

Fast Neutron Therapy for Breast Cancer Treatment: An Effective Technique Sinking into Oblivion

Pierre Loap, MD; Youlia Kirova, MD

Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut Curie, Paris, France

Keywords: Breast; fast neutron therapy

Introduction

Adjuvant radiation therapy significantly decreases breast cancer mortality [1, 2], but first-generation techniques, which relied on large irradiation fields, were associated with an increased cardiotoxicity risk. Fortunately, breast radiotherapy has evolved, and state-of-the-art radiation therapy techniques are currently able to efficiently limit heart exposure without altering tumor control, even in complex anatomic situations. In this context, particle radiation therapy is of particular interest: depth-dose curves of proton and carbon ion beams sharply increase when those particles come to rest. This physical feature, known as the Bragg peak, can be efficiently used to limit radiation doses delivered to organs at risk.

However, among the particle radiotherapy techniques evaluated to date for breast cancer treatment, fast neutron therapy (FNT) currently seems to be sinking into oblivion, despite promising clinical data. Although $> 35\,000$ patients have been treated with FNT for half a century, only a few FNT facilities are still operating worldwide (in the United States, Germany, and Russia). However, neutrons have specific radiobiologic advantages that deserve consideration. Their linear energy transfer is about 200 times greater than that of photon beams [3, 4], ranging somewhere between 20 and 100 keV/ μ m, and the relative biologic effectiveness (RBE) of fast neutron beams is estimated to be between 3.0 and 8.0. Lethal DNA breaks are consequently rapidly caused for a short distance, which is of particular interest when treating superficial tumors, such as chestwall recurrences. Finally, the oxygen enhancement ratio of FNT is evaluated to be around 1.3 [3], and this minimal sensitivity to hypoxic conditions [5] may be valuable when irradiating unresectable breast tumors, which are often characterized by significant areas of hypoxic tissues [6].

Clinical data of fast neutron therapy for breast cancer treatment

The FNT experience for breast cancer treatment relies on retrospective studies and on prospective phase I and comparative phase II trials, which included around 700 patients worldwide. Interestingly, more than two-thirds of those patients were treated in Russia. For clarity, the main clinical data from those studies are summarized in the **Table**. Unfortunately, most comparative, prospective trials were nonrandomized, which limits comparison with other breast radiotherapy techniques.

The first breast FNT trials began in the 1970s, with FNT used as a single-treatment modality for locally advanced, unresectable tumors. The technical feasibility of breast FNT was first demonstrated by Halpern et al [7], who experimented with this technique between 1972 and 1978 in 28 patients with inoperable, locally advanced breast tumors; one-half of those patients had inflammatory tumors. Planned target volumes consisted of

Submitted 21 Dec 2019 Accepted 11 Aug 2020 Published 8 Dec 2020

Corresponding Author:

Pierre Loap, MD
Department of Radiation
Oncology
Institut Curie
26 rue d'Ulm
75248 Paris cedex 05, France
Tel.: +33 (0)1 56 24 55 00
pierre.loap@gmail.com

Editorial

DOI 10.14338/JPT-19-00080.1

©Copyright 2020 The Author(s)

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY

OPEN ACCESS

http://theijpt.org



Fast neutron therapy for breast cancer treatment

Table. Fast neutron therapy trials for breast cancer (primary tumors and chest wall recurrences).

