



Advanced NSCLC Patients With EGFR T790M Harboring TP53 R273C or KRAS G12V Cannot Benefit From Osimertinib Based on a Clinical Multicentre Study by Tissue and Liquid Biopsy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Shiv K. Gupta, Mayo Clinic, United States

Reviewed by:

Sonia Jain, Mayo Clinic, United States Xue Wu, Geneseeq Technology Inc., Canada

*Correspondence:

Zeyi Liu liuzeyisuda@163.com Jian-an Huang huang_jian_an@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Molecular Targets and Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 27 October 2020 Accepted: 11 January 2021 Published: 24 February 2021

Citation:

Fu Y, Wang A, Zhou J, Feng W, Shi M, Xu X, Zhao H, Cai L, Feng J, Lv X, Zhang X, Xu W, Zhang Z, Ma G, Wang J, Zhou T, Zhao D, Fang H, Liu Z and Huang J-a (2021) Advanced NSCLC Patients With EGFR T790M Harboring TP53 R273C or KRAS G12V Cannot Benefit From Osimertinib Based on a Clinical Multicentre Study by Tissue and Liquid Biopsy. Front. Oncol. 11:621992. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.621992 Yulong Fu^{1,2†}, Anqi Wang^{1,2†}, Jieqi Zhou^{1,2}, Wei Feng¹, Minhua Shi³, Xiao Xu⁴, Hongqing Zhao⁵, Liming Cai⁶, Jian Feng⁷, Xuedong Lv⁸, Xiaodong Zhang⁹, Wenjing Xu¹⁰, Zhengrong Zhang¹¹, Guoer Ma¹², Jian Wang¹³, Tong Zhou¹⁴, Dahai Zhao¹⁵, Haohui Fang¹⁶, Zeyi Liu^{1,2,17*} and Jian-an Huang^{1,2,17*}

¹ Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China, ² Suzhou Key Laboratory for Respiratory Diseases, Suzhou, China, ³ Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China, ⁴ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou, China, ⁵ Department of Respirology, Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Wuxi Second Hospital, Wuxi, China, ⁶ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuxi, China, ⁷ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, China, ⁸ Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, China, ⁹ Department of Medical Oncology, Nantong Tumor Hospital, Nantong, China, ¹⁰ Departments of Respiratory Medicine, Northern Jiangsu People's Hospital, Clinical Medical College of Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China, ¹¹ Department of Respiratory Medicine, First People's Hospital of Yangzhou City, Yangzhou, China, ¹² Department of Respiratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China, ¹³ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Zhenjiang First People's Hospital, Zhenjiang, China, ¹⁴ Department of Oncology, Changzhou Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Soochow University, Changzhou, China, ¹⁶ Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, The Second Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, ¹⁶ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Anhui Chest Hospital, Hefei, China, ¹⁷ Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Soochow University, Suzhou, China

Background: Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients treated with first-generation epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI) almost always acquire resistance, and the development of novel techniques analyzing circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) have made it possible for liquid biopsy to detect genetic alterations from limited amount of DNA with less invasiveness. While a large amount of patients with EGFR exon 21 p.Thr790 Met (T790M) benefited from osimertinib treatment, acquired resistance to osimertinb has subsequently become a growing challenge.

Methods: We performed tissue and liquid rebiopsy on 50 patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC who acquired resistance to first-generation EGFR-TKIs. Plasma samples underwent droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) and next-generation sequencing (NGS) examinations. Corresponding tissue samples underwent NGS and Cobas[®] EGFR Mutation Test v2 (Cobas) examinations.

Results: Of the 50 patients evaluated, the mutation detection rates of liquid biopsy group and tissue biopsy group demonstrated no significant differences (41/48, 85.4% vs. 44/48,

1

91.7%; OR=0.53, 95% CI=0.15 to 1.95). Overall concordance, defined as the proportion of patients for whom at least one identical genomic alteration was identified in both tissue and plasma, was 78.3% (36/46, 95% CI=0.39 to 2.69). Moreover, our results showed that almost half of the patients (46%, 23/50) resistant to first-generation EGFR-TKI harbored p.Thr790 Met (T790M) mutation. 82.6% (19/23) of the T790M positive patients were analyzed by liquid biopsy and 60.9% (14/23) by tumor tissue sequencing. Meanwhile, a wide range of uncommon mutations was detected, and novel mechanisms of osimertinib resistance were discovered. In addition, 16.7% (2/12) of the T790M positive patients with either TP53 R237C or KRAS G12V failed to benefit from the subsequent osimertinib treatment.

Conclusion: Our results emphasized that liquid biopsy is applicable to analyze the drug resistance mechanisms of NSCLC patients treated with EGFR-TKIs. Moreover, we discovered two uncommon mutations, TP53 R273C and KRAS G12V, which attenuates the effectiveness of osimertinib.

