### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

### MOLECULAR ECOLOGY WILEY

# Complex population history affects admixture analyses in nine-spined sticklebacks

Xueyun Feng<sup>1</sup> | Juha Merilä<sup>1,2</sup> | Ari Löytynoja<sup>3</sup>

Revised: 2 August 2022

<sup>1</sup>Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Area of Ecology and Biodiversity, Kadoorie Science Building, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR, China

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

#### Correspondence

Xueyun Feng, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki Fl-00014, Finland. Email: xue-yun.feng@helsinki.fi

Ari Löytynoja, Institute of Biotechnology, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki FI-00014, Finland. Email: ari.loytynoja@helsinki.fi

#### **Funding information**

Academy of Finland, Grant/Award Number: 129662, 134728, 218343 and 322681; Helsinki Lifesciences Center, Grant/Award Number: HiLife; Suomen Kulttuurirahasto, Grant/Award Number: 00210295; Finnish Cultural Foundation; China Scholarship Council, Grant/Award Number: 201608520032

Handling Editor: Katie Lotterhos

### Abstract

Introgressive hybridization is an important process in evolution but challenging to identify, undermining the efforts to understand its role and significance. On the contrary, many analytical methods assume direct descent from a single common ancestor, and admixture among populations can violate their assumptions and lead to seriously biased results. A detailed analysis of 888 whole-genome sequences of nine-spined sticklebacks (Pungitius pungitius) revealed a complex pattern of population ancestry involving multiple waves of gene flow and introgression across northern Europe. The two recognized lineages were found to have drastically different histories, and their secondary contact zone was wider than anticipated, displaying a smooth gradient of foreign ancestry with some curious deviations from the expected pattern. Interestingly, the freshwater isolates provided peeks into the past and helped to understand the intermediate states of evolutionary processes. Our analyses and findings paint a detailed picture of the complex colonization history of northern Europe and provide backdrop against which introgression and its role in evolution can be investigated. However, they also expose the challenges in analyses of admixed populations and demonstrate how hidden admixture and colonization history misleads the estimation of admixture proportions and population split times.

### KEYWORDS

admixture, introgression, phylogeography, sticklebacks

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Population genomic analyses, such as inferences of selection or local adaptation, typically assume populations descending from a single shared ancestor. However, admixture of populations is common (Moran et al., 2021; Rius & Darling, 2014), and multiple waves of migration and hybridization among divergent lineages can produce complex population histories (Flegontov et al., 2019; Hudson et al., 2021; Marques, Lucek, et al., 2019). Moreover, introgressive hybridization is recognized to contribute to adaptation and evolutionary novelties (Hedrick, 2013; Lamichhaney et al., 2018; Marques, Meier, & Seehausen, 2019; Oziolor et al., 2019; Suarez-Gonzalez et al., 2018). The genetic signals of different evolutionary processes are hard to disentangle and, more seriously, unaccounted signals from complex admixture history may seriously mislead analytical methods designed for unadmixed data (Lawson et al., 2018; Scerri et al., 2018). It is yet unclear how ancestral admixture events affect, for instance, the estimation of admixture proportions and divergence

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. *Molecular Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

times among contemporary populations, potentially leading to misinterpretations regarding the reconstructed population histories (but see Flegontov et al., 2019).

Stickleback fishes are popular model systems for studying the genetic underpinnings of evolutionary changes in the wild. The welldeveloped genetic resources have made the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) a central model in evolutionary biology (reviewed in Reid et al., 2021), while the related nine-spined stickleback (Pungitius pungitius) has gained foothold as a model to study the genetic basis of local adaptations (e.g., Herczeg et al., 2010; Karhunen et al., 2014; Kemppainen et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020). While both species occupy both freshwater and marine habitats, nine-spined sticklebacks commonly populate even the smallest freshwater ponds and lakes, making it an ideal model system for understanding the resilience of small populations under anthropogenic change. However, a detailed understanding of speciesâ€<sup>™</sup> history is central for comprehending the origins of adaptive and neutral variation, and the role of admixture and introgression as sources of genetic variation is widely acknowledged (Hellenthal et al., 2014; Hudson et al., 2021; Margues, Lucek, et al., 2019; Racimo et al., 2015).

Reconstruction of stickleback population histories has received a lot of recent attention (Aldenhoven et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2019; Makinen et al., 2006; Margues, Lucek, et al., 2019; Orti et al., 1994; Shikano, Ramadevi, & Merilä, 2010; Wang et al., 2021). Earlier studies of nine-spined sticklebacks using microsatellites, mtDNA and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) derived with RAD-sequencing approaches (Bruneaux et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2019; Shikano, Ramadevi, & Merilä, 2010; Teacher et al., 2011) have revealed two distinct evolutionary lineages, the Western European lineage (WL) and the Eastern European lineage (EL), and their potential admixture in the southern parts of the Baltic Sea (BS) and around the Danish straits connecting the Baltic Sea basin to the North Sea and the Atlantic (Shikano, Shimada, et al., 2010; Teacher et al., 2011). However, the details of the colonization history especially in regard to admixture and its extent have not been worked out (Guo et al., 2019; Shikano, Shimada, et al., 2010; Teacher et al., 2011). Here, we tackled the guestion in unprecedented detail utilizing a high-quality reference genome (Varadharajan et al., 2019), and calling SNPs for 888 whole-genome sequenced samples from 45 populations, covering a major part of the species' circumpolar distribution range.

The primary aim of this study was to resolve the detailed phylogeographic history of a non-model organism by applying the latest population genetic methods to an extensive whole-genome sequence data. Given the likely secondary contact, we wanted to understand the extent and variability in admixture proportions among the populations across the contact zone. Since preliminary analyses revealed an unexpected population structuring, this motivated us to assess how the admixture and incorrect information about population history affects typical analyses of introgressive hybridization and population differentiation. We demonstrate that detailed assessments of population admixture are essential to our understanding of the evolutionary history of a lineage, and can help

contextualize patterns of local adaptation, and even highlight the possible role of introgression in facilitating local adaptation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS 2

#### 2.1 Sampling

The samples used in this study were collected in accordance with the national legislation of the countries concerned. A total of 888 ninespined stickleback individuals (8-31 per population) were sampled from two previously identified European evolutionary lineages, EL (30 populations) and WL (10 populations), and five ancestral populations from Asia and North America. The species and lineage assignment of populations was based on information from previous studies (Guo et al., 2019; Teacher et al., 2011) and was confirmed with data from this study (see Results). The samples were collected during the local breeding seasons with seine nets and minnow traps. After anaesthetising the fish with an overdose of MS-222, fin clips were preserved in 95% ethanol and stored at - 80°C until DNA extraction. In addition, one P. tymensis individual serving as an outgroup was collected from Hokkaido, Japan (43°49'40"N, 145°5'10"E). The sampling sites are shown in Figure 1; more detailed information, including sampling site coordinates and dates, sample sizes, population codes and species names, is given in Table S1.

#### From sequencing to variant calling 2.2

Extractions of genomic DNA were conducted following the standard phenol-chloroform method (Sambrook & Russell, 2006) from alcoholpreserved fin clips. DNA libraries with an insert size of 300-350 bp were constructed, and 150-bp paired-end reads were generated using an Illumina HiSeq 2500/4000 instrument. Library preparations and sequencing were carried out at the Beijing Genomics Institute (Hong Kong SAR, China) and the DNA Sequencing and Genomics Laboratory, University of Helsinki (Helsinki, Finland).

The reads were mapped to a subset of the nine-spined stickleback reference genome (Varadharajan et al., 2019) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner v.0.7.17 (BWA-MEM algorithm, Li, 2013) and its default parameters. The subset, called V6b, contained 199 of the original 5303 contigs and was 449 Mbp long; the removed contigs were inferred to be contamination, haplotypic copies or from the Y chromosome copy of LG12; a link to the included contigs can be found in the section Data and Code Availability. Duplicate reads were marked with SAMtools v.1.7 (Li et al., 2009), and variant calling was performed with the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v.4.0.1.2 (McKenna et al., 2010) following the GATK Best Practices workflows. In more detail, RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner tools were applied to detect misalignments and realign reads around indels. The command HaplotypeCaller was used to call variants for each individual, parameters set as -stand\_emit\_conf 3, -stand\_call\_ cof 10, -GQB (10,50), variant index type linear and variant index



(b)



FENG ET AL.

