) =  $p \le 0.0001$ , indicating statistically significant difference between media and treatments. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance.

Next, we tested the effects of PI-rTSPs cocktail on M $\Phi$  morphology (Fig 4F). Confocal microscopy revealed that PI-rTSPs activated M $\Phi$  had the morphology that was similar to LPS activated M $\Phi$  as indicated by stretched spindle-like shaped cells compared to smooth and round cells in the media only control (Fig 4F). Altogether, results from the *in vitro* study confirmed that the PI-rTSPs stimulated M $\Phi$  to express PI markers.

## AAS27 and AAS41 suppressed expression of pro-inflammatory, but enhanced anti-inflammatory markers by LPS- and PI-rTSPs-activated M $\Phi$

Preliminary findings suggested that rAAS27 and rAAS41 on their own did not affect M $\Phi$  function but might have affected functions of activated cells. Flow cytometric analyses showed that the rAAS27 and rAAS41 cocktail rTSPs (0.1, 1 and 10µg/mL) respectively suppressed the expression of CD40, CD80, and CD86 by 43–48%, 16–28%, and 9–13% by M $\Phi$  that were preactivated with LPS (Fig 5A1-9). Likewise, though moderately and not significant, AI-rTSP respectively suppressed expression of CD40, CD80, and 2–15% by PI-rTSP activated M $\Phi$  (Fig 5B1-9).

We next analyzed cytokine secretion and ELISA revealed that both rAAS27 and rAAS41 suppressed cytokine expression by LPS-activated M $\Phi$  (Fig 6).Low (0.1 µg/ml) and medium dose (1 µg/ml) of rAAS27 and rAAS41 significantly suppressed TNF- $\alpha$  secretion by 30–37%



Fig 4. The cocktail of rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6S, and rAamIGFBP-rPL6L synergistically activates M $\Phi$  to express pro-inflammation cytokines and anti-inflammation cytokines similar to LPS. (A-E) Secretion abundance of IL-1, IL-6, IL-10 and TGF $\beta$  and (F) Microscopic examination of RAW macrophages 264.7 (M $\Phi$ ) that were treated with rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6S, and rAamIGFBP-rPL6L cocktail. Data are reported as the mean of three biological replicates:  $A_{450nm}$  values ± SEM. Asterisks (\*) = p  $\leq 0.05$ , (\*\*\*) = p  $\leq 0.01$ , (\*\*\*\*) = p  $\leq 0.001$  indicating statistically significant difference between media and treatments. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance.

and 52–57% respectively (Fig 6A and 6B). Likewise, the medium (1  $\mu$ g/ml) dose of rAAS27 suppressed secretion of IL-1 (Fig 6C) and IL-6 (Fig 6E) by 24.85% and 18% respectively (Fig 6E). Similarly, middle and high dose of rAAS41 significantly reduced secretion of IL-1 by up to ~29.32% (Fig 6D) and IL-6 by up to 21.63% (Fig 6F).

We next investigated the effects of the AI-rTSP cocktail on expression of cytokines by  $M\Phi$  that were first activated by LPS or PI-rTSPs (Fig 7). Except for TNF $\alpha$ , which was apparently suppressed, but not significantly (Fig 7A), there was no effect on IL-1, IL-6, and IL-10 expression by LPS-activated M $\Phi$  (Fig 7B, 7C and 7D). In Fig 7E, the AI-rTSP cocktail moderately but not significantly enhanced secretion of TGF $\beta$  in LPS activated M $\Phi$ . With exception of TNF $\alpha$ , which was significantly suppressed by the highest dose (Fig 7F), expression of IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, and TGF $\beta$  were enhanced when PI-rTSP activated M $\Phi$  were treated with the AI-rTSP cocktail (Fig 7G, 7H, 7I and 7J).

### PI-rTSPs and AI-rTSPs are functional in vivo

Paw edema induction confirmed that cell culture validated PI-rTSP and AI-rTSP were functional *in vivo* (Fig 8). The high dose (25  $\mu$ g) of the PI-rTSP cocktail progressively induced edema through 24 h (Fig 8A). Four statistically significant edema points were observed at 20 min (p $\leq$ 0.05), 240 min (p $\leq$ 0.05), 480 min (p $\leq$ 0.05), and at 1440 min (p $\leq$ 0.01) in PI-rTSPs and AI-rTSPs treatments. In contrast, co-injecting high dose of AI-rTSP cocktail (25  $\mu$ g) significantly suppressed PI-rTSP-induced edema at 120 (p $\leq$ 0.05), 240, 480, and 1440 mins,

## PLOS | PATHOGENS



**Fig 5.** *A. americanum* serpin (AAS) 27 and 41 reverses expression of co-stimulatory markers by LPS or *rAam*IGFBP-rP activated macrophages. (5A, 1–9) Median fluorescent intensity levels of co-stimulatory markers CD40, CD80 and CD86, on RAW M $\Phi$  treated with LPS or (5B, 1–9) with various doses of PI-rTSPs followed by AAS27 and AAS41 cocktail. Filled gray chromatogram = isotype control, dark gray bar graph = control (media treated cells); Blue line chromatogram and bar = M $\Phi$  that were pre-activated by LPS or PI-rTSP followed by treatment with AAS27 and AAS41 cocktail; Red line chromatogram and bar = M $\Phi$  treated with LPS or PI-rTSP only. Please note that, histograms are representative of one treatment. Graph inserts are mean of three biological replicates and presented as absorbance values ± SEM. Arrows ( $\downarrow$ ) indicate percentage (%) decrease in CD40, CD80 or CD86 expression.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.g005

respectively. Injection of individual r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L (25  $\mu$ g) caused edema that peaked at 480 min and 1440 min (p $\leq$ 0.05), while r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S induced edema that peaked at 20 min, 40 min, 240 min, and 1440 min (p $\leq$ 0.05)] (Fig 8B). On the other hand, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 did not cause detectable edema (Fig 8B).

To rule out the effect of too much protein being injected, we repeated the assay with 10 µg of the low dose cocktail. Consistent with the high dose, injection of 10 µg of the PI-rTSP cocktail induced significant edema that was observed at 20 ( $p \le 0.001$ ) min and at 60 min ( $p \le 0.05$ ) (Fig 8C). Likewise, when co-administered with low dose (10 µg) AI-rTSP cocktail, edema was significantly reduced at 20 ( $p \le 0.001$ ), 40 ( $p \le 0.05$ ), 60 ( $p \le 0.05$ ) and 120 min (Fig 8C). In animals that were injected with individual PI-rTSPs (10 µg), r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S induced edema that was observed between 20–130 min, while r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L induced edema that was observed at 130 min (Fig 8D), but not r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1.

## PI-rTSP and AI-rTSP differentially regulated inflammatory markers in mice paws

Effects of PI-rTSPs and AI-rTSPs on inflammation marker expression were investigated by qPCR (Figs 9–11). Consistent with cell culture data (Fig 2), PI co-stimulatory markers, CD40, CD80, and CD86 were up regulated in mice that were injected with low dose individual PI-



Fig 6. Both AAS27 and AAS41 suppresses expression of pro-inflammation cytokines by LPS activated M $\Phi$ . Cytokine (TNF $\alpha$ , IL-1, IL-6) levels in M $\Phi$  that were pre-activated with LPS followed by treatment with rAAS27 (A, C, and E) and rAAS41 (B, D, and F) are reported as mean of three biological replicates and reports as  $A_{450nm}$  values ± SEM. Day 1 = 24 h incubation with 100 ng LPS; Day 2 = Following 24 h with LPS, removal of media and 24 h incubation with 0.0023, 0.023 and 0.23  $\mu$ M rAAS27 or rAAS41. Asterisks (\*) = p  $\leq$  0.05, (\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.01, (\*\*\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.001, indicating statistically significant difference between media and treatments. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance.



Fig 7. Effect of AAS27 and AAS41 cocktail on expression of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines by  $M\Phi$  that were first activated with LPS or cocktail of *rAam*IGFBP-rP1, *rAam*IGFBP-rP6S, and *rAam*IGFBP-rP16. Cytokines (TNF $\alpha$ , IL-1, IL-6, TGF $\beta$  and IL-10) in M $\Phi$  that were first activated with LPS (A, B, C, D, and E) or PI-rTSPs (F, G, H, I, and J) followed by treatment with various doses of AAS27 and AAS41 cocktail. Data are reported as mean  $A_{450nm}$  of two biological replicates ± SEM. Day 1 = 24 h incubation with 100 ng LPS or PI-rTSPs cocktail; Day 2 = Following 24 h with LPS or PI-rTSPs cocktail, removal of media and additional 24 h incubation with AI-rTSPs cocktail. Arrows ( $\uparrow$ ) or ( $\downarrow$ ) indicate increase or decrease in cytokine protein secretion compared to LPS control and PI-rTSPs treated M $\Phi$ .

