

Divergent Roles for cAMP-PKA Signaling in the Regulation of Filamentous Growth in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Saccharomyces bayanus

Ömur Kayikci and Paul M. Magwene¹

Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7659-2589 (P.M.M.)

ABSTRACT The cyclic AMP – Protein Kinase A (cAMP–PKA) pathway is an evolutionarily conserved eukaryotic signaling network that is essential for growth and development. In the fungi, cAMP–PKA signaling plays a critical role in regulating cellular physiology and morphological switches in response to nutrient availability. We undertook a comparative investigation of the role that cAMP-PKA signaling plays in the regulation of filamentous growth in two closely related budding yeast species, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces bayanus*. Using chemical and genetic perturbations of this pathway and its downstream targets we discovered divergent roles for cAMP-PKA signaling in the regulation of filamentous growth. While cAMP-PKA signaling is required for the filamentous growth response in both species, increasing or decreasing the activity of this pathway leads to drastically different phenotypic outcomes. In *S. cerevisiae*, cAMP-PKA inhibition ameliorates the filamentous growth response while hyper-activation of the pathway leads to increased filamentous growth; the same perturbations in *S. bayanus* result in the obverse. Divergence in the regulation of filamentous growth between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* extends to downstream targets of PKA, including several kinases, transcription factors, and effector proteins. Our findings highlight the potential for significant evolutionary divergence in gene network function, even when the constituent parts of such networks are well conserved.

KEYWORDS

Gene network evolution Signal transduction cyclic-AMP Fungal genetics

The cyclic AMP-Protein Kinase A (cAMP-PKA) pathway is an evolutionarily conserved signaling network that is important for the regulation of growth, differentiation, and development in animals, fungi, and amoebae (Toda *et al.* 1985; Zimmerman *et al.* 2015; D'Souza and Heitman 2001; Cho-Chung 2004; Das *et al.* 2007; Rinaldi *et al.* 2010; Gold *et al.* 2013; Loomis 2014). The basic principles of eukaryotic cAMP-PKA signaling are simple – in response to internal or external stimuli, increased adenylate cyclase activity causes a rise in intracellular cAMP levels. cAMP molecules bind to the regulatory domain of the PKA holoenzyme, releasing catalytic PKA subunits that phosphorylate down-stream targets such as other kinases and transcription factors. cAMP production by adenylate cyclase is counter-balanced by cAMP break-down via phosphodiesterases. Positive and negative feedback loops and temporally and spatially dynamic patterns further help to regulate cAMP-PKA activity (Toda *et al.* 1985, 1987; Belotti *et al.* 2012) In the model eukaryote, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (budding yeast), the cAMP-PKA signaling pathway helps to coordinate growth and cell fate decision-making in response to nutrient availability (Zaman *et al.* 2008; Gancedo 2013).

Filamentous growth is a cAMP-PKA regulated developmental response which is characterized by cell elongation, unipolar budding, physical attachment of mother and daughter cells, and increased adhesion to and invasion of growth substrates (Figure 1A). Nitrogen limitation is the primary trigger for filamentous growth in diploid cells, whereas haploid cells undergo filamentous differentiation in response to glucose limitation. The diploid filamentous growth

Copyright © 2018 Kayikci, Magwene

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200413

Manuscript received May 10, 2018; accepted for publication August 27, 2018; published Early Online September 12, 2018.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/ g3.6977639.

¹Email: paul.magwene@duke.edu, Department of Biology, Duke University, Box 90338, Durham, NC 27708

response is also referred to as pseudohyphal growth, and we use both terms interchangeably in this study. *S. cerevisiae* filamentous differentiation is positively correlated with the activity of the cAMP-PKA pathway; genetic or biochemical manipulations that increase intracellular cAMP levels or PKA activity result in increased filamentous growth, while manipulations that decrease the net activity of the pathway ameliorate or abolish filamentous growth (Cullen and Sprague 2012; Gimeno and Fink 1994) (Figure 1B). Downstream targets of PKA include several transcription factors that regulate the expression of a cell wall glycoprotein, Flo11, required for filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae* (Rupp *et al.* 1999; Lo and Dranginis 1998; Pan and Heitman 1999). Many of these same transcription factors are regulated in parallel by a MAP-kinase cascade (FG-MAPK). Both cAMP-PKA signaling and the FG-MAPK pathway are regulated by the Ras protein, Ras2.

S. cerevisiae and related yeast within the Saccharomyces sensu stricto clade, provide a powerful comparative framework for understanding the evolution of gene networks (Cliften et al. 2003; Dujon 2010; Replansky et al. 2008; Hittinger 2013; Boynton and Greig 2014). Two additional species, Saccharomyces paradoxus and Saccharomyces bayanus, have received particular attention (Figure S1). S. paradoxus, the closest relative to S. cerevisiae, is primarily isolated from woodland areas and shows little genomic evidence of human facilitated admixture (Sampaio and Goncalves 2008; Fay and Benavides 2005; Johnson et al. 2004; Naumov et al. 1998; Kowallik et al. 2015). S. bayanus, a lager yeast, is more distantly related to S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus, and recent studies suggest that the phylogenetic history of the S. bayanus lineage involves a complex history of interspecific hybridization, facilitated by human activity (Sampaio and Gonçalves 2008; Naumov and Naumova 2011; Masneuf-Pomarède et al. 2010; Rodríguez et al. 2014; Pérez-Través et al. 2014). Since the nomenclature for the S. bayanus species complex is in flux (Hittinger 2013), for the purposes of this study we have adopted a conservative approach and refer to all strains belonging to this species complex as S. bayanus. S. cerevisiae, S. paradoxus, and S. bayanus display different physiologies, such as distinct differences in growth and survival strategies (Hittinger 2013; Borneman and Pretorius 2015). Within the Saccharomyces lineage, all of the major components of cAMP-PKA pathway are conserved.