FIGURE 1 Sampling locations and European mtDNA lineages. (a) 8–31 individuals per location were sampled, totalling 888 individuals. The shapes indicate the geographical origin of the sampled populations with filled shapes indicating the focal European populations, and white diamonds the reference populations from other parts of the world and green diamond the P. tymensis outgroup individual. (b) the pie charts show the frequency of the four mtDNA types, indicated with the colours in the inset tree, for each population. The outline colour (red, blue, cyan) indicates the mtDNA lineage assignment of the populations. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using RAxML on full mtDNA and rooted with P. tymensis.

parameter 128,000. The command GenotypeGVCFs was then used to call the variants jointly for all samples using default parameters. Interspecific variants were removed and binary SNPs were extracted with BCFtools v.1.7 (Li et al., 2009), excluding sites located within identified repetitive sequences (Varadharajan et al., 2019). Sites showing low (<8x) average coverage, low (<20) genotype quality and low (<30) quality score were filtered out using VCFtools v.0.1.5 (Danecek et al., 2011). For details of the subsequent filtering of the data sets used in different analyses, see Table S2.

# 2.3 | Analysis of population structure and phylogeny

Approximate population structure among all study samples was estimated using PCA within the PLINK toolset v.1.90 (Purcell et al., 2007) and ancestry estimation within ADMIXTURE v.1.3.0 (Alexander et al., 2009). In the latter, the analysis was replicated with the number of ancestral populations (K) varying from 2 to 4. In both PCA and ADMIXTURE analysis, variants with pairwise linkage disequilibrium above 0.1 (PLINK; –indep-pairwise 50 10 0.1) were removed.

The mtDNA variant calls were made haploid using the BCFtools plugin fixploidy. A maximum-likelihood phylogeny was inferred using RAxML v. 8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014), under the GTRGAMMA model and using the lewis model to account for the ascertainment bias in SNP data (--asc-corr = lewis in RAxML). Branch support values were computed from 1000 bootstrap replicates. The tree was rooted with *P. tymensis*.

For a phylogenetic analysis of nuclear DNA, two samples were randomly selected from each of the 45 *P. pungitius* populations, and one *P. tymensis* sample was included as the root. The window size was set to 5000 SNPs instead of physical length to have a similar signal for each local genomic region. Small windows at the chromosome ends and sites with more than 50% of missing data were discarded, leaving 1792 valid regions for the analysis. Maximum-likelihood phylogenies were inferred using RAxML v. 8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014) as for mitochondrial data, and the best tree for each region was selected from 20 alternative runs that started from different maximum parsimony trees (option -N 20 in RAxML). With the 1792 best local trees, we then reconstructed a species tree under the multispecies coalescent model using ASTRAL v. 5.7.4 (Mirarab et al., 2014) with default settings. The local posterior probabilities (Mirarab et al., 2014) were calculated for the main topology.

### 2.4 | Symmetry statistics and admixture tests

To get a global view of gene flow events, we started by computing the *f-branch* tests using Dsuite v.0.4r38 (Malinsky et al., 2021) and the ASTRAL tree as the input topology. To elaborate the suggested gene flow events, the *f*- and *f*4-statistics were computed with ADMIXTOOLS v.5.1 (Patterson et al., 2012) using the programs qp3Pop and qpDstat with default parameters. The outgroup

f3-statistics were computed in the form f3 (pop1, pop2; outgroup) using qp3Pop. Outgroup-f3 statistic measures the amount of shared drift between pop1 and pop2, higher f3 values indicating closer affinity between pop1 and pop2 in comparison with the outgroup (see Figure 4a), and allows determining the genetic similarity of populations. The f4 statistics (similar to D-statistics, Reich et al., 2009) were computed in the form f4(Pop1, Pop2; Pop3, Pop4) using qpDstat. F4 statistic measures the correlation of allele frequencies in the two pairs of populations and allows determining the existence of admixture. For f4 statistics, a deeply diverged Canadian population (CAN-TEM) was assigned as Pop4, while CAN-TEM and a Japanese marine population (JAP-BIW) were used as the outgroup in f3 analyses; due to their greater resolution on European populations, f3 results based on JAP-BIW were studied in detail. The heatmaps were generated with the R package gtools and the neighbour-joining tree from inverse distances using the R package APE.

The outgroup f3-statistics can be affected by genetic drift within the test populations (Patterson et al., 2012; Peter, 2016), so we cross-validated our findings using the rare-allele-sharing statistics (RASS; Flegontov et al., 2019). RASS shares similarity to f3-statistics but is driven by correlated frequency increases among rare alleles. Importantly, the SNP ascertainment is done using a fixed reference panel and thus is not affected by genetic drift among the test populations (Flegontov et al., 2019). We defined the rare alleles using a panel consisting of the five ancestral non-European populations (RUS-LEN, USA-HLA, CAN-FLO, JAP-BIW, CAN-TEM; henceforth: ANC5) and representative populations from WL (UK; GBR-GRO) and EL (White Sea; RUS-LEV). Sites with more than 25% missing data were discarded, alleles were polarized using P. tymensis and, of the remaining sites, those with derived allele counts between 2-10 in the reference panel (in total 127 samples) were retained. For each frequency vector x and y (representing populations X and Y), sum of products  $(\sum_{i} x_i y_i)$  is reported.

# 2.5 | Modelling admixture and estimation of admixture proportions

The minimum number of independent gene pools explaining the WL populations was inferred with the program qpWave (Reich et al., 2012) from ADMIXTOOLS v.5.1 (Patterson et al., 2012). qp-Wave relies on a matrix of f4 statistics, f4 (left<sub>1</sub>, left<sub>1</sub>; right<sub>1</sub>, right<sub>x</sub>), to infer the minimum number of streams of ancestries relating the 'left' (target) populations to the 'right' (source) populations. The *p*-values indicate whether the model with rank R is consistent with the data (p\_rank R>0.05); if the data are consistent, at least R+1 migration waves from the source populations are needed to explain the target populations (following Reich et al., 2012). We set the ANC5 populations and selected WL or EL populations as our 'right' populations and inferred the minimum number of migration waves to different 'left' populations (see Tables S3 & S6, SI Sections 1–2).

If a triplet (a group of three populations) can be modelled as derived from two sources of ancestry in qpWave, it follows that one of WILFY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

the populations can be modelled as a mixture of the other two populations with another tool, qpAdm (Flegontov et al., 2019; Harney et al., 2021). To estimate the admixture proportions for the BS populations, we first used qpWave to find triplets (WL<sub>i</sub>, EL<sub>i</sub>, Baltic<sub>k</sub>) that show such patterns and then assessed the optimal source population pair based on qpAdm (Haak et al., 2015) analysis on other admixed populations (for details, see Figure S8, SI Sections 1-2). The *p*-values given by gpAdm were used to assess the validity of each WL population, considering admixture models with p-value >0.05 as plausible. Using the optimal WL and EL source populations, the relative proportions of WL/EL ancestry in the BS were quantified using f4-ratio (Patterson et al., 2012; Petr et al., 2019) and qpAdm (Lazaridis et al., 2017). To elaborate the impact of unaccounted population structure on the estimation, WL populations with distinct population histories (i.e. with different numbers of migration waves) were used for estimation and comparison. The CAN-TEM and ANC5 populations were used as the outgroup for all f4-ratio and gpAdm analyses, respectively.

The complex relationships between diverse populations were modelled with qpGraph (Patterson et al., 2012). qpGraph assesses the fit of admixture graph models to allele frequency correlation patterns as measured by f-statistics. We started by fitting the deep ancestral populations and, using it as a basal model, gradually added different WL, EL and BS population combinations. Only graph models with the worst f4-statistic residual |Z|-score  $\leq$ 3.5 were retained. For details of each qpGraph model, see SI Section 3.

### 2.6 | Sex chromosome (LG12) sequencing coverage

The EL and WL have different sex chromosome systemsheterogametic in EL (XY males) and dissimilar but yet unknown in WL (Natri et al., 2019)-and knowing the sex chromosome type may provide extra information about the origin of the admixed populations. In EL, chromosome LG12 consists of sex-chromosome and pseudoautosome parts, allowing identification of EL males; in WL, the whole chromosome appears autosomal. We utilized the reference male (100X coverage) and five known females (each 10X) from the same FIN-PYO population (EL) to identify a set of sex-chromosomeassociated SNPs. We first selected SNPs that had 5-15X coverage in each female and 30-75X combined coverage. We pruned down to 220,642 SNPs with coverage <50X in the male as the markers for the sex chromosome part in LG12 (region  $1 \times 107-2 \times 107$  bp), and to 430,056 SNPs with coverage >85X in the male as the markers for the pseudo-autosomal chromosome part in LG12 (region  $2.75 \times 107$  $-4.1 \times 107$  bp). Finally, we computed the ratio of the mean 'INFO/DP' (approximate read depth across sample) across these regions and assigned ratios <0.6 as 'Half' and ratios >0.8 as 'One'. Intermediate ratios and samples with less than 250,000 SNPs with coverage >5X in the pseudo-autosomal part were considered as 'Unknown'. Due to atypical sequencing coverage, possibly due to the longer read length (251 vs. 150 nuc in all other samples), the reference male showed the ratio of 0.77 and was assigned as Unknown. On the contrary, the

other FIN-PYO samples showed expected ratios of 0.52–0.53 and 0.94–1.06 for males and females, respectively.

