Cell Wall Ingrowths in Nematode Induced Syncytia Require UGD2 and UGD3

Shahid Siddique^{1[¤]}, Miroslaw Sobczak², Raimund Tenhaken³, Florian M. W. Grundler^{1[¤]}, Holger Bohlmann¹*

1 Division of Plant Protection, Department of Crop Sciences, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria, 2 Department of Botany, Warsaw University of Life Sciences (SGGW), Warsaw, Poland, 3 Division of Plant Physiology, University of Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

Abstract

The cyst nematode *Heterodera schachtii* infects roots of Arabidopsis plants and establishes feeding sites called syncytia, which are the only nutrient source for nematodes. Development of syncytia is accompanied by changes in cell wall structures including the development of cell wall ingrowths. UDP-glucuronic acid is a precursor of several cell wall polysaccharides and can be produced by UDP-glucose dehydrogenase through oxidation of UDP-glucose. Four genes in Arabidopsis encode this enzyme. Promoter::GUS analysis revealed that *UGD2* and *UGD3* were expressed in syncytia as early as 1 dpi while expression of *UGD1* and *UGD4* could only be detected starting at 2 dpi. Infection assays showed no differences between $\Delta ugd1$ and $\Delta ugd4$ single mutants and wild type plants concerning numbers of males and females and the size of syncytia and cysts. On single mutants of $\Delta ugd2$ and $\Delta ugd3$, however, less and smaller females, and smaller syncytia formed compared to wild type plants. The double mutant $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ had a stronger effect than the single mutants. These data indicate that *UGD2* and *UGD3* but not *UGD1* and *UGD4* are important for syncytium development. We therefore studied the ultrastructure of syncytia in the $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutant. Syncytia contained an electron translucent cytoplasm with degenerated cellular organelles and numerous small vacuoles instead of the dense cytoplasm as in syncytia developing in wild type roots. Typical cell wall ingrowths were missing in the $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutant. Therefore we conclude that *UGD2* and *UGD3* are needed for the production of cell wall ingrowths in syncytia and that their lack leads to a reduced host suitability for *H. schachtii* resulting in smaller syncytia, lower number of developing nematodes, and smaller females.

Citation: Siddique S, Sobczak M, Tenhaken R, Grundler FMW, Bohlmann H (2012) Cell Wall Ingrowths in Nematode Induced Syncytia Require UGD2 and UGD3. PLoS ONE 7(7): e41515. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041515

Editor: Diane Bassham, Iowa State University, United States of America

Received March 14, 2012; Accepted June 21, 2012; Published July 26, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Siddique et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This research was supported by grants P16296-B06 and P20471-B11 of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF, www.fwf.ac.at) and by grant 116/N-COST/2008 of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (www.nauka.gov.pl). Shahid Siddique was supported by Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (HEC, www.hec.gov.pk). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: holger.bohlmann@boku.ac.at

¤ Current address: INRES, Department of Molecular Phytomedicine, University Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Introduction

According to their lifestyle, root parasitic nematodes can be divided into sedentary and migratory parasites. Sedentary nematodes induce sophisticated feeding sites in the roots and these feeding sites are the sole source of nutrients throughout their life. There are two important groups of sedentary endoparasitic nematodes: the cyst nematodes, which include the genera *Heterodera* and *Globodera*; and the root-knot nematodes, which include the genus *Meloidogyne*. Cyst and root-knot nematode infection results in the formation of feeding sites termed "syncytia" and "giant cells", respectively. Cyst nematodes are of agricultural concern mainly in soybean (*H. glycines*), sugarbeet (*H. schachtii*), wheat (*H. avenae*) and potato (*G. pallida* and *G. rostochiensis*).

H. schachtii is a major parasite of sugarbeet but also infects brassicaceous plants such as cabbage, oil seed rape, and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The interaction between *H. schachtii* and Arabidopsis has been developed into a well established model system [1]. Infective second stage juveniles (J2) invade the plant roots near the root tip and migrate intracellularly towards the vascular cylinder where they select a single cell (initial syncytial cell, ISC) to induce a syncytium. After an ISC is selected, the nematode remains

motionless for 6–8 h and prepares for feeding in accordance with its sedentary mode of life (feeding preparation period) [2]. Once the feeding preparation period is completed the nematode starts feeding and the ISC develops into a syncytium by incorporation of neighbouring cells [3].

During the following two weeks, nematodes continue to draw nutrients from syncytia and develop into males or females after molting three times (J3, J4 and adult). A female-associated syncytium is composed of around two hundred cells [4] and reaches its maximum size about 10 days after infection [5]. Cyst nematodes are dimorphic but the mechanism of sex determination is not clearly understood. Under favourable conditions with a sufficient supply of nutrients, the majority of juveniles develop into females. However, when juveniles are exposed to adverse growth conditions, e.g. in resistant plants, the percentage of male nematodes increases considerably [6]. Factors such as penetration rate, size of nematodes, size and development of syncytium, and number of eggs are all influenced by the host plant [7,8,9].

The root cells that are incorporated into the syncytium undergo drastic ultrastructural changes. Their nuclei become hypertrophied and the cytoplasm condenses with increasing numbers of

UGD in Syncytia

mitochondria, plastids, ribosomes and structures of endoplasmic reticulum. Additionally, the large central vacuole is replaced by several small vacuoles [10]. All these structural modifications within the syncytium require massive transcriptomic and metabolomic changes within the host cells that have been studied extensively [9,11,12,13,14]. An important aspect of this reorganization inside syncytia is the modification of cell walls [9,11,12]. On one hand, cell walls between the syncytial elements are locally dissolved to incorporate neighbouring cells into the syncytium [10,15]. On the other hand, outer cell walls are extended and thickened to withstand the increased turgor pressure inside the syncytium [10,12]. The first change in cell wall structure appears just after the selection of an ISC. A thin layer of electron translucent material is deposited on the walls of the ISC and a few neighbouring cells [16]. Currently, not much is known about the composition of syncytial cell walls. However, some histological studies have shown the presence of cellulose, hemicelluloses and pectins [16,17]. In addition to these changes, syncytia associated with female nematodes develop pronounced cell wall ingrowths at the interface with xylem vessels, similar to the ones found in transfer cells of plants [16,18,19,20]. These ingrowths are first observed at the end of the J3 stage and show the same staining pattern as observed for the remaining syncytial cell walls. The plasmalemma of the syncytium follows the outline of cell wall ingrowths and many cellular organelles are situated in the vicinity of these ingrowths. In J4 and adult females, earlier formed cell wall ingrowths are fused to form distinct depositions on cell walls of the syncytium. However, new ingrowths are continuously formed [10,16,21,22]. The occurrence of cell wall ingrowths leads to an increase in surface area of the plasma membrane at the interface between syncytium and xylem elements, which in turn increases short distance water and nutrient transport into the syncytium. In contrast to females, cell wall ingrowths in syncytia associated with a male nematode are short and stubby [22]. Additionally, these are immediately fused after deposition. The described cell wall modifications require the activity of cell wall modifying enzymes. Indeed, expression of cell wall modifying proteins such as expansins, endoglucanases, methyl esterases and extensins has been detected in syncytia as well as in surrounding tissues [for review see 21]. On the other hand, cell wall polysaccharides have to be synthesized for the thickening of the outer cell wall of the syncytium and the production of cell wall depositions.

