GYNECOLOGY

Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: an international cohort study



Lieske H. Schrijver, MSc; Antonis C. Antoniou, PhD; Håkan Olsson, PhD; Thea M. Mooij, MSc; Marie-José Roos-Blom, PhD; Leyla Azarang, PhD; Julian Adlard, MSc; Munaza Ahmed, MSc; Daniel Barrowdale, BSc; Rosemarie Davidson, MSc; Alan Donaldson, MSc; Ros Eeles, PhD; D. Gareth Evans, PhD; Debra Frost, ONC; Alex Henderson, MSc; Louise Izatt, PhD; Kai-Ren Ong, MSc; Valérie Bonadona, MSc; Isabelle Coupier, MSc; Laurence Faivre, PhD; Jean-Pierre Fricker, MSc; Paul Gesta, MSc; Klaartje van Engelen, MSc; Agnes Jager, MSc; Fred H. Menko, PhD; Marian J. E. Mourits, PhD; Christian F. Singer, MPH; Yen Y. Tan, PhD; Lenka Foretova, PhD; Marie Navratilova, PhD; Rita K. Schmutzler, MSc; Carolina Ellberg, MSc; Anne-Marie Gerdes, MSc; Trinidad Caldes, MSc; Jacques Simard, PhD; Edith Olah, PhD; Anna Jakubowska, PhD; Johanna Rantala, PhD; Ana Osorio, PhD; John L. Hopper, PhD; Kelly-Anne Phillips, MSc; Roger L. Milne, PhD; Mary Beth Terry, PhD; Catherine Noguès, PhD; Christoph Engel, MSc; Karin Kast, MSc; David E. Goldgar, PhD; Flora E. van Leeuwen, PhD; Douglas F. Easton, PhD; Nadine Andrieu, PhD; Matti A. Rookus, PhD: On behalf of the Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer, Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire Sein, Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands, and International BRCA1/2 Carrier Cohort Study

BACKGROUND: Ovarian cancer risk in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers has been shown to decrease with longer duration of oral contraceptive use. Although the effects of using oral contraceptives in the general population are well established (approximately 50% risk reduction in ovarian cancer), the estimated risk reduction in mutation carriers is much less precise because of potential bias and small sample sizes. In addition, only a few studies on oral contraceptive use have examined the associations of duration of use, time since last use, starting age, and calendar year of start with risk of ovarian cancer.

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to investigate in more detail the associations of various characteristics of oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer, to provide healthcare providers and carriers with better risk estimates.

STUDY DESIGN: In this international retrospective study, ovarian cancer risk associations were assessed using oral contraceptives data on 3989 BRCA1 and 2445 BRCA2 mutation carriers. Age-dependent—weighted Cox regression analyses were stratified by study and birth cohort and included breast cancer diagnosis as a covariate. To minimize survival bias, analyses were left truncated at 5 years before baseline questionnaire. Separate analyses were conducted for each aspect of oral contraceptive use and in a multivariate analysis, including all these aspects. In addition, the analysis of duration of oral contraceptive use was stratified by recency of use.

RESULTS: Oral contraceptives were less often used by mutation carriers who were diagnosed with ovarian cancer (ever use: 58.6% for BRCA1 and

53.5% BRCA2) than by unaffected carriers (ever use: 88.9% for BRCA1 and 80.7% for *BRCA2*). The median duration of use was 7 years for both BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers who developed ovarian cancer and 9 and 8 years for unaffected BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers with ovarian cancer, respectively. For BRCA1 mutation carriers, univariate analyses have shown that both a longer duration of oral contraceptive use and more recent oral contraceptive use were associated with a reduction in the risk of ovarian cancer. However, in multivariate analyses, including duration of use, age at first use, and time since last use, duration of oral contraceptive use proved to be the prominent protective factor (compared with <5 years: 5-9 years [hazard ratio, 0.67; 95% confidence interval, 0.40-1.12]; >10 years [hazard ratio, 0.37; 95% confidence interval, 0.19-0.73]; $P_{\text{trend}}=.008$). The inverse association between duration of use and ovarian cancer risk persisted for more than 15 years (duration of \geq 10 years; BRCA1 <15 years since last use [hazard ratio, 0.24; 95% confidence interval, 0.14-0.43]; *BRCA1* > 15 years since last use [hazard ratio, 0.56; 95%] confidence interval, 0.18-0.59]). Univariate results for BRCA2 mutation carriers were similar but were inconclusive because of limited sample size. **CONCLUSION:** For *BRCA1* mutation carriers, longer duration of oral contraceptive use is associated with a greater reduction in ovarian cancer risk, and the protection is long term.

Key words: *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, epidemiology, multivariate, observational, oral contraceptives, ovarian cancer, retrospective, risk, survival bias

Cite this article as: Schrijver LH, Antoniou AC, Olsson H, et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: an international cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021;225:51.e1-17.

0002-9378

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.01.014

Introduction

Mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes are associated with a high lifetime risk of ovarian cancer. The average cumulative risk of ovarian cancer up to the age of 70 years has been estimated to be 41% (95% confidence interval [CI], 33-50) for BRCA1 mutation carriers and 15% (95% CI, 10-23) for BRCA2 mutacarriers.1 The use of oral tion

contraceptives is a strong protective factor (approximately 50%, with a doseresponse association observed with duration of use) for ovarian cancer in the general population and has been suggested as chemoprevention for BRCA1 carriers.²⁻⁵ BRCA2 mutation Although the effects of using oral contraceptives in the general population are well established, the estimated risk

AJOG at a Glance

Why was this study conducted?

The use of combined oral contraceptives is a strong protective factor for ovarian cancer and has been suggested as chemoprevention for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Previous studies on oral contraceptive use were limited in sample size, and other than duration of use, only a few studies have examined the other characteristics of oral contraceptive use.

Key findings

For BRCA1 mutation carriers, longer duration of oral contraceptive use is associated with a reduction in ovarian cancer risk, and the protection is long term. Findings for BRCA2 mutation carriers were similar but less definitive given the smaller sample size.

What does this add to what is known?

To date, most studies have examined the association of duration of oral contraceptive use with risk of ovarian cancer, without taking other characteristics of oral contraceptive use into account. For BRCA1 mutation carriers, we have shown that the duration of oral contraceptive use is indeed more important than recency of use or starting age. Moreover, the strong protective effect of long duration of oral contraceptive use persists for a long period. Current results are based on a relatively large sample of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers and corrected for potential testing and survival biases.

reduction in mutation carriers is much less certain and precise because of potential bias and small sample sizes. All previous studies were retrospective and therefore susceptible to survival bias. Only 1 study, as a sensitivity analysis, minimized potential survival bias by restricting the analyses to person-years within the 3 years before study enrollment.⁶ So far, almost all previous BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carrier studies restricted analyses to risk of ovarian cancer and duration of use of oral contraceptives.

To provide carriers with better risk estimates, we wanted to investigate in more detail the association between oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer. We used retrospective data from the International BRCA1/2 Carrier Cohort Study (IBCCS). Here, we were able to mutually adjust for multiple characteristics of oral contraceptive use to better understand their associations with ovarian cancer risk. To minimize the potential for survival bias, we used a left-truncated approach and conducted full-cohort retrospective analyses (ie, without left truncation) for comparison with the literature.

Materials and Methods

Study group

The IBCCS is a collaborative European study of women carrying a pathogenic or likely pathogenic germline mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2. Women were eligible if they were between 18 and 80 years of age at recruitment. More than two-thirds of participants were enrolled to 1 of the 3 large ongoing nationwide studies in the United Kingdom and Ireland (Epidemiologic Study of Familial Breast Cancer), France (Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire), and the Netherlands (Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research, Netherlands). For the current analyses, women with both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations were excluded. In addition, women born before 1920 were excluded, because their reproductive years preceded the availability of oral contraceptives.

Data collection

A baseline questionnaire elicited detailed information on known or suspected risk factors for breast and ovarian cancer. Data on preventive surgeries and cancer occurrence were collected from medical

records or linkages to cancer and pathology registries (75%) or questionnaires (25%). Participants provided written informed consent, and each study was approved by the relevant institutional ethical committee.