	Type of		Tumor			
Author/Year	Study	Treatment	characteristics	os	LC/DFS/RFS	Toxicity
Catterall 1987 [8]	Retrospective	7.5 MeV neutrons	Primary BC	2.2 y	CR : 16 pts	Ulceration Gr. 3-4 : 3 pts
(Hammersmith)	17 pts		T4: 17 pts			Fibrosis: 17 pts
Halpern 1990 [7]	Retrospective	Breast	Primary BC	3.6 y	CR: 9 pts	Ulceration Gr. 3-4 : 5 pts
(MD Anderson)	28 pts	16 MeV neutrons (22.6 nGy) : 3 pts	T4d: 14 pts		PR : 18 pts	Edema Gr. 3:4 pts
		50 MeV neutrons (22.1 nGy) : 12 pts	N+ : 23 pts			Brachial plexopathy : 6 pts
		50 Mev neutrons (9.34 nGy) + photons :				
		9 pts				
		Axilla				
		50 MeV neutrons (17.2-25.0 nGy) : 4 pts				
		50 MeV neutrons (4.77-10.0 nGy) +				
		photons: 8 pts			0.0	
Murray 2005 [9]	Comparative phase I/II		Primary BC	A: 1.8 y	CR	Gr. 3 :
(Cap Town)	27 pts	B : Photons (60 Gy) : 11 pts	N+ : 16 pts	B: 1.1 y (NS)	A: 3 pts	A:5 pts
			M+: 10 pts		B: 4 pts	B: 5 pts
					PR A : 2 nto	Gr. 4:
					A: 3 pts	A: 1 pts B: 2 pts
Specht 2015 [3]	Retrospective	<12 mo. recurrence : neutrons (10 nGy)	Chest wall recurences	NΔ	B: 2 pts CR : 68%	No Gr. 3-4
(Munich)	46 pts	>12 mo. recurrence : electrons (30Gy) +	Onest wan recurences	IVA	PR : 29%	140 CI. 5-4
(a)	10 pto	neutrons (6 nGy)			3-y LC : 55%	
Ragulin 2015 [10]	Comparative phase II	A: 1 MeV neutrons (2-3 nGy) + photons	Primary BC	10-y OS (p<0.02) :	CR (p<0.001):	Ulceration Gr. 3-4 :
(Obninsk)	201 pts	(to 60 GyEBR) : 95 pts	T4: 157 pts	A : 32.8%	A : 28.4 %	A : 1 pt
	·	B : Photons (60 Gy) : 106 pts	N+ : 194 pts	B : 17,1%	B: 0.9 %	B : 1 pt
			·		10-y DFS (p<0.02):	
					A: 29.5%	
					B: 4.4%	
Startseva 2015 [11]	Comparative phase II	neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CMF/FAC)	Primary BC	10-y OS (p<0.05):	Local recurrence (p<0.05):	No Gr. 3-4
(Tomsk)	246 pts	Pre-operative loco-regional radiation therapy :	(T2-4 N0-2 M0)	A: 70,8%	A: 2.0%	
		A : 6.3 MeV neutrons (7.2 nGy, breast) \pm		B: 42,4%	B: 15.0%%	
		lymph node photon RT (if N+): 108 pts		C: 54,2%	C: 23.7%	
		B: photons (hyperfractionated, 35-40 Gy):		D: 40,6%	D: 31.3%	
		40 pts				
		C : photons (normofractionated, 40-44 Gy) :				
		38 pts				
		D : no RT : 83 pts				
		Mastectomy ± hormonotherapy				
Velikaya 2016 [12]	Comparative phase II	Locally recurrent BC : 114 pts	Chest wall recurences			Chest wall recurences
(Tomsk)	227 pts	A: 6.3 MeV neutrons (30-40 Gy EBR):	or	8-y OS (p<0.01):	Re-recurrence (p<0,05)	Lung fibrosis : 14 pts (Gr. 3: 1 pt
		26 pts or 6.3 MeV neutrons + photons	Primary BC	A : 87.6%	A : 4%	Skin toxicity: 16 pts
		(50-60 Gy EBR) : 44 pts	(T2-4 N0-3 M0-1)	B : 54.3%	B: 39%	Locally advanced BC
		B : photons (60 Gy) : 44 pts		Locally advanced BC	Locally advanced BC	Lung fibrosis : 4 pts (no Gr. 3) Skin toxicity : 3 pts
		Locally advanced BC : 113 pts Neoadjuvant/adjuvant chemotherapy		7-y OS (p<0.01) C: 85.4%	7-y RFS (p=0.04) C: 93.4%	ORIT TOXICITY . 3 PIS
		(CMF/FAC)		D : 43.3%	D : 77.5%	
		Mastectomy ± hormonotherapy		D . 40.3 /o	D . 11.5/6	
		Post-operative locoregional radiation				
		therapy:				
		C: 6.3 MeV neutrons (16.7-29.9 Gy				
		EBR) : 65 pts				
		D : electrons (38-44 Gy) : 48 pts				
		() ,				

Abbreviations: OS: overall survival; LC: local control; DFS: disease-free survival; RFS: relapse-free survival; nGY, nanogray; pt, patient; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; BC: breast cancer; NS, not significant; NA, not applicable; RT, radiotherapy; EBR, external beam radiation; CMF, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil; FAC, 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide.

