DOI: 10.1093/g3journal/jkab059 Advance Access Publication Date: 2 March 2021

Investigation

High-resolution genome-wide association study pinpoints metal transporter and chelator genes involved in the genetic control of element levels in maize grain

Di Wu D, ¹ Ryokei Tanaka, ¹ Xiaowei Li, ¹ Guillaume P. Ramstein D, ^{2,†} Suong Cu D, ³ John P. Hamilton D, ⁴ C. Robin Buell D, ⁴ James Stangoulis D, ³ Torbert Rocheford, ⁵ and Michael A. Gore D, ¹*

Abstract

Despite its importance to plant function and human health, the genetics underpinning element levels in maize grain remain largely unknown. Through a genome-wide association study in the maize Ames panel of nearly 2,000 inbred lines that was imputed with ~7.7 million SNP markers, we investigated the genetic basis of natural variation for the concentration of 11 elements in grain. Novel associations were detected for the metal transporter genes *rte2* (*rotten ear2*) and *irt1* (*iron-regulated transporter1*) with boron and nickel, respectively. We also further resolved loci that were previously found to be associated with one or more of five elements (copper, iron, manganese, molybdenum, and/or zinc), with two metal chelator and five metal transporter candidate causal genes identified. The *nas5* (*nicotianamine synthase5*) gene involved in the synthesis of nicotianamine, a metal chelator, was found associated with both zinc and iron and suggests a common genetic basis controlling the accumulation of these two metals in the grain. Furthermore, moderate predictive abilities were obtained for the 11 elemental grain phenotypes with two whole-genome prediction models: Bayesian Ridge Regression (0.33–0.51) and BayesB (0.33–0.53). Of the two models, BayesB, with its greater emphasis on large-effect loci, showed ~4–10% higher predictive abilities for nickel, molybdenum, and copper. Altogether, our findings contribute to an improved genotype-phenotype map for grain element accumulation in maize.

Keywords: genome-wide association study; whole-genome prediction; elements; grain; maize

Introduction

Elements are important in every aspect of organismal development. In higher plants, at least 20 elements are involved in key biological functions (Mengel and Kirkby 2001). To maintain elemental homeostasis, plants require the activities of metal transporters, chelators, and signaling pathways for the regulation of optimal uptake, transport, and storage of metal ions (Clemens 2001). The complex network responsible for elemental accumulation in various plant organs and tissues such as physiologically mature seed is coordinated at the genetic level, but it can be perturbed by alterations in the soil chemical environment, plant architecture, physiology, and metabolism (Baxter 2009). However, the genetic underpinnings of the biological processes that regulate elemental uptake, transport, and storage have yet to be fully elucidated in model plants and crop species.

Maize (Zea mays L.) is a globally important staple crop, serving as a critical source of calories in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America (FAOSTAT 2018). However, the declining soil fertility of

farming systems contributes in part to the unrealized potential yield of maize in these geographies (Dixon et al. 2001). Not only does the deficiency or excess of one or more key elements in the soil limit maize plant productivity (ten Berge et al. 2019), but it also has implications for human nutrition if this causes an unfavorable elemental profile in the maize grain (Graham and Welch 1996; Welch 2002; Welch and Graham 2004). This could pose serious malnutrition-related health problems in a maize-based diet because such a diet may not provide the recommended dietary allowances of micronutrients such as iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) (Welch and Graham 2002). The development of crop varieties with improved nutritional quality through plant breeding, a strategy known as "biofortification," has the potential to sustainably address micronutrient deficiencies in developing nations (Diepenbrock and Gore 2015; Bouis and Saltzman 2017).

Depending on the element and plant species, elements accumulated in seed could originate from direct root uptake or remobilization from senescing tissues through the involvement

¹Plant Breeding and Genetics Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

²Institute for Genomic Diversity, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

³College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA 5042, Australia

⁴Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

⁵Department of Agronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

[†]Present address: Center for Quantitative Genetics and Genomics, Aarhus University, Aarhus 8000, Denmark

^{*}Corresponding author: 358 Plant Science Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA. mag87@cornell.edu

of transporters and chelators (Waters and Sankaran 2011). Several metal transporter and chelator protein families, such as METAL TOLERANCE PROTEIN (MTP), NATURAL RESISTANCE-ASSOCIATED MACROPHAGE **PROTEIN** (NRAMP), NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE (NAS), YELLOW STRIPE-LIKE (YSL), and ZINC-REGULATED TRANSPORTER (ZRT)/IRON-REGULATED TRANSPORTER (IRT)-LIKE PROTEIN (ZIP), have been bioinformatically identified in the genomes of Arabidopsis [Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh.], rice (Oryza sativa L.), maize and other plant species, yet only a subset from each family have been functionally characterized (Whitt et al. 2020). Many metal transporters and chelators have broad substrate specificity (Axelsen and Palmgren 2001), making it difficult to infer their primary roles with homology-based approaches. In maize, only a few metal transporter genes have been functionally studied including rotten ear1 (rte1), rte2, and tassel-less1 (tls1) for boron (B) (Chatterjee et al. 2014, 2017; Durbak et al. 2014), yellow stripe1 (ys1) and ys3 for Fe (Von Wiren et al. 1994; Chan-Rodriguez and Walker 2018), and ysl2 (Zang et al. 2020) and zip5 (Li et al. 2019) for Fe and Zn. Of these, transgenic maize overexpressing zip5 with an endospermspecific promoter was shown to accumulate higher levels of Fe and Zn in grain (Li et al. 2019).

Genetic mapping approaches offer another opportunity to identify the largely unknown genes responsible for elemental concentration in maize grain. There have been a number of linkage analysis studies that have used biparental populations to identify quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with an elemental concentration in maize grain, especially for Fe and Zn (Lung'aho et al. 2011; Šimić et al. 2012; Qin et al. 2012; Baxter et al. 2013; Jin et al. 2013; Gu et al. 2015; Asaro et al. 2016; H. Zhang et al. 2017; Ziegler et al. 2017; Fikas et al. 2019). However, the biparental populations used in these studies did not provide gene-level mapping resolution due to the limited number of recent recombination events (Zhu et al. 2008; Myles et al. 2009). Thus, the causal genes presumably residing in the large QTL intervals with low resolution could not be conclusively identified.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) that exploit the extensive phenotypic variation and ancient recombination of many individuals comprising a diversity population (association panel) offer higher mapping resolution to dissect complex traits than biparental mapping populations (Myles et al. 2009; Lipka et al. 2015). A total of 46 marker-trait associations for the concentration of Zn and Fe in grain were identified in a tropical maize association panel (Hindu et al. 2018). Some of these associations were independently supported by separate QTL analyses in biparental populations. However, the association signals were not definitively resolved to causal genes. Through joint-linkage (JL) analysis and GWAS in the US maize nested association (NAM) panel, Ziegler et al. (2017) identified six high confidence candidate genes underlying association signals for four elements (manganese, Mn; molybdenum, Mo; phosphorus, P; and rubidium, Rb), but not all signals for these and other elements could be unambiguously mapped to single genes. Overall, the promise of GWAS for identifying the causal genes responsible for elemental accumulation in maize grain has yet to be fully realized, but efforts could be improved with the use of larger, more diverse association panels which have been densely genotyped.

When GWAS is employed to elucidate the molecular genetic basis of phenotypes, the significantly associated markers tend to be those in strong linkage-disequilibrium (LD) with causal loci of large effect (Myles et al. 2009). Therefore, if a phenotype is genetically controlled by mostly small-effect loci, the heritable fraction of a phenotype may not be completely explained by GWAS-detected loci alone. If this occurs, genomic prediction models that employ all available genome-wide markers to account for a range of small to large marker effects across the entire genome (i.e., whole-genome prediction, WGP) could be used to improve trait prediction accuracy (Meuwissen et al. 2001; Gianola et al. 2009; de Los Campos et al. 2013). Furthermore, trained WGP models are used in genomic selection to increase genetic gain per unit of time when breeding for phenotypes having polygenic inheritance, as marker-assisted selection is better suited for Mendelian and oligogenic traits (Lorenz et al. 2011; Desta and Ortiz 2014; Owens et al. 2014). To our knowledge, WGP models have only been evaluated on elemental grain phenotypes of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Velu et al. 2016; Manickavelu et al. 2017; Alomari et al. 2018) and only Zn for maize (Guo et al. 2020; Mageto et al. 2020).

In our study, a maize inbred association panel consisting of 1,813 individuals imputed with ~7.7 million SNP markers was used for the genetic dissection and prediction of natural variation for elemental concentration in grain. The objectives of our study were to (i) assess the extent of phenotypic variation and heritability of 11 elemental grain phenotypes, (ii) conduct a GWAS to identify candidate causal genes controlling variation for 11 elemental phenotypes in maize grain, (iii) compare detected GWAS signals with genetic mapping results from the U.S. maize NAM panel, and (iv) evaluate the predictive abilities of two WGP models having different assumptions of the underlying genetic architecture for the elemental grain phenotypes.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and experimental design

We evaluated more than 2,400 maize inbred lines from the North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station association panel (hereafter, Ames panel) (Romay et al. 2013) at Purdue University's Agronomy Center for Research and Education in West Lafayette, IN, on Raub silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, superactive, and mesic Aquic Argiudolls) and Chalmers silty clay loam (fine-silty, mixed, superactive, and mesic Typic Endoaquolls) soils in 2 consecutive years (2012–2013). A single replicate of the entire experiment was grown in each of the 2 years following a design that has been previously described in Owens et al. (2019). Briefly, the maize inbred lines were partitioned into six sets according to their flowering time, with each set arranged as a 20 × 24 incomplete block design. Within a set, each incomplete block was augmented with the random positioning of a B73 plot (experiment-wide check) and two plots of a set-specific check. Experimental units were one-row plots that had a length of 3.81 m, with \sim 15 plants per plot. The physiologically mature grain from the hand-harvested, dried, and shelled self-pollinated ears (at most six) of each harvestable plot were bulked to generate a representative, composite sample for element analysis.

Phenotypic data analysis

We ground 4,406 grain samples weighing 10 g each from 2,177 inbred lines and a separate set of 11 repeated check lines with a Retsch ZM200 mill (Retsch, Haan, Germany). For inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis, ~0.3 g of each ground sample, which had been oven dried at 80°C for 4h to remove remaining moisture, was acid-digested in a closed tube as described in Wheal et al. (2011). Elemental concentrations of samples were measured using ICP-MS (7500x; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) according to the method of Palmer et al. (2014). The 18 quantified elements were aluminum (Al; for only monitoring

contamination with soil), arsenic (As), boron (B), calcium (Ca), cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), Fe, potassium (K), magnesium (Mg), Mn, Mo, sodium (Na), nickel (Ni), P, lead (Pb), selenium (Se), and Zn in $\mu g g^{-1}$ on a dry weight basis. In each of 10 digestion batches, a blank and a certified reference material (CRM; NIST 8433 corn bran) were added for quality assurance. In addition, 6 to 7 experimental samples were replicated twice within each batch, allowing the assessment of technical (measurement) error. Technical replicate sample pairs with a relative standard deviation >10% were removed, which resulted in the removal of three inbred lines. Samples (0.8%) with Al present at $>5 \,\mu g \, g^{-1}$ were considered to have unacceptable levels of purported soil contamination (Yasmin et al. 2014), thus resulting in the removal of an additional eight inbred lines from the dataset.

