

# 

**Citation:** Cagle LA, Franzi LM, Linderholm AL, Last JA, Adams JY, Harper RW, et al. (2017) Effects of positive end-expiratory pressure and recruitment maneuvers in a ventilator-induced injury mouse model. PLoS ONE 12(11): e0187419. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187419</u>

**Editor:** Yu Ru Kou, National Yang-Ming University, TAIWAN

Received: July 15, 2017

Accepted: October 19, 2017

Published: November 7, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Cagle et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

**Funding:** This study was supported by: NIH R01 HL105573 and T32 HL007013.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: SC, subcutaneous; IP, intraperitoneal; HTV, high tidal volume; LTV, low tidal volume; PEEP, positive end-expiratory **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Effects of positive end-expiratory pressure and recruitment maneuvers in a ventilatorinduced injury mouse model

Laura A. Cagle<sup>1®</sup>\*, Lisa M. Franzi<sup>1‡</sup>, Angela L. Linderholm<sup>1‡</sup>, Jerold A. Last<sup>1‡</sup>, Jason Y. Adams<sup>2‡</sup>, Richart W. Harper<sup>1,2‡</sup>, Nicholas J. Kenyon<sup>1,2®</sup>

1 Center for Comparative Respiratory Biology and Medicine, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, United States of America, 2 Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, United States of America

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

\* lacagle@ucdavis.edu

## Abstract

## Background

Positive-pressure mechanical ventilation is an essential therapeutic intervention, yet it causes the clinical syndrome known as ventilator-induced lung injury. Various lung protective mechanical ventilation strategies have attempted to reduce or prevent ventilator-induced lung injury but few modalities have proven effective. A model that isolates the contribution of mechanical ventilation on the development of acute lung injury is needed to better understand biologic mechanisms that lead to ventilator-induced lung injury.

## Objectives

To evaluate the effects of positive end-expiratory pressure and recruitment maneuvers in reducing lung injury in a ventilator-induced lung injury murine model in short- and longer-term ventilation.

## Methods

5-12 week-old female BALB/c mice (n = 85) were anesthetized, placed on mechanical ventilation for either 2 hrs or 4 hrs with either low tidal volume (8 ml/kg) or high tidal volume (15 ml/kg) with or without positive end-expiratory pressure and recruitment maneuvers.

## Results

Alteration of the alveolar-capillary barrier was noted at 2 hrs of high tidal volume ventilation. Standardized histology scores, influx of bronchoalveolar lavage albumin, proinflammatory cytokines, and absolute neutrophils were significantly higher in the high-tidal volume ventilation group at 4 hours of ventilation. Application of positive end-expiratory pressure resulted in significantly decreased standardized histology scores and bronchoalveolar absolute



pressure; ZEEP, zero positive end-expiratory pressure; Sp02, pulse oximetry; Pa02, partial pressure of arterial oxygen; RM, recruitment maneuvers; ATS, American Thoracic Society; IL-6, interleukin-6; IL-1β, interleukin-1beta; IL-10, interleukin-10; KC, keratinocyte chemoattractant, chemokine C-X-C motif ligand 1 (CXCL1); MIP-2, macrophage inflammatory protein, C-X-C motif ligand 2 (CXCL2); TNFα, tumor necrosis factor alpha; TGF-β, transforming growth factor beta 1. neutrophil counts at low- and high-tidal volume ventilation, respectively. Recruitment maneuvers were essential to maintain pulmonary compliance at both 2 and 4 hrs of ventilation.

#### Conclusions

Signs of ventilator-induced lung injury are evident soon after high tidal volume ventilation (as early as 2 hours) and lung injury worsens with longer-term ventilation (4 hrs). Application of positive end-expiratory pressure and recruitment maneuvers are protective against worsening VILI across all time points. Dynamic compliance can be used guide the frequency of recruitment maneuvers to help ameloriate ventilator-induced lung injury.

## Introduction

Acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) have a reported mortality rate of 35 to 65% depending on the cohort studied.[1,2] Positive-pressure mechanical ventilation, although life-saving, can worsen lung injury and cause the clinical syndrome known as ventilator-induced lung injury.[3,4] Ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) results from overdistension of the lung parenchyma known as volutrauma, repetitive collapse and reexpansion of alveoli leading to atelectrauma, or both. This mechanical stretch induces the release of inflammatory mediators, or biotrauma, perpetuating this injury.[5] VILI has received increasing attention because a strategy to limit tidal stretch improved outcomes in ARDS and reduction in tidal volume ventilation resulted in a dramatic improvement in mortality rates in acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome.[2,6]

Low-tidal volumes and maintenance of positive-end expiratory pressure (PEEP) are the cornerstones of lung-protective ventilation. Lung-protective ventilation focuses on minimizing end-inspiratory stretch (using low tidal volumes) and minimizing derecruitment of the lung (application of PEEP).[4,7] However, even with protective mechanical ventilation recent studies have shown that acute lung injury continues to occur, with a paucity of effective therapeutic modalities.[8] Furthermore, several recent studies have documented that failure to recognize ARDS and implement timely lung protective strategies remains a widespread problem in intensive care units.[9,10] Studies detailing the temporal evolution of ventilator-induced acute lung injury are still lacking in the current literature, and limited clinical studies are conflicting regarding the importance of early institution of low-tidal volume ventilation (LTV) in determining clinical outcomes.[11,12]

Identification of early mechanisms that result in VILI may allow therapeutic interventions aimed at reducing or even preventing lung injury. Interventions targeting PEEP have proven modestly successful in ameliorating some of the injurious effects of ventilation and, in conjunction with recruitment maneuvers (RM), can be protective.[13,14,15] The optimal timing of implementing PEEP and recruitment maneuvers has yet to be evaluated in a translational model. In addition, the fundamental mechanisms (atelectrauma and biotrauma) that lead to VILI are poorly understood.

Mechanical ventilation with high tidal volume (HTV) or high peak inspiratory pressure, pulmonary or systemic administration of endotoxin, or inhalation or instillation of live bacteria are the three most commonly applied models of acute lung injury studied in animals.[16] Mouse studies have focused on supraphysiologic tidal volumes of 20 to 45 ml/kg to stimulate an injurious response with durations of ventilation varying from two to six hours.[17,18,19,20,21,22,23] and lung injury has been shown to occur with short-term ventilation. However, a direct comparison

Air control	Low-tidal volume ventilation	High-tidal volume ventilation
(n = 5)	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O Recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O Recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)
	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O Recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O Recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)

#### Table 1. Low and high TV +/- PEEP with RM.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187419.t001

between short-term and long-term ventilation in a translational model is currently lacking in the literature and it is also unknown at what time point ventilation becomes injurious.

The aim of this study was to assess the short-term biophysical changes elicited by low versus high tidal volume ventilation, using tidal volumes that are used clinically, and to assess the effects of PEEP and RM on injury severity in the setting of both low and high tidal volumes. We hypothesized that two to four hours of positive pressure, high tidal volume ventilation– absent a second pulmonary insult–would result in progressive worsening of pulmonary mechanics, lung inflammation, and lung injury. A secondary hypothesis was that the institution of clinically relevant PEEP and RM could ameliorate early ventilator-induced lung injury.

## Methods

#### Definitions

For this study, low tidal volume ventilation was defined at a TV 8 ml/kg and high tidal at 15 ml/kg. Addition of positive end-expiratory pressure is equivalent to the use of 4cmH<sub>2</sub>O positiv epressure and zero positive end-expiratory pressure (ZEEP, 0cm H<sub>2</sub>O). Recruitment maneuvers have been defined as 20 cmH<sub>2</sub>O PEEP for 10 seconds applied every 20 minutes. Shortand long-term ventilation were defined as  $\leq 2$  and 4 hours, respectively. Individual groups have been defined in the Tables 1–4.