### 2.7 | Estimation of the divergence times

The population size histories for selected populations were inferred using MSMC2 v.2.1.1 (Malaspinas et al., 2016) with default parameters and assuming a mutation rate of  $1.42 \times 10$ -8 per generation (Guo et al., 2013) and generation length of 2 years (DeFaveri et al., 2014). Using these, we then inferred the divergence time for selected population pairs using the cross-population test implemented in MSMC2 (Malaspinas et al., 2016) following Schiffels and Wang (2020). The relative cross-population coalescent rate (CCR) value ranges between 0 and 1: CCR values close to 1 indicate that the two populations are one connected population, while the value of 0 means that the populations have fully separated. Following Schiffels and Durbin (2014), we consider a CCR of 0.5 as an indicator of population split. Demographic modelling with moments (Jouganous et al., 2017) was used to infer the divergence time for the same population pairs. Following Sousa and Hey (2013) and Walsh et al. (2022), we tested five models: (1) strict isolation (SI) which assumes no migration between the two populations; (2) isolation with migration (IM) which assumes migration to be symmetric and constant; (3) two epochs (2EP) which allows one change in the migration rate; (4) secondary contact (SC) which assumes migration to have started after a period of isolation following the split of the two populations; and (5) ancestral migration (AM) which assumes migration at the early stages of divergence and strict isolation afterwards. Models with the highest average log-likelihood were chosen as the best model. Details of data processing, filtering and models for the two analyses can be found in SI Section 4, Table S2 and Script S1.

### 3 | RESULTS

The collected samples (Figure 1a, Table S1) were whole-genome sequenced to 10-20X coverage, and variants were called using a standard pipeline. In total, 15,217,577 SNPs across the 449 Mbp genome were included in the analyses after quality control (Table S2).

### 3.1 | Nuclear DNA reveals rampant admixture of ancestral lineages

The phylogenetic analysis of whole mtDNA data confirmed the earlier findings (Shikano, Shimada, et al., 2010; Teacher et al., 2011) and recovered a deep split between the WL and EL (Figure 1b, Figure S1). The Finnish and Swedish freshwater samples, the northern Baltic Sea samples and the White Sea samples all had the EL mtDNA type, whereas the Atlantic and Western European samples had the WL type (Figure 1b). The EL type was found at low frequency in the Skagerrak, and the WL type on the German Baltic Sea coast and at low frequency among samples from Latvia and Gotland (Figure 1b). In addition to clean EL and WL groups, the mtDNA phylogeny contained distinct early branchings at the root of both clades (Figure 1b, Figure S1). The mtDNA type branching from the WL clade was found with 100% frequency in isolated French (FRA-VEY) and central Norwegian freshwater (NOR-UGE) populations while the type branching from the EL clade was found in the North Sea (DEN-NOR), in two Baltic Sea populations (EST-PUR, GER-RUE) and at the Barents Sea coast (NOR-KVN; Figure 1b). A clear discrepancy in the distribution of the main EL and WL types was Tyrifjorden (NOR-TYR), a lake outside of Oslo, Norway, that was of EL type with 100% frequency (Figure 1b).

A nuclear phylogenetic tree was inferred from 1792 regional maximum-likelihood trees using ASTRAL (Figure 2a, Figure S2c; Mirarab et al., 2014). Consistent with the mtDNA analysis, the nuclear tree grouped Fennoscandian and White Sea populations to EL (squares; Figure 2) and Atlantic and Western European populations to WL (circles; Figure 2). However, in contrast to mtDNA (Figure S2), the nuclear data placed the Baltic Sea populations (inverted triangles; Figure 2c) and several populations from the neighbouring Skagerrak/Kattegat (triangles; Figure 2c) basal to the WL clade with very short branch lengths (Figure 2a, Figure 2a, Figure 2a).

S2). Theoretical work on phylogenetic methods have shown that such patterns are expected for populations originating from admixture between distant lineages (Kopelman et al., 2013; Rheindt & Edwards, 2011), highlighting the challenges of using tree-based methods for analyses of population data. The possibility of a much wider admixture of the two major lineages was supported by population clustering with ADMIXTURE (Alexander et al., 2009) and principal component analysis (PCA). In the ADMIXTURE plot, the intermediate populations showed a mixture of ancestral components associated with the EL and WL populations (Figure 2c), and the PCA placed these populations as a continuum between the EL and WL populations (Figure S3). Similarly to mtDNA analyses, the Tyrifjorden samples grouped among the BS populations (Figures 2 and S2).

### 3.2 | The Western lineage has complex history of admixture

A bifurcating tree cannot represent the relationships among admixed populations. To understand the extent of introgression, we performed an *f*-branch analysis with Dsuite (Malinsky et al., 2021)



FIGURE 2 Genetic structure of European nine-spined stickleback populations. (a) the nuclear phylogenetic tree was generated from 1792 regional maximum likelihood trees using ASTRAL and rooted with *P. tymensis*. Two individuals were randomly selected from each population. For bootstrap values of each node, see Figure S2. (b) the pie charts show the frequency of the four mtDNA types (see Figure 1b) and the shapes indicate geographic origin of the sampled populations. Diamonds, filled circles, triangles, inverted triangles, and squares indicate non-European; Atlantic and Norwegian Sea; Skagerrak/Kattegat; Baltic Sea; and Finnish inland, Swedish inland and White Sea populations, respectively. (c) the barplots show the proportion of genetic ancestry of the 888 whole-genome sequenced samples (*x*-axis) derived from *K* ancestral populations inferred with ADMIXTURE. *K* values >10 minimize cross-validation error but are uninformative about the deeper structure.

WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

using the ASTRAL tree (Figure 2a) as our phylogenetic model. While supporting the earlier findings of an EL clade and admixture in Skagerrak/Kattegat and BS populations, the *f-branch* analysis also unveiled a pattern of introgression from the ancestrally related Northeast Asian and North American populations to most WL populations and to Skagerrak/Kattegat/BS populations (Figure S4). Notably, the absence of signal in the two UK populations suggests that the histories of WL populations are dissimilar and the non-UK populations have been affected by later pulses of migration.

To formally test the latter possibility, we used qpWave (Reich et al., 2012) and qpGraph (Patterson et al., 2012) to infer the history of migration waves. The qpWave analyses indicated at least three ancestry streams for the different WL populations (p\_rank2 = 0.209, see SI Section 1, Tables S3–S6 and Reich et al., 2012). British, Belgian and French populations were inferred to have two streams of ancestry (p\_rank1 = 0.228; Table S5) and the number increased to three when other WL populations (p\_rank2 = 0.82–0.948; Table S5) were included (Table S5). The latter is of interest as the North Sea and central Norwegian freshwater population also carry multiple mtDNA types: the first of these required three streams of ancestry (p\_rank2 = 0.972; Table S5) while with the latter the exclusion of the White Sea population (RUS-LEV) from the source set ('right' populations) led to decrease in the number of ancestry streams (p\_rank1 = 0.0526; Table S5).

We applied qpGraph to build a model including the five earlybranching Northeast Asian and North American populations (ANC5) as well as a lake population from the UK (GBR-GRO) and a White Sea marine population (RUS-LEV) as the representatives of the WL and EL, respectively. According to the best supported model (|Z| = 1.6; Figure S5), the UK population descends predominantly from two old waves from Asia but also has a minor contribution from a third wave shared with the EL. The White Sea population descends predominantly from an ancestor that itself was a mixture of lineages forming the current-day Japanese and Alaskan populations (Figure S5). Given this basal model, we added representative populations from the North Sea (Danish coast; DEN-NOR), the Skagerrak (SWE-FIS) and the Baltic Sea (Gulf of Finland; FIN-HEL) to the model. According to the best fitting model (|Z| = 2.7; Figure 3), the Danish North Sea population is a mixture of the old WL (63%) and a later recolonization lineage (37%). The histories of the Skagerrak and Gulf of Finland samples are more complex: the Skagerrak has less contribution from the old WL than the North Sea population and has an additional 10% pulse of ancestry from the predecessor of the modern EL (blue dot; Figure 3); by contrast, the Gulf of Finland is predominantly of EL origin and has approximately 9% contribution from the old WL forming the current-day UK population (Figure 3). Ancestry graphs are sensitive to changes in input data and often represent only one of many plausible arrangements (Lipson, 2020; Patterson et al., 2012). While the choice of populations affected the order of branching, in our case, the different and much deeper ancestry for the UK population was always strongly supported (Figure S6).