To improve the quality of the resultant dataset of 4,351 samples from the remaining 2,166 inbred lines and separate set of 11 repeated check lines, we assessed phenotypes for missing values due to the limit of detection (LOD) for ICP-MS. The levels of Ca and Ni were below the LOD for 1.98% and 18.30% of samples, respectively. Separately for each of these two elements, a μg g⁻¹ value was approximated for the missing value of each of these samples by imputing a uniform random variable ranging from 0 to the minimum ICP-MS detection value for the given element within each year (Lubin et al. 2004; Lipka et al. 2013). Given the potential for biased results (Lubin et al. 2004), we excluded six elements (As, Cd, Co, Na, Pb, and Se) that had more than 70% of samples with a concentration below the LOD for ICP-MS.

We screened the generated dataset of 11 elemental phenotypes without missing values from the 2,166 inbred lines and separate set of 11 repeated check lines for significant outliers according to the procedure of Owens et al. (2019). Briefly, the full mixed linear model (Equation 1) of Owens et al. (2019) was fitted for each elemental phenotype in ASReml-R version 3.0 (Gilmour et al. 2009). The model terms included check as a fixed effect and genotype (noncheck line), year, genotype-by-year (G × Y) interaction, set within year, plot grid row within year, incomplete block within set within year, and ICP-MS batch as random effects. Studentized deleted residuals (Neter et al. 1996) produced from these mixed linear models were examined to remove significant outlier observations for each phenotype after a Bonferroni correction for $\alpha = 0.05$. The variance component estimates generated by refitting the full model (Figure 1) for each outlier screened phenotype were used to calculate heritability on a line-mean basis (Holland et al. 2003; Hung et al. 2012), with the delta method (Lynch and Walsh, 1998; Holland et al. 2003) used to calculate their standard errors.

To generate the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) values, a best-fit model was selected for each outlier-screened phenotype through iteratively fitting the above full mixed linear model in ASReml-R version 3.0, and retention of only random effect terms found to be significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) in a likelihood ratio test (Littell et al. 2006). The final best-fitted model was used to obtain a BLUP for each elemental phenotype for each inbred line (Supplementary Table S1). Given that elements are not always distributed evenly among seed tissues (e.g., pericarp, endosperm, and embryo) and extreme grain phenotypes could have substantially altered elemental composition (Lombi et al. 2009, 2011; Pongrac et al. 2013; Baxter et al. 2014), 247 inbred lines classified according to Romay et al. (2013) and Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN; https://www.ars-grin. gov/) as sweet corn, popcorn, or with an endosperm mutation were conservatively removed from the dataset. All of the




Figure 1 Sources of variation for 11 elemental grain phenotypes in the Ames panel. The phenotypic variance was statistically partitioned into the following components: genotype (G), genotype-by-year interaction $(G \times Y)$, year (Y), set within year [S(Y)], block within set within year [BL(S × Y)], inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) batch (BA), row within year [R(Y)], and residual error variance (REV). Variance component estimates were calculated for all random effects from the full model Equation 1 of Owens et al. (2019). The table below indicates which random effects were significant (*) according to a likelihood ratio test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

remaining 1,919 inbred lines had BLUP values for 10 or more of the 11 elemental phenotypes.

Genotype data processing and imputation

We used target and reference SNP genotype sets in B73 RefGen_v4 (B73 v4) coordinates to increase the marker density of the Ames panel with an approach similar to Ramstein et al. (2020). In brief, the raw genotypes of genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) SNPs (ZeaGBSv27_publicSamples_raw_AGPv4-181023.h5, available on CyVerse at http://datacommons.cyverse.org/browse/ iplant/home/shared/panzea/genotypes/GBS/v27) scored 943,455 loci were obtained for the Ames panel from Romay et al. (2013), providing a total of 2,172 GBS samples with a call rate ≥20% from 1,839 of the 1,919 inbred lines with phenotypic data for constructing the target set. We initially used a stringent filtered dataset of 35,082 SNPs [call rate ≥50%, % heterozygosity \leq 10%, index of panmixia $F_{IT} \geq$ 0.8, and linkage disequilibrium (LD) $r^2 \le 0.2$] derived from the Romay et al. (2013) dataset to calculate pairwise identity by state (IBS) between multiple samples of the same "accession number" for each of 260 lines in PLINK version 1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007). This analysis resulted in the detection and removal of all samples of 23 inbred lines that had a mean IBS value < 0.95 for all within-line sample comparisons, producing a concordance-enhanced dataset of 2,098 GBS samples from 1,816 inbred lines that segregated for biallelic SNPs at 477,155 of the 943,455 SNP loci. To merge two or more GBS samples from the same line, SNP genotype calls with ≥50% occurrence were selected as the consensus genotype, whereas calls with <50% occurrence were set to missing. After consensus-calling, 1,813 lines with a call rate \geq 0.2, % heterozygosity \leq 10%, and inbreeding coefficient (F) \geq 0.8 were retained, which comprised the final set of lines used for downstream genetic analyses. Finally,

heterozygous genotype calls were set to missing given their potential to be the result of paralogous alignments.

To construct the reference SNP genotype set, we used the maize HapMap 3.2.1 unimputed datasets (hmp321_agpv4_ chrx.vcf.gz, where x is 1 to 10, available on CyVerse at https:// datacommons.cyverse.org/browse/iplant/home/shared/panzea/ hapmap3/hmp321/unimputed/uplifted_APGv4/) consisting of ~83 million variants identified from more than 1,200 lines (Bukowski et al. 2018) that included variants called from the higher coverage sequencing (average of \sim 7x) of the maize 282 (Goodman-Buckler) panel of Flint-Garcia et al. (2005). This dataset was processed in the following manner: selection of 14,613,169 SNPs [biallelic, call rate \geq 50%, minor allele frequency (MAF) \geq 1%, local LD flag present, and NI5 flag absent], heterozygous genotype calls set to missing, and imputation of all missing SNP genotype data. With the resultant dataset serving as the reference panel, SNP genotypes at the 14,613,169 loci were imputed based on GBS SNPs (target set) in the final set of 1,813 lines from the Ames panel with BLUP values (Supplementary Table S1). All imputation was conducted in BEAGLE v5.0 (Browning et al. 2018) with 10 iterations for initial burn-in, 15 sampling interactions, an effective population size of 50,000 (Ross-Ibarra et al. 2009), and the U.S. maize NAM genetic linkage map (McMullen et al. 2009) (https://www.mai zegdb.org/data_center/map) to provide further information on the recombination landscape. The quality of the imputed genotypes was further enhanced by retaining only biallelic SNPs with MAF \geq 1% and predicted dosage r^2 (DR2) \geq 0.80, resulting in 12,211,420 SNPs. In PLINK version 1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007), this SNP dataset was LD pruned with a sliding window of 100 SNPs and step size of 25 SNPs to construct datasets for the 1,813 lines that included only those SNPs with pairwise $r^2 < 0.99$ (7,719,799 SNPs for marker-trait association tests) or $r^2 < 0.10$ (361,302) SNPs for population structure and relatedness estimation).

Genome-wide association study

We conducted marker-trait associations at the genome-wide level as previously described in Owens et al. (2019). Briefly, to reduce heteroscedasticity and nonnormality of the residuals, the Box-Cox power transformation procedure (Box and Cox 1964) was invoked for each phenotype with an intercept-only model through the "boxcox" function in MASS package version 7.3-50 in R version 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018) that chose the optimal convenient lambda (Supplementary Table S2) for the transformation of BLUP values (Supplementary Table S3). With a mixed linear model that used the population parameters previously determined approximation (Zhang et al. 2010), each of the 7,719,799 SNP markers was tested for an association with transformed BLUP values of each phenotype from the 1,813 lines in the R package GAPIT version 2018.08.18 (Lipka et al. 2012). The fitted mixed linear models included principal components (PCs) and a genomic relationship matrix (kinship) to control for population structure and relatedness. In GAPIT, the 1,813 line × 361,302 SNP genotype matrix was used to calculate the kinship matrix with VanRaden's method 1 (VanRaden 2008) and PCs with the prcomp function from the R base package. The Bayesian information criterion (Schwarz 1978) was used to determine the optimal number of PCs for model inclusion. The amount of phenotypic variation explained by a SNP was approximated as the difference between the likelihood-ratio-based R² statistic (R²_{LR}) (Sun et al. 2010) of a mixed linear model with or without a given SNP included. The Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) was used to control the false discovery rate (FDR) at the 5% level for each phenotype.

To better clarify complex association signals, the multi-locus mixed-model (MLMM) approach (Segura et al. 2012) that employs forward-backward stepwise regression to sequentially add significant markers as fixed effects (covariates) was used to control for major-effect loci in genome-wide scans for marker-trait associations. The multiple-Bonferroni criterion (mBonf) was used to choose the optimal model. The statistical control of major-effect loci was further evaluated by reconducting GWAS with the MLMM-selected SNPs included as covariates in the mixed linear models fitted in GAPIT.

Population structure analysis

We classified the inbred lines of the Ames panel to better understand the allele frequency patterns of associated SNPs across subpopulations. The 1,813 line × 361,302 SNP genotype matrix, which had been also used for a principal component analysis (PCA; see Genome-wide association study section of Materials and Methods), served as the input dataset for the estimation of population structure with fastSTRUCTURE (Raj et al. 2014). The number of ancestral populations (K) were varied from 1 to 10 with the simple prior when conducting the fastSTRUCTURE analysis. We selected K=3 as the number of subpopulations based on the collective evaluation of the fastSTRUCTURE and PCA results in combination with earlier findings on patterns of population structure in the Ames panel (Romay et al. 2013). The 1,813 lines were assigned to one of three subpopulations (SP1, SP2, or SP3) if they had an assignment value of $Q \ge 0.7$. If lines had assignment values of Q < 0.7 for all three subpopulations, they were considered to be admixed (Supplementary Table S4). The SP1, SP2, and SP3 subpopulations predominantly consisted of lines classified as nonstiff stalk (NSS), tropical, and stiff stalk (SS), respectively.