#### Animals

5-12-week-old, 16-24 gram, female BALB/c mice (n = 85) were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and allowed to acclimatize for one week prior to study enrollment. Animals were housed in plastic cages over autoclaved bedding in a HEPA-filtered laminar flow cage rack on a 12-hour light/dark cycle. All mice were allowed free access to water and a standard diet (Purina Rodent Chow). Procedures with the mice were performed in accordance with an approved IACUC protocol. Mice were routinely screened and cared for by the

Table 2.	Low and high	TV +/- PEEP	with or	without RM.
I GOIO EI	Eon ana mgn			

Air control	Low-tidal volume ventilation	High-tidal volume ventilation		
(n = 5)	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O Recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O Recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)		
	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O No recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O No recruitment maneuvers (n = 5)		

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187419.t002

Air control	Low-tidal volume ventilation	High-tidal volume ventilation
(n = 10)	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O
	Recruitment maneuvers $(n = 10)$	Recruitment maneuvers $(n = 10)$
	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O No recruitment maneuvers (n = 10)	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O No recruitment maneuvers (n = 10)

Table 3. Two hour mechanical ventilation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187419.t003

veterinary staff of the Animal Resource Service at the University of California, Davis in AALAC-accredited facilities.

#### Anesthetic protocol

Mice were sedated with dexmedetomidine (200 mcg/kg, IP), tiletamine-zolazepam (40 mg/kg, IP), and buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg, SC) for mechanical ventilation. Lactated Ringers (400 µl) was given IP prior to anesthesia and every 1 hour during the study period. Dexmedetomidine (100 mcg/kg, IP) and tiletamine-zolazepam (10 mg/kg, IP) were administered at 2 and 3 hours after induction and buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg, SC) was given at 3 hours after induction for additional sedation or as needed for further sedation. Lidocaine (1%) 20 µl dosage was given SC overlying the trachea along the ventral cervical region prior to tracheostomy. Mice assigned to the air control group were sedated, as described above, but not mechanically ventilated.

#### Mechanical ventilation protocol

Mice were placed in dorsal recumbent position and initial heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), and pulse oximetry (SpO<sub>2</sub>) were measured. An incision was made along the ventral cervical region and a cannula (1mm outer diameter, 20mm length; Harvard apparatus, Holliston, MA) was placed into the trachea and secured with 3–0 braided silk. Mice were transferred to a MiniVENT (Type 845, Harvard apparatus, Holliston, MA) for initiation of mechanical ventilation. Mice (n = 5 per group) were assigned to low tidal volume (LTV, 8 ml/kg) or high tidal volume (HTV, 15ml/kg) groups with either 0 or 4 cmH<sub>2</sub>O positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and recruitment maneuvers (RM, inspiratory pressure of 20 cmH<sub>2</sub>O for 10 seconds every 20 minutes) or no RM. Respiratory rate was set at 100 bpm and FiO<sub>2</sub> set at 40% for all groups. Mice were placed on warming pads and monitored continuously with assessment of heart rate, respiratory rate, SpO<sub>2</sub>, and response to noxious stimulation measured every 20 minutes. Lack of response to noxious stimuli was determined by a negative toe pinch response.

Table 4.	Two versus	four hour	mechanical	ventilation.

Air control	Low-tidal volume ventilation	High-tidal volume ventilation		
(n = 5)	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg		
	PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O	PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O		
	Recruitment maneuvers	Recruitment maneuvers		
	2 hours	2 hours		
	(n = 5)	(n = 5)		
	Tidal volume 8 ml/kg	Tidal volume 15 ml/kg		
	PEEP 4 cmH <sub>2</sub> O	PEEP 0 cmH <sub>2</sub> O		
	Recruitment maneuvers	Recruitment maneuvers		
	4 hours	4 hours		
	(n = 5)	(n = 5)		

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187419.t004

**Two hour mechanical ventilation.** Mice were assigned to low-tidal volume ventilation (LTV, 8 ml/kg), with PEEP (4cmH<sub>2</sub>O), with or without recruitment maneuvers versus high-tidal volume ventilation (HTV, 15 ml/kg) with ZEEP (0cmH<sub>2</sub>O), with or without recruitment maneuvers with 10 mice in each group including an air control group.

**Two versus four hour mechanical ventilation.** Mice were assigned to low-tidal volume ventilation (LTV, 8 ml/kg), with PEEP (4cmH<sub>2</sub>O), with recruitment maneuvers versus high-tidal volume ventilation (HTV, 15 ml/kg) with ZEEP (0cmH<sub>2</sub>O), with recruitment maneuvers with 5 mice in each group including an air control group.

#### Measurements

Dynamic lung compliance (Cdyn) and resistance (Rrs) were measured with a plethysmograph for restrained animals (Buxco, Inc.). Arterial blood samples were collected prior to discontinuation of mechanical ventilation via the carotid artery. Samples were analyzed using a standard blood gas analyzer (ABL 815; Radiometer) within 15 minutes of sampling. Mice were euthanized at the end of the study period with an intraperitoneal overdose of pentobarbital. Lungs were lavaged twice with 1-ml aliquots of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.6. Collected bronchoalveolar lavage fluid was centrifuged in a benchtop unit at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes and the resulting pellet was suspended in PBS with a final volume of 500 µl and 120 µl of BAL fluid was used to prepare slides for total and differential cell counts. Supernatants were collected for cytokine levels (Milliplex; Millipore corp., St. Charles, Missouri, USA), total protein, and albumin (Micro BCA Protein Assay, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) analyses. Cytokines evaluated were IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-10, KC, MIP-2, TNF- $\alpha$ , TGF- $\beta$ . Diff-Quick (International Reagent Corp, Kobe, Japan) was used to stain slides for cytological analysis. The left lung was fixed for histopathologic analysis at 30cmH<sub>2</sub>O pressure with 1% paraformaldehyde and processed in paraffin for histology sampling.

**Tissue staining and acute lung injury histology score.** After fixation, the left lung was placed in 70% ethanol and prepared for paraffin embedding. Lung sections of 5-µm thickness were made with sectioning through the larger lobar bronchi in parallel. Sections were then dried and prepared for staining with hematoxylin and eosin for histology. Light microscopy was the primary technique used for slide analysis. Three independent, blinded observers used the acute lung injury scoring system recommended by the American Thoracic Society to assign a histology score to each slide (see Table 5).[16]

## Definition of acute lung injury

As described previously in an official workshop at the American Thoracic Society (ATS) acute lung injury was defined as histological evidence of tissue injury, alteration of the alveolar-

Parameter	0	1	2	
(A) neutrophils in alveolar space	None	1–5	>5	
(B) neutrophils in interstitial space	None	1–5	>5	
(C) hyaline membranes	None	1	>1	
(D) proteinaceous debris filling the airspaces	None	1	>1	
(E) alveolar septal thickening	None	2x-4x	>4x	

Table 5.	Lung	injury	/ scoring	sy	/stem[34].
----------	------	--------	-----------	----	------------

Score =  $[(20 \times A) + (14 \times B) + (7 \times C) + (7 \times D) + (2 \times E)] / (number of fields \times 100)$ \*\*score at least 20 random high-power fields (400x total magnification) \*\*

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187419.t005

capillary barrier, presence of an inflammatory response, and evidence of physiologic dysfunction with three out of four of these criteria satisfying the definition of acute lung injury.[16] Based on this definition, our study was structured to evaluate histological evidence of tissue injury (lung injury scoring system), alteration of the alveolar capillary barrier (increase in BAL total protein and/or albumin), presence of an inflammatory response (increase in BAL absolute neutrophils and/or increase in proinflammatory cytokines in BAL fluid), and evidence of physiologic dysfunction (hypoxemia,  $PaO_2/FiO_2 < 200$ ).

