

Drosophila nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits and their native interactions with insecticidal peptide toxins

Dagmara Korona^{1†}, Benedict Dirnberger^{1,2,3*†}, Carlo NG Giachello^{3†}, Rayner ML Queiroz², Rebeka Popovic⁴, Karin H Müller⁵, David-Paul Minde², Michael J Deery², Glynnis Johnson¹, Lucy C Firth³, Fergus G Earley³, Steven Russell¹, Kathryn S Lilley⁶

¹Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ²Cambridge Centre for Proteomics, Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ³Syngenta, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre, Bracknell, United Kingdom; ⁴MRC Toxicology Unit, Gleeson Building, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ⁵Cambridge Advanced Imaging Centre, Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience/Anatomy Building, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ⁶Cambridge Centre for Proteomics, Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, United Kingdom

*For correspondence: bd415@cam.ac.uk

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Competing interest: See page 25

Funding: See page 25

Preprinted: 13 August 2021 Received: 29 September 2021 Accepted: 19 April 2022 Published: 16 May 2022

Reviewing Editor: Stephan A Pless, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

© Copyright Korona et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. **Abstract** *Drosophila* nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are ligand-gated ion channels that represent a target for insecticides. Peptide neurotoxins are known to block nAChRs by binding to their target subunits, however, a better understanding of this mechanism is needed for effective insecticide design. To facilitate the analysis of nAChRs we used a CRISPR/Cas9 strategy to generate null alleles for all ten *nAChR* subunit genes in a common genetic background. We studied interactions of nAChR subunits with peptide neurotoxins by larval injections and styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs) pull-down assays. For the null alleles, we determined the effects of α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx) and ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hv1a) administration, identifying potential receptor subunits implicated in the binding of these toxins. We employed pull-down assays to confirm α -Btx interactions with the *Drosophila* α 5 (D α 5), D α 6, D α 7 subunits. Finally, we report the localisation of fluorescent tagged endogenous D α 6 during *Drosophila* CNS development. Taken together, this study elucidates native *Drosophila* nAChR subunit interactions with insecticidal peptide toxins and provides a resource for the in vivo analysis of insect nAChRs.

Editor's evaluation

The authors employ genetic and biochemical approaches to demonstrate the insecticidal effects of a snake peptide toxins. Intriguingly, they show that it targets different nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits than a previously identified insecticidal spider toxin. Especially their clever combination of detergent-free membrane protein extraction and mass spectrometry will no doubt prove useful to study native receptor-ligand interactions in the future.

CC

Introduction

Global climate change and other factors are placing increasing demands on available agricultural land to deliver efficient, reliable and sustainable food production. Insecticides are important tools in securing yields of all major crops but need to be continually replaced to overcome resistance in target species and reduce environmental impacts. In addition, new insecticides must have low toxicity to non-target species, particularly the major pollinators essential for agriculture. A large class of insecticide targets are neurotransmitter receptors such as the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) located in synaptic plasma membranes (*Ihara et al., 2020*). These pentameric cys-loop ligand-gated ion channels consist of either only α -subunits or α - and β -subunits, with ligand-binding sites located between two α -subunits or between α - and β -subunits. Most insect genomes, including that of the highly tractable *Drosophila melanogaster* model, harbour 10 highly conserved subunit genes that assemble in various combinations to form the active receptors.

An essential pre-requisite for effective design of new insecticides targeting these receptors is an understanding of their distinctive binding properties. For many reasons, including low expression in endogenous tissues or difficulties in expressing insect receptors in heterologous systems, the characterisation of functional insect receptors has been challenging (**Perry et al., 2021; Zuo et al., 2022; Salgado, 2021**). Even in the tractable *D. melanogaster* insect model, there has been no systematic isolation of mutations in *nAChR* subunit genes, until recently, when Perry and colleagues described the generation of a new set of null mutations in nine out of the ten *D. melanogaster* subunit genes (**Perry et al., 2021**). These mutations, however, were generated in different genetic backgrounds necessitating additional work to assay background sensitive phenotypes such as neural or behavioural defects.

Several classes of insecticide, the most effective being those in the neonicotinoid and spinosad class, have been shown to bind insect nAChRs highly selectively to block their functions (*Chambers* et al., 2019; Houchat et al., 2019; Martelli et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2022). Recently, the binding affinity and the positive allosteric effects of ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hv1a) peptide on nAChRs has been demonstrated (*Chambers* et al., 2019) and this spider venom peptide is well known for its insecticidal effects. In addition, other peptide toxins, such as the snake venom constituent, α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx), have been widely used to probe nAChR functions, however whether α -Btx harbours a selective insecticidal property is currently unknown. Alpha-Btx is a 74 amino acid peptide that binds irreversibly to nAChR α -subunits in different species, including *D. melanogaster*, although the exact binding targets of these receptors are not fully understood (*Schmidt-Nielsen et al., 1977; Dellisanti et al., 2007; daCosta et al., 2015*). Landsdell and co-workers have shown binding of α -Btx to *D. melanogaster* α 5 (D α 5), D α 6, and D α 7 subunits in a heterologous S2 cell expression system (*Lansdell and Millar, 2004; Lansdell et al., 2012*). The amino acid sequences of these subunits with sequence similarity are implicated in α -Btx binding in vivo.

The lipid bilayer surrounding nAChRs is known to be essential for structural integrity, stability, and ligand-binding (*daCosta et al., 2013*). However, this lipid requirement can make analysis of membrane protein complexes challenging. The development of methods for extracting membrane proteins from lipid bilayers using detergents and introducing them into artificial lipid nanodiscs has facilitated a much better characterisation of receptor-ligand interactions (*Denisov and Sligar, 2016*). The use of detergents generally employed to solubilise membrane proteins, however, leads to destabilisation, aggregation and misfolding and their use is therefore not compatible with this type of analysis (*Loo et al., 1996*). Styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs) allow detergent-free extraction of membrane proteins in their local lipid environment and provide a promising technique for investigating receptor-ligand interactions under native conditions (*Lee et al., 2016*). This is particularly important since loss of lipids surrounding membrane proteins can lead to changes in measured binding affinities (*Martens et al., 2018; Gault et al., 2020*). The combination of detergent free SMALPs extraction coupled with mass spectrometry analysis provides a potential route for characterising native membrane receptor complexes (*Sobotzki et al., 2018; Kalxdorf et al., 2021*).

Here, we report the results from a combined genetic and biochemical analysis of *D. melanogaster* nAChRs in vivo. Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering, we generated new null mutations for all ten receptor subunit genes in a uniform genetic background as well as introducing a fluorescent protein tag into the nAChRa6 locus. We show that the null mutants in all seven α -subunit genes and two of the

three β -subunit genes are viable and fertile, although we find mild morphological defects and some neurological impairment. Mutation of the remaining subunit gene, $nAChR\beta1$, is recessive lethal. All nine of the viable null mutants were used to demonstrate a novel selective insecticidal effect of α -Btx on the nAChRa5, nAChRa6 and nAChRa7 subunits. We also applied the insecticidal Hv1a peptide to the viable null mutants, showing resistance with two subunit gene mutants: nAChRa4 and $nAChR\beta2$. In our biochemical studies, we analysed receptor-ligand interactions in native conditions using SMALPs to verify the in vivo receptor subunit α -Btx binding targets in adult neural tissue from wild-type and receptor subunit mutants. Our analysis revealed binding of α -Btx to receptors containing D $\alpha5$, D $\alpha6$ and D $\alpha7$ subunits with the analysis of mutants in these subunit genes indicating heterogeneity in α -Btx binding nAChRs. Furthermore, we have identified specific glycosylation sites in D $\alpha5$ and D $\alpha7$ subunits which are known from other studies to play a critical role in α -Btx-binding affinity (**Dellisanti et al., 2007; Rahman et al., 2020**). Localisation studies with the D $\alpha6$ subunit tagged at the endogenous locus with a fluorescent reporter shows expression at different developmental stages in specific neuronal cells, including the Kenyon cells of the mushroom bodies, a known site of α -Btx-binding (**Su and O'Dowd, 2003**).

Results

Construction of new *D. melanogaster* nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit gene mutations

To investigate the role of individual nAChR subunits we used CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering to generate null mutations in each of the seven α -subunit and three β -subunit genes. All mutations were generated in virtually identical genetic backgrounds using *nos*-Cas9 sources on the second or third chromosome of otherwise genetically homogeneous fly lines. Two of the subunit genes, *nAChRa3* and *nAChRa7*, are X-linked and consequently these lines retain the X from the nos-Cas9 stocks used to generate the mutations whereas the other eight null mutations have a w¹¹¹⁸ chromosome. In brief, for each gene we targeted exons shared between all predicted isoforms, in most cases close to the N-terminus of the protein. To disrupt each coding sequence and facilitate screening we introduced a visible fluorescent marker, DsRED under control of the eye-specific 3xP3 promoter at the targeted locus (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A-C*). Positive lines were confirmed by PCR and sequencing. The system was designed in such a way that the DsRED marker may be excised from the genome by Cre-LoxP recombination, leaving a 3 X FLAG tag and a single LoxP site with the reading frame predicted to be restored. We have not yet tested this facet of the design.

For nine out of 10 subunit genes, we established homozygous viable and fertile stocks, the exception was the $nAChR\beta1$ gene which proved to be recessive lethal. We crossed the $nAChR\beta1$ null strain with a *Tubby*¹-marked balancer but obtained no homozygous 3rd instar larvae, indicating lethality prior to this stage, which precluded subsequent analysis of homozygotes. Although all the other lines are viable, we noticed that all of the lines, but particularly $nAChR\alpha1$, $nAChR\alpha2$, $nAChR\alpha5$ and $nAChR\beta3$, exhibited a curled abdomen phenotype that is most prominent in males (approximately 25, 20, 15% and 15% respectively, *Figure 1A and B, Supplementary file 1*).

It is possible that this phenotype is a result of defects in neural control of abdominal muscles and it is interesting to note that a previous analysis of an *nAChRa1* allele reports reduced male courtship and mating (*Somers et al., 2017*). Since nAChRs are mostly found in the nervous system, we carried out basic climbing assays on the null alleles to assess potential locomotor defects (*Figure 1C, Supplementary file 2*). We saw little or no impact on the locomotor activity of 10-day-old flies with *nAChRa4, nAChRa5, nAChRa7, nAChRβ2,* or *nAChRβ3* homozygous mutants.

In contrast, the *nAChRa1*, *nAChRa2*, and *nAChRa6* mutants showed 50–60% reductions in climbing ability compared to wild-type, and the *nAChRa3* null mutant exhibited a severe reduction in locomotor activity with less than 25% of wild-type activity. Taken together, we report the generation and validation predicted of null mutations in all 10 *D. melanogaster nAChR* subunit genes, with mild morphological defects associated with most of the new alleles and impaired locomotion observed with some of the mutants.

eLife Research article



Figure 1. Morphological and locomotor phenotypes in *nAChR* subunit mutants. (**A**) Adult males from indicated *nAChR* subunit null mutants, black arrows indicate curled abdomens but even in lines with a low frequency the phenotype is prominent (blue arrow). (**B**) Frequency of curled abdomen phenotype (%), n=3. (**C**) Graph of locomotor activity determined in climbing assays as a percentage of wild-type, n=3.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Construction of new D. melanogaster nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit gene mutations.

Distinct nAChR subunits mediate interactions with $\Omega\text{-Hexatoxin-Hv1a}$ and $\alpha\text{-Bungarotoxin}$

In order to investigate the selective contribution of each *nAChR* subunit to toxin binding in vivo, we injected 3rd instar larvae from the homozygous *nAChR* mutants with either ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hv1a) or α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx) dissolved in PBS. As a control, injections of PBS alone (vehicle) were performed in parallel, with all larvae surviving the injection procedure and showing no detectable defects. Larval injection of 2.5 nmol/g Hv1a induced locomotor paralysis and full lethality in the control groups (w^{1118} , *THattP40* and *THattP2*, *Figure 2A*, *Supplementary file 3*). Survival was quantified as the percentage of pupae formed after injection. Injection of Hv1a into each of the receptor mutant lines showed varied effects. Where *nAChRa3*, *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6*, and *nAChRa7* showed lethality comparable to the wild-type controls (p > 0.9), the *nAChRa4* and *nAChRβ2* mutants showed a significant increase in survival to 42% ± 22% (one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test, p = 0.0035, *Figure 2A*). *nAChRa1*, *nAChRa2* and *nAChRβ3* showed slight increases in survival but these were not found to be significant.