Candidate gene identification

To identify candidate genes, we first constructed a set of distinct loci significantly associated with the elemental phenotypes. A locus was defined as an association signal composed of at least two SNPs significant at 5% FDR within 100kb from one another, with the most significant SNP designated as the peak marker at a locus. Estimates of pairwise LD (r2) between a peak SNP and all SNPs within ± 5 Mb were calculated in TASSEL v5.2.49 (Bradbury et al. 2007). If two or more peak SNPs occurred within ± 5 Mb of each other, a locus was declared distinct if its peak SNP had an r^2 value < 0.2 with all other designated peak SNPs. The genomic search space to identify candidate genes was limited to within ±100 kb of each peak SNP, given the rapid LD decay in this maize association panel (Romay et al. 2013). In addition, the candidate gene search process was partly informed by a curated list of genes involved in the accumulation of elements in plants (Whitt et al. 2020). The top three unique best hits of the nine most plausible candidate genes in Arabidopsis (Columbia-0 ecotype) and rice (O. sativa L. ssp. Japonica cv. "Nipponbare") with E-values <1 were identified by BLASTP with default parameters at TAIR (https:// www.arabidopsis.org) and RAP-DB (https://rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp) databases, respectively (Supplementary Table S5).

Integration of genetic mapping results

The genetic mapping results from joint linkage (JL) analysis and GWAS of grain elemental phenotypes in the U.S. nested association mapping (NAM) panel (Ziegler et al. 2017) were joined with those generated from our GWAS in the Ames panel (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7). Given that the four field sites (New York, Florida, North Carolina, and Puerto Rico) included in the study of Ziegler et al. (2017) had climates and soil types different from those of the Indiana field site, we focused the comparative on NAM genetic mapping results based on BLUP phenotypes generated from a combined analysis of all four locations (All Locs). To accomplish this, first the markers used for JL analysis (SNPs) and GWAS (SNPs and small indels) in the NAM panel were uplifted from B73 v2 to B73 v4. To uplift markers, 50 nt flanking sequences (101 nt total) were clipped from each side of the marker position in the B73 v2 assembly, followed by alignment of the flanking sequences to the B73 v4 assembly through the use of Vmatch v2.3.0 (Kurtz 2010) with the following options: -d -p -complete -h1. Alignments to B73 v4 were filtered to retain the highest scoring and unique alignment for each individual marker. Markers not having a high confidence, unique alignment were discarded from the uplifted results. If markers defining the upper or lower bounds of a QTL support interval could not be uplifted to B73 v4, then the next closest outer SNP marker that could be uplifted was used so as not to compromise the calculated 95% support interval.

Whole-genome prediction

We evaluated two WGP models, Bayesian ridge regression (BRR) and BayesB (Habier et al. 2011; Pérez and de los Campos 2014). The BGLR package version 1.0.8 (Pérez and de los Campos 2014) was used to implement the two WGP models for the transformed BLUP values of each phenotype from the 1,813 lines with a Markov chain Monte Carlo process as follows: 12,000 iterations with a burn-in of 4,000 and a thinning of 5. As a compromise between model run time and performance, the LD-pruned $(r^2 < 0.10)$ dataset of 361,302 genome-wide SNPs was used for both computationally intensive WGP models with an expected minimal loss of information. A stratified fivefold crossvalidation scheme that accounted for population structure was conducted 10 times for each of the 11 phenotypes, with predictive ability calculated as the mean Pearson's correlation of transformed BLUP values with genomic estimated breeding values across folds. Both models used the same fold assignments, and each fold had the same subpopulation (SP) proportion (SP1, SP2, SP3, and admixed) as calculated for the entire panel (Supplementary Table S4).

Results

Phenotypic variation

On average, K, P, and Mg were the most abundant (>1,000 μ g g⁻¹) elements in grain from the Ames panel, followed by Ca at an almost two orders of magnitude lower average concentration (Table 1). For the other elements, the average concentrations of Zn and Fe were closest to Ca, whereas Mn, Cu, B, Mo, and Ni had average concentrations $<7 \,\mu g$ g⁻¹. The calculated correlations between the 11 elements ranged from essentially nonexistent (r < 0.01) between Mo and Ni to very strong (r = 0.70) between P and Mg (Supplementary Figure S1). Interestingly, we detected a strong correlation (r = 0.55) between Fe and Zn, which suggests that these two elements could have a partially shared genetic architecture. All 11 elements showed significant genotype-by-year interaction, but which accounted for only a small percentage of the total phenotypic variance (Figure 1). The 11 phenotypes had an average heritability of 0.70, with a range of 0.33 for B to 0.87 for Cu (Table 1). Even though these phenotypes were influenced by the environment, our results indicate that the exhibited phenotypic variation was mostly attributable to genetic variation among inbred lines.

Genome-wide association study

A GWAS was conducted for the 11 elemental phenotypes with 1,813 lines of the Ames panel imputed with ~7.7 million SNPs. Collectively, 1,917 significant marker-trait associations were detected for B, Cu, Mn, Mo, Ni, and Zn, but none were found for Ca, Fe, Mg, K, and P at a genome-wide FDR of 5% (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S2). Examination of local LD patterns resolved the 1,917 marker-trait associations into a robust set of 33 loci (Supplementary Table S8). The search space for candidate genes was defined as ±100 kb of the most significant SNP (i.e., peak SNP) at each of the 33 loci, a window size considerate of high marker density, wide variance in rapid rate of LD decay (mean r^2 of 0.2 within $\sim 1-10$ kb) in the panel (Romay et al. 2013), and distant cis-regulatory variants (Salvi et al. 2007; Studer et al. 2011; Wallace et al. 2014; Rodgers-Melnick et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2018; Ricci et al. 2019).

The two loci significantly associated with B comprised a mildly complex association signal spanning from 127.4 to 128.7 Mb on chromosome 3 (Figures 2 and 3; Supplementary Table S8). The peak SNP of each locus (locus 1: 3-127841465, P-value 2.68E-08; locus 2: 3-128693026, P-value 6.47E-08) was separated by a physical distance of \sim 851 kb, with virtually no LD ($r^2 = 0.03$) between them. The peak SNP of the second locus, 3-128693026, was

Table 1 Means, ranges, and standard deviations (Std. Dev.) of untransformed BLUP values (in $\mu g g^{-1}$) for 11 elemental grain phenotypes evaluated in the Ames panel and estimated heritability on a line-mean basis and their standard errors (Std. Err.) across 2 years

Phenotype	Number of lines	BLUPs			Heritabilities	
		Mean	Range	Std. Dev.	Estimate	Std. Err.
В	1812	2.19	1.59–3.09	0.21	0.33	0.03
Ca	1813	39.72	8.60-121.08	12.7	0.77	0.01
Cu	1812	2.32	0.91-5.75	0.68	0.87	0.01
Fe	1810	23.59	14.62-36.33	3.29	0.75	0.01
K	1813	4435.72	2944.20-6671.02	431.62	0.76	0.01
Mg	1813	1334.16	955.20-1814.08	115.97	0.61	0.02
Mn	1812	6.12	2.38-11.69	1.44	0.78	0.01
Mo	1812	0.49	0.29-0.85	0.07	0.65	0.02
Ni	1809	0.23	-0.04-1.12	0.14	0.77	0.01
P	1813	3298.76	2453.00-4341.12	277.24	0.61	0.02
Zn	1813	30.68	12.59-52.32	4.36	0.79	0.01




Figure 2 Manhattan plot of results from a genome-wide association study of the six elemental grain phenotypes with significant associations at the 5% FDR level in the Ames panel. Each point represents a SNP with its -log₁₀ P-value (y-axis) from a mixed linear model analysis plotted as a function of physical position (B73 RefGen_v4) across the 10 chromosomes of maize (x-axis). The red horizontal dashed line indicates the -log₁₀ P-value of the least statistically significant SNP at 5% FDR. The most probable candidate genes within ± 100 kb of the most significant SNP (i.e., peak SNP) of each numbered locus are labeled above their corresponding association signals.

located ~59 kb from the open reading frame (ORF) of the rotten ear2 (rte2) gene (Zm00001d041590) encoding a B efflux transporter (Chatterjee et al. 2017).

The peak SNPs for the strongest two of five association signals for Cu on chromosome 8 (Figure 2) were separated by a physical distance of \sim 1.1 Mb and in weak LD ($r^2 = 0.15$) with each other. Of the two, the more significant peak SNP (8-137939692; P-value 4.69E-24) was located within a gene (Zm00001d011063) (Supplementary Figure S3) coding for a protein 43%-60% identical at the amino acid sequence level to three type 2 metallothioneins (MTs) in rice (Supplementary Table S5) (Zhou et al. 2006; Kumar et al. 2012). Members of the plant MT family are low-molecular weight, cysteine-rich metal-binding proteins and of which some have been shown to bind Cu and other metal ions (Guo et al. 2008; Benatti et al. 2014).

The least significant of the two peak SNPs (8-136857539; P-value 9.10E-15) resided within the calcium pump1 (cap1) gene (Zm00001d011013) (Supplementary Figure S4) encoding a calmodulin-regulated P-type Ca²⁺-ATPase that had been shown to have slightly enhanced mRNA expression in maize roots under anoxic conditions (Subbaiah and Sachs 2000). Although plausible, to our knowledge it had never been reported to transport Cu. The peak SNPs for the other three loci (3-5) on chromosome 8, as well as the two loci (8-9) on chromosomes 3 and 7 were within ±100 kb of candidate genes (Supplementary Table S9) less likely to be involved in Cu chelation or transport.

Of the two loci associated with Mn (Figure 2), the strongest signal was located 162.9 to 163.2 Mb on chromosome 1 (Supplementary Figure S5). The peak SNP (1-162962818, P-value 3.61E-12) of this locus resided about 2.2kb from a gene (Zm00001d030846) encoding a protein with 74% and 72% sequence identity to NRAMP3 and NRAMP4 of Arabidopsis (Supplementary Table S5) that in addition to Fe, export Mn from vacuoles to chloroplasts in leaf mesophyll cells (Languar et al. 2005, 2010). An additional four SNPs within this gene were significantly associated (P-values 7.48E-11 to 3.18E-10) with Mn and in very strong LD ($r^2 > 0.90$) with the peak SNP.

The weaker effect locus at ~184.6 Mb on chromosome 3 (Supplementary Figure S6) for Mn was defined by two significant SNPs. Both SNPs were in very strong LD ($r^2 = 0.79$) with one another. The peak (3-184559931; P-value 2.11E-07) and second SNPs (3-184590243; P-value 5.46E-07) were approximately 29 and 0.78 kb, respectively, from a gene (Zm00001d042939) that codes for a protein with 80% sequence identity to METAL TOLERANCE PROTEIN 11 (MTP11) of Arabidopsis (Supplementary Table S5) that transports Mn²⁺ (Delhaize et al. 2007).




Figure 3 A regional Manhattan plot of locus 2. Scatter plot of association results from a mixed model analysis of B grain concentration and linkage disequilibrium (LD) estimates (r^2) for a genomic region that contains the peak SNP (3-128693026) at locus 2. Each vertical line represents the $-\log_{10}$ P-value of a SNP. Triangles are the r^2 values of each SNP relative to the peak SNP (indicated in red) at 128,693,026 bp (B73 RefGen v4) on chromosome 3. The red horizontal dashed line indicates the -log₁₀ P-value of the least statistically significant SNP at a genomewide false discovery rate of 5%. The yellow vertical line indicates the genomic position of the rotten ear2 (rte2) gene Zm00001d041590. The open triangles indicate SNPs that are within the candidate gene. The light blue rectangle demarcates the \pm 100 kb candidate gene search space surrounding the peak SNP.

