



Problematic Internet Use Was Associated With Psychological Problems Among University Students During COVID-19 Outbreak in China

Xinyan Xie¹, Kaiheng Zhu¹, Qi Xue¹, Yu Zhou¹, Qi Liu¹, Hao Wu¹, Zihao Wan¹, Jiajia Zhang², Heng Meng¹, Bing Zhu^{3*†} and Ranran Song^{1*†}

¹ Department of Maternal and Child Health and MOE (Ministry of Education) Key Lab of Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ² Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, United States, ³ Hangzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Hangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Charlotte R. Blease, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, United States

Reviewed by:

Konstantinos Kotsis, University of Ioannina, Greece Meng Liu, Zhejiang Normal University, China

*Correspondence:

Bing Zhu 96zhubing@163.com Ranran Song songranran@hust.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Public Mental Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

Received: 03 March 2021 Accepted: 26 April 2021 Published: 15 June 2021

Citation:

Xie X, Zhu K, Xue Q, Zhou Y, Liu Q, Wu H, Wan Z, Zhang J, Meng H, Zhu B and Song R (2021) Problematic Internet Use Was Associated With Psychological Problems Among University Students During COVID-19 Outbreak in China. Front. Public Health 9:675380. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.675380 **Background:** As the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic progressed globally, school closures and home quarantine may cause an increase in problematic Internet use among students in universities. Such a traumatic stress event may also contribute to the development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depressive, and anxiety symptoms. This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms as well as the predictive role of problematic Internet use in the above-mentioned psychological problems in university students.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted through an online survey of 8,879 students in China between April 20 and April 26, 2020. The presence of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms and problematic Internet use were evaluated using PTSD Checklist for DSM-5, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression 9-item scale, the generalized anxiety disorder 7-item scale, and the Young diagnostic questionnaire, respectively. Sociodemographic information and the knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) toward COVID-19 data were also collected.

Results: A total of 4,834 (54.4%) participants were female, and 7,564 (85.2%) were undergraduate students. A total of 615 students (6.9%) reported PTSD symptoms; 5.2% (465) and 10.1% (896) reported moderate to severe depressive and anxiety symptoms, respectively. The problematic Internet use was significantly associated with higher risk of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms (odds ratio 2.662 [95% CI, 2.239–3.165], odds ratio 4.582 [95% CI, 3.753–5.611], odds ratio 3.251 [95% CI, 2.814–3.757], respectively; all P < 0.001). Lower attitude and practice scores also contributed to the risk of depressive, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: Psychological problems should be paid more attention, and problematic Internet use may be a predictor when screening high-risk students for psychological problems. Our results will aid in timely psychological screening, which is meaningful in the prevention and intervention of psychological problems.

Keywords: coronavirus disease 2019, university students, problematic internet use, posttraumatic stress disease symptoms, depressive symptom, anxiety symptom

INTRODUCTION

Since the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic progressed in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in December 2019, COVID-19 has become a global pandemic and has been declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern by the World Health Organization (1). According to monitoring data of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, this infection has caused 195 country-wide closures and affected 157,833,678,8 learners, accounting for 90.1% of the total enrolled learners (2). In China, 280 million students were restricted to their homes and had online class during the COVID-19 outbreak (3).

COVID-19 itself, and the school closures and home quarantine caused by COVID-19, are traumatic stress events for most students, especially those with preexisting emotional disorders and those from vulnerable families (4). Such experiences could contribute to the development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, depression, and psychological distress, which was observed during the epidemic of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) (5) and the novel H1N1 influenza (6). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) claimed that mental health is part of the mission of addressing communicable disease (7). As for COVID-19, the prevalence of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms ranged from 7 to 27.39%, 3.7 to 48.14%, and 3.8 to 38.48%, respectively, and the participants included the general population (8-10), medical staff (11), the workforce (12), the affected population (13), adolescents (14), and youth (15). Research found that a majority of participants (71.26%) indicated that their stress/anxiety levels had increased during the pandemic (16). In addition, 4.18 and 3.41% of Chinese university students reported moderate to severe depressive and anxiety symptoms, respectively (17). Tang et al. (18) reported that the prevalence of PTSD symptoms in 2,485 Chinese university students about 1 month after the COVID-19 outbreak was 2.7%. However, PTSD may have a delayed onset, which may be missed in the initial stage (19). A 30-day prevalence is valuable, though a sufficiently large sample size is needed for estimation (20). Factors such as previous diagnoses of mental health problems, bad sleep quality, and younger age group contributed to the higher risk for psychological problems (8, 9, 17).

In addition to the above risk factors found in recent studies, individuals may be more susceptible to mental health problems if they suffer from addictive problems, including problematic Internet use. According to a theory proposed by Young et al., problematic Internet use might occur to compensate for the negative effects of life, even though it is still a problematic behavioral pattern (21). Individuals with problematic Internet use were 2.77 times and 2.70 times more likely to suffer from depression and anxiety, respectively, than those without problematic Internet use. The prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity in problematic Internet use is similar to that in substance use and addictive disorders (22). Problematic Internet use may be associated with increased social isolation, which could lead to depression (23). Studies also observed that the psychological components of anxiety such as sensitivity to stress were relative to the addictions (24).

When exposed to traumatic experiences, one may use addictive behaviors to avoid reminders of the trauma and cope with the PTSD symptoms (25). The emotion regulation partially explained the association between PTSD and addictive behaviors (26). Furthermore, the avoiding or negative coping styles, such as addictive behaviors, when facing emergencies, are related to mental health problems (27). For instance, 7.3% of a population could be expected to report increased alcohol consumption in the aftermath of the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks in New York City (28), and this could be the predictor of psychological problems like depression, anxiety, and PTSD (29). Considering the similar basic mechanisms between behavioral addiction (i.e., problematic Internet use) and substance abuse, problematic Internet use may be a maladaptive method of coping with PTSD symptoms, which was similar to the proposed association between PTSD and substance-use disorders. Studies also have found that problematic Internet use was significantly and independently associated with a high level of PTSD symptoms in students following the Sewol ferry disaster in South Korea (30).

Meanwhile, during the COVID-19 outbreak, university students stayed at home and had a lot of time to spend online. The World Health Organization reported that excessive e-device use was arguably a type of behavioral addiction that presented as a repetitive pattern of behavioral engagement in a specific area (31). Young adults are in a period of major changes in social roles and responsibilities, such as transition from compulsory education to university and establishing a romantic relationship (32). Mental health problems were highly prevalent among young adults before the COVID-19 outbreak. The Report on National Mental Health Development in China (2019-2020) showed that 18.5 and 8.4% of university students had depressive and anxiety symptoms, respectively (33). Nearly 47% of the university students suffered from different kinds of psychological problems, according to a national survey on the psychological well-being of Chinese university students in 2019 conducted by Dingxiangyuan and China Youth Daily (34). The meta-analysis study showed that the prevalence of depression among Chinese students ranged from 3 to 80.6% (35). The percentage of U.S. college students with a diagnosed mental health condition increased from 21.9% in 2007 to 35.5% in 2016-2017 (36). Studies have also indicated that excessive Internet use is related to psychological health (37, 38). Social media exposure is positively associated with high odds of anxiety and depression in the general population during the COVID-19 period (39, 40). Excessive Internet use among Chinese children and adolescents was observed during the outbreak of COVID-19 (41). And COVID-19 anxiety was found to be correlated with the severity of problematic Internet use (42). It was unclear to what extent the psychological problems worsened and what impact prolonged Internet use had on mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. We hypothesized that the mental health status deteriorated among university students during the COVID-19 period and that problematic Internet use was associated with the psychological problems. We conducted a cross-sectional

study to explore the prevalence of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms in 8,879 students from 23 universities in China \sim 3 months after the COVID-19 outbreak in China, as many individuals develop symptoms within 3 months of the trauma (43). The relationship between problematic Internet use and PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms was evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

A snowball sampling method was used to invite undergraduate and graduate students to participate in the online survey between April 20 and April 26, 2020, \sim 3 months after the COVID-19 outbreak in China. A total of 9,044 participants completed the survey through an online crowdsourcing platform called Wenjuanxing in mainland China, which provides functions equivalent to Amazon Mechanical Turk. The survey link was sent to the students' cellphones and the statement "I agree to participate in the survey voluntarily" was presented to the participant before the survey. The students proceeded to the survey after they had consented.

