

- individuals with pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Genome Med* 2019;11(1):69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-019-0685-z>
11. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature* 2020 May;581(7809):434–443. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7> Erratum in: *Nature*. 2021 Feb;590(7846):E53.
 12. Quintremil, S, Medina Ferrer F, Puente J, Pando ME, Valenzuela MA. “Roles of Semaphorins in Neurodegenerative Diseases.” London, UK: IntechOpen Limited; 2018.
 13. Pasterkamp RJ, Giger RJ, Ruitenbergh MJ, Holtmaat AJ, De Wit J, De Winter F, Verhaagen J. Expression of the gene encoding the chemorepellent semaphorin III is indeed in the fibroblast component of neural scar tissue formed following injuries of adult but not neonatal CNS. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 1999;13:143–166.
 14. Koropouli E, Kolodkin AL. Semaphorins and the dynamic regulation of synapse assembly, refinement, and function. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2014;27:1–7.
 15. Hensley K, Kursula P. Collapsin response mediator Protein-2 (CRMP2) is a plausible etiological factor and potential therapeutic target in Alzheimer’s disease: comparison and contrast with microtubule-associated protein tau. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2016;53(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-160076>
 16. Schwarz Q, Waimey KE, Golding M, et al. Plexin A3 and plexin A4 convey semaphorin signals during facial nerve development. *Dev Biol* 2008;324(1):1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.08.020>
 17. da Costa Neves RS, Jardim AP, Caboclo LO, et al. Granule cell dispersion is not a predictor of surgical outcome in temporal lobe epilepsy with mesial temporal sclerosis. *Clin Neuropathol* 2013;32(1):24–30. <https://doi.org/10.5414/NP300509>
 18. Zhao XF, Kohen R, Parent R, et al. PlexinA2 forward signaling through Rap1 GTPases regulates dentate gyrus development and schizophrenia-like behaviors. *Cell Rep* 2018;22(2):456–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.044>
 19. Taroc EZM, Prasad A, Lin JM, Forni PE. The terminal nerve plays a prominent role in GnRH-1 neuronal migration independent from proper olfactory and vomeronasal connections to the olfactory bulbs. *Biol Open* 2017;6(10):1552–1568.
 20. Nuñez S, Mantilla MT, Bermúdez S. Midline congenital malformations of the brain and skull. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2011;21(3):429–482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nic.2011.05.001>
 21. Goyal R, Thompson D, Timms C, Wilson LC, Russell-Eggitt I. Review of cases presenting with microcephaly and bilateral congenital cataract in a paediatric cataract clinic. *Eye* 2008;22(2):273–281. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6702958>
 22. Weiss K, Kruszka P, Guillen Sacoto MJ, et al. In-depth investigations of adolescents and adults with holoprosencephaly identify unique characteristics. *Genet Med* 2018 Jan;20(1):14–23. <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2017.68>
 23. Dubourg C, Bendavid C, Pasquier L, et al. Holoprosencephaly. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2007;2:8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-2-8>
 24. Solomon BD, Mercier S, Vélez JJ, et al. Analysis of genotype-phenotype correlations in human holoprosencephaly. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet* 2010;154C(1):133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.30240>
 25. Epstein JA, Aghajanian H, Singh MK. Semaphorin signaling in cardiovascular development. *Cell Metab* 2015;21(2):163–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.12.015>
 26. Toyofuku T, Zhang H, Kumanogoh A, et al. Dual roles of Sema6D in cardiac morphogenesis through region-specific association of its receptor, Plexin-A1, with off-track and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2. *Genes Dev* 2004;18:435–447.
 27. Friedman D, Kannan K, Faustina A, et al. Cardiac arrhythmia and neuroexcitability gene variants in resected brain tissue from patients with sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP). *npj Genomic Med* 2018;3:9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41525-018-0048-5>
 28. Schulte EC, Stahl I, Czamara D, et al. Rare variants in PLXNA4 and Parkinson’s disease. *PLoS One* 2013;8(11):e79145. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079145>
 29. Sarnat HB, Flores-Sarnat L. Infantile tauopathies: hemimegalencephaly; tuberous sclerosis complex; focal cortical dysplasia 2; ganglioglioma. *Brain Dev* 2015;37(6):553–562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2014.08.010>
 30. Grigg I, Ivashko-Pachima Y, Hait TA, et al. Tauopathy in the young autistic brain: novel biomarker and therapeutic target. *Transl Psychiatry* 2020;10(1):228. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-00904-4>

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web-site.