### 3.3 | Secondary contact zone forms a gradient of foreign ancestry

To explore the population relationships in more detail, we computed the pairwise outgroup-f3 statistics (Patterson et al., 2012). In this form, the f3-statistic measures the shared drift between two test populations in comparison with an outgroup population, the greater value indicating a closer affinity between the test populations (Figure 4a). Using a Japanese population (JAP-BIW) as the outgroup, the f3 analyses revealed major blocks reflecting the Atlantic WL populations, the pure EL populations, and a group of intermediate populations from the Baltic Sea and Skagerrak/Kattegat (Figure 4b). The statistics also showed a finer grain gradient, with the more southern BS populations having closer affinity to WL and the more northern BS populations having closer affinity to EL (Figure 4c,d). Among the WL populations, the two UK populations stood out and were different from all other populations (Figure 4b). Repeating the analysis with our most distantly related outgroup population, CAN-TEM from Quebec, Canada, gave qualitatively similar but less resolved results (Figure S7).

The gpWave and gpAdm analyses indicated that the North Sea and White Sea population are the optimal representatives of the WL and EL ancestral populations for studying the history of the admixed populations from the Baltic Sea area (see SI Sections 1-2, Figure S8). We used the f4-ratio test (Patterson et al., 2012; Petr et al., 2019) to estimate separately the WL and EL ancestry proportions across the different populations (Figure 5a,b, Tables S8 to S9). Testing the WL ancestry, we saw it decreasing from 68% in the north-western extreme of the recognized contact zone (the Skagerrak) down to 22% in the south-eastern extreme of the area (the southern Baltic Sea): however, consistent with the earlier analyses, WL ancestry was seen in all BS populations, making 13%-11% of the genome in the most distantly located populations (the Gulf of Finland and the Bothnian Bay; Figure 5b). The EL ancestry mirrored this and decreased from 69% in the northern Baltic Sea to 45% in the southern parts, and further down to 25%-20% in the Skagerrak (Figure 5b). An alternative method, gpAdm (Lazaridis et al., 2017), gave consistent results (Figure S8c).

In the absence of the earlier analyses of ancestry and historical admixture patterns, one could have acted with caution and selected a WL reference population further from the contact zone (e.g., UK populations). To test how the choice of reference affects the analyses, we recomputed the statistics using different populations as the WL reference (Figure 5b; Tables S8–S10). Due to the complex structuring among the WL lineage, this indeed had a major impact and, with specific combinations of references, most WL populations showed significant f4-statistic and EL introgression (Figure 5b, Table S10), naively interpreted to indicate recent gene flow out of the Baltic Sea. Using the UK populations as the WL reference, one would conclude that the North Sea has 23% of EL ancestry; while this could be geographically plausible, the analysis would also indicate that the recent EL gene flow has penetrated deep into eastern France, FRA-VEY showing 26% of EL ancestry. Recent EL migration

FIGURE 3 Complex history of European nine-spined stickleback lineages. The optimal qpGraph model (|Z| = 2.7) illustrates genetic drift and admixture events with solid and dotted/ dashed lines, respectively, with the numbers indicating the amount (in units of FST × 1000) and percentages. The UK population (GBR-GRO) descends 80% from a deep ancestor (red dot) but has a 20% contribution from an ancestral lineage (yellow dot) related to the White Sea population (RUS-LEV). The North Sea population (DEN-NOR) descends 63% from the old WL but also has a more recent 37% contribution from the east (green dot). The White Sea population (RUS-LEV) is a mixture of ancestral lineages forming the current-day Japanese (JAP-BIW), Alaskan (USA-HLA) and the Lena river (RUS-LEN) populations. Populations from the Skagerrak (SWE-FIS) and the Baltic Sea (FIN-HEL) are mixtures of predominantly WL and EL origin. respectively. Red, yellow and green dots indicate hypothetical colonization waves to the Atlantic forming the current-day WL; blue dot indicates the ancestor of the EL that subsequently colonized the northern Fennoscandia. The stars in the graph (in cyan) mark the common ancestors of GBR-GRO and RUS-LEV.



to a small pond close to the Swiss border appears implausible and the result is more likely explained by an erroneous test setting and ancestral migration events being inferred as recent admixture (for more details, see SI 3).

To confirm that such a misleading signal can be created by an unaccounted ancestral admixture within WL, we simulated synthetic data using a population model that reflects the inferred history. While being a simplification of the real history (see SI Section 5 for more details), the simulations results nevertheless confirmed that the *f*4-ratio analysis is sensitive to unaccounted historical admixture among the populations (Patterson et al., 2012; Peter, 2016), and the use of an incorrect reference population can inflate or deflate the estimates (Figure S9).

# 3.4 | Admixture can seriously affect the divergence time estimation

The split time between the two ancestral lineages has been studied previously (Guo et al., 2019; Teacher et al., 2011) and, using calibration from geological events, was dated to be 0.67 Mya. To assess the impact of population structure on such estimates, we applied MSMC2 (Malaspinas et al., 2016) and demographic modelling with *moments* (Jouganous et al., 2017) on selected WL and EL populations. The cross-population analysis with MSMC2 showed a higher relative cross-coalescence rate (CCR) between the White Sea and North Sea than between the White Sea and the UK (Figure 6a); consistent with this, the most recent split time was younger, 215,200 vs. 329,500 va, respectively.



FIGURE 4 Relationships between WL and EL populations. (a) a schematic example of outgroup-f3 test with the branch lengths representing genetic drift.  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  are distantly related and the relative length of the red outgroup branch is small (top);  $X_2$  and  $Y_2$  are closely related and the relative length of the red outgroup branch is large (bottom). The values f3 (X, Y; out) represent the length of the red branch for different pairs of X and Y with out fixed as an outgroup. (b) the heatmap colours show the statistics f3 (X, Y; JAP-BIW), the darker values indicating closer affinity between populations X and Y. the shapes next to the population labels indicate different geographic areas and match those in the insert map. (c) the symbols indicate the values f3 (reference, Y; JAP-BIW) for the White Sea (RUS-LEV; square with cross) and UK (GBR-GRO; circle with cross) populations. The NJ tree is based on the f3-statistics and is rooted with the non-European populations. (b) the map shows the geographic location of the populations with shape colours indicating the values f3 (RUS-LEV, Y; JAP-BIW) highlighted in the matrix. RUS-LEV is shown in grey.

For demographic modelling, we built simple two-population models consisting of either presence or absence of migration and assuming constant population size (Figure 6b). Unsurprisingly, the models with migration always fitted the data better and pushed the split times between the populations further back in time (Figure 6c and Table S11). The estimated divergence time between the White Sea and North Sea/UK almost doubled when using the best model AM that allows for migration after the split event (Figure 6c). Similar to our qpGraph model (Figure 3), T2, the time period without gene flow, was higher between the White Sea and UK than between the White Sea and the North Sea, thus correctly capturing the differences in histories between the two WL populations. On the contrary, the divergence time estimates from *moments* were much younger than those from MSMC2, possibly reflecting the oversimplicity of the demographic models with limited population size changes. We applied the divergence time estimation methods also for the synthetic data mimicking the inferred demographic history of the nine-spined sticklebacks (see SI Section 5). The analyses confirmed that the pulses of admixture push the cross-population coalescence ratio estimates further back in time, and that demographic models including migration give deeper divergence estimates (Figure S12 and Table S12).

### 3.5 | Freshwater isolates provide windows to past admixture events

Peculiar aberrations in the ancestry analyses were the groupings of the four Norwegian freshwater populations (NOR-UGE, NOR-TYR, NOR-KVN and NOR-ENG) with geographically distant populations

- MOLECULAR ECOLOGY –  $W_{II}$ 



FIGURE 5 Quantification of WL and EL ancestry in admixed populations. (a) the tree depicts the setup of the *f4*-ratio test for assessing the WL ancestry (red) and EL ancestry (blue). The test population X is assumed to be a mixture between populations B and C,  $\alpha$  indicating the amount of WL (red) or EL (blue) ancestry. Here, the WL reference (GBR-GRO), the two EL populations (RUS-LEV, FIN-KAR) and the target population (X; PLO-GDY) are fixed, and the WL source (either B or C) change. This affects the outcome, and with different WL sources the admixed population is estimated to have 14.9%-22.2% of WL ancestry and 67.9%-58.1% of EL ancestry. (b) Lines show the WL (blue shades) and EL (red shades) ancestry proportion (y axis) for different test populations (x axis) using different WL source populations (colours). The estimates with DEN-NOR, the optimal WL reference among the studied populations, are indicated with diamonds. Vertical lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.



FIGURE 6 Dating of population separation times. (a) Relative cross-coalescence rates for two population pairs estimated with MSMC2. Dotted lines indicate the time at which the relative cross-coalescence rate surpasses 0.5, considered here as the split time for the population pair. (b) Schematic depiction of the strict isolation (SI) model used for the inference with *moments* (left), and the log likelihood and estimated parameters obtained (right). (c) the ancestral migration (AM) model (left), and the log likelihood and estimated parameters obtained solve of the five replicates with the best likelihood are shown. Ne\_ref,  $N_e$  of ancestral population splitting into populations a and b; T1 and T2 (in years), time that  $N_e$  of populations a and b remain constant as Nea1 & Neb1 for T1, and Nea2 & Neb2 for T2; T1+T2 is then the divergence time; Mab and Mba, migration rate from population a to b (Mab) and vice versa.