## Statistical analysis of data

GraphPad Prism 6 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA) was used for data analysis. D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test was used to assess for normality in groups. Nonparametric data analysis included Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison tests when possible; if not then a Mann-Whitney test (two-tailed, unpaired) was used and a Bonferroni correction was applied to test for statistical significance. Data was expressed in figures and texts as median ± the interquartile range. A prespecified p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

#### Two hour ventilation

**Histology.** Histological measurements of tissue injury showed no significant differences in scores between the HTV (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM), LTV (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM), or air control groups (Fig 1A).

**BAL cell counts and cytokine assay.** No statistically significant differences in bronchoalveolar lavage absolute neutrophil counts were noted (Fig 1B); however, neutrophil counts were increased in all groups compared to the air group. No significant differences were noted in either proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory cytokines from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (Fig 1C).

**BAL total protein and albumin assays.** Significant elevations of bronchoalveolar lavage total protein were noted in the HTV ventilation group (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM) compared to the LTV ventilation group (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM) (p = 0.0086) (Fig 1D). Bronchoalveolar lavage albumin was significantly elevated in the HTV groups compared to the air control group (p = 0.0077), but was not significantly elevated when the high tidal volume groups were compared to the low tidal volume groups (Fig 1E).

**Pulmonary function.** Measurements of physiology dysfunction did not show significant differences in partial pressure of arterial oxygenation (PaO<sub>2</sub>) or partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub>) ratio (Fig 1F). Pulmonary compliance was significantly higher in the low-tidal volume ventilation group (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM) compared to all other groups (p<0.0001). Compliance was also significantly higher in the both the low and high tidal volume groups with RM than without RM (p<0.0001, p = 0.0155) (Fig 1F). Pulmonary resistance in the LTV group was significantly lower compared to all other groups (p<0.0001).

## Comparison of 2 hour ventilation to 4 hour ventilation

**Histology.** Standardized histology scores were significantly higher in the HTV group at 4 hours compared to the air control, LTV group at 2 hours, and HTV group at 2 hours (p = 0.0002) (Fig 2A). Select images of lung histology are shown in Fig 2E.







**Fig 1. Positive-pressure ventilation (2-hour). 1a** No significant differences in histology lung injury scores. **1b** No significant differences were noted in bronchoalveolar absolute neutrophil counts. **1c** No significant differences were noted in bronchoalveolar lavage proinflammatory cytokines between all groups. **1d** Bronchoalveolar lavage total protein was significantly elevated in the HTV RM group compared to the LTV RM group (p = 0.0086). **1e** Bronchoalveolar lavage albumin was significantly elevated in the high tidal volume groups compared to the air control group, but not when compared to the low-tidal volume ventilation groups (p = 0.0077) **1f** Pulmonary compliance was significantly higher in the low-tidal volume ventilation groups (p < 0.0001) and in both LTV and HTV groups with RM versus no RM (p < 0.0001, p = 0.0155). Data in boxplots is presented in median ± minimum and maximum values.

BAL cell counts and cytokine assay. Absolute neutrophils were significantly elevated in the high-tidal volume ventilation group (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM) at 4 hours (p = 0.0046), but not at 2 hours of positive-pressure ventilation and were significantly elevated in the high-tidal volume ventilation group compared to the low-tidal volume ventilation group (p = 0.0079) (Fig 2B). Bronchoalveolar lavage cytokines varied in both the HTV and LTV groups. IL-6 was significantly elevated in both the low and high tidal volume groups at 4 hours (p = 0.0006), but not at 2 hours of ventilation compared to the air group. IL-6 was also significantly elevated at 4 hours of ventilation compared to 2 hours of ventilation in both the LTV and HTV groups (p = 0.0025, p = 0.0043). TNF- $\alpha$  was significantly elevated in the HTV group compared to the air control at 4 hours of ventilation (p = 0.011), but not at 2 hours of ventilation (Fig 2D). A significant elevation was noted in the HTV groups between 2 hours and 4 hours of ventilation (p < 0.025). KC was significantly elevated in the HTV group at 4 hours of ventilation compared to the LTV group at 2 hours of ventilation (p = 0.01). In the HTV group, KC was significantly increased after 2 additional hours of ventilation (p < 0.025) (Fig 2D). MIP-2 was significantly elevated when the HTV group was compared to the LTV group at 4 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0067) and significant differences were noted in both the LTV and HTV group at 2 and 4 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0195 & 0.0088, respectively).

**BAL total protein and albumin assays.** Bronchoalveolar lavage total protein was significantly elevated in the HTV group at 2 hours compared to the LTV group at 4 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0038). Bronchoalveolar lavage albumin was significantly increased in the HTV groups at 2 and 4 hours compared to the air control (p = 0.0046) (Fig 2C). Bronchoalveolar lavage total cell counts were significantly increased in both HTV ventilation groups compared to the air group (p < 0.0001).



**Fig 2. Positive-pressure ventilation (2-hour vs 4-hour) 2a** Standardized histology scores were significantly higher in the HTV group at 4 hours compared to the air control, LTV group at 2 hours, and HTV group at 2 hours (p = 0.0002) **2b** Absolute neutrophils were significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group at 4 hours, but not at 2 hours of positive-pressure ventilation (p = 0.0046) and were significantly elevated in the HTV group compared to the LTV group (p = 0.0079) **2c** Bronchoalveolar lavage albumin was significantly increased in the HTV groups at 2 and 4 hours compared to the air control (p = 0.0046) **2d** Proinflammatory cytokines were significantly elevated in the HTV groups at 2 hours (see text for p values) **2e** Lung histology image stained with H&E. Top Left: LTV ventilation (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM (4 hour). Top Right: HTV ventilation (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM (2 hour)). Bottom: HTV ventilation (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM (4 hour)) **2f** Compliance was significantly higher in the LTV group at 4 hours compared to 2 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0027) and was significantly higher in the HTV group at 2 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0007). Data in boxplots is presented in median ± minimum and maximum values. *Neutrophils are denoted with an arrow, macrophages with a dotted line arrow, and proteinaceous debris with a curved line arrow.* 

PLOS ONE

**Pulmonary function.** Measurements of physiology dysfunction resulted in no significant differences in partial pressure of arterial oxygenation (PaO<sub>2</sub>) or partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub>) ratios. Pulmonary compliance was significantly higher in the LTV groups compared to the HTV groups (p<0.0001). Compliance was significantly higher in the LTV group at 4 hours compared to 2 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0027) and was significantly higher in the HTV group at 2 hours compared to 4 hours of ventilation (p = 0.0007) (Fig 2F). Pulmonary resistance was significantly lower in the LTV groups at both 2 hours and 4 hours of ventilation (p<0.0001). Resistance was significantly lower at 4 hours of ventilation compared to 2 hours of ventilation in the LTV group, but no significant differences were noted in the HTV group between 2 hours and 4 hours of ventilation.