Keywords: non-small cell lung cancer, liquid biopsy, gene sequencing, epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI), drug resistance

INTRODUCTION

With advances in genomic research and targeted therapy, the therapeutic strategies and prognosis of patients with NSCLC, especially EGFR-mutated lung adenocarcinoma, have been greatly improved (1). Even though EGFR-targeted therapy has become the standard treatment in advanced EGFR-positive NSCLC, most patients eventually develop acquired resistance to first-generation EGFR-TKIs after 12-24 months of treatment (2-4). Among many reasons leading to acquired resistance, the T790M mutation in an exon of the EGFR gene accounts for more than half of the cases, followed by other mechanisms, including human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) amplification, phenotypic transformation, and mesenchymal epithelial transition proto-oncogene (MET) amplification (5-10). Hence, genome sequencing is required to determine the presence of the T790M mutation before the application of the thirdgeneration EGFR-TKI osimertinib, which targets T790M (11, 12). Moreover, there are still patients with the T790M mutation who do not respond or partially respond to osimertinib, suggesting that additional resistance mechanisms may decrease the efficacy of osimertinib (13-15). A repeatable assay to comprehensively detect and monitor somatic alterations with high sensitivity during EGFR-TKI treatment is therefore required.

The emergence of novel techniques has allowed the discovery of multiple genetic mutations in malignant tumors (16). Tissuebased analyses have been widely used to detect driver or resistance mutations in NSCLC, providing clinicians with valuable therapeutic insights. However, it also has evident limitations considering the invasiveness of tumor biopsy, and the insufficient size of specimens obtained might neglect the spatial and temporal tumor heterogeneity (17–19). Therefore, liquid biopsy caught our attention. Liquid biopsy is a diagnostic method that can repeatedly collect ctDNAs from multiple tumor deposits with minimal invasiveness (20, 21). ctDNAs harbor tumor-specific sequence alterations from tumor cells derived from primary tumors and metastatic lesions, allowing a more comprehensive analysis of the tumor genome than tissue DNA from a single site (22–24). While tissue biopsy is still irreplaceable in diagnosing tumor malignancy, we intend to investigate whether liquid biopsy could be conducted to detect EGFR-TKI resistance mechanisms in NSCLC patients and hopefully save patients from undue suffering.

Despite the increasing utilization of plasma analyses in guiding clinical decisions, few studies have evaluated the efficiency of different approaches. NGS has been recognized as an efficient and reliable approach to detect multiple genetic mutations simultaneously (25, 26). On the other hand, ddPCR features extremely high sensitivity with a relatively narrow detection range (27, 28). Such advantages and limitations can be influential for the determination of subsequent treatment. In the present study, we used ddPCR and NGS sequencing to analyze plasma samples, and NGS and Cobas sequencing to analyze tissue samples to identify somatic mutations in EGFRpositive NSCLC patients during disease progression. We found a similar detection rate and a high correspondence between liquid biopsy and conventional tissue biopsy, while ddPCR and NGS each have advantages. Meanwhile, our results showed a wide spectrum of gene mutations, and two uncommon EGFR mutations were identified related to osimertinib resistance. Herein, our study emphasized the utility of liquid biopsy and might uncover two novel molecular mechanism of resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population and Inclusion Criteria

This was a multi-centre study performed at the Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine in 15 hospitals located in Jiangsu Province and Anhui Province in East China. The inclusion criteria were as follows (1): Patients histologically diagnosed with stage IIIB or IV lung adenocarcinoma. (2) EGFR mutations that were previously detected and treated with firstgeneration EGFR-TKIs, including gefitinib, erlotinib, and icotinib. (3) Presently graded progressive disease (PD) after first-line EGFR-TKI treatment. (4) Availability of rebiopsied tumor tissue or liquid biopsy samples for analysis. A total of 50 patients with advanced NSCLC were recruited from 01 February 2018 to 01 June 2019. Twenty-seven (54%) were male, and 23 (46%) were female, with a median age of 65 years (range, 44-84 years). Two patients had plasma samples only, and two patients underwent tissue rebiopsy only, while all the other patients' tissue and paired plasma samples were collected within three days, and detections were finished within seven days. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (No. 2018013). All patients enrolled in this study signed an informed consent form.

Specimen Collection and DNA Extraction

The tumor tissues and/or paired peripheral blood samples (8 ml) from each patient were collected within the scope of routine diagnostic procedures and were available in all patients. Four to eight sections of fresh tissue immersed in formalin (5-mm thickness) or formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) diagnostic tumor tissue samples were used for tissue DNA extraction. The DNA from tumor tissues was isolated from the sample using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and the DNA from FFPE slides was isolated by using a QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Both procedures were performed following the specific manufacturer's instructions. Peripheral blood samples were collected in cell-free DNA BCT® tubes (Streck, La Vista, Nebraska, U.S.) and processed within 6 h. The samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C and then centrifuged for a second time at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Plasma was then separated and stored at -80°C until cfDNA extraction. Circulating cell-free DNA was extracted from at least 4 ml of plasma using a QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. All the procedures for the molecular analysis were performed following the specific manufacturer's instructions. Mutations were then detected using the eluted DNA samples.