Statistical analysis

To estimate hazard ratios (HRs), timedependent Cox proportional hazards regression models with age as the timescale were used, stratified for birth cohort and study. To reduce the possible impact of survival bias, analyses were left truncated, restricting the analyses to person-years within 5 years before study enrollment (age at baseline questionnaire). This implies that we started follow-up 5 years before baseline, with women at risk of developing ovarian cancer (so at least 1 ovary in situ: BRCA1 [n=3989] and BRCA2 [n=2445]). For those, who were diagnosed with ovarian cancer during the next 5 years, the mean survival was 3.2 years for BRCA1 mutation carriers and 2.9 years for BRCA2 mutation carriers, ranging from 0 to 5 years. Person-years were calculated up to the diagnosis of ovarian cancer (event of interest), diagnosis of another cancer (with the exception of breast cancer and nonmelanoma skin cancer), riskreducing salpingo-oophorectomy (RRSO), mutation testing, or baseline questionnaire completion, whichever came first. Because of the retrospective nature of the study and because only person-years before DNA test was included, women were not aware of their mutation during the ages, the personyears, included for analysis. Breast cancer diagnosis was included as a timedependent covariate. To correct for the potential testing bias, analyses were performed using the extended weighted regression approach described by Antoniou et al.^{8,9} Cancer cases are more often genetically tested on unaffected women. Therefore, the cancer incidence in a retrospective cohort of mutation carriers is overestimated, and the estimated HRs are underestimated. To correct for this testing bias, ovarian and breast cancer cases and unaffected women were weighted differentially to ensure that age-specific incidence rates implied by

the weighted cohort were consistent with known incidence rates for women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. Carriers who developed breast or ovarian cancer were underweighted (weights <1), and the unaffected carriers were overweighted (weights >1). In general, the unweighted HR estimates were closer to the null value than the weighted HRs, and the 95% CIs are narrower. For instance, forever vs never use unweighted HRs were 0.72 (95% CI, 0.58-0.90) for BRCA1 and 0.80 (95% CI, 0.55-1.17) for BRCA2 mutation carriers, compared with the weighted HRs of 51 (95% CI, 0.36-0.71) and 0.65 (95% CI, 0.35-1.19) for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers.

The effect of familial clustering on estimates of precision was accounted for using robust variance estimation. Trend tests were based on modeling the category-specific mean as a continuous variable. We conducted a separate analysis for duration of use, time since last use, and starting age ("oral contraceptive univariate") and a multivariate analysis, including all of these aspects of oral contraceptive use ("oral contraceptive multivariate"). In addition, the analysis of duration of oral contraceptive use was stratified by recency of use. All characteristics of oral contraceptive use were considered time-dependent covariates, computed for each year of observation.

None of the potential confounders (family history, parity number of pregand menopausal changed the HRs for oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk by more than 10%, and therefore, they were excluded from the final models.

Sensitivity analyses were composed of the following: (1) stratified analyses (birth cohort, study, and attained age), (2) left-truncated analyses censored for breast cancer diagnosis, (3) multiple imputations for missing covariate data, and (4) multiple imputations with a random-effects Cox model approach, where we considered study site as a random term. 10 Covariates imputed 50 times in 5 iterations. Covariates were imputed with multivariate imputation by changed equations, using conditional multiple imputations that

follow an iterative procedure. Furthermore, we conducted "full-cohort" retrospective analyses, where the analysis included person-years from birth instead of being left truncated.

All statistical tests were two-sided and a P value of <.05 was considered statistically significant. Trend tests were based on the P value for the continuous variable based on fitting category-specific means. Analyses were performed using Stata (version 13; StataCorp, College Station, TX), except for the multiple imputations and mixed model sensitivity analyses for which R (version 4.0.2; R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) was used.

Results

In the left-truncated analyses of 3989 BRCA1 mutation carriers, 346 women (8.7%) were diagnosed with ovarian cancer at censoring (Table 1). Ovarian cancer cases completed their questionnaire on average 1.8 years (range, 0-5 years) after their ovarian cancer diagnosis. Of the 3642 BRCA1 mutation carriers (91.3%) who were unaffected by ovarian cancer, 2.4% were censored at age of RRSO. Of the 2445 BRCA2 mutation carriers, 106 women (4.3%) were diagnosed with ovarian cancer at censoring. BRCA2 ovarian cancer cases completed their questionnaire on average 2.1 years (range, 0-5 years) after their ovarian cancer diagnosis. Of the 2339 BRCA2 mutation carriers (95.6%) who were unaffected with ovarian cancer, 1.8% were censored at age of RRSO. For both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, compared with ovarian cancer cases, women unaffected with ovarian cancer were younger (BRCA1, 40.5 vs 51.7 years; *BRCA2*, 43.4 vs 56.9 years) and thus born more recently (birth year 1952-1980: 81.0% vs 43.4% for BRCA1 and 76.7% vs 29.3% for BRCA2). A relatively large proportion was diagnosed with breast cancer before the end of follow-up (BRCA1, 37.9% for those affected with ovarian cancer and 37.9% for those unaffected with ovarian cancer: BRCA2, 33.0% for those affected ovarian cancer and 37.7% for ovarian cancer unaffected).

Oral contraceptives were less often used by women who were diagnosed

with ovarian cancer (ever use: 58.6% for BRCA1 and 53.5% for BRCA2) than by unaffected carriers (ever use:88.9% for BRCA1 and 80.7% for BRCA2) (Table 2). The median duration of use was 7 years for both BRCA1 and BRCA2 ovarian cancer cases (interquartile rage (IQR): 3-11 years for BRCA1 and 4-12 years for BRCA2) and 9 years (IQR, 5-13 years) and 8 years (IQR, 5-13 years) for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers who were unaffected with ovarian cancer, respectively.

In univariate analyses, only 1 characteristic of oral contraceptive use was taken into account per analysis. Ever oral contraceptive use was associated with a reduction in the risk of ovarian cancer for BRCA1 mutation carriers (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.36-0.71) (Table 2). For BRCA2 mutation carriers, the estimated HR for ever oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk was comparable (HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.35-1.19) but not statistically significant. A longer duration of oral contraceptive use was associated with a stronger risk reduction for BRCA1 mutation carriers (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.88-0.96; P_{trend} <.001): HR of 0.79 (95% CI, 0.53-1.19), 0.54 (95% CI, 0.35-0.85), and 0.32 (95% CI, 0.21-0.50) for durations of <5 years, 5-9 years, and >10 years, respectively. For BRCA2 mutation carriers, again HR estimates were in the same direction, but the associations were not significant $(P_{\text{trend}}=.45)$. For both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, a strong protection was found during oral contraceptive use and within 10 years of oral contraceptive use (current use and <10 years ago [BRCA1 HR, 0.40 (95% CI, 0.22-0.71); BRCA2 HR, 0.36 (95% CI, 0.14-0.92)]; 10-19 years ago [BRCA1 HR, 0.54 (95% CI, 0.36-0.82); BRCA2 HR, 0.58 (95% CI, 0.24–1.42)]; ≥ 20 years ago [BRCA1 HR, 0.61 (95% CI, 0.43-0.87); BRCA2 HR, 0.78 (95% CI, [0.40-1.52]; trend [BRCA1, P=.025; BRCA2, P=.26]). For BRCA1 mutation carriers, the association between ever use of oral contraceptives and risk of ovarian cancer did not show a clear trend according to age at first use of oral contraceptives (≤19 years [HR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.28-0.65]; 20-23 years [HR, 0.51;

TABLE 1	
Characteristics of 3989 BRCA1 and 2445 BRCA2 mutation carriers	in the left-truncated IBCCS cohort

	<i>BRCA1</i> mu	tation carriers			BRCA2 mutation carriers			
	0vCa+		OvCa-		OvCa+		0vCa-	
Characteristics	N=3989		÷		N=2445			
n (%)	346	(8.7)	3643	(91.3)	106	(4.3)	2339	(95.7)
Mean age at start of follow-up (SD), y	48.4	(8.9)	36.4	(11.5)	54.0	(9.1)	39.0	(11.8)
Mean age at end of follow-up (SD), y	51.7	(8.9)	40.5	(11.4)	56.9	(9.1)	43.4	(11.7)
Age at end of follow-up, y								
<37	14	(4.1)	1413	(38.8)	4	(3.8)	696	(29.8)
37–46	89	(25.7)	1202	(33.0)	8	(8.6)	771	(33.0)
>47	243	(70.2)	1028	(28.2)	94	(88.7)	872	(37.3)
Mean person-years (y/person) (SD)	3.2	(1.2)	4.2	(1.2)	2.9	(1.2)	4.4	(1.1)
Censored for the following:								
Ovarian cancer	346	(100.0)	0	(0.0)	106	(100.0)	0	(0.0)
DNA test or baseline questionnaire	0	(0.0)	3521	(96.6)	0	(0.0)	2273	(97.2)
Other cancer	0	(0.0)	41	(1.1)	0	(0.0)	29	(1.2)
Bilateral RRS0	0	(0.0)	81	(2.2)	0	(0.0)	37	(1.6)
Year at end of follow-up								
1990—2000	137	(39.6)	1122	(30.8)	33	(31.1)	370	(15.8)
2001-2005	133	(38.4)	1354	(37.2)	42	(39.6)	976	(41.7)
2006—2012	76	(22.0)	1167	(32.0)	31	(29.3)	993	(42.5)
Birth year								
1920—1944	87	(25.1)	292	(8.0)	55	(51.9)	236	(10.1)
1945—1951	109	(31.5)	401	(11.0)	20	(18.9)	308	(13.2)
1952—1980	150	(43.4)	2950	(81.0)	31	(29.3)	1795	(76.7)
Study ^a								
EMBRACE	129	(9.8)	1183	(90.2)	64	(5.5)	1095	(94.5)
GENEPS0	64	(6.9)	865	(93.1)	15	(2.7)	546	(97.3)
Other ^b	153	(8.8)	1595	(91.3)	27	(3.7)	698	(96.3)
Schrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for	BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. As	m J Obstet Gynecol 20	21.					(continued)

