

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# International Journal of Infectious Diseases

INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR INFECTIOUS

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

# **Short Communication**

# Long-term persistence of symptoms of dyspnoea in COVID-19 patients



Nhu Ngoc Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, Van Thuan Hoang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Thi Loi Dao<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Line Meddeb<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Christophe Lagier<sup>2,4</sup>, Matthieu Million<sup>2,4</sup>, Didier Raoult<sup>2,4</sup>, Philippe Gautret<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Aix Marseille University, IRD, AP-HM, SSA, VITROME, Marseille, France
- $^{2}$  IHU-Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France
- <sup>3</sup> Thai Binh University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Thai Binh, Vietnam
- <sup>4</sup> Aix Marseille University, IRD, AP-HM, MEPHI, Marseille, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 October 2021 Revised 19 November 2021 Accepted 22 November 2021

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 COVID-19 dyspnoea long COVID

To the Editor

Even after recovering from the acute phase of COVID-19, patients may report the persistence of symptoms that have become known as 'long COVID' (Akbarialiabad et al., 2021). In one metaanalysis of nine studies conducted on 1,816 patients between three weeks and three months after discharge from hospital, the persistence of dyspnoea, chest pain and a cough affected 37%, 16% and 14% of patients, respectively (Cares-Marambio et al., 2021). These proportions gradually decrease with follow-up time. In a crosssectional study conducted on 574 patients eight months after recovery, dyspnoea was the most frequent sequelae affecting 29% of patients (Zheng et al., 2021). In one prospective cohort study conducted on 588 COVID-19 patients, 14% of patients reported dyspnoea at eight months of follow-up (Soraas et al., 2021). In this study, we aim to estimate the rate of persistent dyspnoea in French COVID-19 patients, as evaluated after at least six months of followup and to investigate the risk factors for this persistence.

We identified confirmed COVID-19 patients who reported dyspnoea during the acute phase of the disease from among a cohort of 3,737 patients tested at our institute between 3 March and 27 April 2020 (Lagier *et al.*, 2020). Patients with pre-existing chronic respiratory diseases and chronic heart disease were excluded from this

E-mail address: philippe.gautret@club-internet.fr (P. Gautret).

analysis. Information on demographics, comorbidities, and acute symptoms were retrieved from medical files.

The selected patients were interviewed by telephone and asked to complete a questionnaire on possible persistent dyspnoea. Statistical analysis was performed by R 3.6.1 software (R Core team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2020. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.j.doi.org/10.101 //www.r-project.org). Multiple logistic regression model were applied to explore the association between patient characteristics and dyspnoea at the acute phase and at the follow-up time. Variables with p-values < 0.2 in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis. Of the 3,737 COVID-19 patients, 3,222 had no known chronic respiratory disease and/or chronic heart disease at the time of inclusion and 880/3,222 (27.3%) reported dyspnoea at the acute phase of COVID-19. Being female or obese and consulting six or more days after the onset of symptoms were independently associated with dyspnoea. Patients with dyspnoea at admission were more likely to receive oxygen therapy. In univariate analysis, hypoxaemia, oxygen saturation ≤94%, C-reactive protein >5 mg/L, neutrophils >7.5 Giga/L, eosinophils <0.1 Giga/L, lymphocyte <1 Giga/L associated with dyspnoea, but a multivariate analysis was not conducted due to a high proportion of missing information. (Table 1). Of 880 patients, we randomly selected 838 patients for the telephone interview. Among them, 496/838 (59.2%) answered the questionnaire and 342/838 (40.8%) were lost to follow-up. Patients who responded were significantly less likely to report hypertension, to present severe symptoms, to require oxygen upon inclusion, to undergo prolonged hospitalisation and

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Philippe Gautret, VITROME, Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Méditerranée Infection, 19-21 Boulevard Jean Moulin 13385 Marseille Cedex 05, France.

