

Beneficial effects of UV radiation other than via vitamin D production

Asta Juzeniene^{1,*} and Johan Moan^{1,2}

¹Department of Radiation Biology; Institute for Cancer Research; The Norwegian Radium Hospital; Oslo University Hospital; Oslo, Norway;

²Department of Physics; University of Oslo; Oslo, Norway

Keywords: ultraviolet radiation, tanning, photoprotection, heliotherapy, phototherapy, vitamin D synthesis, nitric oxide

Most of the positive effects of solar radiation are mediated via ultraviolet-B (UVB) induced production of vitamin D in skin. However, several other pathways may exist for the action of ultraviolet (UV) radiation on humans as focused on in this review. One is induction of cosmetic tanning (immediate pigment darkening, persistent pigment darkening and delayed tanning). UVB-induced, delayed tanning (increases melanin in skin after several days), acts as a sunscreen. Several human skin diseases, like psoriasis, vitiligo, atopic dermatitis and localized scleroderma, can be treated with solar radiation (heliotherapy) or artificial UV radiation (phototherapy). UV exposure can suppress the clinical symptoms of multiple sclerosis independently of vitamin D synthesis. Furthermore, UV generates nitric oxide (NO), which may reduce blood pressure and generally improve cardiovascular health. UVA-induced NO may also have antimicrobial effects and furthermore, act as a neurotransmitter. Finally, UV exposure may improve mood through the release of endorphins.

Introduction

Solar ultraviolet (UV) radiation has been used since ancient times to treat various diseases. This has a scientific background in the fact that a large number of molecules (chromophores) in different layers of the skin interact with and absorb UV. These interactions may have both positive and negative biological implications. In this review we only concentrate on the positive effects other than those directly related to vitamin D production.

Cosmetic Tanning

Some Africans and Asians avoid sun and use bleaching products to lighten skin, while many Caucasians seek the sun for tanning to achieve a bronze skin to “look good.”^{1–3} UV radiation from the sun or from artificial sources increases skin pigmentation.^{4,5} There are three phases of tanning: immediate pigment darkening (IPD), persistent pigment darkening (PPD) and delayed tanning (DT).^{6,7} IPD occurs during the first minutes of exposure to UVA, and then fades within few hours.^{6,8} PPD appears within hours of

higher doses of UVA exposure and persist up to several days or weeks.^{7,9} DT develops over 3–7 days after UVB exposure, and then remains for weeks.¹⁰ The mechanisms of UVA- and UVB-induced pigmentation are different.¹¹ UVA induces IPD and PPD through oxidation of pre-existing melanin or melanogenic precursors.⁶ IPD is oxygen dependent, and reactive oxygen radicals are considered to be responsible for this process.^{7,12,13} PPD is also due to the upward movement of melanosomes toward the surface of the skin.¹⁰ Persons with lightest skin (skin type I) do almost not tan, while IPD and PPD are strongest in moderately and darkly pigmented skin.^{14,15} DT results from synthesis of melanin in the melanocytes, followed by melanin distribution to neighboring keratinocytes.^{6,7,10}

The levels of UV radiation from the sun vary with latitude, altitude, weather, time of day and season of year. Facultative pigmentation (i.e., that induced by UV) decays during winter months at higher latitudes due to low temperatures and low UV levels. Some people want to maintain facultative tanning throughout the year for cosmetic purposes. They often use sunbeds or travel south for sunny vacations. Indoor tanning is popular, not only among Caucasians in countries with low annual UV levels (Northern countries),^{16,17} but also in countries with high annual UV levels (Australia).^{18,19} Sunbeds have several times higher UVA fluence rates than found in solar radiation under relevant conditions,^{19,20} and, due to this, IPD and PPD are pronounced after sunbathing. Additionally, under certain conditions UVA-induced pigmentation lasts longer than UVB-induced DP.⁹ This can be partly explained by the facts that UVB-induced tanning is located in the upper layers of the epidermis, while UVA-induced tanning is primary localized in the basal cell layer.¹¹ However, high doses of UV radiation from sun or indoor tanning devices lead not only to tanning, but also to erythema, local and systemic immunosuppression, DNA damage, photoaging and photocarcinogenesis.^{17,21,22}

Photoprotection

UV radiation from sun and sunbeds is the main risk factor for skin cancer.^{23–27} Human skin adapts to chronic UV exposure by increasing melanogenesis, thickening of the horny layer, activating of antioxidant molecules, the DNA repair systems, and secretion of cytokines.^{28–31}

Melanin provides protection of structures in and below the skin against free, UV-induced radicals. Thus, it acts as a direct shield

*Correspondence to: Asta Juzeniene; Email: astajuzeniene@rr-research.no
Submitted: 01/24/12; Revised: 02/29/12; Accepted: 03/02/12
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/derm.20013>

from UV and visible light radiation. UV radiation causes DNA damage in the nuclei of keratinocytes, resulting in activation of p53, which transcriptionally upregulates the expression of the gene encoding proopiomelanocortin (POMC).³² The POMC precursor polypeptide is processed into several bioactive products, including α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α -MSH), adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and β -endorphin.^{32,33} After secretion, α -MSH binds to the melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) located on melanocytes and activates melanin production.^{32,33} The anti-inflammatory effects of α -MSH and ACTH may help relieve irritation and local inflammation in UV-exposed skin.^{33,34}

Although UVA- and UVB-induced pigmentations are visually identical, only UVB-pigmentation results in a protection which is as large as corresponding to a factor of about 2 to 3 against DNA photodamage and erythema.^{11,35,36} This protection is equivalent to using a sunscreen with a sun protection factor (SPF) of 2 to 3.⁴

UVA tanning is not involved in melanin production, nor in photoprotection.^{7,11,36} The evolutionary role of IPD still remains unknown. Recently we have proposed that the biological role of IPD is protection of folates against photodegradation, which would be of large evolutionary importance for early hominids.³⁷ We found that IPD had an absorption spectrum covering a number of endogenous photosensitizers in skin, such as porphyrins and riboflavin.³⁸

UVB induces hyperkeratosis and thickening of the stratum corneum, thus reducing UV transmission.^{30,31,39} However, the relative importance of stratum corneum thickening and pigmentation in photoprotection is debated.^{30,31,39}

UVA photons excite endogenous chromophores (photosensitizers) in human skin which lead to generation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species that can cause damage by themselves or enhance the damaging effect of UVB. UVA can cause immunosuppression in human skin. A number of recent studies demonstrate that UVA radiation can provide immunoprotection and also inhibit UVB-induced immunosuppression through modulation of various cytokines and enzymes, such as expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1).⁴⁰ UVA exposure increases expression of HO-1 that mediates antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic and anti-proliferative effects, and protects cells and tissues against oxidative stress and tissue injury.^{40,41}

Actinic or solar elastosis is an accumulation of abnormal elastic tissue in the upper and middle dermis, which may be related to activation of the human elastin promoter by UV radiation or elastin degradation due to an influx of neutrophils that diffuse to the dermis in response to cytokine production after UVB exposure.⁴² Solar elastosis is generally considered to be a biomarker for cumulative sun exposure.⁴² However, solar elastosis is a protective factor for sporadic basal cell carcinoma.⁴³ Furthermore, it has been positively associated with melanoma survival.⁴⁴ Prognosis for melanoma of the back (low solar elastosis) is worse than that for the face (high solar elastosis).^{45–47} Melanomas with elastosis occur at later ages than melanomas without elastosis.⁴⁸ Consequently, sun exposure is associated with increased survival from melanoma.⁴⁴ Melanoma is more frequent among people with indoor occupations than among people getting large accumulated UV exposures (farmers, fishermen, etc.).⁴⁹ Chronic UV exposure

reduces and/or delays the development of melanoma.⁴⁷ Holiday sun exposure is not always associated with an increased melanoma risk, and even a protective effect of regular weekend sun exposure has been observed, particularly for limb tumors.⁵⁰ Outdoor activities (without sunburn, associated with increased risk of melanoma) in childhood are associated with a lower risk of melanoma.⁵¹ The observed effects could be mediated independently by photoadaptation (development of solar elastosis) and by higher vitamin D levels.^{47,50,52}