Mutation Detection

In a subset of cases (n = 50), ddPCR was carried out for hotspot mutations in EGFR using the QX100 Droplet Digital PCR System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) at Shanghai Yuanqi Biopharmaceutical Company. Positivity was defined as the fractional abundance of \geq 0.044% for the plasma samples. NGS was carried out using the Ion AmpliSeqTM Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 on the Ion Proton sequencer (ThermoFisher Scientific) at Shanghai Singlera Genomics. NGS data were analyzed using Torrent Suite software (version 5.0.2). Results that above the detection limitation of 0.1% were considered positive. The realtime PCR Cobas[®] EGFR Mutation Test Kit (Roche Diagnostics) uses a pool of primers allowing for a target size from 85 to 155 bp, which was divided into three different mixes for each sample and control. The Cobas assay was run using the Cobas z480 thermocycler (Roche Diagnostics) at Shanghai Di-an Diagnosis Technology Company and all the positive results were included.

Statistical Analysis

The chi-square test was used to calculate the concordance between mutation detection rates of plasma and tissue samples. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation of variant frequencies between the assays. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to evaluate the association between clinical characteristics and ctDNA detection rate. The cut-off date used for therapy outcome analysis was 31 October 2019. Data were analyzed by SPSS Statistics version 25.0 (IBM Corporation, NY, USA) and SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All P values are two-sided, and confidence intervals are at the 95% level, with statistical significance defined as P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Mutation Detection in Oncogenes After Resistance

We used four different approaches to detect mutations among the 50 patients with advanced EGFR-mutant lung cancer who acquired resistance to EGFR-TKIs, including ddPCR and NGS assays performed in plasma samples and NGS and Cobas assays performed in tissue samples. A total of 50 patients from 15 hospitals, including 27 females and 23 males, were recruited for our investigation. The clinical characteristics of the patients are summarized in **Table 1**. All patients were initially diagnosed with lung adenocarcinoma with an EGFR mutation and received firstgeneration EGFR-TKI treatment. According to the National

TABLE 1 | Clinical characteristics of enrolled NSCLC patients with EGFR mutations (n = 50).

Clinical characteristics	Number of patients (%)		
Gender			
Man	27 (54)		
Women	23 (46)		
Age, median (range)	65 (44–84)		
Histological type			
Adenocarcinoma	50 (100)		
Cancer stage			
lllb	12 (24)		
IV	38 (76)		
EGFR-activating mutation			
Exon 19 deletion	19 (38)		
Exon 21 L858R mutation	18 (36)		
Exon 18 G719X mutation	1 (2)		
Unknown	12 (24)		
Previous EGFR-TKI therapy			
Gefitinib	28 (56)		
Erlotinib	6 (12)		
Icotinib	16 (32)		
Sample type upon PD			
Plasma only	2 (4)		
Tissue only	2 (4)		
Plasma and tissue	46 (92)		

Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for NSCLC, it is recommended to perform routine mutation tests for EGFR, BRAF, ERBB2, and MET as well as rearrangements in ALK, ROS1, and RET in all patients diagnosed with advanced NSCLC. Here, we performed the NGS panel containing 12 genes covering all the mutations mentioned above, and the ddPCR and Cobas assays each had their respective deficiencies, which are described in **Table 2**.

In the present study, 48 screened patients provided matched tumor tissue and plasma samples. Among the genotyping results obtained from 96 samples in 50 patients, a total of 48 ddPCR results and 46 NGS results were obtained from 48 plasma samples (2 plasma samples received no NGS results due to quality failure), while 48 NGS results and Cobas results were obtained from tumor tissue samples. A total of 46 out of 50 (92%) patients underwent both liquid biopsy and tissue rebiopsy for multimethod genotype sequencing after tumor progression. The distribution of the gene mutations classified by patients and alterations is shown in **Figure 1**.

In total, somatic mutations were found in 96% (48/50) of the patients. NGS and ddPCR detected somatic mutations in 82.6% (38/46) and 70.8% (34/48) of the plasma samples, while NGS and Cobas detected gene alterations in 93.6% (44/47) and 69.4% (25/36) of the tissue samples, respectively. Thus, the mutation detection rate was slightly lower in samples of plasma than in tissue with no significant difference (41/48, 85.4% vs. 44/48, 91.7%; OR = 0.53, 95% CI = 0.15 to 1.95, P = 0.336), and NGS was the most sensitive among all the approaches. The overall concordance between plasma and tissue sequencing results, defined as the proportion of patients who carried at least one matching result between each of the two methods in tissue and plasma examining, was 78.3% (36/46, 95% CI = 0.39 to 2.69).

Multivariable logistic regression on ctDNA detection rate and clinical factors such as age, sex, and disease stage did not identify TABLE 2 | Detectable mutations by assay.

Gene	Exon	Mutation	Plasma		Tissue	
			ddPCR	NGS- plasma	NGS- tissue	Cobas [®]
EGFR	18	G719X			\checkmark	
	19	19-del				
	20	T790M				
	20	Insert		\checkmark		
	21	L858R		\checkmark		
		Others				
ALK				V	V	
ALK Fusion	20			\checkmark		
BRAF						
ERBB2				V	V	
FGFR1				V	V	
MET				V	V	
NRAS				V	V	
KRAS				V	V	
PIK3CA				V	V	
RET Fusion	10			v V	v	
	11			√	v	
	12			V	V	
ROS1	32			v V	v	
Fusion						
	33					
	34			V	v	
	35			v	v	
	36			v	V	
TP53				v	v	

any statistically significant variables that predicted the detection of plasma ctDNA.

