ORIGINAL ARTICLE GI Cancers

Calculation of a clinical predictive factors identifying peritoneal disease on a staging laparoscopy in gastric cancers

Caleb Harris, Vikas Ostwal¹, Dilip Harindran Vallathol¹, Rohit Dusane², Sarika Mandavkar¹, Shraddha Patkar³, Anant Ramaswamy¹, Shailesh V. Shrikhande³

Abstract

Introduction: Staging laparoscopy (SL) is the current standard staging workup for loco-advanced gastric cancers (GCs). Materials and Methods: We analyzed the data of all patients with loco-regionally advanced, nonmetastatic GCs, who underwent SL for the evaluation of peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC). Results: Between December 2013 and October 2016, 363 patients underwent SL, of which 75 (20.7%) were found to have PC on SL. Age \leq 40 years, CA 19-9 > upper limit of normal, and low serum albumin levels (\leq 3.5 g/dl) correlated significantly with the presence of PC on SL. There was a statistically significant difference in the median overall survival between patients with radiologically detected PC and SL detected PC (8.67 months vs. 15.3 months; P < 0.0001). Conclusion: SL upstaged disease status in 20.7% of patients. Clinical factors, identified in this study, need further validation in larger prospective cohorts before being used in clinical practice. Patients with radiologically detected PC have lower survival as compared to those with PC on SL.

Key words: Computed tomography scan, gastric cancers, peritoneal disease, predictive factors, staging laparoscopy

Introduction

Majority of the country- and region-specific guidelines on the diagnosis of peritoneal disease or peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) in gastric cancer (GC) have included a staging laparoscopy (SL) as part of the diagnostic algorithm, although with slightly differing indications across the spectrum of GC.^[1-4] However, consensus regarding the optimal methods of diagnosing PC has not been achieved. SL has an overall predictive accuracy of 85%–98.9% in diagnosing metastatic intraperitoneal disease and a major role in thereby preventing additional unnecessary laparotomies (8.5%–43.8%).^[5] We assessed our patients who underwent SL and looked at predictive factors.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted as part of the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee (EC) approved project (Institutional EC number IEC/0517/1868/001). The medical records of patients who were diagnosed with GC between December 2013 and October 2016 were retrieved from a prospective maintained database at the Tata Medical Hospital. Patients with clinical T3 or T4 (EUS performed if radiologically T1/T2) and/or N positive and M0 according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (tumor-node-metastasis) classification (7th edition) based on preoperative computed tomography were selected. All patients were confirmed to have gastric adenocarcinoma before SL by preoperative endoscopy and biopsy. Patients without a baseline SL were excluded from the study. Peritoneal washing cytology is not part of the standard protocol in our institution, and it is not reported in this study.

The standard technique for SL was used with the insertion of two or three ports in the upper abdomen. Areas inspected on the procedure were stomach, liver, surfaces in the pelvis, and paracolic gutters, thereby entailing an evaluation of the entire coelomic cavity.



Department of Surgical Oncology, North Eastern Indira Gandhi Regional Institutes of Health and Medical Sciences, Shillong, Meghalaya, Departments of ¹Medical Oncology, ²Clinical Research Secretariat and ³Surgical Oncology, Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra. India

Correspondence to: Dr.Vikas Ostwal, E-mail: dr.vikas.ostwal@gmail.com

The factors evaluated as potentially predictive for the presence of PC were age (< or \ge 40 years), raised CA 19.9 versus CA 19.9 levels below the upper limit of normal (ULN) (institutional ULN-37 U/L), serum albumin levels at presentation (>3.5 g/dl or \le 3.5 g/dl), degree of differentiation (poorly differentiated vs. well differentiated/moderately differentiated/adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified), signet-ring morphology (presence vs. absence), and location (proximal vs. distal).

Statistical considerations

The incidence of SL-PC diagnosed on SL was calculated as a simple percentage. The Chi-squared test was used to analyze the variables associated with positive peritoneal metastases and to correlate demographic variables with histology. Those found to have a P < 0.05 were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis.

The median overall survival (mOS) for patients with SL diagnosed PC (SL-PC) and radiologically diagnosed PC (R-PC) was estimated using Kaplan–Meier method. OS was calculated from the date of diagnosis till date of death, whatsoever the cause.