	BBC41 mi	BBC47 mutation carriers			BRC42 m	BBC42 mutation carriers		
	0vCa+		0vCa-		OvCa+		0vCa-	
Characteristics	N=3989				N=2445			
Breast cancer								
No	215	(62.4)	2255	(61.9)	71	(67.0)	1458	(62.3)
Yes	131	(37.9)	1388	(37.9)	35	(33.0)	881	(37.7)
Number of ovarian cancers among first- and second-degree relatives								
0	132	(51.8)	1877	(64.0)	53	(68.0)	1435	(78.7)
-	06	(35.3)	752	(25.6)	17	(21.8)	309	(17.0)
>2	33	(12.9)	305	(10.4)	8	(10.3)	62	(4.3)
Missing	91		708		27		516	
Cancer type unknown	0		-		-		C	

HCSC, Health Care Service Corporation; HEBON, Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands; HSC, Penoch-Schönlein purpura; IBCCS, International BRC41/2 Carrier Cohort Study; IHCC, Net Research International Team on BReast CAncer susceptibility; MODSQUAD, Modifier Study of Quantitative Effects on Disease; MUV, Medical University of Vienna; MO, National Institute of GC-HBOC, German Consortium of Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer DNFZ, German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research; EMBRACE, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer; Oceanography; *OUH*, Oxford University Hospitals; *OvCa*, ovarian cancer; *RRSO*, risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy; *SD*, standard deviation

and Dusseldor

DKFZ,

OUH, NIO, INHERIT, HCSC, IHCC, CNIO, Stockholm-BRCA, Milan Italy, HSP

Belgium (order is based on number of carriers included in the analyses) Germany,

IBCCS is a collaboration of EMBRACE, GENEPSO, and "other" studies; Dither studies include the following: HEBON, MUV, MODSQUAD, GC-HBOC, Lund-BRCA,

Schrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021

95% CI, 0.33-0.78]; >24 years [HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.41-0.97]; $P_{\text{trend}}=.15$). Calendar year of first use did not modify the HR for ever use for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers.

Age at first use, duration of use, and time since last use of oral contraceptives are closely related. For instance, at censoring, the average duration of use of oral contraceptives was longer for recent users than for those who stopped a long time ago (BRCA1: 11±5.8 years for recent users vs 5 ± 3.4 years for those who stopped >20 years ago). In addition, BRCA1 mutation carriers used oral contraceptives for a longer duration when they had started at a younger age. For ages at first oral contraceptive used <19 years or >24 years, mean durations of use were 10 (\pm 5.6) and 7 (\pm 5.9) years, respectively. Therefore, we conducted multivariate analyses, including duration of use, time since last use, and age at first use of oral contraceptives in the same model. In this model, only duration of use of oral contraceptives remained independently associated with ovarian cancer risk (<5 years [reference, 5–9 years; HR, 0.67; 95% 0.40-1.12; ≥ 10 years [HR, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.19–0.73]; P_{trend} =.008) for *BRCA1* mutation carriers. The sample size was too limited to perform comparable multivariate analysis for BRCA2 mutation carriers.

Analyses on the duration of use of oral contraceptives stratified by time since last use (Table 3) showed that the inverse association between duration of use and ovarian cancer risk persisted for a long period (≥ 15 years). It seemed that the association for long-term users (≥ 10 years of use) was somewhat stronger in more recent years (<15 years since use) after oral contraceptive use (BRCA1: <15 years since last use [HR, 0.24; 95%] CI, 0.14-0.43]; >15 years since last use [HR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.18-0.59]); however, this interaction was not statistically significant. The finding for attained age was consistent with findings for time since last oral contraceptive use. A significant inverse association with duration of oral contraceptive use was observed only for BRCA1 mutation carriers younger than age 50 (Supplemental

The oral contraceptive "univariate" association between aspects of oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer for 3989 BRCA1 and 2445 BRCA2 mutation carriers

	BRCA1	mutation ca	ırriers			BRCA2 mutation carriers				
Variable	OvCa+	-, n (%) ^c	ΟνCa−,	n (%) ^c	Weighted, ^{a,b} HR (95% CI) ^d	OvCa-	OvCa+, n (%) ^c		n (%) ^c	Weighted, ^{a,b} HR (95% CI) ^e
Oral contraceptive use										
Never (<6 mo)	133	(41.4)	659	(19.1)	1.00	46	(46.5)	426	(19.3)	1.00
Ever	188	(58.6)	2788	(88.9)	0.51 (0.36-0.71)	53	(53.5)	1782	(80.7)	0.65 (0.35—1.19)
Ever, starting age unknown	11		125			4		87		
Missing	14		71			3		44		
Calendar year at start										
Never (<6 mo)	133	(41.4)	659	(19.1)	1.00	46	(46.5)	426	(19.3)	1.00
≤1975	114	(35.5)	684	(19.8)	0.45 (0.33-0.62)	39	(39.4)	492	(22.3)	0.73 (0.38-1.39)
>1975	74	(23.1)	2104	(61.0)	0.56 (0.35-0.88)	14	(14.1)	1290	(58.4)	0.49 (0.23—1.05)
Ever, starting year unknown	11		125			4		87		
Missing	14		71			3		44		
Total duration of use										
Never (<6 mo)	133	(43.0)	659	(20.2)	1.00	46	(47.4)	426	(20.4)	1.00
<5 y	67	(21.7)	616	(18.9)	0.79 (0.53—1.19)	17	(17.5)	401	(19.2)	0.87 (0.42-1.80)
5—9 y	53	(17.2)	867	(26.6)	0.54 (0.35-0.85)	13	(13.4)	557	(26.6)	0.51 (0.23—1.12)
≥10 y	56	(18.2)	1121	(34.4)	0.32 (0.21-0.50)	21	(21.7)	707	(33.8)	0.60 (0.28-1.27)
Ever, no period specific data	23		309			6		204		
Missing	14		71			3		44		
Trend ^f					P=2.0E-04					<i>P</i> =.449
Time since last use										
Never (<6 mo)	133	(43.0)	659	(20.2)	1.00	46	(47.4)	426	(20.4)	1.00
<10 y	29	(9.4)	1478	(45.3)	0.40 (0.22-0.71)	7	(7.2)	825	(39.5)	0.36 (0.14-0.92)
10—19 y	60	(19.4)	648	(19.9)	0.54 (0.36-0.82)	12	(12.4)	415	(19.9)	0.58 (0.24-1.42)
≥20 y	87	(28.2)	478	(14.7)	0.61 (0.43-0.87)	32	(33.0)	425	(20.3)	0.78 (0.40—1.52)
Ever, no period specific data	23		309			6		204		
Missing	14		71			3		44		
Trend ^f					P=.025					<i>P</i> =.258

ਠ The oral contraceptive "univariate" association between aspects of oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer for 3989 BRCA1 and 2445 BRCA2 %56) 1.02(0.43 - 2.42)0.49(0.20 - 1.23)0.60(0.29 - 1.21)Weighted, a,b HR 1.00 (19.3)(46.7)(20.9)(13.2)0vCa-, n (%) 1030 44 426 87 461 291 BRCA2 mutation carriers (46.5)(20.2)(12.1) $\overline{\alpha}$ 0vCa+, n (%)^c (21 4 12 46 20 7 ੰਡ Weighted, a,b HR (95% 0.43(0.28 - 0.65)0.51 (0.33-0.78) 0.63 (0.41-0.97) 1.00 (19.1)(50.9)(17.8)0vCa-, n (%) 1753 615 629 420 125 7 8RCA1 mutation carriers (41.4) (19.3)(18.1)(21.2)0vCa+, n (%)^c 7 33 62 58 89 Ξ mutation carriers (continued) Ever, starting age unknown Never (<6 mo) Starting age 20-23 y Missing TABLE 2 <19 y >23 y Trend

BRCA, breast cancer gene; Cl. confidence interval; EMBRACE, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer; GEVEPSO, Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire; HR, hazard ratio; OvCa, ovarian cancer

same characteristics of oral contraceptive use were significantly associated; ^c Distribution of variables at end of follow-up; ^a Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, GENEPSO, and birth cohort (1920—1943, 1944—1980). Clustered on family membership; ^a Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, other incl GENEPSO) and birth cohort (1920—1943, 1944—1980). Clustered on family membership; ^a Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, other incl GENEPSO) and birth cohort (1920—1943, 1944—1980). Clustered on family membership; ^a Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, other incl GENEPSO) and birth cohort (1920—1943, 1944—1980). Weighted: to account for the oversampling of affected individuals (breast and ovarian cancer), Unweighted results: never or ever use: BRC41 HR, 0.72; 95 Cl%, 0.58—0.90; BRC42 HR, 0.80; 95 Cl%, 0.55—1.17. In both unweighted and weighted analyses, the ever oral contraceptive users.

8chrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021

Table 1). However, the difference in the effect sizes was not significant.

Analyses stratified for birth cohort (univariate, unweighted) suggested that the significant inverse association between duration of use and ovarian cancer risk was limited to more recent birth (1920 - 1946)[P=.144];cohorts 1947-1954 [P=.373];1955-1980 [P=.009]; data not shown). Sample size was limited to examine if this could be explained by recency of use.

Study-specific analyses (univariate, unweighted, data not shown) have shown that for BRCA1 mutation carriers, the association between ever use and ovarian cancer risk was comparable for all studies (HR estimates varied between 0.43 and 0.82). For BRCA2 mutation carriers, sample size was too limited to stratify for study.