Figure 2. ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a and α -Bungarotoxin target different nAChR subunits. (**A**) Bar graph of the survival rate, measured as the percentage of pupae formed, following larval injection of 2.5 nmol/g Hv1a in the indicated homozygous lines. **p=0.0035 (one-way ANOVA F(11,24) = 4.99, p=0.0005 with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test). Mean \pm SD of three independent replicates in each group (10 injected larvae in total). Individual replicate data points are shown (**B**) Survival rate following larval injection of 1.25 nmol/g α -Btx. **p<0.001, ***p=0.0001, one-way ANOVA (F(11,24) = 7.921, p<0.0001, followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test). Mean \pm SD of three independent replicates in each group (10 injected larvae in total). w1118 is the wild-type base stock, *THattp40* and *THattP2* are the *Cas9* lines used to establish the mutants, w1118 + PBS represents the injection control.

We also observed significant toxicity following injection of 1.25 nmol/g α -Btx, with larvae exhibiting a progressive reduction in locomotion until stationary, resulting in developmental arrest and death. We found that α -Btx induced lethality is drastically reduced in the *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6* and *nAChRa7* subunit mutants, with the survival rate significantly increased from 0% (controls) to 61% ± 10% (p = 0.001), 53% ± 24% (p = 0.0051) and 72% ± 25% (p = 0.0001), respectively (one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test, *Figure 2B*). Together, these results indicate that Hv1a and α -Btx do not share the same binding target and differentially interact with the nAChR subunits in vivo. Since α -Btx showed a novel insecticidal effect on nAChRs we further examined its interactions biochemically.

Forming SMA-lipid particles (SMALPs) of ring-like nAChR complex structures

To take advantage of our novel receptor mutants for the characterisation of native nAChR functions, we undertook a biochemical analysis to determine the subunits responsible for binding α -Btx. The analysis of membrane proteins such as nAChRs and their native in vivo interaction with toxins by mass spectrometry has proved extremely challenging (*Mulcahy et al., 2018*) since effective solubilisation of native receptors in an environment that maintains subunit interactions and receptor integrity is important for characterising toxin-receptor binding.

We reasoned that solubilisation of low abundance nAChR complexes into styrene maleic acid lipid particles should facilitate characterisation of native interactions with α -Btx. We therefore utilised detergent-free SMALPs extraction to characterise the interaction between receptor native lipid discs and the α -Btx toxin (*Figure 3A*).

In brief, we prepared membrane extracts from adult *D. melanogaster* heads (**Depner et al., 2014**) and generated lipid particle discs by solubilising the membrane extracts with the SMA copolymer. We used affinity beads coupled to α -Btx (**Wang et al., 2003**; **Mulcahy et al., 2018**) to enrich for nAChRs in the SMALP preparations that bound to the toxin, and performed mass spectrometric analysis of



Figure 3. Forming styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs). (**A**) Schematic representation of the SMALPs extraction and nAChRs pull-down for mass spectrometric analysis. (**B**) Negative staining of extracted SMALPs by transmission electron microscopy, n=3. Scale bar 100 nm. (**C**) Western blot for D α 6-mVenus nAChR with and without enrichment using α -Btx, n=2. Detected with anti-GFP antibody. The fusion protein was detected at approximately 83 kDa. Ponceau S staining was used as sample equal loading control. (**D**, **E**) Negative staining of extracted SMALPs after α -Btx pull-downs, n=3, ring-like *Figure 3 continued on next page*

Korona, Dirnberger, Giachello, et al. eLife 2022;11:e74322. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.74322

eLife Research article

Figure 3 continued

protein structures are boxed (scale bar = 100 nm) with an example in the magnified image (scale bar = 20 nm). A top view of the nAChR structure from PDB entry 4HQP is shown for reference.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Source data 1. Transmission electron microscopy micrographs.

Figure supplement 1. Coupling α -Btx and testing pull-down efficiency with affinity beads.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Coupling efficiency of α -Btx to affinity beads.

tryptic peptides generated from the enriched preparations. In parallel, we processed membrane extracts without SMALP and with SMALP extracts enriched with beads alone as controls.

We first determined whether membrane protein discs are formed from enriched membranes using the SMA copolymer. We prepared membrane enriched fractions from adult heads, solubilised these with SMA and separated the insoluble particles from the lipid discs by ultracentrifugation. We negatively stained the SMALP preparations and imaged them with transmission electron microscopy (TEM), observing irregular discs of varying shapes and sizes, with clusters containing different numbers of discs (n = 3, 13 micrographs are provided, Figure 3B). Membrane receptors often have a unique shape in TEM images and the pentameric nAChR is expected to form a ring-like structure, suggesting that the receptors are extracted as a complex. However, we did not observe ring-like structures, suggesting that nAChRs are of low abundance and that analysis may benefit from enrichment. We thus coupled α -Btx to affinity beads to enrich nAChR complexes that bind the toxin in SMALP preparations. We applied a C-terminal mVenus tagged D\alpha6 nAChR subunit strain to verify the presence and the enrichment of this fusion protein via Western blotting using an anti-GFP antibody (Figure 3C, Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Pull-downs using α -Btx affinity resin showed strong enrichment of the D α 6-mVenus fusion protein at approximately 83 kDa compared to the unenriched control samples (Figure 3C, Figure 3-figure supplement 1B). A second band was detectable at approximately 180 kDa in pull-down samples without treatment with the reducing agent DTT, suggesting that in the pull-downs Dα6 is present as a dimer (Figure 3—figure supplement 1C, D). In contrast to the unenriched samples, TEM micrographs of the enriched preparations showed increased numbers of ring-like structures of 15 nm in diameter (n = 3, 15 micrographs are provided, Figure 3D, E).

Thus our TEM analysis shows an enrichment in ring-like membrane complexes in the SMALP preparations which are likely to be nAChRs.

Efficient SMALPs extraction allow to study nAChR subunits solubility

To assess to what extent the SMA copolymer solubilised nAChRs, we performed a shotgun proteomics analysis to identify receptor subunits. Membrane preparations were solubilised in buffer with or without SMA, and affinity beads with or without α -Btx were used to assess binding to nAChR subunits. Comparing the number of proteins identified in samples solubilised either with or without 5% SMA, we observed a significantly increased identification of proteins dissolved in SMA by equal numbers of MS/MS spectral counts (two-tailed t-test, p < 0.01, *Figure 4A* and non-significant, *Figure 4B*). This indicates that mass spectrometer performance was comparable during the analysis.

Sequences of membrane spanning segments of nAChR subunits, which are embedded in a hydrophobic lipid environment, are largely composed of nonpolar side chains. Determining the average hydrophobicity of identified protein sequences revealed significantly increased numbers of proteins with a positive hydrophobicity score in samples solubilised in SMA (twotailed t-test, p < 0.0001, *Figure 4C*), indicative of enrichment of membrane proteins. The hydrophobicity score was calculated as the sum of the hydrophobic or hydrophilic properties of amino acids divided by the length of identified proteins. An analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) slim terms supports the conclusion that the SMALP preparations are enriched for membrane embedded and/or associated proteins (*Figure 4D*), and that these are not limited to plasma membrane proteins. In the SMA-enriched samples, we found enrichment for proteins annotated with metabolic and catalytic activity terms and also enhanced response to biological stimuli (*Figure 4 figure supplement 1A, B*), highlighting the recovery of membrane-associated proteins. Next, we focused on identified membrane proteins predicted to contain transmembrane helical (TMH) domains and found an increased number of proteins containing TMHs in SMA solubilised samples



Figure 4. Identification of proteins enriched by SMALP extraction. (**A**) Number of identified proteins in affinity pull-down samples solubilised with or without SMA, two-tailed t-test, **p<0.01, n=6 or 8 replicates per condition. (**B**) MS/MS spectrum counts from samples solubilised with or without SMA, ns = not significant after two-tailed t-test with n=6 or 8. (**C**) Calculated hydrophobicity score of amino acid residues found in protein sequences obtained with and without SMA solubilisation, ****p<0.0001, two-tailed t-test, n=3 per condition. (**D**) GO term (cellular compartment) enrichment of proteins

Figure 4 continued on next page

eLife Research article

Figure 4 continued

identified with and without SMA solubilisation, n=4 or 11. (**E**) Predicted numbers of proteins containing transmembrane helices obtained with or without SMA solubilisation, n=4 or 8. (**F**, **G**) Analysis of solubility and hydrophobicity of receptors identified with and without SMA solubilisation ($r^2 = -0.56$, p<0.0001, n=4) and of transmembrane receptor helices ($r^2=0.56$, p<0.01, n = 4). (**H**) Solubility score of individual nAChR subunits.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. SMALP extraction raw data.

Figure supplement 1. GO terms and predicted membrane proteins.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Further SMALP extraction raw data.

(Figure 4E). While the majority of these proteins contained a single TMH domain, we identified Piezo, a mechanosensory ion channel protein containing 37 predicted transmembrane helices. Both α - and β -nAChR subunits contain four TMH domains and could be solubilised in SMA. The number of β -barrel membrane spanning proteins identified was also significantly increased by SMA extraction (two-tailed t-test, p < 0.0001, Figure 4—figure supplement 1C). In addition, palmitoylated lipid anchor modifications to nAChR subunits has been shown to be important for receptor assembly into membranes and the formation of functional complexes (Alexander et al., 2010).

We found a significantly increased identification of proteins which are predicted to be palmitoylated and myristoylated (two-tailed t-test, p < 0.0001, *Figure 4—figure supplement 1D*, *E*). In contrast, membrane proteins that are predicted to contain a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor were equally solubilised in both conditions (two-tailed t-test, non-significant, *Figure 4—figure supplement 1F*). Focusing on the membrane receptors solubilised by SMA, we analysed the amino acid sequence properties of identified proteins and calculated an overall solubility score (*Sormanni et al., 2015; Sormanni et al., 2017*). Comparing the solubility to the hydrophobicity showed a calculated R² of 0.56 (*Figure 4F*). Sequences with a score greater than 1 are highly soluble and those less than –1 are difficult to solubilise. We therefore concluded that samples solubilised in SMA contain more receptors, which are difficult to solubilise. These receptors are more hydrophobic and contain larger numbers of TMH domains (*Figure 4G*). Calculating an average solubility score of –2.76 for nAChR sequences indicates that difficult to solubilise subunits are successfully recovered with SMA (*Figure 4H*).

Taken together, these data confirm that SMA solubilises nAChR complexes in a state suitable for subunit identification by mass spectrometry and suggests that α -Btx interactions can be studied with SMALP preparations.

Three nAChR α -subunits are targets of α -Btx

Using nAChRs solubilised in SMA allowed us to search for native interactions between α -Btx and the receptor. To do so, we identified peptides from subunit ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domains, identifying the D α 5, D α 6, and D α 7 subunits in the α -Btx affinity bead pull-downs (*Figure 5A, Figure 5—figure supplement 1A, Supplementary file 4*). We also performed similar pull-downs with our newly constructed knockout mutants (*Figure 5B*). Several other nAChR subunit peptides could be identified in the negative controls performed without coupling α -Btx to affinity beads (*Supplementary file 5*). The sequences of the ligand-binding domains of the D α 5, D α 6, and D α 7 subunits are very similar (avg. 95.49 %) and we identified peptides common to all three subunits (*Figure 5—figure supplement 2A*) as well as unique peptides within each of cytoplasmic domains (*Figure 5—figure supplement 2B*). However, we found no evidence of peptides mapping to the TMH domains, which was not unexpected since peptides from these regions contain stretches of hydrophobic amino acids that are known to be difficult to detect by shotgun proteomics approaches (*Carroll et al., 2007*).