The strongest signal for Mo spanned from 246.5 to 250.3 Mb on chromosome 1 (Supplementary Figure S7), with the peak SNP (1-248672716; P-value 5.58E-24) ~71kb from the molybdate transporter1 (mo1) gene (Zm00001d033053) that codes for a protein having 69% sequence identity to the mitochondrial-localized MOLYBDATE TRANSPORTER 1 (MOT1) from Arabidopsis (Supplementary Table S5) that specifically transports Mo (Tomatsu et al. 2007; Baxter et al. 2008). Furthermore, the peak SNP was in very strong LD ($r^2 = 0.95$) with a highly significant SNP (P-value 1.03E-21) located within the gene. The other four loci (13-16) collectively consisted of 10 significant SNPs across three chromosomes but were within \pm 100 kb of less probable candidate genes (Supplementary Table S9).

Of the 15 loci associated with Ni, the strongest signal mapped from 261.8 to 263.3 Mb on chromosome 1 (Figure 2). The peak SNP (1-262893725, P-value 1.98E-26) at this locus (Figure 4) was located ~82 kb from the iron-regulated transporter1 (irt1) gene (Zm00001d033446) (Mondal et al. 2014), which encodes a protein sharing amino acid sequence similarity (55-57% identical) with members of the ZIP transporter family in Arabidopsis that transport a variety of divalent metal ions including Ni²⁺ (Vert et al. 2009; Nishida et al. 2011; Li et al. 2019). In addition, 15 significant SNPs within irt1 were associated with Ni and, on average, were in strong LD (mean r^2 of 0.52) with the peak SNP. The peak SNPs for the other 14 Ni-associated loci (18-31), however, were within ± 100 kb of candidate genes (Supplementary Table S9) with more speculative involvement in Ni accumulation.

Two significant SNPs comprised the locus associated with Zn at ~179.9 Mb on chromosome 7 (Supplementary Table S8). Also, these two SNPs were in moderately strong LD ($r^2 = 0.69$) with




Figure 4 A regional Manhattan plot of locus 17. Scatter plot of association results from a mixed model analysis of Ni grain concentration and linkage disequilibrium (LD) estimates (r^2) for a genomic region that contains the peak SNP (1-262893725) at locus 17. Each vertical line represents the $-\log_{10}$ P-value of a SNP. Triangles are the r^2 values of each SNP relative to the peak SNP (indicated in red) at 262,893,725 bp (B73 RefGen_v4) on chromosome 1. The red horizontal dashed line indicates the $-\log_{10}$ P-value of the least statistically significant SNP at a genome-wide false discovery rate of 5%. The yellow vertical line indicates the genomic position of the iron-regulated transporter1 (irt1) gene Zm00001d033446. The open triangles indicate SNPs that are within the candidate gene. The light blue rectangle demarcates the \pm 100 kb candidate gene search space surrounding the peak SNP.

each other. Of these two SNPs, the peak SNP (7-179962589; P-value 8.67E-09) was nearest (~1.9 kb) to the nicotianamine synthase5 (nas5) gene (Zm00001d022557) that codes for a class II NAS purportedly involved in synthesizing the metal ion chelator nicotianamine (Zhou et al. 2013). Notably, a weaker association signal significant at 15% FDR was identified for Fe with a peak SNP (7-180077496; P-value 1.06E-07) at a distance of ~112 kb from nas5 (Supplementary Figure S8). The minor allele of each peak SNP, which was associated with a higher mean concentration of either Zn or Fe, occurred at very low frequencies in the tropical (~5%) and Stiff Stalk (~1%) subpopulations (Supplementary Table S10).

On chromosome 5, the association signal for Zn ranged from 195.6 to 195.8 Mb (Supplementary Figure S9), with the peak SNP (5-195765640; P-value 1.10E-09) only 1.2kb from the yellow stripelike2 (ysl2) gene (Zm00001d017427). The protein encoded by ysl2 has 58% and 63% sequence identity with AtYSL1 and AtYSL3 (Yordem et al. 2011) that transport metal-nicotianamine complexes to various Arabidopsis plant tissues (Waters et al. 2006). Also, three significant SNPs (P-values 7.72E-08 to 1.38E-07) within this gene were in moderately strong LD (mean r^2 of 0.39) with the peak SNP.

Clarification of association signals to identify the largest-effect loci

The MLMM approach, which helps resolve complex association signals, selected one or more SNPs for Cu, Mn, Mo, Ni, and Zn, but none for the presumably weaker signals of the other six elements (Supplementary Table S11). The top one or two most significant peak SNP markers that had been detected with the

mixed linear model in GAPIT were selected by the MLMM for Cu (locus 7; MT), Mn (locus 10; NRAMP), Mo (locus 12; mo1), Ni (locus 17; irt1), and Zn (locus 32, ysl2; locus 33, nas5). Furthermore, the MLMM had selected an additional two SNPs, 9-1875785 (locus 29) and 9-745061 (locus 28), for Ni. When a conditional mixed linear model analysis was conducted separately for Cu, Mn, Mo, Ni, and Zn with their respective MLMM-selected SNPs as covariates, there were no remaining significant SNPs at a genome-wide 5% FDR (Supplementary Figure S10). This suggests the MLMM had identified and conditional models had accounted for the major loci contributing to phenotypic variation.

Comparison of genetic mapping results

We assessed the findings of our study through a comparison involving the JL-QTL analysis and GWAS results of these elemental grain phenotypes in the U.S. maize NAM panel (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7) (Ziegler et al. 2017). Of the identified candidate genes, rte2 and irt1 were novel associations, whereas the other seven candidate genes were coincident with NAM JL-QTL (Ziegler et al. 2017). However, cap1, mo1, Zm00001d011063 (MT), Zm00001d030846 (NRAMP), nas5 for Zn, and ysl2 were distant from their respective NAM GWAS peak variants within JL-QTL support intervals (average: ~1.68 Mb; range: 0.356-4.67 Mb), whereas nas5 for Fe and Zm00001d042939 (MTP) were 126.9 and 0.203 kb, respectively, from their closest peak NAM GWAS variant. The joint consideration of GWAS results suggests that the large-effect loci associated with natural variation for the sixgrain elements in the NAM panel were all resolved to the level of highly probable causal genes in the Ames panel.

Whole-genome prediction

We evaluated the predictive ability of WGP for the 11 elemental phenotypes with two models that have different assumptions about the distribution of underlying genetic effects, BRR and BayesB (Habier et al. 2011; Pérez and de los Campos 2014). On average, BRR and BayesB had nearly identical prediction abilities of 0.45 and 0.46, respectively, across the 11 phenotypes (Table 2). As expected, given the results of Combs and Bernardo (2013), the predictive abilities of both WGP models were strongly correlated with the heritabilities of all phenotypes (BRR, r = 0.66, P-value <0.05; BayesB, r = 0.65, P-value < 0.05). While the predictive abilities from both models were essentially equivalent for most phenotypes, the predictive abilities of Ni, Mo, and Cu increased by 10.42%, 4.00%, and 3.92%, respectively, with BayesB relative

Discussion

Elemental homeostasis is critically important, with prolonged deficiencies or toxicities in essential elements negatively affecting plants (Marschner 2011). To date, the identification of causal genes via GWAS has mostly centered on elemental levels in roots and shoots for model and crop plant species (Huang and Salt 2016; Yang et al. 2018), thus the prioritization of candidate genes contributing to elemental accumulation in grain of staple crops remains an important pursuit. To this end, we conducted GWAS on the concentrations of 11 elements in grain from ~2,000 lines of the maize Ames panel imputed with \sim 7.7 million SNP markers. By leveraging the tremendous genetic diversity and rapid intragenic LD decay of this powerful genetic resource, we identified nine candidate genes encoding proteins with functions relevant to the accumulation of elements in maize grain. We also demonstrated moderate prediction abilities for the 11 elements with two different WGP models, which is especially relevant for Fe and Zn biofortification of maize grain (Welch and Graham 2002).

Novel loci associate with B and Ni

We detected novel associations of rte2 and irt1 with levels of B and Ni in maize grain, respectively. The rte2 gene, coding for a B efflux transporter, is one of six members of a small gene family (rte1-6) (Chatterjee et al. 2017). Even though the duplicated rte1 and rte2 genes were reported to have contrasting tissue-specific expression patterns across maize reproductive and root tissues, it was also shown that they work in concert to provide B for maize plants growing in B-depleted soils (Chatterjee et al. 2017). It is possible that rte1 (maize1 subgenome) and rte2 (maize2 subgenome) functionally diverged following the most recent tetraploidization event of the maize genome (Schnable et al. 2011), potentially explaining why not even a very weak association signal was detected with B at rte1. Given that rte2 has high sequence similarity to the class I B transporters of Arabidopsis and rice (Miwa et al. 2006; Nakagawa et al. 2007; Miwa and Fujiwara 2010; Chatterjee et al. 2014, 2017), we hypothesize in our study that rte2 had an indirect involvement in the accumulation of B in grain by playing a role in xylem loading of B.

The irt1 gene, which underpinned an association signal for Ni on chromosome 1, is in the maize gene family with sequence similarity to the ZIP family of transporters (Mondal et al. 2014) that transport Fe, Zn, and other divalent metal ions in other plants (Eide et al. 1996; Grotz et al. 1998; Korshunova et al. 1999; Li et al. 2019). AtIRT1, which is 55% identical in amino acid sequence to ZmIRT1 (Mondal et al. 2014), is a plasma membrane protein

Table 2 Most plausible candidate genes identified through a genome-wide association study of 11 elemental phenotypes in grain from the Ames panel

Phenotype	Locus number	SNP ID ^a	P-value	FDR-adjusted P-value	SNP R ^{2b}	Gene ID	Annotated gene function
В	2	3-128693026	6.47E-08	4.59E-02	0.01	Zm00001d041590	B transporter (rte2)
Cu	6	8-136857539	9.10E-15	2.34E-08	0.03	Zm00001d011013	Ca transporter (cap1)
Cu	7	8-137939692	4.69E-24	3.62E-17	0.04	Zm00001d011063	Metal chelator (MT)
Mn	10	1-162962818	3.61E-12	2.79E-05	0.02	Zm00001d030846	Metal transporter (NRAMP)
Mn	11	3-184559931	2.11E-07	1.71E-02	0.01	Zm00001d042939	Metal transporter (MTP)
Mo	12	1-248672716	5.58E-24	4.31E-17	0.04	Zm00001d033053	Mo transporter (MOT; mo1)
Ni	17	1-262893725	1.98E-26	6.75E-20	0.05	Zm00001d033446	Metal transporter (ZIP; irt1)
Zn	32	5-195765640	1.10E-09	8.51E-03	0.02	Zm00001d017427	Metal-NA transporter (YSL; ysl2)
Zn	33	7-179962589	8.67E-09	1.75E-02	0.01	Zm00001d022557	Metal chelator (NAS; nas5)
Fe		7-180077496	1.06E-07	1.53E-01	0.01	Zm00001d022557	Metal chelator (NAS; nas5)

SNP ID nomenclature consists of chromosome number, followed by physical position (bp) in B73 RefGen_v4 coordinates SNP R^2 is calculated as follows: R^2 likelihood ratio of model with SNP minus R^2 likelihood ratio of model without SNP (Supplementary Table S8)

demonstrated to be critical for Fe²⁺ uptake inside Arabidopsis root epidermal cells (Vert et al. 2002), but also showed to have enhanced activity as a transporter of Ni²⁺ in Arabidopsis roots under Ni excess conditions (Nishida et al. 2011, 2015). Li et al. (2015) reported that overexpression of Zmirt1 in Arabidopsis produced higher concentrations of Fe and Zn in roots and seeds. Therefore, we speculate that irt1 contributed to Ni accumulation in maize grain as a metal transporter with a yet to be characterized broader range of specificity that includes Ni²⁺.