In the analyses of oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk, we included women with a personal history of breast cancer, treating breast cancer as a timedependent covariate. To further explore the impact of a potential association between oral contraceptive use and risk of breast cancer in these analyses, we censored for breast cancer diagnosis in a sensitivity analysis and thus excluded women with a personal breast cancer history. We found a virtually identical inverse association between oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer (ever vs never: BRCA1 HR, 0.47 [95% CI, 0.32-0.70]; BRCA2 HR, 0.57 [95% CI, 0.27-1.19]).

Results of the multiple imputation sensitivity analyses using the Cox model with either fixed- or random-effects agreed with our main results for duration of oral contraceptive use. For BRCA1 mutation carriers, a longer duration of oral contraceptive use was associated with a reduction in ovarian cancer risk (main results [HR, 0.92; P<.001]; multiple imputation fixed model [HR, 0.94; P<.001]; multiple imputation random model [HR, 0.94; P<.001]). For BRCA2 mutation carriers, no significant trend was found for duration of oral contraceptive use (main results [HR, 0.97; P=.45]; multiple imputation fixed model [HR, 0.98; P=.33]; multiple imputation random model [HR, 0.98; *P*=.49]).

TABLE 3
The associations of duration, recency, and starting use of oral contraceptives and risk of ovarian cancer for *BRCA1* mutation carriers

Variable	0vCa+	. n (%) ^a	OvCa-,	n (%) ^a	Oral contraceptive univariate, ^{b,c} HR (95% CI)	Oral contraceptiv multivariate, b,c,d HR (95% CI)
Mutually adjusted		, (,,,		(/3)	(00/00)	(66 % 6.)
Oral contraceptive never use	133	(43.0)	659	(20.2)		1.15 (0.40—3.34)
Total duration of use, y						,
<5	67	(21.7)	616	(18.9)	1.00	1.00
5—9	53	(17.2)	867	(26.6)	0.69 (0.43—1.09)	0.67 (0.40—1.12
<u>≥</u> 10	56	(18.2)	1121	(34.4)	0.40 (0.25—0.65)	0.37 (0.19—0.73
 Trend ^e					<i>P</i> =2.0E-04	P=.008
Time since last use, y						
<10	29	(9.4)	1478	(45.3)	1.00	1.00
10—19	60	(19.4)	648	(19.9)	1.35 (0.77-2.37)	0.96 (0.50—1.83)
<u>≥</u> 20	87	(28.2)	478	(14.7)	1.50 (0.85-2.66)	0.79 (0.35-1.78)
Trend ^e					<i>P</i> =.015	<i>P</i> =.238
Starting age, y						
<u>≤</u> 19	58	(18.8)	1632	(50.0)	1.00	1.00
20-23	51	(16.5)	580	(17.8)	1.07 (0.68—1.70)	0.98 (0.61-1.58
>23	67	(21.7)	392	(12.0)	1.51 (0.91-2.50)	1.15 (0.65-2.04
Trend ^e					<i>P</i> =.154	<i>P</i> =.665
Ever, starting age unknown	23		309			
Missing	14		71			
Stratified for recency of use					HR (95% CI) ^c	
Total duration of use						
Never (<6 mo)	133	(43.0)	659	(20.2)	1.00	
<15 y since last use						
<5 y	10	(3.2)	288	(8.8)	1.21 (0.55-2.68)	
5—9 y	16	(5.2)	580	(17.8)	0.61 (0.30-1.24)	
≥10 y	25	(8.1)	956	(29.3)	0.24 (0.14-0.43)	
Trend ^c					<i>P</i> =2.2e-04	
>15 y since last use						
<5 y	57	(18.5)	328	(10.1)	0.72 (0.48-1.07)	
5—9 y	37	(12.0)	287	(8.8)	0.47 (0.29-0.76)	
≥10 y	31	(10.0)	165	(5.1)	0.56 (0.18-0.59)	
Trend ^c					<i>P</i> =.374	
Ever, no period specific data	23		309			
Missing	14		71			

BRCA, breast cancer gene; CI, confidence interval; EMBRACE, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer; GENEPSO, Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire; HR, hazard ratio; OvCa, ovarian cancer.

^a Distribution of variables at end of follow-up; ^b Weighted: to account for the oversampling of affected individuals (breast and ovarian cancer); ^c Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, GENEPSO, other) and birth cohort (1920—1946, 1947—1954, 1955—1980). Clustered on family membership; ^d In addition: mutually adjusted for duration, time since, and age at start of oral contraceptive use; ^e Trend tests were based on the *P* value of the category-specific mean as a continuous variable of ever oral contraceptive users.

Schrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

The full-cohort approach included person-years from birth, adding 829 BRCA1 and 399 BRCA2 mutation carriers, where 209 and 68 of whom, respectively, were diagnosed with ovarian cancer. The average time between ovarian cancer diagnosis and enrollment was 5.4 years (range, 0-36 years) for BRCA1 and 5.8 years (range, 0-30 years) for BRCA2 mutation carriers (19% were enrolled >10 years following diagnosis). For both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, results of full-cohort analyses were consistent, but associations were slightly attenuated compared with those from left-(Supplemental truncated analyses Table 2 and Supplemental Table 3).

Discussion

Principal findings

Based on data for 3989 BRCA1 mutation carriers, we found a clear inverse association between oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk. Whereas both a longer duration and more recent use of oral contraceptives showed greater inverse associations with risk of ovarian cancer, duration of use was the prominent protective factor in multivariate analyses. The reduction with a longer duration of oral contraceptive use was still present more than 15 years after stopping. For BRCA2 mutation carriers (n=2445), the HR estimates were consistent, but CIs were wide.

Results in the context what is already known

To date, 4 retrospective studies have investigated the association between oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk stratified by gene mutation, 4,6,9,11 and only 1 conducted left-truncated analyses. The study of McLaughlin et al⁶ included a subset of the participants in Kotsopoulos et al⁴ and the study of Antoniou et al⁹ included a subset of the carriers included in this study. For both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, 2 independent studies^{4,11} have reported a stronger risk reduction with longer duration of use of oral contraceptives, but these authors did not consider other aspects of oral contraceptive use. Kotsopoulos et al4 found

strong risk reductions after short durations of use for both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers (BRCA1, 1-<3 years [odds ratio (OR), 0.56; 95% CI, 0.41-0.75]; BRCA2, 3-<5 years [OR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.22-0.83]). In contrast, we found modest, not significant, risk reductions for less than 5 years of use (HR, 0.79 [95% CI, 0.53-1.19] and 0.87 [95% CI, 0.42-1.80], respectively). For BRCA2 mutation carriers, we found only a significantly reduced risk for a duration of 5 to 9.99 years, but there was no evidence for a trend (P=.45). With the exception of "ever use" and "duration of use," the oral contraceptive aspects "age at start," "calendar year at start," and "time since last use" were investigated only by Antoniou et al,9 a subset of our study. The study of Antoniou et al⁹ included 2281 BRCA1 mutation carriers, 201 diagnosed with ovarian cancer, and 1038 BRCA2 mutation carriers, 52 diagnosed with ovarian cancer. In both analyses, we found decreasing risks of ovarian cancer with longer durations or oral contraceptive use and more recent oral contraceptive use for BRCA1 mutation carriers. However, in this study, after mutual adjustment of these related aspects of oral contraceptive use, only duration of use remained significantly associated with ovarian cancer risk. The power of the previous study was too limited to explore mutual adjustments.

In the general population, oral contraceptive use is associated with a reduced risk of ovarian cancer, and the reduction in risk of ovarian cancer is stronger for longer durations of oral contraceptive use. In addition, relative risks of ovarian cancer remained low for a prolonged period after stopping oral contraceptive use and only attenuated 20 years after stopping. 12 In our BRCA1 analysis of duration of use within categories of recency of use, contraceptive-associated risk reductions persisted for a long period. Whether the risk reduction attenuated over time could not be confirmed for BRCA1 mutation carriers but was not ruled out. For BRCA2 mutation carriers. a similar analysis was not possible because of the small sample size.

Clinical and research implications

Although oral contraceptive use might be considered a preventive approach for developing ovarian cancer, its use in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers needs to be weighed against the possible association of oral contraceptive use with increased risk of breast cancer. 13 However, the inverse association with ovarian cancer is stronger than the possible positive association with breast cancer risk. The cumulative risk of breast cancer is 43% (95% CI, 39-49; BRCA1) and 35% (95% CI, 29-41; BRCA2) at age 50 years, when the cumulative of ovarian cancer is 8% (95% CI, 6-12; BRCA1) and 0% (95% CI, 0-2; BRCA2).1 Consequently, in the years before ovarian cancer incidence starts to rise for mutation carriers, the beneficial effect of oral contraceptive use on ovarian cancer risk will likely not outweigh the potential increased risk of oral contraceptive use on breast cancer risk. In addition, in many Western countries to date, most BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers (70% -75%) opt for RRSO around age 40 when childbearing completed; 14,15 however, the uptake of a risk-reducing mastectomy is low (35% -44%)¹⁶ and varies widely among countries. In addition, further research on the absolute effects of the associations of oral contraceptive use with breast and ovarian cancer weighted with the current practice of risk-reducing surgery is needed.