Mood Enhancing Effects

Most people judge sun exposure in non-erythemic doses as pleasant. Exposure to sunlight has been linked to improved energy and elevated mood.⁵³ Tanners feel more relaxed and less tense than non-tanners.⁵⁴ The belief that people look better with a tan may partly explain this phenomenon.⁵³ Additionally, exposure of keratinocytes to UV radiation leads to production of an opioid β -endorphin via stimulation of the POMC promoter.^{55–57} This β -endorphin released into the blood during UV exposure may reach the brain in sufficient concentrations to induce mood enhancement and relaxation.⁵⁸ However, only one study has demonstrated increased β -endorphin levels in blood after UV exposure of healthy volunteers⁵⁹ while three other studies have not found increased levels of β -endorphin.^{60–62} At the same time even anxiety associated with the needles used for blood sampling could affect endorphin levels.⁶² Other indirect evidences also suggest that endorphins are released to blood. It has been demonstrated that frequent tanners almost always choose sunbed emitting UV radiation.⁵⁴ In another study was shown that the use of the opioid antagonist naltrexone, used for treatment of opioid dependence, reduced UV preference and even induced withdrawal symptoms in frequent tanners.⁶³ Chronical and frequent exposure to UV radiation may result in a tanning addiction and in a pattern of behavior similar to other types of substance-related disorder.^{3,64–66}

Phototherapy

Already several thousands of years ago sunlight (heliotherapy) was used to treat a variety of skin conditions in Egypt, Greece and Rome.⁶⁷ At that time, the importance of UV radiation was not recognized, because UV rays were not discovered before 1801.⁶⁷ In 1903, Niels Ryberg Finsen was awarded the Nobel Prize “in recognition of his contribution to the treatment of diseases, especially lupus vulgaris, with concentrated light radiation, whereby he has opened a new avenue for medical science.” Finsen discovered that UV radiation was beneficial in treating lupus vulgaris, a skin condition caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. UV radiation was the only effective treatment against tubercle bacilli in the skin before the introduction of antituberculous chemotherapy in the 1950s.^{68,69} Finsen believed that UV radiation killed *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, but the detailed mechanism of action is not known.⁶⁸ In 1958 it was demonstrated that the UV radiation in Finsen’s lamps can lead to vitamin D production.^{70,71} It has been suggested that increased levels of vitamin D could be involved in bacterial killing, and it was

considered as the mechanism of effect of UV therapy on lupus.^{70,71} However, a few years ago Wulf's group in Denmark tried to find which wavelengths could be emitted by Finsen's equipment and which mechanisms could lead to the photoinactivation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.⁶⁸ Their experiments have suggested that only glass quartz was used in the treatment. This means that only wavelengths longer than 340 nm (UVA) could be emitted through Finsen's lenses.⁶⁸ They have also detected that *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* contains the water soluble porphyrin coproporphyrin III which has its highest absorption peak at 398 nm (the Soret band).⁶⁸ Exposure of coproporphyrin III to UVA and blue light leads to the production of singlet oxygen and the photoinactivation of bacteria in the process known as photodynamic therapy (PDT). A mechanism like that operating in PDT seems to be a most plausible explanation why Finsen's therapy worked.⁶⁸

Modern phototherapy. In our days phototherapy is a valuable option in the treatment of many psoriatic and nonpsoriatic conditions, including atopic dermatitis, sclerosing skin conditions such as morphea, scleroderma, vitiligo, and mycosis fungoides.⁷² Phototherapy is the treatment of certain skin disorders with UV radiation which can be produced by the sun, fluorescent lamps, short arc lamps with UV filters and lasers. Depending on the shape of the spectrum of radiation emitted by the source, phototherapy can be divided into broadband UVB (290–320 nm), narrow band UVB (310–315 nm), monochromatic UVB (308 nm from an excimer laser), broadband UVA (320–400 nm) and UVA-1 (340–400 nm).^{73,74} For a detailed review see references 72–79.

Mechanism of action. UVB radiation reaches the epidermis and the upper dermis where it is absorbed by DNA, transurocanic acid (trans-UCA), and cell membranes.⁷³ Absorption of UVB by nucleotides leads to the formation of DNA photoproducts, primarily pyrimidine dimers. UVB exposure reduces the rate of DNA synthesis. In addition, UVB radiation causes photoisomerization of trans-UCA to cis-UCA which has immunosuppressive effects. Furthermore, UV radiation can affect extranuclear molecular targets (cell surface receptors, kinases, phosphatases, and transcription factors) located in the cytoplasm and in the cell membranes.⁷³

Keratinocytes, circulating and cutaneous T lymphocytes, monocytes, Langerhans cell, mast cells and fibroblasts are all targeted by narrowband UVB.⁷³ Narrowband UVB induces also local and systemic immunosuppressive effects which may particularly contribute to the beneficial effects of this light source.

UVA radiation penetrates more deeply into the skin than UVB, and reaches not only epidermis, but also dermis with blood vessels affecting dermal dendritic cells, dermal fibroblasts, endothelial cells, mast cells, and granulocytes.⁸⁰ UVA radiation is absorbed by pyridine nucleotides (NAD and NADP), riboflavins, porphyrins, pteridines, cobalamins and bilirubin.⁸⁰ Porphyrins and riboflavins are photosensitizers. UVA effects are dominated by indirect DNA damage caused by reactive oxygen species such as singlet oxygen. The ability of UVA radiation to cause skin erythema is approximately 10^3 to 10^4 times lower than that of UVB. As UVA-1 is even less erythemagenic than broadband UVA,

much higher doses of UVA-1 can be tolerated by the patients. UVA-1 phototherapy works mainly through induction of apoptosis of skin infiltrating T cells, T-cell depletion and induction of collagenase-1 expression in human dermal fibroblast.^{40,81}

Psoriasis. Traditionally, broadband UVB phototherapy has been used to treat psoriasis, which is an inflammatory skin disease, characterized by keratinocyte hyperproliferation with 1–2% prevalence in the general population. However, now more often narrowband UVB or monochromatic UVB are used for the clearance of psoriasis. Narrow-band UVB clears psoriasis faster and produces longer remissions than broadband UVB.^{74,77} Action spectra for UV-induced erythema, DNA damage, photoimmunosuppression, squamous cell carcinoma and vitamin D synthesis are very similar, all in the UVB spectral region of 280–310 nm.³⁸ Narrowband UVB do not contain the most erythemogenic and carcinogenic wavelengths. Even though UVB phototherapy is a standard treatment for psoriasis, the mechanisms underlying its efficacy are incompletely understood. UVB exposure, via induction of DNA photoproducts, is thought to inhibit cell proliferation transiently. It has therefore been speculated that the therapeutic effectiveness of phototherapy mainly relates to its antiproliferative properties.^{73,82} Additionally, UVB phototherapy is effective for psoriasis by inhibiting cutaneous immune functions.⁷⁴ Recently, vitamin D has been brought in focus.^{76,83–85} The beneficial effect of UVB exposure in patients with psoriasis may be explained, at least in part, by the induction of vitamin D, as topical vitamin D derivatives are also effective.^{76,83–85} Heliotherapy, broadband and narrowband UVB phototherapy all increase serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels.^{83–85}