Table 1 Risk factors for dyspnoea during the acute phase (n=3,222)

		No dyspnoea (n=2,342)%	Dyspnoea (n=880)%	Univariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value	Multivariate analysis <sup>a</sup> OR [95%CI] p-value
Age	Mean ± SD	43.6 ± 15.8	43.7 ± 15.5		
	Range	18-96	18-94		
	< 45 (n=1,720)	1,255	465	ref	
	, ,	(53.6)	(52.8)		
	$\geq$ 45 (n=1,502)	1,087	415	1.03	
		(46.4)	(47.2)	[0.88–1.21] 0.71	
Sex	Male (n=1,464)	1,107	357	ref	ref
c.i	(ii=1,101)	(47.3)	(40.6)	161	101
	Females $(n=1,758)$	1,235	523	1.31	1.38
		(52.7)	(59.4)	[1.12–1.54] <b>0.0007</b>	[1.17–1.61] <b>0.0001</b>
hronic conditions					0.0001
Hypertension	No (n=2,845)	2,080	765	ref	
		(88.8)	(86.9)		
	Yes (n=377)	262	115	1.19	
		(11.2)	(13.1)	[0.94-1.52]	
				0.14	
Diabetes	No (n=2999)	2179	820	ref	
		(93.0)	(93.2)		
	Yes (n=223)		60	0.98	
	•	163	(6.8)	[0.71-1.34]	
		(7.0)	· · · · · ·	0.89	
Cancer	No (n=3,138)	2,280	858	ref	
		(97.4)	(97.5)		
	Yes (n=84)	62	22	0.94	
	111 (11 11)	(2.6)	(2.5)	[0.55-1.56]	
N!	N- (- 2.002)	2.124	750	0.81	6
Dbesity	No (n=2,882)	2,124	758	ref	ref
	V (- 240)	(90.7)	(86.1)	1.57	1.45
	Yes (n=340)	218	122	1.57	1.45
		(9.3)	(13.9)	[1.23–1.99] <b>0.0002</b>	[1.13–1.84] <b>0.003</b>
Co-medications	N- (- 2141)	2.205	050	¢	6
eta blockers	No (n=3,141)	2,285	856	ref	ref
		(97.6)	(97.3)		
	Yes (n=81)	57	24	1.12	
		(2.4)	(2.7)	[0.66–1.85] 0.63	
Dihydropyridine	No (n=3,117)	2,269	848	ref	
mydropyridine	140 (11=3,117)	(96.9)	(96.4)	ici	
	Vos(n=105)	73	32	1.17	
	Yes(n=105)				
		(3.1)	(3.6)	[0.74–1.82]	
	No (= 2.107)	2 225	073	0.46	
Ingiotensin-converting enzyme	No (n=3,197)	2,325	872	ref	
inhibitors		(99.3)	(99.1)		
	Yes (n=25)	17	8	1.25	
		(0.7)	(0.9)	[0.47-3.08]	
				0.59	
Angiotensin II receptor blocker	No (n=3,107)	2,258	849	ref	
•	•	(96.4)	(96.5)		
	Yes (n=115)	84	31	0.98	
	• - /	(3.6)	(3.5)	[0.62-1.51]	
		(/	()	0.93	
Metformin	No (n=3,125)	2,273	852	ref	
Metformin	(,1=0)	(97.1)	(96.8)		
Metformin		(~)	28	1.08	
1etformin	Yes (n=97)	69			
Metformin	Yes (n=97)	69 (2.9)		[0.67-1.72]	
Metformin	Yes (n=97)	69 (2.9)	(3.2)	[0.67-1.72] 0.72	
		(2.9)	(3.2)	0.72	
	Yes (n=97) No (n=3,203)	(2.9) 2,329	(3.2) 874		
	No (n=3,203)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4)	(3.2) 874 (99.3)	0.72 ref	
		(2.9) 2,329 (99.4) 13	(3.2) 874 (99.3) 6	0.72 ref 1.23	
	No (n=3,203)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4)	(3.2) 874 (99.3)	0.72 ref 1.23 [0.38–3.48]	
enofibrate	No (n=3,203) Yes (n=19)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4) 13 (0.6)	(3.2) 874 (99.3) 6 (0.7)	0.72 ref 1.23 [0.38–3.48] 0.68	
enofibrate	No (n=3,203)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4) 13 (0.6) 2,290	(3.2) 874 (99.3) 6 (0.7) 864	0.72 ref 1.23 [0.38–3.48]	
enofibrate	No (n=3,203) Yes (n=19) No (n=3,154)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4) 13 (0.6) 2,290 (97.8)	(3.2) 874 (99.3) 6 (0.7) 864 (98.2)	0.72 ref 1.23 [0.38–3.48] 0.68 ref	
enofibrate	No (n=3,203) Yes (n=19)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4) 13 (0.6) 2,290 (97.8) 52	(3.2) 874 (99.3) 6 (0.7) 864 (98.2) 16	0.72 ref 1.23 [0.38–3.48] 0.68 ref 0.82	
Metformin Fenofibrate Statin	No (n=3,203) Yes (n=19) No (n=3,154)	(2.9) 2,329 (99.4) 13 (0.6) 2,290 (97.8)	(3.2) 874 (99.3) 6 (0.7) 864 (98.2)	0.72 ref 1.23 [0.38–3.48] 0.68 ref	