Strengths and limitations

Limitations of retrospective studies in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers include the potential testing and survival biases because of the inclusion of prevalent cases. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers tested in clinics were not randomly sampled with respect to their disease status. Generally, the first woman in the family who is tested has a personal history of breast or ovarian cancer. Most carriers were selected from high-risk families qualifying for genetic testing, resulting in an oversampling of women with breast and ovarian cancer. To correct for the potential testing bias, we used the extended weighted approach developed by Antoniou et al,^{8,9} in which women were differentially weighted according to whether they had breast or ovarian cancer or were unaffected, to ensure that agespecific incidence rates implied by the weighted cohort were consistent with known incidence rates for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers. Because reliable weight calculations were impossible to obtain because of the subgroup sample size, subanalyses on birth cohort and study were unweighted. However, the results of unweighted analyses were informative, giving a direction for further research.

Survival bias might occur if oral contraceptive use is associated with survival after ovarian cancer diagnosis. Studies in the general population have suggested that oral contraceptive use before a diagnosis of ovarian cancer is associated with better outcomes. 12,17-21 A metaanalysis has shown that the greatest difference in survival was associated with duration of use of oral contraceptives of over 5 years within the last 20 years of use. 19 However, studies of BRCA1 and *BRCA2* mutation carriers are lacking. ^{22–24} If oral contraceptive use has a similar effect on ovarian cancer survival in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, HR estimates based on retrospective studies would be biased toward the null hypothesis of no association. Consistent with the results of our lefttruncated analysis, associations between oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk were somewhat stronger than the associations in the full-retrospective analyses for both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Because the prognosis of ovarian cancer might be poor, a left truncation at 3 years would be even better. However, the number of ovarian cancer cases would drop from 346 to 245 for BRCA1 and from 106 to 64 for BRCA2.

Data on specific oral contraceptive formulation used were not available. Analyses stratified on calendar year of starting oral contraceptive use (HR estimates varied between 0.45 and 0.73) (Table 1) and study or country (HR estimates varied between 0.43 and 0.82) have shown comparable HRs among strata, with overlapping CIs.

A prospective analysis based on incident ovarian cancer cases after DNA testing would, in principle, eliminate testing and survival bias completely. However, such studies are challenging given the high uptake of RRSO after genetic testing. This results in a short duration of prospective follow-up and increased chance of informative censoring.

Conclusions

For *BRCA1* mutation carriers, oral contraceptive use was associated with a reduction in ovarian cancer risk. The risk was more strongly reduced with longer durations of oral contraceptive use, and risk reductions persisted for a long period. The findings for *BRCA2* mutation carriers were similar, but sample size was limited to make definitive conclusions.

Acknowledgments Study-specific acknowledgments

The Spanish National Cancer Center would like to thank Alicia Barroso, Rosario Alonso, and Guillermo Pita for their assistance.

We would like to acknowledge the members of the following Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire centers-the Coordinating Center, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, France, Catherine Noguès, Lilian Laborde, Pauline Pontois, Emanuelle Breysse, and Margot Berline, and the Collaborating Centers, Institut Curie, Paris, Dominique Stoppa-Lyonnet, Marion Gauthier-Villars, Bruno Buecher, and Chrystelle Colas; Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, Olivier Caron; Hôpital René Huguenin and Institut Curie, Saint Cloud, Catherine Noguès, Emmanuelle Mouret-Fourme, Claire Saule, and Chrystelle Colas; Centre Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, Jean-Pierre Fricker; Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, Christine Lasset, Valérie Bonadona, and Sophie Dussard; Centre François Baclesse, Caen, Pascaline Berthet; Hôpital d'Enfants, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire (CHU) Dijon -Centre Georges François Leclerc, Dijon, Laurence Faivre; Centre Alexis Vautrin, Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, Elisabeth Luporsi; Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, Véronique Mari; Institut Claudius Regaud, Toulouse, Laurence Gladieff; Réseau Oncogénétique Poitou Charente, Niort, Paul Gesta and Stéphanie Chieze-Valéro; Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, Catherine Noguès, Jessica Moretta Hagay Sobol, François Eisinger, and Cornel Popovici; Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, Michel Longy; Centre Eugène Marquis, Rennes, Louise Grivelli; GH Pitié Salpétrière, Paris, Chrystelle Colas, Florent Soubrier, and Patrick Benusiglio; CHU Arnaud de Villeneuve, Montpellier, Isabelle Coupier, Pascal Pujol, and Carole Corsini; Centres Paul Papin and ICO, Marie-Emmanuelle Morin-Angers, Meschin; CliniqueCatherine de Sienne, Nantes, Alain Lortholary; Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille, Claude Adenis and Audrey Maillez; Institut Jean Godinot, Reims, Tan Dat Nguyen; Centre René Gauducheau, Nantes, Capucine Delnatte and Caroline Abadie; Centre Henri Becquerel, Rouen, Julie Tinat and Isabelle Tennevet; Hôpital Civil, Strasbourg, Christine Maugard; Centre Jean Perrin, Clermont-Ferrand, Yves-Jean Bignon and Mathilde Gay Bellile; Polyclinique Courlancy, Reims, Clotilde Penet; Clinique Sainte Catherine, Avignon, Hélène Dreyfus; Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, Odile Cohen-Haguenauer; **CHRU** Dupuvtren, Limoges, Brigitte Gilbert and Laurence Venat-Bouvet: CHU de Grenoble, Dominique Leroux, Clémentine Legrand, and Hélène Dreyfus; Hôpital de la Timone, Marseille, Hélène Zattara-Cannoni; Hôpital Jacques Monod, le Havre, Valérie Layet and Elodie Lacaze; CHU Chambéry, Chambéry, Sandra Fert-Ferrer; Hôpital Clarac, Fort de France, Odile Bera; CHU la Milétrie, Poitiers, Brigitte Gilbert-Dussardier, David Tougeron, and Stéphanie Chieze-Valéro; Hôpital Saint-Louis, la Rochelle, Hakima Lallaoui; and CH Pellegrin, Bordeaux, Julie Tinat.

The Health Care Service Corporation would like to acknowledge Alicia Tosar and Paula Diaque for their technical assistance.

The Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands (HEBON) consists of the following Collaborating Centers: Netherlands Cancer Institute (coordinating center), Amsterdam, the Netherlands, M.A. Rookus, F.B.L. Hogervorst, F.E. van

Leeuwen, M.A. Adank, M.K. Schmidt, and D.J. Jenner; Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, J.M. Collée, A.M.W. van den Ouweland, M.J. Hooning, and I.A. Boere; Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands, C.J. van Asperen, P. Devilee, R.B. van der Luijt, and T.C.T.E.F. van Cronenburg; Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, the Netherlands, M.R. Wevers and A.R. Mensenkamp; University Medical Center Utrecht, Netherlands, M.G.E.M. Ausems and M.J. Koudijs; Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands, I. van de Beek; Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Amsterdam, Universiteit Netherlands, K. van Engelen and J.J.P. Gille; Maastricht University Medical Center, the Netherlands, E.B. Gómez García, M.J. Blok, and M. de Boer; University of Groningen, the Netherlands, L.P.V. Berger, A.H. van der Hout, M.J.E. Mourits, and G.H. de Bock; The Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL):, S. Siesling and J. Verloop; the nationwide network and registry of histo- and cytopathology in the Netherlands (PALGA), E.C. van den Broek. HEBON would like to thank the study participants and the registration teams of IKNL and PALGA for part of the data collection.

INHERIT would like to thank Dr Martine Dumont for sample management and skillful assistance.

The Masaryk Memorial Cancer Institute, Brno, Czech Republic, would like to thank Dita Hanouskova, Jitka Berkovcova, Sarka and Rathouzska for their technical assistance.

We wish to thank the Hungarian Breast and Ovarian Cancer Study group members (Department of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Oncology, Budapest, Hungary: Maria Balogh, Janos Papp, Matrai Zoltan, and Judit Franko) and the clinicians and patients for their contributions to this study.

We would like to thank the following Swedish scientists participating as Swedish Breast Cancer Study collaborators from Lund University and University Hospital, Håkan Olsson and Carolina Ellberg, and from Stockholm and Karolinska University Hospital, Brita Arver.

Finally, we would like to thank Kelly-Anne Phillips, an Australian National Breast Cancer Foundation Fellow.