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.g007



Red square = carrageenan Blue hexagon = normal saline Green triangle = AamIGFBP-rP1 Light blue circle = AamIGFBP-rP6L Black star = AamIGFBP-rP6S Open circle = PI-rTSPs cocktail Open square = PI-rTSPs + AI-rTSPs cocktail

Fig 8. Cell culture validated PI-rTSP (rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6S, and rAamIGFBP-rPL6) and AI-rTSP (rAAS27 and rAAS41) are functional *in vivo*. Line diagram showing increase in paw swelling in mice injected with high dose (25 µg: A, B) and low dose (10 µg: C, D) of cocktail or individual rAamIGFBP-rP1, rAamIGFBP-rP6L, and rAamIGFBP-rP6S or rAAS27 and rAAS41. Data is represented as mean of three biological replicates  $\pm$  SEM. Filled red squares = carrageenan injected, blue hexagon = normal saline, green filled hexagon, triangle = rAamIGBP-rP1 injected groups, light blue circle = rAamIGBP-rP6L, Black star = rAamIGBP-rP6S, Open circle = PI-rTSP cocktail, Open square = PI-rTSPs + AI-rTSPs cocktail (\*) = p  $\leq 0.05$ , (\*\*) = p  $\leq 0.01$ , (\*\*\*\*) = p  $\leq 0.001$  indicating statistically significant difference between normal saline injected group and treatments groups. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance. Arrow ( $\uparrow$ ) indicate increase in paw volumes compared to normal saline control and arrow ( $\downarrow$ ) indicate decrease in paw volumes compared to PI-rTSPs injected paws.



Fig 9. A. americanum tick PI-rTSP induce expression of co-stimulatory markers *in vivo*, AI-rTSPs suppress. Relative transcript abundance of PI costimulatory markers, CD40, CD80 and CD86 in low dose (A, B, and C) and high dose (D, E, F, G, H, I) injected paws. Using Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAPDH) as the reference gene, relative transcript abundance was determined using comparative Ct ( $\Delta\Delta$  Ct) method. Data is reported as Mean (M) of two biological replicates ± SEM. Asterisks (\*) = p ≤ 0.05, (\*\*) = p ≤ 0.01, (\*\*\*) = p ≤ 0.001, (\*\*\*\*) = p ≤ 0.0001 indicating statistically significant difference between normal saline injected group and treatments groups. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance. Arrow ( $\downarrow$ ) indicate decrease in cytokine transcript abundance compared to normal saline controls.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.g009



**Fig 10.** *A. americanum* tick PI-rTSP induce expression of pro-inflammation cytokines *in vivo*, AI-rTSPs suppresses. Relative transcript abundance of cytokines in paws that were treated with low dose individual PI-rTSPs (A, B, C, and D) or with cocktail PI-rTSP or Carageenan followed by individual or cocktail AI-rTSP (E, F, G, and H). Fig 10I, 10J, 10K and 10L = paws that were injected with high dose individual PI-rTSPs; and Fig 10M, 10N, 10O and 10P = were injected with high dose PI-rTSPs and AI-rTSP cocktail. Data is reported as Mean (M) of two biological replicates ± SEM. Asterisks (\*) =  $p \le 0.05$ , (\*\*) =  $p \le 0.001$  indicating statistically significant difference between normal saline injected group and treatments groups. No asterisks mean non-significance. Arrow ( $\downarrow$ ) indicate decrease in cytokine transcript abundance compared to normal saline controls.

rTSPs (10 µg) and processed at 120 min post injection (Fig 9A, 9B and 9C). Expression of CD40 was significantly enhanced in mice that were injected with low dose r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, but not r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S (Fig 9A). Likewise, CD80 was significantly induced by low dose r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1 but not r*Aam*IGFBP-r6L and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S (Fig 9B). For CD86, induction was apparent but it was not significant (Fig 9C). In mice that were injected with high dose individual PI-rTSP (25 µg) and processed at 24 h post injection, all three co-stimulatory markers were induced (but not significantly) in *rAam*IGFBP-rP1-injected animals, but not *rAam*IGFBP-r6L and *rAam*IGFBP-rP6S (Fig 9D, 9E and 9F). In contrast, injecting high dose of the PI-rTSP cocktail significantly induced expression of CD40, CD80, and CD86 above normal saline control, while co-injecting with the high dose of AI rTSP cocktail suppressed transcription of CD40 and CD86 by 70% and by 50% for CD80 (Fig 9G, 9H and 9I).

Fig 10 summarizes expression of PI and AI cytokines in PI-rTSP induced edema. TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1, and IL-6 were significantly expressed in low dose individual (Fig 10A, 10B and 10C) and PI-rTSP cocktail (Fig 10E, 10F and 10G) treatment. In contrast, except for IL-1, which was slightly enhanced (Fig 10J), expression of TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 was below negative control in animals that were injected with high dose (25 µg) of the individual PI-rTSP (Fig 10I and 10K). Except for TNF- $\alpha$  which was significantly up regulated above normal saline (Fig 10M), other transcripts were apparently up regulated but not significantly (Fig 10N, 10O and 10P).



**Fig 11.** *A. americanum* tick **PI-rTSP** induce expression of chemokines *in vivo*, **AI-rTSPs suppresses**. Relative transcript abundance of chemokines in paws that were injected with low dose individual (A, B, C, D and E) or with cocktail PI-rTSP or Carrageenan followed by individual or cocktail AI-rTSP (F, G, H, I). Fig 11J, 11K, 11L, 11M and 11N = paws that were injected with high dose individual PI-rTSPs; and Fig 11O, 11P, 11Q, 11R and 11S = paws that were injected with high dose PI-rTSPs and AI-rTSP cocktail. Data is reported as Mean (M) of two biological replicates  $\pm$  SEM. Asterisks (\*) = p  $\leq$  0.05, (\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.01, (\*\*\*\*) = p  $\leq$  0.001 indicating statistically significant difference between normal saline injected group and treatments groups. No asterisks indicated represent non-statistical significance. Arrow ( $\downarrow$ ) indicate decrease in chemokine transcript abundance compared to normal saline controls.

Co-injecting with the low dose of AI-rTSP had mixed effects on expression of the four cytokines (Fig 10E–10H). In low dose-treated mice, co-injecting AI-rTSP suppressed TNF $\alpha$  by ~35% and IL-6 by 62% (Fig 10E and 10G), but not IL-1 (Fig 10F). Likewise, co-injecting with high dose AI-rTSP reduced expression of TNF $\alpha$  by 74% (Fig 10M), while IL-1 and IL-6 were apparently enhanced but not significantly (Fig 10N and 10O). Also notable, the TGF $\beta$  transcript was induced in animals that were injected with low dose of the individual PI-rTSPs (Fig 10D) as well as the high dose of the PI-rTSP cocktail (Fig 10P), but not the low dose cocktail (Fig 10H) and high dose individual PI-rTSP (Fig 10L).

The effects of PI-rTSPs and AI-rTSPs on chemokine transcription mirrored cytokine expression. Notably, chemokine transcription was significantly upregulated in mice that were injected with low dose, individual (11A, B, C, D, E) or cocktail (Fig 11F, 11G, 11H and 11I) PI-rTSP with exception of CCL5, for which there was no amplification. Except for CCL5 (Fig 11M), which was upregulated in high dose of the individual PI-rTSPs, other chemokines tested were expressed below normal saline injected controls (Fig 11J, 11K, 11L and 11N). In animals that were injected with high dose of the PI-rTSP cocktail, all chemokines were up regulated (Fig 11O, 11P, 11Q, 11R and 11S). Also, notable, co-injecting with the high dose AI-rTSP cocktail suppressed expression of CXCL1, CCL2, CCL3, and CCL11 by ~50%, 53%, 65%, and 72% respectively (Fig 11O, 11P, 11Q and 11S) and CCL5 which was enhanced (Fig 11R).

### Discussion

Hard ticks successfully feed and transmit tick borne disease (TBD) agents by secreting numerous tick saliva proteins (TSPs) to thwart the host's immune defenses, which would otherwise reject the tick. Thus, discovery of tick immuno-modulatory TSPs is highly sought after as these might serve as targets in tick-antigen based vaccines to prevent TBD infections. This study provides evidence to suggest that *A. americanum* ticks might utilize countervailing functions of PI proteins to regulate the evasion of host immune defenses. Our *in vitro* and *in vivo* data in this study has demonstrate that *Aam*IGFBP-rP-1, *Aam*IGFBP-rP6S, and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6L (38, 39) activated MΦ to express PI markers, whereas *A. americanum* AAS27 and AAS41 suppressed expression of PI markers by activated MΦ. This study builds on our previous studies that showed that *Aam*IGFBP-rP-1, *Aam*IGFBP-rP6S, and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6L were up regulated when ticks were stimulated to start feeding [38, 41], were immunogenic and injected into the host within 24–48 h after attachment [42], and if disrupted by RNAi silencing prevented successful tick feeding [43]. Likewise, the observed AI effects of rAAS27 and rAAS41 in this study is in agreement with our recent studies that showed that AAS27 might inhibit inflammation by targeting trypsin and plasmin and AAS41 by targeting chymase and chymotrypsin [44].

