Comparison of the Effectiveness of Onion Extract, Topical Steroid, and Petrolatum Emollient in Cosmetic Appearance of Upper Blepharoplasty Scar

Naser Owji¹, Mohammad Reza Khalili¹, Behzad Khademi¹, Mohammad Shirvani¹, Maryam Sadat Sadati²

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Poostchi Ophthalmology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, ²Department of Dermatology, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract

Purpose: To compare the effectiveness of onion extract, topical steroid, and petrolatum emollient in the prevention of scars in cases who underwent bilateral upper eyelid blepharoplasty.

Methods: A prospective, interventional, comparative, double-blinded case series was designed on cases who underwent upper lid blepharoplasty. After suture removal (1 week following the surgery), the eyelids of participants were randomly allocated into the three groups: The first group used onion extract on the right eyelids (n = 18), and the second group used topical steroid on the right eyelids (n = 19). In the third group, the control group, petrolatum emollient was used on the left eyelids (n = 37) of the first and second group's cases. They used drugs for 2 months and were evaluated by the Manchester Scar Scale (MSS) objectively by two graders who were blinded to the type of medication. MSS evaluates five different characteristics of the scar in addition to the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). These characteristics include color, distortion, contour, texture, and transparency. VAS scores the overall scar appearance which ranged among 0-10. The sum of the scores for the five different parameters, and VAS was calculated, analyzed, and compared among the groups.

Results: A total of 37 cases (74 eyelids) who underwent bilateral upper blepharoplasty were included. The mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of age was 51.94 \pm 9.26 years, 49.40 \pm 9.37 years, and 47.00 \pm 9.06 years in the onion extract, topical steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively (P = 0.275). There were no statistically significant differences in the mean \pm SD of the sum of the MSS scores among the three groups (P=0.924) or between the onion extract and topical steroid groups (P=0.951). Furthermore, the color, distortion, contour, texture, transparency, and VAS scores were not statistically significantly different among the three groups or between the onion extract and topical steroid groups (P>0.05).

Conclusion: There were no significant differences among these three groups of drugs regarding the appearance of the upper blepharoplasty scar.

Keywords: Blepharoplasty scar, Onion extract, Petrolatum emollient, Topical steroid

Address for correspondence: Behzad Khademi, Poostchi Ophthalmology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. E-mail: khademi.behzad@gmail.com Submitted: 11-Mar-2019; Revised: 17-Apr-2020; Accepted: 18-Apr-2020; Published: 12-Dec-2020

INTRODUCTION

Blepharoplasty is among the most common types of esthetic surgeries worldwide.¹ A significant problem following facial and eyelid cosmetic surgery is scar formation. It can be discolored, pigmented, elevated, erythematous, and also cosmetically unacceptable.²⁻⁴ Since the scars at the incision

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jcurrophthalmol.org			
	DOI: 10.4103/JOCO.JOCO_39_20			

sites may produce functional and esthetic problems and cause psychological stress in the patients, scar prevention is of great concern for every surgeon.³⁻⁵ There is an increasing trend in the use of herbal-extracted, silicon-based, and growth factor-containing products for post-surgical scar

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Owji N, Khalili MR, Khademi B, Shirvani M, Sadati MS. Comparison of the effectiveness of onion extract, topical steroid, and petrolatum emollient in cosmetic appearance of upper blepharoplasty scar. J Curr Ophthalmol 2020;32:408-13.

prevention.⁶⁻⁸ Although corticosteroids are used worldwide for the postoperative management of periorbital and eyelid incisions, the standard treatment of surgical eyelid wounds is yet to be determined.5 Investigations on the efficacy of topical drugs in the prevention and management of the upper blepharoplasty scars are scarce.⁵⁻⁷ Andrew et al. performed a comparative study to compare the efficacy of petrolatum emollient with dexamethasone-tobramycin ointment in patients who had undergone skin cancer surgery. Their results demonstrated similar effects in both groups.⁵ Murdock et al. in 2016 performed a study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of growth-factor and cytokine-containing topical cream for the upper blepharoplasty scar (cream on one eyelid for 12 weeks, and no treatment on the other eyelid).⁶ Furthermore, Kalasho et al. performed a retrospective study to assess the effectiveness of silicon-based product on the post-blepharoplasty hypertrophic scar.⁷ We aimed to compare the effectiveness of one of the best-selling products - onion extract - with one of the popular and frequently used medications - topical steroid - and petrolatum emollient for scar prevention.