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

		No dyspnoea (n=2,342)%	Dyspnoea (n=880)%	Univariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value	Multivariate analysis* OR [95%CI] p-value
COVID-19 status at inclusion					
Time between onset of COVID	< 6  days(n=1,599)	1,239	360	ref	ref
symptoms and admission	( o days(n=1,555)	(52.9)	(40.9)		101
symptoms and damission	$\geq$ 6 days (n=1,623)	1,103	520	1.62	1.51
	≥ 0 days (II=1,023)				
		(47.1)	(52.1)	[1.38–1.90]	[1.28–1.77]
				<0.0001	<0.0001
NEWS Score 2	Low (n=3,017)	2,216	801	ref	
		(94.6)	(91.0)		
	Medium (n=125)	89	36	1.12	
		(3.8)	(4.1)	[0.75–1.66]	
				0.58	
	High (n=80)	37	43	3.22	
		(1.6)	(4.9)	[2.06-5.03]	
		( /	( )	<0.0001	
Oxygen	No (n=3,034)	2,256	778	ref	
Skygen	110 (11—3,03 1)	(96.3)	(88.4)	101	
	Voc (p. 199)			3.44	2.12
	Yes (n=188)	86	102		3.13
		(3.7)	(11.6)	[2.52–4.69]	[2.31–4.25]
				<0.0001	<0.0001
Oxygen saturation (SpO2) %*	>94% (n=2,754)	1,968	786	ref	
		(96.1)	(92.4)		
	≤ 94% (n=145)	80	65	2.03	
	_ ` ,	(3.9)	(7.6)	[1.43-2.89]	
		(,	(/	<0.0001	
Chest Computed Tomography*					
	Normal (n=509)	370	139	ref	
	Notifial (II=309)			iei	
		(32.2)	(26.8)		
	Limit (n=756)	542	214	1.05	
		(47.1)	(41.3))	[0.82-1.35]	
				0.69	
	Intermediate (n=329)	203	216	1.65	
	, ,	(17.7)	(24.3)	[1.23-2.22]	
		· · /	(,	0.001	
	Severe (n=74)	35	39	2.97	
	Severe (II=74)				
		(3.0)	(7.6))	[1.81-4.87]	
	F == -/L (= 1 400)	1.002	272	<0.01	
C-reactive protein *	$\leq 5 \text{mg/L} (n=1,466)$	1,093	373	ref	
		(63.2)	(56.1)		
	>5mg/L(n=929)	637	292	1.34	
		(36.8)	(43.9)	[1.12-1.62]	
				0.001	
Neutrophils*	$\leq$ 7.5 Giga/L (n=2,604)	1,894	710	ref	
r	_ ** ** ** ** ** **	(97.8)	(96.5)		
	>7.5 Giga/L	42	26	1.65	
	(n=68)	(2.2)		[0.96-2.78]	
	(11=08)	(2.2)	(3.5)		
5 - d 1 H - +	0.1.61.47	520	164	0.046	
Eosinophils*	≥0.1 Giga/L	520	164	ref	
	(n=684)	(26.9)	(22.3)		
	<0.1 Giga/L	1,416	572	1.28	
	(n=1,988)	(73.1)	(77.7)	[1.04-1.58]	
				0.015	
ymphocytes*	≥ 1 Giga/L	1,731	639	ref	
·	(n=2,370)	(89.4)	(86.8)		
	< 1 Giga/L	205	97	1.28	
	$\langle n=302\rangle$	(10.6)	(13.2)	[0.98-1.67]	
	(11–302)	(10.0)	(13.4)		
Dimor*	- 0.5	227	100	0.06	
D-Dimer*	$\leq 0.5 \ \mu \text{g/ml}$	227	108	ref	
	(n=335)	(58.7)	(57.8)	101	
	$>0.5\mu\mathrm{g/ml}$	160	79	1.04	
	(n=239)	(41.3)	(42.3)	[0.72-1.50]	
				0.84	
Fibrinogen*	$\leq 4g/L$	216	74	ref	
-	(n=290)	(46.2)	(35.2)		
	>4 g/L	252	136	1.58	
	(n=388)	(53.8)	(64.8)	[1.11-2.24]	
200 C 1 40 1 1 1	N ( 2.455)	4 550	607	0.008	
PCR Ct value < 16 at admission	No (n=2,460)	1,773	687	ref	
*		(93.3)	(94.9)		
	Yes (n=164)	127	37	0.75	
	•	(6.7)	(5.1)	[0.50-1.11]	