The ligand-binding domain of α -subunits show structural similarity across different species (*Figure 5C*) and by mapping the identified peptides to known subunit structures we concluded they were most likely outside of the α -Btx binding sites (*Figure 5D*). This may indicate that α -Btx binding sites are not structurally conserved or that binding of α -Btx alters local proteolytic susceptibility and as a consequence peptides identifiable by mass spectrometry are not generated.



Figure 5. Three nAChR α -subunits bind to α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx). (**A**) Identified D α 5, D α 6, and D α 7 subunit peptides in pull-downs using α -Btx affinity beads. Peptides from the ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain are highlighted in red. (**B**) Numbers of identified unique peptides in wild-type pull-downs using affinity beads in absence and presence of α -Btx, n=3. Deleting *nAChR* α 5, *nAChR* α 6, *nAChR* α 7 and performing pull-downs identified unique peptides for nAChR subunits suggesting that functional complexes can be formed in each of the mutants, n=3. (**C**) Superimposed nAChR

Figure 5 continued on next page



Figure 5 continued

 α -subunit structures from Homo sapiens (blue, PDB 6USF) and Torpedo californica (red, 6UWZ). The extracellular ligand-binding domains (LBD) exhibit a structure similarity. (**D**) Same superimposed structures docked to α -bungarotoxin (α -Btx, surface structure). Peptides found in LBD are highlighted in green. The homology regions of the D α 6 LBD are shown in violet.(**E**) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of pull-downs in wild-type and *nAChR* α 5, *nAChR* α 6, *nAChR* α 7 mutants, Fisher's exact test, n=3. Protein counts with p values of enriched pathways are shown. p values of \leq 0.05 are to be considered as strongly enriched with default threshold of 0.1.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Source data 1. Null pull-down raw data.

Figure supplement 1. Illustration of nAChR subunits.

Figure supplement 2. Identified peptides in ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain.

To further characterise the role of the three α -subunits identified in as contributing to α -Btx binding we generated SMALP preparations and performed α -Btx affinity bead enrichments with adult head preparations from our homozygous mutants in the *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6*, and *nAChRa7* subunit genes. With all three mutants we observed, as expected, no detectable peptides from the missing subunit but we still identified peptides from the other two subunits (**Figure 5—source data 1**).

We compared the repertoire of proteins identified with α -Btx enrichment in wild-type with those found in each of the three mutant lines to identify any changes in the representation of biological pathways annotated in KEGG (*Kanehisa et al., 2020, Figure 5E*). While the enrichments in wildtype and the mutants were broadly similar, we noticed a loss of proteins associated with cofactor/ vitamin metabolism, particularly retinol and ascorbate, in all three of the mutants as well as proteins associated with vesicular transport. It is possible that these pathway changes represent alterations in neurotransmitter production or trafficking. Interestingly, we also noticed specific enrichment of cytochrome P450 related pathways in the *nAChRa6* mutants, suggesting perturbation of neurotransmitter pathways.

In summary, our analysis indicates that a functional α -Btx binding nAChR involves the D α 5, D α 6, and D α 7 subunits. This is entirely in line with our genetic findings described above, where loss of each of these subunit genes conferred substantial resistance to α -Btx-induced lethality.

Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits by α-Btx binding

We next examined glycosylation sites on nAChR subunits since these are known to have an important role in α -Btx binding affinity in other systems, and the identification of glycans on receptor subunits may help in the development of more species-specific insecticides (*Knight et al., 2004*). For example, deglycosylation reduces α -Btx binding in human nAChRs by more than two orders of magnitude (*Dellisanti et al., 2007*) and α -Btx binding to loop C in *Torpedo californica* α -subunits is enhanced by N-glycosylation of sites in these regions (*Rahman et al., 2020*). To identify specific glycosylation sites in *D. melanogaster* nAChRs we first purified SMALP solubilised receptors with α -Btx affinity beads, digested them into peptides and enriched for glycopeptides using HILIC resin (*Hägglund et al., 2004*, *Figure 6A*).

Site-specific identification of glycans on peptides by mass spectrometry is challenging (**Fang et al., 2020**) and often requires an additional deglycosylation step for glycopeptide measurement. Deglycosylation of enriched peptides was carried out using two separate enzymes: Endoglycosidase H (Endo H), which cleaves asparagine-linked oligosaccharides to generate a truncated sugar molecule with one N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc) residue, and the endoglycosidase PNGase F, which releases the entire glycan from asparagine residues and deaminates the sugar free asparagine to aspartic acid. While very few glycopeptides were observed in the flow through (an average 20 glycopeptides, **Figure 6B**), we identified a total of 397 glycopeptides after enrichment and deglycosylation with Endo H or PNGase F (**Figure 6C**).

Shared glycopeptides from $D\alpha5$ and $D\alpha7$ nAChR subunits were identified after enrichment and deglycosylation with Endo H or PNGase F (*Figure 6D*). Deglycosylation with Endo H identified modified asparagine (N2) residues on the peptide (NNGSCLYVPPGIFK), which is predicted to be part of the $D\alpha5$ and $D\alpha7$ ligand-binding domains involved in α -Btx binding. This asparagine residue was modified with an N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc) truncated sugar chain. Releasing N-glycans after



Figure 6. N-glycosylation sites in nAChR subunits. (**A**) Diagrammatic representation of nAChR subunit glycopeptide enrichment. Pull-downs with α -Btx affinity beads enrich for nAChRs and after tryptic digestion glycopeptides were enriched. Glycopeptides were deglycosylated with Endo H or PNGase F and analyzed by mass spectrometry. (**B**) Low numbers of glycopeptides (average 20) are detected in HILIC resin flow through fractions. (**C**) Numbers of identified glycopeptides according to site probabilities are shown (n=3). (**D**) Shared glycopeptide identified in the ligand-binding domain of D α 5 and D α 7, an N-linked glycosylated asparagine (N) residue is highlighted. (**E**) Deglycosylated peptide with either Endo H or PNGase F and contains either an

Figure 6 continued on next page



eLife Research article

Figure 6 continued

N-acetylhexosamine or is deamidated on asparagine (N2). The two different modifications on the same peptide lead to a different monoisotopic mass (MH+ [Da]). Peptide contains an additional carbamidomethyl on cysteine (C5).

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 6:

Source data 1. Glycopeptide raw data.

Figure supplement 1. MS/MS spectra of Da5 and Da7 subunit peptides.

Figure supplement 2. Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits.

deglycosylation by PNGase F enabled us to identify a deaminated asparagine residue in the same peptide. The monoisotopic mass of this peptide changed due to this modifications on the second asparagine residue of this peptide (*Figure 6E, Figure 6—figure supplement 1*).

The genome of *Caenorhabditis elegans* encodes for at least 29 nAChR subunits (*Jones et al., 2007*) and the alpha-type unc-63 subunit contains an N-linked HexNAc modified asparagine residue on position 136 (*Kaji et al., 2007*). Performing a multiple sequence alignment showed that this asparagine residue is conserved between insects and nematodes (*Figure 6—figure supplement 2A*). Comparing identified glycosylation sites of D α 5 and D α 7 subunits to known N-linked glycosylation sites of α -subunits from *T. californica, Danio rerio, Mus musculus, or Homo sapiens* indicates that this site does not appear to be conserved between vertebrates and invertebrates (*Figure 6—figure supplement 2B*).

We also identified glycosylation sites in the D α 3 (ATKATLNYTGR) and D β 3 (VVLPENGTAR) subunits after Endo H treatment but not with PNGase F treatment, suggesting they harbour a single N-linked HexNAc-modified asparagine residue (*Figure 6—figure supplement 2C*).

Taken together, these findings suggest that the D α 5 and D α 7 subunits are modified at asparagine residues in the α -Btx ligand-binding domain with an N-linked sugar chain.

Localisation of Da6 nAChRs subunit in the brain

The in vivo distribution of receptor subunits can provide important clues to understanding their biological function. While localisation studies with fluorescent protein tagged D α 6 subunits have been reported (*Perry et al., 2015; Martelli et al., 2022*) these have used transgenic constructs driven by Gal4 lines under the control of *nAChR\alpha6* regulatory sequences. To characterise the endogenous localisation of an α -Btx binding receptor subunit, we elected to use CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering to introduce in frame C-terminal fluorescence and epitope tags into the *nAChR\alpha6* locus (*Figure 7*). We elected to use D α 6 in this exploratory study since there is localisation data from the studies mentioned above, as well as recent transcript localisation data (*Mitchell et al., 2021*), that we could use to validate out tagging strategy.

The resulting line was homozygous viable, fertile and showed no apparent phenotypes: we particularly noted that the curled abdomen phenotype observed at low frequency in the null allele was not detected in the tagged line. We used live confocal imaging with unfixed brains from larvae and adults homozygous for the tagged line. In 2nd instar larvae, we observed low level well-distributed fluorescence signal throughout the ventral nerve cord (VNC), including on commissural axons, and in the developing brain (*Figure 7A*).

By early 3rd instar larvae, we found more defined localisation in the VNC and developing mushroom bodies (*Figure 7B*), particularly noticeable in the Kenyon cells, a known site of α -Btx binding (*Su and O'Dowd, 2003*). Localisation in larval mushroom bodies continued to evolve in late 3rd instar larvae, with defined expression in the Kenyon cells, calyx, peduncle, dorsal and medial lobes (*Figure 7C*; *Video 1*), and with the strong mushroom body and VNC signal also observed when we imaged YFP in fixed preparations (*Figure 7D*). We also detected weaker signals in the medulla and lamina of the emerging optic lobes (*Figure 7E*; *Video 2*, *Figure 7F*; *Video 3*) as well as localisation to a number of cell bodies overlying the optic lobes (*Figure 7G*).

Finally, in the adult brain, expression was largely restricted to the mushroom bodies particularly the Kenyon cells and connections across the midline between the β and γ lobes and the optic lobes (*Figure 7H*; *Video 4*). The temporal localisation of D α 6 subunit in the CNS is summarised in schematic form (*Figure 7I*).



Figure 7. In vivo imaging of endogenously tagged D α 6 nAChR subunit. (**A**–**C**) D α 6-YFP localisation from live confocal imaging in 2nd A, early B and late C 3rd instar larval brains. Visible localisation in brain (Br), ventral nerve cord (VNC), mushroom bodies (MB), and optic lobes (OL). Scale bar = 100 µm. (**D**) Imaging D α 6-YFP localisation in fixed late 3rd instar larval brain. (**E**) D α 6-YFP in mushroom bodies of 3rd instar larvae with detectable fluorescence signal in Kenyon cells (KC), calyx (CX), peduncle (Ped), dorsal lobes (DL), and medial lobes (ML). Scale bar = 100 µm. (**F**) D α 6-YFP was observed in developing optic lobes, lamina (Lam) and medulla (Med) of later 3rd instar larvae. Scale bar = 100 µm. (**G**) D α 6-YFP on external structures of developing lobes in later 3rd instar larvae. Scale bar = 100 µm. (**H**) D α 6-YFP in the adult fly brain, strong signal is detected in mushroom bodies (MB) and optic lobe (OL). Scale bar = 100 µm. (**I**) Schematic summary of D α 6 subunit expression during different developmental stages, (L2, L3 and Adult) in which the green shading indicate the localisation of the D α 6 subunit.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 7:

Figure supplement 1. In vivo imaging of endogenously tagged $D\alpha 1$, $D\beta 1$, $D\beta 2$ nAChR subunits.



Video 1. Late 3rd instar larvae, with defined expression of D α 6-YFP in the Kenyon cells, calyx, peduncle, dorsal and medial lobes. Scale bar = 100 μ m. https://elifesciences.org/articles/74322/figures#video1



Video 2. Third instar larvae, with detectable fluorescence signal in Kenyon cells, calyx, peduncle, dorsal lobes and medial lobes. Scale bar = 100 µm. https://elifesciences.org/articles/74322/figures#video2

The imaging of endogenously tagged $D\alpha 6$ is

largely consistent with the results reported from the expression of tagged transgenic lines (**Perry** et al., 2015; Martelli et al., 2022) and reported transcript localisation in mushroom bodies (Mitchell et al., 2021) with the main difference being the weak optic lobe expression we detected in larval brains. We detected strong optic lobe expression in the adult brain, consistent with previous reports (Martelli et al., 2022) and consider that the earlier expression detected with the endogenous fusion compared to Gal4 driven constructs likely reflects the regulatory complexity of the locus not captured by Gal4 drivers.

