

Efficacy and safety of Sangbaipi Decoction in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

A protocol for systematic review and meta analysis

Jiazhou Li, MS^a, Junlin Jiang, MS^a, Chunxiang Jing, MS^{a,*}, Wenjiang Zheng, MD^b, Huashan Pan, MS^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: Chinese medicine Sangbaipi decoction is extensively applied to the therapy of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) in China. However, owing to the low quality, small sample size, and methodological heterogeneity of these studies, this conclusion is not convincing. Consequently, it is necessary to systematically evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of Sangbaipi Decoction in the treatment of AECOPD patients, and provide high-quality evidence for its clinical application.

Methods: We will follow the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis (PRISMA) for reporting the results of the review in this study. We will utilize the Review Manage software V5.3.0 (The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014, Copenhagen, Denmark) to assess the risk of bias and visualize the results. We will use Stata software (version 15.0, StataCorp, College Station, TX) to perform the meta-analysis.

Ethics and dissemination: This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol of Sangbaipi decoction on AECOPD, participants were not recruited and data were not collected from participants, so ethical ratification is not required.

Results: This study will provide high-quality synthesis of the effectiveness and safety of Sangbaipi decoction for AECOPD. Upon completion, the results will be submitted to a peer-reviewed journal.

Conclusion: The efficacy and safety assessment of Sangbaipi decoction for AECOPD will be supported by this protocol.

Registration number: PROSPERO CRD 42019138405.

Abbreviations: AECOPD = acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CI = confidence interval, GRADE = Grades of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation, MD = mean difference, PRISMA = preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses, RCT = randomized controlled trial, SMD = standardized mean difference.

Keywords: meta-analysis, protocol, systematic review, acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Sangbaipi decoction

1. Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) describes a predominantly smoking-induced small airway and/or emphysematous disease associated with airflow limitation.^[1] Considered progressive, irreversible, and responsible for substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide, the number of patients with COPD in China has reached 100 million.^[2] Acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) is defined as

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

^a School of sport and health of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, ^b The First Clinical Medical School of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, ^c Department of Science and Technology of Guangdong Food and Drug Vocational College, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China.

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Received: 24 September 2020 / Accepted: 25 September 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000022917

This study was supported by the research project of Guangdong Food and Drug Vocational College: Intelligent Health Promotion Service Center of Exercise intervention for chronic diseases (No. 2020QXSG08), the research project of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine: Study on exercise prescription formulation and implementation effect of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients under the integration of medical and sport methods (No. QNYC20191019), and the Student Learning Team Incubation Project of Innovation Academy from The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (No.2018XXTD003).

^{*} Correspondence: Huashan Pan, Office Building 412, Guangdong Food and Drug Vocational College, No. 321, Longdong North Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China (e-mail: panhuashan808@163.com); Chunxiang Jing, Nursing building 231, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, No. 232, Outer Ring East Road, Guangzhou University City, Panyu District, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China (e-mail: 361645443@qq.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Li J, Jiang J, Jing C, Zheng W, Pan H. Efficacy and safety of Sangbaipi Decoction in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a protocol for systematic review and meta analysis. Medicine 2020;99:44(e22917).

an acute worsening of respiratory symptoms that result in additional therapy, which is a serious event in the management of COPD. Therefore, AECOPD remains the subject of vigorous study.

At present, the conventional Western medicine treatment options for AECOPD include anti-infection, anti-inflammatory and anti-asthmatic, bronchial expansion, and mechanical ventilation therapy.^[3] The application of glucocorticoids can benefit patients, but many studies have shown that glucocorticoids are not effective in the treatment of COPD and the development of airway inflammation, which also cannot reverse the decline in lung function. There is a phenomenon of glucocorticoid insensitivity in AECOPD.^[4] Therefore, the clinical efficacy of AECOPD needs to be improved.