NEW Score 2: National Early Warning Score

<sup>\*</sup>Oxygen saturation, Chest Computed Tomography, C-reactive protein, neutrophil, eosinophil, lymphocyte, D-Dimer, Fibrinogen and Ct <16 were not included in the multivariate due to >5% missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>only significant results are presented in the multivariate analysis

**Table 2**Risk factors for dyspnoea at follow-up (n=469)

		No dyspnoea persistence (n=373)%	Dyspnoea persistence (n=123)%	Univariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value	Multivariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value
Age	Mean ± SD	41.0 ±13.4	43.6 ± 12.8		
	Range	18-89	20-72		
	< 45 (n=285)	226	59	ref	
	,	(60.6)	(48.0)		
	$\geq$ 45 (n=211)	147	64	1.67	1.74
	≥ 45 (II=211)				
		(39.4)	(52)	(1.08–2.57)	[1.15–2.63]
	14.1 ( 470)	100	20	0.01	0.009
Sex	Male (n=176)	138	38	ref	
		(37.0)	(30.9)		
	Females (n=320)	235	85	1.31	
		(63.0)	(69.1)	[0.83-2.09]	
				0.22	
Chronic conditions					
Hypertension	No (n=451)	343	108	ref	
	` ,	(92.0)	(87.8)		
	Yes (n=45)	30	15	1.59	
	163 (11–43)	(8.0)	(12.2)	[0.76-3.18]	
		(0.0)	(14.4)		
Note that	NI- (- 454)	252	110	0.16	
Diabetes	No (n=471)	353	118	ref	
		(94.6)	(95.9)		
	Yes (n=25)	20	5	0.75	
		(5.4)	(4.1)	[0.21-2.11]	
		• •	, ,	0.57	
Cancer	No (n=483)	362	121	ref	
	110 (11-103)	(97.1)			
	Vac (m. 12)	, ,	(98.4)	0.54	
	Yes (n=13)	11	2	0.54	
		(2.9)	(1.6)	[0.06-2.55]	
				0.43	
Obesity	No (n=431)	327	104	ref	
•	` ,	(87.7)	(84.6)		
	Yes (n=65)	46	19	1.29	
	163 (11=03)	(12.3)	(15.5)	[0.69-2.38]	
		(12.3)	(13.3)		
				0.37	
Co-medications					
Beta blockers	No (n=486)	367	119	ref	ref
		(98.4)	(96.8)		
	Yes (n=10)	6	4	2.05	
	` ,	(1.6)	(3.3)	[0.42-8.82]	
		()	(=1=)	0.26	
Dihydropyridine	No (n=487)	365	122	ref	
Jillydropyridille	NO (II=487)			iei	
		(97.9)	(99.2)		
	Yes(n=9)	8	1	0.37	
		(2.1)	(0.8)	[0.008-2.84]	
				0.34	
Angiotensin-converting enzyme	No (n=493)	372	121	ref	
inhibitors	/	(99.7)	(98.4)		
	Yes (n=3)	1	2	6.15	
	103 (11–3)				
		(0.3)	(1.6)	[0.31–363.66]	
				0.09	
Angiotensin II receptor blocker	No (n=484)	364	120	ref	
		(97.6)	(97.6)		
	Yes (n=12)	9	3	1.01	
	` '	(2.4)	(2.4)	[0.17-4.14]	
		()	()	0.99	
Matformin	No (p. 497)	266	121		
Metformin	No (n=487)	366	121	ref	
		(98.1)	(98.4)		
	Yes (n=9)	7	2	0.86	
		(1.9)	(1.6)	[0.09-4.62]	
		•		0.86	
Fenofibrate	No (n=493)	371	122	ref	
	( 155)	(99.5)		<b>-</b>	
	Van (m. 2)		(99.2)	1.50	
	Yes (n=3)	2	1	1.52	
		(0.5)	(0.8)	[0.03-29.42]	
				0.73	
COVID-19 status at inclusion					
ime between onset of COVID	< 6  days(n=207)	146	61	ref	ref
symptoms and admission		(39.1)	(49.6)		•
-,promo ana aamiosion	≥ 6 days (n=289)	227	62	0.65	0.65
	≥ 0 uays (11=209)	441			
		(((0,0)	(50.4)	[0.42.1.01]	[0.42.0.00]
		(60.9)	(50.4)	[0.42-1.01] <b>0.04</b>	[0.43-0.99] <b>0.045</b>