#### 4 hour ventilation with RM +/- PEEP

**Histology.** Measurements of histological evidence of tissue injury showed that all groups had higher injury scores compared to the air control group, but the low-tidal volume ventilation group (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM) was not significantly elevated (Fig 3A). Significant elevations



Fig 3. Positive-pressure ventilation (4-hour with RM +/- PEEP). 3a Standardized histology scores were higher in all groups compared to the air control group, but not the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group. The addition of PEEP in the LTV group resulted in a significant decrease in the histology score. 3b Absolute neutrophils were significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group compared to the air control, TV 8, PEEP 4, RM, the TV 15, PEEP 4, RM group. The addition of PEEP to the HTV group resulted in a significant decrease in absolute neutrophils. 3c BAL albumin was significantly increased in both LTV and HTV groups without PEEP compared to the air control group 3d BAL cytokines were elevated in the HTV ventilation group. 3e Pulmonary compliance in the LTV ventilation group was significantly higher compared to all other groups. 3f Left: Lung histology image stained with H&E of low tidal volume (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM) showing influx of macrophages within the airway. Right: Lung histology image stained with H&E of high tidal (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM) showing neutrophils, proteinaceous debris, and hemorrhage within the airway. Neutrophils are denoted with an arrow, macrophages with a dotted line arrow, and proteinaceous debris with a curved line arrow.

PLOS ONE

compared to the air group were noted in the TV 8, PEEP 0, RM group (p < 0.0001), TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group (p = 0.0005), and the TV 15, PEEP 4, RM group (p = 0.0019). The addition of PEEP in the LTV group resulted in a significant decrease in the histology score (p = 0.0145). Select images of lung histology are shown in Fig 3F.

**BAL absolute neutrophil counts and cytokine assay.** Bronchoalveolar lavage absolute neutrophils counts were significantly increased in the TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group compared to the air control (p<0.001), TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group (p = 0.0079), and the TV 15, PEEP 4, RM group (p = 0.0079). The addition of PEEP to the HTV group resulted in a significant decrease in absolute neutrophils (p = 0.0079) (Fig 3B). Bronchoalveolar lavage cytokines IL-6 and KC were significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 4, RM group compared to the air control group (p = 0.0047, p = 0.0026). IL-10 was not significantly different amongst any group. TNF- $\alpha$  was significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group compared to both the air control and TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group (p = 0.0015) (Fig 3D). MIP-2 was significantly elevated in both HTV groups (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM and TV 15, PEEP 4, RM) compared to the LTV group (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM) (p = 0.0010).

**BAL total protein and albumin assays.** Measurements of alteration of the alveolar capillary barrier revealed elevation in bronchoalveolar lavage total protein in the TV 15, PEEP 0,



**Fig 4. Positive-pressure ventilation (4-hour +/- PEEP and +/- RM). 4a** Standardized histology scores were increased in all groups except the low-tidal volume ventilation group (TV 8, PEEP 4, RM) compared to the air control group (not shown) and the LTV RM group. **4b** Bronchoalveolar lavage absolute neutrophil counts were significantly increased in the LTV group with no RM and both HTV groups compared to the air control group. **4d** Proinflammatory cytokines were significantly increased in both HTV groups compared to the air control group. **4d** Proinflammatory cytokines were significantly increased in the HTV groups. **4e** Pulmonary compliance was significantly elevated in the LTV ventilation group compared to all other groups. Lung histology sections are shown from all groups. **4f Left:** Lung histology section stained with H&E of LTV with no RM showing macrophages within the airway. **Right:** Lung histology section stained with H&E of HTV with no RM showing proteinaceous debris within the airway. *Neutrophils are denoted with a curved line arrow, macrophages with a dotted line arrow, and proteinaceous debris with a curved line arrow.* 

PLOS ONE

RM group compared to the air group (p = 0.0159). Bronchoalveolar lavage albumin was significantly elevated in the TV 8, PEEP 0, RM (p = 0.0159) and the TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group (p = 0.018) when compared to the air control group (Fig <u>3C</u>).

**Pulmonary function.**  $PaO_2$  or  $PaO_2/FiO_2$  were significantly decreased in the LTV PEEP 0 group compared to the LTV PEEP 4 group (p = 0.0054). Pulmonary compliance in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM was significantly higher compared to all other groups (p<0.0001). Ending compliance was significantly higher in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group compared to the TV 8, PEEP 0, RM group and TV 15, PEEP 0, RM group (p = 0.001) (Fig 3E). Pulmonary resistance was significantly lower in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM compared to all other groups (p<0.0001). Ending resistance was significantly lower in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group compared to both the LTV and HTV groups without PEEP (TV 8, PEEP 0, RM and TV 15, PEEP 0, RM; p = 0.0008).

#### 4 hour ventilation +/- PEEP and +/- RM

**Histology.** Standardized histology scores were significantly elevated in the TV 8, PEEP 4, No RM group and both HTV groups (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM and TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM), but not the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group (p<0.0001) (Fig 4A). In the LTV groups, the addition of RM significantly reduced the histology score (p = 0.0007). Select images of lung histology are shown in Fig 4F.

**BAL absolute neutrophil counts and cytokine assay.** Bronchoalveolar lavage absolute neutrophils counts were significantly increased in the LTV group with no RM (TV 8, PEEP 4, No RM) and both HTV groups (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM and TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM) (p<0.0001) (Fig 4B). Bronchoalveolar lavage cytokines including IL-6 were significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM group compared to the air control (p = 0.0018). IL-10 showed no significant differences in groups. TNF- $\alpha$  and KC were significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM group compared to both the air control and TV 8, PEEP 4, RM groups (p = 0.0004, p = 0.001) (Fig 4D). MIP-2 was significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM groups compared to the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM groups (p = 0.0006).

**BAL total protein and albumin assays.** Total protein was significantly elevated in both HTV groups (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM and TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM) compared to the air control (p = 0.0159, p = 0.0079). Total protein was significantly elevated in the TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM group compared to the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group. Albumin was significantly increased in both HTV groups (TV 15, PEEP 0, RM and TV 15, PEEP 0, No RM) compared to the air control (p < 0.0053) (Fig 4C).

**Pulmonary function.** No significant differences were noted in  $PaO_2$  or  $PaO_2/FiO_2$ amongst all groups. Pulmonary compliance was elevated in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group compared to all other groups (p<0.0001) (Fig 4E). Addition of RM in both the LTV and HTV groups resulted in improved compliance (p<0.0001, p<0.0006). Ending compliance was higher in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM compared to both HTV groups (p = 0.0099). Pulmonary resistance was higher in all groups compared to the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group (p<0.0001). Ending resistance was lower in the TV 8, PEEP 4, RM group compared to both HTV groups (p = 0.0024) in addition to the LTV group with no RM (TV 8, PEEP 4, No RM) (p = 0.0079).

#### Discussion

Use of low tidal volumes and positive end-expiratory pressure are essential components of ARDS ventilation management, yet even low-tidal volume ventilation strategies can result in VILI. While volutrauma and atelectrauma have been identified as the main clinically modifiable drivers of VILI, off-target delivery of mechanical ventilation remains common and the thresholds for exposure to injurious ventilation settings both in terms of magnitude and duration of exposure remain incompletely understood. Using clinically relevant ventilation strategies, we used a one-hit model for VILI to determine the early time points in the development of VILI and evaluate the application of PEEP and RM as independent factors.