Measures

The demographic characteristics of participants were collected including age, sex, the location during the COVID-19 outbreak, residential district (urban and rural), type of students (undergraduate students, master's degree candidates, and doctoral candidates), whether there was anyone around them infected with COVID-19, and the knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) toward COVID-19. The detailed questions about KAP were showed in Supplementary Table 1. The Young diagnostic questionnaire (YDQ) consisting of eight items was used to assess the Internet use (i.e., "Do you stay online longer than originally intended?"). Participants who answer "yes" to five or more items are categorized as having problematic Internet use. The translated version, revised by Lv Ye, was directly used in the study, as it was widely used in China (44). The Cronbach's coefficient of YDQ was 0.805 in the study. After the exploratory factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) coefficient was 0.874 and Bartlett test *P*-value was < 0.001.

The PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5) is a 20-item selfreport questionnaire (45). The participants are asked to fill out the checklist in relation to a specific event in the past month, that was, the COVID-19 epidemic (i.e., "In the past month, how much were you been bothered by: 'Repeated, disturbing, and unwanted memories of the stressful experience?""). The rating scale is 0-4 for each symptom corresponding to "Not at all," "A little bit," "Moderately," "Quite a bit," and "Extremely." We used the Chinese version of PCL-5, which has been adapted by translation and back translation (46). Probable PTSD diagnoses are made using the DSM-5 criteria that requires at least one item from cluster B (reexperiencing), one item from cluster C (avoidance), two items from cluster D (negative thoughts and feelings) and two items from cluster E (Hyperarousal). The items with a score of two or higher were considered clinically relevant (45). The Cronbach's coefficient of PCL-5 was 0.968 in the study. The KMO coefficient was 0.976 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was < 0.001.

The Chinese version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression 9-item scale (CES-D9) was used to measure the level of depressive symptomatology which was developed by He et al. and was proven to be reliable and valid (47). Respondents were asked to rate each item to indicate how often they had felt that way over the previous week on a 4-point scale: 0 (rarely; <1 day), 1 (some of the time; 1–2 days), 2 (a moderate amount of the time; 3–4 days), or 3 (most or all of the time; 5–7 days) (i.e., "I have a good life"). A higher total score indicates a higher severity of depressive symptoms. A cut-off value of 17 was used (47). In this study, Cronbach's coefficient and KMO coefficient of the CES-D9 were 0.883 and 0.869, respectively. Bartlett test *P*-value was <0.001.

The generalized anxiety disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7) published by Spitzer (48), was used to measure anxiety symptoms. Participants are asked how often, during the last 2 weeks, they have been bothered by the symptoms of items (i.e., "Feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge"). Response options are "not at all," "several days," "more than half the days," and "nearly every day," scored as 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The Chinese version of GAD-7 has proven to be reliable and valid (49). A higher total score indicates a higher severity of anxiety symptoms. A cut-off point used in this study was 10 (48). GAD-7 showed good internal consistency and in the study with a Cronbach's coefficient of 0.936. The KMO value was 0.926 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity showed a significance of P < 0.001.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were carried out using the R (v3.2.5) software. Frequencies and percentages were summarized for the qualitative variables. For quantitative variables, mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated. Comparisons of demographic and psychological variables between different groups were analyzed using the binary logistic regression for qualitative variables. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were reported. All *P*-values were two-tailed with a significance level at .05.

RESULTS

A total of 8,879 questionnaires were included in the analysis after quality audit, yielding an effective rate of 98.2%. Among 8,879 students from universities in 14 cities, 4,834 (54.4%) were female and 7,564 (85.2%) were undergraduate students. The mean and standard deviation of participants' age were 21.27 and 2.39, respectively. A total of 1,941 (21.9%) of the students lived in Hubei Province during the COVID-19 epidemic. The mean and standard deviation of knowledge, attitude, and practice scores were 6.79 (0.99), 4.96 (1.23), and 7.87 (1.52), respectively. Thirty-three (0.4%) participants reported that they or their family members were infected by COVID-19. Moreover, 615 students (6.9%) reported PTSD symptoms, and 465 (5.2%) reported depressive symptoms. The number of students with anxiety symptoms was 896 (10.1%). Additionally,

TABLE 1	Characteristics	of participants.
---------	-----------------	------------------

Age (years), mean (SD) Sex Male Female Medical students Yes No Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	21.27 4,045 4,834 5,426 3,453 1,941 6,910 28	2.39 45.6 54.4 61.1 38.9 21.9 77.8
Sex	4,045 4,834 5,426 3,453 1,941 6,910 28	45.6 54.4 61.1 38.9 21.9 77.8
Male Female Medical students Yes No Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	4,045 4,834 5,426 3,453 1,941 6,910 28	45.6 54.4 61.1 38.9 21.9 77.8
Female Medical students Yes No Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	4,834 5,426 3,453 1,941 6,910 28	54.4 61.1 38.9 21.9 77.8
Medical students Yes No Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	5,426 3,453 1,941 6,910 28	61.1 38.9 21.9 77.8
Yes No Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	5,426 3,453 1,941 6,910 28	61.1 38.9 21.9 77.8
No Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	3,453 1,941 6,910 28	38.9 21.9 77.8
Location during the COVID-19 Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	1,941 6,910 28	21.9 77.8
Cities in Hubei province Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	1,941 6,910 28	21.9 77.8
Cities outside Hubei province Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	6,910 28	77.8
Abroad District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	28	
District Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family		0.3
Urban Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family		
Rural Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	5,084	57.3
Type of students Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	3,795	42.7
Undergraduates Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family		
Master's degree candidates Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	7,564	85.2
Doctoral candidates Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	1,133	12.8
Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family	182	2.0
Yourself or your family		
Yes	33	0.4
No	8,846	99.6
Relatives but not live together		
Yes	59	0.7
No	8,820	99.3
People in the same community or village		
Yes	639	7.2
No	8,240	92.8
Knowledge scores, mean (SD), max = 8	6.79	0.99
Attitude scores, mean (SD), max = 8	4.96	1.23
Practice scores, mean (SD), $max = 9$	7.87	1.52
Problematic Internet use		
Yes	2.519	28.4
No	6.360	71.6
PCL-5	-,	
PTSD	615	6.9
No PTSD	8 264	93.1
CES-D9	0,201	0011
DS	465	52
No DS	8 4 1 4	94.8
GAD-7	0,117	00
AS		
No AS	896	10 1
Total	896 7.983	10.1 89 9

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; SD, standard deviation; PTSD, the posttraumatic stress disorder; DS, depressive symptoms; AS, anxiety symptoms; PCL-5, the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; CES-D9, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression 9-item scale; GAD-7, the generalized anxiety disorder 7-item scale.

2,519 students (28.4%) showed problematic Internet use (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Figure 1**).

Students who showed problematic Internet use, compared with those who did not show problematic Internet use, had an increased risk of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms (odds ratio 2.662 [95% CI, 2.239–3.165], odds ratio 4.582 [95% CI, 3.753–5.611], odds ratio 3.251 [95% CI, 2.814–3.757],

respectively). When considering the four clusters of PCL-5, the independent samples *t*-tests also indicated that students with problematic Internet use showed more PTSD symptoms than those without problematic Internet use on B, C, D, and E clusters in PCL-5 (P < 0.001) (**Tables 2–4** and **Supplementary Figure 2**). Moreover, we found that male students, students who were infected or whose families were infected with COVID-19, those who had lower attitude scores and practice scores, non-medical students, and master's degree candidates had an increased risk of PTSD, depressive, or anxiety symptoms (**Tables 2–4**).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we reported the prevalence of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms to be 6.9, 5.2, and 10.1%, respectively and problematic Internet use was significantly associated with depressive, anxiety and PTSD symptoms, as well as the B, C, D, and E clusters of PCL-5. Lower attitude scores and practice scores also contributed to the risk of depressive, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms.