Vitiligo. Vitiligo is a depigmentation skin disorder with an incidence rate of between 0.1% and 2% in the general population.^{86,87} The cause of vitiligo appears to be a combination of genetic effects in both the immune system and in the melanocytes, both resulting in melanocyte destruction.⁸⁸ Due to the complexity of the disorder a lot of different treatments are recommended, including phototherapy with narrowband UVB radiation and excimer laser (308 nm) with or without topical application of calcineurin antagonists (tacrolimus and pimecrolimus).^{86,87} Phototherapy for vitiligo was initiated by the observation that sun-exposed lesions tend to show follicular repigmentation during the summer months in many patients.⁸⁹ This effect is transient but repeatable.⁸⁹ The mechanism of action of phototherapy on patients with vitiligo has not been completely elucidated. The melanocytes are destroyed in the epidermis of patients with vitiligo, while the melanocytes in the outer root sheaths of hair follicles are not affected. Repigmentation after phototherapy may be initiated by activation, proliferation, and migration of these melanocytes to the epidermis, where they form perifollicular pigmentation islands.⁸⁶ Furthermore, the immunosuppressive action of UVB phototherapy may also contribute to the mechanisms of action.

Atopic dermatitis. Atopic dermatitis is a chronic inflammatory skin disease. The estimated prevalence in the United States is around 17%.⁹⁰ Narrowband UVB and UVA-1 are the most frequently applied treatment regimens in atopic dermatitis and in other T cell mediated inflammatory skin diseases. UV radiation

induces direct phototoxic effects on T-lymphocytes. Thereby, it causes a gradual reduction of the inflammatory infiltrate and a concomitant improvement of patients' skin.^{73,91}

Localized scleroderma. UVA-1 phototherapy is used to treat localized scleroderma, also known as morphea.⁹² The precise action of UVA-1 phototherapy remains obscure. UVA-1 phototherapy may reduce the number of Langerhans cells and mast cells.⁹²

Pain Relief

Sunbathing or tanning beds seem to have a potential to reduce pain in patients with fibromyalgia.⁵⁴ Patients with the chronic pain condition fibromyalgia have reported a greater short-term decrease in pain after exposure to UV compared with non-UV radiation exposure.⁵⁴

UV Effects on Skin Barrier Functions

Skin exposed to UVB and UVA is more resistant to primary irritants, which may indicate the improvement of skin barrier functions.^{30,93,94} Such an improvement is not due to epidermal hyperplasia, which does not appear after UVA exposure, and neither is it due to increase in lipids in the stratum corneum as has been believed earlier.^{30,93,94}

UV Effects on Other Diseases

The risk and/or mortality of autoimmune diseases (multiple sclerosis, asthma and type 1 diabetes mellitus), cardiovascular diseases (hypertension and myocardial infarction), several cancers (bladder, breast, cervical, colon, endometrial, esophageal, gastric, lung, ovarian, pancreatic, rectal, renal and vulvar cancer) and other conditions increases with latitude (decreasing UV dose) of residence.^{95,96} Generally, it is believed that the increased risk of these diseases is due to lack of UVB radiation which leads to vitamin D deficiency. No mechanism other than vitamin D production has been proposed to explain the effects of UVB exposure on reducing these disease risk.⁹⁶ A growing amount of molecular data demonstrates the involvement of vitamin D in cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, immune and inflammatory responses.^{97,98} These findings provide a strong basis for epidemiological evidences documenting that vitamin D deficiency may be a risk factor for development and progression of some types of cancer. Other molecular mechanisms may explain the role of vitamin D in cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, cancer, multiple sclerosis, allergy, asthma, infection, muscle weakness, depression, etc.^{99,100} Several studies found association between low vitamin D levels and hypertension, coronary artery calcification, heart disease and several cancers.^{101–106} Recent meta-analyses have demonstrated reduction in mortality and cardiovascular risk associated with vitamin D.^{101–107} Zitterman et al. have performed the meta meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies and found a nonlinear decrease in mortality risk as circulating 25(OH)D increases, with optimal concentrations 75–87.5 nmol/.¹⁰⁶ Some studies do not support any association

between 25(OH)D and cancer risk nor total cancer mortality, except colorectal cancer, breast cancer risk or mortality.^{108–111} The evidences of whether vitamin D supplementation may prevent cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and mortality are contradictory.^{112–114} Vitamin D has been associated with increased survival rates for several types of cancer.^{115,116} Additionally, there is no trend in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) level with latitude.¹¹⁷ This may be due to imprecise and unreliable vitamin D measurements.¹¹⁸ It is possible that the reported protective effect of sunlight on the mentioned types of cancer and other diseases are not mediated only through vitamin D but also through other and as yet unknown mechanisms.^{110,119,120} A few years ago Becklund et al.¹²⁰ demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation is less efficient than UV radiation in suppressing multiple sclerosis in animals. Lukas et al.¹²¹ found in a multi-center case-control study that multiple sclerosis risk decreased with increasing serum 25(OH)D levels, measured at the time of the first demyelinating events, and with increasing UV exposure, estimated by questionnaires or by the degree of actinic damage. Lukas et al.¹²¹ suggested that sun exposure and vitamin D status may play independent roles for development of central nervous system demyelination. A recent population-based case-control from Sweden¹²² suggested that UVR exposure may also exert a protective effect against developing multiple sclerosis via other pathways than those involving vitamin D. The role of UV and vitamin D should be evaluated in clinical trials for multiple sclerosis prevention.

African Americans at higher latitudes have a higher rate of vitamin D deficiency than Caucasians have.^{119,123,124} However, Caucasians have about two times higher rates of multiple sclerosis than African Americans have.¹¹⁹ (At the same time, the course of disease is more aggressive among African Americans.)¹²⁵ Therefore, the involvement of additional mechanisms, rather than only vitamin D synthesis, has been proposed.^{119,120} Other mediators than vitamin D that are induced by UV radiation may be more important for UV-mediated immunomodulation and may be involved in the prevention and progression of immunopathological diseases (psoriasis, multiple sclerosis and asthma), non-immunopathological diseases (cancer) and during infection.^{119,126,127} It is clear that exposure to UV radiation is an important environmental interference with immune functions^{126,127} which may play important roles in prevention, initiation or progression of several diseases.

Beneficial Roles of UVA-Induced Nitric Oxide (NO[•]) on Human Health

A few years ago it was demonstrated that nitric oxide (NO[•]), a gaseous free radical, is non-enzymatically induced in skin by UVA.^{128–130} However, UVA-induced NO[•] and its influence on human physiology and pathophysiology are not so well studied as the influence of NO[•] produced enzymatically by NO synthases.¹³¹ NO[•] is able to diffuse rapidly across cell membranes, and, depending on the conditions, is able to diffuse more than several hundred microns. The biological half-life of NO[•] is in the range from 1 ms to 2 sec, depending on superoxide (O₂^{•-}), antioxidants

and oxygen concentrations.² The biological effects of NO[•] are mediated through the reaction of NO[•] with a number of targets, such as haem groups, cysteine residues and iron and zinc clusters. This wide range of targets for NO[•] helps to explain the multiple roles it plays, including vasodilatation, immune defense, neurotransmission, regulation of cell death (apoptosis) and cell motility. Due to the importance of NO[•], abnormal regulation of the concentration of UV-induced NO[•] may affect a number of important biological processes.