METHODS

We designed a prospective, interventional, comparative, double-blinded case series on patients who underwent bilateral upper blepharoplasty. This study was performed on middle-aged Caucasians with Fitzpatrick skin classification type 3. The exclusion criteria were: (1) diseases that impair wound healing, such as diabetes mellitus and connective tissue disorders, (2) previous upper eyelid surgery or trauma, (3) history of dermatology disorders, (4) significant postoperative hematoma, and (5) patients who used retinoid within 3 months of the surgery. The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as reflected in the approval by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (ethics committee approval number #92-01-01-5783). We aimed to compare the difference between two main ingredients in over-the-counter (OTC) products. Two topical OTC products were selected: corticosteroid (hydrocortisone 1% eye ointment, Sina Darou, Karaj, Iran) and onion extract base gel (Contractubex, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Frankfurt, Germany). These two OTC products were compared to the petrolatum emollient as the control group. The sample size was at least 18 in each group (r = 3) by considering error type 1 = 0.05, power = 0.90, and *Effect Size* $\mu_1 = \frac{\Delta}{\sigma} = 1.25$ We divided our eyelids into the three groups. The first group used onion extract on the right eyelids (n = 18), and the second group used topical steroid on the right eyelids (n = 19). In the third group, the control group, petrolatum emollient was used on the left eyelids (n = 37) of the first and second groups' cases. The upper blepharoplasty was done under standby anesthesia with intravenous sedation by an oculoplastic surgeon (N.O.). Preoperative skin marking was performed while the patient was sitting upright. Furthermore, the location of fat was marked preoperatively. Then, superficial subcutaneous injection of local anesthetic agent (lidocaine 2% with 1/100,000 epinephrine) was done using a 27 G needle. Skin incision was made with a No. 15 blade. The medial and central fat components through small incisions in the septum were resected using the radiofrequency monopolar tip (only the fats that came easily into the incision were excised). Skin incisions were closed with continuous 6/0 nylon sutures. Sutures were removed on the 7th postoperative day. Patients were instructed to apply cold compress for 48 h, and topical erythromycin eye ointment twice daily till suture removal. After removal of the suture, each participant was given one opaque drug canister for the right eye and another one for the left eye, and instructed to apply on the eyelid two times a day for 2 months, concurrently with wound line massage. Both of the observers (B.Kh. and M.R.Kh.) were blinded to the type of medication. Topical medications were given to the patients in opaque canisters by a third ophthalmologist (M.Sh.). The patients and the observers were blinded to the types of drugs given. All patients were evaluated 1 week postoperatively and then in the 4th postoperative week for assessing the side effects and for refilling the drugs, if required. All patients were evaluated objectively in the 2nd postoperative month. For objective assessment of the scar, all patients were evaluated by the two observers using the Manchester Scar Scale (MSS).9 MSS evaluates five different characteristics of the scar, in addition to the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). These characteristics include color (score 1–4), distortion (score 1-4), contour (score 1-4), texture (score 1-4), and transparency (score 1-2). In each parameter, higher scores indicated the worst scar. VAS scores the overall scar appearance ranging from 0 to 10, with the highest number showing the worst appearance. The sum of the scores for the five different parameters and VAS were calculated and compared.

The results were statistically described as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) in continuous variables. Furthermore, frequency and percentage of categorical variables were reported. The normality of continuous variables was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and parametric tests were used to perform the statistical analysis. The inter-rater agreement was calculated by computing the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). ICC values higher than 0.75 were considered good, and those above 0.90 were regarded as excellent.¹⁰ In addition, the analysis of variance was carried out to compare the scores (according to the means of the scores of two observers) among the three groups. The level of significance for statistical tests was 0.05. SPSS software version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analysis.