The detection rate of PTSD symptoms was similar to Liu's study (7%) (8) and Chew's study (7.4%) (50) and was lower compared to other recently published studies (10.8-27.39%) (9, 12, 15, 51). However, our result was higher than the study focusing on Chinese university students (2.7%) (18). Tang et al. investigated the mental health problems of students mainly from universities in Chengdu and Chongqing ~ 1 month after the COVID-19 outbreak in China, which was earlier than our study. The higher non-response rate and psychological resistance in the acute stage of trauma may lead to the underestimation of PTSD (52). Based on previous studies about the SARS epidemic, the longer isolation durations were significantly associated with higher possibility of psychological distress such as PTSD and depression (5, 53). Moreover, 0.4% of students in our study reported that they or their family members were infected with COVID-19, which was 100 times higher than in Tang's research (1/2,485). We also found that family members infected with COVID-19 were associated with increased risk of PTSD symptoms. The severer exposure to the stress event — COVID-1 9- may partly explain the higher PTSD prevalence in our study (54). In consequence, PTSD symptoms should be paid more attention, even if COVID-19 is well-controlled now and schools reopen step by step. Students who experience a more serious traumatic event need additional attention.

The detection rate of depressive and anxiety symptoms was lower than in recently published studies (55) and higher than in Tan's study (3.7 and 3.8%, respectively) (12). For Chinese university students, Chang et al. (17) found that 4.18 and 3.41% of students experienced moderate to severe depressive and anxiety symptoms, respectively, which were lower than in our study. The detection rate of anxiety symptoms in our study was higher than a study focusing on Chinese medical college students (3.6%) (56). The students in Chang's study were all from universities in Guangdong Province, China, while more than half of the participants in our study were recruited from Hubei Province, which was the epidemic center with more than 50,000 patients. TABLE 2 | Characteristics of participants according to the posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms.

Age (years), mean (SD) 21.16 (2.29) 1.026 (0.969, 1.084) 0.36 Sex Male 372 (9.2) 1 (Reference) NA Femalle 243 (5.0) 0.511 (0.425, 0.614) <0.01 Malcal students <0.01 Main 256 (7.4) 0.888 (0.721, 1.085) 0.27 Location during the COVID-19 </th <th>Characteristic</th> <th>PTSD No. (%)^a</th> <th>OR (95% CI)</th> <th>P-value</th>	Characteristic	PTSD No. (%) ^a	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Sex Nate Male 37.9 1.(Reference) NA Fenale 243 (5.0) 0.511 (0.425, 0.614) <0.001	Age (years), mean (SD)	21.16 (2.29)	1.026 (0.969, 1.084)	0.36
Male 372 (9.2) 1 (Reference) NA Fernale 243 (5.0) 0.511 (0.425, 0.514) -0.001 Medicial students 359 (6.6) 1 (Reference) NA No 256 (7.4) 0.889 (0.721, 1.085) 0.27 Location during the COVID-19 N 0.289 (0.721, 1.085) 0.27 Libeis in Hubel province 482 (7.0) 1.177 (0.935, 1.493) 0.17 Abroad 2.0 1.177 (0.935, 1.493) 0.17 Abroad 2.02 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.60 District 7 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Urban 329 (6.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Urban 329 (6.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Urban round you infected with COVID-19 7 0.001 0.010 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.004 No 0.60 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Abrone ced	Sex			
Fenale 243 (5.0) 0.511 (0.425, 0.614) <.0001 Medical students <td>Male</td> <td>372 (9.2)</td> <td>1 (Reference)</td> <td>NA</td>	Male	372 (9.2)	1 (Reference)	NA
Medical students View 359 (6,7) 1 (Reference) NA No 259 (6,7) 0.889 (0.721, 1.05) 0.78 Clacation during the COVID-19 U NA Clies in Hubei province 131 (6,7) 1 (Reference) NA Clies custide Hubei province 482 (7.0) 1.177 (0.935, 1.493) 0.17 Abread 29 (6.1) 1.177 (0.935, 1.493) 0.17 Abread 29 (6.2) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.60 District U NA NA Rural 292 (6.5) 1 (Reference) NA Quard states 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 514 (6.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 514 (6.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Dater degree candidates 514 (6.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Dater degree candidates 514 (6.5) 1.187 (0.863 (1.400, 8.633) 0.004 No 72 (2.2) 3.666 (1.400, 8.633) 0.004 No declar degree<	Female	243 (5.0)	0.511 (0.425, 0.614)	<0.001
Yes 359 (6.6) 1 (Reference) NA No 256 (7.4) 0.899 (0.721, 1.095) 0.27 Location during the COVID-19 0.021 0.021 Cities in Hubel province 482 (7.0) 1.(Reference) NA Cities outside Hubel province 482 (7.0) 1.177 (0.393, 1.493) 0.17 Abroad 2 (7.1) 0.578 (0.032, 2.860) 0.60 District Utan 229 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Rural 280 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Yop of students 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.004 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.004 No 610 (0.2) 1.054 (0.08, 6.23) 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 1 122 (0.365, 1.781) 0.82 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA No 608 (6.9)	Medical students			
No 256 (7.4) 0.889 (0.721, 1.095) 0.27 Locatio during the COVID-19 Citles in Hubei province 131 (6.7) 1 (Reference) NA Citles outside Hubei province 422 (7.0) 0.578 (0.032, 2.860) 0.60 Distric 2 (7.1) 0.578 (0.032, 2.860) 0.60 Distric 2 (7.1) 0.578 (0.032, 2.860) 0.60 Distric 0.40 0.40 0.40 Pland 226 (7.5) 1.064 (0.882, 1.269) 0.49 Type of students 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 551 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Octoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.401 Doctoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.401 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 1.122 (0.365, 2.761) 0.82 No 60 (0.2)	Yes	359 (6.6)	1 (Reference)	NA
Location during the COVID-19 Cifles in Hubbi province 131 (6.7) 1 (Reference) NA Cifles outside Hubel province 482 (7.0) 1.177 (0.935, 1.493) 0.17 Abroad 26 (7.0) 0.578 (0.032, 2.800) 0.60 District 2 0.50 (0.082, 1.269) 0.60 Urban 269 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.60 Type of students 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Dactoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Dactoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.751) 0.024 Nour offer or wort family 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.041 No cont family 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8	No	256 (7.4)	0.889 (0.721, 1.095)	0.27
Cities in Hubel province 131 (6.7) 1 (Reference) NA Cities outside Hubel province 482 (7.0) 1.177 (0.335, 1.493) 0.17 Abroad 27 (7) 0.578 (0.032, 2.800) 0.600 District 1	Location during the COVID-19			
Cities outside Hubei province 482 (7.0) 1.177 (0.935, 1.493) 0.17 Abroad 2 (7.1) 0.578 (0.032, 2.860) 0.60 District Urban 329 (6.5) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 286 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Type of students 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 513 (1.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.6) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yarself or your family Yarself or your family Yarsel 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA NA Relatives but not live together Yarsel 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA NA Poople in the same community or village Yarsel 0.46 (10.2) 0.900 (0.856, 1.012) 0.901	Cities in Hubei province	131 (6.7)	1 (Reference)	NA
Abroad 2 (7.1) 0.578 (0.032, 2.860) 0.60 District Urban 329 (6.5) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 286 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Type of students 0.49 Undergraduates 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Vorself or your family Yes 6.08 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Varself or your family Yes 6.10.2) 1.142 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 6.09 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA NA<	Cities outside Hubei province	482 (7.0)	1.177 (0.935, 1.493)	0.17
District Urban 329 (6.5) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 280 (7.5) 1.064 (0.89, 1.269) 0.04 Type of students U U Undergraduates 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Mayone around you infected with COVID-19 3.086 (1.400, 8.623) 0.001 Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.001 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 1 0.42 0.42 Yes 6 (10.2) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.40 No 571 (6.9) </td <td>Abroad</td> <td>2 (7.1)</td> <td>0.578 (0.032, 2.860)</td> <td>0.60</td>	Abroad	2 (7.1)	0.578 (0.032, 2.860)	0.60
Urban 329 (6.5) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 286 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Type of students Undergraduates 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 NA Yeas 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 1122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.50 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) 0.001 <t< td=""><td>District</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	District			
Rural 286 (7.5) 1.064 (0.892, 1.269) 0.49 Type of students Undergraduates 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 0.466 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.466 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Verson 0.057 (0.347, 1.519) 0.460 Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 0.608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA No 60 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 610 (1.2) 1.127 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 617 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Modelge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.091 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.850, 0.797) 0.001	Urban	329 (6.5)	1 (Reference)	NA
Type of students I IReference NA Undergraduates 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 X Yourself or your family X Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 68 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 1122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village NA Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.091 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	Rural	286 (7.5)	1.064 (0.892, 1.269)	0.49
Undergraduates 553 (7.3) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Verset Verset </td <td>Type of students</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Type of students			
Master's degree candidates 51 (4.5) 0.518 (0.352, 0.752) 0.001 Doctoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Ves 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.001 Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No Relatives but not live together Ves 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Ves 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Proble in the same community or village Ves 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Proble cores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.091 Problematic Internet use Ves 0.755 (1.48) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001 No 305 (12.1) 2.662 (2.239, 3.165) <0.001 No 310 (4.9) 1 (Refe	Undergraduates	553 (7.3)	1 (Reference)	NA
Doctoral candidates 11 (6.0) 0.757 (0.347, 1.519) 0.46 Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Vourself or your family Ves 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together Ves 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Ves 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.001 Practice scores, mean (SD) 7.55 (1.88) 0.939 (0.890, 0.992) 0.021 Problematic Internet use Ves 305 (12.1) 2.662 (2.239, 3.165) <0.001 No 310 (4.9) 1 (Reference) NA	Master's degree candidates	51 (4.5)	0.518 (0.352, 0.752)	0.001
Anyone around you infected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 000 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	Doctoral candidates	11 (6.0)	0.757 (0.347, 1.519)	0.46
Yourself or your family Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) Na Relatives but not live together Yes 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 0.004 NA Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.01	Anyone around you infected with COVID-19			
Yes 7 (21.2) 3.686 (1.400, 8.623) 0.004 No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 Yes 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village	Yourself or your family			
No 608 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together Yes 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	Yes	7 (21.2)	3.686 (1.400, 8.623)	0.004
Relatives but not live together 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	No	608 (6.9)	1 (Reference)	NA
Yes 6 (10.2) 1.122 (0.365, 2.781) 0.82 No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	Relatives but not live together			
No 609 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	Yes	6 (10.2)	1.122 (0.365, 2.781)	0.82
People in the same community or village 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	No	609 (6.9)	1 (Reference)	NA
Yes 44 (6.9) 1.147 (0.803, 1.607) 0.44 No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	People in the same community or village			
No 571 (6.9) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	Yes	44 (6.9)	1.147 (0.803, 1.607)	0.44
Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.70 (1.22) 0.930 (0.856, 1.012) 0.09 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001	No	571 (6.9)	1 (Reference)	NA
Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.55 (1.46) 0.745 (0.696, 0.797) <0.001 Practice scores, mean (SD) 7.55 (1.88) 0.939 (0.890, 0.992) 0.02 Problematic Internet use 2 2 2 2 2 0.001 0.001 Yes 305 (12.1) 2.662 (2.239, 3.165) <0.001 0	Knowledge scores, mean (SD)	6.70 (1.22)	0.930 (0.856, 1.012)	0.09
Practice scores, mean (SD) 7.55 (1.88) 0.939 (0.890, 0.992) 0.02 Problematic Internet use	Attitude scores, mean (SD)	4.55 (1.46)	0.745 (0.696, 0.797)	<0.001
Problematic Internet use 305 (12.1) 2.662 (2.239, 3.165) <0.001 No 310 (4.9) 1 (Reference) NA	Practice scores, mean (SD)	7.55 (1.88)	0.939 (0.890, 0.992)	0.02
Yes 305 (12.1) 2.662 (2.239, 3.165) <0.001 No 310 (4.9) 1 (Reference) NA	Problematic Internet use			
No 310 (4.9) 1 (Reference) NA	Yes	305 (12.1)	2.662 (2.239, 3.165)	<0.001
	No	310 (4.9)	1 (Reference)	NA