The rapid release of NO[•] following UVA exposure suggests the existence of latent stores. It is well known that part of the endogenously produced NO[•] is converted into nitrite (NO₂⁻), nitrate or nitrosothiols. Earlier it was thought that these compounds are inert end products of endogenous NO[•] metabolism. In 2003 Rodriguez et al.¹³² demonstrated that in rat vascular tissue NO₂⁻ and nitrosothiols, but not nitrate, are converted back to NO[•] under UVA exposure: NO₂⁻ + hv → NO[•] + O^{•-}.

The action spectra for NO[•] release from nitrite and from nitrosothiols have a peak at around 335 nm and lie in the range from 310 to 400 nm.¹³² Human skin and dermal vasculature contains high quantities of NO₂⁻ (8.4 μM) and nitrosothiols (2.9 μM), which can be recycled by environmental stimuli, such as UVA radiation, to form NO[•].^{128–130,133} The skin of a human weighs approximately 4 kg and can be considered the largest human storage organ for NO derivatives such as nitrite and nitrosothiols.¹³³ Thus, they represent an important alternative non-enzymatic physiological source of biologically active NO[•]. Healthy human skin contains 25-fold higher concentrations of NO₂⁻ than plasma of healthy volunteers.¹³⁰ It has been demonstrated in human keratinocytes in vitro and in healthy volunteers that UVA exposure induces NO[•] in concentrations comparable to, or even higher than, those produced enzymatically by NO synthases.¹²⁹

Protective effects of UV-induced NO[•]. Low concentrations of NO[•] protect cultured keratinocytes and skin from oxidative stress and UVA-induced apoptosis.^{130,131,134} The mechanism and the required concentrations for this protective action in skin are still unknown. Induction of Bcl-2 expression and inhibition of caspase activation have been suggested in some studies,¹³⁰ but this fails to explain the rapid timescale of the response. It is possible that UVA-induced NO[•] may protect skin against solar radiation induced damages within 20–30 min, depending on UVA dose. Two independent studies have demonstrated that UVA exposure of human skin specimens leads to non-enzymatic NO[•] formation which reaches a maximum after 20 min (320–400 nm, 40 J/cm²) or after 30 min (350–400 nm, 30 J/cm²).^{128,133}

In 2009 Oplander et al. demonstrated that irradiation of healthy individuals with biologically relevant doses of UVA lead to a sustained reduction in blood pressure.¹²⁹ In 2010 it was proposed that many of the beneficial effects of sunlight related to cardiovascular health may be mediated by mechanisms that are independent of vitamin D and exposure to UV alone, but through UVA-induced NO[•] and nitrite.¹³⁵ NO₂⁻, for a long time considered biologically inert at low concentrations, is now known, not only to dilate blood vessels in its own right, but also to protect organs against ischemia/reperfusion damage.¹³⁶ Hemoglobin, myoglobin, xanthine oxidoreductase, cytochrome P-450, and

mitochondrial enzymes can all generate NO[•] from NO₂⁻ under hypoxic conditions.^{135,137} In adults, skin and blood are of comparable weight and volume. The total amount of NO₂⁻ in the epidermis is around 135 μM, while the total amount of NO₂⁻ in blood rarely exceeds 13–15 μM.^{133,135} Thus, mobilization of only a fraction of the relatively large epidermal pool of NO₂⁻ by sunlight is likely to be sufficient to increase plasma NO₂⁻ concentrations transiently. Thus, Feilisch et al. suggested that NO₂⁻ can be delivered to the systemic circulation and exert coronary vasodilator and cardioprotective as well as antihypertensive effects.¹³⁵ NO-containing gas is effective in tissue disinfection and regulating inflammatory processes associated with acute and chronic wounds.^{138–140} It has been proposed that UVA-induced NO[•] may also have antimicrobial effects, be involved in cutaneous wound healing as well as have antitumor activity.^{130,141}

UVA-exposure of human skin releases NO[•] into the circulation. In the bloodstream, NO[•] can reach the nervous system.¹²⁹ In this way, UVA can influence transmission of nerve signals indirectly.³⁸

However, NO[•] can represent, not only beneficial effects, but also toxicity, and, due to this, it is known as a Janus molecule.¹³⁰ Many of the local and systemic UV-induced responses, including erythema and edema formation, inflammation, premature aging and immune suppression, can be influenced by UVA-produced NO[•]. Its role in the induction and in the progression of skin cancer remains uncertain. The direct toxicity of NO[•] is modest, but is greatly enhanced by reactions with superoxide (O₂⁻) to form the powerful oxidant peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), which can promote oxidative damage to blood vessels and skin. Under normal conditions O₂⁻ is rapidly removed by superoxide dismutases (SOD). NO[•] is quickly removed by its rapid diffusion through tissues into red blood cells where it is converted to nitrate and nitrite by a reaction with oxyhemoglobin. This limits the biological half-life of NO[•] in vivo to less than a second.

Conclusions

UV radiation may affect many processes in the human body independent of vitamin D production. However, it is very difficult or even impossible, to understand which of the processes are mediated by UV alone and which via vitamin D. Even in situations where it has been doubtlessly assumed that only UV radiation is responsible for the effect (i.e., skin tanning and photoprotection), vitamin D may play an important role.^{142–144} More studies similar to those of Becklund et al.¹²⁰ must be performed with the laboratory animals and all precautions must be taken to distinguish the influence of vitamin D and UV radiation in the development and progression of different disorders. Another approach might be to identify the responses that are due to vitamin D, and those independent of vitamin D, would be to study the effects of UV radiation in laboratory animals which are unable to make calcitriol or which have a mutated vitamin D receptor (VDR).

Acknowledgments

The present work was supported by the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority and by the Norwegian Cancer Society.