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

		No dyspnoea persistence (n=373)%	Dyspnoea persistence (n=123)%	Univariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value	Multivariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value
NEWS Score 2	Low (n=473)	357	116	ref	
		(95.7)	(94.3)		
	Medium (n=13)	9	4	1.37	
		(2.4)	(3.3)	[0.41-4.52]	
			_	0.61	
	High (n=10)	7	3	1.32	
		(1.9)	(2.4)	[0.33–5.18]	
lyugan	No (n=467)	353	114	0.69	
Oxygen	NO (II=467)	(94.6)	(92.7)	ref	
	Yes (n=29)	20	9	1.39	
	103 (11–23)	(5.4)	(7.3)	[0.54-3.31]	
		(5.1)	(7.13)	0.42	
aturation oxygen (SpO2) %	> 94% (n=453)	335	118	ref	
30 (1 /	` ,	(93.3)	(97.5)		
	≤94% (n=27)	24	3	0.35	
		(6.7)	(2.5)	[0.07-1.20]	
				0.08	
Chest Computed Tomography*	Normal (n=74)	55	19	ref	
		(29.9)	(27.9)		
	Limit (n=105)	77	28	1.05	
		(41.9)	(41.2)	[0.53-2.07]	
	Intones addate	40	10	0.88	
	Intermediate	40	18	1.30	
	(n=58)	(21.7)	(26.5)	[0.61-2.79] 0.50	
	Severe (n=15)	12	3	0.72	
	Severe (II=15)	(6.5)	(4.4)	[0.18-2.84]	
		(0.3)	(4,4)	0.64	
C-reactive protein*	$\leq 5 mg/L (n=219)$	162	57	ref	
F		(60.0)	(62.6)		
	>5mg/L	108	34	0.89	
	(n=142)	(40.0)	(37.4)	[0.53-1.50]	
				0.66	
leutrophil*	≤ 7.5 Giga/L	300	107	ref	
	(n=407)	(97.1)	(100.0)		
	>7.5 Giga/L	9	0	0.00	
	(n=9)	(2.9)	(0.0)	[0.00-1.21]	
'a sim ambile	. 0.1 C:/I	00	1.4	0.07	
osinophil*	≥0.1 Giga/L (n=96)	82 (26.5)	14 (13.1)	ref	
	<0.1 Giga/L	227	93	2.40	
	(n=320)	(73.5)	(86.9)	[1.27-4.81]	
	(11—320)	(1313)	(00.0)	0.004	
ymphocyte*	≥ 1 Giga/L	281	95	ref	
	(n=376)	(90.0)	(88.8)		
	< 1 Giga/L	28	12	1.27	
	(n=40)	(9.1)	(11.2)	[0.56-1.69]	
				0.51	
)-Dimer*	$\leq$ 0.5 $\mu$ g/ml	51	20	ref	
	(n=71)	(73.9)	(60.6)	1.04	
	$>0.5\mu g/ml$	18	13	1.84	
	(n=31)	(26.1)	(39.4)	[0.69-4.84] 0.17	
ibrinogen*	$\leq 4g/L$	28	8	ref	
ibiniogen	(n=36)	(40.6)	(30.8)	ici	
	>4 g/L	41	18	1.54	
	(n=59)	(59.4)	(69.2)	[0.54-4.66]	
	(,	( )	(*** )	0.38	
		297	99	ref	
PCR Ct value < 16 at admission	No (n=396)	297	(95.2)		
PCR Ct value < 16 at admission	No (n=396)	(93.7)	()		
PCR Ct value < 16 at admission *	No (n=396) Yes (n=25)	(93.7) 20	5	0.75	
PCR Ct value < 16 at admission *		(93.7)		[0.21-2.13]	
*	Yes (n=25)	(93.7) 20 (6.3)	5 (4.8)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57	
CCR Ct value $<$ 16 at admission *  Viral shedding $\geq$ 10 days*		(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220	5 (4.8) 65	[0.21-2.13]	
*	Yes (n=25) No (n=285)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8)	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref	
*	Yes (n=25)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8) 25	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3) 13	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref 1.76	
*	Yes (n=25) No (n=285)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8)	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref 1.76 [0.78–3.81]	
* 'iral shedding ≥ 10 days*	Yes (n=25) No (n=285) Yes (n=38)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8) 25 (10.2)	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3) 13 (11.7)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref 1.76 [0.78–3.81] 0.12	
* 'iral shedding ≥ 10 days*	Yes (n=25) No (n=285)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8) 25 (10.2)	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3) 13 (11.7)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref 1.76 [0.78–3.81]	
*	Yes (n=25)  No (n=285)  Yes (n=38)  No (n=485)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8) 25 (10.2) 366 (98.1)	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3) 13 (11.7) 119 (97.6)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref 1.76 [0.78–3.81] 0.12 ref	
* iral shedding $\geq$ 10 days*	Yes (n=25) No (n=285) Yes (n=38)	(93.7) 20 (6.3) 220 (89.8) 25 (10.2)	5 (4.8) 65 (83.3) 13 (11.7)	[0.21–2.13] 0.57 ref 1.76 [0.78–3.81] 0.12	