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NA, not applicable; SD, standard deviation; PTSD, the posttraumatic stress disorder.

^a The number and percentage of the students who reported PTSD symptoms.

Besides, the proportion of medical students in Chang's study was higher compared to our study. Medical students, usually having a better understanding of this disease, may stay rational when faced with the sheer number of sensationalized news headlines and erroneous news reports, which could contribute, in turn, to a reduction in anxiety (57). Furthermore, medical students tended to show a higher level of resilience, which was positively correlated with adaptive coping strategies when facing problems and had been shown to prevent the development of PTSD, anxiety, and depression (58). Another study showed that anxiety levels of medical students significantly decreased after switching to online learning, in contrast with their non-medical peers (59). This may be due to the lightening of an overloaded academic curriculum. In our study, non-medical students had significantly increased risk of depressive and anxiety symptoms. The depressive and anxiety symptoms of students, especially non-medical students, deserves attention.

The result indicated that there was a strong association between problematic Internet use and PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms. Data from the China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC), as of March 15, 2020, showed that 904 million people had gone online, of which 26.9% were students (60). Students obtained a lot of epidemic-related information from the Internet, which tended to be full of rumors and uncertainty in initial disease outbreak periods. Such experience may amplify students' psychological distress and contribute to the occurrence of psychological problems. Beyond that, addictive behaviors often function as regulation strategies of TABLE 3 | Characteristics of participants according to the depressive symptoms.