In recent years, clinical studies have also shown that Sangbaipi Decoction combined with conventional Western medicine treatment of AECOPD can improve the clinical efficacy,^[5] but there are some limitations, such as single reports, scattered research, small sample size. With the development of evidencebased medicine, it has opened up new research fields in the clinical efficacy evaluation of Chinese medicine, produced new research ideas and methods, and promoted the progress of clinical research in Chinese medicine.^[6,7] Therefore, to systematically review the efficacy and safety of Sangbaipi decoction in treating AECOPD may provide higher quality evidence for further clinical application.

2. Methods

2.1. Protocol registration

The preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis (PRISMA) for reporting the results of the review will be followed by this research.^[8] We have registered this plan in the International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROS-PERO) and the number is CRD 42019138405. If there are any adjustments throughout the study, we will fix and update the details in the final report.

2.2. Eligibility criteria

We will use the PICOS (participant-intervention-comparativeoutcome-study design) framework for the eligibility criteria of our review as Table 1.

2.3. Search strategy

Table 1

We will search each database from the built-in until August 2020. The English literature searches PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and Web of Science, while the Chinese literature comes from CNKI, SinoMed, VIP, and Wangfang database. What is more, targeted grey literature searches will be conducted against the Clinical trials.gov and the international Clinical trial registration platform retrieval portal to identify ongoing and completed trials. In all database searches, there will be no restrictions on languages. However, once the search has been conducted, papers that are not in English or Chinese will be excluded. The search strategy of PubMed is as Table 2:

2.4. Study selection and data extraction

We will manage the electronic citations downloaded from 8 databases, then they will be imported into EndNote X7.0. Once duplicates have been removed, 2 reviewers will retrieve all the literature independently. The reviewers will screen titles and abstracts, excluding nonrelevant papers according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria. If there is any disagreement in the process of document inclusion, it will be decided through discussion. We will use Microsoft Excel 2019 to collect relevant information and extract data from it. The extracted information will be classified into 5 parts as Table 3. The screening process will be presented with reference to the PRISMA statement as Figure 1.

2.5. Quality assessment/risk of bias assessment

All the included studies will be evaluated in accordance with the Risk of Bias Tool of guidelines of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of interventions.^[9] Two review authors will evaluate the original design independently. Bias risk through 7 assessment trials: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias), and other bias. Each item is classified as "Low risk", "High risk" or "Unclear risk", and the percentages for each category in each source of bias analyzed will be described by RveMan 5.3.0 software and the results interpreted taking the risk of bias into account. The disagreement of bias risk will be resolved through further discussion with a third independent reviewer. Sensitivity analysis will also be conducted to exclude the studies reporting the high risk of bias in any domain analyzed. We will future assess the certainty of the evidence of the primary outcome through the "grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation" (GRADE) system.^[10] Based on 5 areas (bias, indirection, inconsistency, imprecision, and risk of publication bias), the quality of evidence is divided into one of 4 levels: high, medium, low, and very low. The GRADE profiler 3.2 will be employed for analysis.

The eligibility criteria of our review.		
Items	description	
Participants	The patients who met the diagnostic criteria of AECOPD proposed by the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), regardless of age, gender, race or nationality.	
Interventions	Sangbaipi Decoction + conventional treatment.	
Comparisons	Conventional treatment including oxygen absorption, spasm lysis, anti-asthma, anti-infection, anti-inflammatory, and nutritional support.	
Outcomes	Clinical efficacy, FEV1, FEV1%, FVC, FEV1/FVC, PaO2, and PaCO2.	
Study design	Randomized controlled trials of Sangbaipi Decoction in the treatment of AECOPD.	

Table 2

Search strategy for the PubMed database.		
Number	Search terms	
1	#1 Search ("Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive" [MeSH]) OR (("COPD" or "Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease" or "COAD" or "Chronic Obstructive Airway Disease" or "Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease" or "Airflow Obstruction, Chronic" or "Airflow Obstructions, Chronic" or "Chronic Airflow	
	Obstructions" or "Chronic Airflow Obstruction"))	
2	#2 Search ("Medicine, Chinese Traditional" [MeSH]) OR (("Traditional Chinese Medicine" or "Chung I Hsueh" or "Hsueh, ChungI" or "Traditional Medicine, Chinese" or "Zhong Yi Xue" or "Chinese Traditional Medicine" or "Chinese Medicine, Traditional"))	
3	#3 Search ("sangbaipi")	
4	#4 #1 AND #2 AND #3	