UDP-glucuronic acid (UDP-GlcA) is a major precursor for several cell wall polysaccharides. Two pathways contribute to the synthesis of this nucleotide sugar in plants (Figure 1). Under normal growth conditions, the pathway via the enzyme UDPglucose dehydrogenase (UGD) is dominant for UDP-GlcA production [23,24]. UGD oxidizes UDP-glucose to UDP-GlcA. A family of 4 genes in the Arabidopsis genome encodes UGD (UGD1, UGD2, UGD3 and UGD4). UGD1 has a weak expression in roots while the other 3 genes (UGD2, UGD3 and UGD4) are strongly expressed in roots [25]. UGD1 has generally a low expression level and UGD2 and UGD3 show a very strong expression in stems. According to GeneChip data published by Szakasits et al. [14], all four UGD genes are expressed in syncytia induced by H. schachtii in Arabidopsis roots at 5 and 15 dpi. However, UGD2 and UGD3 did not show a difference in expression level in syncytia compared to control root segments. Expression of UGD1 was significantly upregulated in syncytia compared to control root segments while expression of UGD4 was significantly down-regulated.

The myo-inositol oxygenase (MIOX) pathway produces additional UDP-GlcA from myo-inositol. The key enzyme of this pathway is myo-inositol oxygenase (MIOX), which is encoded by a family of 4 genes in the Arabidopsis genome. MIOX1 and MIOX2 are expressed in seedlings while MIOX4 and MIOX5 are highly expressed in pollen [26]. During a previous study, we observed that all 4 MIOX genes were expressed at high levels in syncytia, indicating the importance of this pathway for the development of syncytia. Indeed, double mutants of the 4 MIOX genes showed significantly reduced development of *H. schachtii* [9]. However, we did not find evidence that the lower susceptibility of *MIOX* double mutants might be due to an impairment in cell wall synthesis as could have been concluded since it is known that the MIOX pathway leads to the production of the cell wall polysaccharide precursor UDP-GlcA. Therefore, the significance of this pathway for the production of UDP-GlcA in plants and syncytia remained unknown. Recently, Endres et al. [27] reported a quadruple mutant for all MIOX genes in Arabidopsis, but also in this case no change in cell wall structure and composition was detected [27].

In the current study we investigated the role of UGD genes for the development of syncytia and nematodes on Arabidopsis roots. We report here the expression analysis of UGD genes in Arabidopsis roots infected with *H. schachtii*. The analysis of the UGD mutants showed that UGD2 and UGD3 but not UGD1 and UGD4 are important for the development of syncytia and nematodes. Our results indicate that UGD2 and UGD3 are essential for the formation of cell wall ingrowths on the outer cell walls of syncytia.

Results

UGD expression Analysis

A recent transcriptome analysis [14] had shown that expression of *UGD1* was significantly upregulated in syncytia compared to control root segments (Table S1) while the expression of *UGD2* and *UGD3* remained unchanged. Expression of *UGD4* was significantly downregulated in syncytia compared to control roots. We confirmed these data using qRT-PCR of syncytia that were cut out from infected roots (Figure 2). The results obtained for the four *UGD* genes were in line with the GeneChip data.

For a more detailed analysis of UGD gene expression in syncytia we performed GUS expression analysis of promoter::GUS fusions for all four UGD genes was carried out in a time course analysis starting as early as 1 dpi. We did not see any GUS expression for UGD1 at 1 dpi (Figure 3A). At this time point, GUS staining was observed for UGD2 and UGD3 in infected tissues (Figure 3B and 3C). For UGD4, expression was present only in a few syncytia and spread also into the vicinity of syncytia (Figure 3D). At 2 dpi, GUS expression was present in syncytia for all four UGD genes (Figure 3E-2H). However, reporter activity was strongest in case of UGD2 and UGD3. The majority of syncytia showed a moderate GUS expression for UGD1 at 2 dpi. However, a significant (approximately 25%) number of syncytia did not show any specific staining for UGD1 at 2 dpi. At 3 dpi, all four UGD genes showed the same expression pattern as at 2 dpi (data not shown). At 7 dpi, expression of all four UGD genes was detected in syncytia (Figure 3I-2N). This expression was most intense in the case of UGD2 and UGD3, similar to the pattern observed at 2 dpi. At 10 dpi, all four genes were expressed in syncytia associated with female nematodes. However, in the case of UGD3 expression was turned off in the majority (approximately 63%) of syncytia (Figure 3O). There was no expression detected for any of the UGD genes in syncytia at 10 dpi associated with male nematodes (Figure S1). In general, UGD2 and UGD3 showed a similar expression pattern throughout the analysis. However, in addition to syncytia, both of these genes were also expressed in uninfected



Figure 1. Pathways for the synthesis of UDP-Glucuronic acid and derivatives as adapted from [24]. UDP-D-GlcA is a precursor of several cell wall polysaccharides and is synthesized by two pathways. The main pathway involves oxidation of UDP-D-Glc into UDP-D-GlcA by enzyme UGD (Red). Alternative involves conversion of inositol into D-GlcA by enzyme MIOX (blue). D-GlcA is further converted into UDP-D-GlcA. UDP-D-GlcA: UDP-D-G

parts of the roots. *UGD1* showed occasional expression within the vascular cylinder (Figure S2A and S2B). *UGD2* was expressed in lateral roots and in the elongation zone of the roots (Figure S2C and S2D). *UGD3* was strongly expressed in young parts of the lateral roots whereas its expression was almost absent in older roots (Figure 3K, 3O and S2E). For *UGD4*, a rather weak GUS expression was found in control roots but a strong expression was occasionally observed in lateral roots especially in the elongation zone of young lateral roots (Figure S2F). This weak GUS expression of *UGD4* is in contrast to gene expression data from AtGenexpress, which are based on a GeneChip analysis of the root transcriptome [25]. In addition to AtGenexpress, we also extracted

the expression data of the four *UGD* genes from the AREX database (Figure S3).