In the present study we marshal phenotypic, biochemical, and genetic data to demonstrate that the regulation of diploid filamentous growth by the cAMP-PKA signaling pathway has diverged significantly between S. *bayanus* and S. *cerevisiae*. We find that high levels of cAMP signaling have opposite effects on filamentous growth among these three species, promoting filamentous growth in both S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus while inhibiting the filamentous response in S. bayanus. Divergent effects on the filamentous growth phenotype extend to downstream targets of PKA as well. In sum, our findings demonstrate that significant rewiring of the cAMP signaling pathway has occurred at multiple points in the cAMP-PKA gene network among the closely related species of the Saccharomyces sensu stricto. Our results, taken together with other recent findings regarding intraspecific variation and the potential for rapid evolution of cAMP-PKA signaling in response to selection, suggest that the cAMP-PKA pathway may be an evolutionary hot-spot for the accumulation of alleles that contribute to adaptation to novel nutrient niches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains

Laboratory and environmental isolates of *S. cerevisiae*, *S. paradoxus*, and *S. bayanus*, and their corresponding pseudohyphal growth phenotypes are provided in Tables S1, S2, and S3. All isolate were confirmed to be



Figure 1 Filamentous growth in budding yeast. A) Upon nitrogen depletion, yeasts of the genus *Saccharomyces* undergo pseudohyphal differentiation in the presence of a fermentable carbon source, such as glucose. B) Flo11, a cell wall adhesin that is required for filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae* is regulated in parallel by cAMP-PKA signaling and the filamentous growth MAP kinase pathway.

diploid via mating-type PCR. Mutants strains used in this study are given in Table S7. For *S. bayanus*, homozygous null mutants were generated in the NCYC365 background using KanMX4 deletioncassette (Goldstein and McCusker 1999) with the standard PEG/ LiAc protocol modified at the heat shock step, which was performed at 37° for 45 min. The generated mutants, were confirmed with PCR and Sanger sequencing using primers listed in Table S8.

Media and Phenotyping

Strains were grown overnight in YPD to a density of 2×10^7 cells/ml. The cells were then washed twice in sterile water and 10⁶ cells were transferred to agar plates. Pseudohyphal growth was assayed using a modified SLAD medium (SLAD-1%) consisting of 0.17% YNB-AA/AS, 1% dextrose, 50 µM ammonium sulfate, and 2% Noble agar (Gimeno et al. 1992). For drug treatments, plates were supplemented with the indicated concentrations of cAMP (Enzo), 8-Bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate [8-Br-cAMP] (Sigma), 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine [IBMX] (Sigma), H-89 (Sigma), MDL 12,330A [MDL] (Sigma), and 2'-5'-Dideoxyadenosine [ddAdo] (Santa Cruz). For phenotyping, S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus were incubated at 30°, and S. bayanus strains were incubated at room temperature (RT). The strains were scored for pseudohyphal growth by the presence or absence of cellular projections at the colony edges, and the response was evaluated qualitatively as increased (+), decreased (-), or no change (ø) relative to wild-type at 72 hr post plating. Images were collected using a Leica stereo microscope.



Figure 2 Exogenous cAMP inhibits pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus*. Pseudohyphal phenotypes are shown for a pseudohyphal (Psh) and a non-pseudohyphal (Non-Psh) strain of each species, grown in the presence of 5 mM cAMP. cAMP treatment promotes pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* but inhibits pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus*.

Data availability

Strains are available upon request. Tables S1-S3 list environmental isolates surveyed. Table S7 lists deletion mutants used in this study and Table S8 provides PCR primers used to validate deletion of targetted loci. Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.6977639.

RESULTS

Intra- and interspecific variation in pseudohyphal growth

We measured filamentous growth under nitrogen limitation in a genetically diverse panel of *S. cerevisiae* (36 strains), *S. paradoxus* (35 strains), and *S. bayanus* (36 strains) strains (Tables S1, S2, and S3). We adopted a binary classification system, rating each strain as pseudohyphal or non-pseudohyphal after 72 hr of growth on low-nitrogen growth medium (SLAD; see methods). Scoring was done via microscopic observation of the periphery of colonies for the presence of elongated cells, unipolar budding, and characteristic multicellular arrangements of cells into chains and branches. A similar fraction of strains in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* exhibited pseudohyphal growth (63.8% and 61.1% respectively). Only 31.4% of *S. paradoxus*

strains showed pseudohyphal after 72 hr of growth on SLAD. For all three species, there was significant variation in the strength of the pseudohyphal response among those strains capable of filamentous growth.

Exogenous cAMP inhibits pseudohyphal growth in S. bayanus

Previous studies have demonstrated that application of exogenous cAMP to the growth medium increases the propensity to form pseudohyphae in *S. cerevisiae*, and can restore pseudohyphal growth in mutants with reduced cAMP production (Lorenz and Heitman 1997; Kübler *et al.* 1997). This effect presumably mimics the increased activity of the endogenous adenylate cyclase. To test the generality of this effect across the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* clade, we grew pseudohyphal and a non-pseudohyphal strains of *S. cerevisiae*, *S. bayanus*, and *S. paradoxus* under nitrogen-limiting conditions with various concentrations of exogenous cAMP (1 mM, 3 mM, 10 mM) added to the growth media. Most non-pseudohyphal *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* isolates displayed a strong pseudohyphal phenotype in response to the presence of cAMP, exhibiting numerous filamentous extensions at the colony perimeter as well as increased invasiveness. Similarly, strains of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* that already exhibited the



Figure 3 Mutations of subunits of the PKA holoenzyme have both similar and opposite effects on filamentous growth in S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus. The catalytic subunit TPK2 promotes pseudohyphal growth in both species, while TPK1 and TPK3 are negative regulators of pseudohyphal growth. Deletion of the PKA catalytic subunits leads to parallel phenotypes in the two species. By contrast, deletion of the regulatory subunit, BCY1, results in hyper-filamentous growth in S. cerevisiae, but extremely slow growth with no pseudohyphae in S. bayanus (see also supplementary Figure S5). Mutants are on $\Sigma1278b$ and NCYC 365 backgrounds for S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus, respectively.