Although not yet determined at the tick feeding site, activated M $\Phi$  at an inflamed site occur in a spectrum that is bordered by classically activated PI (M1) and alternately activated (M2) antiinflammation phenotypes [45]. Broadly, the M1 phenotype is induced at the front end of an immune reaction, and the M2 at the tail end of an immune response to resolve the inflammatory response. M1 MΦ express multiple factors that drive inflammation including co-stimulatory markers, CD40, CD80, and CD86, cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-12, oxygen intermediates and reactive nitrogen species such as nitric oxide (NO) [46-50]. On the other hand, M2  $M\Phi$  express factors that lead to resolution of inflammation to protect against self-injury (50). On this basis, we speculate that PI-rTSPs in this study might activate skin resident M $\Phi$  to the M1 phenotype to drive local inflammation, while AI-rTSPs, which enhanced expression of anti-inflammation markers might play roles in resolution or moderation of TSP-induced inflammation. The observation that both rAAS27 and rAAS41 reduced the expression of PI markers by both LPSand PI-rTSPs-activated M $\Phi$  was not surprising in that several studies have previously reported tick immuno-suppressive effects against skin immune cells in tick saliva [51–55]. However, the findings that rAAS27 and rAAS41 did not apparently affect non-activated M $\Phi$ , but selectively affected functions of M $\Phi$  that were spontaneously activated or pre-activated by LPS and PI-rTSP was intriguing. From the perspective of tick feeding physiology and tick transmission of TBD agents, data in this study raise interesting future research questions. For instance, it would be interesting to further explore the effect of rAAS27 and rAAS41 reversing M $\Phi$  that were pre-activated by PI-rTSP on the outcome of an immune response to TBD agents. A notable observation in our flow cytometry data was that, AI-rTSPs were much more effective against LPS-activated MΦ than PI-rTSP. Interestingly, TBD agents such as B. afzelii, B. burgdorferi [56, 57], E. chaffeensis [58], A. phagocytophilum [59] and, Powassan virus [60] can activate M $\Phi$  to express high levels of PI cytokines. However, this does not seem to limit colonization of the host by transmitted TBD agents. Also, interestingly, clinical outcomes of TBD infections are more pronounced when TBD agents are co-inoculated with tick saliva proteins [31]. Given the finding that rAAS27 and rAAS41 reversed activated M $\Phi$ , it is possible that AI-TSPs described here could prevent M $\Phi$  from killing transmitted TBD agents, which ultimately could aid pathogen transmission. Several studies have reported that tick saliva/SGE of different tick species including A. variegatum, I. scapularis, R. microplus, R. appendiculatus, R. sanguineous, and D. variabilis suppressed expression of PI markers by M $\Phi$  that were first activated by LPS or were exposed to pathogens [29, 61–64]. Given our observations that AAS27 and AAS41 suppressed expression of pro-inflammation markers by

LPS-activated M $\Phi$ , it is potentially possible that the immunosuppressive effects observed in tick saliva/SGE of different tick species could be mediated by AAS27 and AAS41 homologs.

Skin inflammation in response to tick bites in humans and rodents were characterized by high expression of multiple PI cytokines and chemokines [65-67]. On this basis, we suspect that high expression of PI cytokines and chemokines in PI-rTSP-induced edema suggests that these proteins could play roles in mediating tick and host interactions. An inflammatory response is characterized by infiltration of multiple innate immune cells including mast cells, M $\Phi$ , and neutrophils [41, 68–70]. Although we did not conduct immuno-histochemical staining and cellular imaging of inflamed paws to enumerate immune cells, the observed high expression of cytokines and chemokines, which are expressed by various immune cells possibly suggest an influx of immune cells into PI-rTSP injected paws. Specifically, the observation of high expression of PI co-stimulatory markers, CD40, CD80, and CD86, which are mostly produced by the M1 M $\Phi$  phenotype [71], could suggest the presence of these cells in injected paws. Chemokines that were investigated in this study were selected for their roles in attracting immune cells to the site of inflammation [72, 73]. Therefore, the observed high expression of chemokines suggested that the edema formation was a result of various cellular migration at the inflamed site.

The findings in this study are not unique as pro-inflammation tick proteins including a tick histamine release factor and an 84 kDa tick serine protease, were previously reported [74, 75]. Given the expectation that in order to complete feeding, ticks must evade the host's innate immune defense response against tick feeding that also include inflammation, our findings in this study may be considered counterintuitive. However, from the perspective of tick feeding physiology, inflammation can be beneficial to tick feeding as it might lead to increased blood flow into the feeding site benefiting the tick in acquiring the blood meal. Additionally, the increased flow of inflammatory cell monocytes into the inflamed tick feeding site might result in enhanced transmission of *A. americanum* transmitted TBD agents such as Bourbon virus, Heartland virus, and *E. chaffeensis* that have tropisms for inflammatory cells including M $\Phi$  [76, 77].

It is also important to note that treating M $\Phi$  with high dose (10 µg) of PI-rTSP cocktail also induced expression of an anti-inflammation cytokines, IL-10, which conforms to the M2 M $\Phi$ phenotype. We are of the view that, the higher concentration of PI-rTSP over-stimulated M $\Phi$ to the extent the correct anti-inflammation response was triggered. Similarly, we also observed that, with exception of TNF $\alpha$ , other cytokines, IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, and TGF $\beta$  were enhanced in M $\Phi$  that were first treated with PI-rTSP for 24 h followed by AI-rTSP for another 24 h. Whether or not, these findings occur physiologically remains to be investigated. Like most functional analysis studies of recombinant tick saliva proteins, the limitation is that the amount of native TSPs that are injected into the host during tick feeding is unknown, and thus future studies to define functional roles of native proteins are required.

In conclusion, we have proposed that *A. americanum* tick might utilize countervailing functions of pro- and anti- inflammatory proteins to regulate evasion of host defenses. Our proposal is that the tick first secrets PI-TSPs that stimulate  $M\Phi$  into the pro-host defense phenotype and then secretes AI-TSPs to de-activate the activated immune cells including  $M\Phi$ . This proposal assumes that proteins in this study were sequentially secreted during tick feeding. The findings in this study warrants further investigations into functional roles of proteins in this study in transmission of TBD agents, and their utility as anti-tick vaccine antigens.

### Materials and methods

#### **Ethical statement**

Healthy, pathogen-free BALB/c mice were purchased from Charles River laboratories (Wilmington, MA). Animal experiments were done according to the animal use protocol (#2015– 0079) approved by Texas A&M University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) that meets all federal requirements in Animal Welfare Act (AWA), the Public Health Service Policy (PHS), and the Humane Care and use of laboratory animals.

# Expression of A. americanum tick saliva insulin-like growth factor binding proteins-related proteins (AamIGFBP-rP) in Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK)

Preliminary assays revealed that insect-cell expressed recombinant (r) *Aam*IGFBP-rP1,— *Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6S (with endotoxins removed) activated both immortalized and PBMC derived macrophages (M $\Phi$ ) to express PI markers. To rule out the possibility of endotoxin involvement in the observed results, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S rTSPs were re-expressed in Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK 293) mammalian cells using the pcDNA 3.3 expression plasmid (Thermo-Scientific). Modified pcDNA 3.3 with added CD5 secretion signal to allow secretion of the recombinant protein into spent media was kindly provided by Dr. Mwangi (Kansas State University, Kansas, USA). Mature protein encoding open reading frames was sub-cloned into the modified pcDNA3.3 plasmid using primers listed in Table 1. The reverse primer included the flag tag sequence (DYKDDDDK) which was used for detection and affinity purification of the recombinant protein. Recombinant plasmids were transformed into *E.coli* DH5 $\alpha$  cells and subsequently purified using plasmid miniprep kit (Omega) followed by quantification and transfection. HEK-293A adherent cells were used in pilot expression, and the HEK-293 Freestyle (HEK-293F) cell line (Thermo-Scientific) was used for large-scale rTSP production in suspension cultures [78].

Adherent 293A cells were grown to a monolayer of up to ~60–70% confluence in T-75 flasks containing 10 mL of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Lonza) supplemented with glutamine and heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (10%) at 37°C with 5% carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and 85–90% humidity. For transfection, 1 µg of plasmid DNA and 2.4 µL of the transfection reagent, polyethyleneamine (PEI) diluted in 180 µL of Opti-MEM (Thermo-Scientific) medium was incubated for 20 min at room temperature (RT). Following the incubation, 180 µL of the plasmid DNA-PEI mixture was added to each plate containing the cells, mixed gently by rocking the plate, and incubated for ~48 h at 37°C in the humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> [79].