Subcutaneous Levodopa Infusion for Parkinson’s Disease: 1-Year Data from the Open-Label BeyoND Study

Werner Poewe, MD,^{1*} Fabrizio Stocchi, MD, PhD,² David Arkadir, MD, PhD,³ Georg Ebersbach, MD,⁴ Aaron L. Ellenbogen, MD,⁵ Nir Giladi, MD,⁶ Stuart H. Isaacson, MD,⁷ Karl Kiebertz, MD,⁸ Peter LeWitt, MD,⁹ C. Warren Olanow, MD,⁸ Tanya Simuni, MD,¹⁰ Astrid Thomas, MD, PhD,¹¹ Abraham Zlotogorski, MD,¹² Liat Adar, PhD,¹³ Ryan Case, PhD,¹³ Sheila Oren, MD,¹³ Shir Fuchs Orenbach, MD,¹³ Olivia Rosenfeld, MD,¹³ Nissim Sasson, MSc,¹³ Tami Yardeni, MSc,¹³ Alberto J. Espay, MD,¹⁴ and for the BeyoND study group

¹Department of Neurology, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria ²University and Institute for Research and Medical Care IRCCS San Raffaele, Rome, Italy ³Department of Neurology, The Faculty of Medicine, Hadassah Medical Center,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Correspondence to: Prof. Werner Poewe, Medical University Innsbruck, Department of Neurology, Anichstrasse 35, Innsbruck, 6020 Austria; E-mail: werner.poewe@i-med.ac.at

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: Werner Poewe, Fabrizio Stocchi, David Arkadir, Georg Ebersbach, Aaron L. Ellenbogen, Nir Giladi, Stuart H. Isaacson, Peter LeWitt, Tanya Simuni, Astrid Thomas, and Alberto J. Espay are all investigators in the BeyoND study and report fees for consultancy from NeuroDerm Ltd. Abraham Zlotogorski reports fees for consultancy from NeuroDerm Ltd. C. Warren Olanow and Karl Kiebertz have stock ownership in Clintrex which was contracted by NeuroDerm Ltd to provide services for this study. Liat Adar, Ryan Case, and Tami Yardeni are employed by NeuroDerm Ltd. Nissim Sasson provides biostatistical services to NeuroDerm Ltd. Sheila Oren, Shir Fuchs Orenbach, and Olivia Rosenfeld were employed by NeuroDerm Ltd at the time of study. Abraham Zlotogorski reports fees for consultancy from NeuroDerm Ltd.

Funding agency: This study was sponsored by NeuroDerm Ltd.

Members of the BeyoND study group are listed in the Appendix.

Received: 18 April 2021; **Revised:** 17 June 2021; **Accepted:** 26 July 2021

Published online 8 September 2021 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.28758

Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel⁴Movement Disorder Clinic, Beelitz-Heilstaetten, Beelitz, Germany⁵Michigan Institute for Neurological Disorders, Farmington Hills, Michigan, USA⁶Tel Aviv Medical Center, Sackler School of Medicine, Sagol School of Neurosciences, Neurological Institute, Tel-Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel⁷Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders Center of Boca Raton, Boca Raton, Florida, USA⁸Departments of Neurology, Wayne State University School of Medicine and Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan, USA⁹Clintrex Research Corp, Sarasota, Florida, USA¹⁰Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA¹¹Department of Neuroscience, Imaging and Clinical Sciences and Center of Advanced Studies and Technology CAST, University Chieti Pescara, Chieti, Italy¹²Department of Dermatology, The Faculty of Medicine, Hadassah Medical Center, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel¹³NeuroDerm Ltd, Rehovot, Israel¹⁴James J. and Joan A. Gardner Center for Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

ABSTRACT: Background: Continuous, subcutaneous (SC) levodopa/carbidopa infusion with ND0612 is under development as a treatment for patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) and motor fluctuations.