ability to undergo pseudohyphal growth showed a qualitative increase in the response upon cAMP treatment. In striking contrast, exogenous cAMP treatment was ineffective in inducing pseudohyphal differentiation in *S. bayanus* strains. Not only was the cAMP treatment ineffective in inducing the response in non-pseudohyphal *S. bayanus* isolates but, surprisingly, cAMP treatment suppressed filamentous differentiation in more than half of the normally pseudohyphal *S. bayanus* strains (Figure 2 and Table S4). We also tested the effect of the cAMP analog 8-Br-cAMP, which is reported to be more membrane permeant and resistant to degredation by phosphodiesterases (Schaap *et al.* 1993). 8-Br-cAMP at a concentration of 500 μ M produced a reduction of pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus* and an increase in *S. cerevisiae* comparable to approximately 3 mM cAMP (Figure S4).

Chemical manipulation of the cAMP-PKA Pathway

In order to further explore the surprising effect that exogenous cAMP had on filamentous growth in *S. bayanus*, we scored pseudohyphal growth in the presence of four additional chemical agents that have been shown to modify the activity of key enzymes involved in cAMP-PKA signaling. MDL-12,330A and 2'-5' Dideoxyadenosine (ddAdo) directly inhibit the activity of adenylate cyclase (Cutuli *et al.* 2000; Guellaen *et al.* 1977), and thus should decrease intracellular cAMP levels. H89 is a protein kinase A inhibitor with broad specificity (Murray 2008), but is likely to decrease PKA activity. IBMX is an inhibitor of phosphodiesterases (Van Lookeren Campagne *et al.* 1990),

and thus would tend to favor accumulation of cAMP in cells. Treatment with both MDL and ddAdo lead to a drastic decrease in pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae* and a modest decrease in *S. paradoxus*, but the filamentous response in *S. bayanus* in the presence of these agents is comparable to the untreated control (Figure S3). A 1 mM IBMX treatment increased the pseudohyphal response in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus*, while decreasing the density of pseudohyphal projections on the margin of colonies in *S. bayanus* (Figure S4). A higher concentration of IBMX (3 mM), however, led to a dimunution of the response in all three species. The PKA inhibitor H-89 (50 μ M) had no discernible effects on pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus*, however there was a modest to complete loss of pseudohyphal growth in response to H89 in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* (Figure S4).

Since *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* showed the greatest divergence of filamentous phenotypes in response to nutrient limitation and chemical manipulation, we chose to concentrate further investigations on these two species.

MAPK functions similarly in S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus pseudohyphal response

Both the cAMP-PKA pathway and the FG-MAPK cascade are capable of inducing pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae*. To rule out differences in the contribution of the FG-MAPK cascade to filamentous growth in the two species, we carried out gene deletion experiments in *S. bayanus* to confirm that FG-MAPK mutant phenotypes are similar to those previously reported for *S. cerevisiae*. Using drug resistance markers,





we created deletion mutants of *STE7*, *STE12*, *TEC1*, and *DIG1*. The mutants of the positively contributing MAPK components, $ste7\Delta$, $ste12\Delta$, and $tec1\Delta$, exhibited smooth colony edges and a lack of invasiveness. The deletion of the negative element, *DIG1*, led to an increase in the filamentous response (Figure S2). These results are consistent with phenotypes observed for the same mutants in *S. cerevisiae* (Cook *et al.* 1996; Madhani and Fink 1997; Oehlen and Cross 1998; Roberts and Fink 1994).

The cAMP-PKA pathway is required for the filamentous response in both S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus

Having ruled out the FG-MAPK pathway as a likely candidate for the differences observed between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*, we proceeded with systematic genetic manipulation of key genes in the cAMP-PKA pathway. We deleted 11 genes encoding elements of the cAMP pathway in *S. bayanus*, and compared the resulting filamentous growth phenotypes to those of the same mutants in *S. cerevisiae*. Unlike FG-MAPK mutants, we found that the effects of gene deletions in the cAMP-PKA pathway often differed in terms of observed phenotypes between *S. bayanus* and *S. cerevisiae*. We classified our observations into two categories of effects: 1) mutants with similar phenotypes and 2) mutants with opposite effects (Table S5).

The first category of mutants, exhibiting similar phenotypes in both species, included $gpa2\Delta$, $tpk1\Delta$, $tpk2\Delta$, and $tpk3\Delta$. Deletion of *TPK2* ameliorates the FG response in both *S. bayanus* and *S. cerevisiae*, indicating that this PKA subunit is required for induction of filamentous growth in both species (Figure 3) (Robertson and Fink 1998; Pan and Heitman 1999). $tpk1\Delta$ and $tpk3\Delta$ mutants have the opposite effect relative to $tpk2\Delta$, showing increased pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus* as has been previously reported for *S. cerevisiae* (Robertson and

Fink 1998; Pan and Heitman 1999). This confirms that the distinct roles of the PKA subunits in the regulation of filamentous growth is conserved between the two species. Gpa2 is an activator of the adenylate cyclase Cyr1, and an inhibitor of the kelch repeat proteins Gpb1 and Gpb2. The *gpa2* Δ mutants show a loss of pseudohyphal growth in both species (Figure 4). The *gpb1* Δ and *gpb2* Δ mutants in *S. bayanus* show a slight increase in pseudohyphal growth (Figure S6), similar to what has been reported for *S. cerevisiae* (Harashima and Heitman 2002).