RESULTS

In total, 74 eyelids from 37 age- and gender-matched cases (19 females and 18 males) were enrolled in this study, met the inclusion criteria, and completed the study. The mean \pm SD of age was 51.94 \pm 9.26 years (range, 35–69) in the onion extract group, 49.40 \pm 9.37 years (range, 28–69)

in the steroid group, and 47.00 ± 9.06 years (range, 28–69) in the petrolatum emollient group [Table 1]. There was no significant difference among the three groups regarding age (P = 0.275).

The measurement of inter-rater agreement showed good value (ICC > 0.75). It was 0.852 (95% confidence interval of 0.78, and 0.91). We used the mean of the scores of the two observers for analysis of data. Each group scar appearance was scored according to the MSS values plus VAS. The mean \pm SD of sum of MSS scores (according to the mean of the scores of the two observers) was 9.08 ± 3.23 , 9.08 ± 3.45 , and 9.26 ± 3.37 in the onion extract, steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively, which were not statistically different among the three groups or between the onion extract and steroid groups (P = 0.924 and 0.951, respectively). The mean \pm SD of color score was 1.54 ± 0.43 , 1.62 ± 0.61 , and 1.69 ± 0.53 in the onion extract, steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively (P = 0.71). The mean \pm SD of distortion score was 1.66 ± 0.33 , 1.63 ± 0.41 , and 1.57 ± 0.59 in the onion extract, steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively (P = 0.61). The mean \pm SD of contour score was 1.25 \pm 0.39, 1.13 \pm 0.66, and 1.19 ± 0.57 in the onion extract, steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively (P = 0.22). The mean \pm SD of texture score was 1.51 ± 0.40 , 1.60 ± 0.68 , and 1.72 ± 0.29 in the onion extract, steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively (P = 0.13). The mean \pm SD of transparency score was 0.91 ± 0.21 , 0.96 ± 0.35 , and 0.90 ± 0.28 in the onion extract, steroid, and petrolatum emollient groups, respectively, (P = 0.84). The details of the results are summarized in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Scar formation can cause esthetic problems and loss of function and have a detrimental impact on a patient's quality of life. Scar formation has a significant association with anxiety, social avoidance, and depression.¹¹ Physical appearance of a surgical scar following elective surgery has a great impact on the patients' satisfaction.¹² Surgical planning is the main area of focus in the prevention of scar formation after blepharoplasty, as evidenced by many studies that have compared different surgical planning,¹³⁻¹⁵ suturing technique, and materials.^{16,17} Wound healing is a harmonious process which consists of different phases that overlap with each other. These include hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, maturation, and remodeling.¹⁸ Type III Collagen, the main synthesized collagen in the early stage of healing, is gradually replaced by type I collagen. Remodeling and cross-linking of collagen occur in later stages.¹⁹ Transformation of collagen III to collagen I in the wound healing process has a pivotal role in scar formation and appearance. The main players in the chemical arm of remodeling include transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β), matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase, as well as hyaluronic acid-stimulating activity.20

Active ingredients of OTC products fall in nine main categories. These groups include onion extract, silicon and its derivatives, Vitamin E, Vitamin C, hyaluronic acid, hydrocortisone, hydroquinone, copper peptide, and methylparaben. Among these, the best-selling products contain any or a combination of onion extract, silicon, and Vitamin E.⁴

The exact mechanism of onion extract in the wound-healing process is unknown. Its role probably occurs through its anti-bacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, and

Table 1: Demographic data of patients						
	Onion extract (n=18)	Steroid (n=19)	Petrolatum emollient (n=37)			
Age (year), maximum-minimum	51.94±9.26 (35-69)	49.40±9.37 (28-69)	47.00±9.06 (28-69)			
Gender (%)						
Male	9 (50)	9 (47.3)	18 (48.6)			
Female	9 (50)	10 (56.7)	19 (51.4)			

Table 2: Comparison of the mean±standard deviation of Manchester Scar Scale scores plus Visual Analog Scale among the three groups