Higher mapping resolution afforded by the Ames panel

The other seven identified candidate genes co-localized with NAM JL-QTL and GWAS signals. With the exception of Zm00001d042939 (MTP), they were more finely mapped in the Ames panel than in the U.S. NAM panel. The proteins with the highest identity (80% and 93%) to Zm00001d042939 in Arabidopsis (AtMTP11) and rice (OsMTP11) (Supplementary Table S5) are Golgi-localized Mn transporters involved in conferral of Mn tolerance by a mechanism hypothesized to involve one or both of vesicular transport to the vacuole or extracellular secretion (Delhaize et al. 2007; Peiter et al. 2007; Farthing et al. 2017; Zhang and Liu 2017; Tsunemitsu et al. 2018). Notably, through a GWAS in a sorghum association panel, a syntenic ortholog (Sobic.003G349200) of Zm00001d042939 (Y. Zhang et al. 2017) was implicated in the genetic control of Mn grain levels (Shakoor et al. 2016). Although different in cellular function and localization compared to MTP11, Zm00001d030846 (NRAMP), a member of a largely uncharacterized maize gene family (Jin et al. 2015), encodes a protein closely related to the multispecific metal transporters AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 in Arabidopsis. In addition to their roles as vacuolar iron effluxers, these two NRAMP proteins were shown by Languar et al. (2005, 2010) to be functionally redundant vacuolar membrane-localized transporters involved in the export of Mn to the cytosol from the vacuole of mature leaf mesophyll cells in Arabidopsis.

The mo1 gene, inferred to be orthologous to MOT1 proteins in Arabidopsis and rice (Supplementary Table S5), underlied the Mo association signal on chromosome 1. AtMOT1 was the first cloned and characterized Mo-specific transporter in plants (Tomatsu et al. 2007; Baxter et al. 2008) and hypothesized to regulate Mo content (Baxter et al. 2008). Complementation studies with Arabidopsis ecotypes also showed that natural allelic variants of AtMOT1 altered shoot Mo content (Baxter et al. 2008). Comparatively, a QTL identified for the genetic control of shoot and grain Mo concentration in a rice mapping population was fine mapped to a molybdate transporter (OsMOT1; 1), with the Mo transport activity of this causal gene confirmed via genetic and transgenic complementation (Huang et al. 2019). Furthermore, Huang et al. (2019) showed that a knockout of OsMOT1; 1, a gene shown to have strong root expression, produced lower levels of Mo in grain, resulting likely from lower root-to-shoot translocation of Mo.

The stronger of two association signals for Cu on chromosome 8 was underlain by the candidate Zm00001d011063, which encodes an uncharacterized protein possessing weak amino acid sequence similarity to Arabidopsis MTs (Supplementary Table S5) that are involved in homeostasis and remobilization of Cu (Benatti et al. 2014). Although not yet implicated in Cu accumulation, the rice protein with the highest sequence identity to Zm00001d011063, OSMT2b (also named as OsMT-I-2c) (Supplementary Table S5), had altered transcript abundance in rice shoot and root seedling tissues after Cu treatment (Yuan et al. 2008). The second genetically distinct signal coincided with cap1, a gene that codes for a calmodulin regulated P-type Ca²⁺-ATPase (Subbaiah and Sachs 2000). The CAP1 protein is 80% identical in sequence to ECA1 in Arabidopsis (Supplementary Table S5), which is an ER-localized P_{2A}-type Ca²⁺-ATPase reported to transport Ca²⁺, Mn²⁺, and potentially other divalent cations in root cells (Wu et al. 2002). This is a somewhat unexpected but still plausible finding, given that heavy metal P_{1B}-type ATPase subfamily members from Arabidopsis and rice have demonstrated Cu transport activity (Hirayama et al. 1999; Andrés-Colás et al. 2006; Kobayashi et al. 2008; Deng et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2016).

A key step toward the biofortification of maize grain

Suggestive of a pleiotropic locus for two correlated phenotypes, nas5 underpinned the coincident association signals for Fe and Zn on chromosome 7. This gene family member encodes a class II NAS putatively responsible for synthesizing nicotianamine-a divalent metal chelator responsible for the internal transport of trace metals including Fe and Zn (Reviewed in Curie et al. 2009; Schuler et al. 2012). Nicotianamine is also a precursor for producing mugineic acid family phytosiderophores exuded by roots of graminaceous plants to facilitate Fe uptake (reviewed in Curie et al. 2009; Swamy et al. 2016). In particular, activation tagging of OsNAS3, the rice protein with the highest sequence identity to nas5 (Zhou et al. 2013), resulted in higher nicotianamine levels that led to increased Fe and Zn in rice grain (Lee et al. 2009). In maize, nas5 was found to be strongly expressed in stems and induced under excessive Fe and Zn conditions, suggesting its more localized involvement in homeostasis and transport, but this has yet to be extensively characterized (Zhou et al. 2013). Nonetheless, the identification of SNPs tagging the lowfrequency nas5 alleles associated with increasing Fe or Zn grain levels is a key step toward facilitating biofortification of tropical maize. Many people with deficiencies for both of these elements subsist predominantly on maize grain in developing nations (Welch 2002; Welch and Graham 2004).

The ysl2 gene associated with Zn on chromosome 5 encodes a protein with amino acid sequence similarity to the YSL family of transporters that uptake metal-phytosiderophores or metalnicotianamine complexes (reviewed in Curie et al. 2009). Of the three Arabidopsis proteins (AtYSL1-3) with high sequence identity to ysl2, AtYSL1 and AtYSL3 (Yordem et al. 2011) were both implicated in the remobilization of Zn from senescing leaves as a complex with nicotianamine to developing seeds (Waters et al. 2006). Recently, Zang et al. (2020) showed that ZmYSL2 is a metal-nicotianamine transporter involved in the transport of Fe from the endosperm to embryo in the developing maize grain, but importantly they also implicated ZmYSL2 in the transport of Zn. Interestingly, the ys1 gene that encodes a Fe(III)-PS transporter (Curie et al. 2001), the gene family member most closely related to ysl2 (Yordem et al. 2011), was ~68 kb from the peak SNP for Zn on maize chromosome 5, but has contradictory support as a key contributor for Zn uptake or allocation (Wiren et al. 1996; Roberts et al. 2004; Schaaf et al. 2004; Chan-Rodriguez and Walker 2018). Therefore, ys1 and ysl2 merit joint consideration in future fine mapping and mutagenesis studies to more conclusively determine their independent or collective contribution to Zn accumulation in grain.

Generalizability of genetic mapping results

Importantly, our GWAS findings for all 11 elemental traits may not be generalizable beyond the Ames panel itself or where it was grown. As an example, the number of JL-QTL detected by Ziegler et al. (2017) for each of the 11 elemental grain phenotypes ranged from 3 (B) to 17 (Mn) with varied effect sizes ($R^2 = 0.8$ to 37.6%) in the U.S. NAM panel that affords higher statistical power (Yu et al. 2008). The findings of Ziegler et al. (2017) include a total of 11, 5, 12, and 11 JL-QTL identified for Ca, K, Mg, and P, respectively, which are the four elements that lacked significant associations in the Ames panel (Supplementary Table S6). Although these detected genetic differences could be attributed to environmental factors that influence elemental accumulation in grain (Ziegler et al. 2017), it is also possible that in the Ames panel the genetic architecture for each of these high concentration macroelements is predominated by rare variants of weak to modest effect, thus limiting their detectability even with a high density of SNP markers used in GWAS. Despite the genetic differences between association panels for macronutrients, seven of the nine candidate causal genes identified for micronutrients in the Ames panel co-localized with NAM JL-QTL and GWAS signals, thus these genetic signals are more likely to be reproduced in further independent genetic mapping panels and environments.

Informing whole-genome prediction with genetic mapping results

We conducted WGP of grain elemental phenotypes in maize, resulting in, on average, moderate predictive abilities from BRR (0.45) and BayesB (0.46) across all phenotypes that are comparable to those obtained for elemental phenotypes in wheat grain (Velu et al. 2016; Manickavelu et al. 2017; Alomari et al. 2018) and for Zn in maize grain (Guo et al. 2020; Mageto et al. 2020). For Ni, Mo, and Cu, the BayesB model that allows for a few of many genome-wide markers to have large effects (Meuwissen et al. 2001; Gianola et al. 2009; de Los Campos et al. 2013) modestly outperformed (3.92-10.42%; Table 2) the BRR model with homogeneous shrinkage across all markers (Gianola 2013; de Los Campos et al. 2013). These three elements had the highest number of associated loci (5–15) and the largest amount of phenotypic variation explained by peak SNPs (3-5%) tagging a candidate causal gene (Figure 2; Table 3), implying that BayesB could better fit the genetic architecture of Ni, Mo, and Cu (de Los Campos et al. 2013). Taken together, our GWAS-informed WGP results provide a foundational framework for exploring the additional modeling of identified large-effect loci when conducting genomic selection of elemental grain phenotypes in maize breeding populations (Bernardo 2014).