Expression was validated by immuno-staining of HEK cells using the monoclonal antibody to FLAG (5  $\mu$ g/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich). Fast red was used to (4-Chloro-2-methylbenzenediazo-nium salt, Naphthol, Sigma-Aldrich) stain the cells, which were visualized using inverted microscope. Spent media was subjected to routine ELISA using the HRP (Horse-radish peroxidase) conjugated antibody to FLAG-Tag (Sigma-Aldrich) to verify secretion of the recombinant product into culture media.

For large-scale expression, HEK-293F suspension cultures were grown to mid-logarithmic phase with shaking at 125 rpm in 8%  $CO_2$  and 85% relative humidity. The cells were then

Amblyomma americanum rTSP	PRIMER SEQUENCE (Restriction sites underlined, FLAG Tag and linker sequence highlighted in grey)	
rAamIGFBP-rP1	For:5'GGATCCTCGCAAGGAGTGCGGGCCTTG 3'	
	Rev:5 <sup>/</sup> GGATCCCTACTTATCGTCATCGTCCTTGTAGTC TTTT T TGGGCAGCACGTTGAGCTTGG 3'	
rAamIGFBP-rP6S	For:5'GGATCCTACGTCGGAACCGCACTGCG 3'	
	Rev:5/GGATCCCTACTTATCGTCATCGTCCTTGTAGTC TTTTTT CTCGTGATGGGCCGAGTCG 3/	
rAamIGFBP-rP6L	For: 5'GGATCCTACGTCGGAACCGCACTGCGAGG 3'	
	Rev:5'GGATCCCTACTTATCGTCATCGTCCTTGTAGTCCTCGTGGTGGGCCGAGTCGCCGCCG 3'	

Table 1. Expression primers of Amblyomma americanum tick saliva insulin-like growth factor binding proteins-related proteins in HEK cells.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.t001

seeded at  $30 \times 10^6$  viable cells in 293 Freestyle media (Thermo-Scientific), allowed to incubate for 2 h. Recombinant plasmids (30 µg each) were incubated in 1 mL Opti-MEM media (Thermo-Scientific) separately. Following incubation, 293fectin transfection reagent (Thermo-Scientific) and recombinant plasmids were combined and incubated for an additional 30 min and then added to 30 mL cell culture containing 30 million HEK-293F cells. The cell suspension was harvested after 72 h and recombinant proteins were purified using FLAG M2 affinity gel purification (Sigma). Bound recombinant proteins were eluted in 1 mL fractions under acidic conditions using 0.1M Glycine buffer (pH 3.5) and immediately neutralized with 25 µL 1M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Purification was confirmed using routine SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue or silver staining. Subsequently, relevant fractions were combined, concentrated and dialyzed against Tris-NaCl buffer (50 mM Tris, 150mM NaCl, pH 7.4) using the 10 kDa molecular cut off membrane spin filters (Pall Life Sciences). Expression recombinant AAS27 and AAS41 is reported elsewhere [44].

### Macrophage cell activation assays

This was done using bovine PBMC differentiated and immortalized RAW 267 M $\Phi$  (ATCC). In the preliminary assay, PBMCs were isolated from bovine peripheral blood using Ficoll-Paque solution (Sigma-Aldrich). Monocytes from the PBMCs were isolated by Magnetic sorting method using primary mouse anti-bovine CD14 (Washington State University) antibody and a secondary antibody, anti-mouse IgG microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec). The cells were labelled with the anti-CD14 antibody and then loaded onto a MACS column, for CD14 labelled monocytes. The monocytes were counted and allowed to differentiate into macrophages for 6–8 days. Cell morphology was visually confirmed using an inverted microscope (Nikon). Following differentiation, the assay was set up using individual concentrations of 10  $\mu$ g/mL, 1  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.1  $\mu$ g/mL of insect cell expressed r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S [39] and yeast expressed *A. americanum* serpin (AAS) 27 and 41 (44). Following 24 h, the cells were collected and stained for CD40, CD80, and CD86 expression and then analyzed by flow cytometry (described below). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a bacterial cell wall component was used as positive controls and media alone served as a negative control. All assays were performed in triplicates for each time.

Subsequent to the preliminary assay, RAW 264.7 M $\Phi$  (ATCC) were used in the assay. Routinely, cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Lonza) supplemented with 4 mM L-glutamine, 4500 mg/L glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, sodium bicarbonate, nonessential amino acid solution (Thermo-Scientific) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo-Scientific). Routinely, M $\Phi$  were seeded in 12 or 24 well plates overnight and cultured at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 85–90% humidity overnight to approximately 80% confluency. For pro-inflammation activation assays, 10<sup>6</sup> M $\Phi$  were incubated with 0.10, 1.0, and 10 µg/mL of affinity purified HEK cell expressed r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S.

In preliminary analysis, we had observed that pro-inflammation markers were expressed at below background in M $\Phi$  that were treated with various dosages of rAAS27 and rAAS41. To investigate this, we conducted two assays. In the first assay, expression of pro-inflammation makers was determined in M $\Phi$  that were co-cultured with various dosages (0.1, 1.0, 10 µg/mL of the mixture of rAAS27 or rAAS41 and LPS or r*Am*IGFPB-rPs. In the second assay, M $\Phi$  were first activated with LPS (100 ng) or various dosages (0.1, 1.0, 10 µg/mL) of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S for 24 h before replacing spent culture media with fresh media supplemented with various dosages (0.1, 1.0, 10 µg/mL) of individual or cocktail mix of rAAS27 and rAAS41. At 24 and 48 h post incubation, spent cultures were processed for assays described below.

### Cell surface marker staining and flow cytometry

Treated and non-treated M $\Phi$ , were detached, and re-suspended in staining medium (DMEM with sodium azide). Immuno-labeling of bovine (preliminary analysis) and murine cell surface markers was performed by incubating cells with 15 µg/mL fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugated antibodies to CD40 (Abcam), CD86 and CD80 (Thermo-Scientific) and isotype matched control mAbs IgG2a and IgG2b (Abcam) for 30 min on ice. After incubation, cells were washed three times with DMEM media containing 0.01% sodium azide and re-suspended in 400 µL 1X PBS (pH-7.4) containing 1 µg/mL propidium iodide, for excluding dead cells, and analyzed by flow cytometry with parameters set to 10,000 events, filter setting 530/30 nm wavelength (FACS Caliber) using acquisition software BD CellQuest (BD Biosciences) and the analysis program FlowJo 9.8.5 (TreeStar) at digital imaging core facility (College of Veterinary Medicine, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX).

### Nitric oxide and Cytokine detection in the spent media

Nitric oxide metabolites released in the cell culture supernatant as nitrate or nitrite was detected by Total Nitric Oxide detection kit (Thermo-Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Photometric measurement of the absorbance due to this azo chromophore determined the  $NO_2^-$  (nitrite) concentration at 540 nm wavelength using an ELISA plate reader (BioTek Instruments).

Cytokine ELISA of TNF $\alpha$ , IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, and TGF $\beta$  was done using specific antibodies (Ready-SET-Go!, eBioscience, Thermo-Scientific). Optical densities were measured using an ELISA plate reader at 450 nm wavelength (BioTek Instruments, Inc).

### $M\Phi$ phenotype staining

RAW 264.7 MΦ were seeded on Nunc Lab-Tek Chamber Slide (Thermo-Scientific) in the presence of the cocktail (10 µg/mL) of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S, or positive control (100 ng LPS), or negative control (media with buffer) for 24 h. The cells were then fixed with ice cold methanol, blocked with 1% BSA, 22.52 mg/ml glycine in PBST (PBS+0.1% Tween 20) for 30 min and immuno-stained with the antibody to Actin (Abcam). The following day, the cells were washed in 1 X PBS and incubated with secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Abcam) for 1 h in the dark. The cells were washed, treated with mounting media containing DAPI (Thermo-Scientific) for nuclei staining and cell staining was analyzed by confocal microscopy. Images were acquired with Ziess LSM 780 confocal microscope and merged using Zen 2012 SP1 (black edition) software in the Imaging facility (College of Veterinary Medicine, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX).

### Paw edema assay

Retired female breeder BALB/c mice (Envigo) were maintained for one week to acclimatize prior to experiment. The carrageenan-induced hind paw inflammation model was used to investigate the PI and AI role of rTSPs [80]. Prior to each injection, the basal footpad volume was recorded using a plythesmometer (Harvard Apparatus). Four experiments were conducted using three mice per group. In the first experiment, effects of a high dose cocktail of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L, and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S was assessed. To make the high dose cocktail, approximately 40 µg each of endotoxin free mammalian cells expressed r*Aa-m*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S were combined, and concentrated to reduce volume using Jumbosep centrifugal spin filter devices (Pall Life Sciences). Similarly, 40 µg each of endotoxin free rAAS27 and rAAS41 were combined and concentrated as above.