Infection Assays

We have previously shown the importance of *MIOX* genes for the development of syncytia. Since all *UGD* genes were expressed in syncytia and since UGD is also involved in the synthesis of UDP-GlcA, we were interested to test if *UGD* genes are also needed for the development of syncytia. We first tested the available *UGD* single T-DNA knockout mutants. The mutants $\Delta ugd1$, $\Delta ugd2$, and $\Delta ugd3$ were in the Col background and were compared with the Col wild type (Figure 4A and B) while $\Delta ugd4$ was in the Ws background and was therefore compared to the Ws



Figure 2. Expression of *UGD* **genes in syncytia.** Expression of *UGD* genes as measured by qRT-PCR relative to the expression of 18 S RNA. Transcripts were measured from root segments containing syncytium at 15 dpi and compared with corresponding control root segments. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041515.g002

wild type (Figure 4C). Two weeks after inoculation, when males and females could be clearly distinguished, the numbers of females and males were counted. No significant difference was observed in case of $\Delta ugd1$ and $\Delta ugd4$ single mutants. However, $\Delta ugd2$ and $\Delta ugd3$ showed a significant reduction (20%) in the average number of females compared to wild type plants (Figure 4A). There was no change in the average number of males in these lines. In addition to the average numbers of nematodes, we also measured the size of syncytia and the size of females (Figure 4B and 4C). We observed that $\Delta ugd2$ and $\Delta ugd3$ but not $\Delta ugd1$ and $\Delta ugd4$ resulted in a significant reduction in the size of syncytia and females. Thus, only UGD2 and UGD3 but not UGD1 and UGD4 had a significant effect on syncytium and nematode development. We therefore also used the available double mutant $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ in a nematode infection assay as described above. This double mutant showed different phenotypes when grown on MS agar [24]. Some seedlings had no primary root but developed secondary roots several days after germination. Other seedlings had shorter primary roots and the secondary roots developed one or two days later than the wild type, but otherwise they looked normal. It is important to note that we used only those plants which developed primary roots for analysis and that we corrected the data for the decreased size of the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant by referring to average root length (see details in Material and Methods). Compared to the single mutants, the number of female nematodes on the double mutant was drastically reduced to only 50-60% of the wild type level. In addition, the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant also showed a 40% reduction in the number of male nematodes. Although not significant, the number of males that developed on the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant seemed to be higher than the number of females. The size of females and syncytia was reduced by 30%.

Ultrastructural Analysis of Syncytia

The strong effect of the double mutant prompted us to study the development of syncytia at the ultrastructural level in a time course analysis at 3 dpi, 5 dpi and 10 dpi (Figure 5). We also compared

the anatomy of uninfected roots of the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant and of wild type plants, but neither ultrastructural nor anatomical differences could be found (Figure S4F and S4G versus S4A and S4B). However, investigations on syncytia revealed significant changes in roots of the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ mutant. In general, syncytia induced in roots of $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutant were smaller than those induced in wild type plants (Figure S4H-J versus S4C-E). Additionally, indications of aberrant syncytium development similar to senescence were observed at all time points studied. At 3 dpi, syncytia developing in wild type plants contained an electron dense cytoplasm with numerous organelles (Figure 5A). Dense cytoplasm was lacking in syncytia from $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ mutant roots (Figure 5B). Instead, they contained an electron translucent cytoplasm with numerous small vacuoles. Cellular organelles, especially mitochondria and structures of endoplasmic reticulum, looked changed or degenerated (Figure 5F-H). The mitochondria had an electron translucent matrix and short and dilated cristae (Figure 5G) even in those few syncytia that still had electron dense cytoplasm (Figure 5H). At all examined developmental time points of syncytia clumps of chromatin or nucleoli remnants without membrane envelope were present in syncytia of the the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ mutant (Figure 5B and H). A similar situation was observed in syncytia at 5 dpi (Figure 5C and D). Again, most of the examined syncytia developing in $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ roots contained an electron translucent cytoplasm with aberrant organelles and nuclei (Figure 5D). Only some of them contained dense cytoplasm albeit with degenerated organelles. Short and collapsed cell wall ingrowths were observed in only one of the syncytial samples at 5 dpi and cell wall ingrowths were missing in all samples collected from wild type plants at the same time point (Figure 5C). At 10 dpi, syncytia induced in $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ roots appeared degenerated, containing many vacuoles and aberrant organelles. Cell wall protuberances were not found in these samples (Figure 5F) compared to wild-type syncytia where these were present in all samples examined (Figure 5E). In some cases syncytia in the double mutant developed normally with an electron dense



Figure 3. Expression of GUS driven by *UGD* **promoters in infected Arabidopsis roots.** At 1 dpi GUS expression was observed in *UGD2*, *UGD3* and *UGD4* lines. No expression was observed in the *UGD1* line. At 2 dpi and 7 dpi expression of all four *UGD* genes was detected in syncytia. In addition, *UGD2* and *UGD3* expression was also observed in tissues outside syncytia. Expression of *UGD4* sometimes extended beyond the syncytium (*UGD4*, 7 dpi). Expression of all *UGD* genes was detected in 10 dpi syncytia. Promoter::*GUS* assay of *UGD1* (A, E, I and M), *UGD2* (B, F, J and N), *UGD3* (C, G, K and O) and *UGD4* (D, H, L and P) at 1 dpi (A–D), 2 dpi (E–H), 7 dpi (I–L) and 10 dpi (M–P). N-nematode. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041515.g003

cytoplasm, similar to that found in syncytia induced in roots of wild-type Arabidopsis plants. Also in these syncytia we did not find cell wall ingrowths (data not shown).

Cell Wall Composition Analysis

The drastic changes in ultrastructure of syncytia induced in the roots of the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant as well as a recent study of cell walls in leaves of the $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutant [24] indicated a possible change in cell wall composition of syncytia. We therefore compared the cell wall composition of syncytia in wild type roots with that of syncytia in $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant roots. Syncytia associated with female nematodes were dissected (after removing the nematodes) from wild type as well as $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant roots at 15 dpi. These samples as well as segments from control roots were analysed by high performance anion exchange chromatography and electrochemical pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) for differences in the amount of cell wall monomer sugars (Figure 6). Our analysis showed that uninfected roots of the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ mutant contained significantly less xylose (-43%) mannose (-58%) and glucuronic acid (-37%) compared to uninfected roots of wild type plants. Similarly, root

segments of the double mutant $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ harboring syncytia contained significantly less xylose (-20%), galacturonic acid (-33%), and glucuronic acid (-16%) but more galactose (+25%) compared to corresponding segments from wild type Arabidopsis plants.