	Onion extract (n=18)	Steroid (n=19)	Petrolatum emollient ($n=37$)	Pa	P
Color ^c (1-4)	$1.54{\pm}0.43$	1.62±0.61	1.69±0.53	0.32	0.71
Distortion ^c (1-4)	1.66±0.33	1.63 ± 0.41	1.57±0.59	0.78	0.61
Contour ^c (1-4)	1.25±0.39	1.13±0.66	1.19±0.57	0.14	0.22
Texture ^c (1-4)	1.51±0.40	$1.60{\pm}0.68$	1.72±0.29	0.29	0.13
Transparency ^c (1-2)	0.91±0.21	0.96±0.35	$0.90{\pm}0.28$	0.76	0.84
VAS ^c (0-10)	2.21±1.23	2.14±1.36	2.19±1.45	0.46	0.15
Sum of MSS plus VAS ^c	9.08±3.23	9.08±3.45	9.26±3.37	0.951	0.924

^aThe comparison between the onion extract and steroid groups, ^bThe comparison among the three groups, ^cHigher scores indicate worse scar. MSS: Manchester Scar Scale, VAS: Visual Analog Scale

fibrinolytic properties.^{18,21} Quercetin, the active ingredient of onion extract, has been shown to reduce fibroblast proliferation and matrix metalloproteinase-1 expression, both of which play a role in extracellular matrix remodeling.²² Contractubex[®] (Merz Pharma, Frankfurt, Germany) contains *Allium cepae* (onion extract) as the active ingredient, with 50U sodium heparin and 1% allantoin. Topical Mederma[®], the American formulation of onion gel (Merz North America, Raleigh, North Carolina) lacks heparin. Heparin strengthens the anti-inflammatory effect of onion extract and can enhance the pain relief and collagen restoration.^{4,18,21,22}

Steroids by several mechanisms such as decreasing inflammation, inhibition of fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis, vasoconstriction and tissue oxygen deprivation, and modulation of TGF- β 1 and β 2 ultimately lead to decreased scar tissue formation.^{5,18,21,23-26}

Optimal healing after surgery incorporates rapid scar formation with high tensile strength and minimal disfiguring appearance.¹⁸ The standard post-surgical treatment of wounds after dermatologic procedures is yet to be fully understood.

Based on our results, no significant difference was observed in scar appearance when onion extract gel was compared to topical steroid and petrolatum emollient by means of MSS score. In line with our results, a study on the effects of onion extract and petrolatum emollient on scar formation in patients with Mohs surgery, no significant effect of onion extract on color and itching of the scar was observed, but a significant reduction of redness was seen in the petrolatum group.²⁷ Hosnuter *et al.* demonstrated no significant influence of onion extract gel on the height, itching, and color of scar.²⁸ Saulis *et al.* also showed that onion extract gel is ineffective in the prevention of redness and scar hypertrophy in an induced rabbit ear wound.²⁹

In contrast to the above-mentioned studies, two studies when comparing the effects of onion extract with those of vehicle-based gel and no treatment on incision site of cesarean section found beneficial effects of onion extract in scar reduction.^{30,31} This discrepancy between the studies may be due to diversity in the study population, different causes of scar formation, and use of various scales for scoring and assessing scars. The risk of abnormal scar tissue formation is higher in some parts of the body following the surgical wound, such as the shoulder, scapula, anterior chest, lower abdomen, earlobe, and all cutaneous tissues on bony prominences.³² The discrepancies between the results of our study and other research might be due to the differences in the sites of surgical wounds. The use of onion extract on the areas of cesarean section and thoracic surgery reduces the chance of scar formation because these sites have a higher potential for abnormal scar formation, in comparison with the eyelids, which have a lower risk for scar formation.

From the pathological point of view, fibroblast proliferation, and collagen synthesis occur more vigorously during wound

healing in regions with thick dermis.³³ These processes occur in the eyelids with a lesser intensity due to a very thin dermis. Therefore, onion extract gel could be effective for anatomical regions with thickened dermis that are considered high risk for scar formation. As our results indicated, onion extract gel is not superior to petrolatum emollient for reducing scar formation in the eyelids, as a low-risk anatomical region for scar formation.