References

- Banerjee SC, Greene K, Bagdasarov Z, Campo S. 'My friends love to tan': examining sensation seeking and the mediating role of association with friends who use tanning beds on tanning bed use intentions. *Health Educ Res* 2009; 24:989-98; PMID:19574406; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/her/cyp035>
- Ladizinski B, Mistry N, Kundu RV. Widespread use of toxic skin lightening compounds: medical and psychosocial aspects. *Dermatol Clin* 2011; 29:111-23; PMID:21095535; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2010.08.010>
- Harrington CR, Beswick TC, Leitenberger J, Minhajuddin A, Jacobe HT, Adinoff B. Addictive-like behaviours to ultraviolet light among frequent indoor tanners. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2011; 36:33-8; PMID:20545951; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2230.2010.03882.x>
- Agar N, Young AR. Melanogenesis: a photoprotective response to DNA damage? *Mutat Res* 2005; 571:121-32; PMID:15748643; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2004.11.016>
- Tran TT, Schulman J, Fisher DE. UV and pigmentation: molecular mechanisms and social controversies. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 2008; 21:509-16; PMID:18821855; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2008.00498.x>
- Miyamura Y, Coelho SG, Wolber R, Miller SA, Wakamatsu K, Zmudzka BZ, et al. Regulation of human skin pigmentation and responses to ultraviolet radiation. *Pigment Cell Res* 2007; 20:2-13; PMID:17250543; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0749.2006.00358.x>
- Wolber R, Schlenz K, Wakamatsu K, Smuda C, Nakanishi Y, Hearing VJ, et al. Pigmentation effects of solar-simulated radiation as compared with UVA and UVB radiation. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 2008; 21:487-91; PMID:18627527; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2008.00470.x>
- Routaboul C, Denis A, Vinche A. Immediate pigment darkening: description, kinetic and biological function. *Eur J Dermatol* 1999; 9:95-9; PMID:10066954
- Suh KS, Roh HJ, Choi SY, Jeon YS, Doh KS, Bae JH, et al. Long-term evaluation of erythema and pigmentation induced by ultraviolet radiations of different wavelengths. *Skin Res Technol* 2007; 13:154-61; PMID:17374056; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0846.2007.00213.x>
- Coelho SG, Choi W, Brenner M, Miyamura Y, Yamaguchi Y, Wolber R, et al. Short- and long-term effects of UV radiation on the pigmentation of human skin. *J Invest Dermatol Symp Proc* 2009; 14:32-5; PMID:19675550; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jidsymp.2009.10>
- Hönigsmann H. Erythema and pigmentation. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2002; 18:75-81; PMID:12147040; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0781.2002.180204.x>
- Auletta M, Gange RW, Tan OT, Matzinger E. Effect of cutaneous hypoxia upon erythema and pigment responses to UVA, UVB, and PUVA (8-MOP + UVA) in human skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 1986; 86:649-52; PMID:3711678; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1523-1747.ep12275683>
- Yamashita T, Akita H, Astner S, Miyakawa M, Lerner EA, González S. In vivo assessment of pigmentary and vascular compartments changes in UVA exposed skin by reflectance-mode confocal microscopy. *Exp Dermatol* 2007; 16:905-11; PMID:17927573; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2007.00604.x>
- Agin PP, Desrochers DL, Sayre RM. The relationship of immediate pigment darkening to minimal erythema dose, skin type, and eye color. *Photodermatol* 1985; 2:288-94; PMID:4070027
- Mahmoud BH, Ruvolo E, Hessel CL, Liu Y, Owen MR, Kollias N, et al. Impact of long-wavelength UVA and visible light on melanocompetent skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 2010; 130:2092-7; PMID:20410914; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2010.95>
- Bataille V, Boniol M, De Vries E, Severi G, Brandberg Y, Sasieni P, et al. A multicentre epidemiological study on sunbed use and cutaneous melanoma in Europe. *Eur J Cancer* 2005; 41:2141-9; PMID:16125927; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2005.04.038>
- Gandini S, Autier P, Boniol M. Reviews on sun exposure and artificial light and melanoma. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 2011; 107:362-6; PMID:21958910; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2011.09.011>
- Gordon L, Hirst NG, Green AC, Neale RE. Tanning Behaviors and Determinants of Solarium Use Among Indoor Office Workers in Queensland, Australia. *J Health Psychol* 2011; PMID:22131168; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1359105311427476>
- Gies P, Javorniczky J, Henderson S, McLennan A, Roy C, Lock J, et al. UVR emissions from solaria in Australia and implications for the regulation process. *Photochem Photobiol* 2011; 87:184-90; PMID:21091485; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2010.00835.x>
- Nilsen LT, Aalerud TN, Hannevik M, Veierød MB. UVB and UVA irradiances from indoor tanning devices. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 2011; 10:1129-36; PMID:21445424; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1pp05029j>
- Matsumura Y, Ananthaswamy HN. Toxic effects of ultraviolet radiation on the skin. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2004; 195:298-308; PMID:15020192; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2003.08.019>
- Doré JF, Chignol MC. Tanning salons and skin cancer. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 2012; 11:30-7; PMID:21845253; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1pp05186e>
- Rigel DS. Cutaneous ultraviolet exposure and its relationship to the development of skin cancer. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2008; 58(Suppl 2):S129-32; PMID:18410798; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2007.04.034>
- Schulman JM, Fisher DE. Indoor ultraviolet tanning and skin cancer: health risks and opportunities. *Curr Opin Oncol* 2009; 21:144-9; PMID:19532016; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCO.0b013e3283252f65>
- Young C. Solar ultraviolet radiation and skin cancer. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2009; 59:82-8; PMID:19233827; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqn170>
- Barysch MJ, Hofbauer GF, Dummer R. Vitamin D, ultraviolet exposure, and skin cancer in the elderly. *Gerontology* 2010; 56:410-3; PMID:20502035; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000315119>
- Narayanan DL, Saladi RN, Fox JL. Ultraviolet radiation and skin cancer. *Int J Dermatol* 2010; 49:978-86; PMID:20883261; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4632.2010.04474.x>
- Trautinger F, Kindás-Mügge I, Knobler RM, Hönigsmann H. Stress proteins in the cellular response to ultraviolet radiation. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 1996; 35:141-8; PMID:8933720; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1011-1344\(96\)07344-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1011-1344(96)07344-7)
- Shindo Y, Hashimoto T. Ultraviolet B-induced cell death in four cutaneous cell lines exhibiting different enzymatic antioxidant defences: involvement of apoptosis. *J Dermatol Sci* 1998; 17:140-50; PMID:9673896; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0923-1811\(98\)00008-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0923-1811(98)00008-5)
- Agache P, Humbert P. Measuring the Skin: Non-invasive Investigations, Physiology, Normal Constants. In: Agache P, Humbert P, eds. Berlin and Heidelberg GmbH & Co. KG: Springer-Verlag, 2004: 1-807.
- Meinhardt M, Krebs R, Anders A, Heinrich U, Tronnier H. Effect of ultraviolet adaptation on the ultraviolet absorption spectra of human skin in vivo. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2008; 24:76-82; PMID:18353087; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0781.2008.00342.x>
- Fisher DE, James WD. Indoor tanning—science, behavior, and policy. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363:901-3; PMID:20818900; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1005999>
- Oren M, Bartek J. The sunny side of p53. *Cell* 2007; 128:826-8; PMID:17350568; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.027>
- Catania A, Lonati C, Sordi A, Carlin A, Leonardi P, Gatti S. The melanocortin system in control of inflammation. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2010; 10:1840-53; PMID:20852827; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1100/tsw.2010.173>
- Gange RW, Blackett AD, Matzinger EA, Sutherland BM, Kochevar IE. Comparative protection efficiency of UVA- and UVB-induced tans against erythema and formation of endonuclease-sensitive sites in DNA by UVB in human skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 1985; 85:362-4; PMID:3840189; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1523-1747.ep12276983>
- Miyamura Y, Coelho SG, Schlenz K, Batzer J, Smuda C, Choi W, et al. The deceptive nature of UVA tanning versus the modest protective effects of UVB tanning on human skin. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 2011; 24:136-47; PMID:20979596; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2010.00764.x>
- Moan J, Nielsen KP, Juzeniene A. Immediate pigment darkening: its evolutionary roles may include protection against folate photosensitization. *FASEB J* 2012; 26:971-5; PMID:22159146; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.11-195859>
- Juzeniene A, Brekke P, Dahlback A, Andersson-Engels S, Reichrath J, Moan K, et al. Solar radiation and human health. *Rep Prog Phys* 2011; 74:1-56; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/6/066701>
- Gambichler T, Boms S, Stücker M, Moussa G, Kreuter A, Sand M, et al. Acute skin alterations following ultraviolet radiation investigated by optical coherence tomography and histology. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2005; 297:218-25; PMID:16215762; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00403-005-0604-6>
- Xiang YC, Liu G, Yang L, Zhong JL. UVA-induced protection of skin through the induction of heme oxygenase-1. *Biosci Trends* 2011; 5:239-44; PMID:22281537; <http://dx.doi.org/10.5582/bst.2011.v5.6.239>
- Gruber F, Oskolkova O, Leitner A, Mildner M, Mlitz V, Lengauer B, et al. Photooxidation generates biologically active phospholipids that induce heme oxygenase-1 in skin cells. *J Biol Chem* 2007; 282:16934-41; PMID:17449870; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M702523200>
- Thomas NE, Kricke A, From L, Busam K, Millikan RC, Ritchey ME, et al. Genes, Environment, and Melanoma Study Group. Associations of cumulative sun exposure and phenotypic characteristics with histologic solar elastosis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2010; 19:2932-41; PMID:20802019; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0686>
- Walther U, Kron M, Sander S, Sebastian G, Sander R, Peter RU, et al. Risk and protective factors for sporadic basal cell carcinoma: results of a two-centre case-control study in southern Germany. *Clinical actinic elastosis may be a protective factor. Br J Dermatol* 2004; 151:170-8; PMID:15270887; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2004.06030.x>