Mutants with opposite phenotypes in the two species included *ras2* Δ , *pde1* Δ , *pde2* Δ , *ira2* Δ , and *bcy1* Δ (Figures 3 and 4 and summarized in Table S5). The *ras2* Δ mutants show a strong decrease of filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae*, but no decrease in *S. bayanus*. The *ira2* Δ mutants show an increase of filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae*, and a strong decrease in *S. bayanus*. The *pde1* Δ mutants show an increase in filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae*, and a strong decrease in *S. bayanus*. The *pde1* Δ mutants show an increase in filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae*, and a strong decrease in *S. bayanus*, while *pde2* Δ mutants show a decrease of filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae* and no change or a slight increase in *S. bayanus*. *bcy1* Δ mutants in *S. cerevisiae* showed abundant pseudohyphae, while the same mutant in *S. bayanus* is very slow growing and shows insufficient growth after 72 hr to score FG. However, if *S. bayanus bcy1* Δ mutants are allowed to grow for 10 days they eventually form a colony, but show no pseudohyphae (Figure S5).

Mutant phenotypes of targets of PKA in S. bayanus

We next examined the phenotypic effects of knockout mutants of four transcription factors – Flo8, Phd1, Sfl1, and Msn2 – that are targets of PKA, and which are known to play key roles in regulating pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae* (Figure 5). Flo8 and Phd1 are positive regulators of the pseudohyphal response; while Sfl1 is a repressor. All three are thought to modify pseudohyphal growth primarily through



Figure 5 Downstream targets of cAMP-PKA signaling show a mix of similar and divergent pseudohyphal responses. Pseudohyphal phenotypes of $flo8\Delta$ mutations differ between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*, but the response upon deletion of *SFL1* and *PHD1* is conserved. Deletion of *FL011* eliminates pseudohyphal growth completely in *S. cerevisiae*; in contrast, deletion of *FL011* in *S. bayanus* causes a delay in the pseudohyphal response. At day three of observation filamentous growth is absent in the $flo11\Delta$ mutants of both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*, but *S. bayanus* flo11 Δ mutants start to exhibit pseudohyphal projections by day five.

transcriptional regulation of FLO11 (see below). Msn2 is a stress responsive transcription factor that is regulated by both PKA and the TOR pathway.

The *phd1* Δ and *sfl1* Δ mutant phenotypes are identical between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*, with *PHD1* deletion mutants showing a loss in filamentous growth and *SFL1* deletion mutants showing an increase in filamentous growth. Surprisingly, *flo8* Δ mutants show opposite phenotypes in the two species, with complete abrogation of the pseudohyphal response observed in *S. cerevisiae* but no change in filamentous growth in *S. bayanus*. The *msn2* Δ mutants show no loss of pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus*, while there is a complete loss of the phenotype in *S. cerevisiae*. Rim15 is a kinase that is a target of both PKA and TOR signaling, and in turn contributes to the regulation of Msn2. *rim15* Δ mutants in *S. cerevisiae* show a loss of pseudohyphal growth, while *S. bayanus* mutants show comparable filamentous growth to the wild type background (Figure 5).

FLO11 is not required for filamentous growth in S. bayanus

The cell wall glycoprotein Flo11 is regarded as one of the primary molecular effectors of pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae. flo11* Δ mutants not only show a loss of pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae*, but also show an inability to form biofilms and complex colonies (Granek and Magwene 2010; Granek *et al.* 2013; Zara *et al.* 2009).

We compared $flo11\Delta$ mutants in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* over the course of five days. *S. cerevisiae* $flo11\Delta$ mutants show no sign of pseudohyphal growth, even up to five days post-plating. *S. bayanus* mutants show little filamentous growth at day three post-plating, but begin to show pseudohyphae at the colony margin at day 4, and show substantial pseudohyphae by day 5 (though less than WT) (Figure 5). We conclude that $flo11\Delta$ deletion delays the expression of filamentous growth in *S. bayanus*, and thus may be a key effector of in both species, but our finding also suggests a Flo11 independent mechanism for producing pseudohyphae in *S. bayanus*.

DISCUSSION

The findings we describe above, regarding the role of cAMP-PKA signaling in the regulation of pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus*, are surprising in a number of respects. In *S. cerevisiae*, cAMP-PKA signaling plays an unambiguously positive role in the regulation of filamentous growth. Chemical and genetic manipulations that increase cAMP-PKA signaling lead to increased filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae*, while perturbations that decrease cAMP-PKA signaling reduce the strength of the pseudohyphal response. In contrast, we find that in *S. bayanus*, perturbations that are predicted to increase intracellular levels of cAMP lead to a decrease in the filamentous growth response. These differences between the two species exist despite the fact that the core elements of the cAMP-PKA signaling



Figure 6 A proposed model for cAMP-PKA signaling in *S. bayanus*. To explain the differences in the regulation of pseudohyphal growth (Psh) in *S. bayanus* and *S. cerevisiae*, we propose a model based on the relative strength of interactions (indicated by line weight) between the regulatory (Bcy1) and catalytic (Tpk1, Tpk2, Tpk3) PKA subunits. We hypothesize that moderate levels of cAMP signaling lead to the preferential release of the catalytic subunit Tpk2, a positive regulator of filamentous growth. At high concentrations of cAMP, the Tpk1 and Tpk3 (repressors of filamentous growth), are also released from the PKA holoenzyme, counteracting the effects of Tpk2 and suppressing pseudohyphal growth.

network are highly conserved at the sequence level throughout the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* species (Table S6).

Chemical and genetic manipulation of cAMP levels produces divergent phenotypes in S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus

The application of exogenous cAMP exaggerates the pseudohyphal response in S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus, but attenuates the pseudohyphal switch in S. bayanus (Figure 2). Pharmacological agents that modulate cAMP levels also show contrasting effects between S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus (Figures S3 and S4). Consistent with the results by chemical manipulation, genetic perturbation of the feedback mechanisms controlling cAMP levels results in starkly contrasting phenotypes between S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus. For example, knockouts of PDE1 and IRA2 increase intracellular cAMP levels (Ma et al. 1999; Tanaka *et al.* 1990) and as a consequence $pde1\Delta$ and $ira2\Delta$ mutants exhibit exaggerated pseudohyphal growth in S. cerevisiae (Cullen and Sprague 2012). The same mutations in S. bayanus, lead to a striking reduction in pseudohyphal growth. RAS2 mutants, which show a loss of pseudohyphal growth in S. cerevisiae, have wild type pseudohyphal phenotypes in S. bayanus. The one exception to the pattern is the phenotypes observed for gpa2∆ mutants, where both S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus show a loss of pseudohyphal growth.