Objective: Evaluate 1-year safety data.

Methods: BeyoND is an open-label study evaluating the long-term safety of two ND0612 dosing regimens.

Results: Of the 214 enrolled patients (24-hour SC infusion: n = 90; 16-hour SC infusion: n = 124), 120 (56%) completed 12 months of treatment. Leading causes for study discontinuation were consent withdrawal (19.6%) and adverse events (17.3%). Rates of discontinuation were reduced from 49% to 29% after a protocol revision and retraining. Systemic safety was typical for PD patients treated with levodopa/carbidopa. Most patients experienced infusion site reactions, particularly nodules (30.8%) and hematoma (25.2%), which were judged mostly mild to moderate and led to discontinuation in only 10.3% of the participants.

Conclusions: Subcutaneous levodopa/carbidopa continuous infusion with ND0612 is generally safe, with typical infusion site reactions for SC delivery as the main adverse event. © 2021 The Authors. *Movement Disorders* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society

Key Words: infusion; levodopa; ND0612; Parkinson's disease; safety; subcutaneous

ND0612 (NeuroDerm Ltd, Rehovot, Israel) is an investigational subcutaneous (SC) delivery system providing minimally invasive, continuous infusion of liquid levodopa/carbidopa for treating patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) who experience motor fluctuations. Previous studies have shown that ND0612 provides stable plasma

levodopa concentrations,¹ significantly reduces daily OFF time,^{1,2} and increases ON time without significant dyskinesia.² The primary aim of this study was to assess the long-term safety and tolerability of ND0612 over 12 months of treatment, with a particular focus on infusion site reactions (ISRs) that are often associated with SC drug administration.

Methods

Study Design and Patient Population

The BeyoND study is an ongoing, international, multicenter, 102-month, open-label study evaluating the long-term safety and tolerability of two ND0612 dosing regimens in PD patients experiencing motor fluctuations. The trial is conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki; study protocols and amendments were approved by the ethics committee at each site and all patients provided written informed consent. The study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02726386).

The study population includes 21 patients who had completed a prior randomized, open-label, 28-day study² and consented to roll-over into the long-term safety study. Additional patients could be added who were ≥ 30 years, had a Hoehn-Yahr score ≤ 3 during ON, experienced OFF time ≥ 2 hours per day, and had predictable early morning OFF periods. Patients had to be receiving stable doses of standard oral levodopa (≥ 4 oral doses per day or ≥ 3 doses/day of extended-release levodopa/carbidopa [Rytary, Impax Pharmaceuticals, USA]) and at least one additional PD treatment. Full inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in Table S1.

The study was initiated in May 2016 and patients were assigned to the following regimens:

1. 24 hours infusion: fixed day rate of up to 0.64 mL/h for 18 hours, followed by a night rate of 0.08 mL/h for 6 hours to deliver a total daily dose of up to 720/90 mg of levodopa/carbidopa. All patients who had been previously assigned to the 24-hour group in the prior study² continued on this dosing regimen; patients who had previously been assigned to the 14-hour daytime regimen were switched to the 24-hour regimen.
2. 16-hour "waking hours" regimen: fixed rate of 0.75 mL/h to deliver a total infusion dose of 720/90 mg of levodopa/carbidopa over 16 hours. The device is removed at night and patients in this group also receive a morning oral dose of levodopa/carbidopa upon awakening.

Patients and their study partners were trained and assisted in their homes on the proper operation of the pump system during the first week of treatment by a

home nursing service. ND0612 was administered using two SC infusion sites, with daily rotation of sites; patients in the waking day regimens removed the pump nightly after completing treatment for 16 hours or at bedtime (whichever came first).

In March 2018, in response to a higher than expected study dropout rate, a protocol amendment increased enrollment to 210 patients, provided education on expected treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), and increased home nursing support. Simultaneously, additional investigator training was implemented to emphasize patient selection, education, and strategies to reduce ISRs.