44. Berwick M, Armstrong BK, Ben-Porat L, Fine J, Krickler A, Eberle C, et al. Sun exposure and mortality from melanoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005; 97:195-9; PMID:15687362; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dji019>
45. Gillgren P, Brattström G, Frisell J, Persson JO, Ringborg U, Hansson J. Effect of primary site on prognosis in patients with cutaneous malignant melanoma. A study using a new model to analyse anatomical locations. *Melanoma Res* 2005; 15:125-32; PMID:15846146; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00008390-200504000-00007>
46. Garbe C, Büttner P, Bertz J, Burg G, d'Hoedt B, Drepper H, et al. Primary cutaneous melanoma. Prognostic classification of anatomic location. *Cancer* 1995; 75:2492-8; PMID:7736393; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142\(19950515\)75:10<2492::AID-CNCR2820751015>3.0.CO;2-W](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19950515)75:10<2492::AID-CNCR2820751015>3.0.CO;2-W)
47. Grant WB. Skin aging from ultraviolet irradiance and smoking reduces risk of melanoma: epidemiological evidence. *Anticancer Res* 2008; 28(6B):4003-8; PMID:19192664
48. Vollmer RT. Solar elastosis in cutaneous melanoma. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2007; 128:260-4; PMID:17638660; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1309/7MHX96XH3DTY32TQ>
49. Pukkala E, Martensen JJ, Lyng E, Gunnarsdottir HK, Sparén P, Tryggvadottir L, et al. Occupation and cancer - follow-up of 15 million people in five Nordic countries. *Acta Oncol* 2009; 48:646-790; PMID:19925375; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/028418660902913546>
50. Newton-Bishop JA, Chang YM, Elliott F, Chan M, Leake S, Karpavicius B, et al. Relationship between sun exposure and melanoma risk for tumours in different body sites in a large case-control study in a temperate climate. *Eur J Cancer* 2011; 47:732-41; PMID:21084183; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2010.10.008>
51. Kaskel P, Sander S, Kron M, Kind P, Peter RU, Krähn G. Outdoor activities in childhood: a protective factor for cutaneous melanoma? Results of a case-control study in 271 matched pairs. *Br J Dermatol* 2001; 145:602-9; PMID:11703287; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2133.2001.04432.x>
52. Field S, Newton-Bishop JA. Melanoma and vitamin D. *Mol Oncol* 2011; 5:197-214; PMID:21371954; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2011.01.007>
53. Sivamani RK, Crane LA, Dellavalle RP. The benefits and risks of ultraviolet tanning and its alternatives: the role of prudent sun exposure. [vi.]. *Dermatol Clin* 2009; 27:149-54, vi; PMID:19254658; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2008.11.008>
54. Feldman SR, Liguori A, Kucenic M, Rapp SR, Fleischer AB, Jr., Lang W, et al. Ultraviolet exposure is a reinforcing stimulus in frequent indoor tanners. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2004; 51:45-51; PMID:15243523; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2004.01.053>
55. Wintzen M, Yaar M, Burbach JP, Gilchrist BA. Proopiomelanocortin gene product regulation in keratinocytes. *J Invest Dermatol* 1996; 106:673-8; PMID:8618003; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1523-1747.ep12345496>
56. Cui R, Widlund HR, Feige E, Lin JY, Wilensky DL, Igras VE, et al. Central role of p53 in the suntan response and pathologic hyperpigmentation. *Cell* 2007; 128:853-64; PMID:17350573; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.12.045>
57. Skobowiat C, Dowdy JC, Sayre RM, Tuckey RC, Slominski A. Cutaneous hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis homolog: regulation by ultraviolet radiation. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2011; 301:E484-93; PMID:21673307; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00217.2011>
58. Heckman CJ, Egleston BL, Wilson DB, Ingersoll KS. A preliminary investigation of the predictors of tanning dependence. *Am J Health Behav* 2008; 32:451-64; PMID:18241130; <http://dx.doi.org/10.5993/AJHB.32.5.1>
59. Levins PC, Carr DB, Fisher JE, Momtaz K, Parrish JA. Plasma beta-endorphin and beta-lipoprotein response to ultraviolet radiation. *Lancet* 1983; 2:166; PMID:6135011; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(83\)90150-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(83)90150-2)
60. Wintzen M, Ostijn DM, Polderman MC, le Cessie S, Burbach JP, Vermeer BJ. Total body exposure to ultraviolet radiation does not influence plasma levels of immunoreactive beta-endorphin in man. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2001; 17:256-60; PMID:11722750; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0781.2001.170602.x>
61. Gambichler T, Bader A, Vojvodic M, Avermaete A, Schenk M, Altmeyer P, et al. Plasma levels of opioid peptides after sunbed exposures. *Br J Dermatol* 2002; 147:1207-11; PMID:12452872; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2133.2002.04859.x>
62. Kaur M, Liguori A, Fleischer AB, Jr., Feldman SR. Plasma beta-endorphin levels in frequent and infrequent tanners before and after ultraviolet and non-ultraviolet stimuli. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2006; 54:919-20; PMID:16635689; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2006.01.062>
63. Kaur M, Liguori A, Lang W, Rapp SR, Fleischer AB, Jr., Feldman SR. Induction of withdrawal-like symptoms in a small randomized, controlled trial of opioid blockade in frequent tanners. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2006; 54:709-11; PMID:16546596; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2005.11.1059>
64. Nolan BV, Feldman SR. Ultraviolet tanning addiction. [v.]. *Dermatol Clin* 2009; 27:109-12, v; PMID:19254653; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2008.11.007>
65. Nolan BV, Taylor SL, Liguori A, Feldman SR. Tanning as an addictive behavior: a literature review. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2009; 25:12-9; PMID:19152511; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0781.2009.00392.x>
66. Kourosh AS, Harrington CR, Adinoff B. Tanning as a behavioral addiction. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 2010; 36:284-90; PMID:20545604; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/00952990.2010.491883>
67. Roelants R. The history of phototherapy: something new under the sun? *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002; 46:926-30; PMID:12063493; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mjd.2002.121354>
68. Möller KI, Kongshoj B, Philipsen PA, Thomsen VO, Wulf HC. How Finsen's light cured lupus vulgaris. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2005; 21:118-24; PMID:15888127; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0781.2005.00159.x>
69. Lawrence G. Tools of the trade: the Finsen Light. *Lancet* 2002; 359:1784; PMID:12049905; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(02\)08653-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08653-1)
70. Van Der Lugt L, Rottier PB. Finsen therapy and vitamin D. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1958; 38:264-73; PMID:13594215
71. Wejse C. Tuberculosis and Vitamin D – What Is the Evidence for Interaction? *European Infectious Disease* 2008; 2:107-10.
72. Walker D, Jacobe H. Phototherapy in the age of biologics. *Semin Cutan Med Surg* 2011; 30:190-8; PMID:22123416; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sder.2011.08.004>
73. Bulat V, Situm M, Dediol I, Ljubicic I, Bradić L. The mechanisms of action of phototherapy in the treatment of the most common dermatoses. *Coll Antropol* 2011; 35(Suppl 2):147-51; PMID:22220423
74. Mudigonda T, Dabade TS, Feldman SR. A review of targeted ultraviolet B phototherapy for psoriasis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2012; 66:664-72; PMID:22000769; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2011.07.011>
75. Krutmann J, Morita A. Mechanisms of ultraviolet (UV) B and UVA phototherapy. *J Invest Dermatol Symp Proc* 1999; 4:70-2; PMID:10537012; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.jidsp.5640185>
76. Sage RJ, Lim HW. UV-based therapy and vitamin D. *Dermatol Ther* 2010; 23:72-81; PMID:20136910; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8019.2009.01292.x>
77. Lapolla W, Yentzer BA, Bagel J, Halvorsen CR, Feldman SR. A review of phototherapy protocols for psoriasis treatment. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2011; 64:936-49; PMID:21429620; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2009.12.054>
78. Trautinger F. Phototherapy of mycosis fungoides. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2011; 27:68-74; PMID:21392108; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0781.2011.00559.x>
79. Grundmann SA, Beisert S. Modern aspects of phototherapy for atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy (Cairo)* 2012; 2012:121797.
80. Pavel S. Light therapy (with UVA-1) for SLE patients: is it a good or bad idea? *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006; 45:653-5; PMID:16522677; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kei063>
81. Mang R, Krutmann J. UVA-1 Phototherapy. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2005; 21:103-8; PMID:15752130; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0781.2005.00146.x>
82. Krutmann J. Therapeutic photoimmunology: photoimmunological mechanisms in photo(chemo)therapy. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 1998; 44:159-64; PMID:9757598; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1011-1344\(98\)00139-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1011-1344(98)00139-0)
83. Osmanovic A, Nilken LT, Landin-Wilhelmsen K, Søyland E, Abusdal Torjesen P, Hagve TA, et al. Effect of climate therapy at Gran Canaria on vitamin D production, blood glucose and lipids in patients with psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2009; 23:1133-40; PMID:19453805; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2009.03245.x>
84. Cicarma E, Mørk C, Porojnicu AC, Juzeniene A, Tam TT, Dahlback A, et al. Influence of narrowband UVB phototherapy on vitamin D and folate status. *Exp Dermatol* 2010; 19:e67-72; PMID:19849714; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2009.00987.x>
85. Osmanovic A, Landin-Wilhelmsen K, Larkö O, Krogstad AL. Vitamin D status in psoriasis patients during different treatments with phototherapy. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2010; 101:117-23; PMID:20579901; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2010.05.008>
86. Nicolaïdou E, Antoniou C, Stratigos A, Katsambas AD. Narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy and 308-nm excimer laser in the treatment of vitiligo: a review. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2009; 60:470-7; PMID:19157641; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2008.07.053>
87. Yaghoobi R, Omidian M, Bagherani N. Vitiligo: a review of the published work. *J Dermatol* 2011; 38:419-31; PMID:21667529; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1346-8138.2010.01139.x>
88. Boissy RE, Nordlund JJ. Vitiligo: current medical and scientific understanding. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol* 2011; 146:69-75; PMID:21317859
89. Krutmann J, Hönigsmann H, Elmets CA. Dermatological Phototherapy and Photodiagnostic Methods. In: Krutmann J, Honigsmann H, Elmets CA, eds. Second edn. Leipzig: Springer, 2009: 1-447.
90. Oyoshi MK, He R, Kumar L, Yoon J, Geha RS. Cellular and molecular mechanisms in atopic dermatitis. *Adv Immunol* 2009; 102:135-226; PMID:19477321; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2776\(09\)01203-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2776(09)01203-6)
91. Krutmann J. Phototherapy for atopic dermatitis. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2000; 25:552-8; PMID:11122227; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2230.2000.00700.x>
92. Kroft EB, Berkhof NJ, van de Kerkhof PC, Gerritsen RM, de Jong EM. Ultraviolet A phototherapy for sclerotic skin diseases: a systematic review. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2008; 59:1017-30; PMID:18835066; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2008.07.042>

