Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jan-Hendrik Buhk, Asklepios Kliniken Hamburg, Germany

REVIEWED BY

Guiyun Zhang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, China Gang Wang, Southern Medical University, China Pei Wu, First Affliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xinjian Yang yangxinjian@voiceoftiantan.org Shiqing Mu mu_sq1216@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Endovascular and Interventional Neurology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

RECEIVED 07 April 2022 ACCEPTED 12 July 2022 PUBLISHED 11 August 2022

CITATION

Zhang Y, Peng Q, Zhou Y, Wang C, Zhang L, Yang X and Mu S (2022) Outcomes of reconstructive endovascular treatment of vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysms with intramural hematoma. *Front. Neurol.* 13:914878. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.914878

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Peng, Zhou, Wang, Zhang, Yang and Mu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Outcomes of reconstructive endovascular treatment of vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysms with intramural hematoma

Yisen Zhang[†], Qichen Peng[†], Yangyang Zhou, Chao Wang, Longhui Zhang, Xinjian Yang^{*} and Shiqing Mu^{*}

Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Beijing Neurosurgical Institute, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Background: Vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysms (VBDAs) with an intramural hematoma (IMH) usually cause symptoms because of mass effect and grow in size over time. Clinical outcomes are generally poor.

Objective: This study aimed to examine outcomes of reconstructive endovascular treatment (EVT) in patients with VBDAs with IMH. Safety and effectiveness were compared between flow diverters (FDs) and conventional stents.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed the clinical and radiological data of 36 VBDAs with IMH in 36 patients who underwent EVT with either FDs or conventional stents from January 2012 to December 2020 at our institution.

Results: Among the 36 study patients, 20 were treated with FDs and 16 with conventional stents. Incidence of procedure-related complications did not significantly differ between the two stents. IMH growth occurred after EVT in a significantly higher proportion of conventional stent group aneurysms (zero vs. 31.3% [5/16]; p = 0.012). Among the five aneurysms with IMHs that grew, all recurred. Change in IMH size after EVT was significantly lower in the FD group (-2.7 vs. +8.1%, p = 0.036). However, after the recurrent aneurysms were removed from the conventional stent group, change in IMH size did not significantly differ between the two groups (-2.7 vs. +1.0%, p = 0.332). The proportion of patients who experienced an improvement in mRS score after EVT was significantly higher in the FD group (60 vs. 25%, p = 0.036).

Conclusion: IMHs in VBDAs stop growing after successful reconstructive EVT. Although both FD and conventional stent treatment are effective, FD treatment may be superior based on clinical outcomes and effect on IMH size.

KEYWORDS

intracranial aneurysm, dissection, intramural hematoma, vertebrobasilar artery, endovascular treatment

Introduction

Spontaneous intracranial vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysm (VBDA) is an important cause of subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) and posterior circulation ischemic stroke in young and middle-aged adults (1, 2). Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) is the gold standard for VBDA diagnosis and follow-up; however, it is associated with complications such as iatrogenic arterial dissection and is relatively limited in showing arterial wall characteristics such as intramural hematoma (IMH) (3). In contrast, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can depict IMH and other findings associated with dissection (4, 5). IMH appears to be crucial for dissection progression and generation of symptoms (6).

At present, there are two main hypotheses regarding IMH formation. One theory stipulates that the hematoma begins as circulating blood enters the arterial wall after sudden disruption of both the inner elastic plate and tunica media; another hypothesizes that it originates from the vasa vasorum (7). Aneurysms with IMH will continue to progress, which can be neurologically devastating or even fatal. Although surgery for these aneurysms is theoretically more effective, the operation is high-risk and technically difficult (6, 8). Endovascular treatment (EVT) is generally considered the first-line option in VBDA management; however, its effect on IMH growth in these aneurysms remains unclear (9). This study aimed to examine outcomes in patients with VBDAs with IMH who underwent reconstructive EVT. We also aimed to compare safety and effectiveness between treatment with flow diverters (FDs) and treatment with conventional stents.

Materials and methods

Patient selection and data collection

This retrospective study was approved by the ethics committee of Beijing Tiantan Hospital. We searched our aneurysm database, which includes patients diagnosed with intracranial aneurysms between January 2012 and December 2020, and identified patients with VBDA with IMH. Patients who met the following criteria were eligible for study inclusion: (1) VBDA confirmed by DSA and MRI; (2) unequivocal evidence of IMH on MRI (IMH >5 mm in the plane perpendicular to the long axis of the vessel); (10) (3) EVT was performed; and (4) follow-up MRI was performed at least 6 months after treatment. We excluded patients with arteritis, fibromuscular dysplasia, underlying malignancy, iatrogenic aneurysm, pseudoaneurysm, or VBDA that had been previously treated. We also excluded those who had no clinical followup. The study flow chart is shown in Figure 1. Hospital records and radiological studies were reviewed. Recorded data included patient age, sex, comorbidities, smoking and alcohol history, and symptoms; treatment strategy; modified Rankin scale (mRS)

score at presentation, discharge and follow-up; and size of aneurysm and IMH. IMH size was defined as the maximum diameter of the IMH on axial MRI.

