



Structural and Functional Characterization of PA14/Flo5-Like Adhesins From *Komagataella pastoris*

Michael Kock¹, Stefan Brückner², Nina Wozniak², Manuel Maestre-Reyna³, Maik Veelders¹, Julia Schlereth¹, Hans-Ulrich Mösch^{2,4*} and Lars-Oliver Essen^{1,4*}

¹ Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, ² Department of Genetics, Faculty of Biology, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, ³ Institute of Biological Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan, ⁴ LOEWE Center for Synthetic Microbiology, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Neil Andrew Brown, University of Bath, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Ronnie Guy Willaert, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium Anette Henriksen, Novo Nordisk, Denmark

*Correspondence:

Hans-Ulrich Mösch moesch@staff.uni-marburg.de Lars-Oliver Essen essen@chemie.uni-marburg.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Fungi and Their Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 07 July 2018 Accepted: 10 October 2018 Published: 30 October 2018

Citation:

Kock M, Brückner S, Wozniak N, Maestre-Reyna M, Veelders M, Schlereth J, Mösch H-U and Essen L-O (2018) Structural and Functional Characterization of PA14/Flo5-Like Adhesins From Komagataella pastoris. Front. Microbiol. 9:2581. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02581 Cell–cell and cell-substrate based adhesion of yeasts are major determinants of their adoption of different life styles. Genome-mining of ascomycetous GPI-anchored cell wall proteins with lectin-like PA14 domains identified a unique class of putative adhesins in the clade of methylotrophic *Komagataella* yeasts, many of which are known to colonize plants and insects involving yet unknown adhesion mechanisms. Here, we report the functional and structural analysis of two of its members: *Kp*Flo1 (=Cea1), that is highly specific for terminal *N*-acetylglucosamine moieties, and *Kp*Flo2, which represents an orphan lectin with intact binding site but unknown specificity. Crystal structures of the Cea1 adhesion domain complexed to *N*-acetylglucosamine and *N*,*N*′-diacetylchitobiose reveal a Ca²⁺-dependent binding mode that differs from other members of the PA14/Flo5 adhesin family. Heterologous expression of Cea1A in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* promotes cellular adhesion to non-reducing ends of non-crystalline chitin. Overall, our data suggest that high-affinity recognition of β-GlcNAc-capped glycans by Cea1 enable *Komagataella* species to interact with surface cues present in fungi and insects.

Keywords: adhesins, cell wall, β -N-acetylglucosamine capped glycans, carbohydrate-binding protein, glycan specificity, lifestyle adaptation, biotechnology

INTRODUCTION

Fungi colonize most known ecological niches and hence are nearly ubiquitously found on Earth. Accordingly, fungi can develop different uni- and multicellular life forms in response to changing environmental conditions. An important factor contributing to fungal versatility is their cell wall, which is not only crucial for fungal cell-cell and cell-substrate interactions, but also for acting as physical barrier against host defense systems. The importance of fungal cell walls in establishing self-interactions and symbiosis/pathogenicity is underscored by their complex

Abbreviations: A domain, adhesion domain; CBL, calcium binding loop; Cea, chitin end adhesin; CWP, cell wall-associated protein; Epa, epithelial adhesin; Flo, flocculin; Gal, galactose; GlcNAc, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine; GPI, glycosylphosphatidylinositol; K_D , dissociation constant; Man, mannose.

structure and composition. In Saccharomyces species, up to 30% of the cell's dry weight consists of wall material composed of β 1,3- and β 1,6-glucans, β 1,3-glucan-chitin complexes and many heavily glycosylated mannoproteins including GPI-anchored cell wall proteins (GPI-CWP) (Klis et al., 2002). In Saccharomyces and other yeast species, GPI-CWPs act as constitutive cell wall components or as hydrolases (Pittet and Conzelmann, 2007). Many of them are adhesins that enable self-recognition as exemplified by the well-known Flos of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. These Flos confer specific aggregation of vegetative cells into protective structures like flocs or biofilms as an early example of social behavior among lower eukaryotes. While Flo11-like adhesins mediate homotypic interactions by a hydrophobically decorated fibronectin type III domain (Kraushaar et al., 2015), specific ligand binding by adhesive GPI-CWPs is often mediated by the widely distributed PA14 family domain. In pathogenic yeasts of the Candida clade like Candida glabrata, GPI-CWP-type adhesins harboring PA14 domains mediate host-microbe interactions and are crucial factors for establishing pathogenicity.

A hallmark of GPI-CWP type adhesins is their modular architecture. In general, they harbor an N-terminal A domain that is followed by a region carrying a highly variable number of serine/threonine-rich tandem repeats (B region). The C-terminal domain (C domain) carries the GPI-anchor that confers covalent fixation to the cell wall by transglycosylation to β 1,6-glucans. The A domain that is crucial for adhesion comprises the binding site, which, in the case of PA14 domain-related adhesins, recognizes disaccharidic ends of glycans in a C-type lectin-like manner. Binding is achieved via a Ca²⁺-ion being complexed by a unique DcisD motif and further amino acid residues in two calcium binding loops (CBL1, CBL2). For example, the Flo-5 A domain of S. cerevisiae (ScFlo5A) mediates specific adhesion to Man-\alpha1,2-Man moieties presented on the surface of other yeast cells and thereby causes floc formation by cell-cell interactions (Veelders et al., 2010). A second, homophilic mode of A domain interaction has been described for ScFlo1A that resembles Flo5 in term of its specificity for terminal mannosyl residues. Here, direct calcium-bridging between A-domain derived glycans could be additionally observed, which may represent an early, less specific step during formation of multicellular aggregates (Goossens et al., 2015). In the case of PA14/Flo5-like adhesins of the large Epa family from C. glabrata, the A domains recognize terminal galactosides in the glycocalyx of epithelial cells, leading to pathogenic host-cell adhesion (Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012; Diderrich et al., 2015).

Five different 3D structures of PA14/Flo5-like adhesin domains have been reported including *Sc*Flo5A (PDB-ID 2XJP), Lg-Flo1A (4GQ7), *Sc*Flo1A (4LHN), Epa1A (4ASL), and Epa6A (4COU). Despite revealing the common PA14-like β -sandwich and *DcisD* motif these structures showed different modes of carbohydrate recognition (Petosa et al., 1997; Veelders et al., 2010; Ielasi et al., 2012; Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012; Sim et al., 2013; Goossens et al., 2015). These adhesins confer either self-recognition (Flo) or host-recognition (Epa), and can be divided into two different structural subgroups. Interestingly, genes encoding Flo or Epa adhesins are found to undergo intense intergenic recombination, thereby promoting the generation of chimeric adhesins and a high degree of functional variability (Christiaens et al., 2012).

In this study, we mined the genomes of ascomycetous fungi for hitherto unknown PA14/Flo5-like adhesin domains and identified such domains in several putative GPI-CWP adhesins of methylotrophic yeasts from the Komagataella genus. Despite their biotechnological application for heterologous protein production, the natural lifestyles and adhesion properties of Komagataella species like Komagataella pastoris and K. phaffii are largely unknown (Ogata et al., 1969; Mbawala et al., 1990; Daly and Hearn, 2005). Compared to other recombinant expression systems, both species, initially assigned as different Pichia pastoris strains, are distinguished by their high secretion capacity, the availability of strains with humanized glycosylation patterns and the dependence on simple carbon sources such as methanol for achieving high biomass yields. K. pastoris has first been isolated from decomposing wood; other yeasts of Komagataella clade have been identified as part of the gut flora of insects (Shihata and Mrak, 1952; Phaff and Knapp, 1956; Kurtzman, 2011). Here, we performed a detailed structural and functional characterization of A domains from putative GPI-CWP adhesins of the K. pastoris reference strain DSMZ70382, previously known as P. pastoris. Our data show that the A domain of one of these GPI-CWP, chitin-end adhesin 1 (Cea1), binds to N-acetylglucosamine or at non-reducing ends of chitinous polymers with high affinity in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, K. pastoris A domains share features from both previously characterized Flo and Epa subgroups. Among them Cea1A represents a novel subgroup of adhesive PA14 domains, which mediate high affinity recognition of chitinous poly- and oligomers.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Phylogenetic Analysis of PA14-Domain Containing Putative Adhesins of *K. pastoris*

To identify further members of the fungal PA14/Flo5-like GPI-CWP adhesin superfamily, an approach combining database search with genome mining was used. A domain sequences of ScFlo5 (Uniprot: P38894) and Epa1 (Uniprot: Q6VBJ0) were used as seeds to identify further non-annotated homologs using BLAST tools on ascomycetous genomes (tax id: 4890). Based on the revised genome data of Komagataella species by Love et al. (2016) we identified nine PA14-domain containing GPI-CWPs in K. pastoris and seven in the related K. phaffii strain. In a second step designated A domains sequences were aligned by T-Coffee (Notredame et al., 2000) by including orthologous Flos from S. cerevisiae S288c, Epa-adhesins and Pwp A domains (Desai et al., 2011) from C. glabrata CBS138, a subgroup of putative adhesins from Candida species (Gabaldon et al., 2013) and the PA14 domain from the Bacillus anthracis protective antigen (Petosa et al., 1997). After alignment of these PA14/Flo5-like GPI-CWP adhesins the MAFFT-add algorithm was applied to all sequences of putative A domains from the Pfam family PA14_2 (=GLEYA domain; Pfam-ID: PF10528), which were filtered before for sequences containing only one terminal orthologous domain. After deletion of misaligned sequences the remaining sequences were realigned. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA6 (LG-model with gamma distribution, partial deletion of gaps, nearest-neighbor-interchange) (Tamura et al., 2013); the tree was visualized with FigTree 1.4¹ (Figure 1B).