Table 2 (continued)

		No dyspnoea persistence (n=373)%	Dyspnoea persistence (n=123)%	Univariate analysis OR [95%CI] p-value	Multivariate analysis** OR [95%CI] p-value
Transfer to the ICU	No (n=485)	364 (97.6)	121 (98.4)	ref	
	Yes (n=11)	9 (2.4)	2 (1.6)	0.69 [0.07-3.29] 0.61	
Hydroxychloroquine + azithromyc ≥ 3 days	No (n= 46)	35 (9.4)	11 (8.9)	ref	
	Yes (n= 450)	338 (90.6)	112 (01.1)	1.05 [0.50–2.38] 0.88	

NEW Score 2: National Early Warning Score

had lower rates of mortality, as compared with all patients reporting dyspnoea at inclusion (Supplementary Table 1)

Among these 496 patients, 123 (24.8%) reported persistent dyspnoea. The duration between the onset of symptoms and the interview was  $44.0 \pm 10.3$  weeks, ranging from 31.6 to 63.1 weeks. Being aged  $\geq 45$  years old and consulting early during the acute phase (less than six days after the onset of symptoms) were independently associated with persistent dyspnoea (Table 2).

Lung damage in COVID-19 patients could be explained by various underlying mechanisms, including viral and immune-mediated implications (Liu et al., 2020), which could cause the persistence of dyspnoea. Dyspnoea is, by nature, a highly subjective experience. Women are more prone to suffer dyspnoea, possibly due to a decreased surface area for pulmonary gas exchange relative to lung size-matched men (Cory et al., 2015). People who are obese have a decreased functional residual capacity and expiration reserve volume (Parameswaran et al., 2006). Therefore, it is not surprising that female and obese COVID-19 patients had a higher risk for dyspnoea at admission. We observed that dyspnoea was more frequent in patients consulting more than six days after the onset of symptoms, suggesting that it takes a few days for the virus to provoke such symptoms. The association with a high viral load upon admission suggests a direct cytopathic effect of viruses on lung tissue.