Endovascular treatment strategy

Treatment for each patient was discussed and rendered after consensus was reached among the neurointerventionalists at the daily peer-reviewed endovascular conference in our hospital. Decisions were based on imaging parameters and clinical symptoms. Patients scheduled for reconstructive treatment received dual antiplatelet therapy (75 mg of clopidogrel and 100 mg of aspirin daily) for 5 days before treatment. Endovascular procedures were performed under general anesthesia. Full heparinization was used during the procedures to maintain an activated clotting time 2.5 times greater than baseline. In the early stage of the study, FDs were not available in our hospital. During that period, we preferred to place coils in the aneurysmal lumen after conventional stent placement if possible; if there was not sufficient space in the lumen for coiling, we treated with conventional stenting alone. Multiple devices were used in patients with long lesions or large aneurysms. The following conventional stents were used: Enterprise (Cerenovus, Raynham, Massachusetts, USA), LVIS (MicroVention, Tustin, California, USA), Neuroform EZ (Stryker Neurovascular, Fremont, California, USA), and Solitaire (Covidien, Irvine, California, USA). The stent delivery catheter was placed distal to the dissection and a microcatheter was placed within the VBDA. After deploying coils, the stent was released. The FD used was the Pipeline embolization device (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA). In patients who underwent FD placement, a microcatheter was placed into the aneurysm lumen for coil placement under microwire guidance. A triaxial support system was used to access the aneurysm with the FD introduced through a Marksman microcatheter (EV3, Irvine, California, USA). FDs were delivered to satisfactorily reconstruct the parent artery and then deployed. In patients undergoing treatment with FDs, we used the stent-jailing technique to coil the aneurysm or eccentric lumen if the diameter of the aneurysm or eccentric lumen exceeded 10 mm. One side of the vertebral artery was occluded to reduce aneurysm flow and avoid postoperative bleeding for giant vertebrobasilar junction aneurysms. After EVT, patients in the conventional stent group received 75 mg clopidogrel daily for 6 weeks and 100 mg aspirin daily for 6 months; those in the FD group received clopidogrel for 3 months and will continue taking aspirin for life.

Follow-up and clinical outcomes

Patient data was obtained from hospital and outpatient records and *via* telephone. Clinical outcome was measured using the mRS score. Favorable clinical outcome was defined as mRS



score 0–2; poor clinical outcome was defined as mRS score 4– 6. Angiographic results were determined immediately after the procedure and during follow-up. DSA follow-up was scheduled between 3 and 6 months after EVT. Results were classified using the O'Kelly–Marotta (OKM) grading scale (A, total filling; B, subtotal filling; C, entry remnant; D, no filling). Favorable angiographic outcome was defined as OKM grades C and D; unfavorable angiographic outcome was defined as OKM grades A and B. Aneurysm recurrence during follow-up was defined as an increase in contrast filling within the aneurysm. MRI followup was scheduled 1, 2, and 5 years after EVT. A >10% increase in IMH size was defined as IMH growth (a change <10% may be due to manual error or imaging artifact).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables are presented as means with standard deviation.

Categorical variables are reported as proportions. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess normality of variables. Patients and aneurysms were grouped according to type of treatment (conventional or FD stent). Group comparisons were performed using the independent samples *t*-test, χ^2 test, or Fisher exact test as apprpriate. *P* <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

In total, 36 VBDAs with IMH in 36 patients who underwent reconstructive EVT were included for analysis. All patients were symptomatic at the time of treatment and all aneurysms were unruptured. Twenty were treated with FDs and 16 with conventional stents. Mean patient age in the FD and conventional stent groups was 47.1 and 55.8 years, respectively; the difference was not significant. Similarly, the groups did not significantly differ in terms of other baseline characteristics. One patient in each group had an unfavorable mRS score at admission: the FD group patient presented with left limb

TABLE 1 Patient and aneurysm characteristics.