Cloning, Overexpression and Purification of *K. pastoris* a Domains

In K. pastoris strain DSMZ 70382 the Cea1A (=KpFlo1A) domain belongs to a predicted adhesin with PA14 domain (D78-E296, Uniprot: A0A1B2J5V1); likewise the KpFlo2A domain resides at the N-terminus of another GPI-CWP (Q33-A253, Uniprot: A0A1B2JGH2). Gene fragments coding for these A domains were amplified from genomic DNA using primers 5'-CAGTCGACATATGGATGACAGTGGAAATGG/5'-GCTCGAGTTATTCATGGCAGGAGTTCTC for Cea1A 5'-CAAGTTACATATGCAGGAAAGTGGTGATGG/5'and GCTCGAGTTATGCTTGGCATTGTTCTTC (Metabion) for KpFlo2A, respectively. These fragment were subcloned into pET28a (Novagen) using NdeI and XhoI restriction sites (underlined in primer sequence) to encode N-terminally His₆-tagged A domain fusions. Heterologous overexpression was performed according to Veelders et al. (2010) in Escherichia coli SHuffle T7 Express (New England Biolabs). Cells were grown at 37° C in TB medium to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.2 and were subsequently cooled to 12°C. Overexpression was induced by addition of 10 μ M IPTG when the culture reached OD₆₀₀ = 0.6. After harvesting, cell pellets were resuspended in AM-buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0), frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -80°C. After thawing and addition of lysozyme, PMSF, EDTA and DNAseI cell disruption was performed using a French press (Aminco). After centrifugation the supernatant was applied to a Ni-NTA column (Macherey Nagel); the recombinant KpFlo A domains were eluted with AM-buffer containing 150 mM imidazole. Eluate fractions were checked by 12% SDS-PAGE and fractions accordingly pooled and concentrated using a 10 kDa cut-off Amicon Ultra concentrator (Millipore). Finally, size exclusion chromatography with a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and SEC-buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8) was used to yield pure monomeric KpFlo A domains. Protein solutions concentrated to 10 mg/ml (Amicon Ultra concentrator, 10 kDa cut-off) were stored at 4°C.

High-Throughput Glycan Binding Assays

Recombinant *Kp*Flo1A (=Cea1A) and *Kp*Flo2A were fluorescently labeled using an Alexa Fluor 488 THF kit (Invitrogen) and applied to CFG array V5.1 chips at protein concentrations of 20 μ g/ml and 200 μ g/ml, respectively. Chip surfaces were repeatedly washed and remaining fluorescence was measured and quantified. Data and the exact procedure can be found under cfg_rRequest #2555 at the webpage of the Consortium for Functional Glycomics². The pie charts for the Cea1A domain were generated using the quantity of carbohydrates belonging to a specific group. All ligands showing \geq 4% relative fluorescence units (RFU) of the best binder (GlcNAc directly linked to a spacer) were used. Given that we found no binder for *Kp*Flo2A, we tested a few sugars (50 mM Glc, Gal, Lac, Man, GlcNAc) by fluorescence spectroscopy using W119 near the putative glycan binding site (distance to calcium ion: 7.3 Å) as reporter, but failed likewise to identify any significant fluorescence quench indicating that none of these carbohydrates bound (data not shown).

Structure Determination of *Kp*Flo a Domains

Crystallization was done with a Cartesian robotic system (Genomic Solutions) using commercially available screens (Qiagen) in sitting drops containing 300 nl protein solution with 300 nl reservoir solution at either 4°C or 18°C. First Cea1A crystals grown in Li₂SO₄ or NaCl/PEG 8000 containing conditions lacked any diffraction. After identification of GlcNAc as cognate ligand co-crystallization was performed using 5 mM GlcNAc or 5 mM N,N'-diacetyl-chitobiose and 5 mM CaCl₂ in the protein solution. Orthorhombic crystals were obtained in conditions containing MgCl₂ and 15-30 mg/ml Cea1A. Optimization was done using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method in 24-well format and streak seeding. After 3-5 days crystals for data collection were obtained in conditions containing 100 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM sodium citrate pH 3.5 and 12% PEG 4000 at 4°C. Well diffracting monoclinic crystals of the KpFlo2A domain were obtained in 30 mg/ml protein, 20 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM sodium cacodylate and 50% PEG200 at 18°C.

Crystals were picked with a Micromount (MiTeGen), soaked in mother liquor containing 15-20% glycerol as cryoprotectant and frozen in liquid nitrogen before X-ray datasets were recorded at 100 K. The Cea1A structures were solved by molecular replacement using PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007) and a trimmed homology model of Cea1A that was generated by Modeller 9v7 (Eswar et al., 2006) using Epa1A (PDB ID 4AF9) as a template. The KpFlo2A structure was likewise solved using instead the Cea1A domain as template. Data processing was performed with XDS, XSCALE, PHENIX, and CCP4 (Bailey, 1994; Adams et al., 2010; Kabsch, 2010). The Cea1A structures were refined with alternating rounds of REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 1997) and Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and NCS restraints till no further improvement of R_{free} was possible and no unexplained difference electron density was left for interpretation (For statistics see Table 1). The Cea1A molecule pair A/B is better defined than the C/D pair in the asymmetric symmetry unit (B_{ave} : 15.3 vs. 19.4 Å²) due to comparably poorer electron density in the latter for the N-terminus, A89-V99, that takes part in molecules A/B in formation of the neck region, and the stretch K205-A217. Accordingly, the neck region defined for molecules A/B is partly missing in C/D because of a lack of the disulphide linkage between C207 and C294. The latter

¹http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree

²www.functionalglycomics.org



TABLE 1 Crystallographic statistics of Cea1A (=KpFlo1) and KpFlo2.

Data collection/processing	Cea1A•GlcNAc	Cea1A.N/-diacetylchitobiose	KpFloe2glycerol
PDB accession code	5A3L	5A3M	6HOS
X-ray source	BL14.1	BL14.3	BL14.1
		BESSY II, Berlin, Germany	
Detector		MARmosaic 225 mm	
Wavelength (Å)	0.91841	0.89120	0.91841
Space group	P212121	P212121	C121
Cell dimensions (a, b, c Å)	102.34, 106.21, 107.60	101.70, 105.35, 106.47	79.94, 103.19, 72.10, β = 113.51°
Resolution (Å)	19.84–1.66 (1.75–1.66)	19.98–1.75 (1.84–1.75)	40.67-2.15 (2.27-2.15)
Total reflections	797571	423782	107631
Multiplicity	5.8 (5.8)	4.0 (4.0)	3.7 (3.7)
Unique reflections	137801	106663	29204
R _{merge} (%)	9.9 (59.0)	9.9 (52.3)	7.1 (56.1)
Completeness (%)	99.6 (100.0)	92.7 (95.3)	100.0 (100.0)
Ι/σ(Ι)	14.9 (3.3)	12.4 (2.8)	11.7 (2.4)
Mosaicity (°)	0.12	0.09	0.19
Wilson B-factor (Å ²)	10.7	8.9	32.6
Refinement statistics			
Resolution (Å)	19.84–1.66	19.99–1.75	39.41-2.15
R _{factor} , R _{free} (%)	17.07, 20.21	18.26, 21.14	17.24, 19.89
Reflections (working, test set)	135716, 2084	105044, 1619	28177, 1005
Completeness for range (%)	99.46	92.20	99.9
Total number of atoms	7992	8035	3899
Content	916 residues, 4 Ca ²⁺ , 4 GlcNAc, 5 Na ⁺ , 1131 water, 3 other	921 residues, 4 Ca ²⁺ , 8 GlcNAc, 4 Na ⁺ , 1133 water, 4 other	449 residues, 2 Ca ²⁺ , 4 Mg ²⁺ , 9 glycerol, 211 water, 4 other
r.m.s.d. bond lenghts (Å)	0.011	0.012	0.004
r.m.s.d.bond angles (°)	1.57	1.63	0.61
B-value (Å2): all, protein, ligand, ions, water, other	17.3, 15.6, 10.1, 9.2, 27.3, 30.0	15.8, 14.2, 20.2, 7.5, 24.0, 31.5	45.5, 44.6, 75.5, 62.1, 55.1, 83.8

cysteine belongs to a C-terminal stretch that is not defined in molecules C/D (D290-E296) by electron density. Similarly, the *Kp*Flo2A structure was refined with phenix.refine (Adams et al., 2010). Figures of protein structures were generated using the molecular graphics program PyMOL v1.4.1 (DeLano, 2002) and UCSF Chimera v1.8.1 (Pettersen et al., 2004).

Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations used the Amber 17 software (Case et al., 2017) with its ff14SB force field for proteins and glycam06_j for glycans. For system setup, Cea1A was protonated by the H++ server (Anandakrishnan et al., 2012) at pH 8 as used before for ITC experiments. The examined glycans, GlcNAc-\beta1,4-Glc and GlcNAc-\alpha1,4-Glc, were modeled into the binding site according to the Cea1A•N,N'-diacetylchitobiose complex. For both simulations, three different disaccharide conformers have been used for producing independent trajectories (Supplementary Table S1). The systems were neutralized by xleap at an effective NaCl concentration of 30 mM, and surrounded by a TIP3P water box extending 10 Å around the complex. For initial equilibration under periodic boundary conditions, the six systems were subjected to sequential rounds of minimization and a 100 ps equilibration as NVT ensemble by raising the temperature to 300 K, applying weak restraints to the solute molecules and a Langevin thermostat (random

seed, $\gamma = 5 \text{ ps}^{-1}$). Finally, constant pressure, restraint-free equilibration to one atmosphere was carried out for 50 ps (Monte-Carlo barostat, pressure relaxation time 2 ps), before each trajectory was allowed to run for 20 ns as NpT ensemble for allowing final convergence. After equilibration 100 ns production trajectories were generated. Overall, we obtained a total of 600 ns overall simulation time from 6 trajectories á 100 ns for analysis. One snapshot was extracted every 0.1 ns, resulting in 1000 snapshots per trajectory, which were combined into 3000 snapshots per studied glycan complex. cpptraj (Case et al., 2017) and dbscan clustering were used for time-dependent dihedral and hydrogen bond analysis (4 minimum points per cluster, and $\varepsilon = 1.1$, only clusters representing at least 1% of the overall ensembles were accepted). QTiplot was employed to plot results.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) of Cea1A Domain

Isothermal titration calorimetry measurements were performed with the ITC₂₀₀-System (MicroCal) using a 200 μ l cell. A 450 μ M solution of Cea1A in SEC-buffer was used as sample. The ligands GlcNAc and *N*,*N'*-diacetyl-chitobiose were dissolved at 5 mM in SEC-buffer. ITC experiments were performed at 10°C with the first injections being 0.4 μ l and 29 injections each with 2 μ l. Every injection was applied over a period of 4 s and individual injections were separated by breaks of 360 s. To subtract dilution heat of the carbohydrate, a measurement with the same protocol was performed using only SEC-buffer in the sample cell. Analysis was done using the ITC-data plugin (MicroCal) for Origin 7.0 (Origin-Lab) with the one-binding-site model. Three individual measurements were made per ligand.

Yeast in vivo Adhesion Assay

Adhesion of Cea1A presenting *S. cerevisiae* cells to chitin beads was investigated using the non-adhesive *S. cerevisiae* strain RH2520 (Grundmann et al., 2001) carrying plasmids BHUM2297 (*CEA1A* domain on *ScFLO11BC*) or BHUM1964 (*ScFLO11BC* without A domain as a control). The *CEA1* A domain was isolated by PCR using the primer pairs 5'-AAAAAACCGCGGGATGACAGTGGAAATGGCG/5'-AAA AAAGAGCTCTTCATGGCAGGAGTTCTCATC for Cea1A and chromosomal DNA from *K. pastoris* strain DSMZ 70382 as a template Plasmid BHUM2297 was constructed by integration of the *CEA1A* fragment into plasmid BHUM1964 (Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012) using the restriction sites *SacII* and *SacI*.

To monitor binding to chitin beads, plasmid-carrying yeast cells were stained by addition of 50 µl 3,3'dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide $(DiOC_6,$ Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 0.3 mg/ml in 1:9 PBS/EtOH) to 10 OD of exponentially growing cells in 1 ml culture. Cells were mixed and washed with 200 µl of CaCl2-supplemented (10 mM) SC-4 medium before resuspension in the same medium. The cell suspension was mixed in a 3:1 ratio with chitin beads (New England Biolabs), which were treated with chitinase (mixture of endo- and exochitinase from Trichoderma viride, Sigma-Aldrich) to produce non-reducing terminal GlcNAc ends. 1 ml of SC-4 (+10 mM CaCl₂) was added to 200 µl of this mixture, and the suspension was gently mixed by inversion followed by 2 min of incubation. The suspension was again mixed by inversion and shortly incubated for 10 s before the supernatant with unbound cells was removed. This washing step was repeated once. Microscopy was performed on beds of 1% agarose with a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M microscope. The cells were examined using differential interference microscopy (DIC) and a GFP filter set for detection of the DIOC₆-fluorescence (AHF Analysentechnik AG). Cells were photographed with a Hamamatsu Orca ER digital camera (Hamamatsu) and pictures were processed and analyzed using the Volocity software (Perkin Elmer). The amount of free or adherent cells was quantified using 10 comparable image sections of each strain and the program ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Exposure of the Cea1A domain on the *S. cerevisiae* cell surface was analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy. For this purpose, cultures of plasmid-carrying strains were grown in low fluorescence yeast medium (Sheff and Thorn, 2004) to an optical density of 1 at 595 nm, before cells were washed three times in PBS/1% BSA. Then, cells were incubated with a monoclonal mouse anti-HA antibody (H3663, Sigma-Aldrich) at a dilution of 1:1000 in PBS/1% BSA for 30 min at 20°C. After three wash steps, cells were incubated in darkness with a Cy3-conjugated secondary goat anti-mouse antibody (C2181, Sigma-Aldrich) at

a dilution of 1:10000 in PBS/1% BSA for 20 min at 20°C. After three further washing steps, a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M microscope was used to (i) visualize *S. cerevisiae* cells using DIC and (ii) detect the Cea1A domain at the cell surface using a rhodamine filter set (AHF Analysentechnik AG). Cells were photographed with a Hamamatsu Orca ER digital camera (Hamamatsu) and pictures were processed and analyzed using the Volocity software (Perkin Elmer).

Data Deposition

The coordinates and structure factors have been deposited at the Protein Data Bank (PDB), Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB), with accession codes 5A3L for Cea1A•GlcNAc, 5A3M for Cea1A•N,N'-diacetylchitobiose and 6HOS for KpFlo2A•glycerol, respectively. The numbering scheme for Cea1A used in the following refers to Uniprot entry A0A1B2J5V1 and is hence offset by +55 relative to PDB entries 5A3L and 5A3M. The shown glycan V5.1 profiles are deposited at the Consortium for Functional Glycomics (CFG) with the assigned identifier cfg_rRequest #2555 and consistent with earlier profiles from less complete CFG array V4.1 chips (cfg_rRequest #2079).

RESULTS

PA14-Domain Containing GPI-CWP Adhesins of *K. pastoris* as a New Subgroup

To search for new, uncharacterized PA14 domain containing adhesins, we used genome mining on the revised K. pastoris and K. phaffii genome sequences (Love et al., 2016). By using the sequences of ScFlo5A and Epa1A as initial search templates, we identified by PSIBLAST (Altschul et al., 1997) nine orthologs in Komagataella pastoris DSMZ70382 (Figure 1A), which belong to PA14 (Pfam-ID: PF07691) or PA14_2 (=GLEYA domain; Pfam-ID: PF10528) domain-containing GPI-CWP adhesins. Although PA14 and GLEYA domains share the same protein topology, GLEYA domains have been only assigned to fungi, mostly as part of cell-surface exposed adhesins like Epa1 and Epa6 from C. glabrata (Ielasi et al., 2012; Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012; Diderrich et al., 2015). In contrast, the distinct Pfam family of PA14 domains covers not only other adhesins, but also glycosidases, toxins and other protein topologies. Three orthologs, KpFlo1-KpFlo3, were predicted to harbor an N-terminal PA14-domain with a Ca²⁺-dependent glycan-binding site due to the presence of a so-called DcisD motif. The other six, KpFlo4a-KpFlo4f, are apparently devoid of C-type lectin function by lacking this motif including an asparagine essential for Ca²⁺-binding and any cysteines required for intramolecular stabilization by disulfide bond formation (Supplementary Figure S1). For comparison, the related K. phaffii strain has seven orthologs for KpFlo1-KpFlo3 and KpFlo4a-KpFlo4d. Additionally, K. pastoris and K. phaffii harbor each two Flo11-type adhesins, a different type of fungal adhesins, which mediate homophilic interactions and hydrophobic cell wall properties by surface-exposure of clusters of aromatic residues (Kraushaar et al., 2015). Interestingly, *K. pastoris* harbors one further gene product with a PA14-domain (Uniprot entry A0A1B2JD92); here the PA14 domain is part of a GH3-type glycoside hydrolase, which removes glycosides from the non-reducing ends of glycans.