Steroids act on inflammation through different pathways, and the effectiveness of intra-lesional steroid injection in preventing scar formation has been reported between 50% and 100%.23,34,35 Although the effectiveness of intra-lesional steroid on the scar has been proven, the preventive and therapeutic roles of topical forms in recent investigations are disappointing.^{19,36} In line with our results, it has been demonstrated that the combination of tobramycin and dexamethasone ointment does not promote wound healing in comparison with petrolatum.⁵ Jenkins et al. showed that topical steroid did not have any beneficial effect on thickness and final appearance of the scar in patients who had undergone facial reconstructive surgeries.³⁷ A few other studies have also emphasized that the topical form of steroid was neither effective in the treatment of hypertrophic and keloid scar nor in the prevention of scar formation.^{38,39} Many cosmetically unacceptable side effects are reported for topical steroids.⁴⁰ Skin atrophy is the most common adverse effect of topical steroids,⁴¹ which makes the appearance of the skin more transparent and shiny, which is cosmetically unacceptable. Contact hypersensitivity with topical corticosteroids is another side effect which increases with prolonged exposure. Non-fluorinated steroids, such as hydrocortisone, leads to even greater scar redness and a less desirable appearance.^{42,43} The rate of absorption of topical steroids through the eyelids is significantly higher when compared with the other sites of the body.44 Investigations about ocular side effects of periorbital steroids are scarce. However, several studies have reported glaucoma and cataract as side effects of topical steroids when used around the eyes,⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ which may lead to visual loss if used for prolonged periods.48 These side effects need to be considered when analyzing the cost and benefits of using topical corticosteroids. When side effects and financial burden are taken into account, petrolatum emollient is considerably cheaper and has limited localized irritation compared to onion extract gel and topical steroids.49,50

This study was performed in middle-aged Caucasians with Fitzpatrick skin classification type 3. The risks of hypertrophic scar or keloid development are relatively lower than younger patients with darker skin. Although in the present study, a statistically significant difference was not detected among the treatment groups, a benefit might exist in a higher risk population.

Our study was limited due to the small sample size. Future studies that include a larger number of patients are needed to support our findings.

In conclusion, our study was a comparative investigation that compared the effects of topical steroids, which are used abundantly after eyelid skin surgery, with one of the best-selling OTC products, onion extract, and petrolatum emollient. The result of this study revealed no significant difference among these three topical medications in the cosmetic appearance of upper blepharoplasty scar. Due to its lower cost and fewer side effects, petrolatum emollient might be suggested as a proper choice for post-blepharoplasty wound care. There is clearly a demand for further research to confirm the results of this study.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Black EH, Nesi FA, Gladstone GJ, Calvano CJ, Levine MR. Smith and Nesi's Ophthalmic Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. New York, NY: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
- Arno AI, Gauglitz GG, Barret JP, Jeschke MG. Up-to-date approach to manage keloids and hypertrophic scars: A useful guide. Burns 2014;40:1255-66.
- Ogawa R. The most current algorithms for the treatment and prevention of hypertrophic scars and keloids. Plast Reconstr Surg 2010;125:557-68.
- Morganroth P, Wilmot AC, Miller C. JAAD online. Over-the-counter scar products for postsurgical patients: Disparities between online advertised benefits and evidence regarding efficacy. J Am Acad Dermatol 2009;61:e31-47.
- Andrew R, Luecke G, Dozier S, Diven DG. A pilot study to investigate the efficacy of tobramycin-dexamethasone ointment in promoting wound healing. Dermatol Ther (Heidelb) 2012;2:12.
- Murdock J, Sayed MS, Tavakoli M, Portaliou DM, Lee WW. Safety and efficacy of a growth factor and cytokine-containing topical product in wound healing and incision scar management after upper eyelid blepharoplasty: A prospective split-face study. Clin Ophthalmol 2016;10:1223-8.
- Kalasho BD, Kikuchi R, Zoumalan CI. Silicone-based scar cream for post upper eyelid blepharoplasty-associated cicatricial and hypertrophic scarring. J Drugs Dermatol 2019;18:440-6.
- Keorochana K, Chaiyasuk P, Muangman P. Prospective randomize-controlled comparison between silicone plus herbal extract gel versus *Aloe vera* gel for burn scar prophylaxis. Wound Med 2015;9:1-4.
- Beausang E, Floyd H, Dunn KW, Orton CI, Ferguson MW. A new quantitative scale for clinical scar assessment. Plast Reconstr Surg 1998;102:1954-61.
- Portney L, Watkins MP. Foundations of Clinical Research: Applications to Practice. Julie Levin Alexander New Jersey Upper Saddle River; 2000.
- Namazi MR, Fallahzadeh MK, Schwartz RA. Strategies for prevention of scars: What can we learn from fetal skin? Int J Dermatol 2011;50:85-93.
- 12. Fearmonti R, Bond J, Erdmann D, Levinson H. A review of scar scales and scar measuring devices. Eplasty 2010;10:e43.
- Kashkouli MB, Kaghazkanai R, Mirzaie AZ, Hashemi M, Parvaresh MM, Sasanii L. Clinicopathologic comparison of radiofrequency versus scalpel incision for upper blepharoplasty. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg 2008;24:450-3.
- Ritland JS, Torkzad K, Juul R, Lydersen S. Radiosurgery versus conventional surgery for dermatochalasis. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg 2004;20:423-5.
- Niamtu J 3rd. Radiowave surgery versus CO laser for upper blepharoplasty incision: Which modality produces the most aesthetic incision? Dermatol Surg 2008;34:912-21.
- 16. Kouba DJ, Tierney E, Mahmoud BH, Woo D. Optimizing closure