PKA mutations and downstream targets produce a mixture of similar and dissimilar phenotypes

In contrast to the generally divergent phenotypes exhibited by *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* upon manipulation of cAMP levels, the results we observed for mutants and chemical agents that affect PKA activity showed a mixture of similar and divergent phenotypes between the two species. Deletions of the PKA regulatory subunit, *BCY1*, which inhibits PKA activity, shows strong differences between the species. *bcy1* Δ mutants show hyper filamentous growth in *S. cerevisiae*, while the same mutant is slow-growing and non-pseudohyphal in *S. bayanus*. However, deletions of the PKA catalytic subunits Tpk1, Tpk2, and Tpk3 produced identical phenotypes in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*, with *tpk1* Δ and *tpk3* Δ mutants both showing increased pseduohyphal growth while *tpk2* Δ mutants show decreased pseudohyphal growth.

At the level of downstream targets of PKA, we again see a mix of similar and divergent phenotypes between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* among deletion mutants. The transcription factors Phd1 and Sf11 play

similar roles in both species, however deletions of the transcription factors Flo8 and Msn2 produced opposite responses when comparing the species. The ability of *S. bayanus* to produce pseudohyphae in the absence of Flo8p is especially surprising as this deletion completely abrogates pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae* (Liu *et al.* 1996).

Flo11 is partially dispensable for pseudohyphal growth in S. bayanus

In *S. cerevisiae* both the cAMP-PKA pathway and the filamentous growth MAPK pathway jointly regulate FLO11, a cell wall adhesin that is thought to be critical for nutrient-induced pseudohyphal growth. Loss-of-function or deletion mutations of *FLO11* eliminate nutrient-induced pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae* (Cullen and Sprague 2012). As we describe above, *S. bayanus flo11* mutants are slow to manifest pseudohyphal growth, but do eventually exhibit pseudohyphae, though the strength of the pseudohyphal response is reduced relative to wild-type. *FLO11* independent regulation of filamentous growth is not totally without precedent. For example, Lorenz *et al.* (Lorenz *et al.* 2000) reported that *FLO11* is dispensable for pseudohyphal growth in the presence of 1% butanol and Halme *et al.* (Halme *et al.* 2004) found that *ira1 flo11 Δ* mutants can undergo *FLO10* dependent pseudohyphal growth.

The FG-MAPK cascade is conserved Between S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus

In contrast to the numerous differences we documented with respect to the cAMP-PKA pathway, the genetic effects of perturbations to the filamentous growth MAPK cascade appears to be conserved between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*, with both species showing similar mutant phenotypes for all the genes tested in this pathway. This conservation of genetic effects for FG-MAPK mutants holds even though previous studies have demonstrated significant divergence between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* in the genes regulated by Ste12 and Tec1, two transcription factors that are targets of the FG-MAPK pathway and which contribute to the regulation of pseudohyphal growth (Borneman *et al.* 2007; Martin *et al.* 2012).

Speculative Model and Future Directions

How might we integrate the findings presented above into a model for the role that cAMP-PKA signaling plays in the regulation of pseudohyphal in *S. bayanus*? Two broad patterns emerge from our chemical and genetic perturbations. The first is that some level of PKA activity is required for pseudohyphal growth in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*. The second is that high levels of cAMP are inhibitory of pseudohyphal growth in *S. bayanus*, while promoting pseudohyphal growth in *S. cerevisiae*.

Particularly interesting in this regard is the role of Bcy1, the PKA regulatory subunit that directly interacts with cAMP and hence is the critical mediator between intracellular cAMP levels and the down-stream effects of PKA activity. High levels of cAMP relieve the inhibitory effects of Bcy1 on the PKA catalytic subunits – Tpk1, Tpk2, and Tpk3. Genetically, Tpk1 and Tpk3 are inhibitors of pseudohyphal growth while Tpk2 is an activator of pseudohyphal growth, as has been previously shown for *S. cerevisiae* (Robertson and Fink 1998; Pan and Heitman 1999), and as we show here for *S. bayanus*.

We hypothesize that *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus* differ in the relative amount or activity of the PKA catalytic subunits, in response to changes in intracellular cAMP levels. Species specific differences in the relative expression of the different Tpk subunits, or their relative affinity for the PKA regulatory subunit, Bcy1, could favor a shift in the balance between Tpk1/Tpk3 vs. Tpk2. We hypothesize that in *S. cerevisiae*, increased cAMP signaling favors greater activity of Tpk2, while in *S. bayanus* similar increases in cAMP favor greater Tpk1 and/or Tpk3 activity (Figure 6). This hypothesis can be tested in future studies using a combination of gene deletions and heterologous expression of the various PKA regulatory and catalytic subunits individually and in combination in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*.

Our findings also point to differences in the relative importance of downstream effectors of PKA, particularly key transcription factors such as Msn2 and Flo8, for the regulation of pseudohyphal growth. This suggests that rewiring at the level of gene regulation also contributes to the differences between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. bayanus*.

More broadly we speculate that the differences we observe in the regulation of pseudohyphal growth by the cAMP-PKA pathway reflects physiological differences between the two species, not only with respect to nitrogen utilization, but other stresses as well (Blein-Nicolas *et al.* 2013; Masneuf-Pomarède *et al.* 2010; Serra *et al.* 2005).