Immunolabelling of Cell Wall Polymers in Roots and Syncytia

Since cell wall composition cannot be determined for pure syncytium material, we also used immunolabelling as an additional method allowing direct examination of syncytial cell walls. Monoclonal antibodies recognizing particular cell wall epitopes were used to test for larger quantitative differences of certain polymers in the cell wall of syncytia from wild type and $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ roots. We focused on three antibodies: LM5 (galactan), LM6 (arabinan) and JIM7 (partially methylated pectin) because these antibodies had revealed differences in hypocotyl cell walls of wild type and $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant plants before [24]. However, as shown in supplementary Figure S5, the cell walls of the syncytia did not show major differences in the labelling pattern and signal



Figure 4. Infection assay of single and double knockout mutants for *UGD* **genes.** Average numbers of males and females were counted at 14 dpi and were normalized to the length??/area of the root. The wild type was set as 100%. Size of syncytia and associated females were measured at 14 dpi. 20–30 syncytia and attached females were randomly selected and their sizes were determined. These values were converted into percentages (%) setting the wild type values as 100%. Three independent replicates were carried out. Values are means \pm SE (standard error). Data were analysed for significant difference using ANOVA (p<0.05) and LSD tests. Asterisks indicate significant difference to wild type. (A) Numbers of males and females for Col wild type, $\Delta ugd1$, $\Delta ugd2$, $\Delta ugd3$, and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutants. (B) Sizes of females and associated syncytia for Col wild type, $\Delta ugd1$, $\Delta ugd2$, $\Delta ugd3$, and $\Delta Logd24$ mutant in the Columbia background was available and therefore a mutant in the WS background was used. Number of males and females were counted at 14 dpi. Similarly, areas of syncytia and females were counted at 14 dpi. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041515.g004

strength when we compared syncytia from wild type and $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ roots.

Discussion

Cell wall ingrowths are generally found in transfer cells which are ubiquitously distributed in all plants [19]. Ingrowths lead to an increase of the area at the boundary between symplast and apoplast and thus allow a higher exchange rate of solutes and nutrients. Transfer cells have for instance been studied in the endosperm of cereals where they are involved in the import of nutrients into the embryo and in minor leaf veins where they also facilitate loading and unloading of nutrients. Not much is known to date about genes that are involved in regulating the formation of cell wall ingrowths. Recently, GIGANTEA was identified as a regulator of cell wall ingrowths differentiation in Arabidopsis phloem parenchyma transfer cells of leaf minor veins [28]. In Vicia faba cotyledons reactive oxygen species were found to be involved in initiation of cell wall ingrowths [29]. A transcriptome study of barley endosperm transfer cells identified 406 upregulated genes using a 12 K makroarrray [30]. Since the formation of cell wall ingrowths requires cell wall synthesis, it is not surprising that 17 genes coded for cell wall metabolism enzymes. UDP-GlcA is an important precursor for a variety of plant cell wall polysaccharides, contributing to about 50% of cell wall biomass in Arabidopsis [31]. In Arabidopsis UDP-GlcA is mainly produced by UGD [23,24]. Additionally, the MIOX pathway contributes UDP-GlcA in certain tissues including syncytia [9].

Different Timing of Expression of UGD and MIOX Genes in Response to Nematode Infection

In this paper, we studied the expression of all four UGD genes in response to infection with the beet cyst nematode H. schachtii by using qRT-PCR and promoter::GUS analysis. Expression of UGD2 and UGD3 in syncytia was detected throughout the expression analysis starting as early as 1 dpi. However, in addition to syncytia, these genes were also expressed in uninfected roots. In comparison to UGD2 and UGD3, UGD1 and UGD4 showed a rather syncytium specific expression pattern, as GUS staining of these promoter::GUS constructs in uninfected roots was not as strong as for UGD2 and UGD3. The results for UGD4 seemed to be in contrast to other data (Figure S3 and [25]) showing a strong root-specific expression. However, this strong expression was found in young roots, while older uninfected roots (Figure S2) showed a much weaker expression. Expression of all four UGD::GUS fusions at 10 dpi was only detected in syncytia associated with female nematodes. At this time point, both sexes can be clearly distinguished and the males will start to leave their feeding site to mate with females. A reason for this difference in promoter activity might be that cell wall ingrowths, which require cell wall synthesis, are formed mostly in syncytia associated with female nematodes as these need to take up more nutrients from the feeding site than the male nematodes to support the development of the eggs. Indeed, it has been shown that female juveniles require

3 times more food compared to a male until moulting to adult stage. Average food consumed during the life of a female was found to be 29 times greater than that of males [32]. We also observed that none of the UGD::GUS fusions showed expression within syncytia at 15 dpi. This is in contrast to GeneChip data [14], where transcripts for all four UGD genes were detected at 15 dpi and to the qRT-PCR performed here. An explanation for this difference might be that the transcripts for these genes have a long half-life and are therefore also detectable at these later time points. In a previous study, we have shown that expression of 2 MIOX genes (MIOX4 and MIOX5) in syncytia, according to promoter::GUS lines, was turned on as late as 5 dpi and could still be detected at 20 dpi. GeneChip data showed that MIOX2, *MIOX4*, and *MIOX5* (*MIOX1* was not included on the GeneChip) are all stongly expressed at 5 dpi and 15 dpi. Thus, the expression of *MIOX* genes continues at later stages of syncytium development and is maintained even when expression of UGD genes could not be detected with promoter:: GUS lines. On the other hand, we were unable to detect expression of MIOX4 and MIOX5 genes with promoter::GUS fusions before 5 dpi [9]. It is possible that there is a co-ordination in expression between UGD and MIOX genes during development of syncytia. UGD genes might be preferentially involved in the synthesis of cell wall polysaccharides during early stages of syncytium development. However, as the syncytium grows, this pathway is downregulated or unable to produce sufficient amounts of UDP-GlcA to meet the increasing demands of the growing syncytium and developing nematode. As a result, the expression of MIOX genes might increase, which are therefore more important at later stages of nematode development. A similar regulation of MIOX genes has been observed in experiments, in which plants were kept in the dark after a normal night (extended night) [33]. The depletion of soluble sugars seemed to be the driving force for the induction of MIOX genes in that study. More recently, it has been shown that there is a compensatory upregulation of UGD genes in MIOX quadruple mutants [27,34]. Thus, the quantitatively more important UGD pathway can rescue a potential miox knockout phenotype but MIOX cannot fully rescue knockouts in UGD genes, probably because the available myo-inositol is limiting [24].