Table 3 Predictive abilities of 11 elemental grain phenotypes of the Ames panel from Bayesian ridge regression (BRR) and BayesB models

Phenotype	BRR	Į.	BayesB		
	Predictive ability	Std. Dev.	Predictive ability	Std. Dev.	
В	0.33	0.01	0.33	0.01	
Ca	0.47	0.01	0.47	0.01	
Cu	0.51	0.01	0.53	0.01	
Fe	0.46	0.01	0.46	0.01	
K	0.34	0.01	0.34	0.01	
Mg	0.45	0.01	0.45	0.01	
Mn	0.50	0.01	0.50	0.01	
Mo	0.50	0.01	0.52	0.01	
Ni	0.48	0.01	0.53	0.01	
P	0.40	0.01	0.40	0.01	
Zn	0.50	0.01	0.50	0.01	

Conclusions

We found 11 elemental grain phenotypes to be moderately heritable in the maize Ames panel, with minor but significant genotypeby-year interaction. The novel associations of rte2 and irt1 with B and Ni, respectively, in combination with enhanced pinpointing of seven candidate casual genes for Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, and/or Zn illustrate the high level of statistical power and mapping resolution conferred by the Ames panel for genetically dissecting complex trait variation in maize. However, not all detected GWAS signals were resolved down to an individual gene with a definitive role in metal transport or chelation, thus potentially revealing novel candidate genes that could be further assessed for function in mutagenesis experiments. In addition, we identified two loci (nas5 and ysl2) that could be leveraged with marker-based breeding approaches to increase Zn levels in maize grain. Notably, the nas5 gene also associated with the concentration of Fe in grain, thus helping to enable multi-trait selection (Jia and Jannink 2012) for developing biofortified maize varieties to help combat dietary Fe and Zn deficiencies that collectively affect more than 2 billion people worldwide (Viteri 1998; Prasad 2014). Furthermore, the moderate WGP prediction accuracies for Zn and Fe concentrations imply that both grain phenotypes should respond favorably to genomic selection approaches. Overall, our work has provided new insights into the genetic architecture of elemental accumulation in maize grain and strengthened the knowledge base needed to accelerate genomicsassisted breeding efforts for increased grain concentrations of Zn and Fe in maize breeding populations.

Data availability

The raw genotypes of GBS SNPs (ZeaGBSv27_public Samples_raw_AGPv4-181023.h5) are available on CyVerse (at http://datacommons.cyverse.org/browse/iplant/home/shared/ panzea/genotypes/GBS/v27). The maize HapMap 3.2.1 unimputed datasets (hmp321_agpv4_chrx.vcf.gz, where x is 1 to 10) are available on CyVerse (at https://datacommons.cyverse.org/ browse/iplant/home/shared/panzea/hapmap3/hmp321/unim puted/uplifted_APGv4/). The untransformed and transformed BLUP values of the phenotypes are provided in Supplementary Tables S1 and S3, respectively. Supplemental Material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.13644098.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Flinders Analytical for elemental analysis. We thank James Schnable for the maize, sorghum, and rice ortholog list. We are grateful to Olena Vatamaniuk, Meng Lin, Jenna Hershberger, and Matheus Baseggio for providing valuable feedback on the manuscript, and we appreciate the insights of Ivan Baxter on candidate genes and maize NAM genetic mapping results. We also thank Jeff Doyle and Daniel Ilut for the discussion of gene family evolution and homology.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture; the USDA Hatch under accession numbers 1013637 (M.A.G), 1013641 (M.A.G), and 1007766 (T.R.R.), HarvestPlus (T.R.R. and M.A.G.), National Science Foundation (IOS-1546657 to M.A.G. and C.R.B.), Cornell University startup funds (M.A.G.) and Patterson Chair Funds (T.R.R.).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions

M.A.G., J.S., and T.R.R. designed this project and supervised the research. D.W. and M.A.G. wrote the manuscript, and all coauthors were involved in editing the manuscript. T.R.R. conducted the field experiment. S.C. and J.S. performed elemental analysis. J.P.H., C.R.B., and D.W. uplifted JL-QTL and GWAS results in the maize NAM panel. D.W., X.L., and G.P.R. conducted genotype processing and imputation. D.W. conducted phenotype processing and GWAS. R.T. conducted WGP.

Literature cited

- Alomari DZ, Eggert K, von Wirén N, Polley A, Plieske J, et al. 2018. Whole-genome association mapping and genomic prediction for iron concentration in wheat grains. Int J Mol Sci. 20:76.
- Andrés-Colás N. Sancenón V. Rodríguez-Navarro S. Mayo S. Thiele DJ, et al. 2006. The Arabidopsis heavy metal P-type ATPase HMA5 interacts with metallochaperones and functions in copper detoxification of roots. Plant J. 45:225-236.
- Asaro A, Ziegler G, Ziyomo C, Hoekenga OA, Dilkes BP, et al. 2016. The interaction of genotype and environment determines variation in the maize kernel ionome. G3 (Bethesda). 6:4175-4183.
- Axelsen KB, Palmgren MG. 2001. Inventory of the superfamily of P-type ion pumps in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 126:696-706.
- Baxter I. 2009. Ionomics: studying the social network of mineral nutrients. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 12:381-386.
- Baxter I, Muthukumar B, Park HC, Buchner P, Lahner B, et al. 2008. Variation in molybdenum content across broadly distributed populations of Arabidopsis thaliana is controlled by a mitochondrial molybdenum transporter (MOT1). PLoS Genet. 4:e1000004.
- Baxter IR, Gustin JL, Settles AM, Hoekenga OA. 2013. Ionomic characterization of maize kernels in the intermated B73× Mo17 population. Crop Sci. 53:208-220.
- Baxter IR, Ziegler G, Lahner B, Mickelbart MV, Foley R, et al. 2014. Single-kernel ionomic profiles are highly heritable indicators of genetic and environmental influences on elemental accumulation in maize grain (Zea mays). PLoS ONE 9:e87628.
- Benatti M, Yookongkaew N, Meetam M, Guo W-J, Punyasuk N, et al. 2014. Metallothionein deficiency impacts copper accumulation and redistribution in leaves and seeds of Arabidopsis. New Phytol. 202:940-951.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Statist Soc B 57:289-300.
- Bernardo R. 2014. Genomewide selection when major genes are known. Crop Sci. 54:68-75.
- Bouis HE, Saltzman A. 2017. Improving nutrition through biofortification: a review of evidence from HarvestPlus, 2003 through 2016. Glob Food Sec. 12:49-58.
- Box GEP, Cox DR. 1964. An analysis of transformations. J R Statist Soc B. 26:211-243.
- Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, et al. 2007. TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics 23:2633-2635.
- Browning BL, Zhou Y, Browning SR. 2018. A one-penny imputed genome from next-generation reference panels. Am J Hum Genet. 103:338-348.
- Bukowski R, Guo X, Lu Y, Zou C, He B, et al. 2018. Construction of the third-generation Zea mays haplotype map. Gigascience 7:1-12.
- Chan-Rodriguez D, Walker EL. 2018. Analysis of yellow striped mutants of Zea mays reveals novel loci contributing to iron deficiency chlorosis. Front Plant Sci. 9:157.

- Chatterjee M, Liu Q, Menello C, Galli M, Gallavotti A. 2017. The combined action of duplicated boron transporters is required for maize growth in boron-deficient conditions. Genetics 206:2041-2051.
- Chatterjee M, Tabi Z, Galli M, Malcomber S, Buck A, et al. 2014. The boron efflux transporter ROTTEN EAR is required for maize inflorescence development and fertility. Plant Cell 26:2962-2977.
- Clemens S. 2001. Molecular mechanisms of plant metal tolerance and homeostasis. Planta 212:475-486.
- Combs E, Bernardo R. 2013. Accuracy of genomewide selection for different traits with constant population size, heritability, and number of markers. Plant Genome 6:7.
- Curie C, Cassin G, Couch D, Divol F, Higuchi K, et al. 2009. Metal movement within the plant: contribution of nicotianamine and yellow stripe 1-like transporters. Ann Bot. 103:1-11.
- Curie C, Panaviene Z, Loulergue C, Dellaporta SL, Briat JF, et al. 2001. Maize yellow stripe1 encodes a membrane protein directly involved in Fe(III) uptake. Nature 409:346-349.
- Delhaize E, Gruber BD, Pittman JK, White RG, Leung H, et al. 2007. A role for the AtMTP11 gene of Arabidopsis in manganese transport and tolerance. Plant J. 51:198-210.
- de Los Campos G, Hickey JM, Pong-Wong R, Daetwyler HD, Calus MPL. 2013. Whole-genome regression and prediction methods applied to plant and animal breeding. Genetics 193:327-345.
- Deng F, Yamaji N, Xia J, Ma JF. 2013. A member of the heavy metal P-type ATPase OsHMA5 is involved in xylem loading of copper in rice. Plant Physiol. 163:1353-1362.
- Desta ZA, Ortiz R. 2014. Genomic selection: genome-wide prediction in plant improvement. Trends Plant Sci. 19:592-601.
- Diepenbrock CH, Gore MA. 2015. Closing the divide between human nutrition and plant breeding. Crop Sci. 55:1437-1448.
- Dixon JA, Gulliver A, 2001. Farming Systems and Poverty: Improving Farmers' Livelihoods in a Changing World. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Durbak AR, Phillips KA, Pike S, O'Neill MA, Mares J, et al. 2014. Transport of boron by the tassel-less1 aquaporin is critical for vegetative and reproductive development in maize. Plant Cell 26:
- Eide D, Broderius M, Fett J, Guerinot ML. 1996. A novel iron-regulated metal transporter from plants identified by functional expression in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 93:5624-5628.
- FAOSTAT 2018. FAO Statistical databases. http://www.fao.org/fao stat/en/#data/CC/visualize (Accessed: 2021 March 8).
- Farthing EC, Menguer PK, Fett JP, Williams LE. 2017. OsMTP11 is localised at the Golgi and contributes to Mn tolerance. Sci. Rep. 7:1-13.
- Fikas AA, Dilkes BP, Baxter I. 2019. Multivariate analysis reveals environmental and genetic determinants of element covariation in the maize grain ionome. Plant Direct 3:e00139.
- Flint-Garcia SA, Thuillet A-C, Yu J, Pressoir G, Romero SM, et al. 2005. Maize association population: a high-resolution platform for quantitative trait locus dissection. Plant J. 44:1054-1064.
- Gianola D. 2013. Priors in whole-genome regression: the Bayesian alphabet returns. Genetics 194:573-596.
- Gianola D, de los Campos G, Hill WG, Manfredi E, Fernando R. 2009. Additive genetic variability and the Bayesian alphabet. Genetics 183:347-363.
- Gilmour AR, Gogel, BJ Cullis, BR Thompson, RR. 2009. ASReml User Guide Release 3.0. Hemel Hempstead, UK: VSN International Ltd.
- Graham RD, Welch RM. 1996. Breeding for staple food crops with high micronutrient density, agricultural strategies for micronutrients. Working paper 3. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC, USA. p. 1-72.