(Figures 4 & 5). To confirm that the observed similarities are real and result from migrations after the latest secondary contact rather than from independent admixture events, we computed the rare allele sharing statistics (RASS; Flegontov et al., 2019). This method has

been shown to be immune to even strong genetic drift (Flegontov et al., 2019) that often prevails in population isolates (Savolainen et al., 2013). In addition, we exploited the information from the sex chromosomes, known to differ between the two evolutionary

5395

WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

lineages (Natri et al., 2019), to gain additional information of the population origins. However, the WL sex determination locus is unknown and we could only identify the EL males (the heterogametic sex), expected to show a sequencing coverage ratio close to 0.5:1 across the sex-chromosome and pseudo-autosomal parts of LG12 (Figure S11, Kivikoski et al., 2021).

In line with the f4-ratio and the outgroup-f3 statistics, the two geographically closely located populations from Oslo were clearly differently related to EL and WL, with high levels of rare-allele sharing (RAS) seen between Tyrifjorden and BS populations (Figure S10). Interestingly, samples from lake Engervann (NOR-ENG)-still connected to Skagerrak-showed higher RAS with central Norwegian isolated population than with the nearby Skagerrak samples (Figure S10). The RAS patterns of samples from the Barents Sea coast and Skagerrak were nearly indistinguishable, strongly supporting that this Barents Sea coastal population is formed by recolonization from the North Sea/Skagerrak area rather than by an independent local admixture event (Figure S10). Outside the contact zone, the presence of EL males matched the expected EL and WL ancestries of populations (data not shown). In the contact zone, exceptions to the expected pattern were the absence of EL males in the German Baltic Sea coast (GER-RUE) and the presence of them in central Denmark (DEN-RES), the southern tip of Sweden (SWE-LUN) and Tyrifjorden, Norway (Figure 7). While seeing EL sex chromosomes in Tyrifjorden was not surprising, freshwater populations from central Denmark and Lund, Sweden showed a peculiar combination of EL sex chomosomes, WL mtDNA and predominantly WL nuclear DNA (Figure 7). Although admixed nuclear DNA and mismatch between the sex chromosomes and mtDNA clearly indicate a mixed ancestry for the two populations, our sampling is too sparse to allow for an accurate reconstruction of the migration and admixture history.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

An accurate picture of the historical relationships among contemporary populations is the foundation for all evolutionary inference. Due to climatic oscillations, multiple waves of colonization and admixture are common in the wild (Hudson et al., 2021; Margues, Lucek, et al., 2019; Schenekar et al., 2014; Segawa et al., 2021; Shirsekar et al., 2021) and many analytical methods can be seriously misled unless the resulting population structure and demographic history are correctly accounted for (Gompert & Buerkle, 2016; Lange, 2021; Scerri et al., 2018; Theunert & Slatkin, 2017; Vitti et al., 2013). The results of the current study provide a case in point: our analyses uncovered an unexpectedly complicated history of northern European sticklebacks pointing to multiple historical invasions and admixture events. They also showcase how admixture and introgression can influence present-day patterns of genomic differentiation and our inferences on them. The results further illustrate how data from population isolates can be utilized to provide insights into the past evolutionary processes. In our case, the landlocked freshwater population isolates had preserved 'genetic footprints' of historical events which helped us to piece together the complex history of the populations.

The presence of two diverged evolutionary lineages of European nine-spined sticklebacks is known from previous studies but their contact zone and admixture were thought to be limited to the Danish straits area (Guo et al., 2019; Teacher et al., 2011). Our results confirm the existence and admixture of the two lineages and show that the admixture zone is much wider than previously thought, spreading through the whole Baltic Sea and Skagerrak/Kattegat north of the Danish straits. Basic tools for population structure analyses, such as ADMIXTURE and PCA, were informative about the genetic





ancestries of different populations, but failed to disentangle the complex history of migration contributing to the contemporary population structure. A reinterpretation of the results following outgroup-f3, f-branch, and qpWave analyses as well as modelling of the population history with qpGraph revealed a much more complex evolutionary history than originally anticipated. The history of the EL populations turned out to be rather simple, supporting recent radiation from an ancestral population in the White Sea area, with deeper relations to current-day Japanese and Alaskan populations. By contrast, the WL populations were deeply structured and derived from multiple colonization events. In line with earlier hypotheses (Guo et al., 2019; Shikano, Shimada, et al., 2010; Teacher et al., 2011) of multiple waves of migration from Asia towards Europe, our analyses revealed that the WL and BS populations carry ancestries related to the Northeast Asian and North American populations. This component likely originates from an ancestral migration out of Asia to both Europe and North America (Guo et al., 2019) although a trans-Atlantic colonization has also been proposed (Aldenhoven et al., 2010). Whichever of these hypotheses is correct, our results support the view that at least three streams of ancestries, that is two old waves from Asia (or North America) and a third, more recent wave shared with the EL, have contributed to contemporary WL.

The results further showcase the importance of appropriate reference populations for reconstructions of population history and admixture proportions. Our estimates of ancestry proportions in the admixed populations changed drastically depending on the choice of reference populations. For instance, use of the UK population as the WL reference population seemed to suggest an 'out of Baltic' event and the EL ancestry penetrating deeply into central France. However, replacing the UK population with the North Sea population, which was inferred to be closely related to the true WL ancestor for the admixed BS populations, this pattern disappeared. To confirm that our choice of reference populations is justified, we replicated the analyses with synthetic data reflecting the main events inferred to have taken place in the history of the WL populations. Although the simulation settings were a gross simplification of the real history, the results nevertheless supported the main findings: When the reference populations for the admixture analysis were more distantly related than the true parental populations, the ancestry from the other side (in our case from the EL) was overestimated. This highlights the challenges involved in tracking population history of admixed lineages: to avoid erroneous and biased inferences, comprehensive sampling and validation of potential source populations can be critical.

Our results also support the well-known fact that admixture complicates estimation of phylogenies and divergence times (Hey & Nielsen, 2004; Jones, 2019; Long & Kubatko, 2018; Pinho & Hey, 2010). Classical phylogenetic trees are known to be unsuitable for the representation of histories of admixed populations (Chan et al., 2017; Harrison & Larson, 2014; Supple et al., 2015). As a testimony of this, estimated nuclear phylogeny largely reflected the amount of shared ancestries among the populations and showed

-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY -WILE

the typical symptom of admixed populations forming short branches intermediate to the two ancestral lineages (Kopelman et al., 2013; Rheindt & Edwards, 2011).

To assess the impact of the population structure on inference of split times, we used two widely used approaches, the crosspopulation coalescence rate analysis with MSMC2 (Malaspinas et al., 2016) and the demographic modelling with moments (Jouganous et al., 2017). The results from empirical and synthetic data showed that both the gene flow and the choice of populations for the analysis as representative of the two evolutionary lineages had a major impact on the estimates. With moments analyses, the inclusion of migration always improved the fit of the data and doubled the inferred divergence times. Of the models tested, those with ancestral migration showed the best fit for modelling the history of WL and EL but the models behaved differently with the two WL populations studied, in line with the qpGraph model of multiple pulses of migrations into the WL. While these models are oversimplifications of the complicated history, they nevertheless illustrate the importance of accounting for changes in  $N_{e}$  and historical migrations when dating the divergence time (Momigliano et al., 2021). MSMC2 is poorly suited for the analysis of complex histories, but the estimated changes in cross-population coalescence rate correctly captured the extra pulse of gene flow inferred to have affected the North Sea population but not the UK population (Schiffels & Durbin, 2014).

The divergence time estimation is highly sensitive to parameters used. We assumed a mutation rate of  $1.42 \times 10_{-8}$  per generation, originally estimated from transcriptome data with a divergence time of 13 Mya between the three- and nine-spined sticklebacks (Guo et al., 2013), and a generation length of 2 years (DeFaveri et al., 2014). Recent studies have pushed the divergence of the two species to 26 Mya (Varadharajan et al., 2019), thus potentially halving the mutation rate and doubling the estimated split times. A detailed determination of the WL-EL split is beyond the scope of this study, and the simple analyses were primarily performed to assess the impact of gene flow on the estimates. In fact, even the definition of split time is unclear if the populations are connected by multiple waves of direct and indirect gene flow. Based on the results of the qpGraph model (Figure 3), the most recent common ancestor for UK and White Sea was during the latest migration wave from the east and may have inhabited the Arctic Sea, while the earliest split between the lineages possibly happened hundreds of thousands of years earlier at the Pacific.