Similar data for *UGD* and *MIOX* gene expression have been reported for syncytia induced by *H. glycines* in soybean roots [35]. Ithal et al. [35] used Laser Capture Microdissection to collect material for a transcriptome analysis of syncytia. According to the Unigene database, there are nine *UGD* genes in soybean, but only one of them is found on the soybean Affymetrix GeneChip (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/clust.

cgi?UGID = 126954&TAXID = 3847&SEARCH = gma.6350).

This gene has similarity to Arabidopsis *UGD2* and is represented by probeset ID GmaAffx.90733.1.A1_at. Examination of online supplemental data showed that this gene is significantly upregulated (+3.17) in syncytia as early at 2 dpi and is turned off during later stages of nematode development i.e. 10 dpi. These authors also showed that one of the *MIOX* genes (Gma.3888.2.S1_at) was significantly upregulated in syncytia at 5 dpi (+7.77) and 10 dpi





PLoS ONE | www.plosone.org

8

Figure 5. Electron microscope comparison of syncytia developed in wild type and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ **mutant roots.** Syncytia induced in $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutant roots at 3 dpi lacked electron dense cytoplasm and numerous organelles are degenerated compared to those induced in wild-type roots. 5 dpi syncytia in $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutant revealed a similar ultrastructure as observed in 3 dpi syncytia. 10 dpi syncytia associated with a female nematode in roots of $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutants lacked cell wall ingrowths compared to wild type syncytia. Sections of syncytia induced in wild type (A, C, and E) and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ (B, D, and F–H) plants collected at 3 (A, B and G), 5 (C and D) and 10 (E, F, and H) dpi. Scale bars 5 (A–D) and 2 (E–H) μ m. CW: cell wall, M: mitochondrion, N: nucleus, S: syncytium, X: xylem, V: vacuole, arrows: cell wall ingrowths (E). Asterisk: chromatin/nucleolus clumps (B and H). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041515.g005

(+8.17) compared to 2 dpi. These results support our hypothesis of a co-ordination in expression between *MIOX* and *UGD* genes during syncytium development.

Function of UGD for Syncytium Development

To test the importance of UGD genes for nematode development, we carried out infection assays using T-DNA knock out mutants for UGD1, UGD2, UGD3 and UGD4. A mutant for UGD4 was not available in the Col background; therefore, we used a T-DNA mutant in the Ws background. Infection of single mutants did not show any change in the number of nematodes for $\Delta ugd1$ and $\Delta ugd4$. Additionally, the size of syncytia and of female nematodes remained unaltered in these mutants. By contrast, $\Delta ugd2$ and $\Delta ugd3$ showed a significant reduction in the number of females while the number of males was not significantly changed. Moreover, the sizes of syncytia and females were smaller compared to those from wild-type plants. These results may be surprising since UGD4::GUS and especially UGD1::GUS showed a more syncytium specific expression. The absolute expression level of UGD2 and UGD3 is however higher and thus these two isoforms contribute mostly to the total UGD activity in plants. It is also very likely that the development of syncytia not only depends on gene expression inside the syncytia but also on gene expression in surrounding tissues. As UGD2 and UGD3 showed a similar effect and also a similar expression pattern during syncytium as well as plant development [24], we also studied the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant. The $\Delta ugd2$ and $\Delta ugd3$ mutants as well as the $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutant have recently been described [24]. In summary, the double mutant plants were much smaller with poor root and shoot

growth. All sexual organs were present but flowers had difficulties to open their petals and the number of siliques that contained seeds was reduced significantly. In addition, the double mutant seedlings when grown on MS medium showed three different phenotypes with up to 20% of seedling developing no primary root. However, in this study we used only those plants which developed primary roots for analysis in the nematode infection assay. These plants remain smaller compared to wild type and usually grow to maturity but produce only few seeds [24]. In our analysis we corrected the infection data for the decreased size of the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant plants by estimating the length of the roots and all data for the number of nematodes in Figure 4A are per cm root length. These $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ plants showed a reduced support of nematode development. Not only were the numbers of nematodes reduced but the development of nematodes was also affected as revealed by smaller syncytia and smaller female nematodes. The number of male nematodes on the single $\Delta ugd2$ and $\Delta ugd3$ mutants was significantly higher than the number of female nematodes. Also the $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ double mutant seemed to support more males than females, although the difference was not significant. A higher number of males indicates that nutrient supply through the syncytia is limited.

Why might UGD be so important for syncytium and nematode development? In Arabidopsis, the major central intermediate for precursors of cell wall polymers is UDP-GlcA based on a polymer analysis of cell walls [36]. Assuming that the UGD pathway is the dominant pathway for production of UDP-GlcA during the early stages of syncytium development, the loss of 2 *UGD* genes will probably reduce the amount of available UDP-GlcA synthesized and hence lead to disturbed cell wall synthesis in syncytia at these



Figure 6. Cell wall sugars in syncytia and roots of wild type plants and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutant at 14 dpi. The sugar composition of cell walls was analysed via HPLC anion-exchange column. Values are mol% ±SE. Each measurement was carried out in at least three independent replicates. wtr: wild type root, ugd23r: ugd23 root, wts: wild type syncytium, ugd23s: ugd23 syncytium. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041515.q006

early stages. It is therefore not surprising that the $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutant showed a reduction of xylose, GalA and GlcA in cell walls of syncytia compared to wild type. However, the amount of galactose was increased significantly in the double mutant syncytia compared to wild type syncytia. This increase in galactose might be due to an increase in the availability of UDP-Glc/UDP-Gal or a compensation for the loss of other cell wall sugars. Leaves from $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutant plants have a ~25% reduction in arabinose, xylose, GalA and >50% reduction in apiose [24]. Taken together, these changes lead to a major change in the pectic polymers, whereas xyloglucan is only slightly less substituted with xylose [24]. The observed changes in the cell wall composition of roots with syncytia suggest similar modifications in these cell walls though not every aspect could be studied with the limited amount of cell wall material from syncytium samples. Furthermore, it has to be taken into account that it is technically impossible to obtain pure cell wall material from syncytia. The syncytium samples that we used also contained material from the surrounding tissues. We therefore also used immunostaining to test for differences in the polysaccharide composition of cell walls from syncytia in wild type and $\Delta\Delta ugd23$ roots. However, no major differences could be detected, which might be explained by the fact that this method can only detect rather large quantitative differences.