	-		
	FD group	Conventional stents group	Significance (P-Value)
Patients	20	16	
Mean age (yrs)	47.1 ± 16.7	55.8 ± 7.3	0.063
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (25%)	1 (6.3%)	0.147
Co-morbidities, n(%)			
Hypertension	10 (50%)	11 (68.8%)	0.32
Diabetes	2 (10%)	1 (6.3%)	1
Smoking	8 (40%)	7 (43.8%)	1
Drinking	6 (30%)	3 (18.8%)	0.7
Presentation, n(%)			
Headache	9 (45%)	9 (56.2%)	
Dizziness	3 (15%)	3 (18.8%)	
Brainstem compression	5 (25%)	2 (12.5%)	
Stroke	3 (15%)	2 (12.5%)	
Mean aneurysm diameter	18.4 ± 8.3	17.3 ± 7.0	0.697
Aneurysm size, n(%)			0.935
Small (<10 mm)	2 (10.5%)	2 (12.5%)	
Large (10-25 mm)	13 (68.4%)	10 (62.5%)	
Giant (>25 mm)	4 (21.1%)	4 (25%)	
Location, <i>n</i> (%)			0.702
BA	2 (10%)	2 (12.5%)	
VBA	3 (15%)	1 (6.3%)	
VA	15 (75%)	13 (81.3%)	
Mean IMH size (mm)	15.5 ± 7.3	17.9 ± 10.0	0.406

FD, flow diverter; BA, basilar artery; VBA, vertebrobasilar artery; VA, vertebral artery; IMH, intramural hematoma.

weakness, facial asymmetry and weakness, adverse speech, and tinnitus with mRS score 4; the conventional stent group patient presented with dizziness and unstable gait with mRS score 3. Patient and aneurysm characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Postprocedural angiographic and clinical results

EVT was successful in all patients. Thirteen patients (65%) in the FD group were treated with stenting alone and seven (35%) with stent-assisted coiling. In the conventional stent group, four patients (25%) were treated with stenting alone and 12 (75%) with stent-assisted coiling. The difference in type of EVT between groups was significant (p = 0.023). In the FD group, 17 patients (85%) were treated with one stent, compared with only five patients (31.3%) in the conventional stent group (p = 0.002). On immediate postoperative angiography, the rate of favorable angiographic outcome (OKM grades C and D) was significantly lower in the FD group than the conventional stent group (15 vs. 66.7%,

TABLE 2 Angiographic and clinical outcomes.

	FD group	Conventional stents group	Significance (P-Value)
Complication, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (15%)	1 (6.3%)	0.613
Clinical follow-up time	42.1	51.3	0.063
(Mean, months)			
MRI follow-up time	24.4	24.7	0.958
(Mean, months)			
Angiographic follow-up time	9.7	14.3	0.198
(Mean, months)			
Treatment modality, <i>n</i> (%)	0.041		
Stents alone	13 (65%)	4 (25%)	
Stents with coils	7 (35%)	12 (75%)	
Number of stents implanted	,		
n(%)			
1	17 (85%)	5 (31.3%)	0.002
2	2 (10%)	6 (37.5%)	0.103
3	1 (5%)	5 (31.3%)	0.069
Immediate angiographic	20	16	0.004
Favorable results, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (15%)	10 (66.7%)	
Unfavorable results, <i>n</i> (%)	17 (85%)	5 (33.3%)	
Last angiographic	15	14	0.390
Favorable results, <i>n</i> (%)	13 (86.7%)	10 (71.4%)	
Unfavorable results, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (13.3%)	4 (28.6%)	
Recurrence, <i>n</i> (%)	0 (0%)	5 (31.3)	0.044
Change of IMH size, <i>n</i> (%)	-2.7%	8.1%	0.036
Follow-up of clinical	20	16	0.637
outcome			
Favorable results, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (90%)	13 (81.3%)	
Unfavorable results, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (10%)	3 (18.8%)	
Change in mRS score, <i>n</i> (%)			
Improved	12 (60%)	4 (25%)	0.036
No change	6 (30%)	8 (50%)	0.307
Worsened	2 (10%)	4 (25%)	0.374

FD, flow diverter; IMH, intramural hematoma; mRS, modified Rankin scale.

Boldface type indicates statistical significance.

p = 0.004). At hospital discharge, no patient in the FD group had a poor clinical outcome; one conventional stent patient did.