Our sampling of WL populations was sparse, but we could still learn about the colonizations in different timescales. Isolation to ponds and lakes typically leads to increased drift and loss of genetic variation (Kemppainen et al., 2021; Savolainen et al., 2013; Shikano, Ramadevi, & Merilä, 2010). Despite the smaller absolute amounts of variation, the isolates are of interest as they can provide insights into the early evolutionary history by preserving ancestral genetic variation. For instance, the central Danish freshwater population was found to have admixed nuclear DNA and Western mtDNA type but EL heterogametic sex chromosomes (this study and Natri WILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

et al., 2019), thus potentially representing the very early stage of the contact between two lineages around the Danish straits. In addition, our analyses indicate drastically different evolutionary histories for two lake populations only 15 km apart outside Oslo, Norway. The close affinity between Tyrifjorden and the Latvian river population (LAT-JAU) was confirmed with multiple alternative methods, and we are confident that the signal indicates common ancestry, not independent admixture events resulting in similar ancestry proportions. A possible explanation for the Tyrifjorden population's Baltic ancestry is the opening of the Baltic Sea basin at the end of the last ice age. In addition to connection through the Danish straits, there was a corridor across southern Sweden around 11,000 BP (Andrén et al., 2011). While this would have provided a relatively direct path from the central parts of the Baltic Sea towards North Sea, the timing may be too early for the ancestral Baltic Sea sticklebacks to be already admixed. On the contrary, the presence of gene flow from the Baltic Sea to Kattegat is supported by the Skagerrak marine populations showing low levels of EL ancestry.

It is also worth pointing out that many non-model systems lack source material (and resources) to study archaic DNA, limiting opportunities to study the ancestral steps of evolutionary processes. Access to the past states through population isolates as in the case of the nine-spined stickleback is a clear asset. Whatever the explanations for the aberrances in populations' genetic and geographic identities are, they hint about the promise the isolated nine-spined stickleback lineages/populations carry for reconstruction of populations' past history.

Reconstructing a more detailed history of colonization in the Baltic Sea area is beyond the scope of this study, but our results still provide some insights into further investigations. With the current estimates of mutation rate, the cross-population coalescence rate analysis of the Gulf of Finland and North Sea populations indicates that they have remained isolated for the last 25,700 years (Figure S12b). If true, this means that there were nine-spined sticklebacks related to the current North Sea populations in the Baltic ice lake (12,600-10,300 BP; Lepparanta & Myrberg, 2009), evolving independently from their Atlantic source, and thus the Baltic Sea basin was originally inhabited by WL populations and only later colonized, and largely taken over, by fish with EL ancestry. This is opposite to our initial thinking that the Baltic Sea is of EL origin and has only rather recently been introgressed by WL gene flow. A similar hypothesis of recolonization of the Baltic Sea from a southern refugium was proposed for the Atlantic salmon (Saisa et al., 2005). In line with this, we do find one extra pulse of ancestry in the southern Baltic Sea (Table S3). However, limited by our sampling, the origin of the Baltic Sea population is left unresolved and the timing of admixture may still be inaccurate. Although we could not directly estimate the ancestry contributed from the putative refugia population, our analyses suggest that its contribution is either small or largely represented by the North Sea ancestry (Shikano, Shimada, et al., 2010; Teacher et al., 2011). With data from relic isolates in the Baltics, Poland or Western Russia, a more detailed and complicated history could possibly be pieced together.

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the results indicate a very complex colonization and admixture history of northern Europe by nine-spined sticklebacks. While this is a case study of one particular species, it carries a broader relevance in a number of distinct ways. First, while earlier phylogeographic studies of these populations suggested fairly simple and straightforward scenarios to explain the current patterns genetic affinities among the different populations (Guo et al., 2019; Shikano, Shimada, et al., 2010; Teacher et al., 2011), our results suggests far more complex history involving repeated admixture events. Since many species have colonized the Atlantic Sea through the Artic Sea (e.g., Laakkonen et al., 2015; Vainola, 2003; Vermeij, 1991), it seems possible (if not likely) that similar complexities in evolutionary histories underline genetic relationships among populations of many other taxa too. Second, while multiple streams of ancestry in contemporary populations are acknowledged in increasing number of studies (Flegontov et al., 2019; Moreno-Mayar et al., 2018; Posth et al., 2018; Raghavan et al., 2015; Reich et al., 2012; Shirsekar et al., 2021; Skoglund et al., 2015), ancestral populations may also have been admixed or nonpanmictic violating the assumptions underlying methods used to infer local adaptation, phylogenetic relationships among populations, as well as their divergence times. Hence, the most important lesson from this study is that when rampant admixture among multiple waves of colonization prevails, untangling the evolutionary histories of individual populations becomes extremely challenging and the underlying demographic models used to infer key population parameters (e.g., divergence time and admixture proportions) should be made with great caution (Momigliano et al., 2021).

### AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

J.M. started the project. X.F., J.M. and A.L. devised the research idea. X.F. performed the analyses with the help of A.L. X.F. and A.L. wrote the first draft and all authors participated in the writing of the final manuscript.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Victor Berger Pär Byström, Lasse Fast Jensen, Jacquelin De Faveri, Gabor Herczeg, Tuomas Leinonen, Scott McCairns, Andrew McColl, Heini Natri, Takahito Shikano and, Joost Raeymaekers for help in providing samples, and Miinastiina Issakainen, Sami Karja, Laura Häkkinen and Kirsi Kähkönen for help in the laboratory; Paolo Momigliano for help in moments anslyses. The advice and support from Antoine Fraimout, Baocheng Guo, Bohao Fang, Cui Wang, Paolo Momigliano, Pasi Rastas, Petri Kemppainen, Zitong Li, Mikko Kivikoski, Jarkko Salojärvi, Jack Beresford, Jacquelin De Faveri, Takahito Shikano, Simon Martin, and Martin Petr is gratefully acknowledged. Our research was supported by grants from the Academy Finland (# 129662, 134728 and 218343 to JM; # 322681 to AL), Helsinki Lifesciences Center (HiLife; to JM), China Scholarship Council (# 201608520032 to XF), and Finnish Cultural Foundation (#00210295 to XF). Computational resources provided by the CSC-IT Center for Science, Finland, are acknowledged with gratitude.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All the raw sequence data for this study can be accessed through European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under accession code PRJEB39599. The list of contigs included in the reference genome version 6b as well as the code and scripts used in the analyses are available at https://github.com/XueyunF/ nsp\_phylogeo. Other relevant data (e.g., filtered VCF files, input and output files) are available from the Zenodo Open Repository:https:// zenodo.org/record/6951309.

### ORCID

Xueyun Feng b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6705-9929 Juha Merilä b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9614-0072 Ari Löytynoja b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5389-6611

#### REFERENCES

- Aldenhoven, J. T., Miller, M. A., Corneli, P. S., & Shapiro, M. D. (2010). Phylogeography of ninespine sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*) in North America: Glacial refugia and the origins of adaptive traits. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(18), 4061–4076.
- Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., & Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research*, 19(9), 1655–1664.
- Andrén, T., Björck, S., Andrén, E., Conley, D., Zillén, L., & Anjar, J. (2011). The development of the Baltic Sea basin during the last 130ka. In J. Harrff, S. Bjorck, & P. Hoth (Eds.), *The Baltic Sea basin* (pp. 75–97). Springer.
- Bruneaux, M., Johnston, S. E., Herczeg, G., Merilä, J., Primmer, C. R., & Vasemagi, A. (2013). Molecular evolutionary and population genomic analysis of the nine-spined stickleback using a modified restriction-site-associated DNA tag approach. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(3), 565–582.
- Chan, K. O., Alexander, A. M., Grismer, L. L., Su, Y.-C., Grismer, J. L., Quah, E. S., & Brown, R. M. (2017). Species delimitation with gene flow: A methodological comparison and population genomics approach to elucidate cryptic species boundaries in Malaysian torrent frogs. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(20), 5435–5450.
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker, R. E., Lunter, G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, 27(15), 2156–2158.
- DeFaveri, J., Shikano, T., & Merilä, J. (2014). Geographic variation in age structure and longevity in the nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*). *PLoS One*, *9*(7), e102660.
- Fang, B., Merilä, J., Matschiner, M., & Momigliano, P. (2020). Estimating uncertainty in divergence times among three-spined stickleback clades using the multispecies coalescent. *Molecular Phylogenetics* and Evolution, 142, 106646.
- Flegontov, P., Altınışık, N. E., Changmai, P., Rohland, N., Mallick, S., Adamski, N., Bolnick, D. A., Broomandkhoshbacht, N., Candilio, F., Culleton, B. J., Flegontova, O., Friesen, T. M., Jeong, C., Harper, T. K., Keating, D., Kennett, D. J., Kim, A. M., Lamnidis, T. C., Lawson, A. M., ... Schiffels, S. (2019). Palaeo-Eskimo genetic ancestry and the peopling of Chukotka and North America. *Nature*, *570*(7760), 236–240.