It has been shown during previous studies that the sex ratio of juveniles is influenced by the composition of medium, age, physiological state, and carbohydrate status of plants [6,8,16,22]. Under favourable environmental conditions, more females are produced and this trend is reversed under unfavourable conditions. However, in the current study, there was a significant reduction both in numbers of females and males, but without change of the sex ratio. Apparently the triggering factor(s) for epigenetic sex determination are not affected by UGDs and their role in syncytium development.

UGD is Needed for Cell Wall Ingrowths Formation

We carried out an electron microscopic investigation of syncytium development in $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutants and compared them to those in wild type roots. Our analysis showed that syncytia in $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ mutants lacked the electron dense cytoplasm, which is normally observed in syncytia (Figure 5). Aberrant translucent syncytial cytoplasm was observed as early as 3 dpi. Additionally, the majority of syncytia showed early indications of senescence and degradation compared to those that developed on wild type plants. These observations support the view that cell wall biosynthesis is required early for normal development of syncytia. The average size of syncytia and developing nematodes was much smaller in $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutants compared to wild-type plants. Although the majority of syncytia lacked dense cytoplasm, some syncytia contained dense cytoplasm with organelles comparable to that present in wild type syncytia. However, with only one exception, we did not find cell wall ingrowths in the samples from the double mutant. Thus, the lack of cell wall ingrowths in the double mutant was not an indirect effect due to degradation of the cytoplasm. It seems that UGD2 and UGD3 but not UGD1 and UGD4 are primarily involved in the development of cell wall ingrowths. Cell wall ingrowths are located at the interface to the xylem and are supposed to be important for the water and nutrient supply of syncytia by increasing the surface of the interface area. The absence of cell wall ingrowths may lead to a decrease in uptake into syncytia and subsequently to the other changes that we have observed, such as the absence of the dense cytoplasm and the degradation of mitochondria and nuclei. In consequence, such syncytia are smaller and unable to fully support the development of nematodes, which are therefore reduced in numbers and in size.

Conclusions

Our studies have shown that all four UGD genes are expressed in syncytia induced by the beet cyst nematode H. schachtii in Arabidopsis roots. Knocking out UGD2 and UGD3 resulted in plants that could only support development of a reduced number of nematodes. Additionally, the sizes of syncytia and female nematodes were decreased significantly in these lines. Ultrastructural and biochemical analysis showed that these genes influenced the normal development of syncytia via cell wall modifications. To further study the function of UGD genes in syncytium development, it might be interesting to develop transgenic RNAi lines targeting UGD genes driven by syncytium specific promoters. This approach could potentially lead to the development of nematode resistant crop plants. It would also be interesting to perform transcriptomic and metabolomic studies with syncytia from $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ double mutants as this might result in the identification of additional targets for engineering plants with resistance against nematodes.

Materials and Methods

Plant Cultivation

Arabidopsis thaliana L. wild type and mutant ($\Delta ugd1$, $\Delta ugd2$, $\Delta ugd3$, $\Delta ugd4$, and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$) seeds were surface sterilized for 5 min in 75% ethanol followed by 10 min in 6% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite and subsequently washed three times with sterile water. Seeds were placed into sterile Ø9 cm Petri dishes on a modified Knop medium [1] with 2% sucrose. Seeds were grown in a growth chamber at 25°C in a 16 h light and 8 h dark cycle.

qRT-PCR

Quantitative real time RT-PCR of *UGD* genes in syncytia and control roots was carried out essentially as described by Siddique et al. [9]. We used the following primers: *UGD1* (5'-AGACTCCAGC-GATTGATG-3'; 5'-GAGACGACATTCACTTGC-3'; *UGD2* 5'-AACAACAGTGAAACAAGTGAC-3'; 5'-TGAAAC-CAATCTCCCTTAGC-3'; UGD3 5'-TGAAGCAAGTCT-CAGTCG-3'; 5'-GTAAACAATAAACCCAATCTCC-3'; *UGD4* 5'-TGATGTGTGTGTAAAGGTCTATTAGG-3' 5'-ACTGTGGTTGGACTCATTGG-3'. All experiments were repeated three times and all samples were analyzed in three technical replicates.

GUS Reporter Analysis

The GUS lines have been described before [25]. For analysis of GUS expression, Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized and grown on Knop medium as described above. Plants were infected with nematodes as described below (see Nematode infection assay). The plants were analysed for GUS expression in a time course analysis starting at 1 dpi. For GUS expression analysis of control roots, uninfected plants were used. Plant tissues were submerged in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 10 mM EDTA, 0.01% Triton X-100, $0.5 \text{ mM K}_3[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6],$ 0.5 mM K₄[Fe(CN)₆] and 1 mg ml⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide as described in Siddique et al. [9]. Tissues were vacuum infiltrated for 5 min and then incubated in darkness at $37^{\circ}C$ for 5–6 h.

Nematode Infection Assay

H. schachtii cysts were harvested from *in vitro* stock cultures maintained on mustard (*Sinapis alba* cv. Albatros) roots growing on Knop medium supplemented with 2% sucrose [1]. Hatching of J2 was stimulated by addition of 3 mM ZnCl₂. The J2 were resuspended in 0.7% (w/v) gelrite (Duchefa, Haarlem, The

Netherlands) and 12 day old Arabidopsis roots were inoculated under sterile conditions with approximately 80–90 J2 per plant. Ten plants were grown in one Petri dish and 3–5 Petri dishes were used for each line. Only seedlings that developed primary roots were analysed. These experiments were repeated three times. Root length was estimated at the date of inoculation as described previously [11,37]. In short, plants were divided into 5 scoring categories of root length based on development of their roots. The average root length (in cm) of each class has been described previously [11,37]. Two weeks after inoculation, males and females were counted [9] and were normalized to the length??/ area of root. The data were analysed using single factor ANOVA (p<0.05). A Fisher LSD test was applied to further analyse the data.