Procedure-related complications occurred in three FD group patients (15%) and one conventional stent group patient (6.3%); however, the difference was not significant (p = 0.613). The complications were two hemorrhages and one ischemic event in the FD group and one hemorrhage in the conventional stent group. Angiographic follow-up was available in all patients. Mean angiographic follow-up was 9.7 months in the FD group and 14.3 months in the conventional stent group. At last follow-up, the proportion of patients who achieved favorable angiographic outcome (OKM grades C and D) was higher



in the FD group than the conventional stent group, but the difference was not significant (86.7 vs. 71.4%, p = 0.390). Clinical follow-up was available in all patients. Mean clinical follow-up was 42 months in the FD group and 51 months in the conventional stent group. Favorable clinical outcome (mRS score 0–2) was achieved at last follow-up in 18 patients (90%) in the FD group and 13 patients (81.3%) in the conventional stent group (p = 0.637). The proportion of patients who experienced an improvement in mRS score after EVT was significantly higher in the FD group (60 vs. 25%, p = 0.036). Angiographic and clinical outcomes are shown in Table 2.

Description of change in IMH size

Before EVT, IMH size did not significantly differ between the FD and conventional stent groups (15.5 mm vs. 17.9 mm; p = 0.406). Mean MRI follow-up was 24.5 months (range, 3–80). IMH growth occurred after EVT in a significantly higher proportion of conventional stent group aneurysms (zero vs. 31.3% [5/16], p = 0.012). Among the five aneurysms with IMHs that grew, initial IMH size was >20 mm and all recurred after treatment. Change in IMH size after treatment was significantly lower in the FD group than the conventional stent group (-2.7% vs. +8.1%, p = 0.036). However, after the recurrent aneurysms were removed from the conventional stent group, change in IMH size did not significantly differ between the two groups (-2.7 vs. +1.0%, p = 0.332; Figure 2).

Illustrative cases

Case 1

A patient presented with a 6-month history of headaches. DSA showed a giant right vertebral artery dissecting aneurysm. MRI showed a 20.8 mm IMH. The patient was treated using three 4.5 mm \times 37 mm Enterprise stents overlap without complication. Immediately after treatment, angiography showed satisfactory reconstruction of the vertebral artery and the patient's headache had improved. Two years after treatment, DSA showed aneurysm recurrence and MRI showed a 27 mm IMH, which had increased from 24.2 mm 1 year prior (Figure 3).

Case 2

A patient presented with a 10-month history of dizziness. DSA showed a large right vertebral artery dissecting aneurysm. MRI showed a 12.3 mm IMH. The patient was treated with a $4.5 \text{ mm} \times 35 \text{ mm}$ Pipeline embolization device without complication. Immediately after treatment, angiography showed contrast stasis within the aneurysm and the patient reported symptom relief. One-year after treatment, DSA showed satisfactory arterial reconstruction and complete aneurysm obliteration. MRI showed no change in IMH size over 3 years of follow-up (Figure 4).

Discussion

Key results

This study examined a series of patients with VBDAs with IMH who underwent reconstructive EVT using FDs or conventional stents. In the FD group, the aneurysm recurrence rate was lower and the proportion of patients who experienced improvement in mRS score after treatment was higher than those in the conventional stent group. More importantly, IMH size continued to increase after conventional stent treatment in five patients and the aneurysm in all of these patients recurred. In both the FD and conventional stent groups, the IMH in aneurysms that did not recur stopped growing. Therefore, angiographic aneurysmal occlusion after reconstructive EVT may impair or prevent IMH growth.

Natural history of the IMH in VBDAs

IMH usually results from extensive damage to the internal elastic lamina, rupture of neovessels, or penetration of blood into the vessel wall (11). Despite numerous pathological studies, the mechanism of aneurysmal IMH growth remains unknown. Growth may be related to bleeding from the vasa vasorum (VV), parent arterial inflow, and/or inflammation. Krings et al. suggested a mechanism of repeated subadventitial hemorrhage



FIGURE 3

(A) Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) showed the right vertebral artery aneurysm. (B) DSA immediately after treatment showed satisfactory arterial reconstruction. (C) DSA 2 years after treatment showed aneurysm recurrence. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated intramural hematoma growth from before treatment (D) to 1 year (E) and 2 years (F) after.



FIGURE 4

Preoperative anteroposterior (A) and three-dimensional reconstruction (B) digital subtraction angiography (DSA) showed a left vertebral artery aneurysm. (C) DSA immediately after treatment showed that contrast stasis within the aneurysm. (D) One year after treatment, DSA showed satisfactory arterial reconstruction and complete aneurysm obliteration. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated stability of the intramural hematoma over time [(E) before treatment; (F) 1 year after; (G) 3 years after].

from the VV (12). The VV are composed of small arteries, capillaries, and veins that supply the walls of large vessels and serve as a conduit for macrophages and inflammatory, angiogenic, and other factors (13, 14). One postmortem study suggested that the VV are more developed in vessels with a thick wall to meet their higher metabolic needs; the same study

also reported that approximately half of disease-free intracranial arteries have VV and that they are frequently found in the vertebrobasilar artery (15), which may explain why IMHs are common in VBDAs.