Assessments

Clinic visits were scheduled at week 1, and months 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, and 12. Safety outcomes were performed at every visit and included recording of TEAEs, with specific attention to ISRs including a visual analog scale (VAS, 0–100 mm) for infusion site pain assessment. Other safety measures included standard laboratory parameters, vital signs, physical and neurological examination, the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS),³ the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS),⁴ and the Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders (ICDs) in PD-Rating Scale (QUIP-RS).⁵ Home diaries of motor function (at months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12) were used to record “good” ON time (sum of ON time without dyskinesia and ON time with non-troublesome dyskinesia), OFF time normalized to 16 waking hours.^{6,7} Additionally, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) Part 2 (activities of daily living, ADL), UPDRS Part 3 (motor), and Clinical Global Impression of Change (CGI-C) were performed.⁸

Statistical Analyses

No formal sample size calculation for this safety study was performed. However, a sample size of 210 treated patients was considered sufficient⁹ for evaluating the 1-year safety and tolerability of ND0612. All efficacy measures were considered exploratory. A multivariate logistic regression (stepwise selection algorithm) was performed to detect the most important baseline factors explaining early discontinuation; variables with a *P* value <0.2 were retained in the model.

Results

Patient Flow and Baseline Characteristics

Of the 276 patients screened, 214 patients were enrolled (24-hour dosing regimen: *n* = 90; 16-hour dosing regimen: *n* = 124) at 46 sites in eight countries (Figure S1). One hundred and twenty patients (56.1%)

completed 12 months in the study and 94 (43.9%) terminated early, with a similar proportion of early terminations between the two regimens. Leading causes for premature discontinuation over the course of the study were consent withdrawal (*n* = 42, 19.6%) and AEs (*n* = 37, 17.3% most of which discontinued before day 150). Concomitant catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitor use and low baseline body mass index (BMI) (<20) were each associated with higher rates of discontinuations (odds ratio [OR] OR = 2.3, *P* = 0.01 and OR 3.15, *P* = 0.03, respectively) Rates of discontinuation decreased from 49% to 29% for patients enrolled after the March 2018 protocol amendment and increased site training on ISRs. Patient characteristics for both dosing regimens are shown in (Table 1).

Safety Analyses

Over 1 year, the median individual ND0612 exposure was 337 days (range 2–387 days), without major differences between the 24-hour and 16-hour dosing regimens. Most patients (85.5% across dosing regimens) reported ≥1 TEAE, mainly mild–moderate in severity, and without differences in incidence rates between the two dosing regimens (Table 2).

In the first year, 37 patients (17.3%) terminated treatment prematurely due to TEAEs (most commonly because of ISRs (*n* = 22, 10.3%) and 3 (1.4%) due to dyskinesia. Approximately half of the patients terminating early due to TEAEs did so within the first 2 months of treatment (*n* = 8 in the 24-hour regimen and *n* = 10 in the 16-hour regimen).

The most frequent ISRs reported as a TEAE were infusion site nodules (*n* = 66, 30.8%) and infusion site hematoma (*n* = 54, 25.2%) (Figure S2), which were generally mild and resolved without sequelae. Twenty-five patients (11.7%) had an infusion site infection, reported more commonly in the 24-hour than the 16-hour dosing regimen (16.7% vs. 8.1%, respectively). Mean pain VAS scores were similar for both regimens and did not exceed 20/100 mm at any time during the study. Sixteen patients (7.5%) reported increased dyskinesia as a TEAE, 8 patients within the first week.

Thirty-one patients (14.5%) had at least one serious TEAE, with a similar incidence rate across both regimens. Serious TEAEs reported for more than one patient were infusion site infection (*n* = 5), ON–OFF phenomenon (*n* = 3), and rib fracture secondary to fall (*n* = 2 in the 24-hour regimen). One patient had an impulsive compulsive disorder (ICD) (considered related to concomitant dopaminergic agonist use) and one patient in the 16-hour regimen died due to an unrelated cardiac event. There were no observable trends for change in daytime sleepiness, suicidality,