Age (years), mean (SD) 21.10 (2.27) 1.016 (0.390, 1.083) 0.53 Sex NA Male 220 (5.4) 1 (Reference) NA Fernale 245 (5.1) 1.019 (0.828, 1.255) 0.88 Medical students NA Vas 227 (4.2) 1 (Reference) NA No 238 (6.9) 1.504 (1.189, 1.003) 0.001 Location during the COVID-19 N 1.255 (1.22, 1.733) 0.044 Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.966 0.946 (1.189, 1.003) 0.044 Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.966 0.946 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Urban 265 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA NA 0.967 (0.100, 2.1054) 0.15 Urban 265 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Naster's degree candidates 305 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 305 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 30.91 0.027	Characteristic	DS No.(%) ^a	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Sex Male 220 (5.4) 1 (Fleference) NA Female 220 (5.1) 1.019 (0.828, 1.250) 0.868 Miclical students 227 (4.2) 1 (Fleference) NA No 236 (5.9) 1.504 (1.199, 1.903) 0.001 Location during the COVID-19 U U 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 Citites on Hubel province 356 (5.2) 1.16flerence) NA Abroad 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 Abroad 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 0.04 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 District U U 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 0.04 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.000 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Age (years), mean (SD)	21.10 (2.27)	1.016 (0.950, 1.083)	0.63
Male 220 (5.4) 1 (Reference) NA Fernale 245 (5.1) 1.019 (0.828, 1.255) 0.086 Medical students 227 (4.2) 1 (Reference) NA No 238 (6.9) 1.504 (1.189, 1.903) 0.007 Location during the COVID-19 NA 0.001 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.004 Citties outside Hubei province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.004 Abroad 0.00 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.096 District Utban 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.5) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.977 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.976 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyce around you affected with COVID-19 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.988 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Na Na No 460 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Na Na	Sex			
Fenale 245 (5.1) 1.019 (0.828, 1.255) 0.86 Medical students Ves 227 (4.2) 1 (Reference) NA No 238 (6.9) 1.504 (1.159, 1.903) 0.001 Location during the COVID-19 T T Reference) NA Cities in Hubel province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.966 Distric T <td>Male</td> <td>220 (5.4)</td> <td>1 (Reference)</td> <td>NA</td>	Male	220 (5.4)	1 (Reference)	NA
Medical students Ves 227 (4.2) 1 (Reference) NA No 238 (6.9) 1.504 (1.189, 1.903) 0.04 Location during the COVID-19 0 0 0.01 0.028 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Citties in Hubei province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Abroad 0.00 0.000,00.02, 2.81) 0.04 District 0.00 0.000,00.02, 2.81) 0.04 Urban 285 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Rural caudidates 0.80 (0.57, 1.455) 0.97 Dactoral caudidates 0.33 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Dactoral caudidates 0.33 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Dactoral caudidates 7 (3.8) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 More of your family Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) </td <td>Female</td> <td>245 (5.1)</td> <td>1.019 (0.828, 1.255)</td> <td>0.86</td>	Female	245 (5.1)	1.019 (0.828, 1.255)	0.86
Yes 227 (4.2) 1 (Reference) NA No 238 (6.9) 1.504 (1.189, 1.903) 0.001 Location during the COVID-19 NA 0.001 0.001 Citties in Hubei province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.966 Distric 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.476 Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.475 0.976 Distric 1676 (0.277, 1.455) 0.977 Under graduates 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 76.3) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.977 Doctral candidates 7 (3.9) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.988 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 9 9 9.95 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.95 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA <td>Medical students</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Medical students			
No 238 (6.9) 1.504 (1.189, 1.903) 0.001 Location during the COVID-19	Yes	227 (4.2)	1 (Reference)	NA
Location during the COVID-19 107 (5.5) 1 (Reference) NA Cities in Hubei province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Abroad 0(0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.98 District 108 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Undergraduates 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.767 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together Yes 3 (9.1) 0.972 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 46 (5.5) <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>238 (6.9)</td><td>1.504 (1.189, 1.903)</td><td>0.001</td></t<>	No	238 (6.9)	1.504 (1.189, 1.903)	0.001
Cities in Hubel province 107 (5.5) 1 (Reference) NA Cities outside Hubel province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.96 District Urban 285 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 95 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (6.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA 98 0.95 0.95 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA 9.98 0.95 0.95 0.95 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA 9.98 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0	Location during the COVID-19			
Citites outside Hubei province 358 (5.2) 1.325 (1.022, 1.733) 0.04 Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.96 Distric U U 180 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (6.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 70 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Varself or your family 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 46 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 1 1 (Reference) NA Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 4111 (5.0) 1 (Reference)	Cities in Hubei province	107 (5.5)	1 (Reference)	NA
Abroad 0 (0) 0.000 (0.000, 2.851) 0.96 District Urban 285 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 0.80 (4.7) 0.861 (0.72, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students Undergraduates 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA	Citites outside Hubei province	358 (5.2)	1.325 (1.022, 1.733)	0.04
District Urban 285 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students U U Undergraduates 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 V 0.997 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 44 (6.8) 0.9962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.995 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Propie in the same community or village 44 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.995 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Konweldeg scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (.06) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA 0.00	Abroad	O (O)	0.000 (0.000, 2.851)	0.96
Urban 285 (5.6) 1 (Reference) NA Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students u u u Undergraduates 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.455) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 V 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.8) 0.992 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 416 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 94 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 11 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA NA Heatives scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.05) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 <	District			
Rural 180 (4.7) 0.861 (0.702, 1.054) 0.15 Type of students 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 Yourself or your family Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together Yes 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Yes 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 5 4 (6.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 11 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Poole in the same community or village Yes 5 4 (8.5) 0.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 1.612 (1.134, 2.256) 0.01 0.01 0.01 <td>Urban</td> <td>285 (5.6)</td> <td>1 (Reference)</td> <td>NA</td>	Urban	285 (5.6)	1 (Reference)	NA
Type of students 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Version 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 45 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 94 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.00 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.81 (6.0) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Rural	180 (4.7)	0.861 (0.702, 1.054)	0.15
Undergraduates 395 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Verself or your family 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.5) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Verset 9 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.01 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA 9 9 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA 9 9 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.01 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA 9 9 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 <t< td=""><td>Type of students</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Type of students			
Master's degree candidates 63 (5.6) 0.994 (0.677, 1.455) 0.97 Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Vorrself or your family Verr Verr Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 1 (Reference) NA Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 7.50 (1.82) 0.883 (0.833, 0.938) <0.00	Undergraduates	395 (5.2)	1 (Reference)	NA
Doctoral candidates 7 (3.8) 0.776 (0.297, 1.765) 0.57 Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Vourself or your family 0 0 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 Yourself or your family Ves 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Yes 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Ves 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00 Practice scores, mean (SD) 7.01 (.82 0.833 (0.833, 0.938) <0.00 Problematic Internet use Ves 299 (11.9) 4.582 (3.753, 5.611) <0.00	Master's degree candidates	63 (5.6)	0.994 (0.677, 1.455)	0.97
Anyone around you affected with COVID-19 Yourself or your family Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 4 (15.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 1 1 (Reference) NA Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Doctoral candidates	7 (3.8)	0.776 (0.297, 1.765)	0.57
Yourself or your family Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 4 (6.5) 1 (Reference) NA Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.00 Practice scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Anyone around you affected with COVID-19			
Yes 3 (9.1) 0.977 (0.153, 3.448) 0.98 No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together Yes 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Yourself or your family			
No 462 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA Relatives but not live together 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 74 74 74 Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Yes	3 (9.1)	0.977 (0.153, 3.448)	0.98
Relatives but not live together Yes 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village Na Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	No	462 (5.2)	1 (Reference)	NA
Yes 4 (6.8) 0.962 (0.274, 2.588) 0.95 No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 7 <th7< td=""><td>Relatives but not live together</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th7<>	Relatives but not live together			
No 461 (5.2) 1 (Reference) NA People in the same community or village 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00 Practice scores, mean (SD) 7.50 (1.82) 0.833 (0.833, 0.938) <0.00 Problematic Internet use 299 (11.9) 4.582 (3.753, 5.611) <0.00	Yes	4 (6.8)	0.962 (0.274, 2.588)	0.95
People in the same community or village Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	No	461 (5.2)	1 (Reference)	NA
Yes 54 (8.5) 1.612 (1.134, 2.258) 0.01 No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	People in the same community or village			
No 411 (5.0) 1 (Reference) NA Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Yes	54 (8.5)	1.612 (1.134, 2.258)	0.01
Knowledge scores, mean (SD) 6.91 (1.06) 1.142 (1.033, 1.266) 0.01 Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	No	411 (5.0)	1 (Reference)	NA
Attitude scores, mean (SD) 4.48 (1.60) 0.765 (0.709, 0.825) <0.00	Knowledge scores, mean (SD)	6.91 (1.06)	1.142 (1.033, 1.266)	0.01
Practice scores, mean (SD) 7.50 (1.82) 0.883 (0.833, 0.938) <0.00 Problematic Internet use 299 (11.9) 4.582 (3.753, 5.611) <0.00	Attitude scores, mean (SD)	4.48 (1.60)	0.765 (0.709, 0.825)	< 0.001
Problematic Internet use 299 (11.9) 4.582 (3.753, 5.611) <0.00 No. 100 (0.0) 1.00	Practice scores, mean (SD)	7.50 (1.82)	0.883 (0.833, 0.938)	< 0.001
Yes 299 (11.9) 4.582 (3.753, 5.611) <0.00	Problematic Internet use			
	Yes	299 (11.9)	4.582 (3.753, 5.611)	< 0.001
NO 166 (2.6) I (Reference) NA	No	166 (2.6)	1 (Reference)	NA

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NA, not applicable; SD, standard deviation; DS, depressive symptoms.

^aThe number and percentage of the students who reported depressive symptoms.

the psychological problem of maladjustment, such as depression and anxiety (24). On the other hand, considering problematic Internet use behaviors like behavioral addiction, it shares similar basic mechanisms with substance dependence (61). Substance abuse (e.g., drugs and alcohol) may disturb a person's ability to cope with trauma effectively, leading to long-term symptoms of PTSD (62). In turn, subjects exposed to trauma may depend on substance abuse in attempts to manage symptoms, hyperarousal, flashbacks, or painful memories, to name a few (63). Besides, non-adaptive/negative thinking styles, which usually correlate with mental health problems such as depression and suicide ideation, are found in subjects who show addictive problematic Internet use (64, 65). Samples with problematic Internet use reported a greater risk of avoidant tendencies (66), which may be a feature of PTSD (67), and positive coping and adaptive strategies could moderate the development of PTSD symptoms in trauma-exposed subjects (68). Considering the association between problematic Internet use and psychological problems, an evaluation for problematic Internet use may be included in the assessment of individuals suspected of PTSD, depression, and anxiety to enhance the screening capability.