Nagahiro et al. reported different findings: their examination of VBDAs with large IMH showed no evidence of hemorrhage

around the vessels in the aneurysmal wall; however, intrathrombotic vascular channels were observed. Therefore, blood flow between the parent artery and the intrathrombotic vascular channels may explain continuous IMH growth (16). This agrees with prior studies that found recanalizing vessels within the thrombus (6). Yasui et al. reported similar findings of numerous clefts in old thrombus near the wall of the distal aneurysmal neck that seemed to connect the parent artery lumen with the most peripheral fresh hemorrhage (17). Ferracci et al. also reported that parent arterial inflow rather than VV may be the cause of IMH and that shear stress on the edge of the aneurysm neck or at the vessel dissection point might drive dissection, leading to recurrent intramural hemorrhage (18). Furthermore, inflammation is involved in thrombus organization, vessel dissection, and neovascularization (12, 19, 20).

In our study, IMH growth stopped after successful reconstructive EVT but continued in aneurysms that recurred. This supports the hypothesis that parent arterial inflow contributes to IMH growth. Vascular remodeling may block parent arterial inflow; however, blood from the aneurysmal neck can penetrate into the vessel wall when aneurysms recanalize.

Treatment strategy for VBDAs with IMH

Aneurysms with IMH progress without exception in a relatively short time, which usually leads to a poor outcome (6). Considering the potentially fatal consequences, early intervention is necessary. Before the development of EVT, surgical treatment was the mainstay. Drake et al. reported outcomes in 56 surgically treated patients with fusiform posterior circulation aneurysms. Treatments included aneurysm clipping, wrapping, or proximal ligation with or without bypass surgery. Thirteen died and four had severe neurologic deficits (21). Considering the high morbidity and mortality of surgical treatment, EVT has become widely preferred. The introduction of FDs has enabled endovascular reconstruction treatment and vascular remodeling for complex dissecting aneurysms with IMH. Treatment with FDs results in a high complete aneurysm occlusion rate. However, limited information is available regarding IMH outcome after reconstructive EVT. Moreover, the safety and effectiveness of FDs in the treatment of these aneurysms is unclear and has not been compared with conventional treatment.

We found similar favorable clinical outcome rates in patients treated using FDs and conventional stents (90 and 81.3%, respectively; p = 0.637). This is in line with favorable outcome rates of 85.7 and 92.0% reported in two recent studies of reconstructive EVT for VBDAs (22, 23). Considering that all aneurysms in our study had a large IMH, the efficacy of both FDs and conventional stents was acceptable. The rate of favorable angiographic outcome was significantly lower in the FD group

than the conventional stent group immediately after treatment (15 vs. 66.7%, p = 0.004); however, at last angiographic followup, the same rate was actually higher in the FD group (86.7 vs. 71.4%, p = 0.390) but the difference was not significant, possibly because of the small sample size. This finding agrees with prior studies that reported higher long-term occlusion rates in the FD group (24). In addition, we found that the recurrence rate was higher in the conventional stent group (zero vs. 31.3%, p = 0.044). Jeon et al. (25) studied 47 patients with VBDAs who underwent stent-assisted coil embolization with conventional stents; recurrence occurred in 10 (21.2%). In another study of posterior circulation aneurysms treated with FDs, the retreatment rate was 8.4% (26).

Compared to conventional stenting (even with adjunctive coiling), FD treatment is superior in terms of the longterm occlusion rate, primarily because FDs completely seal the aneurysm neck and divert flow away from the aneurysm, which leads to aneurysmal thrombosis and shrinkage (27, 28). The major concern with use of FDs for posterior circulation aneurysms is their high complication rate. Nonetheless, some studies have shown favorable outcomes. Zhang et al. (29) compared the incidence of complication between FD and stentassisted coiling treatment of unruptured posterior circulation non-saccular aneurysms; the two groups did not differ in terms of periprocedural complications, technical events, or delayed complications. In a study of large or giant non-saccular vertebrobasilar aneurysms, similar results were obtained (24). Natarajan et al. (30) reported 11 patients with posterior circulation aneurysms who underwent FD treatment; only one experienced a perforator stroke while the others had a good outcome. They suggested that flow diversion is evolving to become a safer treatment option.