the whole breast, irradiated with tangential fields and the axilla lymph node area [7]. That first study provided evidence of a high local control rate: 96% of the patients experienced partial or complete response. Unfortunately, the RBE had been underestimated during FNT treatment planning, and some irradiation fields overlapped, which resulted in major adverse events: overall, there were 5 necrotic skin ulcers (18%; 4 patients [14%] had to receive skin grafts) and 6 brachial plexopathies (21%). Therefore, subsequent breast FNT trials tried to reduce the total neutron dose delivered to the planned target volume. This pragmatic attitude did not, however, alter tumor control. Catterall et al [8] used lower neutron doses in 17 patients with 20

Fast neutron therapy for breast cancer treatment

tumors, who presented with locally advanced, unresectable, ulcerated breast cancer, and obtained an excellent control rate of 95% (n = 19 tumors) with complete responses and fewer skin toxicities. Finally, at the end of the 20th century, because of further technical progresses and a better understanding of fast neutron radiobiology, breast FNT became comparable to photon radiotherapy, in term of skin toxicity. A randomized controlled trial [9] conducted between 1996 and 1999 in South Africa compared FNT with photon radiotherapy in 27 patients with inoperable, locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer: no increased toxicity risk was observed. Unfortunately, that trial was closed prematurely because of recruitment issues, and it consequently lacked the power to provide evidence for any clinical benefit of FNT over photon radiotherapy. Recently, Ragulin et al [10] conducted a phase II trial in Russia, which included 201 patients, comparing mixed photon-FNT radiotherapy with photon radiotherapy for inoperable, locally advanced breast cancer, mostly T4N $^+$ tumors. That trial showed a significant increase of 10-year overall survival (OS) and 10-year disease-free survival (DFS) in the photon-FNT group (10-y OS, 32.8% versus 17.1%, P < .02; 10-y DFS, 29.5% versus 4.4%, P < .02), whereas the toxicity profiles were strictly comparable between each group.

Naturally, considering FNT as a single modality for breast cancer management would hardly be conceivable currently; multidisciplinary approaches combining recent chemotherapy protocols, surgical procedures, and modern radiotherapy techniques have become established practice. The most recent breast FNT trials have thus evaluated FNT in a multimodality approach. Startseva et al [11] conducted a large phase II trial, which included 246 patients, comparing preoperative FNT with photon radiotherapy, after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and before radical mastectomy. All patients included had locally advanced breast cancers with pejorative prognostic factors, such as resistance to neoadjuvant chemotherapy or multicentricity [11]. In that study, the preoperative FNT group had a significantly greater 10-year OS (70.8% versus 54.2%, P < .05) and a significantly lower local recurrence rate (2.0% versus 23.7%, P < .05). Toxicity profiles were comparable, and no grade 3 or 4 toxicity was observed. In the adjuvant setting, postmastectomy FNT was similarly compared with photon radiotherapy [12] in a phase II trial, which included 113 patients diagnosed with locally advanced breast tumors and multiple pejorative prognostic factors, after chemotherapy and radical mastectomy: 7-year OS and 7-year relapse-free survival (RFS) rates appeared substantially higher in the FNT group (7-y OS, 85.4% versus 43.3%, P < .01; 7-y RFS, 93.4% versus 77.5%, P = .04). However, even if no grade 3 toxicity was reported in the FNT group, 4 patients (3.5%) developed grade 2 lung fibroses.

Finally, FNT has been efficiently used for chest wall recurrence irradiation. Between 1985 and 2013, Specht et al [3] evaluated FNT clinical efficacy in 46 patients in Munich with chest wall recurrences; 68% of those patients exhibited a complete response, and 3-year local control was 55%. No grade 3 or 4 events were reported. In a larger phase II trial, Velikaya et al [12] compared FNT with electron radiotherapy in 114 chest wall recurrences. It appeared in this study that FNT was associated with a significantly better clinical outcome: 8-year OS was in favor of FNT (87.6% versus 54.3%, P < .02) and the rerecurrence rate was also significantly lower in the FNT group (4% versus 39%, P < .05). However, one grade 3 lung fibrosis was reported in the FNT group.

Discussion

Major skin complications, which characterized the first breast FNT trials and which were the most feared complications, are no longer current in the era of modern FNT. Consequently, FNT could potentially have a place in the multidisciplinary management of complex breast cancer situations. Although FNT is probably of limited value in most classic clinical situations, it did, however, demonstrate its clinical value for the treatment of refractory chest wall recurrences or unresectable advanced breast tumors with pejorative prognostic factors in a multimodal approach.