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, C. A. (2016). What, if anything, are hybrids: Enduring truths and challenges associated with population structure and gene flow. *Evolutionary Applications*, 9(7), 909–923.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY – W

- Guo, B., Chain, F. J., Bornberg-Bauer, E., Leder, E. H., & Merilä, J. (2013). Genomic divergence between nine- and three-spined sticklebacks. BMC Genomics, 14(1), 1–11.
- Guo, B., Fang, B., Shikano, T., Momigliano, P., Wang, C., Kravchenko, A., & Merilä, J. (2019). A phylogenomic perspective on diversity, hybridization and evolutionary affinities in the stickleback genus Pungitius. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(17), 4046–4064.
- Haak, W., Lazaridis, I., Patterson, N., Rohland, N., Mallick, S., Llamas, B., Brandt, G., Nordenfelt, S., Harney, E., Stewardson, K., Fu, Q., Mittnik, A., Bánffy, E., Economou, C., Francken, M., Friederich, S., Pena, R. G., Hallgren, F., Khartanovich, V., ... Reich, D. (2015). Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe. *Nature*, *522*(7555), 207–211.
- Harney, E., Patterson, N., Reich, D., & Wakeley, J. (2021). Assessing the performance of qpAdm: A statistical tool for studying population admixture. *Genetics*, 217(4), iyaa045.
- Harrison, R. G., & Larson, E. L. (2014). Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species boundaries. *Journal of Heredity*, 105(S1), 795–809.
- Hedrick, P. W. (2013). Adaptive introgression in animals: Examples and comparison to new mutation and standing variation as sources of adaptive variation. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(18), 4606–4618.
- Hellenthal, G., Busby, G. B., Band, G., Wilson, J. F., Capelli, C., Falush, D., & Myers, S. (2014). A genetic atlas of human admixture history. *Science*, 343(6172), 747–751.
- Herczeg, G., Turtiainen, M., & Merilä, J. (2010). Morphological divergence of north-European nine-spined sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*): Signatures of parallel evolution. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 101(2), 403–416.
- Hey, J., & Nielsen, R. (2004). Multilocus methods for estimating population sizes, migration rates and divergence time, with applications to the divergence of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and *D. persimilis*. *Genetics*, 167(2), 747–760.
- Hudson, C. M., Lucek, K., Marques, D. A., Alexander, T. J., Moosmann, M., Spaak, P., Seehausen, O., & Matthews, B. (2021). Threespine stickleback in lake constance: The ecology and genomic substrate of a recent invasion. *Frontiers in ecology and evolution*, 8, 529.
- Jones, G. R. (2019). Divergence estimation in the presence of incomplete lineage sorting and migration. Systematic Biology, 68(1), 19–31.
- Jouganous, J., Long, W., Ragsdale, A. P., & Gravel, S. (2017). Inferring the joint demographic history of multiple populations: Beyond the diffusion approximation. *Genetics*, 206(3), 1549–1567.
- Karhunen, M., Ovaskainen, O., Herczeg, G., & Merilä, J. (2014). Bringing habitat information into statistical tests of local adaptation in quantitative traits: A case study of nine-spined sticklebacks. *Evolution*, 68(2), 559–568.
- Kemppainen, P., Li, Z., Rastas, P., Löytynoja, A., Fang, B., Yang, J., Guo, B., Shikano, T., & Merilä, J. (2021). Genetic population structure constrains local adaptation in sticklebacks. *Molecular Ecology*, 30(9), 1946–1961.
- Kivikoski, M., Rastas, P., Loytynoja, A., & Merilä, J. (2021). Automated improvement of stickleback reference genome assemblies with Lep-anchor software. *Molecular Ecology Resources.*, 21, 2166–2176.
- Kopelman, N. M., Stone, L., Gascuel, O., & Rosenberg, N. A. (2013). The behavior of admixed populations in neighbor-joining inference of population trees. In *Biocomputing 2013* (pp. 273–284). World Scientific.
- Laakkonen, H. M., Strelkov, P., Lajus, D. L., & Vainola, R. (2015). Introgressive hybridization between the Atlantic and Pacific

herrings (Clupea harengus and C. pallasii) in the north of Europe. Marine Biology, 162(1), 39-54.

- Lamichhaney, S., Han, F., Webster, M. T., Andersson, L., Grant, B. R., & Grant, P. R. (2018). Rapid hybrid speciation in Darwin's finches. *Science*, 359(6372), 224–228.
- Lange, J. D. (2021). Natural selection in Drosophila melanogaster: A new detection method, impact on demographic inference, and short-term evolution [unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Wisconsin-Madison].
- Lawson, D. J., Van Dorp, L., & Falush, D. (2018). A tutorial on how not to over-interpret STRUCTURE and ADMIXTURE bar plots. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1–11.
- Lazaridis, I., Mittnik, A., Patterson, N., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Pfrengle, S., Furtwängler, A., Peltzer, A., Posth, C., Vasilakis, A., McGeorge, P., Konsolaki-Yannopoulou, E., Korres, G., Martlew, H., Michalodimitrakis, M., Özsait, M., Özsait, N., Papathanasiou, A., Richards, M., ... Stamatoyannopoulos, G. (2017). Genetic origins of the Minoans and Mycenaeans. *Nature*, 548(7666), 214–218.
- Lepparanta, M., & Myrberg, K. (2009). *Physical oceanography of the Baltic Sea*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv*:1303.3997.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16), 2078–2079.
- Lipson, M. (2020). Applying f4-statistics and admixture graphs: Theory and examples. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 20(6), 1658–1667.
- Long, C., & Kubatko, L. (2018). The effect of gene flow on coalescentbased species-tree inference. Systematic Biology, 67(5), 770–785.
- Makinen, H., Cano, J. M., & Merilä, J. (2006). Genetic relationships among marine and freshwater populations of the european three-spined stickleback (gasterosteus aculeatus) revealed by microsatellites. *Molecular Ecology*, 15(6), 1519–1534.
- Malaspinas, A.-S., Westaway, M. C., Muller, C., Sousa, V. C., Lao, O., Alves, I., Bergström, A., Athanasiadis, G., Cheng, J. Y., Crawford, J. E., Heupink, T. H., Macholdt, E., Peischl, S., Rasmussen, S., Schiffels, S., Subramanian, S., Wright, J. L., Albrechtsen, A., Barbieri, C., ... Willerslev, E. (2016). A genomic history of aboriginal Australia. *Nature*, 538(7624), 207-214.
- Malinsky, M., Matschiner, M., & Svardal, H. (2021). Dsuite-fast Dstatistics and related admixture evidence from VCF files. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 21(2), 584–595.
- Marques, D. A., Lucek, K., Sousa, V. C., Excoffier, L., & Seehausen, O. (2019). Admixture between old lineages facilitated contemporary ecological speciation in lake constance stickleback. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1–14.
- Marques, D. A., Meier, J. I., & Seehausen, O. (2019). A combinatorial view on speciation and adaptive radiation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(6), 531–544.
- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella, K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., & DePristo, M. (2010). The genome analysis toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, 20(9), 1297–1303.
- Mirarab, S., Reaz, R., Bayzid, M. S., Zimmermann, T., Swenson, M. S., & Warnow, T. (2014). ASTRAL: Genome-scale coalescent-based species tree estimation. *Bioinformatics*, 30(17), i541–i548.
- Momigliano, P., Florin, A.-B., & Merilä, J. (2021). Biases in demographic modeling affect our understanding of recent divergence. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 38(7), 2967–2985.
- Moran, B. M., Payne, C., Langdon, Q., Powell, D. L., Brandvain, Y., & Schumer, M. (2021). The genomic consequences of hybridization. *Elife*, 10, e69016.