VBDAs with IMH usually present with progressive mass effect because of IMH growth. The true lumen may become more stenotic in the presence of an IMH, which may lead to embolic ischemic events (10, 31, 32). Therefore, recurrence of these aneurysms may be more dangerous. Our study also illustrates this point: five patients treated with conventional stenting experienced aneurysm recurrence. The IMH continued to grow in all five and two of them died. Considering the lower recurrence rate and better symptom improvement in the patients treated with FDs, early FD treatment of these aneurysms should be highly considered.

Hypothesis of IMH outcome for symptom improvement

In the present study, the proportion of patients who experienced improvement in mRS score at last follow-up was significantly higher in the FD group (60% vs. 25%, p = 0.036). This result is not unexpected considering that previous studies

have reported that the rate of symptom improvement is high in patients with dissecting posterior circulation aneurysms after FD treatment (26, 33). Our results also suggest that IMH size did not increase in patients with aneurysms that did not recur. We speculate that the improvement in symptoms is attributed to several factors.

First, symptoms may improve owing to the "water-hammer effect." In fluid dynamics, the water- hammer effect occurs when high-velocity fluid rapidly changes momentum, which erodes or destroys the surface with which it contacts. A basilar aneurysm study suggested that aneurysms with a wide neck or those that incorporate a major arterial branch are subject to constant arterial pulsations that cause motion of the IMH, which results in increased mass effect from the aneurysm (34). Tomokiyo et al. (35) suggested that a persistent water-hammer effect against the aneurysmal lumen as well as an IMH-induced increase in aneurysmal volume may contribute to the development of perianeurysmal edema. We therefore hypothesize that blood flow in the aneurysm transmits pulsations and gradually aggravates neurological symptoms because of the development of mass effect and perianeurysmal edema. After reconstructive EVT, pulsations decrease and the IMH stops growing, which alleviates mass effect and perianeurysmal edema and improves patient symptoms. Furthermore, FDs can promote endothelialization of the aneurysm neck and combat the water-hammer forces (36).

Inflammation may be another factor related to symptom improvement. Suzuki et al. (37) suggested that microvascularization owing to microbleeds and inflammation from microvessels occur in a vicious cycle, which causes neurological symptoms. Moreover, repeated hemodynamic insults after dissecting aneurysm formation leads to periods of inflammation and thrombosis, which exacerbates this cascade. However, with reconstructive EVT, the aneurysmal neck is completely covered by a layer of long slender cells resembling endothelium three to 12 months after treatment and there is little inflammatory cellular reaction in the aneurysm dome (38). Therefore, early EVT may reduce patient symptoms. As mentioned above, FDs may be a better choice than conventional stents because they are superior at promoting endothelialization of the aneurysm neck and eliminating intra-aneurysmal inflammation. However, our speculations regarding IMH size and clinical improvement require further study.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. It is retrospective in nature and was conducted in a single center. Given the rarity of VBDAs with IMH, our sample size was small. In addition, the study time period was long, during which technical nuances of treatment changed. Therefore, both selection and treatment bias may have been introduced. Moreover, the follow-up period was short and MRI measurements of IMH may have been affected by manual errors and imaging artifact from metal devices (coils or stent).

Conclusion

IMHs in VBDAs stop growing after successful reconstructive EVT but continue to grow in aneurysms that recur. Successful vascular remodeling may block penetration of parent arterial flow into the aneurysm. Although both FD and conventional stent treatment are effective, FD treatment may be superior based on clinical outcomes and effect on IMH size.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of Beijing Tiantan Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YZha and QP collected the clinical data, performed the statistical analysis, and wrote the manuscript. YZho, CW, and LZ helped collect the clinical data. XY and SM helped revise the manuscript, designed the research, and handled funding and supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Numbers: 81801158 and 81571128).

Acknowledgments

We thank Liwen Bianji (Edanz) (https://www.liwenbianji. cn) for editing the language of a draft of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Arnold M, Bousser MG, Fahrni G, Fischer U, Georgiadis D, Gandjour J, et al. Vertebral artery dissection: presenting findings and predictors of outcome. *Stroke.* (2006) 37:2499–503. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000240493.88473.39

2. Mizutani T, Aruga T, Kirino T, Miki Y, Saito I, Tsuchida T. Recurrent subarachnoid hemorrhage from untreated ruptured vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysms. *Neurosurgery.* (1995) 36:905–11. doi: 10.1097/00006123-199505000-00003

3. Kaufmann TJ, Huston J. 3rd, Mandrekar JN, Schleck CD, Thielen KR, Kallmes DF. Complications of diagnostic cerebral angiography: evaluation of 19,826 consecutive patients. *Radiology*. (2007) 243:812–9. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2433060536