Syncytium Size Measurement

In addition to counting the numbers of nematodes, the size of syncytia (associated with females) and of females was also measured as described by Siddique et al. [9]. Briefly, the area of longitudinal optical sections of 14 dpi syncytium (2D) and attached female nematode was measured. For each line, 20–30 syncytia associated with females were randomly selected and photographed using an Axiovert 200 M inverted microscope equipped with a Zeiss Axiocam digital camera (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The syncytia and females were outlined using the Axiovision Kontour tool and the software calculated the area. These individual measurements were used to calculate the average size of syncytia. Data were further statistically analysed using single factor ANOVA (P<0.05) and LSD tests.

Electron Microscopy

Wild type and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ Arabidopsis plants were cultured in vitro and inoculated with J2 juveniles of H. schachtii as described above. Segments of roots containing syncytium were collected at 3, 5, and 10 days after inoculation. Control roots were collected from noninfected roots of two weeks old plants. Samples were immediately fixed in a mixture of 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (Sigma, St. Louis, Mi, USA) and 2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde (Fluka, Buchs, CH) in 0.05 M sodium cacodylate buffer (Sigma, St. Louis, Mi, USA) for 2 h at room temperature. Thereafter they were post-fixed in osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in ethanol and infiltrated with EPON resin (Fluka, Buchs, CH) [10,28]. Ultrathin sections were taken on a Leica UCT ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, D) and after staining with uranyl acetate and lead citrate examined in an FEI 268 D 'Morgagni' (FEI Comp., Hillsboro, Or, USA) transmission electron microscope equipped with an SIS 'Morada' (Olympus SIS, Münster, D) digital camera.

Analysis of Cell Wall Composition

Arabidopsis plants were grown and infected with nematodes as described above. Two weeks after inoculation, root segments containing syncytia were cut out (nematodes were removed) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen (20–30 mg). Segments from uninfected plant roots were used as control. The material was homogenized and extracted twice in 70% ethanol, once in methanol-chloroform and finally extracted with acetone. The pellet was dried and hydrolysed in 200 μ l 2 M TFA for 1 h at 120°C. TFA was removed in vacuum and the dry pellet containing the hydrolysed polymers was dissolved in 200 μ l water. Samples were analysed by HPLC on a Dionex ICS 3000 system with a PA 20 column [24]. Identity of peaks was further verified by authenticity standards. There were at least 3 biological replicates carried out and data was analysed using single factor ANOVA

(p<0.05). A Fisher LSD test was applied to further analyse the data.

Immunostaining

Root sections were first blocked for 30 min in PBST-BSA (PBS +3% (w/v) BSA +0.05% (v/v) Tween-20). Primary antibodies were diluted in PBST and incubated with root sections for 2 h followed by five washes with PBST. Sections were further incubated for 2 h with a secondary antibody (Cy3 labelled goat anti rat; Dianova) diluted 1:500 in PBST and were finally washed three times with PBS to remove unbound antibodies. Sections were mounted in Mowiol4.88 (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) and examined in a Leica TCS5 confocal laser microscope. Sections were excited at 561 nm and fluorescence emission was recorded between 570 and 620 nm. Images were processed and analyzed using the Leica TCS software.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 GUS analysis of syncytia associated with male nematodes at 10 dpi. There was no expression for any of the *UGD* genes observed in male associated syncytia at 10 dpi. (A) *UGD1*, (B) *UGD2*, (C) *UGD3* and (D) *UGD4*. (PDF)

Figure S2 GUS analysis of uninfected roots. Expression of GUS for *UGD1* (A, B), *UGD2* (C, D), *UGD3* (E) and *UGD4* (F, G) in uninfected control roots. (PDF)

Figure S3 AREX data base pictures and GeneCchip data. Expression of *UGD1*, *UGD2*, *UGD3* and *UGD4* in roots of a 7 day old seedlings as extracted from the AREX database at http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.

cgi?dataSource = Root UGD1 (At1g26570), UGD2 (At3g29360), UGD3 (At5g15490) and UGD4 (At5g39320). (PDF)

Figure S4 Comparison of anatomy and ultrastructure of wild-type and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ roots. Comparison of the anatomy and ultrastructure of uninfected wild type and double mutant plant roots at the early stage of secondary growth (A, B, F and G) and anatomy of syncytia (C–E and H–J) at three examined developmental time points: 3 dpi (C and H), 5 dpi (D and I) and 10 dpi (E and J). Light (A and F) and transmission electron microscopy figures (B–E and G–J) of wild type (A–E) and $\Delta \Delta ugd23$ (F–J) roots. Scale bars 25 μ m (A, C–F, and H–J) and 5 μ m (B and G). N-nematode, S-syncytium, X-xylem.

(PDF)

Figure S5 CLSM-immunostaining (JIM7, LM5, LM6). Immunostaining of control root and 10 dpi syncytium by cell wall antibodies JIM7 (A–D), LM5 (E–H) and LM6 (I–L) for control root (A, B, E, F, I and J) and syncytium (C, D, G, H, K and L). (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the excellent technical assistance of Sabine Daxböck-Horvath, Doris Wittmann, Malgorzata Wasilewska-Gomulka and Elzbieta Rozanska.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HB SS. Performed the experiments: SS MS RT. Analyzed the data: HB SS MS RT FMWG.