93. Lehmann P, Hölzle E, Melnik B, Plewig G. Effects of ultraviolet A and B on the skin barrier: a functional, electron microscopic and lipid biochemical study. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 1991; 8: 129-34; PMID:1804292
94. Jungersted JM, Høgh JK, Hellgren LI, Jemec GB, Agner T. The impact of ultraviolet therapy on stratum corneum ceramides and barrier function. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 2011; 27:331-3; PMID: 22092739; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0781.2011.00618.x>
95. Holick MF. Sunlight and vitamin D for bone health and prevention of autoimmune diseases, cancers, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; 80 (Suppl):1678S-88S; PMID:15585788
96. Grant WB. Ecological studies of the UVB-vitamin D-cancer hypothesis. *Anticancer Res* 2012; 32:223-36; PMID:22213311
97. Chakraborti CK. Vitamin D as a promising anticancer agent. *Indian J Pharmacol* 2011; 43:113-20; PMID: 21572642; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.77335>
98. Di Rosa M, Malaguarnera M, Nicoletti F, Malaguarnera L. Vitamin D3: a helpful immunomodulator. *Immunology* 2011; 134:123-39; PMID: 21896008; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2011.03482.x>
99. Zhang R, Naughton DP. Vitamin D in health and disease: current perspectives. *Nutr J* 2010; 9:65; PMID:21143872; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-9-65>
100. Thacher TD, Clarke BL. Vitamin D insufficiency. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2011; 86:50-60; PMID:21193656; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4065/mcp.2010.0567>
101. Parker J, Hashmi O, Dutton D, Mavrodaris A, Stranges S, Kandala NB, et al. Levels of vitamin D and cardiometabolic disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maturitas* 2010; 65:225-36; PMID: 20031348; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2009.12.013>
102. Witham MD, Nadir MA, Struthers AD. Effect of vitamin D on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hypertens* 2009; 27:1948-54; PMID:19587609; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e32832f075b>
103. Grandi NC, Breitling LP, Brenner H. Vitamin D and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Prev Med* 2010; 51:228-33; PMID:20600257; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.06.013>
104. Elamin MB, Abu Elnour NO, Elamin KB, Fatourehchi MM, Alkatib AA, Almandoz JP, et al. Vitamin D and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; 96:1931-42; PMID:21677037; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-0398>
105. Sokol SI, Tsang P, Aggarwal V, Melamed ML, Srinivas VS. Vitamin D status and risk of cardiovascular events: lessons learned via systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiol Rev* 2011; 19:192-201; PMID:21646873; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CRD.0b013e328121da9a5>
106. Zittermann A, Iodice S, Pilz S, Grant WB, Bagnardi V, Gandini S. Vitamin D deficiency and mortality risk in the general population: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012; 95:91-100; PMID:22170374; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.014779>
107. Schöttker B, Ball D, Gellert C, Brenner H. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and overall mortality. A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Ageing Res Rev* 2012; PMID: 22343489; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2012.02.004>
108. Grant WB. Relation between prediagnostic serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and incidence of breast, colorectal, and other cancers. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2010; 101:130-6; PMID:20570169; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2010.04.008>
109. Freedman DM, Looker AC, Chang SC, Graubard BI. Prospective study of serum vitamin D and cancer mortality in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007; 99:1594-602; PMID:17971526; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djm204>
110. van der Rhee H, Coebergh JW, de Vries E. Sunlight, vitamin D and the prevention of cancer: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2009; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32832f9bb1>
111. Mohr SB, Gorham ED, Alcaraz JE, Kane CJ, Macera CA, Parsons JK, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and prevention of breast cancer: pooled analysis. *Anticancer Res* 2011; 31:2939-48; PMID:21868542
112. Bjelakovic G, Gluud LL, Nikolova D, Whitfield K, Wetterslev J, Simonetti RG, et al. Vitamin D supplementation for prevention of mortality in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; CD007470; PMID:21735411
113. Chung M, Lee J, Terasawa T, Lau J, Trikalinos TA. Vitamin D with or without calcium supplementation for prevention of cancer and fractures: an updated meta-analysis for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; 155:827-38; PMID: 22184690
114. Avenell A, MacLennan GS, Jenkinson DJ, McPherson GC, McDonald AM, Pant PR, et al. RECORD Trial Group. Long-term follow-up for mortality and cancer in a randomized placebo-controlled trial of vitamin D(3) and/or calcium (RECORD trial). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 97:614-22; PMID:22112804; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1309>
115. Drake MT, Maurer MJ, Link BK, Habermann TM, Ansell SM, Micallef IN, et al. Vitamin D insufficiency and prognosis in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28:4191-8; PMID:20713849; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.28.6674>
116. Tretli S, Schwartz GG, Torjesen PA, Røsbak TE. Serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and survival in Norwegian patients with cancer of breast, colon, lung, and lymphoma: a population-based study. *Cancer Causes Control* 2012; 23:363-70; PMID:22193397; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9885-6>
117. Hagenau T, Vest R, Gissel TN, Poulsen CS, Erlandsen M, Mosekilde L, et al. Global vitamin D levels in relation to age, gender, skin pigmentation and latitude: an ecologic meta-regression analysis. *Osteoporos Int* 2009; 20:133-40; PMID:18458986; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00198-008-0626-y>
118. Snellman G, Melhus H, Gedeberg R, Byberg L, Berglund L, Wernroth L, et al. Determining vitamin D status: a comparison between commercially available assays. *PLoS One* 2010; 5:e11555; PMID:20644628; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011555>
119. Ponsonby AL, McMichael A, van der Mei I. Ultraviolet radiation and autoimmune disease: insights from epidemiological research. *Toxicology* 2002; 181:182-71-8; PMID:12505287; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X\(02\)00257-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X(02)00257-3)
120. Becklund BR, Severson KS, Vang SV, DeLuca HF. UV radiation suppresses experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis independent of vitamin D production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010; 107:6418-23; PMID:20308557; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001119107>
121. Lucas RM, Ponsonby AL, Dear K, Valery PC, Pender MP, Taylor BV, et al. Sun exposure and vitamin D are independent risk factors for CNS demyelination. *Neurology* 2011; 76:540-8; PMID:21300969; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e32831820a93d>
122. Bäärnhielm M, Hedström AK, Kockum I, Sundqvist E, Gustafsson SA, Hillert J, et al. Sunlight is associated with decreased multiple sclerosis risk: no interaction with human leukocyte antigen-DRB1*15. *Eur J Neurol* 2012; PMID:22289117; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2011.03650.x>
123. Grant WB, Peiris AN. Possible role of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in black-white health disparities in the United States. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2010; 11:617-28; PMID:21029996; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2010.03.013>
124. Signorello LB, Shi J, Cai Q, Zheng W, Williams SM, Long J, et al. Common variation in vitamin D pathway genes predicts circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D Levels among African Americans. *PLoS One* 2011; 6:e28623; PMID:22205958; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028623>
125. Kaufman MD, Johnson SK, Moyer D, Bivens J, Norton HJ. Multiple sclerosis: severity and progression rate in African Americans compared with whites. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2003; 82:582-90; PMID: 12872014; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.PHM.0000078199.99484.E2>
126. Hart PH, Gorman S, Finlay-Jones JJ. Modulation of the immune system by UV radiation: more than just the effects of vitamin D? *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011; 11:584-96; PMID:21852793; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nri3045>
127. Norval M. The mechanisms and consequences of ultraviolet-induced immunosuppression in the skin and eye. *Eye Contact Lens* 2011; 37:176-84; PMID:21709488; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ICL.0b013e32812d7573>
128. Paunel AN, Dejam A, Thelen S, Kirsch M, Horstjann M, Gharini P, et al. Enzyme-independent nitric oxide formation during UVA challenge of human skin: characterization, molecular sources, and mechanisms. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2005; 38:606-15; PMID: 15683717; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.11.018>
129. Opländer C, Volkmar CM, Paunel-Görgülü A, van Faassen EE, Heiss C, Kelm M, et al. Whole body UVA irradiation lowers systemic blood pressure by release of nitric oxide from intracutaneous photolabile nitric oxide derivatives. *Circ Res* 2009; 105:1031-40; PMID: 19797169; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.207019>
130. Suschek CV, Opländer C, van Faassen EE. Non-enzymatic NO production in human skin: effect of UVA on cutaneous NO stores. *Nitric Oxide* 2010; 22:120-35; PMID:19879370; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.niox.2009.10.006>
131. Weller R. Nitric oxide: a key mediator in cutaneous physiology. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2003; 28:511-4; PMID:12950342; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2230.2003.01365.x>
132. Rodriguez J, Maloney RE, Rassaf T, Bryan NS, Feilisch M. Chemical nature of nitric oxide storage forms in rat vascular tissue. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003; 100:336-41; PMID:12502793; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0234600100>
133. Mowbray M, McLintock S, Weerakoon R, Lomatschinsky N, Jones S, Rossi AG, et al. Enzyme-independent NO stores in human skin: quantification and influence of UV radiation. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009; 129:834-42; PMID:18818674; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2008.296>
134. Suschek CV, Schroeder P, Aust O, Sies H, Mahotka C, Horstjann M, et al. The presence of nitrite during UVA irradiation protects from apoptosis. *FASEB J* 2003; 17:2342-4; PMID:14525939
135. Feilisch M, Kolb-Bachofen V, Liu D, Lundberg JO, Revelo LP, Suschek CV, et al. Is sunlight good for our heart? *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31:1041-5; PMID:20215123; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq069>
136. Lundberg JO, Weitzberg E. NO-synthase independent NO generation in mammals. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2010; 396:39-45; PMID:20494108; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.02.136>