4. Hashimoto Y, Matsushige T, Shimonaga K, Yoshiyama M, Takahashi H, Ono C, et al. Monitoring intramural hematoma on vessel wall imaging to evaluate the healing of intracranial vertebral artery dissection. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2021) 30:105992. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2021.105992

5. Tsuda Y, Sakurai K, Madokoro Y, Inoue H, Yuasa H, Kano Y, et al. Importance of chronological changes on high-resolution vessel wall imaging for diagnosis of isolated anterior cerebral artery dissection. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2020) 29:105146. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2020.105146

6. Nakatomi H, Segawa H, Kurata A, Shiokawa Y, Nagata K, Kamiyama H, et al. Clinicopathological study of intracranial fusiform and dolichoectatic aneurysms: insight on the mechanism of growth. *Stroke.* (2000) 31:896–900. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.31.4.896

7. Ahn SS, Kim BM, Suh SH, Kim DJ, Kim DI, Shin YS, et al. Spontaneous symptomatic intracranial vertebrobasilar dissection: initial and follow-up imaging findings. *Radiology*. (2012) 264:196–202. doi: 10.1148/radiol.12112331

8. Ono H, Nakatomi H, Tsutsumi K, Inoue T, Teraoka A, Yoshimoto Y, et al. Symptomatic recurrence of intracranial arterial dissections: follow-up study of 143 consecutive cases and pathological investigation. *Stroke.* (2013) 44:126–31. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.670745

9. Sikkema T, Uyttenboogaart M, Eshghi O, De Keyser J, Brouns R, van Dijk JM, et al. Intracranial artery dissection. *Eur J Neurol.* (2014) 21:820–6. doi: 10.1111/ene.12384

10. Tian Z, Chen J, Zhang Y, Liu J, Wang Y, Sui B, et al. Quantitative analysis of intracranial vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysm with intramural hematoma after endovascular treatment using 3-T high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *World Neurosurg.* (2017) 108:236–43. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2017. 08.161

11. Schievink WI. Spontaneous dissection of the carotid and vertebral arteries. N Engl J Med. (2001) 344:898–906. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200103223441206

12. Krings T, Piske RL, Lasjaunias PL. Intracranial arterial aneurysm vasculopathies: targeting the outer vessel wall. *Neuroradiology*. (2005) 47:931–7. doi: 10.1007/s00234-005-1438-9

13. Ritman EL, Lerman A. The dynamic vasa vasorum. Cardiovasc Res. (2007) 75:649–58. doi: 10.1016/j.cardiores.2007.06.020

14. Moulton KS, Vakili K, Zurakowski D, Soliman M, Butterfield C, Sylvin E, et al. Inhibition of plaque neovascularization reduces macrophage accumulation and progression of advanced atherosclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2003) 100:4736–41. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0730843100

15. Zheng L, Yang WJ, Niu CB, Zhao HL, Wong KS, Leung TWH, et al. Correlation of adventitial vasa vasorum with intracranial atherosclerosis: a postmortem study. *J Stroke*. (2018) 20:342–9. doi: 10.5853/jos.2018.01263

16. Nagahiro S, Takada A, Goto S, Kai Y, Ushio Y. Thrombosed growing giant aneurysms of the vertebral artery: growth mechanism and management. *J Neurosurg.* (1995) 82:796–801. doi: 10.3171/jns.1995.82.5.0796

17. Yasui T, Sakamoto H, Kishi H, Komiyama M, Iwai Y, Yamanaka K, et al. Rupture mechanism of a thrombosed slow-growing giant aneurysm of the vertebral artery-case report. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo).* (1998) 38:860–4. doi: 10.2176/nmc.38.860

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Ferracci FX, Gilard V, Cebula H, Magne N, Lejeune JP, Langlois O, et al. Growth of giant intracranial aneurysms: an aneurysmal wall disorder? *Neurochirurgie*. (2017) 63:6–12. doi: 10.1016/j.neuchi.2017.01.001

19. Zhao L, Moos MP, Grabner R, Pedrono F, Fan J, Kaiser B, et al. The 5lipoxygenase pathway promotes pathogenesis of hyperlipidemia-dependent aortic aneurysm. *Nat Med.* (2004) 10:966–73. doi: 10.1038/nm1099

20. Wang C, Li M, Chen H, Yang X, Zhang Y, Zhang D. Histopathological analysis of *in vivo* specimens of recurrent aneurysms after coil embolization. *J Neurointerv Surg.* (2021) 14:34–739. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2021-017872