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics

Variable	24 h/d regimen (N = 90)	16 h/d regimen (N = 124)	Total (N = 214)
Age (years); mean ± SD	64.2 ± 8.9	63.9 ± 8.9	64.0 ± 8.9
<65 y	43 (47.8)	63 (50.8)	106 (49.5)
≥65 y	47 (52.2)	61 (49.2)	108 (50.5)
Sex (female/male); n (%)	32 (35.6)/58 (64.4)	40 (32.3)/84 (67.7)	72 (33.6)/142 (66.4)
Ethnicity; n (%)			
Caucasian	86 (95.6)	116 (93.5)	202 (94.4)
Other	4 (4.4)	8 (6.5)	12 (5.6)
BMI (kg/m ²); mean ± SD	27.0 ± 5.5	27.2 ± 5.9	27.1 ± 5.7
<20	11 (12.2)	11 (8.9)	22 (10.3)
≥20	79 (87.8)	113 (91.1)	192 (89.7)
Modified Hoehn & Yahr; n (%)			
<2	4 (4.4)	5 (4.0)	9 (4.2)
2	37 (41.1)	52 (41.9)	89 (41.6)
2.5	17 (18.9)	32 (25.8)	49 (22.9)
3	32 (35.6)	35 (28.2)	67 (31.3)
MMSE total score; mean ± SD	28.8 ± 1.2	28.8 ± 1.2	28.8 ± 1.2
Time since PD diagnosis (y); mean ± SD	10.6 ± 5.3	7.9 ± 3.8	9.0 ± 4.7
Time since onset of fluctuations (y); mean ± SD	5.3 ± 4.3	5.2 ± 4.2	5.3 ± 4.2
Total daily levodopa; mean ± SD			
Dose (mg)	1090 ± 623	1004 ± 540	1040 ± 577
Frequency	5.9 ± 2.2	5.1 ± 1.7	5.5 ± 2.0
Concomitant medications; n (%)			
Dopamine agonists	52 (57.8)	58 (46.8)	110 (51.4)
MAO-B inhibitors	37 (41.1)	44 (35.5)	81 (37.9)
COMT inhibitors	28 (22.6)	24 (26.7)	52 (24.3)
Amantadine	25 (27.8)	30 (24.2)	55 (25.7)

Abbreviations: h, hour; d, day; y, year; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PD, Parkinson’s disease; MAO-B, monoamine oxidase B; COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase.

ICDs, laboratory safety measures, or electrocardiogram changes.

Exploratory Efficacy Analyses

Adjusted means (LS-means) of daily “good” ON time increased from baseline by 2.3 hours at month 3 in the 24-hour regimen (n = 55) and 2.6 hours in the 16-hour regimen (n = 101), accompanied by corresponding reductions in daily OFF time and maintained for at least 12 months (Figure S3). UPDRS-motor and ADL scores improved within the first month with both ND0612 regimens and maintained thereafter. Investigators assessed the majority of patients as improved throughout the duration of the study.

Discussion

Subcutaneous infusion of levodopa/carbidopa with ND0612 was generally safe based on 135 patient-years of exposure accumulated during the first year of this long-term safety study. Although ISRs were common, they were generally mild and typical for SC drug delivery¹⁰⁻¹⁴ – leading to discontinuation in 10% of the participants. Exploratory efficacy data indicated clinically relevant^{15,16} adjusted mean increases in good ON time and reductions in OFF time. Overall, these results suggest a favorable risk/benefit trade-off for most patients.

In general, we observed no major differences in safety or exploratory efficacy between the “24-hour” and “waking day” regimens and there was no evidence for