The cAMP-PKA pathway is an evolutionary hot-spot for adaptation in yeast

A number of other recent studies, focusing on variation within S. cerevisiae, highlight how standing genetic variation and de novo mutations in the cAMP-PKA pathway contribute to the genetic architecture of complex traits and adaptation to novel environments. These studies indicate that: 1) among environmental isolates of S. cerevisiae there is substantial genetic variation in the cAMP-PKA pathway and this variation affects a diversity of phenotypic traits (Granek et al. 2013; Taylor et al. 2016; Yadav et al. 2015); and 2) mutations that affect cAMP-PKA signaling are often among the earliest genotypic changes that are favored when yeast populations are subjected to selection in novel nutrient environments (Hong and Gresham 2014; Li et al. 2018; Sato et al. 2016; Venkataram et al. 2016). Furthermore, genes involved in small GTPase signaling pathways are predicted to be among the most functionally diverged between S. cerevisiae and S. bayanus (Caudy et al. 2013). Our findings, taken together with this growing body of work, thus point to the cAMP-PKA pathway as a major driver of evolutionary change in the Saccharomyces sensu stricto species complex. Given the central role that cAMP-PKA signaling plays in the regulation of morphogenesis across the fungi (Hicks and Heitman 2007; Klengel et al. 2005; Dürrenberger et al. 1998), we expect that the central importance

of this pathway for adaptation and evolution is likely to be recapitulated in many other fungal clades.

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the evolutionary lability of the cAMP-PKA pathway among the species of the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* complex. cAMP-PKA signaling is an key regulator of morphogenetic switches in response to environmental cues for the fungi generally (Boyce and Andrianopoulos 2015; Pérez Martín and Di Pietro 2012; Turrà *et al.* 2014) and both inter- and intraspecific variation in cAMP-PKA signaling is likely to be an important genetic determinant of phenotypic variation in many fungal systems. More generally our findings exemplify the potential for conserved eukaryotic signaling pathways to diverge in the regulation of cellular phenotypes even among relatively closely related species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded National Science Foundation grant MCB 1330545. We thank John McCusker, Joseph Heitman, and Timothy Galitski for providing strains. We thank Andrew Alspaugh, Fred Nijhout, Amy Schmid, and Rytas Vilgalys for feedback and recommendations during the course of this study. We thank Debra Murray for proofreading and comments on the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Belotti, F., R. Tisi, C. Paiardi, M. Rigamonti, S. Groppi et al.,
- 2012 Localization of Ras signaling complex in budding yeast. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -. Molecular Cell Research 1823: 1208– 1216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.04.016
- Blein-Nicolas, M., W. Albertin, B. Valot, P. Marullo, D. Sicard *et al.*, 2013 Yeast Proteome Variations Reveal Different Adaptive Responses to Grape Must Fermentation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30: 1368–1383. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/mst050
- Borneman, A., P. Chambers, and I. Pretorius, 2007 Yeast systems biology: modelling the winemaker's art. Trends Biotechnol. 25: 349–355. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.05.006
- Borneman, A. R., and I. S. Pretorius, 2015 Genomic insights into the Saccharomyces sensu stricto complex. Genetics 199: 281–291. https://doi.org/ 10.1534/genetics.114.173633
- Boyce, K. J., and A. Andrianopoulos, 2015 Fungal dimorphism: the switch from hyphae to yeast is a specialized morphogenetic adaptation allowing colonization of a host. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 39: 797–811. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/femsre/fuv035
- Boynton, P. J., and D. Greig, 2014 The ecology and evolution of non-domesticated Saccharomyces species. Yeast 31: 449–462. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/yea.3040
- Caudy, A. A., Y. Guan, Y. Jia, C. Hansen, C. DeSevo et al., 2013 A new system for comparative functional genomics of Saccharomyces yeasts. Genetics 195: 275–287. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152918
- Cho-Chung, Y. S., 2004 cAMP signaling in cancer genesis and treatment. In Signal Transduction in Cancer, edited by D. A. Frank, number 115 in Cancer Treatment and Research, pp. 123–143, Springer, New York.
- Cliften, P., P. Sudarsanam, A. Desikan, L. Fulton, B. Fulton et al., 2003 Finding functional features in Saccharomyces genomes by phylogenetic footprinting. Science 301: 71–76. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1084337
- Cook, J. G., L. Bardwell, S. J. Kron, and J. Thorner, 1996 Two novel targets of the map kinase kss1 are negative regulators of invasive growth in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 10: 2831–2848. https://doi. org/10.1101/gad.10.22.2831
- Cullen, P., and G. Sprague, 2012 The regulation of filamentous growth in yeast. Genetics 190: 23–49. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.127456

Cutuli, M., S. Cristiani, J. Lipton, and A. Catania, 2000 Antimicrobial effects of alpha-MSH peptides. J. Leukoc. Biol. 67: 233–239. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jlb.67.2.233

Das, R., V. Esposito, M. Abu-Abed, G. S. Anand, S. S. Taylor *et al.*, 2007 camp activation of pka defines an ancient signaling mechanism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104: 93–98. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0609033103

D'Souza, C. A., and J. Heitman, 2001 Conserved cAMP signaling cascades regulate fungal development and virulence. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 25: 349–364. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2001.tb00582.x

Dujon, B., 2010 Yeast evolutionary genomics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11: 512–524. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2811

Dürrenberger, F., K. Wong, and J. W. Kronstad, 1998 Identification of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit required for virulence and morphogenesis in Ustilago maydis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 5684–5689. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.10.5684

Fay, J. C., and J. A. Benavides, 2005 Evidence for domesticated and wild populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. PLoS Genet. 1: e5. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0010005

Gancedo, J., 2013 Biological roles of cAMP: variations on a theme in the different kingdoms of life. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 88: 645–668. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12020

Gimeno, C., and G. Fink, 1994 Induction of pseudohyphal growth by overexpression of PHD1, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae gene related to transcriptional regulators of fungal development. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14: 2100–2112. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.14.3.2100