For further classification, we subjected the K. pastoris A domains to a phylogenetic analysis by including PA14 domain-containing Flos and adhesins as well as A domains of the PA14_2 type from the Pfam database. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree shows clustering of these GPI-CWP A domains into six different subgroups (Figure 1B). Five of these subgroups harbor GPI-CWPs from Saccharomycetaceae. The Flo5-like A domains form a common cluster (green) and are closely related to each other, while the Epa A domain paralogs from C. glabrata and related genera are highly diverse (blue) within their separate cluster. In contrast, the Pwp A domains from C. glabrata (Gabaldon et al., 2013), whose function is still unresolved, form a rather small cluster (yellow). Another cluster of putative adhesins is formed by Kluyveromyces species (light red). The sixth cluster (brown) belongs to putative adhesins, which miss the conserved CC-motif for linking the N- and C-terminal ends to the PA14 core, but comprise the DcisD motif for Ca²⁺-binding unlike *Kp*Flo4a-*Kp*Flo4f. This cluster is found in fission yeasts and filamentous fungi (Linder and Gustafsson, 2008) as well including several plant and animal pathogens.

The Komagataella cluster comprises only orthologs from the Komagataella species K. pastoris and K. phaffii. Our analysis shows that the sequence identity between the different clusters is limited (25-28%), whereas the pairwise identities within the Flo, Epa, and Komagataella clusters are in the range of 50–77% (Figure 1C). KpFlo1 (Uniprot entry A0A1B2J5V1; 1720 aa, 186.0 kDa) harbors in its B-region 25 agglutinin-like (ALS) repeats between the A domain (D78-V299) and the C-terminal GPI-anchor motif (Figure 1A). Interestingly, the N-terminus of this GPI-CWP is predicted by TMHMM to form a transmembrane helix (I41-A74) instead of acting as a signal sequence as otherwise found in GPI-CWPs. KpFlo2 bears likewise an A domain (Q33-V256) sharing a high pairwise sequence identity of 59% with KpFlo1A. Unlike KpFlo1 and KpFlo3, the stalk-like B-region of KpFlo2 is not made up of any agglutinin-like repeats. Alignments of KpFlo1A, KpFlo2A and the not further characterized KpFlo3A domain with other fungal adhesins show conservation of both features required for Ca²⁺-binding, the DcisD motif in CBL1 and an asparagine in CBL2. These KpFlo A domains also have disulfide bridges that have counterparts in both the Flo and Epa subgroups (Supplementary Figure S1).

*Kp*Flo1A Domain Specifically Binds β-*N*-Acetylglucosamine

To examine ligand specificity, we performed large-scale glycan array screening (Blixt et al., 2004) by using fluorophore-labeled *Kp*Flo1A and *Kp*Flo2A domains from *K. pastoris* and the V5.1 glycan chip of the *Consortium for Functional Glycomics*³ that carries 611 different glycans. Whereas *Kp*Flo2A lacked any

³www.functionalglycomics.org

specific glycan binding and represents currently an orphan lectin with unknown target (**Figure 2B**), we found a high preference of *Kp*Flo1A for terminal *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) besides minor contributions from a few glycans bearing terminal galactosyl moieties (**Figure 2A**). Importantly, most other glycans failed to provide significant fluorescence signals. The preference for GlcNAc capped glycans is further emphasized when comparing only fluorescence signals of >4% of the highest signal (**Figure 2C**). While this analysis shows that the specificity for the terminal carbohydrate is restricted to terminal β-linked GlcNAc, there is considerable variability of the second carbohydrate and of the connecting glycosidic bond. Accordingly, we named *Kp*Flo1 as chitin-end adhesin 1 (*Cea1*), given its affinity and specificity toward β-GlcNAc capped glycans including chitinous polymers.

Structures of the Cea1A (=*Kp*Flo1A) and *Kp*Flo2A Domains

We were able to crystallize both adhesin domains, Cea1A and KpFlo2A. However, crystallization of the former without any ligand failed to yield well-diffracting crystals. Addition of Ca²⁺ ions with either GlcNAc or the disaccharide N,N'diacetylchitobiose (GlcNAc-\beta1,4-GlcNAc) led to orthorhombic Cea1A crystals, which diffracted to 1.7 and 1.8 Å, respectively (Figure 3). The structures of both the Cea1A•GlcNAc and the CealA \bullet *N*,*N*^{\prime}-diacetylchitobiose complexes were solved by molecular replacement (MR) using a search model that was based on the structure of the Epa1A domain (25% sequence identity; Table 1). The orthorhombic crystals comprise four molecules per asymmetric unit. Given that molecules A/B are better defined than C/D, we will refer only to molecule A (Supplementary Figure S2A). As expected the 2.1 Å crystal structure of KpFlo2A resembles Cea1A (r.m.s.d. 0.78 Å for 143 Ca atoms) and shows a glycerol molecule coordinated to the Ca^{2+} ion of the glycan binding site (Figure 4). The observed pentagonal-bipyramidal and distorted hexagonal coordination geometries of the Ca²⁺ ions in the KpFlo1A and KpFlo2A complexes, respectively, exclude the binding of Mg²⁺ ions to the glycan binding site, although Mg^{2+} is present in 4:1 and 40:1 excess over Ca^{2+} in the crystallization conditions. The dimeric arrangement of KpFlo2A as found in its crystals is unrelated to Cea1A (Supplementary Figure S2B). These dimers are physiologically irrelevant as formation of a continuous β -sheet structure in KpFlo2A crystals depends on an intervening N-terminal hexahistidine-affinity tag without affecting the putative glycan binding site. Structural differences between Cea1A and KpFlo2A are mainly found for the L1 and L3 loops (for nomenclature refer to (Veelders et al., 2010)) as well as for the neck regions.

As a fungal PA14/Flo5-like adhesin both KpFlo A domains have a conserved β -sandwich, which consists of a six-stranded and a four-stranded β -sheet (**Figures 3A**, 4A). The binding site for the non-reducing glycan ends is formed by the D*cis*D-motif of CBL1, CBL2 and the loops L1-L3. The latter surround the ligand binding site as previously described for Epa1A. L1 adopts a similar conformation as in the structures of the Lg-Flo1, ScFlo1, and ScFlo5 A domains, whereas L2 lacks the subdomain characteristic of *S. cerevisiae* Flos like ScFlo1 or



ScFlo5. Both *Kp*Flo A domains contain the shortest L2 loops of all A domains with known structures (**Supplementary Figure S1**), leaving thus more space for the binding pocket (**Figure 3B**). Finally, loop L3 of Cea1A is intimately packed against the GlcNAc ligand by facing directly toward the binding pocket. Unlike other fungal adhesins of the PA14/Flo5-like type, the D*cis*D-motif (D191–D192) lacks a hydrogen bond between a tyrosine from β 12 (e.g., *Sc*Flo5A: Y222) and the D*cis*D-backbone. In *Kp*Flo1A and *Kp*Flo2A, this residue is replaced by a phenylalanine (*Kp*Flo1A: F255, *Kp*Flo2A: F212). Consequently, only the remaining hydrogen bond to the backbone A262-R263 (*Kp*Flo2A: A219-V220) stabilizes the *cis*-peptide (**Figure 3C**).

A unique feature of fungal A domains is the formation of a neck-like, disulfide-bridges comprising subdomain involving their N- and C-terminal regions (**Figure 4D**). Although the four cysteine residues of Cea1 and KpFlo2A are conserved, the disulfide bridges formed by these cysteines differ structurally and cause different neck structures. In the case of Epa1A, as well as that of other EpaA and FloA domains, the region of the main chain that is fused to the terminal regions by two disulfide bridges, is flipped directly toward the PA14 domain by forming a short α -helix, which forces the terminal regions into a compact configuration (**Figure 4D**). In contrast, the comparable region of the main chain of Cea1A forms a regular β -hairpin-like structure ($\beta 8-\beta 9$) that is fused to the terminal regions and leads to a stalk-like elongation. As a result, the connection between the Cea1A C-terminus and the adjacent tandem repeat-rich B region differs structurally from that found in *Kp*Flo2A and other PA14/Flo5-like A domains. Interestingly, for molecules C and D of the Cea1A crystal form, no formation of a neck region and an increased disorder of their N- and C-termini is observed. There, the lack of a disulphide bridge between C207 and C294 coincides with a lack of electron density for the C-terminal stretch D290-A296.

The Binding Pocket of Cea1A Is Complementary to *N*-Acetylglucosamine

The binding pocket of Cea1A shows a complex network of hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions and the bound GlcNAc moiety (**Figure 5A**). As expected, the Ca²⁺-ion is complexed by the D*cis*D-motif and N257 of CBL2. The subsequent residues in CBL2, A258, L259, E260, and R261 (designated as position I–IV), mediate the majority of interactions with the GlcNAc moiety. While A258 and L259 form a hydrophobic part of the binding pocket and interact with C6 of GlcNAc, the rest of the pocket adopt a more polar character. E260 directly interacts with the nitrogen atom of the *N*-acetyl moiety, while R261 contributes, together with



FIGURE 3 [Cea1A has unique structural features distinct from other PA14/Flo5-like adhesins. (A) The overall fold of Cea1A shows a β -sandwich, which is derived from the PA14 domain and that is similar to SCFlo5A, Epa1A, and Lg-Flo1A. The Cea1A binding pocket harbors a D*cis*D-motif that coordinates a Ca²⁺ ion (ochre) and confers binding of GlcNAc (blue). Selectivity is achieved by the calcium-binding loop 2 (CBL2) and parts of the flexible loops L1–L3. The *N*- and *C*-terminal parts of Cea1A form a unique stalk-like elongation, which is fixed by two conserved disulfide-bridges (yellow). The inlay shows the GlcNAc-binding site with composite $2mF_o$ -*D*F_c OMIT electron density as calculated by phenix (light blue; refine mode with contouring level 1.5 σ). (B) Superimposition of Cea1A (orange) onto the structures of SCFlo5A (green), Lg-Flo1A (pink), Epa1A (gray), and Epa6A (blue) reveals only minor differences in the PA14-like domain (gray area), but the lack of a ScFlo5A-specific subdomain (green area), a shorter loop L1, a longer loop L3 and a unique stalk-like elongation (orange) and ScFlo5A (green). In ScFlo5A, the D*cis*D motif is stabilized by a hydrogen bond between its backbone and the hydroxyl group of Y222 (Veelders et al., 2010).