- Moreno-Mayar, J. V., Vinner, L., de Barros Damgaard, P., De La Fuente, C., Chan, J., Spence, J. P., Allentoft, M. E., Vimala, T., Racimo, F., Pinotti, T., Rasmussen, S., Margaryan, A., Iraeta Orbegozo, M., Mylopotamitaki, D., Wooller, M., Bataille, C., Becerra-Valdivia, L., Chivall, D., Comeskey, D., ... Willerslev, E. (2018). Early human dispersals within the Americas. *Science*, *362*(6419), eaav2621. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aav2621
- Natri, H. M., Merilä, J., & Shikano, T. (2019). The evolution of sex determination associated with a chromosomal inversion. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1–13.
- Orti, G., Bell, M. A., Reimchen, T. E., & Meyer, A. (1994). Global survey of mitochondrial dna sequences in the threespine stickleback: Evidence for recent migrations. *Evolution*, 48(3), 608–622.
- Oziolor, E. M., Reid, N. M., Yair, S., Lee, K. M., Guberman VerPloeg, S., Bruns, P. C., Shaw, J. R., Whitehead, A., & Matson, C. W. (2019). Adaptive introgression enables evolutionary rescue from extreme environmental pollution. *Science*, 364(6439), 455–457.
- Patterson, N., Moorjani, P., Luo, Y., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Zhan, Y., Genschoreck, T., Webster, T., & Reich, D. (2012). Ancient admixture in human history. *Genetics*, 192(3), 1065–1093.
- Peter, B. M. (2016). Admixture, population structure, and F-statistics. Genetics, 202(4), 1485–1501.
- Petr, M., Paabo, S., Kelso, J., & Vernot, B. (2019). Limits of longterm selection against Neandertal introgression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 116(5), 1639–1644.
- Pinho, C., & Hey, J. (2010). Divergence with gene flow: Models and data. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 41, 215-230.
- Posth, C., Nakatsuka, N., Lazaridis, I., Skoglund, P., Mallick, S., Lamnidis, T. C., Rohland, N., Nägele, K., Adamski, N., Bertolini, E., Broomandkhoshbacht, N., Cooper, A., Culleton, B. J., Ferraz, T., Ferry, M., Furtwängler, A., Haak, W., Harkins, K., Harper, T. K., ... Reich, D. (2018). Reconstructing the deep population history of central and South America. *Cell*, 175(5), 1185–1197.
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P. I., Daly, M. J., & Sham, P. C. (2007). PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 81(3), 559–575.
- Racimo, F., Sankararaman, S., Nielsen, R., & Huerta-Sanchez, E. (2015). Evidence for archaic adaptive introgression in humans. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 16(6), 359–371.
- Raghavan, M., Steinrucken, M., Harris, K., Schiffels, S., Rasmussen, S., DeGiorgio, M., Albrechtsen, A., Valdiosera, C., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Malaspinas, A. S., Eriksson, A., Moltke, I., Metspalu, M., Homburger, J. R., Wall, J., Cornejo, O. E., Moreno-Mayar, J. V., Korneliussen, T. S., Pierre, T., ... Willerslev, E. (2015). Genomic evidence for the Pleistocene and recent population history of native Americans. *Science*, 349, aab3884.
- Reich, D., Patterson, N., Campbell, D., Tandon, A., Mazieres, S., Ray, N., Parra, M. V., Rojas, W., Duque, C., Mesa, N., García, L. F., Triana, O., Blair, S., Maestre, A., Dib, J. C., Bravi, C. M., Bailliet, G., Corach, D., Hünemeier, T., ... Ruiz-Linares, A. (2012). Reconstructing native American population history. *Nature*, 488(7411), 370-374.
- Reich, D., Thangaraj, K., Patterson, N., Price, A. L., & Singh, L. (2009). Reconstructing indian population history. *Nature*, 461(7263), 489-494.
- Reid, K., Bell, M. A., & Veeramah, K. R. (2021). Threespine stickleback: A model system for evolutionary genomics. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics, 22, 357–383.
- Rheindt, F. E., & Edwards, S. V. (2011). Genetic introgression: An integral but neglected component of speciation in birds. *The Auk*, 128(4), 620–632.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY – W

- Rius, M., & Darling, J. A. (2014). How important is intraspecific genetic admixture to the success of colonising populations? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(4), 233–242.
- Saisa, M., Koljonen, M.-L., Gross, R., Nilsson, J., Tahtinen, J., Koskiniemi, J., & Vasemagi, A. (2005). Population genetic structure and postglacial colonization of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in the Baltic Sea area based on microsatellite DNA variation. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 62(8), 1887–1904.
- Sambrook, J., & Russell, D. W. (2006). Purification of nucleic acids by extraction with phenol: Chloroform. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols, 2006(1), pdb-prot4455.
- Savolainen, O., Lascoux, M., & Merilä, J. (2013). Ecological genomics of local adaptation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 14(11), 807–820.
- Scerri, E. M., Thomas, M. G., Manica, A., Gunz, P., Stock, J. T., Stringer, C., Grove, M., Groucutt, H. S., Timmermann, A., Rightmire, G. P., d'Errico, F., Tryon, C. A., Drake, N. A., Brooks, A. S., Dennell, R. W., Durbin, R., Henn, B. M., Lee-Thorp, J., de Menocal, P., ... Chikhi, L. (2018). Did our species evolve in subdivided populations across Africa, and why does it matter? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 33(8), 582–594.
- Schenekar, T., Lerceteau-Kohler, E., & Weiss, S. (2014). Fine-scale phylogeographic contact zone in austrian brown trout salmo trutta reveals multiple waves of post-glacial colonization and a pre-dominance of natural versus anthropogenic admixture. *Conservation Genetics*, 15(3), 561–572.
- Schiffels, S., & Durbin, R. (2014). Inferring human population size and separation history from multiple genome sequences. *Nature Genetics*, 46(8), 919–925.
- Schiffels, S., & Wang, K. (2020). MSMC and MSMC2: The multiple sequentially Markovian coalescent. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 2090, 147–166.
- Segawa, T., Yonezawa, T., Mori, H., Akiyoshi, A., Allentoft, M. E., Kohno, A., Tokanai, F., Willerslev, E., Kohno, N., & Nishihara, H. (2021). Ancient dna reveals multiple origins and migration waves of extinct japanese brown bear lineages. *Royal Society Open Science*, 8(8), 210518.
- Shikano, T., Ramadevi, J., & Merilä, J. (2010). Identification of local- and habitat-dependent selection: Scanning functionally important genes in nine-spined sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*). *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 27(12), 2775–2789.
- Shikano, T., Shimada, Y., Herczeg, G., & Merilä, J. (2010). History vs. habitat type: Explaining the genetic structure of European nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(6), 1147–1161.
- Shirsekar, G., Devos, J., Latorre, S. M., Blaha, A., Dias, M. Q, Hernando, A. G., Lundberg, D. S., Burbano, H. A., Fenster, C. B., & Weigel, D. (2021). Multiple Sources of introduction of North American Arabidopsis thaliana from across Eurasia. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 38(12), 15328–5344.
- Skoglund, P., Mallick, S., Bortolini, M. C., Chennagiri, N., Hünemeier, T., Petzl-Erler, M. L., Salzano, F. M., Patterson, N., & Reich, D. (2015). Genetic evidence for two founding populations of the Americas. *Nature*, 525(7567), 104–108.
- Sousa, V., & Hey, J. (2013). Understanding the origin of species with genome-scale data: Modelling gene flow. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 14(6), 404–414.

- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, 30(9), 1312–1313.
- Suarez-Gonzalez, A., Lexer, C., & Cronk, Q. C. (2018). Adaptive introgression: A plant perspective. *Biology Letters*, 14(3), 20170688.
- Supple, M. A., Papa, R., Hines, H. M., McMillan, W. O., & Counterman, B. A. (2015). Divergence with gene flow across a speciation continuum of Heliconius butterflies. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 15(1), 1–12.
- Teacher, A. G., Shikano, T., Karjalainen, M. E., & Merilä, J. (2011). Phylogeography and genetic structuring of European nine-spined sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*)—Mitochondrial DNA evidence. *PLoS One*, 6(5), e19476.
- Theunert, C., & Slatkin, M. (2017). Distinguishing recent admixture from ancestral population structure. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *9*(3), 427–437.
- Vainola, R. (2003). Repeated trans-Arctic invasions in littoral bivalves: Molecular zoogeography of the Macoma balthica complex. Marine Biology, 143(5), 935–946.
- Varadharajan, S., Rastas, P., Löytynoja, A., Matschiner, M., Calboli, F. C., Guo, B., Nederbragt, A. J., Jakobsen, K. S., & Merilä, J. (2019). A high-quality assembly of the nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) genome. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 11(11), 3291–3308.
- Vermeij, G. J. (1991). Anatomy of an invasion: The trans-Arctic interchange. Paleobiology, 17(3), 281–307.
- Vitti, J. J., Grossman, S. R., & Sabeti, P. C. (2013). Detecting natural selection in genomic data. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 47, 97–120.
- Walsh, C. A., Momigliano, P., Boussarie, G., Robbins, W. D., Bonnin, L., Fauvelot, C., Kiszka, J. J., Mouillot, D., Vigliola, L., & Manel, S. (2022). Genomic insights into the historical and contemporary demographics of the grey reef shark. *Heredity*, 128(4), 225–235.
- Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., Kravchenko, A. Y., Merilä, J., & Guo, B. (2021). Phylogenomics of northeast asian pungitius sticklebacks. *Diversity and Distributions*, 1–12.
- Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Li, Z., Guo, B., & Merilä, J. (2020). Population transcriptomics reveals weak parallel genetic basis in repeated marine and freshwater divergence in nine-spined sticklebacks. *Molecular Ecology*, 29(9), 1642–1656.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Feng, X., Merilä, J., & Löytynoja, A. (2022). Complex population history affects admixture analyses in nine-spined sticklebacks. *Molecular Ecology*, 31, 5386–5401. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16651</u>