Resistant Mechanisms to First-Generation EGFR-TKI Treatment

As demonstrated in **Figure 1**, TP53 was the most frequently mutated gene (33/50, 66%), followed by EGFR T790M (23/50,



4

46%). Sensitive EGFR mutations, including ex19del, L858R, G719X, and L816Q alterations, were found in 82% (41/50) of the patients. In addition, resistance mechanisms to EGFR-TKIs are known to be heterogeneous, and multiple aberrations may be present simultaneously, which is consistent with our findings (18, 29, 30). Ninety percent (45/50) of the patients bore more than one genomic alteration. During first-line treatment, 28 of the patients (56%) received gefitinib, 6 of them (12%) received erlotinib, and the remaining 16 (32%) were treated with icotinib. Hence the nine most frequently detected resistant mutations that might have clinical significance were sorted by different subsets of first-generation TKIs in **Figure 2**, and the pattern of resistance mechanisms to gefitinib, erlotinib, and icotinib appeared to differ.

The prevalence of the TP53 mutation was still the highest and was observed in 46% of the patients (23 of 50), especially among patients treated with gefitinib and erlotinib. Interestingly, the T790M mutation, known to be the most common mechanism of acquired resistance to gefitinib, was first identified in the current study. It was also detected in 46% of all patients (23/50) and 43% of patients treated with gefitinib (12/28). EGFR amplification (16%, 8/50) and MET amplification (12%, 6/50) were also observed. Apart from these differences, there were no significant differences in the prevalence of other resistance mechanisms between the three groups. Despite the limited number of samples analyzed, these results might reflect the heterogeneity of TKI resistance.

Detection Rate of the T790M Mutation

We next investigated the detection rate of T790M, the gene alteration most related to second-line therapy after EGFR-TKI resistance, among four different methods. The results are shown in the form of a Venn diagram in **Figure 3A**. Among all the T790M-positive patients, 19 of 23 were detected in plasma samples, while 14 of 23 were detected in tissue samples.





The concordance between plasma and tissue NGS genotyping for the T790M mutation was 50% (9/18), and the detection rate of T790M was the same in NGS-plasma samples and in NGS-tissue samples (60.9%). Furthermore, ddPCR and NGS detected EGFR T790M mutations in 78.3% and 60.9% of plasma samples respectively, and the detection concordance between NGSplasma and ddPCR is 68.4% (13/19). We further determined that T790M abundance detected by ddPCR was significantly lower than NGS in **Figure 3B**. Combined with the information in **Table 2**, we concluded that NGS is a more comprehensive approach with a relatively satisfactory detection rate. On the other hand, ddPCR is a more sensitive test, especially regarding the T790M mutation, despite its comparatively small examination range.

Potential Resistance Mechanisms to Osimertinib Treatment

According to the follow-up investigation, 9 of the 23 T790Mpositive patients withdrawn from EGFR-TKIs treatment and chose chemotherapy of palliative treatment due to the relatively high expense of osimertinib. The other two patients were ruled out because their T790M mutation was detected by only one approach that could lead to low credibility. Thus, we analyzed 12 cases that received third-generation EGFR-TKI osimertinib treatment, as shown in Table 3. Among the 12 patients, 10 showed stable disease or reduced tumor size by computerized tomography (CT) scanning, while 2 of them exhibited disease progression. Moreover, most of the patients (9/12, 75.0%) showed more than one genetic mutation, including T790M combined with a TP53 point mutation (2/12, 16.7%), a PIK3CA point mutation (3/12, 25.0%), or even a triple mutation like EGFR L858R/MET/PIK3CA. Based on the assumption that coexisting mutations may be related to the mechanism underlying the development of EGFR-TKI resistance, we

TABLE 3 | Response of patients with T790M mutation to osimertinib.

ID	Resistance mutation	PFS to first-generation EGFR-TKIs (month)	Response to therapy
03	EGFR T790M ^L , PIK3CA R88Q ^L	30	Effective
04	EGFR T790M ^{L,T} , PIK3CA E545K ^{L,T}	12	Effective
80	EGFR T790M ^{L,T} , TP53 C124Ter ^T	14	Effective
11	EGFR T790M ^L , EGFR Amp ^L , ERBB2 Amp ^T	13	Effective
15	EGFR T790ML	4	Effective
17	EGFR T790M ^{L,T} , EGFR Amp ^T , TP53 R273C ^L	35	Progression
18	EGFR T790M ^{L,T} , PIK3CA Amp ^T	35	Effective
25	EGFR T790M ^{L,T}	52	Effective
30	EGFR T790M ^{L,T} , EGFR Amp ^T , TP53 D281G ^T	12	Effective
33	EGFR T790M ^{L,T} , TP53 c.559+1G>A ^T , TP53 R249S ^L	19	Effective
41	EGFR T790M ^T	7	Effective
45	EGFR T790M $^{\rm T}$, KRAS G12V ^L , TP53 G244D $^{\rm T}$	5	Progression

L, detected by liquid biopsy; T, detected by tissue biopsy, PFS, progression-free survival.

further investigated two patients, No. 17 and No. 45, who progressed on osimertinib and found several seldom studied point mutations.