The first group of mice received 25 µg of the r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S and r*Aa-m*IGFBP-rP6L cocktail (PI-rTSP group). The second group of mice received 25 µg cocktail of rAAS27 and rAAS41 cocktail (AI-rTSP group), third group received 25 µg of the AI-rTSP and PI-rTSP cocktail. In the second experiment, the effects of high dose (40 µg per mouse) of r*Aa-m*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6L and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S individually were assessed.

In the third and fourth experiments, mice were injected with low doses of cocktail of individual proteins. To make the low dose cocktail, 10 µg each of r*Aam*IGFBP-rP1, r*Aam*IGFBPrP6L and r*Aam*IGFBP-rP6S with or without 10 µg of rAAS27 and rAAS41 cocktail were prepared. In the third experiment, the first group of mice received the 10 µg the PI-rTSP cocktail, the second received the 10 µg of the AI-rTSP cocktail, the third group received 10 µg of AIrTSP and PI-rTSP cocktail, the fourth group received 10 µg of rAAS27 or rAAS46 individually. In the fourth experiment, each group of mice received 10 µg of *Aam*IGFBP-rP1, *Aam*IGFBPrP6L and *Aam*IGFBP-rP6S. For all experiments, algae derived inflammation agonist, carrageenan (2% w/v in 0.9% saline) was used as positive control and normal saline (9 g/L NaCl) or 150mM 50mM Tris NaCl buffer (pH 7.4) as negative control (Table 2).

As index of edema formation, the first and second experiment inflammation was measured at times 0 (before injection), 20, 40, 60, 120, 240, 360, 720, and 1440 min' post injection. For the third and fourth experiments, paw edema was measured at 0, (before injection), 20, 40, 60, and 120 or 130 min' post injection. After measurements, the mice were euthanized (3L/min-ute,  $CO_2$ ) and injected and non-injected paws were placed in cryotubes and snap frozen in

Experiment (min)	Treatment groups	Dose injected	Diluent: Normal Saline (NS) or 150mM NaCl 50 mM Tris pH 7.4 (TB)
1 –(1440 min)	Carrageenan (C)	2% (weight/volume)	NS
	Normal Saline (NS)		NS
	PI-rTSPs	25 μg	ТВ
	PI-AI rTSPs	25 μg PI + 25 μg AI rTSPs	ТВ
2 -(1440 min)	С	2%	NS
	S	0.9%	NS
	rAam IGFBP-rP1	25 μg	ТВ
	rAam IGFBP-rP6 L	25 μg	ТВ
	rAam IGFBP-rP6 S	25 μg	ТВ
3 -(120 min)	С	2% (w/v)	ТВ
	NS	0.9% (w/v)	ТВ
	PI-rTSPs	10 µg	ТВ
	PI-AI-rTSPs	10 μg PI-rTSPs + 10 μg AI-rTSPs	ТВ
	PI-AAS27	10 µg PI-rTSPs + 10 µg AAS27	ТВ
	PI-AAS41	10 µg PI-rTSPs + 10 µg AAS41	ТВ
	C + AI-rTSPs	2% C (w/v) + 10 µg AI-rTSPs	ТВ
	AAS27	10 µg	ТВ
	AAS41	10 µg	ТВ
4 -(130 min)	С	2% (w/v)	ТВ
	NS	0.9% (w/v)	ТВ
	Aam IGFBP-rP1	10 µg	ТВ
	Aam IGFBP-rP6 L	10 µg	ТВ
	Aam IGFBP-rP6 S	10 µg	ТВ

#### Table 2. Treatment groups for Paw edema assay.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.t002

liquid nitrogen. The paws were collected at the level of calcaneus bone for cytokine, chemokine, and myeloperoxidase assays.

### **Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was routinely extracted using the TRIzol reagent according to instructions (Thermo-Scientific). From M $\Phi$ , cells were lysed directly into 1 mL TRIzol. For paws, tissues were minced in 1 mL TRIzol solution using sterile soft tissue scissors followed by sonication using the tissue dismembranator (VWR). Total RNA from paws were subjected to mRNA isolation using OligodT magnetic beads (Thermo-Scientific) and bound mRNA was eluted using elution buffer (10mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5). The NanoDrop (BioTek Instruments, Inc) was used to determine quantity and quality of total RNA for cDNA synthesis.

Template cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng total RNA and 25 ng mRNA using the Verso cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo-Scientific). The Verso cDNA synthesis kit contains an enhancer reagent, which prevents genomic (g) DNA carryover into the synthesized cDNA. The reverse transcription cDNA synthesis step included incubation at 42°C from 30 min and 95°C for 2 min in the thermocycler (Bio-Rad). After cDNA synthesis, the working stock for each sample was diluted 1:10 with DEPC treated water.

The qPCR (Quantitative polymerase chain reaction) was performed in triplicates in a 50  $\mu$ l final reaction mix containing 3  $\mu$ l each specific primers (300 nM each, <u>Table 3</u>), 5  $\mu$ l 1:10 diluted template cDNA, and 25  $\mu$ l 2X SYBR (Thermo-Scientific) green PCR master mix [(Applied Biosystems 7300 Real Time PCR System (Thermo-Scientific) and Bio-Rad qPCR machine (Bio-Rad)]. Settings were: 50°C for 2 min for one cycle followed by 95°C for 10 min, 95°C for 40 cycles at 15 seconds' interval and 60°C for 1 minute. Cognate mRNA expression levels were determined using the comparative delta  $\Delta C_t$  method [81]. The GAPDH (Glyceral-dehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase) gene was used as an internal reference gene.

Immune molecule	Target gene	Primer sequence	References
Pro-inflammatory cytokines	TNF-α	For: 5'ATGAGCACAGAAAGCATGA 3' Rev: 5'GAATGAGAAGAGGCTGAGA 3'	[82]
	IL-6	For: 5'CTCTGGGAAATCGTGGAAAT 3' Rev: 5'CCAGTTTGGTAGCATCCATC 3'	[83]
	IL-1	For:5'CAACCAACAAGTGATATTCTCCATG 3' Rev: 5'GATCCACACTCTCCAGCTGCA 3'	[84]
Anti-inflammatory cytokine	IL-10	For: 5'GGGAAGACAATAACTGCACC 3' Rev: 5'GCTGGTCCTTTGTTTGAAAGA 3'	[85]
Co-stimulatory markers	CD40	For: 5'-GCTATGGGGCTGCTTGTTGA 3' Rev: 5'ATGGGTGGCATTGGGTCTTC 3'	[86]
	CD80	For: 5'CTGGGAAAAACCCCCAGAAG 3' Rev: 5'TGACAACGATGACGACGACTG 3'	[86]
	CD86	For: 5'CATGGGCTTGGCAATCCTTA 3' Rev: 5'AAATGGGCACGGCAGATATG 3'	[87]
	CXCL1	For: 5'GACCATGGCTGGGATTCACC 3' Rev: 5'CCAAGGGAGCTTCAGGGTCA 3'	[88]
Chemokines	CCL2	For: 5'CCGGCTGGAGCATCCACGTGT 3' Rev: 5'TGGGGTCAGCACAGACCTCTCTCT 3'	[89]
	CCL5	For: 5'ATATGGCTCGGACACCACTC 3' Rev: 5'TCCTTCGAGTGACAAACACG 3'	[90]
	CCL11	For: 5'CCAGGCTCCATCCCAACTT 3' Rev: 5'TGGTGATTCTTTTGTAGCTCTTCAGT 3'	[89]
Internal control	GAPDH	For: 5'TATGTCGTGGAGTCTACTGGT 3' Rev: 5'GAGTTGTCATATTTCTCGT 3'	[91]

Table 3. Primers for quantitative RT-PCR of pro and anti-inflammatory cytokines, co-stimulatory markers and chemokine expression.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008128.t003

Amplifications from non-treated controls were used as calibrator for *in vitro* experiments and normal saline injected controls for *in vivo* experiments. For each biological replicate, we did qPCR in three technical triplicates.

### Statistical analysis

Data acquisition for flow cytometry was performed by using BD Cell Quest (BD Bioscience). The data analysis program used was FlowJo 9.8.5 (TreeStar). The cells with >80–90% viability was selected by gating on the flow cytometer. The results from each experiment were normalized to negative control and One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Post hoc test was used to determine the statistical differences between the controls and treatments. The data are represented as means ± standard error (SE) and p values of  $\leq$ 0.05 were considered to represent statistically significant differences using Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc).

### Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge members of Dr. Mwangi's lab, Jocelyn Bray; Core facility Genomics laboratory, Dr. Andrew Hillhouse, digital imaging core laboratory, Dr. Gus Wright and Dr. Raula Mouniemnie and Comparative Medicine Program-Division of Research at Texas A&M University, Dr. Vincent Gresham for their technical assistance during the study.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Mariam Bakshi, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Data curation: Mariam Bakshi, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Formal analysis: Mariam Bakshi, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Funding acquisition: Albert Mulenga.