W147 from L2, to a hydrogen bond with the acetyl group. Interestingly, in molecule B of the asymmetric unit, R261 adopts an alternative rotamer, where it directly interacts with the *N*-acetyl-group of the ligand. The indole group of W147 forms a hydrophobic patch facilitating van der Waals interactions with the methyl-group of the *N*-acetyl moiety. K227 from L3 above the pocket interacts not only directly with the 6-OH group, but also indirectly via a water-bridged hydrogen bond. Finally, N230, that protrudes from loop L3 acts like a lid above the pocket by contributing to a complex water network above and on the back of the monomeric ligand.

A striking feature of the Cea1A binding pocket are its numerous interactions with the terminal GlcNAc to enclosure it

fully. Epa1A also contains a small and deep pocket, that binds to Gal-capped glycans with μ M affinity due to a similar number of interactions including a sterically demanding tryptophan from L3 situated above the pocket. In the Flos, *Sc*Flo5A and Lg-Flo1A, the glycan binding site is much wider and shallow than in Epa1A and Cea1A. These differences are reflected by the interaction surfaces between the glycan cap and the different adhesins with values of 192 Å² for Cea1A•GlcNAc, 164 Å² for Epa1A•galactose and only 133 Å² for *Sc*Flo5A•Man.

Interestingly, bound GlcNAc adopts both anomeric forms, i.e., α and β for its C1-hydroxyl group (**Figure 3A**), where the α -anomeric hydroxyl is even in H-bonding distance to E260 (3.1 Å). In the case of GlcNAc- β 1,4-GlcNAc, the second GlcNAc residue contributes only to a few additional interactions



with Cea1A (Figure 5B). While the interactions with the first glycan moiety remain unaffected, E260 contributes now to a water-bridged interaction, while N230 in L3 directly interacts with the second GlcNAc moiety. Here, one may note that an α -linked second glycosidic moiety could collide with the sidechains of the CBL2 residues L259 and E260. For understanding the given capacity of Cea1A to discriminate between α - and β -GlcNAc capped glycans (Figure 2A), we performed molecular dynamics simulations (MDS) of Cea1A bound to either GlcNAc-\beta1,4-Glc or GlcNAc-\alpha1,4-Glc (designated as β 1–4 and α 1–4 MDS, respectively). To prevent steric interference from the bulky N-acetyl moiety of the second GlcNAc moiety, we used instead glucose as second glycoside. Further bias from initially set coordinates was avoided by running three independent 100 ns production dynamics replicas for both systems by employing different start values for the dihedral angles Φ and Ψ , which define the 1,4-glycosidic bond (**Supplementary** Figure S3 and Supplementary Table S1). In all three $\beta 1-4$ MDS trajectories the β 1,4-linked disaccharide converged to a single conformation (92.0% interaction probability over 3000 analyzed trajectory snapshots). In contrast, the disaccharide conformation in α 1–4 MDS was less defined, with Φ – Ψ 2D clustering analysis revealing a total of three major conformations (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S3). Furthermore, the simulations did not converge into any of these three conformations, but emerged distinctly based on initial simulation

parameters, as evidenced by population distribution analysis of both the glycosidic bond dihedrals and the hydrogen bonding network (**Supplementary Figure S4A** and **Supplementary Tables S1, S2**). Only the hydrogen bonding network between E260 of CBL2 and the glucosyl moiety is common to the three conformations (interaction probability: 81.7%). Accordingly, the α 1–4 MDS trajectories showed a wide diversity of glucose-Cea1A interactions, none of them appeared to fully stabilize the complex (**Supplementary Figure S4B** and **Supplementary Table S2**).

Notably, the solvent accessible surface of Cea1A around the binding pocket is positively charged, although the calculated pI of the whole domain is low (Gasteiger et al., 2005). These positive charges are organized in a patch on the front of the protein (Supplementary Figure S5A, circled), which is a unique feature of Cea1A not found in the structures of ScFlo5A, Epa1A, or Lg-Flo1A. Instead, ScFlo5A possesses a negatively charged surface at the binding pocket, while Epa1A and Lg-Flo1A contain only a small, negatively charged area. We also mapped the conserved amino acid residues onto the surface of the Cea1A structure. This analysis shows a high variability for the PA14-fold with the disulfide bonds and the DcisD-motif in CBL1 being the most conserved features (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figures S5B,S5C). The structural rigidity and integrity of the PA14-fold without sequence conservation is a hallmark of many other β -sandwich domains, for example the Ig-type domains (Kraushaar et al., 2015). Interestingly, the major rate of variation



via a β 1,4-bond (*N*,*N*'-diacetylchitobiose, blue) adds a further hydrogen bond between N230 in L3 and the 3-OH group of the second GlcNAc. This causes a more complex interaction network that is connected to E260 in CBL2 by a further water molecule. The SigmaA-weighted $2mF_{obs}$ -*D*-*F*_{calc} electron density of N,N'-diacetylchitobiose contoured at 1.2 σ is shown in gray. (**C**) Molecular dynamics simulations and clustering analysis, comparing the binding mode of GlcNAc- β 1,4-Glc (β 1–4MD) versus GlcNAc- α 1,4-Glc (α 1–4MD); color scheme as in (**A**). Each of the structural poses shown here corresponds to the centroid of the highest populated cluster of each simulation, with less populated ones shown in **Supplementary Figure S4B**. Glucosyl interaction partners are highlighted via colored dashed lines, with the cumulative overall hydrogen bond occupancy shown as a percentage, as well as by the color of the dashed highlights. On the right side, bar diagrams show the cumulative probability of at least one hydrogen bond being formed between L3 and CBL2 at any given time of the made α 1–4MD and β 1–4MD simulations. The GlcNAc- β 1,4-Glc conformation differed from the Cea1A•*N*,*N*'-diacetylchitobiose structure (**Supplementary Figure S4A** and **Supplementary Table S1**) as (Klis et al., 2002) the glucose moiety interacts via its 6-hydroxyl with the E260 side-chain, and (Pittet and Conzelmann, 2007) the interaction network with L3 is expanded, e.g., 48.2% of interaction probability for a K227-glucose hydrogen bond. During 27.8% of β 1–4 MD simulation, the glucose 2-hydroxyl interacted with the peptide group and not the side-chain of N230 as in the Cea1A•*N*,*N*'-diacetylchitobiose complex (**Supplementary Table S2**).

in the case of the PA14/Flo5-like adhesins is found in the binding pocket itself, namely in CBL2 and in the flexible loops. This is consistent with the different surface shapes and binding specificities of the binding pocket.

The Ligand Binding Mode of Cea1A Is Distinct From That of ScFlo5A and Epa1A

Our structural analysis of Cea1A \bullet ligand complexes reveals differences in the ligand binding mode, when compared to *ScF*105A or Epa1A. Given an r.m.s.d. for the primary hexose-ring of 0.3 Å the position of GlcNAc in the Cea1A

binding pocket (**Figure 6A**) matches closely mannoside binding by *Sc*Flo5A. Accordingly, positions I and II of CBL2 are similar, both residues are hydrophobic for packing to C6 of the primary carbohydrate moiety. However, major differences can be found in positions III and IV. At position III, a serine enables *Sc*Flo5A to bind to mannobiose, whereas Cea1A harbors a sterically demanding glutamate, which blocks such an interaction. Furthermore, *Sc*Flo5A stabilizes the disaccharide orientation by residue Q117 of its Flo-specific subdomain. At position IV of CBL2, *Sc*Flo5A harbors a tryptophan, which sterically blocks the binding of GlcNAc together with Q98 of the ScFlo5A subdomain, thereby shielding this part of the pocket. For specific interaction with the acetyl group of GlcNAc, Cea1A instead contains an arginine at position IV.

Comparison with Epa1A shows a different orientation of the terminal hexose moiety (**Figure 6B**). Compared to the Cea1A-GlcNAc complex, the galactoside is rotated in the inner pocket of Epa1A by almost 180 degrees along the axis of the glycosidic bond. In Epa1A the indole group of W198 from L3 dictates the coplanar orientation of the galactosides and a major factor for high-affinity binding (Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012), whereas Cea1A harbors a lysine residue, K227, at the corresponding position for packing with the pyranose moiety. Finally, W147 in L2 of Cea1A, which forms part of a hydrophobic region that packs to the acetyl group, has no comparable counterpart in the A domain of Epa1A, KpFlo2A (Y102) or other PA14/Flo5-like adhesins. In summary, these data show that while Cea1A shares some of the structural characteristics found in Flos and epithelial adhesins, it confers ligand binding by a clearly distinct mode not observed in other PA14/Flo5-like adhesins so far.