2.6. Statistical analysis

We will use Stata 15 software (version 15.0, Stata Corp, College Station, TX) to complete the meta-analysis. Specifically as follows: The confidence interval (CI) for continuous and dichotomous variables will be set to 95%. For dichotomous variables, we will apply the odds ratio (OR) to analyze. For continuous data, the mean difference (MD) or standardized MD (SMD) with 95% CI will be estimated. For continuous variables with the same measurement unit and little difference in means, we will use the WMD, and for continuous variables with different measurement units and large differences in means, we will use the SMD. Heterogeneity analysis will be calculated based on the X^2 test, and the degree of heterogeneity will be determined by the I^2 values ($I^2 > 50\%$ or not) or P value (P < .05 or not).^[11] If $I^2 <$ 50% and P > .05, the meta-analysis will be performed using the Mantel–Haenszel fixed-model. If $I^2 \ge 50\%$ and P < .05, we will use random-effects models for the meta-analysis. We will use subgroup, meta regression analyses, and sensitivity analyses to explore the sources of heterogeneity.^[12] If the source of heterogeneity cannot be known, the synthetic analysis will be abandoned and descriptive analysis will be adopted. Descriptive statistical analysis will be performed on adverse reactions. For indicators with a number of studies ≥ 10 , we will draw a funnel chart to determine whether the included studies have publication bias,^[13] and use Harbord weighted linear regression to quantitatively test the publication bias. When P < .05, it is considered that there is a significant publication bias.

2.7. Ethics and dissemination

In consideration of the systematic review of this protocol, ethical ratification is not required. In this study, participants were not recruited and data were not collected from participants. The review will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications.

3. Discussion

COPD is a common, preventable and treatable disease that is characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and airflow limitation that is due to airway and/or alveolar abnormalities usually caused by significant exposure to noxious particles or gases. The chronic airflow limitation that is characteristic of COPD is caused by a mixture of small airways disease and parenchymal destruction, the relative contributions of which vary from person to person.^[14] Sangbaipi decoction is extensively applied to the therapy of AECOPD in China. However, owing to the low quality, small sample size, and methodological heterogeneity of these studies, this conclusion is not convincing. Consequently, it is necessary to systematically evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of Sangbaipi Decoction in the treatment of AECOPD patients, and provide high-quality evidence for its clinical application.

Sangbaipi decoction is composed of Mori Cortex (Sangbaipi), Arum Ternatum Thunb (Banxia), Perillae Fructus (Zisuzi), Amygdalus Communis Vas (Kuxingren), Fritillariae Thunbrgii Bulbus (Zhebeimu), Scutellariae Radix (Huangqin), Coptidis Rhizoma (Huanglian), and Gardeniae Fructus (Zhizi), and has the effect of clearing away heat and reducing phlegm. Pharmacological researches show that Sangbaipi has antiinflammatory effects^[15] and immunomodulating activity,^[16] Banxia has protective effects against allergic airway inflammation,^[17] its treatment protected the airway from ICS withdrawalinduced mucus hypersecretion and airway inflammation by inhibiting ERK activation,^[18] Zisuzi has anti-inflammatory and immune-regulating pharmacological effects.^[19] Huangqin possesses anti-inflammatory functions by inhibiting hyperpermeability, expression of CAMs, and adhesion and migration of leukocytes.^[20] Evidence of the efficacy and safety of Sangbaipi decoction for AECOPD will be provided by this study, it will be able to assist clinicians in making Sangbaipi decoction-related decisions and will be beneficial to patients with AECOPD in the

Table 3		
Basic information of included studies.		
Number	extracted information	
1	Publication information: publication year, first author, and journal	
2	Details of intervention and control therapy: dosages, drugs, treatment course, and follow-up	
3	General characteristics of patients: baseline information, age, gender, sample size, eligibility criteria, and numbers of dropouts	
4	Details of outcomes: clinical efficacy, FEV1, FEV1%, FVC, FEV1/FVC, PaO2, and PaCO2	
5	Adverse events	



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection process.

world. The design of this protocol conforms to the guideline of Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015.^[21] After completion, the results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be submitted to a peer-reviewed journal.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jiazhou Li, Huashan Pan. Data curation: Wenjiang Zheng. Funding acquisition: Chunxiang Jing, Huashan Pan.