Investigation: Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Methodology: Mariam Bakshi, Tae Kwon Kim, Lindsay Porter, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Project administration: Mariam Bakshi, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Resources: Mariam Bakshi, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Software: Albert Mulenga.

Supervision: Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Validation: Mariam Bakshi, Tae Kwon Kim, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Visualization: Mariam Bakshi, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Writing – original draft: Mariam Bakshi, Tae Kwon Kim, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

Writing – review & editing: Mariam Bakshi, Tae Kwon Kim, Lindsay Porter, Waithaka Mwangi, Albert Mulenga.

### References

1. Jongejan F, Uilenberg G. The global importance of ticks. Parasitology. 2004 Oct; 129(S1):S3–14. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182004005967 PMID: 15938502

- Dantas-Torres F, Chomel BB, Otranto D. Ticks and tick-borne diseases: a One Health perspective. Trends in parasitology. 2012 Oct 1; 28(10):437–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2012.07.003 PMID: 22902521
- Rosenberg R, Lindsey NP, Fischer M, Gregory CJ, Hinckley AF, Mead PS, Paz-Bailey G, Waterman SH, Drexler NA, Kersh GJ, Hooks H. Vital signs: trends in reported vector borne disease cases—United States and Territories, 2004–2016. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2018 May 4; 67(17):496. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6717e1 PMID: 29723166
- George JE. Present and future technologies for tick control. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2000 Dec; 916(1):583–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2000.tb05340.x PMID: 11193677
- Andreotti R, Guerrero FD, Soares MA, Barros JC, Miller RJ, Léon AP. Acaricide resistance of *Rhipice-phalus (Boophilus) microplus* in state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária. 2011 Jun; 20(2):127–33. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1984-29612011000200007 PMID: 21722487
- Graf JF, Gogolewski R, Leach-Bing N, Sabatini GA, Molento MB, Bordin EL, Arantes GJ. Tick control: an industry point of view. Parasitology. 2004 Oct; 129(S1):S427–42. https://doi.org/10.1017/ s0031182004006079 PMID: 15938522
- Li AY, Davey RB, Miller RJ, George JE. Resistance to coumaphos and diazinon in *Boophilus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) and evidence for the involvement of an oxidative detoxification mechanism. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2003 Jul 1; 40(4):482–90. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-40.4.482 PMID: 14680115
- Guerrero FD, Li AY, Hernandez R. Molecular diagnosis of pyrethroid resistance in Mexican strains of Boophilus microplus (Acari: Ixodidae). Journal of Medical entomology. 2002 Sep 1; 39(5):770–6. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-39.5.770 PMID: 12349861
- Willadsen P, Bird P, Cobon GS, Hungerford J. Commercialization of a recombinant vaccine against Boophilus microplus. Parasitology. 1995; 110 Suppl:S43–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/</u> s0031182000001487 PMID: 7784128
- Valle MR, Mèndez L, Valdez M, Redondo M, Espinosa CM, Vargas M, Cruz RL, Barrios HP, Seoane G, Ramirez ES, Boue O. Integrated control of *Boophilus microplus* ticks in Cuba based on vaccination with the anti-tick vaccine Gavac. Experimental & applied acarology. 2004 Nov 1; 34(3–4):375–82. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10493-004-1389-6 PMID: 15651533
- Brossard M. The use of vaccines and genetically resistant animals in tick control. Revue scientifique et technique-Office international des épizooties. 1998 Apr 1; 17:188–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.17.1.</u> 1086 PMID: 9638810
- de la Fuente J, Almazán C, Canales M, de la Lastra JM, Kocan KM, Willadsen P. A ten-year review of commercial vaccine performance for control of tick infestations on cattle. Animal Health Research Reviews. 2007 Jun; 8(1):23–8. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1466252307001193 PMID: 17692140
- De la Fuente J, Kocan KM, Blouin EF. Tick vaccines and the transmission of tick-borne pathogens. Veterinary research communications. 2007 Aug 1; 31(1):85–90. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00030 PMID: 23847771
- 14. de la Fuente J, Moreno-Cid JA, Canales M, Villar M, de la Lastra JM, Kocan KM, Galindo RC, Almazán C, Blouin EF. Targeting arthropod subolesin/akirin for the development of a universal vaccine for control of vector infestations and pathogen transmission. Veterinary parasitology. 2011 Sep 8; 181(1):17–22 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.04.018 PMID: 21561715
- Marcelino I, De Almeida AM, Ventosa M, Pruneau L, Meyer DF, Martinez D, Lefrançois T, Vachiéry N, Coelho AV. Tick-borne diseases in cattle: applications of proteomics to develop new generation vaccines. Journal of proteomics. 2012 Jul 19; 75(14):4232–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2012.03.026 PMID: 22480908
- Zhang XC, Zhang LX, Li WH, Wang SW, Sun YL, Wang YY, Guan ZZ, Liu XJ, Yang YS, Zhang SG, Yu HL. Ehrlichiosis and zoonotic anaplasmosis in suburban areas of Beijing, China. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. 2012 Nov 1; 12(11):932–7. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2012.0961 PMID: 23025695
- Radulović ŽM, Kim TK, Porter LM, Sze SH, Lewis L, Mulenga A. A 24–48 h fed Amblyomma americanum tick saliva immuno-proteome. BMC genomics. 2014 Dec; 15(1):518. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/</u> 1471-2164-15-518 PMID: 24962723
- Mulenga A, Sugimoto C, Onuma M. Issues in tick vaccine development: identification and characterization of potential candidate vaccine antigens. Microbes and Infection. 2000 Sep 1; 2(11):1353–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(00)01289-2 PMID: 11018452
- Mulenga A, Sugino M, Nakajima M, Sugimoto C, Onuma M. Tick-Encoded serine proteinase inhibitors (serpins); potential target antigens for tick vaccine development. Journal of Veterinary Medical Science. 2001; 63(10):1063–9. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.63.1063 PMID: 11714020

- Kotál J, Langhansová H, Lieskovská J, Andersen JF, Francischetti IM, Chavakis T, Kopecký J, Pedra JH, Kotsyfakis M, Chmelař J. Modulation of host immunity by tick saliva. Journal of proteomics. 2015 Oct 14; 128:58–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.07.005 PMID: 26189360
- Šimo L, Kazimirova M, Richardson J, Bonnet SI. The essential role of tick salivary glands and saliva in tick feeding and pathogen transmission. Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology. 2017 Jun 22; 7:281. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00281 PMID: 28690983
- Hermance ME, Santos RI, Kelly BC, Valbuena G, Thangamani S. Immune cell targets of infection at the tick-skin interface during Powassan virus transmission. PLoS One. 2016 May 20; 11(5):e0155889. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155889 PMID: 27203436
- Anderson JM, Moore IN, Nagata BM, Ribeiro J, Valenzuela JG, Sonenshine DE. Ticks, *Ixodes scapularis*, feed repeatedly on white-footed mice despite strong inflammatory response: an expanding paradigm for understanding tick–host interactions. Frontiers in immunology. 2017 Dec 18; 8:1784. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01784 PMID: 29326693</u>
- Langhansova H, Bopp T, Schmitt E, Kopecký J. Tick saliva increases production of three chemokines including monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, a histamine-releasing cytokine. Parasite immunology. 2015 Feb; 37(2):92–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12168 PMID: 25545116
- Lima e Silva MF, Szabo MP, Bechara GH. Microscopic Features of Tick-Bite Lesions in Anteaters and Armadillos: Emas National Park and the Pantanal Region of Brazil. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2004 Oct; 1026(1):235–41. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1307.036 PMID: 15604499
- Arango Duque G, Descoteaux A. Macrophage cytokines: involvement in immunity and infectious diseases. Front Immunol 2014 Oct 7; 5:491. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00491 PMID: 25339958
- Zhou D, Huang C, Lin Z, Zhan S, Kong L, Fang C, Li J. Macrophage polarization and function with emphasis on the evolving roles of coordinated regulation of cellular signaling pathways. Cellular signaling. 2014 Feb 1; 26(2):192–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.11.004 PMID: 24219909
- Brake DK, Wikel SK, Tidwell JP, de León AA. *Rhipicephalus microplus* salivary gland molecules induce differential CD86 expression in murine macrophages. Parasites & vectors. 2010 Dec; 3(1):103. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-3-103 PMID: 21054882</u>
- Poole NM, Mamidanna G, Smith RA, Coons LB, Cole JA. Prostaglandin E 2 in tick saliva regulates macrophage cell migration and cytokine profile. Parasites & vectors. 2013 Dec; 6(1):261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-261 PMID: 24025197</u>
- Kramer CD, Poole NM, Coons LB, Cole JA. Tick saliva regulates migration, phagocytosis, and gene expression in the macrophage-like cell line, IC-21. Experimental parasitology. 2011 Mar 1; 127(3):665– 71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2010.11.012 PMID: 21145320
- Rodrigues V, Fernandez B, Vercoutere A, Chamayou L, Andersen A, Vigy O, Demettre E, Seveno M, Aprelon R, Giraud-Girard K, Stachurski F. Immunomodulatory effects of *Amblyomma variegatum* saliva on bovine cells: characterization of cellular responses and identification of molecular determinants. Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology. 2018 Jan 4; 7:521.<u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017</u>. 00521 PMID: 29354598
- de Abreu MR, Pereira MC, Simioni PU, Nodari EF, Paiatto LN, Camargo-Mathias MI. Immunomodulatory and morphophysiological effects of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* sl (Acari: Ixodidae) salivary gland extracts. Veterinary immunology and immunopathology. 2019 Jan 1; 207:36–45. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.vetimm.2018.11.017 PMID: 30593349
- Wasala NB, Jaworski DC. Dermacentor variabilis: characterization and modeling of macrophage migration inhibitory factor with phylogenetic comparisons to other ticks, insects and parasitic nematodes. Experimental parasitology. 2012 Mar 1; 130(3):232–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.010</u> PMID: 22306068
- Wasala NB, Bowen CJ, Jaworski DC. Expression and regulation of macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) in feeding American dog ticks, *Dermacentor variabilis*. Experimental and applied acarology. 2012 Jun 1; 57(2):179–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-012-9550-0 PMID: 22476444
- 35. Jaworski DC, Jasinskas A, Metz CN, Bucala R, Barbour AG. Identification and characterization of a homologue of the pro-inflammatory cytokine Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor in the tick, *Amblyomma americanum*. Insect molecular biology. 2001 Aug; 10(4):323–31. https://doi.org/10.1046/j. 0962-1075.2001.00271.x PMID: 11520355
- Jaworski DC, Bowen CJ, Wasala NB. Amblyomma americanum (L): tick macrophage migration inhibitory factor peptide immunization lengthens lone star tick feeding intervals *in vivo*. Experimental parasitology. 2009 Apr 1; 121(4):384–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2008.12.003 PMID: 19111543
- 37. Wang X, Shaw DK, Sakhon OS, Snyder GA, Sundberg EJ, Santambrogio L, Sutterwala FS, Dumler JS, Shirey KA, Perkins DJ, Richard K. The tick protein Sialostatin L2 binds to Annexin A2 and inhibits NLRC4-mediated inflammasome activation. Infection and immunity. 2016 Jun 1; 84(6):1796–805. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01526-15 PMID: 27045038

