### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

### Open <u>Access</u>



# Clinical application of genomic profiling to find druggable targets for adolescent and young adult (AYA) cancer patients with metastasis

Soojin Cha<sup>1</sup>, Jeongeun Lee<sup>2</sup>, Jong-Yeon Shin<sup>3</sup>, Ji-Yeon Kim<sup>4</sup>, Sung Hoon Sim<sup>4</sup>, Bhumsuk Keam<sup>1,4</sup>, Tae Min Kim<sup>1,4</sup>, Dong-Wan Kim<sup>1,4</sup>, Dae Seog Heo<sup>1,4</sup>, Se-Hoon Lee<sup>1,4,7,8\*†</sup> and Jong-II Kim<sup>1,3,5,6\*†</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Although adolescent and young adult (AYA) cancers are characterized by biological features and clinical outcomes distinct from those of other age groups, the molecular profile of AYA cancers has not been well defined. In this study, we analyzed cancer genomes from rare types of metastatic AYA cancers to identify driving and/or druggable genetic alterations.

**Methods:** Prospectively collected AYA tumor samples from seven different patients were analyzed using three different genomics platforms (whole-exome sequencing, whole-transcriptome sequencing or OncoScan<sup>™</sup>). Using well-known bioinformatics tools (bwa, Picard, GATK, MuTect, and Somatic Indel Detector) and our annotation approach with open access databases (DAVID and DGldb), we processed sequencing data and identified driving genetic alterations and their druggability.

**Results:** The mutation frequencies of AYA cancers were lower than those of other adult cancers (median = 0.56), except for a germ cell tumor with hypermutation. We identified patient-specific genetic alterations in candidate driving genes: *RASA2* and *NF1* (prostate cancer), *TP53* and *CDKN2C* (olfactory neuroblastoma), *FAT1*, *NOTCH1*, and *SMAD4* (head and neck cancer), *KRAS* (urachal carcinoma), *EML4-ALK* (lung cancer), and *MDM2* and *PTEN* (liposarcoma). We then suggested potential drugs for each patient according to his or her altered genes and related pathways. By comparing candidate driving genes between AYA cancers and those from all age groups for the same type of cancer, we identified different driving genes in prostate cancer and a germ cell tumor in AYAs compared with all age groups, whereas three common alterations (*TP53, FAT1*, and *NOTCH1*) in head and neck cancer were identified in both groups.

**Conclusion:** We identified the patient-specific genetic alterations and druggability of seven rare types of AYA cancers using three genomics platforms. Additionally, genetic alterations in cancers from AYA and those from all age groups varied by cancer type.

**Keywords:** Adolescent and young adult (AYA) cancer, Next-generation sequencing (NGS), Whole exome sequencing, Precision medicine, Genomics

\* Correspondence: sehoon.lee119@gmail.com; jongil@snu.ac.kr

<sup>†</sup>Equal contributors

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 Cha et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

#### Background

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Abnormal genetic alterations followed by the uncontrolled growth of somatic cells initiate cancer. Although most genetic alterations are passenger mutations that do not contribute to tumorigenesis, an individual cell can proliferate and become a tumor if it acquires a sufficient set of driving mutations. Therefore, finding cancer-driving mutations and targeting the encoded abnormal proteins and related pathways via cancer therapeutics are important strategies to delay cancer progression and prevent metastasis [1].

Previous studies, led by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC), have identified cancer-driving mutations via large-scale analyses [2]. Although large-scale analyses unveiled frequently altered driving mutations in many cancer types, such as *BRAF* (V600E) in melanoma and colorectal cancer, finding less frequently altered mutations is a challenge using large-scale analyses, especially in uncommon cancer types [2–4].

Adolescent and young adult (AYA) cancer is a rare type of malignant disease that arises in patients aged 15 to 39 years and is characterized by biological features, therapeutic outcomes, and survival rates that are distinct from those observed in other age groups. Although determining the genomic profiles of AYA cancer is important to investigate the causes of these distinct characteristics, large-scale genomic studies or molecular data for AYA cancer are not available due to the rarity of the disease and the difficulty of collecting tumor samples [5, 6].