Taken together, our analysis indicates that, at least for $D\alpha 6$, C-terminal tagging at the endogenous locus provides a faithful reporter of protein localisation and coupled with our α -Btx purification



Video 3. Later 3rd instar larvae, with signal of D α 6-YFP in developing optic lobes, lamina (Lam) and medulla (Med). Scale bar = 100 μ m. https://elifesciences.org/articles/74322/figures#video3

results presented above (*Figure 3C*), indicate that the tagged subunit is functional, at least in terms of toxin binding. We generated preliminary data tagging D α 1, D β 1, and D β 2 (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1*) using the same strategy and while



Video 4. Adult brain, expression of Da6-YFP is largely restricted to the mushroom bodies. Scale bar = $100 \mu m$. https://elifesciences.org/articles/74322/figures#video4

we have not analysed these lines in detail it does suggest our endogenous tagging strategy may be of broad applicability.

Discussion

Elucidation of complex insect nAChR heterogeneity will lead to a better understanding of selective insecticidal effects. We present a new set of null mutations in all *D. melanogaster nAChR* subunit genes and investigated insecticidal peptide toxin effects on wild-type and receptor subunit mutant larvae. Utilising biochemical approaches involving SMALP solubilisation to create nano-discs and pull-downs we characterised toxin binding of native nAChR complexes.

Our genome engineering approach generated viable and fertile mutations in nine out of the ten subunit genes encoded in the *D. melanogaster* genome and is largely concordant with the described work by Perry and colleagues (**Perry et al., 2021**). In both studies, null mutations in the nAChR β 1 gene were inviable as stocks. However, Christesen and colleagues report lethality primarily at the pre-pupal/pupal stage with a low percentage of individuals surviving to adulthood (**Christesen et al., 2021**). In contrast, we never recovered homozygous adults or pupae and when outcrossing to a 3rd chromosome balancer with a dominant larval marker did not find homozygous *nAChR\beta1* 3rd instar larvae. It is possible that our DsRED insertion strategy somehow generated a stronger or neomorphic allele of *nAChR\beta1*.

It is also possible that the lethality is due to a second site mutation on the chromosome or that there is a genetic background effect. We have also added to previous work by generating a new null mutation in $nAChR\alpha5$, which shows resistance to α -Btx and a moderate reduction in locomotor activity. We observed some minor morphological defects in some of the null mutants especially in $nAChR\alpha1$, $nAChR\alpha2$, $nAChR\alpha5$ and $nAChR\beta3$ as well as locomotor defects with some alleles, particularly severely in $nAChR\alpha3$. The locomotor defects we observed are in agreement with previously reported neuronal phenotypes with nAChR subunit genes, including sleep disruption, defective jump response, memory impairment or locomotor defects (*Fayyazuddin et al., 2006; Rohde et al., 2016; Somers et al., 2017; Tackenberg et al., 2020*). Locomotor defects in climbing assays were previously shown in $nAChR\beta1$ mutant strains (*Homem et al., 2020; Christesen et al., 2021*).

We used the nAChR null mutants to study insecticidal effects of the Hv1a peptide on viability after injection into larvae and investigated whether α -Btx has any insecticidal properties. As described by Chambers and colleagues, we confirm that Hv1a effects nAChRs (*Chambers et al., 2019*) and our analysis shows that the D α 4 and D β 2 subunits are involved in the insecticidal response. We show for the first time that α -Btx has selective insecticidal effects against the D α 5, D α 6, and D α 7 subunits, which we further characterised at a biochemical level.

The pharmacology of Hv1a and α -Btx binding has been shown to be distinctive (**Chambers et al.**, **2019**), correlating with our demonstration that these two peptide toxins mediate their effects through different receptor subunits. Furthermore, resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides, which interact most strongly with Hv1a binding, has been associated with D β 2 (**Perry et al., 2008; Perry et al., 2021**), consistent with the involvement of this subunit in the response to Hv1a. However, no resistance to neonicotinoids was seen in *D. melanogaster* carrying a *nAChRa4* gene knockout (**Perry et al., 2021**), which could be explained if neonicotinoids act on multiple receptor classes. Multiple binding sites for the neonicotinoid imidacloprid can be resolved in equilibrium binding assays in many insect species (**Xu et al., 2010**) and by binding kinetics in flies (**Liu and Casida, 1993**).

The agonist actions of neonicotinoids were investigated with *D. melanogaster* D α 1/D β 1, D α 1/D α 2/D β 1, D α 1/D β 2, and D α 1/D α 2/D β 1/D β 2 nAChRs expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, indicating that D β 1 subunit is a key player in the recognition of these insecticides (*Ihara et al., 2020*). It would be interesting to know if D β 1 also plays a role in the in vivo interaction with insecticidal peptide toxins such as α -Btx or Hv1a, which is difficult to fully investigate because of the deleterious effects of *nAChR\beta1* mutations. However, we note that while multiple D β 1 peptides were recovered in our SMALP preparations without α -Btx enrichment, none were observed in the α -Btx pull-down experiments, suggesting that D β 1 is not a major component of α -Btx binding receptors.

Resistance to spinosad is strongly associated with D α 6 (**Perry et al., 2021**), and spinosad binding is much more sensitive to the action of α -Btx than to the action of neonicotinoids (**Chambers et al., 2019**), again consistent with the involvement of this subunit with sensitivity to injected α -Btx and with the proposition that α -Btx and Hv1a act at distinct receptor classes. nAChR subunits are known to be difficult to purify due to solubilisation issues (**Cheng et al., 2015**; **Maldonado-Hernández et al., 2020**) and the requirement for a lipid environment for ligand-binding (**daCosta et al., 2013**) makes it challenging to study these receptors in native conditions.

We used the SMALPs extraction method for preparing membrane discs and enriched nAChRs via α -Btx affinity purification and our Western blot analysis showed that D α 6-mVenus is enriched by α -Btx resins. Electron microscopy analysis indicated that receptor-like particles were recovered and these were substantially enriched by α -Btx pull-down. Mass spectrometry analysis showed an enrichment for the D α 5, D α 6 and D α 7 subunits in these preparations, which is concordant with our in vivo injection results and previous studies that characterised aspects of α -Btx binding (*Lansdell and Millar, 2004; Wu et al., 2005; Lansdell et al., 2012*). This confirms that in vivo molecular interactions are similar to those described in the S2 cell expression system.

To our knowledge this is the first report of the identification of a native endogenous α -Btx binding nAChRs. We note however, that we cannot determine from our analysis whether all three identified subunits are part of the same complex or if there are different receptors containing a subset of these subunits.

Using chimeric receptors in a cell line system, Landsdell and colleagues reported that a combination of all three of these subunits show high-affinity acetylcholine binding but α -Btx binding varied depending on receptor combinations, with D α 5 and D α 6 binding most strongly (*Lansdell et al.,* **2012**). In a prior study, they implicated D α 6 and D α 7 (*Lansdell and Millar, 2004*). However, these assays were performed with 5HT3A-nAChR subunit fusions, here we provide strong evidence that these three subunits bind to α -Btx in vitro and in vivo.

We note that at least some of the α -Btx binding receptors are likely to contain at least two D α 6 subunits since our non-reducing Western blots showed the presence of a dimer in the pull-downs. In addition, glycopeptide enrichment showed site specific glycosylation modifications on the D α 5 and D α 7 nAChR subunit ligand-binding domains. The unique lipid environment and glycosylation sites of nAChR α -subunits from the electric ray, *T. californica*, were found to be important for α -Btx binding activities (**Quesada et al., 2016; Rahman et al., 2020**), and structural studies support this conclusion (**Dellisanti et al., 2007**).

Our work supports the view that there is a role for D α 5 and D α 7 glycosylation modifications in the recognition of α -Btx in *D. melanogaster*. We note that Lansdell and colleagues have shown that D α 3 is implicated in α -Btx binding if it is co-expressed together with a non α -subunit such as mammalian b2, γ or δ in S2 cells (*Lansdell and Millar, 2000*). We did observe single peptides from D α 3 and D β 3 in our α -Btx enrichments and our glycoproteomic analysis with α -Btx binding pull-downs identified additional shared peptides from D α 5 and D α 7 but also D α 3 and D β 3, suggesting that there may be at least some α -Btx binding to receptors containing D α 3 together with a non α -subunit like D β 3.

Localisation studies with fluorescence tagged endogenous D α 6 subunit, along with preliminary data from further three subunits indicates that a strategy generating C-terminal tagged subunits may be effective in following endogenous nAChR expression and opens the possibility of exploring receptor subunit composition using subunits with different fluorescent tags. Our analysis of D α 6 localisation showed relatively restricted expression in the brain and ventral nerve cord, with prominent expression in the Kenyon cells of the mushroom body. Our observations are largely consistent with recent reports of *nAChR\alpha6* expression derived from expression reporters, particularly the strong mushroom body expression (*Perry et al., 2015; Martelli et al., 2022*), although we do observe weaker expression in the larval optic lobes, prefacing the later expression in the adult brain.

Work generating in locus Gal4 fusions for a variety of neurotransmitter receptors (*Kondo et al.,* 2020) would seem to indicate wider adult brain expression than we and others observed, perhaps reflecting a degree of translational control or, more likely, limitations in the sensitivity of our live imaging compared to Gal4 driven reporters. The expression of Da6 in Kenyon cells across development is in line with a proposed role for this subunit in memory plasticity, along with other α -subunits including Da5, in mushroom body output neurons (*Barnstedt et al., 2016*). While our *nAChRa6* mutant shows significant resistance to α -Btx we still find α -Btx binding in the absence of Da6 in our pull-down assays, likely a reflection of its restricted localisation. In contrast, it is clear that Da6 plays a major and specific role in binding to the insecticide spinosad in *D. melanogaster* since mutations in this subunit are highly resistant to the toxin (*Perry et al., 2015*).

Translating our neurotoxin binding results to other target species, there are several studies showing that nAChRs are sensitive to α -Btx in other insects, including the cockroach *Periplaneta americana*, the moth *Manduca sexta* and the housefly *Musca domestica* (*Salgado and Saar, 2004; Eastham et al., 1998; Jones et al., 1981*). The exact α -Btx binding mechanism in these organisms is unknown or under investigation (*Salgado, 2021*). This indicates broader implications for our in vivo study determining the α -Btx binding nAChR subunits in the *D. melanogaster*, with our results potentially extrapolated to other target species that have similar nAChR subunits.