materials for upper lid blepharoplasty: A randomized, controlled trial. Dermatol Surg 2011;37:19-30.

- Ahn HB, Shin DM, Roh MS, Jeung WJ, Park WC, Rho SH. A comparison of 2-octyl cyanoacrylate adhesives versus conventional suture materials for eyelid wound closure in rabbits. Korean J Ophthalmol 2011;25:121-7.
- 18. Gantwerker EA, Hom DB. Skin: Histology and physiology of wound healing. Clin Plast Surg 2012;39:85-97.
- Riaz Y, Cook HT, Wangoo A, Glenville B, Shaw RJ. Type 1 procollagen as a marker of severity of scarring after sternotomy: Effects of topical corticosteroids. J Clin Pathol 1994;47:892-9.
- Kerwin LY, El Tal AK, Stiff MA, Fakhouri TM. Scar prevention and remodeling: A review of the medical, surgical, topical and light treatment approaches. Int J Dermatol 2014;53:922-36.
- Liu A, Moy RL, Ozog DM. Current methods employed in the prevention and minimization of surgical scars. Dermatol Surg 2011;37:1740-6.
- 22. Cho JW, Cho SY, Lee SR, Lee KS. Onion extract and quercetin induce matrix metalloproteinase-1 *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Int J Mol Med 2010;25:347-52.
- Wu WS, Wang FS, Yang KD, Huang CC, Kuo YR. Dexamethasone induction of keloid regression through effective suppression of VEGF expression and keloid fibroblast proliferation. J Invest Dermatol 2006;126:1264-71.
- Dankert J, Tromp TF, de Vries H, Klasen HJ. Antimicrobial activity of crude juices of *Allium ascalonicum*, *Allium cepa* and *Allium sativum*. Zentralbl Bakteriol Orig A 1979;245:229-39.
- Shah M, Foreman DM, Ferguson MW. Neutralisation of TGF-beta 1 and TGF-beta 2 or exogenous addition of TGF-beta 3 to cutaneous rat wounds reduces scarring. J Cell Sci 1995;108(Pt 3):985-1002.
- Shah M, Revis D Jr., Herrick S, Baillie R, Thorgeirson S, Ferguson M, et al. Role of elevated plasma transforming growth factor-β1 levels in wound healing. Am J Pathol 1999;154:1115-24.
- Jackson BA, Shelton AJ. Pilot study evaluating topical onion extract as treatment for postsurgical scars. Dermatol Surg 1999;25:267-9.
- Hosnuter M, Payasli C, Isikdemir A, Tekerekoglu B. The effects of onion extract on hypertrophic and keloid scars. J Wound Care 2007;16:251-4.
- Saulis AS, Mogford JH, Mustoe TA. Effect of mederma on hypertrophic scarring in the rabbit ear model. Plast Reconstr Surg 2002;110:177-83.
- Chanprapaph K, Tanrattanakorn S, Wattanakrai P, Wongkitisophon P, Vachiramon V. Effectiveness of onion extract gel on surgical scars in Asians. Dermatol Res Pract 2012;2012:212945.
- Ocampo-Candiani J, Vázquez-Martínez OT, Iglesias Benavides JL, Buske K, Lehn A, Acker C. The prophylactic use of a topical scar gel containing extract of *Allium cepa*, allantoin, and heparin improves symptoms and appearance of cesarean-section scars compared with untreated scars. J Drugs Dermatol 2014;13:176-82.
- Ogawa R. Keloid and hypertrophic scarring may result from a mechanoreceptor or mechanosensitive nociceptor disorder. Med Hypotheses 2008;71:493-500.
- Kim S, Choi TH, Liu W, Ogawa R, Suh JS, Mustoe TA. Update on scar management: Guidelines for treating Asian patients. Plast Reconstr Surg 2013;132:1580-9.
- Manuskiatti W, Fitzpatrick RE. Treatment response of keloidal and hypertrophic sternotomy scars: Comparison among intralesional corticosteroid, 5-fluorouracil, and 585-nm flashlamp-pumped pulsed-dye laser treatments. Arch Dermatol 2002;138:1149-55.
- Shaffer JJ, Taylor SC, Cook-Bolden F. Keloidal scars: A review with a critical look at therapeutic options. J Am Acad Dermatol 2002;46:S63-97.
- Waymack JP, Robb E, Plessinger R, Warden GD, Alexander JW. Evaluation of the effect of topical steroids on human scar contracture using a nude mouse model. J Burn Care Rehabil 1988;9:640-2.
- Jenkins M, Alexander JW, MacMillan BG, Waymack JP, Kopcha R. Failure of topical steroids and Vitamin E to reduce postoperative scar formation following reconstructive surgery. J Burn Care Rehabil 1986;7:309-12.
- Widgerow AD, Chait LA, Stals R, Stals PJ. New innovations in scar management. Aesthetic Plast Surg 2000;24:227-34.
- 39. Tziotzios C, Profyris C, Sterling J. Cutaneous scarring: Pathophysiology,