The association of symptom persistence with being older may suggest a lower capacity of cell regeneration due to ageing. We have no hypothesis, however, to explain why early consultation was associated with the persistence of dyspnoea.

The use of the telephone interview has some limitations, including the lack of respiratory functional tests to quantify dyspnoea levels and a lack of information about smoking status. In addition, patients lost to follow-up could potentially include a high proportion of patients who fully recovered their respiratory function. Despites these limitations, our study underlined the high prevalence of the persistence of dyspnoea (24.8%) in COVID-19 patients and its association with older age. Further investigation with a clinical evaluation of respiratory function over time is required in these patients.

# **Ethical approval**

This study was approved by the Comité de Protection des Personnes Nord Ouest II (No. 2021-A01183-33) on 22/07/2021.

# Consent for publication

All authors gave their consent for publication.

### Availability of data and materials

All the data for this study will be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# **Funding**

None declared.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Author contributions**

Philippe Gautret and Didier Raoult devised the project, the main conceptual ideas. Nhu Ngoc Nguyen, Jean Christophe Lagier, Matthieu Million, Thi Loi Dao, Line Meddeb collected the data. Van Tuan Hoang and Nhu Ngoc Nguyen analyzed and interpreted data. Nhu Ngoc Nguyen and Philippe Gautret wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Philippe Gautret is the guarantor for this work.

# Acknowledgements

Our thanks go to Yolande Obadia and all the doctors who volunteered to recruit patients by telephone interview at the Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire (IHU) Méditerranée Infection, including Pierre Bares, Robert Barrus, Souad Benali, Marc Benoit, Dominique Blanc, Christine Carissimi, Denis Charpin, Jean Delmont, Patricia Enel, Charbelle Ezzedine, Pascale Fournier, Marguerite François, Katell Guillon, Guy Marmottant, Marie-Christine Manca-Pelissier, Isabelle Pinot, Nicole Quintana Benyahya, Robert Loi, Dominique Ricci Cagnol, Nicole Sarles-Philip, Catherine Tamalet, Jean-Michel Vassault, Marie-Pierre Vergobbi, and Nicole Veschi.

# Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.11.035.

<sup>\*</sup>Chest Computed Tomography, C-reactive protein, neutrophil, eosinophil, lymphocytes, D-Dimer, Fibrinogen, Ct < 16 and viral shedding were not included in the multivariate due to >5% missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>only significant results are presented in the multivariate analysis

# References

- Akbarialiabad H, Taghrir MH, Abdollahi A, Ghahramani N, Kumar M, Paydar S, et al.
- Long COVID: a comprehensive systematic scoping review. Infection 2021. Cares-Marambio K, Montenegro-Jimenez Y, Torres-Castro R, Vera-Uribe R, Torralba Y, Alsina-Restoy X, et al. Prevalence of potential respiratory symptoms in survivors of hospital admission after coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Chron Respir Dis 2021;18 14799731211002240.
- Cory JM, Schaeffer MR, Wilkie SS, Ramsook AH, Puyat JH, Arbour B, et al. Sex dif-
- ferences in the intensity and qualitative dimensions of exertional dyspnea in physically active young adults. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2015;119:998–1006.

  Lagier JC, Million M, Gautret P, Colson P, Cortaredona S, Giraud-Gatineau A, et al. Outcomes of 3,737 COVID-19 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine/azithromycin and other regimens in Marseille, France: a retrospective analysis. Travel Med Infect Dis 2020;36:101791.
- Liu J, Zheng X, Tong Q, Li W, Wang B, Sutter K, et al. Overlapping and discrete aspects of the pathology and pathogenesis of the emerging human pathogenic coronaviruses SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and 2019-nCoV. J Med Virol 2020;92:491-4.
- Parameswaran K, Todd DC, Soth M. Altered respiratory physiology in obesity. Can Respir J 2006.
- Soraas A, Bo R, Kalleberg KT, Ellingjord-Dale M, Landrø NI . Self-reported memory problems eight months after non-hospitalized COVID-19 in a large Cohort. medRxiv 2021.
- Zheng D, Zhang L, Feng B, Liu Y, Liu H, Hu J, et al. Long-term clinical sequelae and immunological features of COVID-19 survivors: a cross-sectional study in Wuhan. China. Lancet (preprint) 2021.