Previous studies have noted no differences in the lung inflammatory response between low tidal volume and high tidal volume groups undergoing short term ventilation (one-hour of ventilation).[24,25,26] At 2 hours of high-tidal volume ventilation there was no evidence of histological tissue injury, inflammatory response, or physiologic dysfunction; although there was evidence of alteration in the alveolar-capillary barrier and pulmonary function testing. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid albumin was increased in the high tidal volume group compared to the air controls, but a significant difference was not noted between the low- and high-tidal volume groups. Alterations of the alveolocapillary barrier is a critical feature that defines acute lung injury in both humans and animals. These alterations result from damage to the endothelium and formation of intercellular gaps, which led to increased microvascular permeability, decreased fluid transport, and lack of surfactant production.[16,27,28] Both LTV and HTV in short-term ventilation caused influx of alveolar proteinaceous debris, yet no differences were noted between the groups, indicating that short term ventilation results in minimal influx of proteinaceous debris into the alveolar space. Significant influx is noted at 4 hours, indicating a time component to development of pulmonary edema associated with VILI in

these experimental groups. Neutrophil recruitment into the interstitium and bronchoalveolar space occurs after damage to the endothelium and with elevation in chemokines and cyto-kines.[29] Based on our results, initial damage to the endothelium occurs within 2 hours of ventilation, as evident by the influx of proteinaceous debris; whereas the influx of neutrophils into the alveolar space requires more than 2 hours of ventilation at HTV and ZEEP. Ventilation at both low-tidal and high-tidal volume resulted in minimal acute lung injury at 2 hours of ventilation, yet significant elevations in histology injury score, absolute neutrophil influx into the alveoli, and pro-inflammatory cytokines occurred at 4 hours of ventilation indicating a significant lung injury at the 4 hour time point compared to 2 hours of ventilation. Significant lung injury occurs at 4 hours of ventilation, but not at 2 hours of ventilation in this study. Future studies are indicated to assess for reversibility of lung injury in non-terminal studies with short- and long-term ventilation.

Proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL1- $\beta$ , IL-8 [KC, MIP-2]) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (TGF- $\beta$ , IL-10) were evaluated in this study to evaluate the inflammatory response in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Acute lung injury is typically associated with increases in both proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines. IL-8 is considered a prominent neutrophil-recruiting chemokine; the murine functional orthologues are KC (CXCL1) and MIP-2 (CXCL2).[30] In studies that have evaluated human patients with ARDS, BAL concentrations of many proinflammatory cytokines are increased in patients with ARDS and were reduced in patients with low-tidal volume ventilation compared to traditional ventilation. [5,31,32] In studies that have evaluated ventilator-induced lung injury in mice, proinflammatory cytokines are upregulated in VILI.[23,33,34,35] Much less is known about the early molecular events in clinically relevant mouse VILI models because they frequently involve exposure to supraphysiologic high-tidal volume ventilator settings, making it difficult to extrapolate these findings to human patients. [21,23,36] Biotrauma is not expected to occur at the initial stages of ventilator-induced lung injury as shown with minimal elevation at 2 hours, but significant elevation occurred at 4 hours (IL-6, KC, TNF- $\alpha$ ). IL6, KC, MIP-2, and TNF- $\alpha$  were highest in the HTV, ZEEP, no RM group compared to all other groups, indicating a significant protective effect of RM even in groups with significant volutrauma. Atelectrauma appears to be a stronger stimulus for cytokine recruitment compared to volutrauma and the use of recruitment maneuvers can significantly mitigate atelectrauma.

Few human studies have addressed the role of early use of low-tidal volume ventilation in determining clinical outcomes. Only two observational studies have investigated the association between early LTV and survival in patients with ARDS.[12,37] Checkley et al studied a cohort of approximately 2100 patients enrolled in ARDSnet clinical trials within 36-48 hours of the onset of ARDS from 1996-2005 and subsequently managed with LTV, and assessed for an association between pre-enrollment TV and hospital mortality.[37] The authors found no association between TV in the 48 hours before ARDS onset and mortality in multivariable analysis adjusted for multiple potential confounders. In contrast, Needham et al examined a cohort of 482 subjects ventilated for 5 days with new-onset ARDS prospectively enrolled from 2004–2007 and found a significant association between initial use of LTV (defined at  $\leq$  6.5 ml/ kg of predicted body weight) and ICU mortality.[12] On adjusted analysis, the authors found a 23% increase in the risk of ICU mortality for every 1 ml/kg of patient body weight increase in initial TV after the onset of ARDS. In addition to these studies, several other lines of clinical evidence suggest that early use of low-tidal volume ventilation strategies may improve patient outcomes including reduced perioperative pulmonary complications in patients receiving low-tidal volume ventilation intraoperatively and lower rates of hospital-acquired pulmonary complications including new ARDS in patients without existing ARDS who receive low-tidal volume ventilation in the first 24 hours after intubation.[38,39,40] We recognize that mice

differ from humans in the inflammatory response to lung injury including lack of hyaline membrane formation, fewer circulating neutrophils (10–25% versus 50–70%), lack of defensins, differences in cytokine profiles, and lack of co-morbidities.[16,41] Despite these differences, our findings support a potential role for pro-inflammatory cytokines in early phases of VILI. Additional research is still needed into the relative contributions of pro- and anti-inflammatory pathways to the early pathogenesis of VILI, both in humans without existing lung injury and in animal models under a variety of low- and high-tidal volume ventilation.

Pulmonary compliance decreases in acute lung injury due to pulmonary edema, atelectasis, and cellular infiltration, as well as de-recruitment of alveoli, have been associated with reduced oxygenation.[42,43] Recruitment maneuvers are used to reopen unstable airless alveoli through a transient increase in transpulmonary pressure with the intent to improve gas exchange and prevent atelectrauma.[44] Recruitment maneuvers in the use mechanical ventilation are controversial due to a lack of standardization as well as contradictory results regarding clinical benefits and the risk of hemodynamic compromise.[42,44,45,46,47,48,49,50] Recent reviews of recruitment maneuvers for acute lung injury have concluded that routine clinical use cannot be recommended or discouraged due to insufficient evidence. However, recruitment maneuvers can be considered for patients with acute lung injury and life-threatening hypoxemia due to their transient effects on hypotension and desaturation as applied during this study as recruitment maneuvers were essential to maintaining dynamic compliance. [44,51]

Recruitment of alveoli occurs at different pressures throughout the lung parenchyma with the most recruitable lung opening after two seconds of airway pressure. [14] Recruitment maneuver techniques are varied throughout the literature and in practice. In animal studies, common values are from 20 cmH<sub>2</sub>O to 40cmH<sub>2</sub>O with all of the referenced studies emploring a 1-hit model except for Allen et al [52] using a 2-hit model of lung injury. [52,53,54,55,56, 57,58] Zosky et al (2008) determined that pressure greater than 20 cmH<sub>2</sub>O result in increased compliance.[58] Reiss et al (2011) determined that the use of frequent low level RM (30  $cmH_2O$  for 1 second every 5 minutes) was protective and lung injury was noted when the duration of RM was limited to once every hour.[57] Cannizzaro et al (2009) determined that low volume RM (25 cmH<sub>2</sub>O every 5 or 75 minutes) did not result in stable pulmonary mechanics compared to high volume RM (35 cmH<sub>2</sub>O).[54] Whereas, Allen et al (2004) determined that RM (25cmH<sub>2</sub>O) resulted in stable pulmonary mechanics in non-injured lungs; however, this RM may have been inadequate for injured lungs (acid-induced lung injury) and RM with every breath is injurious in high tidal volume ventilation, but not in low tidal volume ventilation, suggesting that further studies are required to determine the optimal frequency of RM at a time point between 1 minute and 1 hour. [52,53] Low volume recruitment (8 cmH<sub>2</sub>O for 10 breaths, every 30 minutes) resulted in improved pulmonary mechanics compared to no recruitment.[55] Ko et al (2008) applied RM at 40 cmH<sub>2</sub>O for 10 seconds every 15 minutes and noted improved oxygenation, pulmonary mechanics, and attenuation of inflammation with the additive effect of PEEP.[56] Frank et al (2005) determined that the use of RM (two 30cmH<sub>2</sub>O RM separated by 1 minute every 30 minutes) resulted in sustained improvements in oxygenation, compliance and lung volume. [59] Application of low-volume RM for a short duration with a relatively moderate frequency is critical for minimizing lung injury in patients with atelectasis as noted in this study.