Our study found that females had a lower risk of PTSD and anxiety symptoms, in contrast with previous studies (8–10). However, Liang et al. (15) and Jin et al. (69) found a similar result. Males may be more likely than women to handle adverse experiences through aggression and addictive behaviors (70–72), which was also indicated in our study (The problematic Internet use of males vs. females: 29.0% [1,172/4,045] vs.

TABLE 4 | Characteristics of participants according to the anxiety symptoms.

Characteristic	AS No. (%) ^a	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Age (years), mean (SD)	21.25 (2.23)	1.060 (1.012, 1.110)	0.01
Sex			
Male	447 (11.1)	1 (Reference)	NA
Female	449 (9.3)	0.847 (0.727, 0.987)	0.03
Medical students			
Yes	493 (9.1)	1 (Reference)	NA
No	403 (11.7)	1.192 (1.000, 1.419)	0.05
Location during the COVID-19			
Cities in Hubei province	212 (10.9)	1 (Reference)	NA
Citites outside Hubei province	683 (9.9)	1.015 (0.842, 1.228)	0.88
Abroad	1 (3.6)	0.335 (0.019, 1.627)	0.29
District			
Urban	508 (10.0)	1 (Reference)	NA
Rural	388 (10.2)	1.010 (0.871, 1.170)	0.90
Type of students			
Undergraduates	772 (10.2)	1 (Reference)	NA
Master's degree candidates	108 (9.5)	0.716 (0.537, 0.952)	0.02
Doctoral candidates	16 (8.8)	0.633 (0.329, 1.153)	0.15
Anyone around you affected with COVID-19			
Yourself or your family			
Yes	5 (15.2)	1.282 (0.417, 3.236)	0.63
No	891 (10.1)	1 (Reference)	NA
Relatives but not live together			
Yes	10 (16.9)	1.556 (0.702, 3.152)	0.25
No	886 (10.0)	1 (Reference)	NA
People in the same community or village			
Yes	71 (11.1)	0.948 (0.705, 1.259)	0.72
No	825 (10.0)	1 (Reference)	NA
Knowledge scores, mean (SD)	6.83 (1.08)	1.059 (0.984, 1.140)	0.13
Attitude scores, mean (SD)	4.57 (1.48)	0.773 (0.730, 0.818)	< 0.001
Practice scores, mean (SD)	7.56 (1.82)	0.896 (0.857, 0.938)	< 0.001
Problematic Internet use			
Yes	489 (19.4)	3.251 (2.814, 3.757)	< 0.001
No	407 (6.4)	1 (Reference)	NA

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NA, not applicable; SD, standard deviation; AS, anxiety symptoms.

^aThe number and percentage of the sudents who reported anxiety symptoms.

27.9% [1,347/4,834]). A study utilizing functional magnetic resonance imaging indicated that men may experience increased anxiety in response to stress because of hypoactivation, or even suppression, of certain brain regions (i.e., left temporal gyrus, cerebellum) (73). Other researchers stated that femininity (affection, compassion, and sensitivity to others' needs) appeared to be protective against depression symptoms in college-educated people (74). On the other hand, the proportion of female medical students was 74.9% (3,623/4,834), compared to only 44.6% of male medical students (1,803/4,045) in our study. Compared with undergraduate students, master's degree candidates showed lower risk of PTSD and anxiety symptoms, which was consistent with a previous study (75). An explanation of this result is that older students with a higher educational level may have

more experience and better coping strategies in dealing with threats (76). Meanwhile, young adult students, who were in the process of achieving important development milestones, faced academically-related stressors and were particularly vulnerable to psychological distress (75). We also found that higher scores of attitude and practice were protective factors for PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms, which was similar to other studies (77). The KAP toward infectious diseases may be associated with mental health by affecting the level of panic and stress emotions in the population. It is recommended to make the most of the online health education services in China. This may facilitate the improvement of KAP levels of COVID-19 and alleviate the adverse psychological impacts and psychiatric symptoms of students.

There are some limitations in the present study. Information on the psychological status of participants before the COVID-19 outbreak is lacking. Moreover, the cross-sectional design could not evaluate whether psychological problems will be long-lasting after the COVID-19 outbreak. Follow-up studies with these participants will help us understand how long the symptoms will last and guide future intervention aimed at university students. In addition, some characteristics in problematic Internet use may be essential in the development of psychological problems, and the association needs to be further studied in samples exposed to COVID-19. The YDQ might bias the assessment of problematic Internet use, as the tool is somewhat outdated. Although the YDQ is still used in many studies (78-81), an updated model might be more suitable for the investigation of the Internet use (i.e., the Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution model) (82). Finally, the snowball sampling method may result in a biased sample. Selection bias may also lead to the findings that psychological problems of university students are related to gender, grade and other factors, which need to be verified in a larger sample in the future.

In conclusion, our study, with a large sample of Chinese university students, identified a 6.9, 5.2, and 10.1% prevalence of PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms, respectively, \sim 3 months after the COVID-19 outbreak. We also found a strong association between problematic Internet use and PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms. Considering that psychological problems among university students were common before the epidemic, although, COVID-19 will aggravate the psychological problems of students, the existing academic pressure and career pressure should not be ignored. Our results could complement the current state of mental health among university students during the COVID-19 period. Additionally, how mental health consequences can be mitigated under pandemic conditions is a priority that the researchers highlight (83). When it comes to mental health issues, problematic Internet use also needs attention. In addition, our findings could also assist in identifying college students with an elevated risk of psychological problems and

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. Statement on the Second Meeting of the International Health Regulations 2005 Emergency Committee Regarding the Outbreak of Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV). (2020). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-thesecond-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations- 2005 -emergencycommittee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov) (accessed May 20, 2020).
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). COVID-19 Educational Disruption and Response. (2020). Available online at: https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse (accessed May 20, 2020).
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. Overview of Educational Achievements in China in 2019. (2020). Available online at: http://www.moe.gov.cn/jyb_sjzl/sjzl_fztjgb/202005/t20200520_456751.html (accessed May 20, 2020).
- Ye J. Pediatric mental and behavioral health in the period of quarantine and social distancing with COVID-19. *JMIR Pediatr Parent*. (2020) 3:e19867. doi: 10.2196/19867

universities could consider planning for long-term psychological services for these students. Interventions such as improving the KAP toward COVID-19 would also decrease the risk of psychological problems.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because participants of this study did not agree for their data to be shared publicly; therefore, supporting data are not available. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to Ranran Song, songranran@hust.edu.cn.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. The statement 'I agree to participate in the survey voluntarily' was presented in the survey link. The students proceeded to the survey after they had consented.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XX, BZ, and RS conceived the study. XX, KZ, JZ, and RS critically appraised the data. XX, KZ, and QX prepared the initial manuscript. BZ and RS reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors have collected data for the study, critically reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the university students who participated in this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh. 2021.675380/full#supplementary-material

- Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S, Pogorski S, Galea S, Styra R. SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2004) 10:1206–12. doi: 10.3201/eid1007.0 30703
- Xu J, Zheng Y, Wang M, Zhao J, Zhan Q, Fu M, et al. Predictors of symptoms of posttraumatic stress in Chinese university students during the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic. *Med Sci Monit.* (2011) 17:H60– 4. doi: 10.12659/MSM.881836
- Safran MA. Achieving recognition that mental health is part of the mission of CDC. *Psychiatr Serv.* (2009) 60:1532–4. doi: 10.1176/ps.2009.60. 11.1532
- Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: gender differences matter. *Psychiat Res.* (2020) 287:112921. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921
- González-Sanguino C, Ausín B, ÁngelCastellanos M, Saiz J, López-Gómez A, Ugidos C, et al. Mental health consequences during the initial stage of the 2020 coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Spain. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:172–6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.040

 Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, McIntyre RS, et al. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:40–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028

Xie et al.