Gimeno, C., P. Ljungdahl, C. Styles, and G. Fink, 1992 Unipolar cell divisions in the yeast S. cerevisiae lead to filamentous growth: regulation by starvation and RAS. Cell 68: 1077–1090. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90079-R

Gold, M. G., T. Gonen, and J. D. Scott, 2013 Local cAMP signaling in disease at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 126: 4537–4543. https://doi.org/10.1242/ jcs.133751

Goldstein, A., and J. McCusker, 1999 Three new dominant drug resistance cassettes for gene disruption in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 15: 1541–1553. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199910)15:14<1541:: AID-YEA476>3.0.CO;2-K

Granek, J., and P. Magwene, 2010 Environmental and genetic determinants of colony morphology in yeast. PLoS Genet. 6: e1000823. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000823

Granek, J., D. Murray, Ö. Kayikçi, and P. Magwene, 2013 The genetic architecture of biofilm formation in a clinical isolate of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 193: 587–600. https://doi.org/10.1534/ genetics.112.142067

Guellaen, G., J.-L. Mahu, P. Mavier, P. Berthelot, and J. Hanoune,
 1977 RMI 12330 A, an inhibitor of adenylate cyclase in rat liver. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -. Enzymology 484: 465–475.

Halme, A., S. Bumgarner, C. Styles, and G. Fink, 2004 Genetic and epigenetic regulation of the FLO gene family generates cell-surface variation in yeast. Cell 116: 405–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(04)00118-7

Harashima, T., and J. Heitman, 2002 The Galpha protein Gpa2 controls yeast differentiation by interacting with kelch repeat proteins that mimic Gbeta subunits. Mol. Cell 10: 163–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(02)00569-5

Hicks, J. K., and J. Heitman, 2007 Divergence of protein kinase a catalytic subunits in *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* illustrates evolutionary reconfiguration of a signaling cascade. Eukaryot. Cell 6: 413–420. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00213-06

Hittinger, C., 2013 Saccharomyces diversity and evolution: a budding model genus. Trends Genet. 29: 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tig.2013.01.002

Hong, J., and D. Gresham, 2014 Molecular specificity, convergence and constraint shape adaptive evolution in nutrient-poor environments. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004041. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004041

Johnson, L., V. Koufopanou, M. Goddard, R. Hetherington, S. Schäfer et al., 2004 Population genetics of the wild yeast Saccharomyces paradoxus. Genetics 166: 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.166.1.43 Klengel, T., W.-J. Liang, J. Chaloupka, C. Ruoff, K. Schröppel et al., 2005 Fungal adenylyl cyclase integrates co2 sensing with camp signaling and virulence. Curr. Biol. 15: 2021–2026. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2005.10.040

Kowallik, V., E. Miller, and D. Greig, 2015 The interaction of Saccharomyces paradoxus with its natural competitors on oak bark. Mol. Ecol. 24: 1596–1610. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13120

Kübler, E., H. U. Mösch, S. Rupp, and M. P. Lisanti, 1997 Gpa2p, a G-protein alpha-subunit, regulates growth and pseudohyphal development in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* via a cAMP-dependent mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 272: 20321–20323. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.272.33.20321

Li, Y., S. Venkataram, A. Agarwala, B. Dunn, D. A. Petrov *et al.*, 2018 Hidden complexity of yeast adaptation under simple evolutionary conditions. Curr. Biol. 28: 515–525.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2018.01.009

Liu, H., C. Styles, and G. Fink, 1996 Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C has a mutation in FLO8, a gene required for filamentous growth. Genetics 144: 967.

 Lo, W., and A. Dranginis, 1998 The cell surface flocculin Flo11 is required for pseudohyphae formation and invasion by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell 9: 161–171. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.9.1.161

Loomis, W. F., 2014 Cell signaling during development of *Dictyostelium*. Dev. Biol. 391: 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.04.001

Lorenz, M., N. Cutler, and J. Heitman, 2000 Characterization of alcoholinduced filamentous growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell 11: 183–199. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.11.1.183

Lorenz, M. C., and J. Heitman, 1997 Yeast pseudohyphal growth is regulated by GPA2, a G protein alpha homolog. EMBO J. 16: 7008–7018. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.23.7008

 Ma, P., S. Wera, P. Van Dijck, and J. M. Thevelein, 1999 The pde1-encoded low-affinity phosphodiesterase in the yeast saccharomyces cerevisiae has a specific function in controlling agonist-induced camp signaling. Mol. Biol. Cell 10: 91–104. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.10.1.91

Madhani, H. D., and G. R. Fink, 1997 Combinatorial control required for the specificity of yeast mapk signaling. Science 275: 1314–1317. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5304.1314

Martin, H., J. Roop, J. Schraiber, T. Hsu, and R. Brem, 2012 Evolution of a membrane protein regulon in *Saccharomyces*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29: 1747– 1756. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss017

Masneuf-Pomarède, I., M. Bely, P. Marullo, A. Lonvaud-Funel, and
D. Dubourdieu, 2010 Reassessment of phenotypic traits for Saccharomyces bayanus var. uvarum wine yeast strains. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 139: 79–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.01.038

Murray, A., 2008 Pharmacological PKA inhibition: all may not be what it seems. Sci. Signal. 1: re4. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.122re4

Naumov, G. I., and E. S. Naumova, 2011 Genetic identification of African cultured yeasts of the genus Saccharomyces. Microbiology 80: 386–390. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261711030167

Naumov, G. I., E. S. Naumova, and P. D. Sniegowski, 1998 Saccharomyces paradoxus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae are associated with exudates of North American oaks. Can. J. Microbiol. 44: 1045–1050. https://doi.org/ 10.1139/w98-104

Oehlen, L., and F. R. Cross, 1998 The mating factor response pathway regulates transcription of tec1, a gene involved in pseudohyphal differentiation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEBS Lett. 429: 83–88. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0014-5793(98)00568-7

Pan, X., and J. Heitman, 1999 Cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase regulates pseudohyphal differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19: 4874–4887. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.19.7.4874

Pérez Martín, J., and A. Di Pietro, editors, 2012 Morphogenesis and Pathogenicity in Fungi, volume 22 of Topics in Current Genetics. Springer, New York.