- Grotz N, Fox T, Connolly E, Park W, Guerinot ML, et al. 1998. Identification of a family of zinc transporter genes from Arabidopsis that respond to zinc deficiency. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 95:7220-7224.
- Gu R, Chen F, Liu B, Wang X, Liu J, et al. 2015. Comprehensive phenotypic analysis and quantitative trait locus identification for grain mineral concentration, content, and yield in maize (Zea mays L.). Theor Appl Genet. 128:1777-1789.
- Guo R, Dhliwayo T, Mageto EK, Palacios-Rojas N, Lee M, et al. 2020. Genomic prediction of kernel zinc concentration in multiple maize populations using genotyping-by-sequencing and repeat amplification sequencing markers. Front Plant Sci. 11:534.
- Guo W-J, Meetam M, Goldsbrough PB. 2008. Examining the specific contributions of individual Arabidopsis metallothioneins to copper distribution and metal tolerance. Plant Physiol. 146: 1697-1706.
- Habier D, Fernando RL, Kizilkaya K, Garrick DJ. 2011. Extension of the Bayesian alphabet for genomic selection. BMC Bioinformatics 12:186.
- Hindu V, Palacios-Rojas N, Babu R, Suwarno WB, Rashid Z, et al. 2018. Identification and validation of genomic regions influencing kernel zinc and iron in maize. Theor Appl Genet. 131:1443-1457.
- Hirayama T, Kieber JJ, Hirayama N, Kogan M, Guzman P, et al. 1999. RESPONSIVE-TO-ANTAGONIST1, Menkes/Wilson disease-related copper transporter, is required for ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. Cell 97:383-393.
- Holland JB, Nyquist WE, Cervantes-Martínez CT. 2003. Estimating and interpreting heritability for plant breeding: an update. Plant Breed. Rev. 22:9-112.
- Huang C, Sun H, Xu D, Chen Q, Liang Y, et al. 2018. ZmCCT9 enhances maize adaptation to higher latitudes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 115:E334-E341.
- Huang X-Y, Deng F, Yamaji N, Pinson SRM, Fujii-Kashino M, et al. 2016. A heavy metal P-type ATPase OsHMA4 prevents copper accumulation in rice grain. Nat Commun. 7:12138.[10.1038/ ncomms121381
- Huang X-Y, Liu H, Zhu Y-F, Pinson SRM, Lin H-X, et al. 2019. Natural variation in a molybdate transporter controls grain molybdenum concentration in rice. New Phytol. 221:1983-1997.
- Huang X-Y, Salt DE. 2016. Plant ionomics: from elemental profiling to environmental adaptation. Mol Plant. 9:787-797.
- Hung H-Y, Browne C, Guill K, Coles N, Eller M, et al. 2012. The relationship between parental genetic or phenotypic divergence and progeny variation in the maize nested association mapping population. Heredity (Edinb). 108:490-499.
- Jia Y, Jannink J-L. 2012. Multiple-trait genomic selection methods increase genetic value prediction accuracy. Genetics 192:1513-1522.
- Jin T, Chen J, Zhu L, Zhao Y, Guo J, et al. 2015. Comparative mapping combined with homology-based cloning of the rice genome reveals candidate genes for grain zinc and iron concentration in maize. BMC Genet. 16:17.
- Jin T, Zhou J, Chen J, Zhu L, Zhao Y, et al. 2013. The genetic architecture of zinc and iron content in maize grains as revealed by QTL mapping and meta-analysis. Breed Sci. 63:317-324.
- Kobayashi Y, Kuroda K, Kimura K, Southron-Francis JL, Furuzawa A, et al. 2008. Amino acid polymorphisms in strictly conserved domains of a P-Type ATPase HMA5 are involved in the mechanism of copper tolerance variation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 148:969-980.
- Korshunova YO, Eide D, Clark WG, Guerinot ML, Pakrasi HB. 1999. The IRT1 protein from Arabidopsis thaliana is a metal transporter with a broad substrate range. Plant Mol Biol. 40:37-44.

- Kumar G, Kushwaha H, Panjabi-Sabharwal V, Kumari S, Joshi R, et al. 2012. Clustered metallothionein genes are co-regulated in rice and ectopic expression of OsMT1e-P confers multiple abiotic stress tolerance in tobacco via ROS scavenging. BMC Plant Biol. 12:107.
- Kurtz S. 2010. The Vmatch large scale sequence analysis software a manual. http://www.vmatch.de/virtman.pdf (Accessed: 2021 March 8).
- Languar V, Lelièvre F, Bolte S, Hamès C, Alcon C, et al. 2005. Mobilization of vacuolar iron by AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 is essential for seed germination on low iron. EMBO J. 24:4041-4051.
- Lanquar V, Ramos MS, Lelièvre F, Barbier-Brygoo H, Krieger-Liszkay A, et al. 2010. Export of vacuolar manganese by AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 is required for optimal photosynthesis and growth under manganese deficiency. Plant Physiol. 152:1986-1999.
- Lee S, Jeon US, Lee SJ, Kim Y-K, Persson DP, et al. 2009. Iron fortification of rice seeds through activation of the nicotianamine synthase gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 106:22014-22019.
- Lipka AE, Gore MA, Magallanes-Lundback M, Mesberg A, Lin H, et al. 2013. Genome-wide association study and pathway-level analysis of tocochromanol levels in maize grain. G3 (Bethesda). 3:1287-1299.
- Lipka AE, Kandianis CB, Hudson ME, Yu J, Drnevich J, et al. 2015. From association to prediction: statistical methods for the dissection and selection of complex traits in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 24:110-118.
- Lipka AE, Tian F, Wang Q, Peiffer J, Li M, et al. 2012. GAPIT: genome association and prediction integrated tool. Bioinformatics 28:
- Li S, Liu X, Zhou X, Li Y, Yang W, et al. 2019. Improving zinc and iron accumulation in maize grains using the zinc and iron transporter ZmZIP5. Plant Cell Physiol. 60:2077-2085.
- Li S, Zhou X, Li H, Liu Y, Zhu L, et al. 2015. Overexpression of ZmIRT1 and ZmZIP3 enhances iron and zinc accumulation in transgenic Arabidopsis. PLoS ONE 10:e0136647.
- Littell RC, Milliken GA, Stroup WW, Wolfinger RD, Schabenberger O. 2006. Appendix 1: Linear Mixed Model Theory. SAS for Mixed Models. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Lombi E, Scheckel KG, Pallon J, Carey AM, Zhu YG, et al. 2009. Speciation and distribution of arsenic and localization of nutrients in rice grains. New Phytol. 184:193-201.
- Lombi E, Smith E, Hansen TH, Paterson D, de Jonge MD, et al. 2011. Megapixel imaging of (micro)nutrients in mature barley grains. J Exp Bot. 62:273-282.
- Lorenz AJ, Chao S, Asoro FG, Heffner EL, Hayashi T, et al. 2011. Genomic selection in plant breeding: knowledge and prospects. In: Donald L. Sparks, Advances in Agronomy. Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam. p. 77-123.
- Lubin JH, Colt JS, Camann D, Davis S, Cerhan JR, et al. 2004. Epidemiologic evaluation of measurement data in the presence of detection limits. Environ Health Perspect. 112:1691-1696.
- Lung'aho MG, Mwaniki AM, Szalma SJ, Hart JJ, Rutzke MA, et al. 2011. Genetic and physiological analysis of iron biofortification in maize kernels. PLoS ONE 6:e20429.
- Lynch M, Walsh B. 1998. Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, Inc., Pp. 980.
- Mageto EK, Crossa J, Pérez-Rodríguez P, Dhliwayo T, Palacios-Rojas N, et al. 2020. Genomic prediction with genotype by environment interaction analysis for kernel zinc concentration in tropical maize germplasm. G3 (Bethesda). 10:2629-2639.
- Manickavelu A, Hattori T, Yamaoka S, Yoshimura K, Kondou Y, et al. 2017. Genetic nature of elemental contents in wheat grains and its genomic prediction: Toward the effective use of wheat landraces from Afghanistan. PLoS ONE 12:e0169416.

- Marschner P. 2011. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier/Academic Press.
- McMullen MD, Kresovich S, Villeda HS, Bradbury P, Li H, et al. 2009. Genetic properties of the maize nested association mapping population. Science 325:737-740.
- Mengel K, Kirkby EA. 2001. Principles of Plant Nutrition. Dordrecht: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Meuwissen TH, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME. 2001. Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. Genetics 157:1819-1829.
- Miwa K, Fujiwara T. 2010. Boron transport in plants: co-ordinated regulation of transporters. Ann Bot. 105:1103-1108.
- Miwa K, Takano J, Fujiwara T. 2006. Improvement of seed yields under boron-limiting conditions through overexpression of BOR1, a boron transporter for xylem loading, in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 46:1084-1091.
- Mondal TK, Ganie SA, Rana MK, Sharma TR. 2014. Genome-wide analysis of zinc transporter genes of maize (Zea mays). Plant Mol Biol Rep. 32:605-616.
- Myles S, Peiffer J, Brown PJ, Ersoz ES, Zhang Z, et al. 2009. Association mapping: critical considerations shift from genotyping to experimental design. Plant Cell 21:2194-2202.
- Nakagawa Y, Hanaoka H, Kobayashi M, Miyoshi K, Miwa K, et al. 2007. Cell-type specificity of the expression of OsBOR1, a rice efflux boron transporter gene, is regulated in response to boron availability for efficient boron uptake and xylem loading. Plant Cell 19:2624-2635.
- Neter J, Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Wasserman W. 1996. Applied Linear Statistical Models. Irwin Chicago: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Nishida S, Kato A, Tsuzuki C, Yoshida J, Mizuno T. 2015. Induction of nickel accumulation in response to zinc deficiency in Arabidopsis thaliana. Int J Mol Sci. 16:9420-9430.
- Nishida S, Tsuzuki C, Kato A, Aisu A, Yoshida J, et al. 2011. AtIRT1, the primary iron uptake transporter in the root, mediates excess nickel accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol. 52: 1433-1442.
- Owens BF, Lipka AE, Magallanes-Lundback M, Tiede T, Diepenbrock CH, et al. 2014. A foundation for provitamin A biofortification of maize: genome-wide association and genomic prediction models of carotenoid levels. Genetics 198:1699-1716.
- Owens BF, Mathew D, Diepenbrock CH, Tiede T, Wu D, et al. 2019. Genome-wide association study and pathway-level analysis of kernel color in maize. G3 (Bethesda). 9:1945-1955.
- Palmer LJ, Palmer LT, Rutzke MA, Graham RD, Stangoulis JCR. 2014. Nutrient variability in phloem: examining changes in K, Mg, Zn and Fe concentration during grain loading in common wheat (Triticum aestivum). Physiol Plant. 152:729-737.
- Peiter E, Montanini B, Gobert A, Pedas P, Husted S, et al. 2007. A secretory pathway-localized cation diffusion facilitator confers plant manganese tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 104: 8532-8537.
- Pérez P, de los Campos G. 2014. Genome-wide regression and prediction with the BGLR statistical package. Genetics 198:483-495.
- Pongrac P, Vogel-Mikuš K, Jeromel L, Vavpetič P, Pelicon P, et al. 2013. Spatially resolved distributions of the mineral elements in the grain of tartary buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum). Food Res Int. 54.125-131
- Prasad AS. 2014. Impact of the discovery of human zinc deficiency on health. J Trace Elem Med Biol. 28:357-363.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, et al. 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 81:559–575.