Based on our previous pilot studies, we determined that an opening pressure of 20cmH<sub>2</sub>O for 10 seconds with repetition every 20 minutes resulted in a consistent pulmonary compliance in the LTV group. RM improved pulmonary compliance in the HTV group; however, this did not reach statistical signifance and was only a mild improvement. RM reduced overall acute lung injury in both LTV and HTV groups, yet only the LTV group reached statistical

significance. Pro-inflammatory cytokines showed the most significant difference with RM in the HTV group, with significant increases in IL-6, KC, MIP-2, and TNF- $\alpha$  without the use of RM compared to the use of RM, indicating that atelectrauma appears to be a more important driver of cytokine influx than volutrauma. Neutrophil influx appeared to be associated with both volutrauma and atelectrauma, with a higher trend with the use of RM, indicating that at 4 hours of ventilation, neutrophil influx appears to be more associated with volutrauma than atelectrauma. Further studies of ventilator-induced lung injury past 4 hours should be pursued to evaluate this trend. RM are protective and should be considered to help ameliorate ventilator-induced lung injury and use of dynamic compliance can be used guide the frequency of RM. It should be noted, however, that clinical studies of RM typically perform RM considerably less frequently than our protocol, such as daily or every 8 hours as well as using higher airway pressures and breath holds of longer duration.[45,60,61,62,63] This difference in timing may explain the unclear benefits of clinically performed RM compared to our data and future research addressing the impact of RMs, and signs of lung injury.

PEEP was protective in both low and high tidal volume groups, but unable to ameliorate lung injury as evident by alterations in pro-inflammatory cytokines. PEEP attenuated the inflammatory response in the high tidal volume group as determined by a reduction in the absolute neutrophil counts and bronchoalveolar lavage albumin; whereas, a significant reduction in the histology score was noted in the low tidal volume group. PEEP attenuated the damage to the alveolar-capillary barrier as evident by a reduction in albumin influx into the alveoli. Yet, even at low-tidal volume ventilation, elevations in pro-inflammatory cytokines occurred and resulted in significant elevations in HTV even when PEEP was added. As determined in previous studies, increasing the PEEP to 6 cmH<sub>2</sub>O resulted in improved or equivocal protective ventilation than the synergistic effects of PEEP at 2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O and RM, suggesting a way to reduce lung injury by minimizing atelectrauma.[52,53,54,56,57] Use of PEEP has been wellestablished as an aspect of protective ventilation, our use of ZEEP was applied to maximize the injury in the high-tidal volume group in this model. It can be speculated that atelectrauma appears to be a more significant contributor to ventilator-induced lung injury than volutrauma at physiological ranges of tidal volume, yet further research is indicated to evaluate this concept at supraphysiological tidal volumes.

Similar VILI models previously used supraphysiologic tidal volumes to reproduce injury, the tidal volumes used in our study were lower and more clinically relevant.[20,21,23] With this study, we also attained ventilator-induced lung injury without utilizing a second insult and evaluated temporal changes (early times points at initial injury and later timpoints with progression of VILI) in TV, PEEP, and RMs. It is an ideal system to study the mechanisms that lead to VILI, as well as develop preventative therapies, or identify optimum ventilation strategies to minimize, or altogether prevent, VILI.

#### Limitations

Inherent limitations are present in this study due to the relatively small sample size in each of the groups, which may have resulted in type II errors. Gender bias was present in our study as only female mice were used. Protease inhibitors were not added to the bronchoalveolar lavage samples, which may have affected sample analysis, especially considering that cytokines are easily degraded. Due to the limitations of our ventilator system, we were unable to measure the peak inspiratory pressure, which would have aided in more direct comparison to other studies of ventilator-induced acute lung injury in a translational aspect. Hemodynamic compromise is one of the main limiting factors of the use of recruitment maneuvers, within our study we

were unable to directly monitor direct arterial blood pressure and oxygenation levels during recruitment maneuvers. It can be hypothesized that in mice, a tidal volume of 8 ml/kg with a PEEP of 4cmH<sub>2</sub>O was not sufficient to minimize atelectasis; hence, the need for recruitment maneuvers to maintain pulmonary compliance. Patients with severe lung injury are ventilated with LTV to reduce lung injury, whereas our mice had no lung injury prior to initiation of PPV; therefore, a lower tidal volume may inadvertently be harmful due to reduced alveolar recruitment. Alveolar recruitment occurs during tidal inflation and with reduced tidal volumes in patients with healthy lungs, alveoli may remain collapsed or compressed, worsening gas exchange.[64]

## Conclusions

Short term ventilation using clinically relevant positive pressure ventilator settings for 2 hours resulted in biologically-evident ventilator-induced lung injury with increased influx of total protein and albumin within the alveoli. Increasing the duration of PPV up to 4 hours results in progressive injury with histological evidence of acute lung injury, alteration of the alveolar-capillary membrane, and evidence of an inflammatory response with influx of neutrophils and increased proinflammatory cytokines. Low tidal volumes, positive end-expiratory pressure, and frequent recruitment maneuvers each showed contributions to lung protection, yet mild ventilator-induced lung injury still occurred. Our findings highlight the importance of study-ing the influence of multiple clinically relevant, potentially protective ventilation strategies at early time points in the evolution of ventilator-induced lung injury. This strategy will help to elucidate novel pathways in the pathogenesis of VILI and lead to new treatments to prevent or ameliorate the adverse effects of mechanical ventilation for patients with acute respiratory failure.

## **Supporting information**

**S1 Data. Raw data is available in the attached supporting information.** (XLSX)

## **Author Contributions**

- **Conceptualization:** Laura A. Cagle, Lisa M. Franzi, Angela L. Linderholm, Jerold A. Last, Nicholas J. Kenyon.
- **Data curation:** Laura A. Cagle, Lisa M. Franzi, Angela L. Linderholm, Jason Y. Adams, Richart W. Harper, Nicholas J. Kenyon.
- Formal analysis: Laura A. Cagle, Lisa M. Franzi, Angela L. Linderholm, Jerold A. Last, Jason Y. Adams, Richart W. Harper, Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Funding acquisition: Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Investigation: Laura A. Cagle.

Methodology: Laura A. Cagle, Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Project administration: Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Resources: Laura A. Cagle, Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Supervision: Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Writing - original draft: Laura A. Cagle, Lisa M. Franzi, Nicholas J. Kenyon.

Writing – review & editing: Laura A. Cagle, Lisa M. Franzi, Angela L. Linderholm, Jerold A. Last, Jason Y. Adams, Richart W. Harper, Nicholas J. Kenyon.