21. Drake CG, Peerless SJ. Giant fusiform intracranial aneurysms: review of 120 patients treated surgically from 1965 to 1992. *J Neurosurg*. (1997) 87:141–62. doi: 10.3171/jns.1997.87.2.0141

22. Guan J, Li G, Kong X, He C, Long J, Qin H, et al. Endovascular treatment for ruptured and unruptured vertebral artery dissecting aneurysms: a meta-analysis. *J Neurointerv Surg.* (2017) 9:558–63. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2016-012309

23. Sonmez O, Brinjikji W, Murad MH, Lanzino G. Deconstructive and reconstructive techniques in treatment of vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2015) 36:1293-8. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4360

24. Wang J, Jia L, Duan Z, Wang Z, Yang X, Zhang Y, et al. Endovascular treatment of large or giant non-saccular vertebrobasilar aneurysms: pipeline embolization devices versus conventional stents. *Front Neurosci.* (2019) 13:1253. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.01253

25. Jeon JP, Cho YD, Rhim JK, Park JJ, Cho WS, Kang HS, et al. Stent-Assisted coil embolization of vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysms: procedural outcomes and factors for recanalization. *Korean J Radiol.* (2016) 17:801–10. doi: 10.3348/kjr.2016.17.5.801

26. Griessenauer CJ, Ogilvy CS, Adeeb N, Dmytriw AA, Foreman PM, Shallwani H, et al. Pipeline embolization of posterior circulation aneurysms: a multicenter study of 131 aneurysms. *J Neurosurg.* (2018) 130:923–35. doi: 10.3171/2017.9.JNS171376

27. Zanaty M, Chalouhi N, Starke RM, Barros G, Saigh MP, Schwartz EW, et al. Flow diversion versus conventional treatment for carotid cavernous aneurysms. *Stroke*. (2014) 45:2656–61. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.006247

28. Chalouhi N, Tjoumakaris S, Starke RM, Gonzalez LF, Randazzo C, Hasan D, et al. Comparison of flow diversion and coiling in large unruptured intracranial saccular aneurysms. *Stroke.* (2013) 44:2150–4. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.001785

29. Zhang Y, Liang F, Zhang Y, Yan P, Liang S, Ma C, et al. Exploring the feasibility of pipeline embolization device compared with stent-assisted coiling to treat non-saccular, unruptured, intradural vertebral artery aneurysms. *Front Neurol.* (2019) 10:275. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00275

30. Natarajan SK, Lin N, Sonig A, Rai AT, Carpenter JS, Levy EI, et al. The safety of pipeline flow diversion in fusiform vertebrobasilar aneurysms: a consecutive case series with longer-term follow-up from a single US center. *J Neurosurg.* (2016) 125:111–9. doi: 10.3171/2015.6.JNS1565

31. Mizutani T. A fatal, chronically growing basilar artery: a new type of dissecting aneurysm. *J Neurosurg.* (1996) 84:962–71. doi: 10.3171/jns.1996.84.6.0962

32. Krings T, Choi IS. The many faces of intracranial arterial dissections. *Interv* Neuroradio. (2010) 16:151-60. doi: 10.1177/159101991001600206

33. Cho DY, Kim BS, Choi JH, Park YK, Shin YS. The fate of unruptured intracranial vertebrobasilar dissecting aneurysm with brain stem compression according to different treatment modalities. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* (2019) 40:1924–31. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A6252

34. Kwan ES, Heilman CB, Shucart WA, Klucznik RP. Enlargement of basilar artery aneurysms following balloon occlusion-"water-hammer effect". Report of two cases. *J Neurosurg.* (1991) 75:963–8. doi: 10.3171/jns.1991.75.6.0963

35. Tomokiyo M, Kazekawa K, Onizuka M, Aikawa H, Tsutsumi M, Ikoh M, et al. Mechanisms of perianeurysmal edema following endovascular embolization of aneurysms. *Interv Neuroradiol.* (2007) 13 Suppl 1:145–50. doi: 10.1177/15910199070130S122

36. Dmytriw AA, Alrashed A, Yang VX. Giant intracranial aneurysm waterhammer effect. *Pract Neurol.* (2020) 20:246. doi: 10.1136/practneurol-2019-002458 37. Suzuki H, Mikami T, Tamada T, Ukai R, Akiyama Y, Yamamura A, et al. Inflammation promotes progression of thrombi in intracranial thrombotic aneurysms. *Neurosurg Rev.* (2020) 43:1565–73. doi: 10.1007/s10143-019-01184-3

38. Brinjikji W, Kallmes DF, Kadirvel R. Mechanisms of healing in coiled intracranial aneurysms: a review of the literature. *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2015) 36:1216–22. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4175