TABLE 2 Treatment emergent adverse events

Adverse event	24 h/d regimen (N = 90) n (%)	16 h/d regimen (N = 124) n (%)	Total (N = 214)
Any TEAE	78 (86.7)	105 (84.7)	183 (85.5)
Drug-related TEAEs	65 (72.2)	78 (62.9)	143 (66.8)
Infusion site TEAEs	55 (61.1)	66 (53.2)	121 (56.5)
Serious TEAEs	17 (18.9)	14 (11.3)	31 (14.5)
Death	0	1 (0.8)	1 (0.5)
TEAEs reported in ≥5% of patients in any group			
Infusion site nodule	31 (34.4)	35 (28.2)	66 (30.8)
Infusion site hematoma	24 (26.7)	30 (24.2)	54 (25.2)
Infusion site infection	15 (16.7)	11 (8.9)	26 (12.1)
Fall	12 (13.3)	8 (6.5)	20 (9.3)
Infusion site pain	13 (14.4)	15 (12.1)	28 (13.1)
Dyskinesia	8 (8.9)	8 (6.5)	16 (7.5)
Infusion site erythema	9 (10.0)	7 (5.6)	16 (7.5)
Urinary tract infection	7 (7.8)	13 (10.5)	20 (9.3)
Back pain	5 (5.6)	3 (2.4)	8 (3.7)
Contusion	5 (5.6)	3 (2.4)	8 (3.7)
Infusion site edema	5 (5.6)	5 (4.0)	10 (4.7)
Nausea	3 (3.3)	15 (12.1)	18 (8.4)
Infusion site eschar	2 (2.2)	15 (12.1)	17 (7.9)
Anxiety	2 (2.2)	8 (6.5)	10 (4.7)
Depression	1 (1.1)	8 (6.5)	9 (4.2)
Fatigue	0 (0)	7 (5.6)	7 (3.3)
TEAEs leading to discontinuation			
Any TEAE leading to discontinuation	17 (18.9)	20 (16.1)	37 (17.3)
Infusion site reaction	11 (12.2)	11 (8.9)	22 (10.3)
Dyskinesia	2 (2.2)	1 (0.8)	3 (1.4)
Death ^a	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	1 (0.5)
Other ^b	4 (4.4)	8 (6.5)	12 (5.6)

^aOne patient in the 16-hour regimen died due to an unrelated cardiac event.

^bTEAEs affecting single patients only.

Abbreviation: TEAE, treatment emergent adverse effect.

the development of pharmacological tolerance to either. As previously reported for SC apomorphine infusions^{10,11} we confirmed that continued training on managing and minimizing ISRs is essential. Indeed, following improvements to the protocol and retraining of sites, patient retention improved from 51% to 71%.

While the majority of patients developed skin nodules, these were only reported as an AE in a third of cases when designated as clinically significant by the

investigator. The higher rates of infusion site infection with the 24-hour regimen predated protocol amendments requiring ISR training. The association between low baseline BMI (<20) ($P = 0.03$) with high rates of discontinuation in this study illustrates an important patient characteristic pertinent to selection of optimal candidates for continuous SC infusion therapy.

We recognize several important limitations of this study. This was an open-label safety study limiting

conclusions on efficacy. There was a higher than expected dropout rate, which improved following increased trial site training regarding appropriate patient selection, setting of patient expectations, and the practical management of ISRs. Consensus guidelines such as those that have been developed for other infusion therapies¹⁷ may be helpful if the ongoing Phase 3 data support ND0612 approval.

Additional safety data (beyond 12 months) is being collected, with some patients already in their fifth year of treatment. A double-blind, double-dummy pivotal efficacy trial (NCT04006210) evaluating efficacy, safety, and tolerability of ND0612 in a similar PD population is also currently ongoing. ■

Acknowledgments: We thank the patients and site staff involved in the study. We also thank Anita Chadha-Patel PhD (ACP Clinical Communications Ltd, funded by NeuroDerm Ltd) for medical writing support (literature searching, referencing, and editing).

Appendix

BeyoND study group: Austria: Werner Poewe; Czech Republic: Ladislav Pazdera, Yuliya Rizova; France: Jean-Philippe Azulay, Teodor Danaila, Luc Defebvre, Franck Durif, Isabelle Rouillet-Solignac; Germany: Georg Ebersbach, Jan-Rainer Kassubek, Siegfried Muhlack, Johannes Schwarz; Israel: David Arkadir, Ruth Djaldetti, Tanya Gurevich, Sharon Hassin, Ron Milo, Gilad Yahalom; Italy: Angelo Antonini, Ubaldo Bonuccelli, Elisabetta Gasparoli, Marco Onofri, Fabrizio Stocchi; Poland: Malgorzata Krawczyk, Konrad Rejdak, Monika Rudzińska; USA: Jason Aldred, Cletus Aralu, Perminder Bhatia, Victor Biton, Barry Cutler, Aaron Ellenbogen, Alberto Espay, Stephen Flitman, Ramon Gil, Paul Ginsberg, Jeffrey Greenberg, Robert A. Hauser, Arnaldo Isa, Stuart Isaacson, Olga Klepitskaya, Kevin Klos, Rajeev Kumar, Maureen Leehey, Peter LeWitt, Neepa Patel, Beth Safirstein, Joseph Savitt, Tanya Simuni, Lynn Struck, Danette Taylor, Karen Thomas, Daniel Truong, Alberto Vasquez.