Results

Between December 2013 and October 2016, 363 consecutive patients were included in the analysis. Baseline demographic is shown in Table 1. A total of 75 patients (20.7%) were found to have overt PC.

On evaluation of predictive factors, raised CA 19.9 levels (P = 0.002), age <40 years (P < 0.001), serum albumin levels ≤ 3.5 g/dl (P = 0.028), and the presence of signet-ring histology (P = 0.048) predicted for greater incidence of PC using univariate analysis. These factors retained statistical significance on multivariate regression analysis excepting signet-ring histology. Baseline characteristics, shown in Supplementary Table 1 of patients with R-PC and of PC-SL,

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Harris C, Ostwal V, Vallathol DH, Dusane R, Mandavkar S, Patkar S, *et al.* Calculation of a clinical predictive factors identifying peritoneal disease on a staging laparoscopy in gastric cancers. South Asian J Cancer 2019;8:166-7.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics

Characteristic	PC	Non-PC	P
	cohort (%)	cohort (%)	
n	75 (100)	288 (100)	-
Gender			
Males	47 (63)	204 (71)	0.206
Female	28 (37)	84 (29)	
Median age (years)			
<40	22 (29)	33 (12)	0.001
≥40	53 (71)	255 (88)	
Differentiation			
PDAC	35 (44)	177 (56)	0.638
WDAC/MDAC/adenocarcinoma NOS	12 (56)	51 (44)	
Presence of signet-ring histology	40 (51)	117 (33)	0.048
Raised CA 19.9 (> ULN) levels	31 (41)	75 (28)	0.002
Tumor location			
Proximal (including body)	31 (41)	105 (36)	0.437
Distal	44 (59)	183 (64)	
Albumin levels (g%)			
≤3.5	12 (16)	82 (28)	0.028
>3.5	63 (84)	206 (72)	

PC=Peritoneal carcinomatosis, PDAC=Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, WDAC=Well-differentiated adenocarcinoma, MDAC=Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma, NOS=Not otherwise specified, ULN=Upper limit of normal

were comparable (no statistical difference). On comparing mOS between the two groups using Kaplan–Meier method, patients with SL-PC had a superior mOS (15.1 months, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 13.18-17.10) as compared to patients with R-PC (8.67 months, 95% CI: 6.70–10.63), and this was statistically significant (P < 0.001).

Discussion

The ability of SL to detect occult metastasis in our study was 20.7%, which is similar to previously published studies. [6] It is also representative of incidence of PC on SL in a region where the distal-to-proximal migration of GC has yet to take place. [7]

Factors that have been associated with an increased incidence of PC include tumor morphology, signet-ring morphology, gastro-esophageal junction location of primary, nodal burden, raised CA 19.9 levels, and peripheral blood neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio.^[8-11] Our study identified two previously identified factors − signet-ring morphology and raised CA 19.9 levels − along with two new factors − age <40 years and low serum albumin levels (≤3.5 g/dl) − as predictive for PC on SL.

While there is some evidence for signet-ring morphology and raised CA 19.9 levels as predictive for metastasis and PC per se, a younger age (<40 years) and low serum albumin levels (≤3.5 g/dl) as being predictive for PC is a potentially new concept. The age cutoff of 40 years was selected based on the results of a large Japanese study comprising 3818 patients, which indicated a worse prognosis for patients below the age of 40 years and not undergoing surgery. [16]

The difference in mOS between the R-PC and SL-PC was statistically significant (15.10 months, vs. 8.67 months, P < 0.001). While there is no immediate intervention that may contribute to improving outcomes for patients with R-PC

viz-a-viz SL-PC, it bears keeping in mind when explaining prognosis to patients in the clinic.