#### References

- Esteban A, Ferguson ND, Meade MO, Frutos-Vivar F, Apezteguia C, Brochard L, et al. Evolution of mechanical ventilation in response to clinical research. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 1777:170–7, 2008.
- Ware LB, Matthay MA. The acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. May 4; 342(18):1334– 49, 2000. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200005043421806 PMID: 10793167
- Santa Cruz R, Rojas JI, Nervi R, Heredia R, Ciapponi A. High versus low positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) levels for mechanically ventilated adult patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Cochrane Database of Syst Rev. Jun 6;(6):CD009098, 2013.
- 4. Slutsky AS, Ranieri VM. Ventilator-induced lung injury. N Engl J Med. Nov 28; 369(22):2126–36, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1208707 PMID: 24283226
- Curley GF, Laffey JG, Zhang H, Slutsky AS. Biotrauma and Ventilator-Induced Lung Injury: Clinical Implications. Chest. Nov; 150(5):1109–1117, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.07.019 PMID: 27477213
- Sinclair SE, Altemeier WA, Matute-Bello G, Chi EY. Augmented lung injury due to interaction between hyperoxia and mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med. Dec; 32(12):2496–501, 2004. PMID: 15599157
- Brederlau J, Muellenbach R, Kredel M, Kuestermann J, Anetseder M, Greim C, et al. Combination of arteriovenous extracorporeal lung assist and high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in a porcine model of lavage-induced acute lung injury: a randomized controlled trial. J Trauma. Feb; 62(2):336–46, 2007. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ta.0000221667.32598.71 PMID: 17297323
- Petrucci N, De Feo C. Lung protective ventilation strategy for the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Feb 28;(2):CD003844, 2013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858</u>. CD003844.pub4 PMID: 23450544
- Ronchi CF, Klefens SO, Ferreira ALA, Ronchi CBB, Carpi MF, Moraes MA, et al. Ventilator-induced lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a basic science review. J Pulmon Resp Med S12:001. https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-105X.S12-001, 2012.
- Weiss CH, Baker DW, Weiner S, Bechel M, Ragland M, Rademaker A, et al. Low tidal volume ventilation use in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. Aug; 44(8):1515–22, 2016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000001710</u> PMID: 27035237
- Malhotra A. Low-tidal-volume ventilation in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. Sep 13; 357(11):1113–20, 2007. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMct074213 PMID: 17855672
- Needham DM, Yang T, Dinglas VD, Mendez-Tellez PA, Shanholtz C, Sevransky JE, et al. Timing of low tidal volume ventilation and intensive care unit mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jan 15; 191(2):177–85, 2015. <u>https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201409-1598OC</u> PMID: 25478681
- Dreyfuss D, Soler P, Basset G, Saumon G. High inflation pressure pulmonary edema. Respective effects of high airway pressure, high tidal volume, and positive end-expiratory pressure. Am Rev Respir Dis. May; 137(5):1159–64, 1988. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm/137.5.1159 PMID: 3057957
- Gattinoni L, Protti A, Caironi P, Carlesso E. Ventilator-induced lung injury: the anatomical and physiological framework. Crit Care Med. Oct; 38(10 Suppl):S539–48, 2010.
- Protti A, Andreis DT, Milesi M, Iapichino GE, Monti M, Comini B, et al. Lung anatomy, energy load, and ventilator-induced lung injury. Intensive Care Med Exp. Dec; 3(1):34, 2015. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/</u> s40635-015-0070-1 PMID: 26671060
- Matute-Bello G, Downey G, Moore BB, Groshong SD, Matthay MA, Slutsky AS, et al. An official American Thoracic Society workshop report: features and measurements of experimental acute lung injury in animals. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. May; 44(5):725–38, 2011. <u>https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2009-0210ST PMID: 21531958</u>
- Chess PR, Benson RP, Maniscalco WM, Wright TW, O'Reilly MA, Johnston CJ. Murine mechanical ventilation stimulates alveolar epithelial cell proliferation. Exp Lung Res. Aug; 36(6):331–41, 2010. https://doi.org/10.3109/01902141003632332 PMID: 20653468
- Dessap AM, Voiriot G, Zhou T, Marcos E, Dudek SM, Jacobson JR, et al. Conflicting physiological and genomic cardiopulmonary effects of recruitment maneuvers in murine acute lung injury. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 46(4) 541–550, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2011-0306OC PMID: 22135358

- Finigan JH, Boueiz A, Wilkinson E, Damico R, Skirball J, Pae HH, et al. Activated protein C protects against ventilator-induced pulmonary capillary leak. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 296: L1002– L1011, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.90555.2008 PMID: 19363121
- Pedreira PR, Garcia-Prieto E, Parra D, Astudillo A, Diaz E, Taboada F, et al. Effects of melatonin in an experimental model of ventilator-induced lung injury. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. Nov; 295(5): L820–7, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.90211.2008 PMID: 18805959
- Peltekova V, Engelberts D, Otulakowski G, Uematsu S, Post M, Kavanagh BP. Hypercapnic acidosis in ventilator-induced lung injury. Intensive Care Med. May; 36(5):869–78, 2010. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s00134-010-1787-7 PMID: 20213072
- Vaporidi K, Voloudakis G, Priniannakis G, Kondili E, Koutsopoulos A, Tsatsanis C, et al. Effects of respiratory rate on ventilator-induced lung injury at a constant PaCO2 in a mouse model of normal lung. Crit Care Med. Apr; 36(4):1277–83, 2008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318169f30e</u> PMID: 18379255
- Wilson MR, O'Dea KP, Zhang D, Shearman D, van Rooijen N, Takata M. Role of lung-marginated monocytes in an in vivo mouse model of ventilator-induced lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. May 15; 179(10):914–22, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200806-877OC PMID: 19218195
- Albaiceta GM, Blanch L. Beyond volutrauma in ARDS: the critical role of lung tissue deformation. Crit Care. Apr 7; 15(2):304, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc10052 PMID: 21489320
- Wrigge H, Uhlig U, Zinserling J, Behrends-Callsen E, Ottersbach G, Fischer M, et al. The effects of different ventilatory settings on pulmonary and systemic inflammatory responses during major surgery. Anesth Analg. Mar; 98(3):775–81, 2004. PMID: 14980936
- Wrigge H, Zinserling J, Stuber F, von Spiegel T, Hering R, Wetegrove S, et al. Effects of mechanical ventilation on release of cytokines into systemic circulation in patients with normal pulmonary function. Anesthesiology. Dec; 93(6):1413–7, 2000. PMID: 11149435
- Manicone AM. Role of the pulmonary epithelium and inflammatory signals in acute lung injury. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. Jan 1; 5(1):63–75, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1586/177666X.5.1.63 PMID: 19885383
- Ware LB. Pathophysiology of acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. Aug; 27(4):337–49, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-948288 PMID: 16909368
- 29. Grommes J, Soehnlein O. Contribution of neutrophils to acute lung injury. Mol Med. Mar-Apr; 17(3– 4):293–307, 2011. https://doi.org/10.2119/molmed.2010.00138 PMID: 21046059
- Hol J, Wilhelmsen L, Haraldsen G. The murine IL-8 homologues KC, MIP-2, and LIX are found in endothelial cytoplasmic granules but not in Weibel-Palade bodies. J Leukoc Biol. Mar; 87(3):501–8, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0809532 PMID: 20007247
- Ranieri VM, Sutter PM, Tortorella C, De Tullio R, Dayer JM, Brienza A, et al. Effect of mechanical ventilation on inflammatory mediators in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. Jul 7; 282(1):54–61, 1999. PMID: 10404912
- Wang L, Heng L, Gu X, Wang Z, Liu S, Chen L. Effect of antiplatelet therapy on acute respiratory distress syndrome and mortality in critically ill patients: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. May 16; 11(5) e0154754, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154754 PMID: 27182704
- Chiumello D, Pristine G, Slutsky AS. Mechanical ventilation affects local and systemic cytokines in an animal model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jul; 160(1):109–16, 1999. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.160.1.9803046 PMID: 10390387
- Wilson MR, Choudhury S, Goddard ME, O'Dea KP, Nicholson AG, Takata M. High tidal volume upregulates intrapulmonary cytokines in an in vivo mouse model of ventilator-induced lung injury. J Appl Physiol. Oct; 95(4):1385–93, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00213.2003 PMID: 12807894
- Wolthuis EK, Vlaar A, Choi G, Roelofs JJ, Juffermans NP, Schultz MJ. Mechanical ventilation using non-injurious ventilation settings causes lung injury in the absence of pre-existing lung injury in healthy mice. Crit Care. 13(1):R1, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc7688 PMID: 19152704
- Li LF, Liao SK, Lee CH, Tsai YH, Huang CC, Quinn DA. Ventilation-induced neutrophil infiltration and apoptosis depend on apoptosis signal-regulated kinase 1 pathway. Crit Care Med. Sep; 33(9):1913–21, 2005. PMID: 16148459
- Checkley W, Brower R, Korpak A, Thompson BT, Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network Investigators. Effects of a clinical trial on mechanical ventilation practices in patients with acute lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jun 1; 177(11):1215–22, 2008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200709-1424OC PMID: 18356562</u>
- 38. Guay J, Ochroch EA. Intraoperative use of low volume ventilation to decrease postoperative mortality, mechanical ventilation, lengths of stay and lung injury in patients without acute lung injury. Cochran Database Syst Rev. Dec 7;(12):CD011151, 2015.

