

# Impact of chronic kidney disease on the prognosis of transcatheter aortic valve replacement in patients with aortic stenosis

A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis

Jialu Wang, MM<sup>a</sup>, Shidong Liu, MM<sup>b</sup>, Xiangxiang Han, MM<sup>a</sup>, Zunhui Wan, MM<sup>b</sup>, Yang Chen, MM<sup>a</sup>, Hao Chen, MM<sup>a</sup>, Bing Song, MB<sup>b,\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** The prognosis of patients with aortic stenosis, in conjunction with chronic kidney disease (CKD), after transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) remains unclear. This study assessed the impact of CKD, and different stages of CKD, on prognosis of patients undergoing TAVR.

**Methods:** The protocol was written following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols statement guidelines. As of June 2021, we performed a comprehensive literature search on studies related to CKD and TAVR, using databases such as PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. Two researchers independently screened the literature, extracted the data, and evaluated the risk of bias in the included studies. Then, Stata 15.0 software was used for meta-analysis.

**Results and Conclusion:** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of CKD and different stages of CKD on the prognosis of patients with TAVR. It is hoped to provide a comprehensive reference for clinical practice and related clinical trials in the future.

**Abbreviations:** AS = aortic stenosis, CKD = chronic kidney disease, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, TAVR = transcatheter aortic valve replacement.

Keywords: chronic kidney disease, meta-analysis, prognosis, transcatheter aortic valve replacement

JW and SL contributed equally to this work.

INPLASY registration number: INPLASY 202160023.

Ethical approval or informed consent is not required for this meta-analysis as this study is a secondary study based on published original data.

This study was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (Grant No. 20JR10RA689).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

<sup>a</sup> The First Clinical Medical College of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, <sup>b</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, First Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Bing Song, Cardiovascular Surgery, First Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China (e-mail: songbinldyy@163.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang J, Liu S, Han X, Wan Z, Chen Y, Chen H, Song B. Impact of chronic kidney disease on the prognosis of transcatheter aortic valve replacement in patients with aortic stenosis: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2021;100:29(e26696).

Received: 29 June 2021 / Accepted: 7 July 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000026696

# 1. Introduction

With a rise in the global aging population, aortic stenosis (AS) has become one of the most common valvular diseases.<sup>[1]</sup> Apart from affecting patient quality of life, severe AS can bring about death, in a relatively short period, if not treated with valve replacement.<sup>[2]</sup> In the last decade, transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) has gained popularity as an alternative to surgical aortic valve replacement for patients, who are either inoperable or are at high- to intermediate-risk for surgery.<sup>[3,4]</sup>

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) often coexists with AS, likely due to similar risk factors and pathophysiology.<sup>[5]</sup> Recently, CKD was reported in approximately 75% of patients with severe AS.<sup>[6]</sup> Mechanistically, it was demonstrated that CKD accelerates dystrophy calcification in the aortic valve, which contributes to severe AS 10 to 20 years earlier than in the general population.<sup>[7]</sup> It is well known that CKD is detrimental to the course of valvular heart disease and prognosis of cardiovascular intervention.<sup>[8,9]</sup> Moreover, the presence of CKD is also shown to increase both short- and long-term mortality in surgical aortic valve replacement patients, with short-term mortality reaching as high as 21%.<sup>[10]</sup>

The prognostic effects of CKD on TAVR, however, remain unclear. Moreover, little is known about the difference of prognosis among different CKD stages. At present, there is no meta-analysis on the outcome of preoperative CKD on long-term prognosis of TAVR. Therefore, our meta-analysis aimed to investigate the outcome of CKD, and different stages of CKD, on the short-, medium-, and long-term prognosis of TAVR patients.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Protocol registration

The protocol for this systematic review was registered on INPLASY (INPLASY 202160023) and is available in full on the inplasy.com. This protocol that has been reported is based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) guideline.<sup>[11]</sup>

## 2.2. Study selection

Two researchers conducted an extensive and systematic computerized literature review, using the PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and Web of Science databases, and searched from the inception of indicated databases till June 2021, using various combinations of the following free text and key terms: "TAVI," "TAVR," "transcatheter aortic valve implantation," "transcatheter aortic valve replacement," "chronic kidney disease," "chronic kidney failure," and "CKD." To further ensure no relevant publications were overlooked, we also manually searched the list of references for publications that might meet our requirements.

#### 2.3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

- 1. Population: patients diagnosed with AS and treated with TAVR.
- 2. Intervention group: patients with AS complicated CKD treated with TAVR.
- 3. Comparison group: patients with AS without CKD treated with TAVR.
- 4. Outcome measures: The primary outcome of our study was all-cause mortality after TAVR at the short-(30-day), medium-(1-year), and long-term (2-year) follow-ups. Secondary out-comes included stroke, bleeding, permanent pacemaker implantation, acute kidney injury, and major vascular complications at the short-term (30-day) follow-up. All outcomes after TAVR were defined according to the standard described by Valve Academic Research Consortium.
- 5. Study design: randomized controlled trial (RCT) and cohort studies.

The exclusion criteria included: repeated publication or overlapping of patients; unclear report or unable to calculate relevant results based on published data; conference, reviews, case reports, and editorials; non-English-language studies.