molecular mechanisms, and scar reduction therapeutics Part II. Strategies to reduce scar formation after dermatologic procedures. J Am Acad Dermatol 2012;66:13-24.

- Hengge UR, Ruzicka T, Schwartz RA, Cork MJ. Adverse effects of topical glucocorticosteroids. J Am Acad Dermatol 2006;54:1-5.
- 41. Ponec M, De Haas C, Bachra BN, Polano MK. Effects of glucocorticosteroids on cultured human skin fibroblasts. III. Transient inhibition of cell proliferation in the early growth stages and reduced susceptibility in later growth stages. Arch Dermatol Res 1979;265:219-27.
- 42. Isaksson M, Andersen KE, Brandão FM, Bruynzeel DP, Bruze M, Camarasa JG, *et al.* Patch testing with corticosteroid mixes in Europe. A multicentre study of the EECDRG. Contact Dermatitis 2000;42:27-35.
- Thomson KF, Wilkinson SM, Powell S, Beck MH. The prevalence of corticosteroid allergy in two U.K. centres: Prescribing implications. Br J Dermatol 1999;141:863-6.
- 44. Feldmann RJ, Maibach HI. Regional variation in percutaneous

penetration of 14C cortisol in man. J Invest Dermatol 1967;48:181-3.
45. Cubey RB. Glaucoma following the application of corticosteroid to the skin of the eyelids. Br J Dermatol 1976;95:207-8.

- Zugerman C, Saunders D, Levit F. Glaucoma from topically applied steroids. Arch Dermatol 1976;112:1326.
- Nielsen NV, Sørensen PN. Glaucoma induced by application of corticosteroids to the periorbital region. Arch Dermatol 1978;114:953-4.
- Aggarwal RK, Potamitis T, Chong NH, Guarro M, Shah P, Kheterpal S. Extensive visual loss with topical facial steroids. Eye (Lond) 1993;7(Pt 5):664-6.
- Chung VQ, Kelley L, Marra D, Jiang SB. Onion extract gel versus petrolatum emollient on new surgical scars: A prospective doubleblinded study. Dermatol Surg 2006;32:193-7.
- Song T, Kim KH, Lee KW. Randomised comparison of silicone gel and onion extract gel for post-surgical scars. J Obstet Gynaecol 2018;38:702-7.