Specific Recognition of β -GlcNAc-Capped Glycans *in vitro* and *in vivo*

To further investigate whether the specificity for GlcNAc binding by Cea1A is matched by high affinity, we used isothermal titration calorimetry to determine thermodynamic parameters for binding of GlcNAc and GlcNAc- β 1,4-GlcNAc (**Figure 6C**). Both ligands bind enthalpically driven to Cea1A with a smaller Δ H contribution caused by *N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose (-5.4 kcal*mol⁻¹) than by GlcNAc (-10.3 kcal*mol⁻¹). However, *K*_D values of 7.6 μ M for GlcNAc and 13.1 μ M for *N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose, respectively, are similar (**Table 2**) and point to tight interaction, especially when compared to *Sc*Flo5A or Lg-Flo1A. *Sc*Flo5A mediates weak, but specific α -Man capped glycans with mM affinities; Lg-Flo1A recognizes



FIGURE 6 Characteristics of β -GlcNAc binding by Cea1A. (A) Comparison of Cea1A•*N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose and ScFlo5A•mannobiose complexes. Binding modes of the terminal ligand residue by Cea1A (blue) and ScFlo5A (transparent green) are nearly identical, while orientation and binding modes of the second moiety significantly differ due to different glycosidic bonds (β 1,4 in *N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose; α 1,2 in mannobiose). In Cea1A, K227 lies above the pocket and directly contributes to ligand binding due to the conformation of L3, which shields the binding pocket in a lid-like manner. In ScFlo5A, K194 does not directly bind the ligand due a shorter L3 loop. Residues at CBL2 positions I-IV are indicated. (B) Comparison of Cea1A•*N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose and Epa1AT-Antigen complexes reveals a different orientation of the ligands, while the steric demand of the two binding pockets is comparable. Remarkably, K227 of Cea1A and W198 of Epa1A have highly congruent positions and are directly involved in ligand binding. (C) Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) of Cea1A with GlcNAc (orange) and *N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose binding are comparable for Δ G. GlcNAc binding requires a large enthalpic (Δ H) compensation for the negative entropy (Δ S) upon binding, recognition of *N*,*N'*-diacetylchitobiose is entropically more favorable.

TABLE 2	Thermodynamic	parameters o	of Cea1A ligand	recognition
---------	---------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------

Cea1AeGlcNAc	Cea1A• <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> '- diacetylchitobiose	
7.67 ± 0.49	13.08 ± 3.56	
-10.29 ± 0.01	-5.37 ± 0.05	
3.00 ± 0.94	-1.04 ± 0.33	
-6.64 ± 0.03	-6.36 ± 0.16	
0.919 ± 0.001	1.046 ± 0.007	
	Cea1A•GicNAc 7.67 ± 0.49 -10.29 ± 0.01 3.00 ± 0.94 -6.64 ± 0.03 0.919 ± 0.001	

Man-1-phosphate in the higher µM range (Veelders et al., 2010; Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012; Sim et al., 2013). Only Epa1A binds to galactose-capped glycans with µM affinities (Veelders et al., 2010; Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012; Sim et al., 2013). Given similar free enthalpies (ΔG) for GlcNAc and GlcNAc-\beta1,4-GlcNAc binding to Cea1 (Figure 6C) binding of GlcNAc by Cea1A is entropically disfavored. The reduced entropic loss upon N,N'-diacetylchitobiose binding may reflect the lack of interactions between the second GlcNAc moiety and the adhesin binding site, the loss of hydrogen bonds between the 1-hydroxyl group and bridging water molecules (Figure 5B) as well as the release of several ordered water molecules from the pocket upon accommodation of the second GlcNAc moiety due to packing interactions between the acetyl group and the side chain of N230. As expected for a C-type lectin binding mode, depletion of Ca²⁺ ions by addition of 5 mM EDTA causes a dramatic loss of ligand binding (Supplementary Figure S6C). Glycan binding by Cea1A is very specific given that glucose, glucosamine or other like N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac) failed to bind under analogous ITC conditions (Supplementary Figure S6A). Interestingly, for glucose we could observe weak binding by CealA with a K_D of 2.38 mM, which could be further validated by fluorescence titration (Supplementary Figures S6B,D). The loss of three orders of magnitude for binding underlines the role of the N-acetyl group of GlcNAc for cognate interactions within the Cea1A binding pocket.

To investigate whether Cea1A recognizes chitinous polymers under in vivo conditions, we employed a heterologous expression system for A domain presentation on the cell surface of a non-adhesive S. cerevisiae strain (Maestre-Reyna et al., 2012). Successful maturation and surface presentation of Cea1A was monitored by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 7A). Chitin binding was tested by incubation of control and Cea1A presenting strains with either untreated chitin beads or with chitin beads that were pre-treated by partial digestion with chitinase, which leads to unmasking of non-reducing β -GlcNAc ends (Figures 7B-D). We found that cells of control strains failed to adhere to untreated or pre-treated beads, whereas Cea1A-presenting cells efficiently bound to enzymatically pre-treated beads. Interestingly, adhesion to non-reducing ends of chitinous polymers coincides with the formation of small, microscopic flocs of Cea1A presenting S. cerevisiae cells, which can be dissolved by addition of 25 mM EDTA as calcium chelator (Figure 7E). Overall, our data clearly demonstrate the ability of Cea1A to bind to

 β -GlcNAc capped glycans with high affinity and specificity, e.g., for conferring efficient adhesion to non-crystalline chitin.

DISCUSSION

Although the methylotrophic yeasts *K. pastoris*, formerly known as *P. pastoris*, and its close relative *K. phaffii* represent the most commonly used yeast species for the production of recombinant proteins (Zahrl et al., 2017), including biopharmaceuticals and industrial enzymes, there is almost no knowledge of their adhesive properties and interactions with environmental surface cues. In contrast, the model organism *S. cerevisiae* has been extensively characterized in terms of the genetic and biochemical base for flocculation and surface adhesion (for overview refer to Verstrepen and Klis, 2006; Dranginis et al., 2007; Bruckner and Mosch, 2012; Lipke, 2018). Recently, surface attachment and pseudohyphae formation of *K. pastoris* cells could be successfully suppressed by deletion of an ortholog of the *FLO8* gene, a gene that was known before to act as master regulator for adhesin genes in *S. cerevisiae* (Rebnegger et al., 2016).

In contrast to the first draft genomes of Komagataella species, recently revised genomic and transcriptomic data (Love et al., 2016) allowed the identification of a set of genes coding for 9 (K. pastoris) and 7 (K. phaffii) PA14/Flo5-like domain containing GPI-CWP adhesins, respectively. Three of them KpFlo1-KpFlo3 are well conserved also in K. phaffii given pairwise sequence identities of 89, 86, and 94% for their A domains. In this study, we characterized the A domains of two of them. KpFlo2 represents currently an orphan lectin, as we found no target glycan when screening CFG glycan arrays, which are biased toward mammalian glycans by omitting microbial and plantal glycan structures. In the case of the related Epa A domains from C. glabrata 5 of 17 analyzed A domains likewise lacked any specificity (Diderrich et al., 2015). Apparently, the repeated occurrence of fungal lectins/adhesins with yet unknown specificity may be rather common given the structural diversity of glycans outside the mammalian world.

However, our study uncovers Cea1 as the first known fungal cell wall adhesin that strictly recognizes B-GlcNAc caps of chitinous polymers and other glycans with µM affinity and is able to mediate specific cell adhesion, e.g., to non-crystalline chitin. Soluble lectins specific for GlcNAc moieties have been so far discovered in basidiomycetes (Jiang et al., 2012; Audfray et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2017). Although these lectins may have diagnostic potential, they suffer from low affinity in the high μ M range, their dependence on avidity for efficient binding and the lack of discrimination between β - and α -linked GlcNAc moieties. At first sight the preference for β-GlcNAc capped glycans resembles the preference for β -galactosyl caps by Epa1 from C. glabrata as steric discrimination is driven in the latter by bulky residues at positions II and III of CBL2 as well (Cea1: L259, E260; Epa1: E227, and Y228). Indeed, our MD simulations show that Ceal position III is often contacted by the reducing ends of both β - and α -linked disaccharides.



While the interaction with the β -linked glucose moiety is strong (46.1% interaction probability), the α -glycosidic bond initially appears to produce an even more interaction (82.1%, Figure 5C, right panel). However, closer inspection shows that in MD simulations with *β*1,4-linked GlcNAc only the 6-hydroxyl of the second glycosidic moiety is responsible for glycan-E260 interactions, whereas a1,4-linked GlcNAc exhibits heterogeneous interactions between its second glycosidic group and position III. This indicates that interactions between the second glycosidic group and position III are more transient than for β 1,4-linked GlcNAc (Supplementary Table S2). Our MD simulations further suggest that both N230 and K227 of L3 interact tightly with the second glycosidic group (Figure 5C and Supplementary **Figure S4B**), if it is β -linked to the GlcNAc cap (β 1–4 MDS: 70.0% interaction probability; a1-4 MDS: 19.2%; Supplementary Table S2). Accordingly, we propose that, unlike in Epa1A, the L3 loop of Cea1Â actively determines the preference for β -GlcNAc caps.