Patient No. 17, a 75-year-old female, never smoker, was diagnosed with right lung adenocarcinoma positive for EGFR ex19del. First, chemotherapy with cisplatin and pemetrexed was used for a total of 7 months until mediastinal lymph node enlargement was observed. Gefitinib was then administered, being optimally tolerated at the beginning. However, after 4 months, gefitinib was discontinued because of disease progression, as shown in **Figure 4**. A rebiopsy revealed EGFR T790M, TP53 R273C mutation, and EGFR amplification, with persistence of EGFR ex19del. The patient then commenced a new treatment with osimertinib. However, her condition rapidly declined, and she died 1 month later. Considering that other patients harboring EGFR T790M and EGFR amplification have shown sensitivity to osimertinib treatment (31), we identified the TP53 R273C mutation to promote resistance to osimertinib.

Patient No. 45, a 72-year-old male, presented with uncontrollable cough, after which he was diagnosed with an EGFR-mutated ex19del right lung adenocarcinoma. The patient was initially treated with first-generation EGFR-TKI gefitinib since EGFR exon 19 deletion was detected upon diagnosis biopsy. However, disease progression occurred 32 months after treatment initiation, as shown in Figure 5. The subsequent rebiopsy results confirmed ex19del and revealed the emergence of EGFR T790M, KRAS G12V, and TP53 G244D mutations. Hence, second-line treatment with osimertinib was carried out. Unfortunately, disease progression occurred 3 months later, treatment with osimertinib was discontinued, and platinumbased combination chemotherapy was initiated. Since there is currently no report about TP53 G244D mutation-induced TKI resistance, it is suggested that the KRAS G12V mutation may prompt resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs.

DISCUSSION

Acquired resistance to EGFR-TKIs has become an important issue for NSCLC patients with EGFR activating mutations and is mostly due to secondary mutations such as T790M (5, 6). Given that former studies have shown intra-patient heterogeneity of resistant mechanisms (8, 9, 32), rebiopsies were requested at disease progression in the detection of putative resistant mutations. However, traditional bronchoscopy tissue biopsy has limitations, such as invasiveness. More importantly, tissue biopsy can only analyze one single tumor deposit at a time and requires a sufficient amount of tissue to perform all the assays (19), which would likely underestimate the temporal and spatial heterogeneity in resistance mutations (17, 18). Hence, a novel method for detecting EGFR-TKI-resistant mutations with high sensitivity and accuracy is urgently required for clinical practice. Here, we aimed to determine the most suitable rebiopsy assay for patients with advanced NSCLC resistant to first-line EGFR-TKIs.

Liquid biopsy caught our attention in that it can simultaneously capture and detect mutations in multiple tumor deposits with minimum invasiveness. It can also detect different



FIGURE 4 | Illustration of the genotype data and treatment received by patient No. 17 along with representative CT scans at the time points indicated.



types of gene alterations, including single nucleotide variants, copy number variants, deletions, and fusion genes. Thus, analysis of ctDNA can be conducted repeatedly throughout the whole course of treatment to monitor genomic alteration (22, 33–35). In the present study, we compared the efficiency of four different methods of genomic sequencing for the first time. We used NGS and Cobas sequencing for tissue samples and detected somatic mutations in 91.7% (44/48) of samples. NGS and ddPCR were used for plasma samples, and the respective detection rate was 85.4% (41/48). The concordance between tissue and plasma genotyping was 78.3% (36/46), indicating that there is no significant difference between their detection rates. Considering the advantages mentioned before, our findings suggest that liquid biopsy is more applicable to EGFR-TKI resistance detection than tissue biopsy.

Subsequently, we sought to identify the difference between two assays of liquid biopsy, ddPCR and NGS. In performing ctDNA analysis, we observed a high frequency of molecular heterogeneity in resistance mechanisms following treatment of NSCLC patients with first-generation EGFR-TKIs. NGS has the advantage of comprehensively detecting multiple gene alterations (36). It is capable of testing thousands of genes or even the whole genome with a relatively low DNA input (26, 37). On the other hand, ddPCR showed the highest sensitivity in detecting certain gene mutations that guide second-line treatment, such as T790M (28). Its detection rate of T790M is higher than that of NGS (78.3% vs. 60.9%), and ddPCR can identify T790M at a significantly lower abundance than NGS. In summary, NGS can provide a comprehensive view of the genome, while ddPCR is applicable to detecting specific mutations guiding clinical practice.