In conclusion, we identified ligand-binding subunit sites for a *D. melanogaster* nAChR antagonist with newly insecticidal effects. Our findings contribute to a better understanding of the role of nAChR subunits that interacts with insecticidal peptide toxins.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent	pCDF3	Port et al., 2014	N/A	
Genetic reagent	FSVS	Korona et al., 2020	N/A	
Genetic reagent	3 × P3-DsRED	Gratz et al., 2014	N/A	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	{nos-Cas9}attP40	Ren et al., 2013	BDSC:78,781	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	{nos-Cas9}attP2	Ren et al., 2013	BDSC:78,782	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w ¹¹¹⁸	FlyBase	FBal0018186	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChRa1 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChRa2KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChRa3 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR a 4 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChRα5 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR a 6 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChRα7 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR β 2 KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR β 3KO	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChRa1 ^{FSVS}	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR a 6 ^{FSVS}	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR β 1 ^{FSVS}	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	nAChR β 2 ^{FSVS}	This study	N/A	Steven Russell/ https://www.flyfacility. gen.cam.ac.uk
Genetic reagent (E. coli)	E. coli competent cells	New England Biolabs	Catalogue number: C2987H	
Commercial assay or kit	Bbsl	New England Biolabs	Catalogue number: R0539	
Commercial assay or kit	Gibson Assembly Master Mix	New England Biolabs	Catalogue number: E2611L	
Continued on next page				

eLife Research article

Continued

D		/	
Reagent	туре	(species)	or

resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Commercial assay or kit	Q5 High-Fidelity 2 X Master Mix	New England Biolabs	Catalogue number: M0492L	
Commercial assay or kit	Pierce fluorometric peptide kit	Thermo Scientific	Catalogue number: 23,290	
Peptide, recombinant protein	Trypsin/Lys-C mix	Promega	Catalogue number: V5073	
Antibody	Anti-GFP (goat monoclonal)	Abcam	Catalogue number: Ab252881	1:1,000
Antibody	Anti-rat IgG (goat polyclonal)	Sigma-Aldrich	Catalogue number: A9037 1:10.000	
Peptide, recombinant protein	ω -hexatoxin-Hv1a	Syngenta		CH-4332 Stein, Switzerland
Peptide, recombinant protein	α-Bungarotoxin	Abcam	Catalogue number: ab120542	
Peptide, recombinant protein	Carbachol	Insight Biotechnology Ltd	Catalogue number: CAS 51-83-2	
Other	CNBr-activated sepharose	Sigma-Aldrich	Catalogue number: C9 142–5 G	
Other	Styrene maleic acid copolymer (3:1)	Dafforn, p.c.		
Commercial assay or kit	Mini-Protean TGX precast gels	Bio-Rad Laboratories	Catalogue number: 456– 1,084	
Commercial assay or kit	ECL-Chemiluminescent detection solution	GE Healthcare	Catalogue number: 45-000- 999	
Commercial assay or kit	CL-XPosure films	Thermo Scientific	Catalogue number: 10465145	
Software, algorithm	GraphPad Prism	GraphPad Software	RRID:SCR_002798	
Software, algorithm	Proteome Discoverer 2.3	Thermo Scientific	RRID:SCR_014477	

Drosophila methods

Embryos from the *THattP40* (y¹ sc v¹ sev²¹; $P{y^{+t7.7} v^{+t1.8} nos-Cas9.R}attP40$) or *THattP2* (y¹ sc v¹ sev²¹; $P{y^{+t7.7} v^{+t1.8} nos-Cas9.R}attP2$ lines expressing nos-Cas9 were injected using standard procedures (Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Centre). Donor DNA (500 ng/µL) in sterile H₂O was injected together with gRNA plasmids (100 ng/µL) as described previously (*Korona et al., 2020*). Individually selected surviving adults were crossed to w¹¹¹⁸ and the progeny screened for DsRED fluorescence localised mostly to the eyes of transgenic flies: positive flies were balanced and homozygous stocks established where possible. The correct localisation of the insert was confirmed via PCR and sequencing. Transgenic flies were assessed for the phenotype using bright field microscope. For tagging of Dα6 and other nAChR subunits, the stocks were additionally subjected to Cre-recombination for marker removal and several independent lines were verified by PCR. Some of these lines were screened for YFP fluorescence using confocal microscopy. From the YFP-positive balanced stocks, a viable and fertile homozygote stock was established. Injections were performed by the Department of Genetics Fly Facility (https://www.flyfacility.gen.cam.ac.uk). All fly stocks were maintained at 25°C on standard cornmeal medium.

Cloning of gRNAs and generation of donor vectors

Construction of *nAChR* subunits null alleles

In order to generate individual *nAChR* subunits gene mutations, the open-reading frame (ORF) was disrupted by introducing a visible marker harbouring DsRED marker under the eye specific 3*P* × 3 promoter using CRISPR/Cas9 technology as previously described (*Korona et al., 2020*). The targeted exons are shared between different isoforms and adjacent to the N-terminus to ensure the protein translation was interrupted. The insertion sites were designed in silico and optimal gRNAs were chosen (*Supplementary file 6*) that were tested against the injection strain and cloned into pCDF3. Briefly, target-specific sequences were synthesised and either 5' phosphorylated annealed and ligated into the *Bbs*I sites of pCDF3 pre-cut with *Bbs*I. Positive clones were confirmed by sequencing. For generation of donor vectors, firstly, homology arms were amplified on genomic DNA (*Supplementary*)

file 7) that, secondly, were used as a template to amplify the homology arms (*Supplementary file* 8) of the donor vector for CRISPR/Cas9 homologous recombination (HDR). The inserts with visible marker were amplified using as a template previously generated constructs (*Korona et al., 2020*) with appropriate primers.

These fragments were used for Gibson Assembly using Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs). PCR products were produced with the Q5 High-Fidelity 2 X Master Mix (New England Biolabs). All inserts were verified by sequencing.

C-terminal tagging of Da6 nAChRs subunit fusion protein

For tagging of $D\alpha 6$ nAChRs subunit, the C-terminal fusion with FSVS fluorescent protein harbouring StrepII and 3xFLAG epitope tags (3xFLAG-StrepII-mVenus-StrepII) was generated for CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome engineering (Korona et al., 2017; Korona et al., 2020). First, gRNAs were designed (Supplementary file 6) and tested against the genomic DNA sequence of injection strains. The oligonucleotides were phosphorylated and ligated into BbsI pre-cut pCDF3. The positive variants were confirmed by sequencing. The donor vector to generate protein fusion with fluorescent protein harbouring epitope tags was cloned in two steps strategy by initially creating a nAChRa6-FSVS donor and then adding the removable marker to generate a $nAChR\alpha 6$ -FSVS-loxP-3P × 3-DsRED-loxP donor vector. The homology arms were amplified from genomic DNA (Supplementary file 7) and used to amplify homology arms for the $nAChR\alpha6$ -FSVS donor vector (Supplementary file 8), assembled using Gibson Assembly as described above. The FSVS tag was amplified from previously generated constructs (Korona et al., 2017) with appropriate overlapping oligonucleotides (Supplementary file 8). The construct was confirmed by Sanger sequencing and used as a template to generate donor vector with removable marker. The PCR fragments harbouring homology arms and FSVS tag were amplified from the nAChRa6-FSVS construct, whereas the 3P × 3-DsRED with adjacent loxP sites was amplified using earlier generated constructs (Korona et al., 2017). The final donor vector was generated using Gibson Assembly as described above and positive variants were confirmed by sequencing. Other *nAChR* tagged lines were constructed as mentioned above.

Confocal microscopy

Localisation of FSVS-tagged (3xFLAG-StrepII-mVenus-StrepII) $D\alpha 6$ nAChRs subunit was visualised in dissected larvae brains via monitoring the YFP fluorescence (mVenus). Briefly, the larval brains were dissected and mounted in glycerol for live imaging. For fixed preparations 3^{rd} instar larvae brains were dissected on ice in chilled PBS, fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 20 min at room temperature, washed three times with PBS-T (phosphate-buffered saline – PBS + 0.2% Triton) and mounted in VECTASHIELD.

Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope or ZEISS LSM 880 confocal with appropriate spectral windows for mVenus, images were processed with Fiji or ImageJ.

Locomotor behaviour

Adult female and male flies were collected shortly after eclosion and separated into 10 cohorts consisting of 10 flies (100 total) for each genotype. Flies were maintained at 25 °C and transferred to fresh food every three days. For the curled abdomen phenotype, 50 flies (25 males and 25 females) in three replicates were used to examine the phenotype, we did not differentiate between males and females, however, we noticed that the phenotype predominantly affected males. For the climbing assay, each cohort was transferred to a plugged 10 ml serological pipette, and allowed to acclimatize for 5 min. For each trial, flies were tapped down to the bottom of the vial, and the percentage of flies able to cross a 5 ml mark successfully within 10 s was recorded as the climbing index. Five trials were performed for each cohort, with a 1 min recovery period between each trial. Climbing assays were performed 10 days after eclosion.

Drosophila larval injections

Injections were performed by using the Nanoliter 2000 (World Precision Instruments, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom) mounted on a micromanipulator (Narishige, London, United Kingdom). Micropipettes were pulled from glass capillary tubes (1.14 mm OD, 0.530 mm \pm 25 µm ID; #4878, WPI) using a laser-based micropipette puller (Sutter P-2000, Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA, USA). Third instar larvae (wandering stage) were transferred to an adhesive surface after being quickly washed with water to remove food residues and gently dried using paper tissue. The micropipette was positioned over the approximate centre of the body, on the dorsal side, and the tip was advanced through the cuticle into the hemocoel of the larva. Larvae were injected with 69 nL of PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) supplemented with 10% (v/v) filtered food dye (PME, moss green food colouring; 0.2 μ m filter). Food dye was included to aid in monitoring the success of the injection under a dissection microscope (Leica MZ65, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom). ω -hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hv1a, Syngenta, Schaffhauserstrasse, CH-4332 Stein, Switzerland) and α -Bungarotoxin α -Btx (ab120542, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) were added to the injection mix in order to obtain a final concentration of 2.5 nmol/g and 1.25 nmol/g, respectively (average larval weight was 2.14 mg).

After injection, larvae were then gently transferred into agar/grape juice (Ritchie Products Limited, Burton-On-Trent, United Kingdom) plates and kept at 25 °C. The rate of survival (expressed as percentage) was calculated as the number of living pupae, formed 1–2 days after injection, divided by the total number of injected larvae. Experiments were repeated three times independently with a total number of 10 larvae for each experimental group. Results were analysed with One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism (version 7, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA).

Coupling procedure of α -Bungarotoxin to affinity beads

Coupling of α-Bungarotoxin, α-Btx (ab120542, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) to cyanogen bromide-activated (CNBr) sepharose beads 4B (C9 142-5 G, Sigma-Aldrich, Haverhill, United Kingdom) was performed as described (Wang et al., 2003; Mulcahy et al., 2018). CNBr-activated sepharose 4B beads (0.25 g) were hydrated in 1.25 ml of 1 mM HCl for 1 hr at 4 °C on a rotator. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$, the supernatant removed and beads washed twice with 1 ml of coupling buffer (0.25 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.3). Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 × g and the supernatant was removed. Alpha-Btx (1 mg) was resuspended in 1 ml coupling buffer and incubated together with the affinity beads at 4 °C for 16 hr on a rotator. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 × q. Coupling efficiency was determined using a Pierce quantitative fluorometric peptide kit and used according to the manufacturer's instructions (23290, Thermo Scientific, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom). Beads were blocked with 1 ml of 0.2 M glycine in 80% coupling buffer at 4 °C for 16 hr on a rotator. Beads were then centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$ and washed with 1 ml of 0.1 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0. This step was repeated with 1 ml of 0.1 M NaCH₃CO₂, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 4.0. Beads were washed again in 1 ml of 0.1 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0. After a final wash step with 1 ml coupling buffer the beads were incubated twice for 30 min in 1 ml Tris-buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). The beads were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 \times g, the supernatant was removed.

Membrane protein enrichment and incorporation in SMALPs

D. melanogaster heads were obtained and separated according to **Depner et al., 2014**. In a 50 ml falcon tube approximately 6 g flies were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and vortexed twice for 3 min, with the tube cooled for 30 sec in liquid nitrogen between. Heads were separated from bodies by sieving (1201124 & 1201125, Endecotts, London, United Kingdom).

1 ml of isotonic lysis buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 50 mM TRIS/HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, Protease inhibitor) was added to approximately 0.8 g separated heads. The solution was mixed three times by vortexing and the heads were lysed with 60 strokes in a Dounce homogenizer with a pestle. Membrane protein preparation was performed by differential centrifugation-based fractionation as described (**Depner et al., 2014**; **Geladaki et al., 2019**). Membranes (24–177 mg wet pellet weight) were resuspended in approximately 20–300 μ l 5% SMALP solution (5% styrene maleic acid copolymer (3:1), 5 mM Tris-Base, 0.15 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). As a comparison membrane pellets were also solubilised in 5% SMALP solution without the addition of the copolymer (**Supplementary file 9**). For efficient incorporation and formation of SMALPs, membrane proteins were incubated with 5% SMALP solution for 2 hr at room temperature on a rocking platform. To separate the insoluble proteins from the soluble SMALPs a centrifugation step at 100,000 × g for 60 min, 4 °C was performed. Supernatate containing the SMALPs was combined and used for the nAChRs pull-downs.