137. Lundberg JO, Weitzberg E, Gladwin MT. The nitrate-nitrite-nitric oxide pathway in physiology and therapeutics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2008; 7:156-67; PMID:18167491; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd2466>
138. Shekhter AB, Serezhenkov VA, Rudenko TG, Pekshev AV, Vanin AF. Beneficial effect of gaseous nitric oxide on the healing of skin wounds. *Nitric Oxide* 2005; 12:210-9; PMID:15917214; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.niox.2005.03.004>
139. Ghaffari A, Miller CC, McMullin B, Ghahary A. Potential application of gaseous nitric oxide as a topical antimicrobial agent. *Nitric Oxide* 2006; 14:21-9; PMID:16188471; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.niox.2005.08.003>
140. Ghaffari A, Jalili R, Ghaffari M, Miller C, Ghahary A. Efficacy of gaseous nitric oxide in the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections. *Wound Repair Regen* 2007; 15:368-77; PMID:17537124; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2007.00239.x>
141. Morcos E, Carlsson S, Weitzberg E, Wiklund NP, Lundberg JO. Inhibition of cancer cell replication by inorganic nitrite. *Nutr Cancer* 2010; 62:501-4; PMID:20432171; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01635580903441170>
142. Slominski A, Tobin DJ, Shibahara S, Wortsman J. Melanin pigmentation in mammalian skin and its hormonal regulation. *Physiol Rev* 2004; 84:1155-228; PMID:15383650; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00044.2003>
143. Kuritzky LA, Finlay-Jones JJ, Hart PH. The controversial role of vitamin D in the skin: immunosuppression vs. photoprotection. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2008; 33:167-70; PMID:18205854; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2230.2007.02632.x>
144. Mason RS, Sequeira VB, Dixon KM, Gordon-Thomson C, Pobre K, Dilley A, et al. Photoprotection by 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and analogs: further studies on mechanisms and implications for UV-damage. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2010; 121:164-8; PMID:20399269; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2010.03.082>