Our data show that Cea1A harbors features distinct from other characterized PA14/Flo5-like adhesins. One feature of Cea1A

not found in other PA14/Flo5-like adhesins is the neck-like subdomain that fixes the N- and C- termini to the core of the A domain and forms an elongated stalk for the linkage with the B region. The B region of GPI-CWPs is predicted by de novo modeling of Candida albicans Als-adhesins to consist of small β -sheets and has been shown to be functionally important in S. cerevisiae for the presentation of A domains on the cell wall surface. In Cea1, the neck-like subdomain projects the repetitive B region into a direction of nearly 45° relative to the A domain (Figure 4D) (Verstrepen et al., 2005; Frank et al., 2010). A second feature is the unusually narrow binding site of Cea1A for the terminal glycan moiety due to direct interaction of K227 from the flexible loop L3 with GlcNAc. This enables a high number of interactions between Cea1A and the terminal GlcNAc moiety, a feature that is also reflected by the particular charge distribution around the binding pocket. Although a lysine residue that corresponds to K227 of Cea1A is also present in L3 of ScFlo5A, the rest of this loop completely differs from Cea1A and adopts a different conformation (Figure 3B). Accordingly, Cea1A and ScFlo5A exhibit clearly distinct ligand binding modes,

even though the orientation of the primary carbohydrate is similar. The small pocket and binding mode of Cea1A cause restricted ligand specificity for terminal GlcNAc, whose high affinity is sufficient to confer binding to non-crystalline chitin *in vivo*.

Can we delineate a biological function from the characteristics of Cea1A to recognize terminal $\beta\text{-GlcNAc}$ caps in vivo? An interesting observation of our study is the formation of small flocs by S. cerevisiae strains, which present Cea1A on their cell surfaces (Figure 7E). Here, Cea1A might be able to confer a type of flocculation by binding to exposed, non-crystalline chitin of the fungal cell wall. In S. cerevisiae and other yeasts, the total amount of chitin corresponds to only 1-2% of the dry weight of unstressed cells (Orlean, 2012) and is mostly deeply buried in the cell wall under a thick layer of mannoproteins and β -glucans, with only minor amounts being exposed at the cell surface, e.g., in bud scars. This might explain, why flocs induced by Cea1A and sparse surface chitin are considerably smaller than flocs formed by ScFlo5A/ α -mannoside interactions. Interestingly, KpFlo1 does not belong to the GPI-CWPs, because it is anchored to the plasma membrane by an N-terminal transmembrane helix. An alternative function may be hence a stabilization of the fungal cell wall by Cea1, because its location would foster an interaction with the inner chitin layer of the fungal cell wall. However, such a cell-wall stabilizing function is likely restricted to K. pastoris, because the Flo1 ortholog of K. phaffii corresponds to a conventional GPI-CWP, which are commonly exposed on the outer cell wall.

The large set of putative PA14/Flo5-like adhesins present in K. pastoris (Figure 1A) and K. phaffii indicate that these proteins might confer adhesion in processes other than flocculation, such as the efficient binding to solid substrate surfaces or host cells. It is interesting to note, that decomposing wood has been suggested to represent the natural habitat of yeasts of the genus Komagataella (Phaff and Knapp, 1956; Kurtzman, 2011). Furthermore, a number of yeast species are associated with insects, including wood-feeding ones, where they play a role as symbionts or pathogens (Suh et al., 2005; Prillinger and König, 2006; Gibson and Hunter, 2010). In the case of S. cerevisiae, social wasps have for example been found to act as environmental vectors (Stefanini et al., 2012). Yeasts of the related Pichia clade were indeed found in the guts of the passalid beetle Odontotaenius disjunctus and in specialized organelles used for myco-symbionts, where they support the digestion of complex carbohydrates (Suh et al., 2003; Suh and Blackwell, 2004). K. pastoris was initially found to be closely associated with the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster (Shihata and Mrak, 1952; Kurtzman, 2011). Given the fact that these insects not only possess chitin-based exoskeletons, but also use chitinous polymers to build up their digestive tracts, Cea1A and other members of the Komagataella subfamily of PA14/Flo5-like adhesins might be crucial for different yeast-insect interactions. This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that ascomycetes possess not only free but also secreted chitinases, which are capable to generate free β -GlcNAc ends from polymeric or crystalline chitin on host surfaces (Hartl et al., 2012).

In summary, our discovery of a novel subgroup of PA14/Flo5-like adhesins from Komagataella provides insights into the structural and functional complexity and evolution of fungal adhesins and their ability to specifically recognize a wide variety of carbohydrates. In contrast to the Epa family, whose broad phylogenetic relationships indicate high variability, the cluster of PA14/Flo5-like adhesins from Komagataella is relatively small, and in this regard comparable to the Flos (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the phylogenetic distances between the different subgroups of fungal adhesins appear to be comparable. The latter provokes the hypothesis that the functional diversity of PA14/Flo5-like adhesins has evolved from a common ancestor by variation of a conserved structural motif and was driven by adaptation of different yeast species to new habitats and ecological niches. For example, the genomes of pathogenic Candida species have a significantly higher number of genes for Epa-like adhesins than non-pathogenic strains (Gabaldon et al., 2013). Given their number of KpFlo genes Komagataella species apparently follow this trend for functional specialization of adhesins (Figure 1B).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L-OE, MK, and MV designed the research. MK, SB, JS, and NW performed the research. MK, SB, and L-OE analyzed the data. MK and SB contributed to *in vivo* experiments. MM-R performed the molecular dynamics analyses. L-OE, H-UM, MM-R, and MK wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (ES152/10, MO825/3, and SFB987), the LOEWE Center for Synthetic Microbiology (Marburg), and the International Max-Planck Research School for Microbiology (MK).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the beamline staff of MX14-1 and MX14-3 of the BESSY II storage ring at the Helmholtz-Centre Berlin, Germany, for support during data collection; David Smith and the Consortium for Functional Glycomics for screening of glycan specificity, Vitali Kalugin, Oxana Litvinova, and Timo Kraushaar for discussions and technical support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.02581/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Adams, P. D., Afonine, P. V., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V. B., Davis, I. W., Echols, N., et al. (2010). PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr.* 66, 213–221. doi: 10.1107/ S0907444909052925
- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., et al. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Anandakrishnan, R., Aguilar, B., and Onufriev, A. V. (2012). H + + 3.0: automating pK prediction and the preparation of biomolecular structures for atomistic molecular modeling and simulations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, W537–W541. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks375
- Audfray, A., Beldjoudi, M., Breiman, A., Hurbin, A., Boos, I., Unverzagt, C., et al. (2015). A recombinant fungal lectin for labeling truncated glycans on human cancer cells. *PLoS One* 10:e0128190. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128190
- Bailey, S. (1994). The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. 50, 760–763.
- Blixt, O., Head, S., Mondala, T., Scanlan, C., Huflejt, M. E., Alvarez, R., et al. (2004). Printed covalent glycan array for ligand profiling of diverse glycan binding proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 17033–17038. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0407902101
- Bruckner, S., and Mosch, H. U. (2012). Choosing the right lifestyle: adhesion and development in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 25–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00275.x
- Case, D. A., Cerutti, D. S., Cheatham, T. E. I., Darden, T. A., Duke, R. E., Giese, T. J., et al. (2017). AMBER 2017. San Francisco, CA: University of California.
- Christiaens, J. F., Van Mulders, S. E., Duitama, J., Brown, C. A., Ghequire, M. G., De Meester, L., et al. (2012). Functional divergence of gene duplicates through ectopic recombination. *EMBO Rep.* 13, 1145–1151. doi: 10.1038/embor. 2012.157
- Daly, R., and Hearn, M. T. (2005). Expression of heterologous proteins in *Pichia pastoris*: a useful experimental tool in protein engineering and production. *J. Mol. Recognit.* 18, 119–138. doi: 10.1002/jmr.687
- DeLano, W. L. (2002). *The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System*. San Carlos, CA: DeLano Scientific.
- Desai, C., Mavrianos, J., and Chauhan, N. (2011). *Candida glabrata* Pwp7p and Aed1p are required for adherence to human endothelial cells. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 11, 595–601. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00743.x
- Diderrich, R., Kock, M., Maestre-Reyna, M., Keller, P., Steuber, H., Rupp, S., et al. (2015). Structural hotspots determine functional diversity of the *Candida glabrata* epithelial adhesin family. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 19597–19613. doi: 10. 1074/jbc.M115.655654
- Dranginis, A. M., Rauceo, J. M., Coronado, J. E., and Lipke, P. N. (2007). A biochemical guide to yeast adhesins: glycoproteins for social and antisocial occasions. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 71, 282–294. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00037-06
- Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60, 2126–2132. doi: 10.1107/ S0907444904019158
- Eswar, N., Webb, B., Marti-Renom, M. A., Madhusudhan, M. S., Eramian, D., Shen, M. Y., et al. (2006). Comparative protein structure modeling using modeller. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinform.* 5, 5.6.1–5.6.30. doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi0506s15
- Frank, A. T., Ramsook, C. B., Otoo, H. N., Tan, C., Soybelman, G., Rauceo, J. M., et al. (2010). Structure and function of glycosylated tandem repeats from *Candida albicans* Als adhesins. *Eukaryot. Cell* 9, 405–414. doi: 10.1128/EC. 00235-09
- Gabaldon, T., Martin, T., Marcet-Houben, M., Durrens, P., Bolotin-Fukuhara, M., Lespinet, O., et al. (2013). Comparative genomics of emerging pathogens in the *Candida glabrata* clade. *BMC Genomics* 14:623. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-623
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., et al. (2005). "Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server," in *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook*, ed. J. M. Walker (New York, NY: Humana Press), 571–607. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-890-0:571
- Gibson, C. M., and Hunter, M. S. (2010). Extraordinarily widespread and fantastically complex: comparative biology of endosymbiotic bacterial and fungal mutualists of insects. *Ecol. Lett.* 13, 223–234. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248. 2009.01416.x