- Qin H, Cai Y, Liu Z, Wang G, Wang J, et al. 2012. Identification of QTL for zinc and iron concentration in maize kernel and cob. Euphytica. 187:345-358.
- R Core Team 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. URL https://www.R-project.org/ (Accessed: 2021 March 8).
- Raj A, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. 2014. fastSTRUCTURE: variational inference of population structure in large SNP data sets. Genetics 197:573-589.
- Ramstein GP, Larsson SJ, Cook JP, Edwards JW, Ersoz ES, et al. 2020. Dominance effects and functional enrichments improve prediction of agronomic traits in hybrid maize. Genetics 215:215-230.
- Ricci WA, Lu Z, Ji L, Marand AP, Ethridge CL, et al. 2019. Widespread long-range cis-regulatory elements in the maize genome. Nat Plants 5:1237-1249.
- Roberts LA, Pierson AJ, Panaviene Z, Walker EL. 2004. Yellow stripe1. Expanded roles for the maize iron-phytosiderophore transporter. Plant Physiol. 135:112-120.
- Rodgers-Melnick E, Vera DL, Bass HW, Buckler ES. 2016. Open chromatin reveals the functional maize genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 113:E3177-E3184.
- Romay MC, Millard MJ, Glaubitz JC, Peiffer JA, Swarts KL, et al. 2013. Comprehensive genotyping of the USA national maize inbred seed bank. Genome Biol. 14:R55.
- Ross-Ibarra J, Tenaillon M, Gaut BS. 2009. Historical divergence and gene flow in the genus Zea. Genetics 181:1399-1413.
- Salvi S, Sponza G, Morgante M, Tomes D, Niu X, et al. 2007. Conserved noncoding genomic sequences associated with a flowering-time quantitative trait locus in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 104:11376-11381.
- Schaaf G, Ludewig U, Erenoglu BE, Mori S, Kitahara T, et al. 2004. ZmYS1 functions as a proton-coupled symporter for phytosiderophore- and nicotianamine-chelated metals. J Biol Chem. 279:9091-9096.
- Schnable JC, Springer NM, Freeling M. 2011. Differentiation of the maize subgenomes by genome dominance and both ancient and ongoing gene loss. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 108:4069-4074.
- Schuler M, Rellán-Álvarez R, Fink-Straube C, Abadía J, Bauer P. 2012. Nicotianamine functions in the phloem-based transport of iron to sink organs, in pollen development and pollen tube growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24:2380-2400.
- Schwarz G. 1978. Estimating the dimension of a model. Ann Statist. 6:461-464.
- Segura V, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Platt A, Korte A, Seren Ü, et al. 2012. An efficient multi-locus mixed-model approach for genome-wide association studies in structured populations. Nat Genet. 44:825-830.
- Shakoor N, Ziegler G, Dilkes BP, Brenton Z, Boyles R, et al. 2016. Integration of experiments across diverse environments identifies the genetic determinants of variation in Sorghum bicolor seed element composition. Plant Physiol. 170:1989-1998.
- Šimić D, Mladenović Drinić S, Zdunić Z, Jambrović A, Ledencan T, et al. 2012. Quantitative trait loci for biofortification traits in maize grain. J Hered. 103:47-54.
- Studer A, Zhao Q, Ross-Ibarra J, Doebley J. 2011. Identification of a functional transposon insertion in the maize domestication gene tb1. Nat Genet. 43:1160-1163.
- Subbaiah CC, Sachs MM. 2000. Maize cap1 encodes a novel SERCA-type calcium-ATPase with a calmodulin-binding domain. J Biol Chem. 275:21678-21687.
- Sun G, Zhu C, Kramer MH, Yang S-S, Song W, et al. 2010. Variation explained in mixed-model association mapping. Heredity (Edinb). 105:333-340.

- Swamy BPM, Rahman MA, Inabangan-Asilo MA, Amparado A, Manito C, et al. 2016. Advances in breeding for high grain zinc in rice. Rice (NY). 9:49.
- ten Berge HFM, Hijbeek R, van Loon MP, Rurinda J, Tesfaye K, et al. 2019. Maize crop nutrient input requirements for food security in sub-Saharan Africa. Glob Food Sec. 23:9-21.
- Tomatsu H, Takano J, Takahashi H, Watanabe-Takahashi A, Shibagaki N, et al. 2007. An Arabidopsis thaliana high-affinity molybdate transporter required for efficient uptake of molybdate from soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 104:18807-18812.
- Tsunemitsu Y, Genga M, Okada T, Yamaji N, Ma JF, et al. 2018. A member of cation diffusion facilitator family, MTP11, is required for manganese tolerance and high fertility in rice. Planta 248:
- VanRaden PM. 2008. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. J Dairy Sci. 91:4414-4423.
- Velu G, Crossa J, Singh RP, Hao Y, Dreisigacker S, et al. 2016. Genomic prediction for grain zinc and iron concentrations in spring wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 129:1595-1605.
- Vert G, Barberon M, Zelazny E, Séguéla M, Briat J-F, et al. 2009. Arabidopsis IRT2 cooperates with the high-affinity iron uptake system to maintain iron homeostasis in root epidermal cells. Planta 229:1171-1179.
- Vert G, Grotz N, Dédaldéchamp F, Gaymard F, Guerinot ML, et al. 2002. IRT1, an Arabidopsis transporter essential for iron uptake from the soil and for plant growth. Plant Cell 14:1223-1233.
- Viteri FE. 1998. A new concept in the control of iron deficiency: community-based preventive supplementation of at-risk groups by the weekly intake of iron supplements. Biomed Environ Sci. 11:46-60.
- Von Wiren N, Mori S, Marschner H, Romheld V. 1994. Iron inefficiency in maize mutant ys1 (Zea mays L. cv Yellow-Stripe) is caused by a defect in uptake of iron phytosiderophores. Plant Physiol. 106:71-77.
- Wallace JG, Bradbury PJ, Zhang N, Gibon Y, Stitt M, et al. 2014. Association mapping across numerous traits reveals patterns of functional variation in maize. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004845.
- Waters BM, Chu H-H, Didonato RJ, Roberts LA, Eisley RB, et al. 2006. Mutations in Arabidopsis yellow stripe-like1 and yellow stripe-like3 reveal their roles in metal ion homeostasis and loading of metal ions in seeds. Plant Physiol. 141:1446-1458.
- Waters BM, Sankaran RP. 2011. Moving micronutrients from the soil to the seeds: genes and physiological processes from a biofortification perspective. Plant Sci. 180:562-574.
- Welch RM. 2002. Breeding strategies for biofortified staple plant foods to reduce micronutrient malnutrition globally. J Nutr. 132: 495S-499S.
- Welch RM, Graham RD. 2002. Breeding crops for enhanced micronutrient content. In: JJ Adu-Gyamfi, editor. Food Security in Nutrient-Stressed Environments: Exploiting Plants' Genetic Capabilities. Netherlands, Dordrecht: Springer. p. 267-276.
- Welch RM, Graham RD. 2004. Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. J Exp Bot. 55: 353-364.
- Wheal MS, Fowles TO, Palmer LT. 2011. A cost-effective acid digestion method using closed polypropylene tubes for inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) analysis of plant essential elements. Anal Methods 3:2854-2863.

- Whitt L, Ricachenevsky FK, Ziegler GZ, Clemens S, Walker E, et al. 2020. A curated list of genes that affect the plant ionome. Plant Direct. 4:e00272.
- Wiren N, von N, von Wiren H, Marschner V. Romheld 1996. Roots of iron-efficient maize also absorb phytosiderophore-chelated zinc. Plant Physiol. 111:1119-1125.
- Wu Z, Liang F, Hong B, Young JC, Sussman MR, et al. 2002. An endoplasmic reticulum-bound Ca²⁺/Mn²⁺ pump, ECA1, supports plant growth and confers tolerance to Mn²⁺ stress. Plant Physiol. 130:128-137.
- Yang M, Lu K, Zhao F-J, Xie W, Ramakrishna P, et al. 2018. Genome-wide association studies reveal the genetic basis of ionomic variation in rice. Plant Cell 30:2720-2740.
- Yasmin Z, Paltridge N, Graham R, Huynh B-L, Stangoulis J. 2014. Measuring genotypic variation in wheat seed iron first requires stringent protocols to minimize soil iron contamination. Crop Sci. 54:255-264.
- Yordem BK, Conte SS, Ma JF, Yokosho K, Vasques KA, et al. 2011. Brachypodium distachyon as a new model system for understanding iron homeostasis in grasses: phylogenetic and expression analysis of Yellow Stripe-Like (YSL) transporters. Ann Bot. 108: 821-833.
- Yu J, Holland JB, McMullen MD, Buckler ES. 2008. Genetic design and statistical power of nested association mapping in maize. Genetics 178:539-551.
- Yuan J, Chen D, Ren Y, Zhang X, Zhao J. 2008. Characteristic and expression analysis of a metallothionein gene, OsMT2b, down-regulated by cytokinin suggests functions in root development and seed embryo germination of rice. Plant Physiol. 146: 1637-1650.
- Zang J, Huo Y, Liu J, Zhang H, Liu J, et al. 2020. Maize YSL2 is required for iron distribution and development in kernels. J Exp Bot. 71:
- Zhang H, Liu J, Jin T, Huang Y, Chen J, et al. 2017. Identification of quantitative trait locus and prediction of candidate genes for grain mineral concentration in maize across multiple environments. Euphytica 213:90.
- Zhang M, Liu B. 2017. Identification of a rice metal tolerance protein OsMTP11 as a manganese transporter. PLoS ONE 12:e0174987.
- Zhang Y, Ngu DW, Carvalho D, Liang Z, Qiu Y, et al. 2017. Differentially regulated orthologs in sorghum and the subgenomes of maize. Plant Cell 29:1938-1951.
- Zhang Z, Ersoz E, Lai C-Q, Todhunter RJ, Tiwari HK, et al. 2010. Mixed linear model approach adapted for genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 42:355-360.
- Zhou G, Xu Y, Li J, Yang L, Liu J-Y. 2006. Molecular analyses of the metallothionein gene family in rice (Oryza sativa L.). J Biochem Mol Biol. 39:595-606.
- Zhou X, Li S, Zhao Q, Liu X, Zhang S, et al. 2013. Genome-wide identification, classification and expression profiling of nicotianamine synthase (NAS) gene family in maize. BMC Genomics 14:238.
- Zhu C, Gore M, Buckler ES, Yu J. 2008. Status and prospects of association mapping in plants. Plant Genome 1:5-20.
- Ziegler G, Kear PJ, Wu D, Ziyomo C, Lipka AE, et al. 2017. Elemental accumulation in kernels of the maize nested association mapping panel reveals signals of gene by environment interactions. bioRxiv 164962.