- Mulenga A, Blandon M, Khumthong R. The molecular basis of the Amblyomma americanum tick attachment phase. Experimental and Applied Acarology. 2007 Apr 1; 41(4):267–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-007-9064-3 PMID: 17406795
- Radulović ŽM, Porter LM, Kim TK, Bakshi M, Mulenga A. Amblyomma americanum tick saliva insulinlike growth factor binding protein-related protein 1 binds insulin but not insulin-like growth factors. Insect molecular biology. 2015 Oct; 24(5):539–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12180 PMID: 26108887
- Porter LM, Radulović ŽM, Mulenga A. A repertoire of protease inhibitor families in *Amblyomma americanum* and other tick species: inter-species comparative analyses. Parasites & vectors. 2017 Dec; 10 (1):152. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2080-1 PMID: 28330502
- Tirloni L, Kim TK, Pinto AF, Yates JR III, da Silva Vaz I Jr, Mulenga A. Tick-host range adaptation: changes in protein profiles in unfed adult *Ixodes scapularis* and *Amblyomma americanum* saliva stimulated to feed on different hosts. Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology. 2017 Dec 19; 7:517. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00517 PMID: 29312895
- Radulović ŽM, Kim TK, Porter LM, Sze SH, Lewis L, Mulenga A. A 24–48 h fed Amblyomma americanum tick saliva immuno-proteome. BMC genomics. 2014 Dec; 15(1):518. PMID: 24962723
- Mulenga A, Khumthong R. Silencing of three Amblyomma americanum (L.) insulin-like growth factor binding protein-related proteins prevents ticks from feeding to repletion. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2010 Apr 1; 213(7):1153–61. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.035204 PMID: 20228352
- Tirloni L, Kim TK, Berger M, Termignoni C, da Silva Vaz I Jr, Mulenga A. Amblyomma americanum serpin 27 (AAS27) is a tick salivary anti-inflammatory protein secreted into the host during feeding. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2019 Aug 26; 13(8):e0007660. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd</u>. 0007660 PMID: 31449524
- Cavaillon JM. Cytokines and macrophages. Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy. 1994 Jan 1; 48(10):445– 53. https://doi.org/10.1016/0753-3322(94)90005-1 PMID: 7858154
- Wynn TA, Chawla A, Pollard JW. Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. Nature. 2013 Apr; 496(7446):445. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12034 PMID: 23619691
- Lanier LL, O, Somoza C, Phillips JH, Linsley PS, Okumura K, Ito D, Azuma M. CD80 (B7) and CD86 (B70) provide similar costimulatory signals for T cell proliferation, cytokine production, and generation of CTL. The Journal of Immunology. 1995 Jan 1; 154(1):97–105. PMID: 7527824
- Fleischer J, Soeth E, Reiling N, Grage-Griebenow E, FLAD HD, Ernst M. Differential expression and function of CD80 (B7-1) and CD86 (B7-2) on human peripheral blood monocytes. Immunology. 1996 Dec; 89(4):592–8. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2567.1996.d01-785.x PMID: 9014827
- MacMicking J, Xie QW, Nathan C. Nitric oxide and macrophage function. Annual review of immunology. 1997 Apr; 15(1):323–50. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.15.1.323 PMID: 9143691
- Mills C. M1 and M2 macrophages: oracles of health and disease. Critical Reviews in Immunology. 2012; 32(6). https://doi.org/10.1615/critrevimmunol.v32.i6.10 PMID: 23428224
- Kotsyfakis M, Sa-Nunes A, Francischetti IM, Mather TN, Andersen JF, Ribeiro JM. Anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive activity of sialostatin L, a salivary cystatin from the tick *Ixodes scapularis*. J Biol Chem 2006 Sep 8; 281(36):26298–26307. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M513010200 PMID: 16772304
- 52. Oliveira CJ, Cavassani KA, Moré DD, Garlet GP, Aliberti JC, Silva JS, Ferreira BR. Tick saliva inhibits the chemotactic function of MIP-1α and selectively impairs chemotaxis of immature dendritic cells by down-regulating cell-surface CCR5. International journal for parasitology. 2008 May 1; 38(6):705–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.006 PMID: 18023445
- Guo X, Booth CJ, Paley MA, Wang X, DePonte K, Fikrig E, et al. Inhibition of neutrophil function by two tick salivary proteins. Infect Immun 2009 Jun; 77(6):2320–2329. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01507-08</u> PMID: 19332533
- Langhansova H, Bopp T, Schmitt E, Kopecký J. Tick saliva increases production of three chemokines including monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, a histamine-releasing cytokine. Parasite immunology. 2015 Feb; 37(2):92–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12168 PMID: 25545116
- Chmelar J, Calvo E, Pedra JH, Francischetti IM, Kotsyfakis M. Tick salivary secretion as a source of antihemostatics. Journal of proteomics. 2012 Jul 16; 75(13):3842–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot. 2012.04.026 PMID: 22564820
- 56. Strle K, Drouin EE, Shen S, Khoury JE, McHugh G, Ruzic-Sabljic E, Strle F, Steere AC. Borrelia burgdorferi stimulates macrophages to secrete higher levels of cytokines and chemokines than Borrelia afzelii or Borrelia garinii. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2009 Dec 15; 200(12):1936–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/648091</u> PMID: 19909078
- Jones KL, Muellegger RR, Means TK, Lee M, Glickstein LJ, Damle N, Sikand VK, Luster AD, Steere AC. Higher mRNA levels of chemokines and cytokines associated with macrophage activation in

erythema migrans skin lesions in patients from the United States than in patients from Austria with Lyme borreliosis. Clinical infectious diseases. 2008 Jan 1; 46(1):85–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/524022</u> PMID: 18171218