References

- 1. Kuchenbaecker KB, Hopper JL, Barnes DR, et al. Risks of breast, ovarian, and contralateral breast cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. JAMA 2017;317:2402-16.
- 2. Fasching PA, Beckmann MW. Hormones and genetics caught in the crossfire of preventive medicine. Lancet Oncol 2007;8:5-6.
- 3. Gadducci A, Sergiampietri C, Tana R. Alternatives to risk-reducing surgery for ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol 2013;24(Suppl8):viii47-53.
- 4. Kotsopoulos J. Lubinski J. Gronwald J. et al. Factors influencing ovulation and the risk of ovarian cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Int J Cancer 2015;137:1136-46.
- 5. Walker JL, Powell CB, Chen LM, et al. Society of Gynecologic Oncology recommendations for the prevention of ovarian cancer. Cancer 2015;121:2108-20.
- 6. McLaughlin JR, Risch HA, Lubinski J, et al. Reproductive risk factors for ovarian cancer in carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations: a casecontrol study. Lancet Oncol 2007;8:26-34.
- 7. Goldgar D, Bonnardel C, Renard H, Yagoubi O. The International BRCA1 /2Carrier Cohort Study: purpose, rationale, and study design. Breast Cancer Res 2000;2:E010.
- 8. Antoniou AC, Goldgar DE, Andrieu N, et al. A weighted cohort approach for analysing factors modifying disease risks in carriers of highrisk susceptibility genes. Genet Epidemiol 2005;29:1-11.
- 9. Antoniou AC, Rookus M, Andrieu N, et al. Reproductive and hormonal factors, and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from the International BRCA1/2 Carrier Cohort Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:601-10.
- 10. Austin PC. A tutorial on multilevel survival analysis: methods, models and applications. Int Stat Rev 2017:85:185-203.
- 11. Gronwald J, Byrski T, Huzarski T, et al. Influence of selected lifestyle factors on breast and ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1 mutation carriers from Poland. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;95:105-9.
- 12. Collaborative Group on Epidemiological Studies of Ovarian Cancer, Beral V, Doll R, Hermon C. Peto R. Reeves G. Ovarian cancer and oral contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of data from 45 epidemiological studies including 23,257 women with ovarian cancer and 87,303 controls. Lancet 2008;371:303-14.
- 13. Schrijver LH, Olsson H, Phillips KA, et al. Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer risk: retrospective and prospective analyses from a BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carrier cohort study. JNCI Cancer Spectr 2018;2:pky023.
- 14. Garcia C, Wendt J, Lyon L, et al. Risk management options elected by women after testing positive for a BRCA mutation. Gynecol Oncol 2014;132:428-33.

- 15. Mannis GN, Fehniger JE, Creasman JS, Jacoby VL, Beattie MS. Risk-reducing salpingooophorectomy and ovarian cancer screening in 1077 women after BRCA testing. JAMA Intern Med 2013;173:96-103.
- 16. van Driel CM, Eltahir Y, de Vries J, et al. Riskreducing mastectomy in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: factors influencing uptake and timing. Maturitas 2014;77:180-4.
- 17. Jatoi A, Foster NR, Kalli KR, et al. Prior oral contraceptive use in ovarian cancer patients: assessing associations with overall and progression-free survival. BMC Cancer 2015;15:711.
- 18. Kolomeyevskaya NV, Szender JB, Zirpoli G, et al. Oral contraceptive use and reproductive characteristics affect survival in patients With epithelial ovarian cancer: a cohort study. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2015;25:1587-92.
- 19. Poole EM, Merritt MA, Jordan SJ, et al. Hormonal and reproductive risk factors for epithelial ovarian cancer by tumor aggressiveness. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers 2013;22:429-37.
- 20. Charlton ВМ, Rich-Edwards Colditz GA, et al. Oral contraceptive use and mortality after 36 years of follow-up in the Nurses' Health Study: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2014;349:g6356.
- 21. Hannaford PC, Iversen L, Macfarlane TV, Elliott AM, Angus V, Lee AJ. Mortality among contraceptive pill users: cohort evidence from Royal College of General Practitioners' Oral Contraception Study. BMJ 2010;340:c927.
- 22. Bešević J, Gunter MJ, Fortner RT, et al. Reproductive factors and epithelial ovarian cancer survival in the EPIC cohort study. Br J Cancer 2015;113:1622-31.
- 23. Nagle CM, Bain CJ, Green AC, Webb PM. The influence of reproductive and hormonal factors on ovarian cancer survival. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2008;18:407-13.
- 24. Yang L, Klint A, Lambe M, et al. Predictors of ovarian cancer survival: a population-based prospective study in Sweden. Int J Cancer 2008;123:672-9.

Author and article information

From the Department of Epidemiology, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Ms Schrijver, Ms Mooij, Ms Roos-Blom, Ms Azarang, Ms Leeuwen, and Ms Rookus); Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom (Mr Antoniou, Mr Barrowdale, Ms Frost, and Mr Easton); Department of Oncology, Lund University Hospital, Lund, Sweden (Dr Olsson); Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Chapel Allerton Hospital, Leeds, United Kingdom (Dr Adlard); North East Thames Regional Genetics Service, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom (Dr Ahmed); Department of Clinical Genetics, South Glasgow University Hospitals, Glasgow, United Kingdom (Dr Davidson); Department of Clinical Genetics, St. Michael's Hospital, Bristol, United Kingdom (Dr Donaldson); Oncogenetics Team, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom (Dr Eeles); Division of Evolution and Genomic Sciences, Department of Genomic Medicine, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, Manchester University, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom (Dr. Evans); Centre for Life, Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom (Dr Henderson); Clinical Genetics, Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom (Ms Izatt); West Midlands Regional Genetics Service, Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Edgbaston, Birmingham, United Kingdom (Dr Ong); Université Claude Bernard Lvon 1. Villeurbanne, France (Dr Bonadona); Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unités Mixtes de Recherche, Lyon, France (Dr Bonadona); Centre Léon Bérard, Unité de Prévention et Epidémiologie Génétique, Lyon, France (Dr Bonadona); Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Montpellier, France (Dr Coupier); Service de Génétique médicale et Oncogénétique, Montpellier, France (Dr Coupier); Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Marseille Val d'Aurel, Montpellier, France (Dr Coupier); Genomic and Immunotherapy Medical Institute, Hôpital d'Enfants, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Dijon, Dijon, France (Dr Faivre); Unité d'Oncogénétique, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Georges François Leclerc, Dijon, France (Dr Faivre); Unité d' Oncogénétique, Centre Paul Strauss, Strasbourg, France (Dr Fricker); Service d'Oncogénétique Régional Poitou-Charentes, Centre Hospitalier Georges Renon, Niort, France (Dr Gesta); Department of Clinical Genetics, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Dr Van Engelen); Department of Medical Oncology, Family Cancer Clinic, Erasmus Medical Center Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (Dr Jager); Family Cancer Clinic, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Dr Menko); Department of Gynaecology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands (Dr Mourits): Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria (Dr Singer and Dr Tan); Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Masaryk Memorial Cancer Institute, Brno, Czech Republic (Dr Foretova and Ms Navratilova); Medical Faculty, University of Cologne and University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany (Dr Schmutzler); Division of Oncology and Pathology, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund, Sweden (Ms Ellberg); Department of Clinical Genetics, Rigshospitalet, København, Denmark (Dr Gerdes); Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Hospital Clinico San Carlos, Instituto de Investigación Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer, Martin Lagos, Madrid, Spain (Dr Caldes); Genomics Center. Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec - Université Laval Research Center, Québec City, Québec, Canada (Mr Simard); Department of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Oncology, Budapest, Hungary (Ms Olah); Department of Genetics and Pathology, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland (Ms Jakubowska); Independent Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Genetic Diagnostics, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland (Ms Jakubowska);

Department of Clinical Genetics, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (Dr Rantala); Human Genetics Group, Centro Nacional De Investigaciones Oncologicas, Madrid, Spain (Ms Osorio); Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras, Madrid, Spain (Ms Osorio); Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics. Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia (Mr Hopper, Dr Phillips, and Mr Milne); Department of Oncology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia (Dr Phillips); Department of Medical Oncology, Peter Mac-Callum Cancer Centre, Victoria, Australia (Dr Phillips); Cancer Epidemiology Division, Cancer Council Victoria. Melbourne, Victoria, Australia (Mr Milne); Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY (Ms Terry); Département d'Anticipation et de Suivi des Cancers, Oncogénétique Clinique, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, France (Dr Noquès); Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Sciences Economiques and Sociales de la Santé and Traitement de l'Information Médicale, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France (Dr Noguès); Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology, University of Leipzig, Germany (Dr Engel); Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Medical Faculty and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany (Dr Kast); Department of Dermatology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT (Mr Goldgar); Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Paris, France (Ms Andrieu); Institut Curie, Paris, France (Ms Andrieu); Mines ParisTech, Fontainebleau, Paris, France (Ms Andrieu); and Paris Sciences et Lettres University, Paris, France (Ms Andrieu).

Received April 6, 2020; revised Oct. 30, 2020; accepted Jan. 19, 2021.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

This work was supported by Cancer Research UK grants C12292/A20861 and C12292/A11174.

This work was partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (SAF2014-57680-R) and the Spanish Centre for Biomedical Network Research on Rare Diseases. This work was partially supported by Chancellors Distinguished Chair in Biomedical Sciences Professorship. FPGMX grant number FISPI05/2275 and by the Mutua Madrileña Foundation (FMMA).

Part of this work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for the "CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer" program (grant number CRN-87521) and the Ministry of Economic Development, Innovation and Export Trade (grant number PSR-SIIRI-701). The PERSPECTIVE project was supported by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (GPH-129344), the Ministère de l'Économie, de la Science et de l'Innovation du Québec through Genome Québec, and the Quebec Breast Cancer Foundation.

This study was supported by the German Cancer Research Center.