References

- Sijmons PC, Grundler FMW, Von Mende N, Burrows PR, Wyss U (1991) Arabidopsis thaliana as a new model host for plant parasitic nematodes. Plant Journal 1: 245–254.
- Wyss U, Grundler FMW (1992) Feeding behavior of sedentary plant parasitic nematodes. Netherlands Journal of Plant Pathology 98: 165–173.
- Böckenhoff A, Grundler FMW (1994) Studies on the nutrient uptake by the beet cyst nematode *Heterodera schachtü* by *in-situ* microinjection of fluorescent probes into the feeding structures in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Parasitology 109: 249–255.
- Hussey RS, Grundler FMW (1998) Nematode parasitism of plants. In: Perry RN, Wright DJ, editors. The physiology and biochemistry of free-living and plant-parasitic nematodes. Wallingford, GB: CAB International. pp. 213–243.
- Urwin PE, Lilley CJ, McPherson MJ, Atkinson HJ (1997) Characterization of two cDNAs encoding cysteine proteinases from the soybean cyst nematode *Heterodera glycines*. Parasitology 114: 605–613.
- Trudgill DL (1967) Effect of environment on sex determination in *Heterodera* rostochiensis. Nematologica 13: 263–272.
- Cai D, Kleine M, Kifle S, Harloff H-J, Sandal NM, et al. (1997) Positional cloning of a gene for nematode resistance in sugar beet. Science 275: 832–834.
- Betka M, Grundler F, Wyss U (1991) Influence of changes in the nurse cell system (syncytium) on the development of the cyst nematode *Heterodera schachtii* single amino acids. Phytopathology 81: 75–79.
- Siddique S, Endres S, Atkins JM, Szakasits D, Wieczorek K, et al. (2009) Myoinositol oxygenase genes are involved in the development of syncytia induced by *Heterodera schachtii* in Arabidopsis roots. New Phytologist 184: 457–472.
- Golinowski W, Grundler FMW, Sobczak M (1996) Changes in the structure of Arabidopsis thaliana during female development of the plant parasitic nematode Heterodera schachtii. Protoplasma 194: 103–116.
- Wieczorek K, Hofmann J, Blöchl A, Szakasits D, Bohlmann H, et al. (2007) Arabidopsis endo-1,4-β-glucanases are involved in the formation of root syncytia induced by *Heterodera schachtii*. Plant Journal 53: 336–351.
- Wieczorek K, Golcki B, Gerdes L, Heinen P, Szakasits D, et al. (2006) Expansins are involved in the formation of nematode-induced syncytia in roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Journal 48: 98–112.
- Hofmann J, El Ashry A, Anwar S, Erban A, Kopka J, et al. (2010) Metabolic profiling reveals local and systemic responses of host plants to nematode parasitism. Plant Journal 62: 1058–1071.
- Szakasits D, Heinen P, Wieczorek K, Hofmann J, Wagner F, et al. (2009) The transcriptome of syncytia induced by the cyst nematode *Heterodera schachtii* in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Journal 57: 771–784.
- Grundler FMW, Sobczak M, Golinowski W (1998) Formation of wall openings in root cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana* following infection by the plant parasitic nematode *Heterodera schachtii*. European Journal of Plant Pathology 104: 545– 551.
- 16. Sobczak M (1996) Investigations on the structure of syncytia in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana induced by the beet cyst nematode Heterodera schachtü and its relevance to the sex of the nematode: Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel.
- Littrell RH (1966) Cellular responses of Hibiscus esculentum to Meloidogyne incognita acrita. Phytopathology 56: 540–544.
- Jones MGK, Northcote DH (1972) Nematode-induced syncytium A multinucleate transfer cell. Journal of Cell Science 10: 789–809.
- Offler CE, McCurdy DW, Patrick JW, Talbot MJ (2002) Transfer cells: cells specialized for special purpose. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 54: 431–454.
- Jones MGK (1981) Host cell responses to endoparasitic nematode attack: structure and function of giant cells and syncytia. Annals of Applied Biology 97: 353–372.

Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HB MS RT. Wrote the paper: HB FMWG.

- Sobczak M, Fudali S, Wieczorek K (2011) Cell wall modifications induced by nematodes. In: Jones JT, Fenoll C, Gheysen G, editors. Genomics and molecular genetics of plant-nematode interactions. Berlin, D: Springer. pp. 395–421.
- Sobczak M, Golinowski W, Grundler FMW (1997) Changes in the structure of Arabidopsis thaliana roots induced during development of males of the plant parasitic nematode Heterodera schachtii. European Journal of Plant Pathology 103: 113–124.
- Sharples SC, Fry SC (2007) Radioisotope ratios discriminate between competing pathways of cell wall polysaccharide and RNA biosynthesis in living plant cells. Plant Journal 52: 252–262.
- Reboul R, Geserick C, Pabst M, Frey B, Wittman D, et al. (2011) Down regulation of UDP-glucuronic acid biosynthesis leads to swollen plant cell walls and severe developmental defects associated with changes in pectic polysaccharides. Journal of Biological Chemistry 286: 39982–3992.
- Klinghammer M, Tenhaken R (2007) Genome-wide analysis of the UDPglucose dehydrogenase gene family in Arabidopsis, a key enzyme for matrix polysaccharides in cell walls. Journal of Experimental Botany 58: 3609–3621.
- Kanter U, Usadel B, Guerineau F, Li Y, Pauly M, et al. (2005) The inositol oxygenase gene family of Arabidopsis is involved in the biosynthesis of nucleotide sugar precursors for cell-wall matrix polysaccharides. Planta 221: 243-254.
- Endres S, Tenhaken R (2011) Down-regulation of the myo-inositol oxygenase gene family has no effect on cell wall composition in Arabidopsis. Planta 234: 157–169.
- Edwards J, Martin AP, Andriunas F, Offler CE, Patrick JW, et al. (2010) GIGANTEA is a component of a regulatory pathway determining wall ingrowth deposition in phloem parenchyma transfer cells of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Journal 63: 651–661.
- Andriunas FA, Zhang H, Xia X, Offler CE, McCurdy DW, et al. (2012) Reactive oxygen species form part of a regulatory pathway initiating transdifferentiation of epidermal transfer cells in Vicia faba cotyledons. Journal of Experimental Botany doi:10.1093/jxb/ers029.
- 30. Thiel J, Weier D, Sreenivasulu N, Strickert M, Weichert N, et al. (2008) Different Hormonal regulation of cellular differentiation and function in nucellar projection and endosperm transfer Cells: A Microdissection-based transcriptome study of young barley grains. Plant Physiology 148: 1436–1452.
- Reiter WD (2008) Biochemical genetics of nucleotide sugar interconversion reactions. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 11: 236–243.
- Müller J, Rehbock K, Wyss U (1981) Growth of *Heterodera schachtii* with remarks on amounts of food consumed. Revue de Nematologié 4: 227–234.
- Osuna D, Usadel B, Morcuende R, Gibon Y, Bläsing OE, et al. (2007) Temporal responses of transcripts, enzyme activities and metabolites after adding sucrose to carbon deprived Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant Journal 49: 463– 491.
- Endres S, Tenhaken R (2009) Myo-inositol oxygenase controls the level of myoinositol in Arabidopsis, but does not increase ascorbic acid. Plant Physiology 149: 1042–1049.
- Ithal N, Recknor J, Nettleton D, Maier T, Baum TJ, et al. (2007) Developmental transcript profiling of cyst nematode feeding cells in soybean roots. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 20: 510–525.
- Zablackis E, Huang J, Muller B, Darvill AG, Albersheim P (1995) Characterization of the cell wall polysaccharides of *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. Plant Physiology 107: 1129–1138.
- Jürgensen K (2001) Untersuchungen zum Assimilat- und Wassertransfer in der Interaktion zwischen Arabidopsis thaliana und Heterodera schachtü. Agrar- und Ernährungswissenschaftlichen Fakultät, Christian-Albrechts Universität, Kiel.