- 39. Neto AS, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, Beiderlinden M, Fernandez-Bustamante A, Futier E, et al, PROVE Network Investigators. Association between driving pressure and development of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet Respir Med. Apr; 4(4):272–80, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-2600(16)00057-6 PMID: 26947624
- 40. Neto AS, Simonis FD, Barbas CS, Biehl M, Determann RM, Elmer J, et al; PROtective Ventilation Network Investigators. Lung-protective ventilation with low tidal volumes and the occurrence of pulmonary complications in patients without acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and individual patient data analysis. Crit Care Med. Oct; 43(10):2155–63, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM. 00000000001189 PMID: 26181219
- Mestas J, Hughes CC. Of mice and not men: differences between mouse and human immunology. J Immunol. Mar 1; 172(5):2731–8, 2004. PMID: 14978070
- Borges JB, Okamoto VN, Matos GF, Caramez MP, Arantes PR, Barros F, et al. Reversibility of lung collapse and hypoxemia in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Aug 1; 174(3):268–78, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200506-976OC PMID: 16690982
- 43. Wheeler AP, Bernard GR. Acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a clinical review. Lancet May 5; 369(9572):1553–65, 2007. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60604-7 PMID: 17482987
- 44. Fan E, Wilcox ME, Brower RG, Stewart TE, Mehta S, Lapinsky SE, et al. Recruitment maneuvers for acute lung injury–a systematic review. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Dec 1; 178(11):1156–63, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200802-335OC PMID: 18776154
- 45. Badet M, Bayle F, Richard JC, Guerin C. Comparison of optimal positive end-expiratory pressure and recruitment maneuvers during lung-protective mechanical ventilation in patients with acute lung injury/ acute respiratory distress syndrome. Respir Care. Jul; 54(7):847–54, 2009. PMID: <u>19558735</u>
- 46. Crotti S, Mascheroni D, Caironi P, Pelosi P, Ronzoni G, Mondino M, et al. Recruitment and derecruitment during acute respiratory failure: a clinical study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jul 1; 164(1):131–40, 2001. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.164.1.2007011 PMID: 11435251
- Lim SC, Adams AB, Simonson DA, Dries DJ, Broccard AF, Hotchkiss JR, et al. Transient hemodynamic effects of recruitment maneuvers in three experimental models of acute lung injury. Crit Care Med. Dec; 32(12):2378–2384, 2004. PMID: 15599139
- Nielsen J, Nilsson M, Freden F, Hultman J, Alstrom U, Kjaergaard J, et al. Central hemodynamics during lung recruitment maneuvers at hypovolemia, normovolemia, and hypervolemia. A study by echocardiography and continuous pulmonary artery flow measurements in lung-injured pigs. Intensive Care Med. Apr; 32(4):585–594, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-006-0082-0 PMID: 16520996
- Odenstedt H, Aneman A, Karason S, Stengvist O, Lundin S. Acute hemodynamic changes during lung recruitment in lavage and endotoxin-induced ALI. Intensive Care Med. Jan; 31(1):112–120, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-004-2496-x PMID: 15605230
- Pelosi P, Goldner M, McKibben A, Adams A, Eccher G, Caironi P, et al. Recruitment and derecruitment during acute respiratory failure: an experimental study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jul 1; 164(1):122– 30, 2001. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.164.1.2007010 PMID: 11435250
- Hodgson C, Goligher EC, Young ME, Keating JL, Holland AE, Romero L, et al. Recruitment manoeuvres for adults with acute respiratory distress syndrome receiving mechanical ventilation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Nov 17; 11:CD006667, 2016. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006667.pub3</u> PMID: 27855477
- Allen G, Bates JH. Dynamic mechanical consequences of deep inflation in mice depend on type and degree of lung injury. J Appl Physiol. Jan; 96(1):293–300, 2004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.</u> 00270.2003 PMID: 12949024
- Allen GB, Suratt BT, Rinaldi L, Petty JM, Bates JH. Choosing the frequency of deep inflation in mice: balancing recruitment against ventilator-induced lung injury. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. Oct; 291(4):L710–7, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00532.2005 PMID: 16698851
- Cannizzaro V, Berry LJ, Nicholls PK, Zosky GR, Turner DJ, Hantos Z, et al. Lung volume recruitment maneuvers and respiratory system mechanics in mechanically ventilated mice. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. Dec 31; 169(3):243–51, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resp.2009.09.012 PMID: 19788941
- 55. Duggan M, McCaul CL, McNamara PJ, Engelberts D, Ackerley C, Kavanagh BP. Atelectasis causes vascular leak and lethal right ventricular failure in uninjured rat lungs. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jun 15; 167(12):1633–40, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200210-1215OC PMID: 12663325
- 56. Ko SC, Zhang H, Haitsma JJ, Cheng KC, Li CF, Slutsky AS. Effects of PEEP levels following repeated recruitment maneuvers on ventilator-induced lung injury. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. Apr; 52(4):514–21, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2008.01581.x PMID: 18261196

- Reiss LK, Kowallik A, Uhlig S. Recurrent recruitment manoeuvers improve lung mechanics and minimize lung injury during mechanical ventilation of healthy mice. PLoS ONE. 6(9): e24527. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024527</u>, 2011. PMID: 21935418
- Zosky GR, Janosi TZ, Adamicza A, Bozanich EM, Cannizzaro V, Larcombe AN, et al. The bimodal quasi-static and dynamic elastance of the murine lung. J Appl Physiol. Aug; 105(2):685–92, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.90328.2008 PMID: 18556435
- Frank JA, McAuley DF, Gutierrez JA, Daniel BM, Dobbs L, Matthay MA. Differential effects of sustained inflation recruitment maneuvers on alveolar epithelial and lung endothelial injury. Crit Care Med. Jan; 33 (1):181–188,254–5, 2005. PMID: 15644667
- Grasso S, Mascia L, Del Turco M, Malacarne P, Giunta F, Brochard L, et al. Effects of recruiting maneuvers in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome ventilated with protective ventilatory strategy. Anesthesiology. Apr; 96(4):795–802, 2002. PMID: 11964585
- Johannigman JA, Miller SL, Davis BR, Davis K Jr, Campbell RS, Branson RD. Influence of low tidal volumes on gas exchange in acute respiratory distress syndrome and the role of recruitment maneuvers. J Trauma. Feb; 54(2):320–5, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.TA.0000043923.19107.B6 PMID: 12579058
- Lapinsky SE. Recruitment and retention of lung volume. Crit Care. Feb; 7(1):9–10, 2003. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/cc1857 PMID: 12617731</u>
- Meade MO, Cook DJ, Griffith LE, Hand LE, Lapinsky SE, Stewart TE, et al. A study of the physiologic responses to a lung recruitment maneuver in acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Respir Care. Nov; 53(11):1441–9, 2008. PMID: 18957146
- Gattinoni L, Pelosi P, Crotti S, Valenza F. Effects of positive end-expiratory pressure on regional distribution of tidal volume and recruitment in adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Vol 151. Pp 1807–1814, 1995. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.151.6.7767524 PMID: 7767524