Enrichment of nAChRs by α -Btx pull-down

SMALPs (20–35 mg/ml) were incubated with 200 μ l α -Btx conjugated affinity beads for 16 hr, 4 °C on a rotator. The beads were then centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 × g and washed two or three times, each for 10 min with 1 ml ice-cold TBS (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) on a rotator at 4 °C. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 × g and nAChRs selectively eluted twice with 100 μ l 1 M carbachol (CAS 51-83-2, Insight Biotechnology Ltd, Wembley, United Kingdom). These steps were performed for 25 min at room temperature on a rotator. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at 1500 × g and eluates were combined and ice-cold 100% acetone in the volume of four times of the sample was added to the samples, mixed by vortexing and proteins were precipitated for 16 hr at –20 °C. Samples were centrifuged at 13000 × g for 15 min. Supernatant was removed and dried proteins were dissolved in Laemmli buffer (1 M Tris pH 6.8, 10% SDS, 5% glycerol, 2% bromophenol blue). Proteins were heated at 60 °C and loaded on Mini-Protean TGX precast gels (456–1084, 4–15 %, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc, Watford, United Kingdom).

Immunoblotting

Alpha-Btx pull-down enriched or unenriched protein samples were treated with 1% DTT or left untreated, boiled at 60 °C for 8 min, separated by PAGE and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (1704158, Trans-Blot Turbo Mini, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc, Watford, United Kingdom).

Ponceau S staining was used as a sample loading control. FSVS-tagged D α 6 (3xFLAG-StrepIImVenus-StrepII) was detected with anti-GFP (Ab252881, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). 5% skimmed milk power dissolved in TBS-T was used for blocking and membranes were incubated for 16 hr at 4 °C with the α -GFP antibody (1:1000 concentrated in blocking solution) followed by anti-rat IgG antibody for 1 hour (A9037, Sigma-Aldrich, Haverhill, United Kingdom). Immunoblots were treated with an ECL chemiluminescent detection solution (45-000-999, GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, United Kingdom) exposed for 10 s to CL-XPosure films (10465145, Thermo Scientific, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom) and visualised using an x-ray developer (1170-1-8000, Protec GmbH, Oberstenfeld, Germany). Two biological replicates were performed.

Electron microscopy preparation

For negative staining analysis, membrane proteins were extracted with 5% SMA and nAChRs were enriched using α -Btx affinity pull-downs. Proteins were diluted 1:10 with deionised water to approximately 0.9 mg/ml and an aliquot of the samples were absorbed onto a glow-discharged copper/carbon-film grid (EM Resolutions) for approximately 2 min at room temperature. Grids were rinsed twice in deionised water and negative staining was performed using a 2% aqueous uranyl acetate solution. Samples were viewed in a Tecnai G2 transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI/ThermoFisher) run at 200 keV accelerating voltage using a 20 μ m objective aperture to increase contrast; images were captured using an AMT CCD camera. Three biological replicates were performed and we provide 28 micrographs, 15 enriched from α -Btx and 13 unenriched (*Figure 3—source data 1*).

Sample preparation for liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)

Gel pieces were excised from the gel lanes and proteolytic digestion with Trypsin/Lys-C mix (V5073, Promega, Southampton, United Kingdom) was performed as described (*Shevchenko et al., 2006*). The gel pieces were covered with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ / 50% ACN and shaken for 10 min. This step was repeated with 100% acetonitrile and finally dried in a speed vac. Samples were reduced with 10 mM DTT in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ at 56 °C for 1 hr and alkylated with 50 mM iodoacetamide in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ at room temperature without light for 45 min. The gels were covered with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and 100% ACN and shaken for 10 min. These steps were repeated and samples were dried in a speed vac. Trypsin/Lys-C buffer was added to the sample according to manufacturer's instructions and incubated for 45 min on ice.

Next 30 μ l 25 mM NH₄HCO₃ was added and samples were incubated at 37 °C for 16 hr. The gel pieces were covered with 20 mM NH₄HCO₃ and shaken for 10 min. Supernatant with peptides was collected. Next, the gels were covered with 50% ACN / 5% FA and shaken for 20 min. These steps were repeated and peptides were dried in a speed vac. Samples for glycopeptide enrichment were digested in-solution according to **Queiroz et al., 2019**. Samples were reduced and alkylated

in 10 mM DTT and 50 mM iodoacetamide. Proteins were digested in final concentration of 2.5 μg Trypsin/Lys-C buffer for 16 hr at 37 °C.

Peptide clean-ip

Peptides were desalted using C-18 stage tips according to **Rappsilber et al., 2007**. C-18 material (three C-18 plugs were pasted in a 200 µl pipette tip, Pierce C18 Spin Tips, 84,850 Thermo Scientific, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom) was equilibrated with methanol/ 0.1% FA, 70% ACN/ 0.1% FA and with 0.1% FA. Peptides were loaded on C-18 material, washed with 0.1% FA and eluted with 70% ACN/0.1% FA. Samples were dried and finally, peptides were resuspended in 20 µl 0.1% FA. For glycopeptide enrichment, peptides were first desalted using Poros oligo r3 resin (1-339-09, Thermo Scientific, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom) as described (**Gobom et al., 1999; Queiroz et al., 2019**). Pierce centrifuge columns (SH253723, Thermo Scientific, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom) were filed with 250 µl of Poros oligo r3 resin. Columns were washed three times with 0.1% TFA. Peptides were loaded onto the columns and washed three times with 0.1% TFA and subsequently eluted with 70% ACN.

Glycopeptide enrichment

Enrichment of glycopeptides of nAChRs was performed as described (*Hägglund et al., 2004*). Micro columns were prepared with 200 μ l peptide tips filled with a C8 plug and iHILIC – fusion 5 μ m, 100 Å silica based material (HCS 160119, Hilicon, Umeå, Sweden). Peptides were solubilised stepwise in 19 μ l dH₂O and then in 80 μ l ACN plus 1 μ l TFA acid. The micro columns were cleaned with 50 μ l 0.1% TFA and three times equilibrated with 100 μ l 80% ACN, 1% TFA. Peptides were loaded onto the micro column and washed twice with 100 μ l 80% ACN, 1% TFA. Glycopeptides were eluted from the column using twice 40 μ l 0.1% TFA and finally with 20 μ l 80% ACN, 1% TFA. Samples were dried in a speed vac before peptides were deglycosylated with Endo H or PNGase F according to manufacturer's instructions (P07025 & P0710S, New England Biolabs Inc, Hitchin, United Kingdom).

LC-MS/MS

Peptide samples were dissolved in 20 μ l of 0.1% (v/v) FA. Approximately 1 μ g peptide solution was used for each LC-MS/MS analysis. All LC-MS/MS experiments were performed using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC nanoUPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) system and a Q Exactive™ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Separation of peptides was performed by reverse-phase chromatography at a flow rate of 300 nL/min and a Thermo Scientific reverse-phase nano Easy-spray column (Thermo Scientific PepMap C18, 2 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size, 75 µm i.d. x 50 cm length). Peptides were loaded onto a pre-column (Thermo Scientific PepMap 100 C18, 5 µm particle size, 100 A pore size, 300 µm i.d. x 5 mm length) via the Ultimate 3,000 autosampler with 0.1% FA for 3 min at a flow rate of 15 µL/min. After loading, the column valve was switched to allow elution of peptides from the pre-column onto the analytical column. Solvent A was water +0.1% FA and solvent B was 80% ACN, 20% water +0.1% FA. The linear gradient employed was 2%-40% B in 90 min (the total run time including column washing and re-equilibration was 120 min). In between runs columns were washed at least four times to avoid carry overs. The LC eluant ionised by means of an Easy-spray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc). An electrospray voltage of 2.1 kV was applied in order to ionise the eluant. All m/z values of eluting ions were measured in an Orbitrap mass analyzer, set at a resolution of 35,000 and scanned between m/z 380 and 1500. Data-dependent scans (Top 20) were employed to automatically isolate and generate fragment ions by higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD; Normalised collision energy (NCE): 25 %) in the HCD collision cell and measurement of the resulting fragment ions were performed in the Orbitrap analyser, set at a resolution of 17,500. Singly charged ions and ions with unassigned charge states were excluded from being selected for MS/MS and a dynamic exclusion of 20 s was employed.

Peptide/protein database searching

Protein identification was carried out using Sequest HT or Mascot search engine software operating in Proteome Discoverer 2.3 (*Eng et al., 1994*; *Koenig et al., 2008*). Raw flies were searched against the Uniprot *Drosophila_melanogaster_20180813* database (23,297 sequences; 16110808 residues) and a common contaminant sequences database. The search parameters using mascot algorithm were: (i)

Trypsin was set as the enzyme of choice, (ii) precursor ion mass tolerance 20 ppm, (iii) fragment ion mass tolerance 0.1 Da, (iv) maximum of two missed cleavage sites were set, (v) a minimum peptide length of six amino acids were set, (vi) fixed cysteine static modification by carbamidomethylation, (vii) variable modification by methionine oxidation & deamidation on asparagine and glutamine and N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc(1)dHex(1) + HexNAc on asparagine) as variable glycopeptide modifications, (viii) A site probability threshold of 75% was set, (ix) Percolator was used to assess the false discovery rate and peptide filters were set to high confidence (FDR < 1).

Data handling and statistical analysis

Protein data evaluation was performed using R 3.5.3 (Ihaka and Gentleman, 1996). Plotting of graphs were performed in RStudio 1.3.959 (Rstudio Team, 2020) using ggplot2 (Ginestet, 2011) and other R packages. In order to characterise membrane proteins, the following tools were used: (i) TMHMM - 2.0 (Krogh et al., 2001), (ii) PRED-TMBB2 (Tsirigos et al., 2016) (iii) SwissPalm (Blanc et al., 2015), (iv) PredGPI (Pierleoni et al., 2008), (v) Gravy calculator (https://www.gravy-calculator. de), (vi) Myristoylator (Bologna et al., 2004) (vii) Solubility scores (Sormanni et al., 2015; Sormanni et al., 2017). Analysis of gene ontology (GO) slim terms (The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2019) were performed within proteome discoverer 2.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). KEGG (Kanehisa et al., 2020) pathway enrichment analysis was performed using DAVID (Huang et al., 2009). For each experimental investigation, $n \ge 3$ were considered and data are represented as means \pm SEM. Experiments were performed in a blinded manner whenever possible. Data are presented as mean \pm SD. Statistical tests for SMALPs were performed using two-tailed t-test with an unequal variance and p values of \leq 0.05 were considered to be significant. In DAVID, Fisher's exact p values are computed to measure the gene-enrichment terms. Fisher's exact p value of 0 represents perfect enrichment of a term. Usually p value of ≤ 0.05 are to be considered as strongly enriched. In this study, the default threshold set in DAVID of 0.1 was used. Linear regression analysis was performed in order to study the efficiency of SMALPs extraction of membrane receptors.

Structural assessment and illustration of nAChR subunits

For structural alignment of nAChRs matchmaker command operating in UCSF Chimera X 0.91 (**Goddard et al., 2018**) was used. This command is superimposing protein structures by first creating pairwise sequence alignments, then fitting the aligned residue pairs and displays in an overlaid structure as a result. The following parameters were set to create the aligned structure: (i) alignment algorithm; Needleman-Wunsch (ii) similarity matrix; BLOSUM-62. Structural animation was performed in Blender 2.8 (https://www.blender.org), an open-source 3D graphics software. For annotation of protein sequences InterProScan was used (*Mitchell et al., 2019*). Illustrator for biological sequences (IBS) web server was used to represent biological sequences (*Liu et al., 2015*). Multiple sequence alignments were performed (*Madeira et al., 2019*) or using BoxShade multiple sequence alignments (Swiss institute of bioinformatics).