EMBRACE is supported by Cancer Research UK grants C1287/A10118 and C1287/A11990. D.G.E. is supported by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) grant through the Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester (IS-BRC-1215-20007). The investigators at The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust are supported by an NIHR grant through the Biomedical Research Centre at The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust. R.E. and E.B. are supported by a Cancer Research UK grant C5047/A8385. R.E. is also supported by the NIHR through the Biomedical Research Centre at The Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust. A.C.A. is funded by Cancer Research UK grants C12292/A20861, C12292/A11174.

The German Consortium of Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer is supported by the German Cancer Aid (grant number 110837; R.K.S.). This work was supported by LIFE - Leipzig Research Center for Civilization Diseases, Universität Leipzig. LIFE is funded by means of the European Union, by the European Regional Development Fund, and by means of the Free State of Saxony within the framework of the excellence initiative.

The national French cohort, GENEPSO, had been supported by a grant from the Fondation de France and by grants from the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer and is being supported by a grant from Institute National du Cancer as part of the European program ERA-NET on Translational Cancer Research (TRANSCAN-JTC2012, n2014-008)

The Health Care Service Corporation was supported by a grant RD12/0036/0006 and 15/00059 from Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Spain), partially supported by European Regional Development FEDER funds.

The HEBON study is supported by the Dutch Cancer Society grants NKI1998-1854, NKI2004-3088, and NKI2007-3756; the Netherlands Organisation of Scientific Research grant NWO 91109024; the Pink Ribbon grants 110005 and 2014-187.W076; the Biobanking and BioMolecular Resources Research Infrastructure grant NWO 184.021.007/CP46; and the TRANSCAN grant JTC 2012 Cancer 12-054.

The International Hereditary Cancer Centre was supported by grant number PBZ_KBN_122/P05/2004 and by the National Center for Research and Development (NCBR) within the framework of the international ERA-NET TRANSAN JTC 2012 application number Cancer 12-054 (contract number ERA-NET-TRANSCAN/07/ 2014).

MODSQUAD — Czech Republic, Brno, was supported by MH CZ - DRO (MMCI, 00209805).

The Hungarian Breast and Ovarian Cancer Study was supported by Hungarian research grants KTIA-OTKA CK-80745 and NKFI OTKA K-112228 and by the Norwegian EEA Financial Mechanism HU0115/NA/2008-3/ ÖP-9.

Lund-BRCA collaborators are supported by the Swedish Cancer Society, Lund Hospital Funds, and European Research Council advanced grant ERC-2011-294576. Stockholm-BRCA collaborators are supported by the Swedish Cancer Society.

This study was presented as a poster at the 7th International Symposium of the Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Foundation and the McGill Program in Cancer Genetics, Montreal, Québec, Canada, May 8-11, 2018.

Corresponding author: Matti A. Rookus, PhD. m. rookus@nki.nl

GYNECOLOGY Original Research

_	~
707	2
	American
2	מייי
9	2
Oportino C	Thetatrice &
- uyilcoolog	t Evnecolor
	5

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1
The association between of

en oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer, by attained age for 3989 BRCA1 mutation carriers

Attained age of <50) y (n=3268)		Attained age of >50 y (n=958)			
0νCa+, n (%) ^a	0νCa-, n (%) ^a	HR (95% CI) ^{b,c}	0νCa+, n (%) ^c	0νCa-, n (%) ^c	HR (95% CI) ^{b,c}	
150 (4.6)	3118 (95.4)		196 (20.5)	762 (79.5)		
43.6 (4.6)	37.3 (8.5)		57.9 (5.9)	57.2 (6.3)		
77 (51.3)	2402 (77.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		
73 (48.7)	716 (23.0)		165 (84.2)	663 (87.0)		
0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		31 (15.8)	99 (13.0)		
50 (34.7)	467 (15.7)	1.00	83 (46.9)	251 (35.9)	1.00	
94 (65.3)	2507 (84.3)		94 (53.1)	448 (64.1)	0.63 (0.43-0.92)	
0	91		11	41		
6	53		8	22		
50 (35.7)	467 (16.7)	1.00	83 (49.1)	251 (37.0)	1.00	
36 (25.7)	524 (18.7)	0.86 (0.52-1.44)	31 (18.3)	135 (19.9)	0.62 (0.38-1.02)	
28 (20.0)	800 (28.5)	0.48 (0.27—0.85)	25 (14.8)	119 (17.6)	0.77 (0.45-1.33)	
26 (18.6)	1013 (36.1)	0.27 (0.15—0.49)	30 (17.8)	173 (25.5)	0.52 (0.31-0.86)	
4	261		19	62		
6	53		8	22		
		<i>P</i> =2.8E-04			<i>P</i> =.471	
	OvCa+, n (%) ^a 150 (4.6) 43.6 (4.6) 77 (51.3) 73 (48.7) 0 (0.0) 50 (34.7) 94 (65.3) 0 6 50 (35.7) 36 (25.7) 28 (20.0) 26 (18.6) 4	150 (4.6) 3118 (95.4) 43.6 (4.6) 37.3 (8.5) 77 (51.3) 2402 (77.0) 73 (48.7) 716 (23.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 50 (34.7) 467 (15.7) 94 (65.3) 2507 (84.3) 0 91 6 53 50 (35.7) 467 (16.7) 36 (25.7) 524 (18.7) 28 (20.0) 800 (28.5) 26 (18.6) 1013 (36.1) 4 261	OvCa+, n (%) ^a OvCa-, n (%) ^a HR (95% Cl) ^{b,c} 150 (4.6) 3118 (95.4) 43.6 (4.6) 37.3 (8.5) 77 (51.3) 2402 (77.0) 73 (48.7) 716 (23.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 50 (34.7) 467 (15.7) 1.00 94 (65.3) 2507 (84.3) 0 91 6 53 50 (35.7) 467 (16.7) 1.00 36 (25.7) 524 (18.7) 0.86 (0.52-1.44) 28 (20.0) 800 (28.5) 0.48 (0.27-0.85) 26 (18.6) 1013 (36.1) 0.27 (0.15-0.49) 4 261 6 53	OvCa+, n (%) ^a OvCa-, n (%) ^a HR (95% Cl) ^{b,c} OvCa+, n (%) ^c 150 (4.6) 3118 (95.4) 196 (20.5) 43.6 (4.6) 37.3 (8.5) 57.9 (5.9) 77 (51.3) 2402 (77.0) 0 (0.0) 73 (48.7) 716 (23.0) 165 (84.2) 0 (0.0) 31 (15.8) 50 (34.7) 467 (15.7) 1.00 83 (46.9) 94 (65.3) 2507 (84.3) 94 (53.1) 0 91 11 6 53 8 50 (35.7) 467 (16.7) 1.00 83 (49.1) 36 (25.7) 524 (18.7) 0.86 (0.52-1.44) 31 (18.3) 28 (20.0) 800 (28.5) 0.48 (0.27-0.85) 25 (14.8) 26 (18.6) 1013 (36.1) 0.27 (0.15-0.49) 30 (17.8) 4 261 19 6 53 8	OvCa+, n (%) ^a OvCa-, n (%) ^a HR (95% Cl) ^{b,c} OvCa+, n (%) ^c OvCa-, n (%) ^c 150 (4.6) 3118 (95.4) 196 (20.5) 762 (79.5) 43.6 (4.6) 37.3 (8.5) 57.9 (5.9) 57.2 (6.3) 77 (51.3) 2402 (77.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 73 (48.7) 716 (23.0) 165 (84.2) 663 (87.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 31 (15.8) 99 (13.0) 50 (34.7) 467 (15.7) 1.00 83 (46.9) 251 (35.9) 94 (65.3) 2507 (84.3) 94 (53.1) 448 (64.1) 0 91 11 41 6 53 8 22 50 (35.7) 467 (16.7) 1.00 83 (49.1) 251 (37.0) 36 (25.7) 524 (18.7) 0.86 (0.52-1.44) 31 (18.3) 135 (19.9) 28 (20.0) 800 (28.5) 0.48 (0.27-0.85) 25 (14.8) 119 (17.6) 26 (18.6) 1013 (36.1) 0.27 (0.15-0.49) 30 (17.8) 173 (25.5) 4 261 19 62	

Data are presented as number (percentage), unless otherwise indicated.

CI, confidence interval; EMBRACE, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer; GENEPSO, Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire; HR, hazard ratio; OvCa, ovarian cancer.

Schrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

^a Distribution of variables at end of follow-up; ^b Weighted: to account for the oversampling of affected individuals (breast and ovarian cancer); ^c Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, GENEPSO, other) and birth cohort (1920—1946, 1947—1954, 1955—1980). Clustered on family membership; ^d Trend tests were based on the *P* value of the category-specific mean as a continuous variable of ever oral contraceptive users.