- Miura K, Matsuo J, Rahman MA, Kumagai Y, Li X, Rikihisa Y. Ehrlichia chaffeensis induces monocyte inflammatory responses through MyD88, ERK, and NF-kB but not through TRIF, interleukin-1 receptor 1 (IL-1R1)/IL-18R1, or Toll-like receptors. Infection and immunity. 2011 Dec 1; 79(12):4947–56. https:// doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05640-11 PMID: 21930764
- 59. Chen G, Severo MS, Sohail M, Sakhon OS, Wikel SK, Kotsyfakis M, Pedra JH. *Ixodes scapularis* saliva mitigates inflammatory cytokine secretion during *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* stimulation of immune cells. Parasites & vectors. 2012 Dec; 5(1):229. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-229 PMID: 23050849
- Hermance ME, Thangamani S. Proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines at the skin interface during Powassan virus transmission. The Journal of investigative dermatology. 2014 Aug; 134(8):2280. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.150 PMID: 24658509
- Nuttall PA. Tick saliva and its role in pathogen transmission. Wiener klinische Wochenschrift. 2019 May 6:1–2. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00508-019-1500-y PMID: 31062185
- Schoeler GB, Manweiler SA, Wikel SK. *Ixodes scapularis*: effects of repeated infestations with pathogen-free nymphs on macrophage and T lymphocyte cytokine responses of BALB/c and C3H/HeN mice. Experimental parasitology. 1999 Aug 1; 92(4):239–48. https://doi.org/10.1006/expr.1999.4426 PMID: 10425152
- Gwakisa P, Yoshihara K, To TL, Gotoh H, Amano F, Momotani E. Salivary gland extract of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks inhibits in vitro transcription and secretion of cytokines and production of nitric oxide by LPS-stimulated JA-4 cells. Veterinary Parasitology. 2001 Jul 31; 99(1):53–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017(01)00445-9 PMID: 11445155</u>
- 64. Ferreira BR, Silva JS. Saliva of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* tick impairs T cell proliferation and IFN-γinduced macrophage microbicidal activity. Veterinary immunology and immunopathology. 1998 Jul 31; 64(3):279–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-2427(98)00135-4 PMID: 9730222
- 65. Krause PJ, Grant-Kels JM, Tahan SR, Dardick KR, Alarcon-Chaidez F, Bouchard K, Visini C, Deriso C, Foppa IM, Wikel S. Dermatologic changes induced by repeated *Ixodes scapularis* bites and implications for prevention of tick-borne infection. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. 2009 Dec 1; 9(6):603–10. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2008.0091 PMID: 19196014
- 66. Glatz M, Means T, Haas J, Steere AC, Müllegger RR. Characterization of the early local immune response to *Ixodes ricinus* tick bites in human skin. Experimental dermatology. 2017 Mar; 26(3):263–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/exd.13207 PMID: 27623398
- Heinze DM, Carmical JR, Aronson JF, Thangamani S. Early immunologic events at the tick-host interface. PloS one. 2012 Oct 15; 7(10):e47301. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047301</u> PMID: 23077588
- Butterfield TA, Best TM, Merrick MA. The dual roles of neutrophils and macrophages in inflammation: a critical balance between tissue damage and repair. Journal of athletic training. 2006 Oct; 41(4):457. PMID: 17273473
- Fujiwara N, Kobayashi K. Macrophages in inflammation. Current Drug Targets-Inflammation & Allergy. 2005 Jun 1; 4(3):281–6. https://doi.org/10.2174/1568010054022024 PMID: 16101534
- 70. Freire MO, Van Dyke TE. Natural resolution of inflammation. Periodontology 2000. 2013 Oct; 63 (1):149–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12034 PMID: 23931059
- 71. Woldai S. The role of CD80 and CD86 in macrophage activation and its regulation following LPS stimulation (Doctoral dissertation, Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa).
- Moser B, Willimann K. Chemokines: role in inflammation and immune surveillance. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2004 Nov 1; 63(suppl 2):ii84–9. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2004.028316">https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2004.028316</a> PMID: 15479880
- 73. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O. Chemokines: a new classification system and their role in immunity. Immunity. 2000 Feb 1; 12(2):121–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-7613(00)80165-x PMID: 10714678
- 74. Mulenga A, Macaluso KR, Simser JA, Azad AF. The American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis*, encodes a functional histamine release factor homolog. Insect biochemistry and molecular biology. 2003 Sep 1; 33(9):911–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0965-1748(03)00097-3 PMID: 12915182
- 75. Mulenga A, Azad AF. The molecular and biological analysis of ixodid ticks histamine release factors. Experimental & applied acarology. 2005 Dec 1; 37(3–4):215–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-005-3261-8 PMID: 16323052</u>

- 76. Lani R, Moghaddam E, Haghani A, Chang LY, AbuBakar S, Zandi K. Tick-borne viruses: a review from the perspective of therapeutic approaches. Ticks and tick-borne diseases. 2014 Sep 1; 5(5):457–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2014.04.001 PMID: 24907187
- 77. Westover JB, Rigas JD, Van Wettere AJ, Li R, Hickerson BT, Jung KH, Miao J, Reynolds ES, Conrad BL, Nielson S, Furuta Y. Heartland virus infection in hamsters deficient in type I interferon signaling: Protracted disease course ameliorated by favipiravir. Virology. 2017 Nov 1; 511:175–83. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.virol.2017.08.004 PMID: 28865344
- 78. Portolano N, Watson PJ, Fairall L, Millard CJ, Milano CP, Song Y, et al. Recombinant protein expression for structural biology in HEK 293F suspension cells: a novel and accessible approach. J Vis Exp 2014 Oct 16;(92):e51897. (92):e51897. https://doi.org/10.3791/51897 PMID: 25349981
- 79. Longo PA, Kavran JM, Kim MS, Leahy DJ. Transient mammalian cell transfection with polyethylenimine (PEI). InMethods in enzymology 2013 Jan 1 (Vol. 529, pp. 227–240). Academic Press. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/B978-0-12-418687-3.00018-5</u> PMID: 24011049
- Winter CA, Risley EA, Nuss GW. Carrageenan-induced edema in hind paw of the rat as an assay for anti-inflammatory drugs. Proceedings of the society for experimental biology and medicine. 1962 Dec; 111(3):544–7. https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-111-27849 PMID: 14001233
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2- ΔΔCT method. methods. 2001 Dec 1; 25(4):402–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262</u> PMID: 11846609
- Dann SM, Spehlmann ME, Hammond DC, Iimura M, Hase K, Choi LJ, Hanson E, Eckmann L. IL-6dependent mucosal protection prevents establishment of a microbial niche for attaching/effacing lesionforming enteric bacterial pathogens. The Journal of Immunology. 2008 May 15; 180(10):6816–26. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.10.6816 PMID: 18453602
- Mazur PK, Herner A, Mello SS, Wirth M, Hausmann S, Sánchez-Rivera FJ, Lofgren SM, Kuschma T, Hahn SA, Vangala D, Trajkovic-Arsic M. Combined inhibition of BET family proteins and histone deacetylases as a potential epigenetics-based therapy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Nature medicine. 2015 Oct; 21(10):1163. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3952 PMID: 26390243
- 84. Guma M, Ronacher L, Liu-Bryan R, Takai S, Karin M, Corr M. Caspase 1–independent activation of interleukin-1β in neutrophil-predominant inflammation. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology. 2009 Dec; 60(12):3642–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24959</u> PMID: 19950258
- Vicente-Suarez I, Takahashi Y, Cheng F, Horna P, Wang HW, Wang HG, Sotomayor EM. Identification of a novel negative role of flagellin in regulating IL-10 production. European journal of immunology. 2007 Nov; 37(11):3164–75. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.200737306 PMID: 17948265
- Morgado P, Sudarshana DM, Gov L, Harker KS, Lam T, Casali P, et al. Type II *Toxoplasma gondii* induction of CD40 on infected macrophages enhances interleukin-12 responses. Infect Immun 2014 Oct; 82(10):4047–4055. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01615-14 PMID: 25024369
- Li JG, Du YM, Yan ZD, Yan J, Zhuansun YX, Chen R, Zhang W, Feng SL, Ran PX. CD80 and CD86 knockdown in dendritic cells regulates Th1/Th2 cytokine production in asthmatic mice. Experimental and therapeutic medicine. 2016 Mar 1; 11(3):878–84. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2016.2989 PMID: 26998006
- Saluzzo S, Gorki AD, Rana BM, Martins R, Scanlon S, Starkl P, Lakovits K, Hladik A, Korosec A, Sharif O, Warszawska JM. First-breath-induced type 2 pathways shape the lung immune environment. Cell reports. 2017 Feb 21; 18(8):1893–905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.01.071 PMID: 28228256
- Bando Y, Hagiwara Y, Suzuki Y, Yoshida K, Aburakawa Y, Kimura T, Murakami C, Ono M, Tanaka T, Jiang YP, Mitrovi B. Kallikrein 6 secreted by oligodendrocytes regulates the progression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Glia. 2018 Feb; 66(2):359–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.23249</u> PMID: 29086442
- 90. Chang CT, Lin H, Ho TY, Li CC, Lo HY, Wu SL, Huang YF, Liang JA, Hsiang CY. Comprehensive assessment of host responses to ionizing radiation by nuclear factor-κB bioluminescence imaging-guided transcriptomic analysis. PloS one. 2011 Aug 24; 6(8):e23682. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023682</u> PMID: 21887294
- Davis MJ, Tsang TM, Qiu Y, Dayrit JK, Freij JB, Huffnagle GB, Olszewski MA. Macrophage M1/M2 polarization dynamically adapts to changes in cytokine microenvironments in *Cryptococcus neoformans* infection. MBio. 2013 Jul 1; 4(3):e00264–13. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00264-13 PMID: 23781069