Nowadays, reirradiation of unresectable chest wall recurrence in previously irradiated regions may be one of the most interesting applications of FNT because therapeutic options are relatively limited in this context. In this situation, FNT is associated with an excellent local control rate, and it seems to be better tolerated than most other recently evaluated treatments, such as the hyperthermia-radiotherapy combination [13] or brachytherapy [14].

Soon, FNT is expected to become more conformal and substantially safer because of the ongoing development in intensity-modulated neutron therapy [15]. However, lack of funding might be a nonnegligible pitfall for the therapy.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.



Additional Disclosures: None.

References

- 1. [EBCTCG] Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Effect of radiotherapy after mastectomy and axillary surgery on 10-year recurrence and 20-year breast cancer mortality: meta-analysis of individual patient data for 8135 women in 22 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2014;383:2127–35.
- 2. Clarke M, Collins R, Darby S, Davies C, Elphinstone P, Evans V, Godwin J, Gray R, Hicks C, James S, MacKinnon E, McGale P, McHugh T, Peto R, Taylor C, Wang Y; Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG). Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cancer on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2005;366:2087–106.
- 3. Specht HM, Neff T, Reuschel W, Wagner FM, Kampfer S, Wilkens JJ, Petry W, Combs SE. Paving the road for modern particle therapy—what can we learn from the experience gained with fast neutron therapy in Munich? *Front Oncol.* 2015;5: 262.
- 4. Jones B, Underwood TSA, Carabe-Fernandez A, Timlin C, Dale RG. Fast neutron relative biological effects and implications for charged particle therapy. *Br J Radiol*. 2011;84:S011–8.
- 5. Vaupel P, Harrison L. Tumor hypoxia: causative factors, compensatory mechanisms, and cellular response. *Oncologist*. 2004;9(suppl 5):4–9.
- 6. Chaudary N, Hill RP. Hypoxia and metastasis in breast cancer. Breast Dis. 2007;26:55-64.
- 7. Halpern J, Maor MH, Hussey DH, Henkelmann GC, Sampiere V, McNeese MD. Locally advanced breast cancer treated with neutron beams: long-term follow-up in 28 patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1990;18:825–31.
- 8. Catterall M, Errington RD, Bewley DK. Fast neutrons in the treatment of locally advanced breast cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 1987:13:315–9.
- 9. Murray EM, Werner ID, Schmitt G, Stannard C, Gudgeon A, Wilson J, Fredericks S, McEvoy E, Nel E, Hunter A, Slabbert JP, Langman G. Neutron versus photon radiotherapy for local control in inoperable breast cancer. *Strahlenther Onkol.* 2005;181:77–81.
- 10. Ragulin Y, Mardynsky Y, Gulidov I, Aminov G, Medvedev V, Sysoev A, Kotuchov I. 10-year results of complex treatment with reactor neutrons in locally advanced breast cancer (BC). Ann Oncol. 2015;26(suppl 3):article 11P.
- 11. Startseva Z, Musabaeva L, Lisin V. Multimodality treatment of locally-advanced breast cancer using 6.3 MeV fast neutrons and quality of life in patients in long-term follow-up. *Adv Mater Res.* 2015;1084:406–8.
- 12. Velikaya VV, Musabaeva LI, Lisin VA, Startseva ZhA. 6.3 MeV fast neutrons in the treatment of patients with locally advanced and locally recurrent breast cancer. In: Gutmanas EY, Naimark OB, Sharkeev YP, eds. *AIP Conf Proceedings*. March 22–25, 2016; volume 1760, article 020069; Tomsk Russia.
- 13. Oldenborg S, Griesdoorn V, van Os R, Kusumanto YH, Oei BS, Venselaar JL, Zum Vörde Sive Vörding PJ, Heymans MW, Kolff MW, Rasch CR, Crezee H, van Tienhoven G. Reirradiation and hyperthermia for irresectable locoregional recurrent breast cancer in previously irradiated area: size matters. *Radiother Oncol.* 2015;117(2):223–8.
- 14. Burr AR, Robins HI, Bayliss RA, Howard SP. Pulsed reduced dose rate for reirradiation of recurrent breast cancer. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* 2020;10:e61–70.
- 15. Burmeister J, Spink R, Liang L, Bossenberger T, Halford R, Brandon J, Delauter J, Snyder M. Commissioning of intensity modulated neutron radiotherapy (IMNRT). Med Phys. 2013;40:021718.