	BRCA1 mutation	carriers	BRCA2 mutation	carriers
	0vCa+	OvCa-	0vСа +	0vCa-
Characteristic	n=4818		n=2844	
n (%)	555 (11.5)	4263 (88.5)	174 (6.1)	2670 (93.9
Mean age at end of follow-up (SD)	50.2 (8.8)	40.6 (11.1)	55.1 (9.4)	43.3 (11.6
Age at end of follow-up				
<37 y	30 (5.4)	1619 (38.0)	7 (4.0)	779 (29.2
37—46 y	163 (29.4)	1447 (33.9)	22 (12.6)	910 (34.
>47 y	362 (65.2)	1197 (28.1)	145 (83.3)	981 (36.7
Mean person-years (y/person) (SD)	50.2 (8.8)	40.6 (11.1)	55.1 (9.4)	43.3 (11.0
Censored for				
Ovarian cancer	555 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	174 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
DNA test or baseline questionnaire	0 (0.0)	3781 (88.7)	0 (0.0)	2357 (88.3
Other cancer	0 (0.0)	165 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	127 (4.8)
Bilateral RRS0	0 (0.0)	317 (7.4)	0 (0.0)	186 (7.0)
Year at end of follow-up				
1958—1989	68 (12.3)	121 (2.8)	20 (11.5)	86 (3.2)
1990—2000	257 (46.3)	1500 (35.2)	72 (41.4)	554 (20.
2001-2005	152 (27.4)	1472 (34.5)	88 (42.9)	1037 (38.
2006—2012	78 (14.1)	1170 (27.5)	62 (30.2)	993 (37.
Birth year				
1920—1941	143 (25.8)	295 (6.9)	79 (45.4)	244 (9.1)
1942—1950	189 (34.1)	579 (13.6)	50 (28.7)	417 (15.
1951—1992	223 (40.2)	3389 (79.5)	45 (25.9)	2009 (75.
Study ^a				
EMBRACE	187 (12.0)	1373 (88.0)	90 (6.6)	1265 (93.4
GENEPS0	99 (9.7)	918 (90.3)	23 (3.9)	575 (96.
HEBON	61 (7.6)	741 (92.4)	21 (8.9)	216 (91.
Other ^b	208 (14.5)	1231 (85.6)	40 (6.1)	614 (93.9
Breast cancer				
No	381 (68.7)	2658 (62.4)	127 (73.0)	1685 (63.
Yes	174 (31.4)	1605 (37.7)	47 (27.0)	985 (36.9

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2

Characteristics of 4818 BRCA1 and 2844 BRCA2 mutation carriers in the full-retrospective IBCCS (continued)

	BRCA1 mutation	n carriers	BRCA2 mutation	n carriers
	0vCa+	OvCa-	0vCa+	OvCa-
Characteristic	n=4818		n=2844	
Number of ovarian cancers among first- and second- degree relatives				
No ovarian cancer	209 (49.6)	2143 (63.4)	86 (66.7)	1571 (78.4)
1	148 (35.2)	884 (26.1)	31 (24.0)	343 (17.1)
≥2	64 (15.2)	355 (10.5)	12 (9.3)	89 (4.4)
Missing	133	880	44	667
Cancer type unknown	1	1	1	0

Data are presented as number (percentage), unless otherwise indicated.

BRCA, breast cancer; CNIO, Spanish National Cancer Center; DKFZ, German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research; EMBRACE, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer; GC-HBOC, German Consortium of Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer; GENEPSO, Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire; HCSC, Health Care Service Corporation; HEBON, Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands; HSP, Henoch-Schönlein purpura; IBCCS, International BRCA1/2 Carrier Cohort Study; IHCC, International Hereditary Cancer Center; INHERIT, INterdisciplinary HEalth Research International Team on BReast CAncer susceptibility, MODSQUAD, Modifier Study of Quantitative Effects on Disease; MUV, Medical University of Vienna; NIO, National Institute of Oceanography; OUH, Oxford University Hospitals; OvCa, ovarian cancer; RRSO, risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy; SD, standard deviation.

Schrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

^a The IBCCS is a collaboration of EMBRACE, GENEPSO, HEBON, and other studies; ^b Other studies included the following: MUV, MODSQUAD, GC-HBOC, Lund-BRCA, OUH, HCSC, INHERIT, NIO, IHCC, CNIO, Stockholm-BRCA, Milan Italy, HSP, DKFZ, and Dusseldorf Germany, Belgium (order is based on number of carriers included in the analyses).

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3

The association between aspects of oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer for 4818 *BRCA1* and 2844 *BRCA2* mutation carriers in the full-retrospective cohort

	BRCA1 mutation car	rriers		BRCA2 mutation carriers			
Variable	0νCa+ , n (%) ^a	0νCa-, n (%) ^a	Weighted, ^{b,c} HR (95% CI) ^d	0νCa+, n (%) ^a	0νCa-, n (%) ^a	Weighted, ^{b,c} HR (95% CI) ^d	
Oral contraceptive use							
Never (<6 mo)	235 (46.4)	793 (19.7)	1.00	85 (52.8)	513 (20.4)	1.00	
Ever	272 (53.7)	3235 (80.3)	0.64 (0.50-0.81)	76 (47.2)	1997 (79.6)	0.66 (0.43-1.00)	
Ever, starting age unknown	19	153		7	104		
Missing	29	82		6	56		
Calendar year at start							
Never (<6 mo)	235 (46.4)	793 (19.7)	1.00	85 (52.8)	513 (20.4)	1.00	
≤1975	182 (35.9)	909 (22.6)	0.57 (0.44-0.75)	60 (37.3)	621 (24.7)	0.69 (0.45-1.07)	
>1975	90 (17.8)	2326 (57.8)	0.77 (0.54—1.09)	16 (9.9)	1376 (54.8)	0.49 (0.25-0.97)	
Ever, starting year unknown	19	153		7	104		
Missing	29	82		6	56		
Total duration of use							
Never (<6 mo)	235 (48.3)	793 (20.8)	1.00	85 (53.8)	513 (21.6)	1.00	
<5 y	92 (18.9)	692 (18.2)	0.84 (0.61-1.14)	24 (15.2)	470 (19.8)	0.81 (0.46-1.43)	
5—9 y	79 (16.2)	1010 (26.5)	0.74 (0.53—1.04)	19 (12.0)	615 (25.9)	0.62 (0.34-1.14)	
≥10 y	81 (16.6)	1316 (34.5)	0.44 (0.31-0.61)	30 (19.0)	779 (32.8)	0.59 (0.35-0.99)	
Ever, no period specific data	39	370		10	237		
Missing	29	82		6	56		
Trend ^e			<i>P</i> =4.6E-04			<i>P</i> =0.369	
Time since last use							
Never (<6 mo)	235 (48.3)	793 (20.8)	1.00	85 (53.8)	513 (21.6)	1.00	
<10 y	56 (11.5)	1712 (44.9)	0.53 (0.37-0.77)	14 (8.9)	921 (38.8)	0.44 (0.22-0.86)	
10—19 y	94 (19.3)	773 (20.3)	0.70 (0.51-0.95)	22 (13.9)	483 (20.3)	0.70 (0.39-1.26)	
≥20 y	102 (20.9)	533 (14.0)	0.73 (0.54-1.00)	37 (23.4)	460 (19.4)	0.76 (0.45-1.26)	
Ever, no period specific data	39	370		10	237		
Missing	29	82		6	56		
Trend ^e			<i>P</i> =.113			<i>P</i> =.162	

GYNECOLOGY

Original Research

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3

The association between aspects of oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer for 4818 BRCA1 and 2844 BRCA2 mutation carriers in the fullretrospective cohort (continued)

	BRCA1 mutation ca	rriers		BRCA2 mutation ca	rriers	
Variable	OvCa+, n (%) ^a	0νCa-, n (%) ^a	Weighted, ^{b,c} HR (95% CI) ^d	OvCa+, n (%) ^a	0vCa-, n (%) ^a	Weighted, ^{b,c} HR (95% CI) ^d
Starting age						
Never (<6 mo)	235 (46.4)	793 (19.7)	1.00	85 (52.8)	513 (20.4)	1.00
≤19 y	83 (16.4)	2014 (50.0)	0.54 (0.38-0.76)	25 (15.5)	1125 (44.8)	0.92 (0.47-1.80)
20-23 y	86 (17.0)	703 (17.5)	0.68 (0.49-0.95)	17 (10.6)	518 (20.6)	0.55 (0.28-1.07)
>23 y	103 (20.3)	518 (12.9)	0.69 (0.51-0.95)	34 (21.1)	354 (14.1)	0.65 (0.40-1.05)
Ever, starting age unknown	19	153		7	104	
Missing	29	82		6	56	
Trend ^e			<i>P</i> =.292			<i>P</i> =.396

BRCA, breast cancer; CI, confidence interval; EMBRACE, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer; GENEPSO, Gene Etude Prospective Sein Ovaire; HEBON, Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Research Group Netherlands; HR, hazard ratio; OvCa, ovarian

Schrijver et al. Oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021.

a Distribution of variables at end of follow-up; b Weighted: to account for the oversampling of affected individuals (breast and ovarian cancer); Unweighted results: BRCA1 HR, 0.91; 95 Cl%, 0.77—1.08; BRCA2 HR, 1.02; 95 Cl%, 0.76—1.36. In both unweighted and weighted analyses, the same characteristics of oral contraceptive use were significantly associated; a Intrinsically stratified on study (EMBRACE, GENEPSO, HEBON, other) and birth cohort (1920—1941, 1942—1950, 1951—1992). Clustered on family membership; ^e Trend tests were based on the *P* value of the category-specific mean as a continuous variable of ever oral contraceptive users.