- 11. Ni MY, Yang L, Leung C, Li N, Yao XI, Wang Y, et al. Mental health, risk factors, and social media use during the COVID-19 epidemic and cordon sanitaire among the community and health professionals in Wuhan, China: cross-sectional survey. *JMIR Ment Health.* (2020) 7:e19009. doi: 10.2196/19009
- Tan W, Hao F, McIntyre RS, Jiang L, Jiang X, Zhang L, et al. Is returning to work during the COVID-19 pandemic stressful? A study on immediate mental health status and psychoneuroimmunity prevention measures of Chinese workforce. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:84– 92. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.055
- Lei L, Huang X, Zhang S, Yang J, Yang L, Xu M. Comparison of prevalence and associated factors of anxiety and depression among people affected by versus people unaffected by quarantine during the COVID-19 epidemic in Southwestern China. *Med Sci Monit.* (2020) 26:e924609 doi: 10.12659/MSM.924609
- Chen F, Zheng D, Liu J, Gong Y, Guan Z, Lou D. Depression and anxiety among adolescents during COVID-19: a cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 88:36–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.061
- Liang L, Ren H, Cao R, Hu Y, Qin Z, Li C, et al. The effect of COVID-19 on youth mental health. *Psychiat Quart.* (2020) 91:841– 52. doi: 10.1007/s11126-020-09744-3
- Wang X, Hegde S, Son C, Keller B, Smith A, Sasangohar F. Investigating mental health of US College students during the COVID-19 pandemic: cross-sectional survey study. J Med Internet Res. (2020) 22:e22817. doi: 10.2196/22817
- Chang J, Yuan Y, Wang D. Mental health status and its influencing factors among college students during the epidemic of COVID-19. *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao.* (2020) 40:171–6. doi: 10.12122/j.issn.1673-4254.2020.02.06
- Tang W, Hu T, Hu B, Jin C, Wang G, Xie C, et al. Prevalence and correlates of PTSD and depressive symptoms one month after the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic in a sample of home-quarantined Chinese university students. J Affect Disorders. (2020) 274:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.009
- Mak IWC, Chu CM, Pan PC, Yiu MGC, Chan VL. Long-term psychiatric morbidities among SARS survivors. *Gen Hosp Psychiat*. (2009) 31:318– 26. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2009.03.001
- Koenen KC, Ratanatharathorn A, Ng L, McLaughlin KA, Bromet EJ, Stein DJ, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the World Mental Health Surveys. *Psychol Med.* (2017) 47:2260–74. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717000708
- Brand M, Laier C, Young KS. Internet addiction: coping styles, expectancies, and treatment implications. *Front Psychol.* (2014) 5:1256. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01256
- Ho RC, Zhang MWB, Tsang TY, Toh AH, Pan F, Lu Y, et al. The association between internet addiction and psychiatric co-morbidity: a meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2014) 14:183. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-14-183
- Shensa A, Escobar-Viera CG, Sidani JE, Bowman ND, Marshal MP, Primack BA. Problematic social media use and depressive symptoms among U.S. young adults: A nationally-representative study. *Soc Sci Med.* (2017) 182: 150–7. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.03.061
- Stavropoulos V, Gomez R, Steen E, Beard C, Liew L, Griffiths MD. The longitudinal association between anxiety and internet addiction in adolescence: the moderating effect of classroom extraversion. *J Behav Addict*. (2017) 6:237–47. doi: 10.1556/2006.6.2017.026
- Brewerton TD. Eating disorders, trauma, and comorbidity: focus on PTSD. Eat Disord. (2007) 15:285–304. doi: 10.1080/10640260701454311
- 26. Staiger PK, Melville F, Hides L, Kambouropoulos N, Lubman DI. Can emotion-focused coping help explain the link between posttraumatic stress disorder severity and triggers for substance use in young adults? J Subst Abuse Treat. (2009) 36:220–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2008. 05.008
- de Tychey C, Spitz E, Briançon S, Lighezzolo J, Girvan F, Rosati A, et al. Pre- and postnatal depression and coping: a comparative approach. J Affect Disorders. (2005) 85:323–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2004.11.004
- DiMaggio C, Galea S, Li G. Substance use and misuse in the aftermath of terrorism. A Bayesian meta-analysis. *Addiction.* (2009) 104:894–904. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02526.x

- Dworkin ER, Wanklyn S, Stasiewicz PR, Coffey SF. PTSD symptom presentation among people with alcohol and drug use disorders: comparisons by substance of abuse. *Addict Behav.* (2018) 76:188–94. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.08.019
- Lee J, Kim S, Kang H, Kim S, Bae K, Kim J, et al. Relationship between problematic internet use and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms among students following the sewol ferry disaster in South Korea. *Psychiat Invest.* (2017) 14:871. doi: 10.4306/pi.2017.14.6.871
- 31. World Health Organization. Public Health Implications of Excessive Use of the Internet, Computers, Smartphones and Similar Electronic Devices: Meeting Report, Main Meeting Hall, Foundation for Promotion of Cancer Research, National Cancer Research Centre, Tokyo, Japan, 27-29 August 2014. (2020). Available online at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/184264 (accessed December 28, 2020).
- Scardera S, Perret LC, Ouellet-Morin I, Gariepy G, Juster RP, Boivin M, et al. Association of social support during adolescence with depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation in young adults. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2020) 3:e2027491. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.27491
- Fu X, Zhang L. Report on National Mental Health Development in China 2019-2020. (2020). Available online at: https://xinchuang.imau.edu.cn/info/1033/ 3969.htm (accessed April 14, 2021).
- Dingxiangyuan, China Youth Daily. Chinese University Students Health Research Report in 2020. (2020). Available online at: https://www.dx2025.com/ archives/46780.html (accessed April 14, 2021).
- Gao L, Xie Y, Jia C, Wang W. Prevalence of depression among Chinese university students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:15897. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-72998-1
- Lipson SK, Lattie EG, Eisenberg D. Increased rates of mental health service utilization by U.S. college students: 10-year population-level trends 2007– 2017. Psychiatr Serv. (2019) 70:60–3. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800332
- Baloglu M, Ozteke KH, Kesici S. Gender differences in and the relationships between social anxiety and problematic internet use: canonical analysis. *J Med Internet Res.* (2018) 20:e33. doi: 10.2196/jmir.8947
- Lee JJ, Wang MP, Luk TT, Guo N, Chan SS, Lam TH. Associations of electronic device use before and after sleep with psychological distress among chinese adults in Hong Kong: cross-sectional study. *JMIR Ment Health.* (2020) 7:e15403. doi: 10.2196/preprints.15403
- Gao J, Zheng P, Jia Y, Chen H, Mao Y, Chen S, et al. Mental health problems and social media exposure during COVID-19 outbreak. *PLoS ONE.* (2020) 15:e231924. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3541120
- Zhao N, Zhou G. Social media use and mental health during the covid-19 pandemic: moderator role of disaster stressor and mediator role of negative affect. *Appl Psychol Health Well-Being.* (2020) 12:1019– 38. doi: 10.1111/aphw.12226
- Dong H, Yang F, Lu X, Hao W. Internet addiction and related psychological factors among children and adolescents in China during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic. *Front Psychiatry.* (2020) 11:751. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00751
- Elhai JD, Yang H, McKay D, Asmundson G. COVID-19 anxiety symptoms associated with problematic smartphone use severity in Chinese adults. J Affect Disord. (2020) 274:576–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020. 05.080
- American Psychiatric Association. What Is PTSD? (2020). Available online at: https://www.psychiatry.org/patients-families/ptsd/what-is-ptsd (accessed 26 May, 2020).
- 44. Yang Y. Rating Scales for Children's Developmental Behavior and Mental Health. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House (2016).
- Blevins CA, Weathers FW, Davis MT, Witte TK, Domino JL. The posttraumatic stress disorder checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): development and initial psychometric evaluation. *J Trauma Stress.* (2015) 28:489– 98. doi: 10.1002/jts.22059
- 46. Liu P, Wang L, Cao C, Wang R, Zhang J, Zhang B, et al. The underlying dimensions of DSM-5 posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in an epidemiological sample of Chinese earthquake survivors. *J Anxiety Disord*. (2014) 28:345–51. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2014.03.008
- He J, Chen Z, Guo F, Zhang J, Yang Y, Wang Q. A short Chinese version of center for epidemiologic studies depression scale. *Chinese J Behav Med Brain Sci.* (2013) 022:1133–6. doi: 10.3760/CMA.J.ISSN.1674-6554.2013.12.023

- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. (2006) 166:1092– 7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- Li X, Luo X, Zheng R, Jin X, Mei L, Xie X, et al. The role of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, and school functioning in the association between peer victimization and internet addiction: a moderated mediation model. J Affect Disord. (2019) 256:125–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.05.080
- Chew NWS, Lee GKH, Tan BYQ, Jing M, Goh Y, Ngiam NJH, et al. A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 88:559–65. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.049
- Huang JZ, Han MF, Luo TD, Ren AK, Zhou XP. Mental health survey of medical staff in a tertiary infectious disease hospital for COVID-19. *Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi.* (2020) 38:192– 5. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn121094-20200219-00063
- Weisaeth L. Importance of high response rates in traumatic stress research. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. (1989) 355:131– 7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1989.tb05262.x
- Reynolds DL, Garay JR, Deamond SL, Moran MK, Gold W, Styra R. Understanding, compliance and psychological impact of the SARS quarantine experience. *Epidemiol Infect.* (2008) 136:997–1007. doi: 10.1017/S0950268807009156
- 54. Johal SS. Psychosocial impacts of quarantine during disease outbreaks and interventions that may help to relieve strain. *N Z Med J.* (2009) 122:47–52.
- Rajkumar RP. COVID-19 and mental health: a review of the existing literature. Asian J Psychiatr. (2020) 52:102066. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102066
- Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiat Res.* (2020) 287:112934. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934
- Ullah R, Amin S. The psychological impact of COVID-19 on medical students. Psychiat Res. (2020) 288:113020. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113020
- Lasheras I, Gracia-Garcia P, Lipnicki DM, Bueno-Notivol J, Lopez-Anton R, de la Camara C, et al. Prevalence of anxiety in medical students during the covid-19 pandemic: a rapid systematic review with meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:6603. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186603
- Saddik B, Hussein A, Sharif-Askari FS, Kheder W, Temsah MH, Koutaich RA, et al. Increased levels of anxiety among medical and non-medical university students during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United Arab Emirates. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. (2020) 13:2395–406. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S273333
- China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC). The 45th China Statistical Report on Internet Development. (2020). Available online at: http://www.cnnic.cn/hlwfzyj/hlwxzbg/hlwtjbg/202004/t20200428_70974.htm (accessed May 21, 2020).
- Love T, Laier C, Brand M, Hatch L, Hajela R. Neuroscience of internet pornography addiction: a review and update. *Behav Sci.* (2015) 5:388– 433. doi: 10.3390/bs5030388
- Brown PJ, Wolfe J. Substance abuse and post-traumatic stress disorder comorbidity. *Drug Alcohol Depen.* (1994) 35:51– 9. doi: 10.1016/0376-8716(94)90110-4
- 63. Simmons S, Suárez L. Substance abuse and trauma. *Child Adol Psych Cl.* (2016) 25:723–34. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2016.05.006
- Lissak G. Adverse physiological and psychological effects of screen time on children and adolescents: literature review and case study. *Environ Res.* (2018) 164:149–57. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2018.01.015
- Yen J, Ko C, Yen C, Wu H, Yang M. The comorbid psychiatric symptoms of internet addiction: Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), depression, social phobia, and hostility. *J Adolescent Health.* (2007) 41:93– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2007.02.002
- Milani L, Osualdella D, Di Blasio P. Quality of interpersonal relationships and problematic internet use in adolescence. *Cyberpsychol Behav.* (2009) 12:681–4. doi: 10.1089/cpb.2009.0071
- Cohen JA, Mannarino AP, Zhitova AC, Capone ME. Treating child abuserelated posttraumatic stress and comorbid substance abuse in adolescents. *Child Abuse Neglect*. (2003) 27:1345–65. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2003.08.001
- Wong M, Looney E, Michaels J, Palesh O, Koopman C. A preliminary study of peritraumatic dissociation, social support, and coping in relation to posttraumatic stress symptoms for a parent's cancer. *Psychooncology*. (2006) 15:1093–8. doi: 10.1002/pon.1041

- 69. Jin Y, Chang W, Chang X, Zhu L, Fang Z, Chen Y, et al. Analysis of mental health and influencing factors of college students in the online learning period during the outbreak of COVID-19. *Chin J Sch Health*. (2021) 42:574–8. doi: 10.16835/j.cnki.1000-9817.2021.04.022
- Benda BB. Gender differences in predictors of suicidal thoughts and attempts among homeless veterans that abuse substances. *Suicide Life Threat Behav.* (2005) 35:106–16. doi: 10.1521/suli.35.1.106.59262
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, Hilt L. Possible contributors to the gender differences in alcohol use and problems. J Gen Psychol. (2006) 133:357-74. doi: 10.3200/GENP.133.4.357-374
- Danielson CK, Amstadter AB, Dangelmaier RE, Resnick HS, Saunders BE, Kilpatrick DG. Trauma-related risk factors for substance abuse among male versus female young adults. *Addict Behav.* (2009) 34:395– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.11.009
- Seo D, Ahluwalia A, Potenza MN, Sinha R. Gender differences in neural correlates of stress-induced anxiety. J Neurosci Res. (2017) 95:115– 25. doi: 10.1002/jnr.23926
- 74. Gibson PA, Baker EH, Milner AN. The role of sex, gender, and education on depressive symptoms among young adults in the United States. J Affect Disord. (2016) 189:306–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015. 08.067
- 75. Debowska A, Horeczy B, Boduszek D, Dolinski D. A repeated cross-sectional survey assessing university students' stress, depression, anxiety, and suicidality in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland. *Psychol Med.* (2020). doi: 10.1017/S003329172000392X. [Epub ahead of print].
- Fernandez-Castillo A, Caurcel MJ. State test-anxiety, selective attention and concentration in university students. *Int J Psychol.* (2015) 50:265– 71. doi: 10.1002/ijop.12092
- Person B, Sy F, Holton K, Govert B, Liang A. Fear and stigma: the epidemic within the SARS outbreak. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2004) 10:358– 63. doi: 10.3201/eid1002.030750
- Nakayama H, Ueno F, Mihara S, Kitayuguchi T, Higuchi S. Relationship between problematic internet use and age at initial weekly internet use. J Behav Addict. (2020) 9:1–11. doi: 10.1556/2006.2020. 00009
- Chamberlain SR, Redden SA, Leppink E, Grant JE. Problematic internet use in gamblers: impact on clinical and cognitive measures. *CNS Spectr.* (2017) 22:495–503. doi: 10.1017/S1092852917000037
- Yamada M, Sekine M, Tatsuse T, Asaka Y. Prevalence and associated factors of pathological internet use and online risky behaviors among Japanese elementary school children. *J Epidemiol.* (2020). doi: 10.2188/jea.JE20200214. [Epub ahead of print].
- Mihara S, Osaki Y, Nakayama H, Sakuma H, Ikeda M, Itani O, et al. Internet use and problematic internet use among adolescents in Japan: a nationwide representative survey. *Addict Behav Rep.* (2016) 4:58– 64. doi: 10.1016/j.abrep.2016.10.001
- Brand M, Wegmann E, Stark R, Muller A, Wolfling K, Robbins TW, et al. The Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model for addictive behaviors: update, generalization to addictive behaviors beyond internet-use disorders, and specification of the process character of addictive behaviors. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2019) 104:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019. 06.032
- Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, Tracey I, Wessely S, Arseneault L, et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. *Lancet Psychiat*. (2020) 7:547– 60. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Xie, Zhu, Xue, Zhou, Liu, Wu, Wan, Zhang, Meng, Zhu and Song. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.