Data Availability Statement

NeuroDerm will share the data from this study with qualified researchers who provide a valid research question and sign a data access agreement. Address proposals to info@neuroderm.com.

References

1. Giladi N, Caraco Y, Gurevich T, et al. ND0612 (levodopa/carbidopa for subcutaneous infusion) achieves stable

levodopa plasma levels when administered in low and high doses in patients with PD [abstract]. *Mov Disord* 2017;32(Suppl 2). <http://www.mdabstracts.org/abstract/nd0612-levodopacarbidopa-for-subcutaneous-infusion-achieves-stable-levodopa-plasma-levels-when-administered-in-low-and-high-doses-in-patients-with-pd/>. Accessed June 16, 2021

2. Olanow CW, Espay AJ, Stocchi F, et al. Continuous subcutaneous levodopa delivery for Parkinson's disease: a randomized study. *J Parkinsons Dis* 2021;11(1):177–186.
3. Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, et al. The Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale: initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *Am J Psychiatry* 2011;168(12):1266–1277.
4. Kumar S, Bhatia M, Behari M. Excessive daytime sleepiness in Parkinson's disease as assessed by Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS). *Sleep Med* 2003;4(4):339–342.
5. Weintraub D, Mamikonyan E, Papay K, Shea JA, Xie SX, Siderowf A. Questionnaire for impulsive-compulsive disorders in Parkinson's disease-rating scale. *Mov Disord* 2012;27(2):242–247.
6. Hauser RA, Friedlander J, Zesiewicz TA, et al. A home diary to assess functional status in patients with Parkinson's disease with motor fluctuations and dyskinesia. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 2000;23(2):75–81.
7. Hauser RA, Deckers F, Leher P. Parkinson's disease home diary: further validation and implications for clinical trials. *Mov Disord* 2004;19(12):1409–1413.
8. Guy W. *Clinical Global Impressions. ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology*. Rockville, MD: Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; 1976:218–222.
9. FDA. Guideline for Industry. The extent of population exposure to assess clinical safety: for drugs intended for long-term treatment of non-life-threatening conditions. <https://www.fda.gov/media/71180/download>. Accessed June 16, 2021
10. Bhidayasiri R, Chaudhuri KR, LeWitt P, Martin A, Boonpang K, van Laar T. Effective delivery of apomorphine in the management of Parkinson disease: practical considerations for clinicians and Parkinson nurses. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 2015;38(3):89–103.
11. Bhidayasiri R, Garcia Ruiz PJ, Henriksen T. Practical management of adverse events related to apomorphine therapy. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2016;33(Suppl 1):S42–S48.
12. Guilhem I, Leguerrier AM, Lecordier F, Poirier JY, Maugendre D. Technical risks with subcutaneous insulin infusion. *Diabetes Metab* 2006;32(3):279–284.
13. Deeb A, Abdelrahman L, Tomy M, et al. Impact of insulin injection and infusion routines on lipohypertrophy and glycemic control in children and adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Ther* 2019;10(1):259–267.
14. Lebrun C, Bertagna M, Cohen M. Cutaneous side-effects of immunomodulators in MS. *Int MS J* 2011;17(3):88–94.
15. Hauser RA, Gordon MF, Mizuno Y, et al. Minimal clinically important difference in Parkinson's disease as assessed in pivotal trials of pramipexole extended release. *Parkinsons Dis* 2014;2014:467131.
16. Hauser RA, Auinger P. Determination of minimal clinically important change in early and advanced Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2011;26(5):813–818.
17. Antonini A, Stoessl AJ, Kleinman LS, et al. Developing consensus among movement disorder specialists on clinical indicators for identification and management of advanced Parkinson's disease: a multi-country Delphi-panel approach. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2018;34(12):2063–2073.

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.