- Goossens, K. V., Ielasi, F. S., Nookaew, I., Stals, I., Alonso-Sarduy, L., Daenen, L., et al. (2015). Molecular mechanism of flocculation self-recognition in yeast and its role in mating and survival. *mBio* 6:e00427-15. doi: 10.1128/mBio. 00427-15
- Grundmann, O., Mosch, H. U., and Braus, G. H. (2001). Repression of GCN4 mRNA translation by nitrogen starvation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 25661–25671. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M101068200
- Hartl, L., Zach, S., and Seidl-Seiboth, V. (2012). Fungal chitinases: diversity, mechanistic properties and biotechnological potential. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 93, 533–543. doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3723-3
- Ielasi, F. S., Decanniere, K., and Willaert, R. G. (2012). The epithelial adhesin 1 (Epa1p) from the human-pathogenic yeast *Candida glabrata*: structural and functional study of the carbohydrate-binding domain. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 68, 210–217. doi: 10.1107/S0907444911054898
- Jiang, S., Chen, Y. J., Wang, M., Yin, Y. L., Pan, Y. F., Gu, B. L., et al. (2012). A novel lectin from Agrocybe aegerita shows high binding selectivity for terminal N-acetylglucosamine. Biochem. J. 443, 369–378. doi: 10.1042/BJ20112061
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Integration, scaling, space-group assignment and postrefinement. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 133–144. doi: 10.1107/ S0907444909047374
- Klis, F. M., Mol, P., Hellingwerf, K., and Brul, S. (2002). Dynamics of cell wall structure in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 26, 239–256. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2002.tb00613.x
- Kraushaar, T., Brückner, S., Veelders, M., Rhinow, D., Schreiner, F., Birke, R., et al. (2015). Interactions by the fungal Flo11 adhesin depend on a fibronectin Type III-like adhesin domain girdled by aromatic bands. *Structure* 23, 1005–1017. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2015.03.021
- Kurtzman, C. P. (2011). "Chapter 37 Komagataella Y. Yamada, Matsuda, Maeda & Mikata (1995)," in *The Yeasts*, 5th Edn, ed. D. Yarrow (London: Elsevier), 491–495.
- Linder, T., and Gustafsson, C. M. (2008). Molecular phylogenetics of Ascomycotal adhesins - A novel family of putative cell-surface adhesive proteins in fission yeasts. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 45, 485–497. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2007.08.002
- Lipke, P. N. (2018). What we do not know about fungal cell adhesion molecules. *J. Fungi* 4:E59. doi: 10.3390/jof4020059
- Love, K. R., Shah, K. A., Whittaker, C. A., Wu, J., Bartlett, M. C., Ma, D., et al. (2016). Comparative genomics and transcriptomics of *Pichia pastoris*. *BMC Genomics* 17:550. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2876-y
- Maestre-Reyna, M., Diderrich, R., Veelders, M. S., Eulenburg, G., Kalugin, V., Bruckner, S., et al. (2012). Structural basis for promiscuity and specificity during *Candida glabrata* invasion of host epithelia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 16864–16869. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1207653109
- Mbawala, A., al Mahmood, S., Loppinet, V., and Bonaly, R. (1990). Acetolysis and 1H NMR studies on mannans isolated from very flocculent and weakly flocculent cells of *Pichia pastoris* IFP 206. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 136, 1279–1284. doi: 10.1099/00221287-136-7-1279
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., and Read, R. J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40, 658–674. doi: 10.1107/S0021889807021206
- Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A., and Dodson, E. J. (1997). Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 53, 240–255. doi: 10.1107/S090744499601 2255
- Notredame, C., Higgins, D. G., and Heringa, J. (2000). T-coffee: a novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J. Mol. Biol.* 302, 205–217. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4042
- Ogata, K., Nishikawa, H., and Ohsugi, M. (1969). Yeast capable of utilizing methanol. Agric. Biol. Chem. 33, 1519–1520. doi: 10.1080/00021369.1969. 10859497
- Orlean, P. (2012). Architecture and biosynthesis of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cell wall. Genetics 192, 775–818. doi: 10.1534/genetics.112.144485
- Petosa, C., Collier, R. J., Klimpel, K. R., Leppla, S. H., and Liddington, R. C. (1997). Crystal structure of the anthrax toxin protective antigen. *Nature* 385, 833–838. doi: 10.1038/385833a0
- Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084

- Phaff, H. J., and Knapp, E. P. (1956). The taxonomy of yeasts found in exudates of certain trees and other natural breeding sites of some species of *Drosophila*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 22, 117–130. doi: 10.1007/BF02538319
- Pittet, M., and Conzelmann, A. (2007). Biosynthesis and function of GPI proteins in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1771, 405–420. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2006.05.015
- Prillinger, H., and König, H. (2006). "The intestinal yeasts," in *Intestinal Microorganisms of Termites and Other Invertebrates*, ed. A. Varma, (Berlin: Springer), 319–334. doi: 10.1007/3-540-28185-1_13
- Rebnegger, C., Vos, T., Graf, A. B., Valli, M., Pronk, J. T., Daran-Lapujade, P., et al. (2016). *Pichia pastoris* exhibits high viability and a low maintenance energy requirement at near-zero specific growth rates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 4570–4583. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00638-16
- Ribeiro, J. P., Ali Abol Hassan, M., Rouf, R., Tiralongo, E., May, T. W., Day, C. J., et al. (2017). Biophysical characterization and structural determination of the potent cytotoxic *Psathyrella asperospora* lectin. *Proteins* 85, 969–975. doi: 10.1002/prot.25265
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., and Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to imagej: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 671–675. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Sheff, M. A., and Thorn, K. S. (2004). Optimized cassettes for fluorescent protein tagging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 21, 661–670. doi: 10.1002/yea.1130
- Shihata, A. M. E. A., and Mrak, E. M. (1952). Intestinal yeast floras of successive populations of *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 6, 325–332. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1952. tb01428.x
- Sim, L., Groes, M., Olesen, K., and Henriksen, A. (2013). Structural and biochemical characterization of the N-terminal domain of flocculin Lg-Flo1p from *Saccharomyces pastorianus* reveals a unique specificity for phosphorylated mannose. *FEBS J.* 280, 1073–1083. doi: 10.1111/febs.12102
- Stefanini, I., Dapporto, L., Legras, J. L., Calabretta, A., Di Paola, M., De Filippo, C., et al. (2012). Role of social wasps in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ecology and evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 13398–13403. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1208362109
- Suh, S. O., and Blackwell, M. (2004). Three new beetle-associated yeast species in the *Pichia guilliermondii* clade. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 5, 87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.femsyr. 2004.06.001

- Suh, S.-O., Marshall, C. J., McHugh, J. V., and Blackwell, M. (2003). Wood ingestion by passalid beetles in the presence of xylose-fermenting gut yeasts. *Mol. Ecol.* 12, 3137–3145. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003. 01973.x
- Suh, S. O., McHugh, J. V., Pollock, D. D., and Blackwell, M. (2005). The beetle gut: a hyperdiverse source of novel yeasts. *Mycol. Res.* 109, 261–265. doi: 10.1017/ S0953756205002388
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Veelders, M., Brückner, S., Ott, D., Unverzagt, C., Mösch, H.-U., and Essen, L.-O. (2010). Structural basis of flocculin-mediated social behavior in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 22511–22516. doi: 10.1073/pnas.101321 0108
- Verstrepen, K. J., Jansen, A., Lewitter, F., and Fink, G. R. (2005). Intragenic tandem repeats generate functional variability. *Nat. Genet.* 37, 986–990. doi: 10.1038/ ng1618
- Verstrepen, K. J., and Klis, F. M. (2006). Flocculation, adhesion and biofilm formation in yeasts. *Mol. Microbiol.* 60, 5–15. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006. 05072.x
- Zahrl, R. J., Pena, D. A., Mattanovich, D., and Gasser, B. (2017). Systems biotechnology for protein production in *Pichia pastoris*. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 17:fox068. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox068

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Kock, Brückner, Wozniak, Maestre-Reyna, Veelders, Schlereth, Mösch and Essen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.