Pérez-Través, L., C. A. Lopes, A. Querol, and E. Barrio, 2014 On the complexity of the saccharomyces bayanus taxon: hybridization and potential hybrid speciation. PLoS One 9: e93729. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0093729 Replansky, T., V. Koufopanou, D. Greig, and G. Bell, 2008 Saccharomyces sensu stricto as a model system for evolution and ecology. Trends Ecol Evol (Amst) 23: 494–501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.05.005

Rinaldi, J., J. Wu, J. Yang, C. Y. Ralston, B. Sankaran et al., 2010 Structure of yeast regulatory subunit: a glimpse into the evolution of pka signaling. Structure 18: 1471–1482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2010.08.013

Roberts, R. L., and G. R. Fink, 1994 Elements of a single map kinase cascade in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mediate two developmental programs in the same cell type: mating and invasive growth. Genes Dev. 8: 2974–2985. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.24.2974

Robertson, L., and G. Fink, 1998 The three yeast A kinases have specific signaling functions in pseudohyphal growth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 13783–13787. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.23.13783

Rodríguez, M. E., L. Pérez-Través, M. P. Sangorrín, E. Barrio, and C. A. Lopes, 2014 Saccharomyces eubayanus and Saccharomyces uvarum associated with the fermentation of Araucaria araucana seeds in Patagonia. FEMS Yeast Res. 14: 948–965. https://doi.org/10.1111/1567-1364.12183

Rupp, S., E. Summers, H. Lo, H. Madhani, and G. Fink, 1999 MAP kinase and cAMP filamentation signaling pathways converge on the unusually large promoter of the yeast FLO11 gene. EMBO J. 18: 1257–1269. https:// doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.5.1257

Sampaio, J., and P. Gonçalves, 2008 Natural populations of Saccharomyces kudriavzevii in Portugal are associated with oak bark and are sympatric with S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74: 2144– 2152. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02396-07

Sato, T. K., M. Tremaine, L. S. Parreiras, A. S. Hebert, K. S. Myers *et al.*, 2016 Directed evolution reveals unexpected epistatic interactions that alter metabolic regulation and enable anaerobic xylose use by saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS Genet. 12: e1006372. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1006372

Schaap, P., M. v. Ments-Cohen, R. D. Soede, R. Brandt, R. A. Firtel *et al.*, 1993 Cell-permeable non-hydrolyzable cAMP derivatives as tools for analysis of signaling pathways controlling gene regulation in *Dictyostelium*. J. Biol. Chem. 268: 6323–6331.

Serra, A., P. Strehaiano, and P. Taillandier, 2005 Influence of temperature and pH on Saccharomyces bayanus var. uvarum growth; impact of a wine yeast interspecific hybridization on these parameters. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 104: 257–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.006

Tanaka, K., M. Nakafuku, F. Tamanoi, Y. Kaziro, K. Matsumoto *et al.*,
1990 Ira2, a second gene of saccharomyces cerevisiae that encodes a protein with a domain homologous to mammalian ras gtpase-activating

protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 4303-4313. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MCB.10.8.4303

Taylor, M. B., J. Phan, J. T. Lee, M. McCadden, and I. M. Ehrenreich, 2016 Diverse genetic architectures lead to the same cryptic phenotype in a yeast cross. Nat. Commun. 7: 11669. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms11669

Toda, T., S. Cameron, P. Sass, M. Zoller, and M. Wigler, 1987 Three different genes in S. cerevisiae encode the catalytic subunits of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Cell 50: 277–287. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0092-8674(87)90223-6

Toda, T., I. Uno, T. Ishikawa, S. Powers, T. Kataoka *et al.*, 1985 In yeast, RAS proteins are controlling elements of adenylate cyclase. Cell 40: 27– 36. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(85)90305-8

Turrà, D., D. Segorbe, and A. Di Pietro, 2014 Protein kinases in plantpathogenic fungi: conserved regulators of infection. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 52: 267–288. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-050143

Van Lookeren Campagne, M. M., F. V. Diaz, B. Jastorff, E. Winkler,
 H. G. Genieser *et al.*, 1990 Characterization of the yeast low Km cAMP-phosphodiesterase with cAMP analogues. Applications in mammalian cells that express the yeast PDE2 gene. J. Biol. Chem. 265: 5847–5854.

Venkataram, S., B. Dunn, Y. Li, A. Agarwala, J. Chang *et al.*, 2016 Development of a comprehensive genotype-to-fitness map of adaptation-driving mutations in yeast. Cell 166: 1585–1596.e22. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.002

Yadav, A., A. Radhakrishnan, G. Bhanot, and H. Sinha, 2015 Differential regulation of antagonistic pleiotropy in synthetic and natural populations suggests its role in adaptation. G3 (Bethesda) 5: 699–709. https://doi.org/ 10.1534/g3.115.017020

Zaman, S., S. Lippman, X. Zhao, and J. Broach, 2008 How Saccharomyces responds to nutrients. Annu. Rev. Genet. 42: 27–81. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.genet.41.110306.130206

Zara, G., S. Zara, C. Pinna, and S. Marceddu, 2009 FLO11 gene length and transcriptional level affect biofilm-forming ability of wild flor strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiology 155: 3838–3846. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/mic.0.028738-0

Zimmerman, N. P., I. Roy, A. D. Hauser, J. M. Wilson, C. L. Williams et al., 2015 Cyclic AMP regulates the migration and invasion potential of human pancreatic cancer cells. Mol. Carcinog. 54: 203–215. https://doi. org/10.1002/mc.22091

Communicating editor: D. Gresham