After we identified 12 patients with the T790M mutation by different assays, osimertinib treatment was initiated. Previous studies have confirmed the effectiveness of the third-generation TKI osimertinib for patients with acquired T790M mutations upon disease progression (38-40). However, the duration of response varies even for patients who initially respond to osimertinib, since heterogeneity has long been an obstacle in targeted treatment (30). Recent researched have shown spatial temporal heterogeneity among lung cancer, and the emergence of concurrent mutations such as MET amplification or other driver oncogene before or during pharmacologic intervention can also induce osimertinib resistance (18, 29, 41). Our study here revealed two patients who demonstrated no response to osimertinib treatment. Given that multiple gene alterations were detected in our study and that prior studies have observed intrapatient heterogeneity of resistance mechanisms in NSCLC patients with EGFR mutations, we hypothesized that the mutations coexisting with T790M may impact the efficacy of treatment with third-generation EGFR-TKIs. Moreover, concurrent TP53 alterations have been considered to be related to a lower likelihood of responding to EGFR-TKIs and a shorter PFS (11, 42, 43), and TP53 R273 has been confirmed able to reduce cell apoptosis which might be the mechanism underlying R273C induced osimertinib resistance (44, 45). Studies have suggested that KRAS mutations are associated with decreased responsiveness to EGFR-TKIS in NSCLC (16, 46), probably because KRAS mutation can lead to the activation of downstream RAF-MEK-ERK pathway (47). KRAS G12V that we concerned was found more likely to induce EGFR-TKI resistance by regulating MEK/ERK than PI3K/AKT pathway (48). Thus, we identified two candidate mutations in patient No. 17 and No. 45, TP53 R273C and KRAS G12V, that may have antagonistic effects on the efficacy of osimertinib. Collectively, these findings underlined that genomic results of liquid biopsy can be indicative of the clinical response to second-line EGFR-TKI treatment, such as osimertinib.

There are still limitations to our retrospective study. First, it included a relatively small number of patients. Second, analysis of plasma DNA at baseline was not performed. Finally, the efficacy of subsequent treatment with osimertinib according to genetic profile was not monitored in our study.

Our study showed that liquid biopsy is sufficient to identify somatic mutations and resistance mechanisms at disease progression during treatment with first-generation EGFR-TKIs compared to tissue biopsy. Different approaches of ctDNA analysis have their respective advantages and limitations.

REFERENCES

- Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* (2009) 361(10):947–57. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0810699
- Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, Vergnenegre A, Massuti B, Felip E, et al. Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-smallcell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2012) 13(3):239–46. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(11) 70393-X

Hence, a combination of multiple approaches can offer patients the best therapeutic options.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J-AH and ZL designed the experiments. YF, AW, and JZ analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. WF, MS, XX, HZ, LC, JF, XL, XZ, WX, ZZ, GM, JW, TZ, DZ, and HF provided tissue and/or plasma samples and clinical data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Suzhou Key Laboratory for Respiratory Medicine (grant number SZS201617), the Clinical Medical Center of Suzhou (grant number Szzx201502), the Jiangsu Provincial Key Medical Discipline (grant number ZDXKB2016007), and the Clinical Key Specialty Project of China.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2021. 621992/full#supplementary-material

- Sequist LV, Yang JC-H, Yamamoto N, O'Byrne K, Hirsh V, Mok T, et al. Phase III Study of Afatinib or Cisplatin Plus Pemetrexed in Patients With Metastatic Lung Adenocarcinoma With EGFR Mutations. J Clin Oncol (2013) 31(27):3327–34. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.44.2806
- Maemondo M, Inoue A, Kobayashi K, Sugawara S, Oizumi S, Isobe H, et al. Gefitinib or chemotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer with mutated EGFR. N Engl J Med (2010) 362(25):2380–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0909530
- Yu HA, Arcila ME, Rekhtman N, Sima CS, Zakowski MF, Pao W, et al. Analysis of Tumor Specimens at the Time of Acquired Resistance to EGFR-TKI Therapy in 155 Patients with <em<EGFR</em<-Mutant Lung Cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19(8):2240. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2246