Investigation: Wenjiang Zheng.

Methodology: Jiazhou Li, Junlin Jiang.

- Project administration: Huashan Pan.
- Software: Jiazhou Li, Junlin Jiang.

Supervision: Chunxiang Jing.

- Validation: Jiazhou Li, Junlin Jiang.
- Writing original draft: Jiazhou Li, Junlin Jiang.
- Writing review & editing: Huashan Pan.

References

 Vogelmeier CF, Criner GJ, Martinez FJ, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease 2017 report: gold executive summary. Eur Respir J 2017;49: doi: 10.1183/13993003.00214-2017.

- [2] Wang C, Xu J, Yang L, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in China (the China Pulmonary Health [CPH] study): a national cross-sectional study. Lancet 2018;391:1706–17.
- [3] Cai BQ, Cai SX, Chen RC, et al. Expert consensus on acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the People's Republic of China. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2014;9:381–95.
- [4] Adcock IM, Marwick J, Casolari P, et al. Mechanisms of corticosteroid resistance in severe asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Curr Pharm Des 2010;16:3554–73.
- [5] Liu S, Chen J, Zuo J, et al. Comparative effectiveness of six Chinese herb formulas for acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMC Complement Altern Med 2019;19:226.
- [6] Xin Y, Dai YY, Zhang XW, et al. A brief discussion on evidence-based clinical research of traditional Chinese medicine. Ann Palliat Med 2020; doi: 10.21037/apm-20-697.
- [7] Zhang JH, Li YP, Zhang BL. Evidence-based Chinese medicinetheory and practice. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 2018;43:1–7.
- [8] Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2009;339: b2700.
- [9] Minozzi S, Cinquini M, Gianola S, et al. The revised Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) showed low interrater reliability and challenges in its application. J Clin Epidemiol 2020;126:37–44.
- [10] Atkins D, Eccles M, Flottorp S, et al. Systems for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations I: critical appraisal of existing approaches The GRADE Working Group. BMC Health Serv Res 2004;4:38.
- [11] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–60.

- [12] Ioannidis JP. Interpretation of tests of heterogeneity and bias in metaanalysis. J Eval Clin Pract 2008;14:951–7.
- [13] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.
- [14] Allinson JP, Wedzicha JA. Update in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease 2016. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;196: 414–24.
- [15] Seo CS, Lim HS, Jeong SJ, et al. HPLC-PDA analysis and antiinflammatory effects of Mori Cortex Radicis. Nat Prod Commun 2013;8:1443-6.
- [16] Kim HM, Han SB, Lee KH, et al. Immunomodulating activity of a polysaccharide isolated from mori cortex radicis. Arch Pharm Res 2000;23:240–2.
- [17] Lee MY, Shin IS, Jeon WY, et al. Pinellia ternata Breitenbach attenuates ovalbumin-induced allergic airway inflammation and mucus secretion in

a murine model of asthma. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol 2013; 35:410-8.

- [18] Du W, Su J, Ye D, et al. Pinellia ternata attenuates mucus secretion and airway inflammation after inhaled corticosteroid withdrawal in COPD rats. Am J Chin Med 2016;44:1027–41.
- [19] Yim YK, Lee H, Hong KE, et al. Anti-inflammatory and immuneregulatory effects of subcutaneous perillae fructus extract injections on OVA-induced asthma in mice. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2010;7:79–86.
- [20] Lee W, Ku SK, Bae JS. Anti-inflammatory effects of Baicalin, Baicalein, and Wogonin in vitro and in vivo. Inflammation 2015; 38:110-25.
- [21] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.