#### 2.4. Data extraction

Two researchers independently screened the literature, extracted the data, and cross-checked it. Differences, if any, shall be resolved through discussion or negotiation with a third party. During literature screening, the title of the literature was first to read. After excluding the irrelevant literature, the abstract and full text were further read to determine whether to include the literature or not. If necessary, contact the original study author via email or telephone to obtain uncertain but important information for the study. The data extraction content mainly includes: study characteristics: first author, country, publication time; patient characteristics: sample size, age, gender, diabetes, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, chronic pulmonary disease, and left ventricular ejection fraction; surgical characteristics: including surgical approach, valve type, logistic Euro-SCORE, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons score; outcome: including all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, acute kidney injury, bleeding, and major vascular complications; key elements of bias risk assessment.

#### 2.5. Quality of evidence assessment

The risk of bias in the included studies was independently evaluated by 2 investigators, and the results were cross-checked. The RCT risk of bias was assessed using the RCT risk of bias assessment tool recommended in the Cochrane Handbook 5.1.0. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used for the bias risk assessment. In case of differences, they shall be resolved through discussion or with the assistance of a third party.

## 2.6. Statistical analysis

The frequency of categorical variables and the standardized means with standard deviations of continuous variables were used for descriptive analysis. The risk ratio and 95% confidence interval of the results were performed using a meta-analysis for random effect models. The evaluation of the heterogeneity of different studies used the Cochrane Q-statistic to calculate the I<sup>2</sup> values, where <25%, 25% to 50%, and >50%, respectively, indicated low, medium, and high heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis further explored significant heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed by funnel plot asymmetric analysis and Egger regression test. *P* values were bilateral, *P*<.05 was set as statistical significance threshold. Stata15.0 statistical analysis software was used for data analysis.

# 3. Discussion

CKD is a chronic renal structure or function disorder caused by various primary or secondary causes. Its prevalence is increasing year by year, and it has become a global public health problem. Studies have shown that there is a strong association between AS and CKD, and in the inoperable/high-risk patient group of the PARTNER trial, about 70% of patients who received TAVR had moderate (58%) or severe (12%) CKD preoperatively.<sup>[12]</sup> The frequent association between AS and CKD is the result of a malignant physiopathological process in which renal disease promotes aortic valve calcification and valve stenosis leads to reduced renal blood flow that further impair renal function.<sup>[13]</sup> Since the first TAVR procedure was successfully performed in 2002, it has become the standard of care for patients with severe AS who cannot undergo surgery and effective alternative treatment for patients with surgically high-risk AS. Currently, with the extension of the TAVR indication to patients with severe AS who are at low surgical risk, the number of operations for TAVR may increase further in the future. Therefore, it is important to identify clinical risk factors independent of prognosis. However, the relationship between the presence of CKD and the clinical prognosis of TAVR patients has always

been controversial. Therefore, this study conducted a systematic review of relevant studies, aiming to study the effect of CKD and different stages of CKD on the prognosis of patients with TAVR and to provide an evidence-based basis for clinical practice.

# **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: Zuihui Wan.

Data curation: Jialu Wang and Shidong Liu.

Methodology: Yang Chen and Hao Chen.

Project administration: Bing Song.

Writing - original draft: Jialu Wang and Shidong Liu.

Writing - review & editing: Zunhui Wan and Xiangxiang Han.

# References

- Kanwar A, Thaden JJ, Nkomo VT. Management of patients with aortic valve stenosis. Mayo Clin Proc 2018;93:488–508.
- [2] Clark MA, Arnold SV, Duhay FG, et al. Five-year clinical and economic outcomes among patients with medically managed severe aortic stenosis: results from a Medicare claims analysis. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2012;5:697–704.
- [3] Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, et al. Transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement in intermediate-risk patients. N Engl J Med 2016;374:1609–20.
- [4] Kapadia SR, Leon MB, Makkar RR, et al. 5-year outcomes of transcatheter aortic valve replacement compared with standard

treatment for patients with inoperable aortic stenosis (PARTNER 1): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2015;385:2485–91.

- [5] Iung B, Vahanian A. Degenerative calcific aortic stenosis: a natural history. Heart 2012;98(suppl 4):iv7–13.
- [6] Dellegrottaglie S, Saran R, Gillespie B, et al. Prevalence and predictors of cardiovascular calcium in chronic kidney disease (from the Prospective Longitudinal RRI-CKD Study). Am J Cardiol 2006;98:571–6.
- [7] London GM, Pannier B, Marchais SJ, et al. Calcification of the aortic valve in the dialyzed patient. J Am Soc Nephrol 2000;11:778–83.
- [8] Gansevoort RT, Correa-Rotter R, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk: epidemiology, mechanisms, and prevention. Lancet 2013;382:339–52.
- [9] Kahn MR, Robbins MJ, Kim MC, et al. Management of cardiovascular disease in patients with kidney disease. Nat Rev Cardiol 2013;10:261– 73.
- [10] Herzog CA, Ma JZ, Collins AJ. Long-term survival of dialysis patients in the United States with prosthetic heart valves: should ACC/AHA practice guidelines on valve selection be modified? Circulation 2002;105:1336– 41.
- [11] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.
- [12] Thourani VH, Forcillo J, Beohar N, et al. Impact of preoperative chronic kidney disease in 2,531 high-risk and inoperable patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement in the PARTNER trial. Ann Thorac Surg 2016;102:1172–80.
- [13] Franzone A, Stortecky S, Pilgrim T, et al. Incidence and impact of renal dysfunction on clinical outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Int J Cardiol 2018;250:73–9.