- Pao W, Miller VA, Politi KA, Riely GJ, Somwar R, Zakowski MF, et al. Acquired resistance of lung adenocarcinomas to gefitinib or erlotinib is associated with a second mutation in the EGFR kinase domain. *PloS Med* (2005) 2(3):e73–3. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0020073
- Kobayashi S, Boggon TJ, Dayaram T, Jänne PA, Kocher O, Meyerson M, et al. EGFR mutation and resistance of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *N Engl J Med* (2005) 352(8):786–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa044238
- Sequist LV, Waltman BA, Dias-Santagata D, Digumarthy S, Turke AB, Fidias P, et al. Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. *Sci Transl Med* (2011) 3(75):75ra26. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002003
- Suda K, Murakami I, Katayama T, Tomizawa K, Osada H, Sekido Y, et al. Reciprocal and Complementary Role of *MET* Amplification and *EGFR* T790M Mutation in Acquired Resistance to Kinase Inhibitors in Lung Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2010) 16(22):5489. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-1371
- Facchinetti F, Proto C, Minari R, Garassino M, Tiseo M. Mechanisms of Resistance to Target Therapies in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. In: M Mandalà, E Romano, editors. *Mechanisms of Drug Resistance in Cancer Therapy*. Cham: Springer International Publishing (2018). p. 63–89.
- Cross DAE, Ashton SE, Ghiorghiu S, Eberlein C, Nebhan CA, Spitzler PJ, et al. AZD9291, an Irreversible EGFR TKI, Overcomes T790M-Mediated Resistance to EGFR Inhibitors in Lung Cancer. *Cancer Discov* (2014) 4 (9):1046. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0337
- Jänne PA, Yang JC, Kim DW, Planchard D, Ohe Y, Ramalingam SS, et al. AZD9291 in EGFR inhibitor-resistant non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med (2015) 372(18):1689–99. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1411817
- Thress KS, Paweletz CP, Felip E, Cho BC, Stetson D, Dougherty B, et al. Acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR T790M. *Nat Med* (2015) 21(6):560–2. doi: 10.1038/nm.3854
- Piotrowska Z, Niederst MJ, Karlovich CA, Wakelee HA, Neal JW, Mino-Kenudson M, et al. Heterogeneity Underlies the Emergence of EGFRT790 Wild-Type Clones Following Treatment of T790M-Positive Cancers with a Third-Generation EGFR Inhibitor. *Cancer Discov* (2015) 5(7):713–22. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0399
- Planchard D, Loriot Y, André F, Gobert A, Auger N, Lacroix L, et al. EGFRindependent mechanisms of acquired resistance to AZD9291 in EGFR T790M-positive NSCLC patients. Ann Oncol (2015) 26(10):2073–8. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdv319
- Chabon JJ, Simmons AD, Lovejoy AF, Esfahani MS, Newman AM, Haringsma HJ, et al. Circulating tumour DNA profiling reveals heterogeneity of EGFR inhibitor resistance mechanisms in lung cancer patients. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7(1):11815. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11815
- Zhang J, Fujimoto J, Zhang J, Wedge DC, Song X, Zhang J, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity in localized lung adenocarcinomas delineated by multiregion sequencing. *Science (New York NY)* (2014) 346(6206):256–9. doi: 10.1126/ science.1256930
- de Bruin EC, McGranahan N, Mitter R, Salm M, Wedge DC, Yates L, et al. Spatial and temporal diversity in genomic instability processes defines lung cancer evolution. *Science (New York NY)* (2014) 346(6206):251–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1253462
- Overman MJ, Modak J, Kopetz S, Murthy R, Yao JC, Hicks ME, et al. Use of research biopsies in clinical trials: are risks and benefits adequately discussed? *J Clin Oncol* (2013) 31(1):17–22. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.43.1718
- Jovelet C, Ileana E, Le Deley M-C, Motté N, Rosellini S, Romero A, et al. Circulating Cell-Free Tumor DNA Analysis of 50 Genes by Next-Generation Sequencing in the Prospective MOSCATO Trial. *Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 22 (12):2960. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2470
- Crowley E, Di Nicolantonio F, Loupakis F, Bardelli A. Liquid biopsy: monitoring cancer-genetics in the blood. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2013) 10 (8):472–84. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2013.110
- 22. Qiu M, Wang J, Xu Y, Ding X, Li M, Jiang F, et al. Circulating tumor DNA is effective for the detection of EGFR mutation in non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2015) 24(1):206–12. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.epi-14-0895
- Schwarzenbach H, Hoon DSB, Pantel K. Cell-free nucleic acids as biomarkers in cancer patients. Nat Rev Cancer (2011) 11(6):426–37. doi: 10.1038/nrc3066
- 24. Aung KL, Board RE, Ellison G, Donald E, Ward T, Clack G, et al. Current status and future potential of somatic mutation testing from circulating free

DNA in patients with solid tumours. Hugo J (2010) 4(1-4):11–21. doi: 10.1007/s11568-011-9149-2