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Tim Dafforn for kindly providing us styrene maleic acid (SMA) copolymer, Dr. Daniel Nightingale for helpful exchange and Mrs Renata Feret for technical discussions. We are very grateful to Syngenta, Milner Therapeutics Institute and the MRC Toxicology Unit for excellent infrastructure support. Electron microscopy was performed using the facilities at CAIC (Cambridge Advanced Imaging Centre, University of Cambridge). Funding was provided by BBSRC and Syngenta. For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission. Funding UKRI-BBSRC BB/ P021107/1 Dagmara Korona, UKRI-BBSRC BB/P021107/1 Benedict Dirnberger. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Additional information

Competing interests

Benedict Dirnberger, Lucy C Firth: is affiliated with Syngenta. The author has no other competing interests to declare. The other authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council	BB/P021107/1	Dagmara Korona Benedict Dirnberger

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Dagmara Korona, Carlo NG Giachello, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Visualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision; Benedict Dirnberger, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Visualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review and editing; Rayner ML Queiroz, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Project administration; Rebeka Popovic, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Project administration, Resources; Karin H Müller, Validation, Visualization, Resources; David-Paul Minde, Investigation, Visualization; Michael J Deery, Formal analysis, Visualization, Supervision; Glynnis Johnson, Visualization; Lucy C Firth, Writing – original draft; Fergus G Earley, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review and editing; Steven Russell, Kathryn S Lilley, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Dagmara Korona (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5988-3894 Benedict Dirnberger (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0772-5923 Fergus G Earley (b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1943-0724

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.74322.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.74322.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

- Supplementary file 1. Abdomen phenotype.
- Supplementary file 2. Climbing ability.
- Supplementary file 3. Drosophila larval injection of ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a & α -Bungarotoxin.

• Supplementary file 4. Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs with α -Bungarotoxin. Peptides from D α 3, D α 5, D α 6, D α 7 and D β 3 nAChR subunits are listed and found [N] times within individual replicates. Protein domains are marked with: Ed extracellular-, Id Intracellular-, LBD ligand-binding-, and Non-domain localization. The mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of the precursor ions, the protonated monoisotopic masses, the theoretical MH⁺ masses in Dalton [Da] and peptide modifications are listed with: (C) Carbamidomethylation; (N,Q) Deamidation; (H) N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAC); (M) Oxidation.

• Supplementary file 5. Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs without α -Bungarotoxin. Identified peptides of nAChR subunits which are found in control pull-down samples without α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx).

- Supplementary file 6. List of gRNAs and oligonucleotides used for cloning.
- Supplementary file 7. List of oligonucleotides used for amplification from genomic DNA.

- Supplementary file 8. C-terminal tagging of nAChRa6 with FSVS.
- Supplementary file 9. Wet pellet weight of membrane fractions.
- Transparent reporting form

Data availability

The mass spectrometry data from this publication have been deposited to PRIDE (http://www.ebi.ac. uk/pride/archive/) with the data set identifier PXD028484 (DOI: https://doi.org/10.6019/PXD028484).

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Benedict D	2021	Drosophila nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits and their native interactions with insecticidal peptide toxins	http://dx.doi.org/10. 6019/PXD028484	ProteomeXchange, 10.6019/PXD028484

References

- Alexander JK, Govind AP, Drisdel RC, Blanton MP, Vallejo Y, Lam TT, Green WN. 2010. Palmitoylation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Journal of Molecular Neuroscience: MN* **40**:12–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12031-009-9246-z
- Barnstedt O, Owald D, Felsenberg J, Brain R, Moszynski JP, Talbot CB, Perrat PN, Waddell S. 2016. Memory-Relevant Mushroom Body Output Synapses Are Cholinergic. *Neuron* **89**:1237–1247. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuron.2016.02.015
- Blanc M, David F, Abrami L, Migliozzi D, Armand F, Bürgi J, Goot FG. 2015. SwissPalm: Protein Palmitoylation database. *F1000Research* **4**:261. DOI: https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6464.1
- Bologna G, Yvon C, Duvaud S, Veuthey AL. 2004. N-terminal myristoylation predictions by ensembles of neural networks. *Proteomics* **4**:1626–1632. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200300783
- Carroll J, Altman MC, Fearnley IM, Walker JE. 2007. Identification of membrane proteins by tandem mass spectrometry of protein ions. PNAS 14330–14335. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706817104
- Chambers C, Cutler P, Huang YH, Goodchild JA, Wang CK, Bigot A, Kaas Q, Craik DJ, Sabbadin D, Earley GF. 2019. Insecticidal spider toxins are high affinity positive allosteric modulators of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *FEBS Letters* **593**:1336–1350. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13435
- **Cheng H**, Fan C, Zhang S, Wu Z, Cui Z, Melcher K, Zhang C, Jiang Y, Cong Y, Xu HE. 2015. Crystallization scale purification of α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor from mammalian cells using a BacMam expression system. *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica* **36**:1013–1023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/aps.2015.34, PMID: 26073323
- **Christesen D**, Yang YT, Chen W, Batterham P, Perry T. 2021. Loss of the Dβ1 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit disrupts bursicon-driven wing expansion and diminishes adult viability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **219**:iyab112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyab112, PMID: 34849910
- daCosta CJB, Dey L, Therien JPD, Baenziger JE. 2013. A distinct mechanism for activating uncoupled nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Nature Chemical Biology 9:701–707. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1338, PMID: 24013278
- daCosta CJB, Free CR, Sine SM. 2015. Stoichiometry for α-bungarotoxin block of α7 acetylcholine receptors. Nature Communications 6:8057. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9057, PMID: 26282895
- **Dellisanti CD**, Yao Y, Stroud JC, Wang ZZ, Chen L. 2007. Crystal structure of the extracellular domain of nAChR α1 bound to α-bungarotoxin at 1.94 Å resolution. *Nature Neuroscience* **10**:953–962. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nn1942, PMID: 17643119
- Denisov IG, Sligar SG. 2016. Nanodiscs for structural and functional studies of membrane proteins. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 23:481–486. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3195, PMID: 27273631
- **Depner H**, Lützkendorf J, Babkir HA, Sigrist SJ, Holt MG. 2014. Differential centrifugation-based biochemical fractionation of the *Drosophila* adult CNS. *Nature Protocols* **9**:2796–2808. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot. 2014.192, PMID: 25393777
- Eastham HM, Lind RJ, Eastlake JL, Clarke BS, Towner P, Reynolds SE, Wolstenholme AJ, Wonnacott S. 1998. Characterization of a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor from the insect Manduca sexta. The European Journal of Neuroscience 10:879–889. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.1998.00095.x, PMID: 9753155
- Eng JK, McCormack AL, Yates JR. 1994. An approach to correlate tandem mass spectral data of peptides with amino acid sequences in a protein database. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry* 5:976– 989. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/1044-0305(94)80016-2, PMID: 24226387
- Fang P, Ji Y, Silbern I, Doebele C, Ninov M, Lenz C, Oellerich T, Pan KT, Urlaub H. 2020. A streamlined pipeline for multiplexed quantitative site-specific N-glycoproteomics. *Nature Communications* 11:5268. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19052-w, PMID: 33077710

- Fayyazuddin A, Zaheer MA, Hiesinger PR, Bellen HJ. 2006. The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor Dalpha7 is required for an escape behavior in *Drosophila*. *PLOS Biology* **4**:e0040063. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040063, PMID: 16494528
- Gault J, Liko I, Landreh M, Shutin D, Bolla JR, Jefferies D, Agasid M, Yen H-Y, Ladds MJGW, Lane DP, Khalid S, Mullen C, Remes PM, Huguet R, McAlister G, Goodwin M, Viner R, Syka JEP, Robinson CV. 2020. Combining native and "omics" mass spectrometry to identify endogenous ligands bound to membrane proteins. Nature Methods 17:505–508. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0821-0, PMID: 32371966
- Geladaki A, Kočevar Britovšek N, Breckels LM, Smith TS, Vennard OL, Mulvey CM, Crook OM, Gatto L, Lilley KS. 2019. Combining LOPIT with differential ultracentrifugation for high-resolution spatial proteomics. *Nature Communications* 10:331. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08191-w, PMID: 30659192
- Ginestet C. 2011. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society 174:245–246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-985X.2010.00676_9.x
- Gobom J, Nordhoff E, Mirgorodskaya E, Ekman R, Roepstorff P. 1999. Sample purification and preparation technique based on nano-scale reversed-phase columns for the sensitive analysis of complex peptide mixtures by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 34:105–116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9888(199902)34:2<105::AID-JMS768>3.0.CO;2-4, PMID: 10093212
- Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH, Ferrin TE. 2018. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Science* 27:14–25. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1002/pro.3235, PMID: 28710774
- Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2014. Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila. Genetics 196:961–971. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.160713, PMID: 24478335
- Hägglund P, Bunkenborg J, Elortza F, Jensen ON, Roepstorff P. 2004. A new strategy for identification of N-glycosylated proteins and unambiguous assignment of their glycosylation sites using HILIC enrichment and partial deglycosylation. *Journal of Proteome Research* 3:556–566. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/pr034112b, PMID: 15253437
- Homem RA, Buttery B, Richardson E, Tan Y, Field LM, Williamson MS, Emyr Davies TG. 2020. Evolutionary trade-offs of insecticide resistance - The fitness costs associated with target-site mutations in the nAChR of Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular Ecology 29:2661–2675. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15503, PMID: 32510730
- Houchat JN, Dissanamossi BM, Landagaray E, Mathé-Allainmat M, Cartereau A, Graton J, Lebreton J, Le Questel JY, Thany SH. 2019. Mode of action of sulfoxaflor on α-bungarotoxin-insensitive nAChR1 and nAChR2 subtypes: Inhibitory effect of imidacloprid. *Neurotoxicology* 74:132–138. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuro.2019.06.003, PMID: 31212017
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2009. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nature Protocols 4:44–57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211, PMID: 19131956
- Ihaka R, Gentleman R. 1996. R: A Language for Data Analysis and Graphics. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 5:299–314.
- Ihara M, Furutani S, Shigetou S, Shimada S, Niki K, Komori Y, Kamiya M, Koizumi W, Magara L, Hikida M, Noguchi A, Okuhara D, Yoshinari Y, Kondo S, Tanimoto H, Niwa R, Sattelle DB, Matsuda K. 2020. Cofactorenabled functional expression of fruit fly, honeybee, and bumblebee nicotinic receptors reveals picomolar neonicotinoid actions. PNAS 117:16283–16291. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003667117, PMID: 32611810
- Jones SW, Sudershan P, O'Brien RD. 1981. Alpha-bungarotoxin binding in house fly heads and Torpedo electroplax. Journal of Neurochemistry 36:447–453. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.1981.tb01613.x, PMID: 7463071
- Jones AK, Davis P, Hodgkin J, Sattelle DB. 2007. The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor gene family of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans: an update on nomenclature. *Invertebrate Neuroscience* **7**:129–131. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10158-007-0049-z, PMID: 17503100
- Kaji H, Kamiie JI, Kawakami H, Kido K, Yamauchi Y, Shinkawa T, Taoka M, Takahashi N, Isobe T. 2007. Proteomics reveals n-linked glycoprotein diversity in Caenorhabditis elegans and suggests an atypical translocation mechanism for integral membrane proteins. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics: MCP* 6:2100–2109. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M600392-MCP200
- Kalxdorf M, Günthner I, Becher I, Kurzawa N, Knecht S, Savitski MM, Eberl HC, Bantscheff M. 2021. Cell surface thermal proteome profiling tracks perturbations and drug targets on the plasma membrane. *Nature Methods* 18:84–91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-01022-1
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Ishiguro-Watanabe M, Tanabe M. 2020. KEGG: integrating viruses and cellular organisms. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**:D545–D551. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa970
- Knight PJ, Carroll J, Ellar DJ. 2004. Analysis of glycan structures on the 120 kDa aminopeptidase N of Manduca sexta and their interactions with Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1Ac toxin Insect. *Biochem Mol Biol* **34**:101–112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2003.09.007
- Koenig T, Menze BH, Kirchner M, Monigatti F, Parker KC, Patterson T, Steen JJ, Hamprecht FA, Steen H. 2008. Robust prediction of the MASCOT score for an improved quality assessment in mass spectrometric proteomics. *Journal of Proteome Research* 7:3708–3717. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/pr700859x