Conclusion

SL is an important component of the staging workup of patients with GC and upstages patients in 20.7% in our series. Clinical factors, such as those identified in this study, need further validation in larger prospective cohorts. Patients with R-PC have lower survival as compared to those with SL-PC.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Smyth EC, Verheij M, Allum W, Cunningham D, Cervantes A, Arnold D, et al. Gastric cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2016;27:v38-49.
- Allum WH, Blazeby JM, Griffin SM, Cunningham D, Jankowski JA, Wong R, et al. Guidelines for the management of oesophageal and gastric cancer. Gut 2011;60:1449-72.
- 3. Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2014 (ver 4). Gastric Cancer 2017;20:1-9.
- Guidelines for Diagnostic Laparoscopy A SAGES Guideline. SAGES. Available from: https://www.sages.org/publications/guidelines/guidelines-for-diagnostic-laparoscopy/. [Last accessed on 2017 Jun 01].
- Leake PA, Cardoso R, Seevaratnam R, Lourenco L, Helyer L, Mahar A, et al.
 A systematic review of the accuracy and utility of peritoneal cytology in patients with gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2012;15 Suppl 1:S27-37.
- Kakroo SM, Rashid A, Wani AA, Akhtar Z, Chalkoo MA, Laharwal AR, et al. Staging laparoscopy in carcinoma of stomach: A comparison with CECT staging. Int J Surg Oncol 2013;2013:674965.
- Shrikhande SV, Shukla PJ, Qureshi S, Siddachari R, Upasani V, Ramadwar M, et al. D2 lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer in Tata memorial hospital: Indian data can now be incorporated in future international trials. Dig Surg 2006;23:192-7.
- 8. Simon M, Mal F, Perniceni T, Ferraz JM, Strauss C, Levard H, et al. Accuracy of staging laparoscopy in detecting peritoneal dissemination in patients with gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma. Dis Esophagus 2016;29:236-40.
- Sarela AI, Lefkowitz R, Brennan MF, Karpeh MS. Selection of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma for laparoscopic staging. Am J Surg 2006;191:134-8.
- Grenader T, Plotkin Y, Mohammadi B, Dawas K, Hashemi M, Mughal M, et al. Predictive value of the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in peritoneal and/or metastatic disease at staging laparoscopy for gastric and esophageal adenocarcinoma. J Gastrointest Cancer 2015;46:267-71.
- Bagaria B, Sood S, Sharma R, Lalwani S. Comparative study of CEA and CA19-9 in esophageal, gastric and colon cancers individually and in combination (ROC curve analysis). Cancer Biol Med 2013; 10: 148-57.
- 12. Hwang GI, Yoo CH, Sohn BH, Shin JH, Park YL, Kim HD, *et al.* Predictive value of preoperative serum CEA, CA 19-9 and CA 125 levels for peritoneal metastasis in patients with gastric carcinoma. Cancer Res Treat 2004;36:178-81.
- Voron T, Messager M, Duhamel A, Lefevre J, Mabrut JY, Goere D, et al. Is signet-ring cell carcinoma a specific entity among gastric cancers? Gastric Cancer 2016; 19:1027-40.
- Ostwal V, Sahu A, Ramaswamy A, Sirohi B, Bose S, Talreja V, et al. Perioperative epirubicin, oxaliplatin, and capecitabine chemotherapy in locally advanced gastric cancer: Safety and feasibility in an interim survival analysis. J Gastric Cancer 2017;17:21-32.
- Mimatsu K, Oida T, Fukino N, Kano H, Kawasaki A, Kida K, et al. Glasgow prognostic score is a useful predictive factor of outcome after palliative gastrectomy for stage IV gastric cancer. Anticancer Res 2014;34:3131-6.
- Isobe T, Hashimoto K, Kizaki J, Miyagi M, Aoyagi K, Koufuji K, et al. Characteristics and prognosis of gastric cancer in young patients. Oncol Rep 2013;30:43-9.

Supplementary Table 1: Baseline comparison between peritoneal carcinomatosis diagnosed on staging laparoscopy and peritoneal carcinomatosis diagnosed radiologically

Characteristic	PC (diagnosed on SL)	PC diagnosed radiologically	P
Number of patients	75	56	
Gender			
Male	47	34	0.950
Female	28	22	
Age (≤40 years)	22	15	0.845
Presence of signet-ring	38	26	0.724
morphology			
Raised CA 19.9	33	33	0.942
(> ULN) levels			
Absence of PDAC	30	15	0.189

PDAC=Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, ULN=Upper limit of normal, PC=Peritoneal carcinomatosis, SL=Staging laparoscopy