- 25. Tuononen K, Mäki-Nevala S, Sarhadi VK, Wirtanen A, Rönty M, Salmenkivi K, et al. Comparison of Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) and Real-Time PCR in the Detection of EGFR, KRAS, and BRAF Mutations on Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Tumor Material of Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma—Superiority of NGS. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* (2013) 52 (5):503–11. doi: 10.1002/gcc.22047
- Meldrum C, Doyle MA, Tothill RW. Next-generation sequencing for cancer diagnostics: a practical perspective. *Clin Biochem Rev* (2011) 32(4):177–95.
- Zhu G, Ye X, Dong Z, Lu YC, Sun Y, Liu Y, et al. Highly Sensitive Droplet Digital PCR Method for Detection of EGFR-Activating Mutations in Plasma Cell-Free DNA from Patients with Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J Mol Diagn* (2015) 17(3):265–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2015.01.004
- Xu Q, Zhu Y, Bai Y, Wei X, Zheng X, Mao M, et al. Detection of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation in lung cancer by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction. *Onco Targets Ther* (2015) 8:1533–41. doi: 10.2147/ OTT.S84938
- Suda K, Murakami I, Obata K, Sakai K, Fujino T, Koga T, et al. Spatial heterogeneity of acquired resistance mechanisms to 1st/2nd generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* (2020) 148:100–4. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2020.08.010
- Suda K, Murakami I, Sakai K, Tomizawa K, Mizuuchi H, Sato K, et al. Heterogeneity in resistance mechanisms causes shorter duration of epidermal growth factor receptor kinase inhibitor treatment in lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* (2016) 91:36–40. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2015.11.016
- Shan L, Wang Z, Guo L, Sun H, Qiu T, Ling Y, et al. Concurrence of EGFR amplification and sensitizing mutations indicate a better survival benefit from EGFR-TKI therapy in lung adenocarcinoma patients. *Lung Cancer* (2015) 89 (3):337–42. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2015.06.008
- 32. Ohashi K, Sequist LV, Arcila ME, Moran T, Chmielecki J, Lin YL, et al. Lung cancers with acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors occasionally harbor BRAF gene mutations but lack mutations in KRAS, NRAS, or MEK1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2012) 109(31):E2127–2133. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1203530109
- 33. Thress KS, Brant R, Carr TH, Dearden S, Jenkins S, Brown H, et al. EGFR mutation detection in ctDNA from NSCLC patient plasma: A cross-platform comparison of leading technologies to support the clinical development of AZD9291. *Lung Cancer* (2015) 90(3):509–15. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2015.10.004
- 34. Punnoose EA, Atwal S, Liu W, Raja R, Fine BM, Hughes BG, et al. Evaluation of circulating tumor cells and circulating tumor DNA in non-small cell lung cancer: association with clinical endpoints in a phase II clinical trial of pertuzumab and erlotinib. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(8):2391–401. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-11-3148
- Sorber L, Zwaenepoel K, Deschoolmeester V, Van Schil PE, Van Meerbeeck J, Lardon F, et al. Circulating cell-free nucleic acids and platelets as a liquid biopsy in the provision of personalized therapy for lung cancer patients. *Lung Cancer* (2017) 107:100–7. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2016.04.026
- Sunami K, Takahashi H, Tsuchihara K, Takeda M, Suzuki T, Naito Y, et al. Clinical practice guidance for next-generation sequencing in cancer diagnosis and treatment (Edition 1.0). *Cancer Sci* (2018) 109(9):2980–5. doi: 10.1111/cas.13730
- Luthra R, Chen H, Roy-Chowdhuri S, Singh RR. Next-Generation Sequencing in Clinical Molecular Diagnostics of Cancer: Advantages and Challenges. *Cancers* (2015) 7(4):2023–36. doi: 10.3390/cancers7040874
- Goss G, Tsai CM, Shepherd FA, Bazhenova I, Lee JS, Chang GC, et al. Osimertinib for pretreated EGFR Thr790Met-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (AURA2): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17(12):1643–52. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(16)30508-3
- Yang JC, Ahn MJ, Kim DW, Ramalingam SS, Sequist LV, Su WC, et al. Osimertinib in Pretreated T790M-Positive Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: AURA Study Phase II Extension Component. J Clin Oncol (2017) 35 (12):1288–96. doi: 10.1200/jco.2016.70.3223
- Mok TS, Wu YL, Ahn MJ, Garassino MC, Kim HR, Ramalingam SS, et al. Osimertinib or Platinum-Pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-Positive Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med (2017) 376(7):629–40. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1612674
- 41. Nishiyama A, Takeuchi S, Adachi Y, Otani S, Tanimoto A, Sasaki M, et al. MET amplification results in heterogeneous responses to osimertinib in EGFR-mutant lung cancer treated with erlotinib. *Cancer Sci* (2020) 111 (10):3813–23. doi: 10.1111/cas.14593

- 42. Labbé C, Cabanero M, Korpanty GJ, Tomasini P, Doherty MK, Mascaux C, et al. Prognostic and predictive effects of TP53 co-mutation in patients with EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Lung Cancer* (2017) 111:23–9. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2017.06.014
- VanderLaan PA, Rangachari D, Mockus SM, Spotlow V, Reddi HV, Malcolm J, et al. Mutations in TP53, PIK3CA, PTEN and other genes in EGFR mutated lung cancers: Correlation with clinical outcomes. *Lung Cancer (Amsterdam Netherlands)* (2017) 106:17–21. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2017.01.011
- 44. Li J, Yang L, Gaur S, Zhang K, Wu X, Yuan YC, et al. Mutants TP53 p.R273H and p.R273C but not p.R273G enhance cancer cell malignancy. *Hum Mutat* (2014) 35(5):575–84. doi: 10.1002/humu.22528
- 45. Rho JK, Choi YJ, Ryoo BY, Na II, Yang SH, Kim CH, et al. p53 enhances gefitinib-induced growth inhibition and apoptosis by regulation of Fas in nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67(3):1163–9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2037
- 46. Pao W, Wang TY, Riely GJ, Miller VA, Pan Q, Ladanyi M, et al. KRAS mutations and primary resistance of lung adenocarcinomas to gefitinib or erlotinib. *PloS Med* (2005) 2(1):e17–7. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0020017

- Stinchcombe TE, Johnson GL. MEK inhibition in non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer (2014) 86(2):121–5. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2014.09.005
- Ihle NT, Byers LA, Kim ES, Saintigny P, Lee JJ, Blumenschein GR, et al. Effect of KRAS oncogene substitutions on protein behavior: implications for signaling and clinical outcome. J Natl Cancer Inst (2012) 104(3):228–39. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djr523

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Fu, Wang, Zhou, Feng, Shi, Xu, Zhao, Cai, Feng, Lv, Zhang, Xu, Zhang, Ma, Wang, Zhou, Zhao, Fang, Liu and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.