- Kondo S, Takahashi T, Yamagata N, Imanishi Y, Katow H, Hiramatsu S, Lynn K, Abe A, Kumaraswamy A, Tanimoto H. 2020. Neurochemical Organization of the *Drosophila* Brain Visualized by Endogenously Tagged Neurotransmitter Receptors. *Cell Reports* **30**:284-297.. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.12.018, PMID: 31914394
- Korona D, Koestler SA, Russell S. 2017. Engineering the Drosophila Genome for Developmental Biology. Journal of Developmental Biology 5:16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/jdb5040016, PMID: 29615571
- Korona D, Nightingale D, Fabre B, Nelson M, Fischer B, Johnson G, Lees J, Hubbard S, Lilley K, Russell S. 2020. Characterisation of protein isoforms encoded by the Drosophila Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3 gene shaggy. PLOS ONE 15:e0236679. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236679, PMID: 32760087
- Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **305**:567–580. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315, PMID: 11152613
- Lansdell SJ, Millar NS. 2000. The influence of nicotinic receptor subunit composition upon agonist, alphabungarotoxin and insecticide (imidacloprid) binding affinity. Neuropharmacology 39:671–679. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/s0028-3908(99)00170-7, PMID: 10728888
- Lansdell SJ, Millar NS. 2004. Molecular characterization of Dalpha6 and Dalpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits from *Drosophila*: formation of a high-affinity alpha-bungarotoxin binding site revealed by expression of subunit chimeras. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 90:479–489. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.02499.x, PMID: 15228604
- Lansdell SJ, Collins T, Goodchild J, Millar NS. 2012. The *Drosophila* nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits Dα5 and Dα7 form functional homomeric and heteromeric ion channels. *BMC Neuroscience* **13**:73. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2202-13-73, PMID: 22727315
- Lee SC, Knowles TJ, Postis VLG, Jamshad M, Parslow RA, Lin YP, Goldman A, Sridhar P, Overduin M, Muench SP, Dafforn TR. 2016. A method for detergent-free isolation of membrane proteins in their local lipid environment. *Nature Protocols* 11:1149–1162. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.070, PMID: 27254461
- Liu MY, Casida JE. 1993. High Affinity Binding of [3H]Imidacloprid in the Insect Acetylcholine Receptor. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **46**:40–46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/pest.1993.1034
- Liu W, Xie Y, Ma J, Luo X, Nie P, Zuo Z, Lahrmann U, Zhao Q, Zheng Y, Zhao Y, Xue Y, Ren J. 2015. IBS: an illustrator for the presentation and visualization of biological sequences. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 31:3359–3361. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv362, PMID: 26069263
- Loo RR, Dales N, Andrews PC. 1996. The effect of detergents on proteins analyzed by electrospray ionization Methods. *Mol Biol* **61**:141–160. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1385/0-89603-345-7:141
- Lu W, Liu Z, Fan X, Zhang X, Qiao X, Huang J. 2022. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor modulator insecticides act on diverse receptor subtypes with distinct subunit compositions. *PLOS Genetics* **18**:e1009920. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009920
- Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN, Potter SC, Finn RD, Lopez R. 2019. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. Nucleic Acids Research 47:W636–W641. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz268
- Maldonado-Hernández R, Quesada O, Colón-Sáez JO, Lasalde-Dominicci JA. 2020. Sequential purification and characterization of Torpedo californica nAChR-DC supplemented with CHS for high-resolution crystallization studies. Analytical Biochemistry 610:113887. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2020.113887
- Martelli F, Hernandes NH, Zuo Z, Wang J, Wong CO, Karagas NE, Roessner U, Rupasinghe T, Robin C, Venkatachalam K, Perry T, Batterham P, Bellen HJ. 2022. Low doses of the organic insecticide spinosad trigger lysosomal defects, elevated ROS, lipid dysregulation, and neurodegeneration in flies. *eLife* 11:e73812. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73812
- Martens C, Shekhar M, Borysik AJ, Lau AM, Reading E, Tajkhorshid E, Booth PJ, Politis A. 2018. Direct proteinlipid interactions shape the conformational landscape of secondary transporters. *Nature Communications* 9:4151. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06704-1, PMID: 30297844
- Mitchell AL, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Blum M, Bork P, Bridge A, Brown SD, Chang HY, El-Gebali S, Fraser MI, Gough J, Haft DR, Huang H, Letunic I, Lopez R, Luciani A, Madeira F, Marchler-Bauer A, Mi H, Natale DA, et al. 2019. InterPro in 2019: improving coverage, classification and access to protein sequence annotations. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47:D351–D360. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1100, PMID: 30398656
- Mitchell J, Smith CS, Titlow J, Otto N, van Velde P, Booth M, Davis I, Waddell S. 2021. Selective dendritic localization of mRNA in *Drosophila* mushroom body output neurons. *eLife* 10:e62770. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.62770
- Mulcahy MJ, Paulo JA, Hawrot E. 2018. Proteomic Investigation of Murine Neuronal α7-Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Interacting Proteins. *Journal of Proteome Research* 17:3959–3975. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. jproteome.8b00618, PMID: 30285449
- Perry T, Heckel DG, McKenzie JA, Batterham P. 2008. Mutations in Dalpha1 or Dbeta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits can confer resistance to neonicotinoids in Drosophila melanogaster. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 38:520–528. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.12.007, PMID: 18405830
- Perry T, Somers J, Yang YT, Batterham P. 2015. Expression of insect α6-like nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in Drosophila melanogaster highlights a high level of conservation of the receptor:spinosyn interaction. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 64:106–115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.01.017, PMID: 25747008
- Perry T, Chen W, Ghazali R, Yang YT, Christesen D, Martelli F, Lumb C, Bao Luong HN, Mitchell J, Holien JK, Parker MW, Sparks TC, Batterham P. 2021. Role of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits in the mode of action of neonicotinoid, sulfoximine and spinosyn insecticides in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 131:103547. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2021.103547, PMID: 33548485

- Pierleoni A, Martelli PL, Casadio R. 2008. PredGPI: A GPI-anchor predictor. BMC Bioinformatics 9:392. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-392
- Port F, Chen HM, Lee T, Bullock SL. 2014. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. PNAS 111:E2967–E2976. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405500111, PMID: 25002478
- Queiroz RML, Smith T, Villanueva E, Marti-Solano M, Monti M, Pizzinga M, Mirea DM, Ramakrishna M, Harvey RF, Dezi V, Thomas GH, Willis AE, Lilley KS. 2019. Comprehensive identification of RNA-protein interactions in any organism using orthogonal organic phase separation (OOPS). *Nature Biotechnology* **37**:169–178. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/s41587-018-0001-2, PMID: 30607034
- Quesada O, González-Freire C, Ferrer MC, Colón-Sáez JO, Fernández-García E, Mercado J, Dávila A, Morales R, Lasalde-Dominicci JA. 2016. Uncovering the lipidic basis for the preparation of functional nicotinic acetylcholine receptor detergent complexes for structural studies. *Scientific Reports* 6:32766. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep32766, PMID: 27641515
- Rahman MM, Teng J, Worrell BT, Noviello CM, Lee M, Karlin A, Stowell MHB, Hibbs RE. 2020. Structure of the Native Muscle-type Nicotinic Receptor and Inhibition by Snake Venom Toxins. Neuron 106:952-962.. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.012, PMID: 32275860
- Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. 2007. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nature Protocols 2:1896–1906. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nprot.2007.261, PMID: 17703201
- Ren X, Sun J, Housden BE, Hu Y, Roesel C, Lin S, Liu LP, Yang Z, Mao D, Sun L, Wu Q, Ji JY, Xi J, Mohr SE, Xu J, Perrimon N, Ni JQ. 2013. Optimized gene editing technology for *Drosophila melanogaster* using germ line-specific Cas9. PNAS **110**:19012–19017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1318481110, PMID: 24191015

Rohde PD, Madsen LS, Neumann Arvidson SM, Loeschcke V, Demontis D, Kristensen TN. 2016. Testing candidate genes for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in fruit flies using a high throughput assay for complex behavior. *Fly* **10**:25–34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/19336934.2016.1158365, PMID: 26954609

Rstudio Team. 2020. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Rstudio, PBC. https://www.rstudio.com/
Salgado VL, Saar R. 2004. Desensitizing and non-desensitizing subtypes of alpha-bungarotoxin-sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in cockroach neurons. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 50:867–879. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2004.07.007, PMID: 15518655

- Salgado VL. 2021. Selective actions of insecticides on desensitizing and non-desensitizing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in cockroach (Periplaneta americana) neurons. Pest Management Science 77:3663–3672. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6396, PMID: 33821538
- Schmidt-Nielsen BK, Gepner JI, Teng NN, Hall LM. 1977. Characterization of an alpha-bungarotoxin binding component from Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of Neurochemistry 29:1013–1029. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1471-4159.1977.tb06505.x, PMID: 413880
- Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havlis J, Olsen JV, Mann M. 2006. In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. Nature Protocols 1:2856–2860. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nprot.2006.468, PMID: 17406544
- Sobotzki N, Schafroth MA, Rudnicka A, Koetemann A, Marty F, Goetze S, Yamauchi Y, Carreira EM, Wollscheid B. 2018. HATRIC-based identification of receptors for orphan ligands. Nature Communications 9:1519. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03936-z, PMID: 29666374
- Somers J, Luong HNB, Mitchell J, Batterham P, Perry T. 2017. Pleiotropic Effects of Loss of the Dα1 Subunit in Drosophila melanogaster: Implications for Insecticide Resistance. Genetics 205:263–271. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1534/genetics.116.195750, PMID: 28049707
- Sormanni P, Aprile FA, Vendruscolo M. 2015. The CamSol method of rational design of protein mutants with enhanced solubility. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **427**:478–490. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.09. 026, PMID: 25451785
- Sormanni P, Amery L, Ekizoglou S, Vendruscolo M, Popovic B. 2017. Rapid and accurate in silico solubility screening of a monoclonal antibody library. *Scientific Reports* 7:8200. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07800-w, PMID: 28811609
- Su H, O'Dowd DK. 2003. Fast synaptic currents in Drosophila mushroom body Kenyon cells are mediated by alphabungarotoxin-sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and picrotoxin-sensitive GABA receptors. The Journal of Neuroscience 23:9246–9253. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-27-09246.2003, PMID: 14534259
- Tackenberg MC, Giannoni-Guzmán MA, Sanchez-Perez E, Doll CA, Agosto-Rivera JL, Broadie K, Moore D, McMahon DG. 2020. Neonicotinoids disrupt circadian rhythms and sleep in honey bees. *Scientific Reports* 10:17929. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72041-3, PMID: 33087835
- The Gene Ontology Consortium. 2019. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. Nucleic Acids Research 47:D330–D338. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1055
- Tsirigos KD, Elofsson A, Bagos PG. 2016. PRED-TMBB2: improved topology prediction and detection of beta-barrel outer membrane proteins. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 32:i665–i671. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btw444, PMID: 27587687
- Wang H, Yu M, Ochani M, Amella CA, Tanovic M, Susarla S, Li JH, Wang H, Yang H, Ulloa L, Al-Abed Y, Czura CJ, Tracey KJ. 2003. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha7 subunit is an essential regulator of inflammation. *Nature* 421:384–388. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01339, PMID: 12508119
- Wu P, Ma D, Pierzchala M, Wu J, Yang LC, Mai X, Chang X, Schmidt-Glenewinkel T. 2005. The Drosophila acetylcholine receptor subunit D alpha5 is part of an alpha-bungarotoxin binding acetylcholine receptor. The

Journal of Biological Chemistry **280**:20987–20994. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M409639200, PMID: 15781463

- Xu X, Bao H, Shao X, Zhang Y, Yao X, Liu Z, Li Z. 2010. Pharmacological characterization of cis-nitromethylene neonicotinoids in relation to imidacloprid binding sites in the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens. *Insect Molecular Biology* **19**:1–8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2009.00923.x, PMID: 19849723
- **Zuo YY**, Xue YX, Wang ZY, Ren X, Aioub AAA, Wu YD, Yang YH, Hu ZN. 2022. Knockin of the G275E mutation of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) α6 confers high levels of resistance to spinosyns in Spodoptera exigua. *Insect Science* **29**:478–486. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12922, PMID: 33998150