In this study, we analyzed seven different AYA cancers from patients with metastatic tumors using three different genomics platforms (whole-exome whole-transcriptome sequencing, sequencing, and OncoScan<sup>™</sup>). We identified single nucleotide variations (SNVs) and insertion and deletions (indels) by using whole-exome sequencing (WES) and detected fusions by using whole transcriptome sequencing (WTS). For copy number variations (CNVs), we used OncoScan<sup>™</sup> that is the genomics platform for analysis of copy number variations which had high performance with samples from FFPE, especially [7]. We processed the WES data with well-known bioinformatics tools (bwa, Picard, GATK, MuTect, and Somatic Indel Detector), as other studies described and processed WTS data with fusion detection tools [8–10]. We then identified candidate genes and suggested potential drugs that are specific to the genetic alterations of each patient. We also compared candidate genes for AYA cancers with the same types of cancers from all age groups using published data.

#### Methods

#### Ethics and consent statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Seoul National University Hospital (1206-086-414). We obtained written informed consent from the patients who participated to this study. All participants in this study gave us written informed consent for publication of their details. Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details and/or clinical images was obtained from the patients. A copy of the consent form is available for review by the Editor of this journal.

#### Study design and sample information

Samples from seven different tumors, prostate cancer, olfactory neuroblastoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), urachal carcinoma, germ cell tumor, lung cancer, and liposarcoma, were prospectively obtained in three different forms (fresh-frozen tissue, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE), and pleurisy). The samples were analyzed using three different genomics platforms (whole-exome sequencing (WES), whole transcriptome sequencing (WTS), and OncoScan<sup>™</sup>) as the tumor sources permitted. We first intended to analyze samples from ten patients, but three samples were excluded because the amount of provided tumor sample was insufficient (AYA03) or sufficient DNA/ RNA for a genome-scale analysis was not obtained (AYA05, and 08). For sample AYA04 (HNSCC), the HPV infection status was identified by IHC staining (data not shown).

#### Whole exome sequencing (WES)

A minimum of 3 µg of genomic DNA was randomly fragmented by Covaris, and the sizes of the library fragments were mainly distributed between 250 and 300 bp. adapters were then ligated to both ends of the fragments. Extracted DNA was amplified by ligation-mediated PCR (LM-PCR) and then purified and hybridized to the SureSelect XT Human All Exon v4+UTR 71 Mb (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for enrichment according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. After loading each captured library on the Hiseq2000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), we performed high-throughput sequencing for each captured library. Raw image files were processed by Illumina CASAVA v1.8.2 for basecalling with default parameters, and the sequences from each individual were generated as 101-bp pairend reads.

#### Processing WES data to analyze SNVs and indels

WES data were processed using a series of steps. We aligned the sequenced files (Fastq file) to the reference

genome (human reference genome g1k v37) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA v0.7.5a) [11] and then sorted the output and removed PCR duplicates using PICARD v1.95 [12]. Using the typical GATK workflow (The Genome Analysis Toolkit v2.6-5), we processed the data for local indel realignment and base quality recalibration [13]. For variant calling, we used MuTect v1.1.6 for single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and Somatic Indel Detector (from GATK v2.2-8) for indels [14]. Whereas we called the SNVs with the default setting value, we altered the tumor indel fraction from 0.3 to 0.05 (T\_INDEL\_F <0.05) for indel calling after considering false-negatives. The called variants interpreted as somatic mutations were tagged with "KEEP" or "SOMATIC" with MuTect and Somatic Indel Detector, respectively, and used for further study. To avoid false-positive indel variants, we filtered out variants with tumor alterative reads less than 6. All somatic variants were annotated by ANNOVAR [15]. The variants that passed through the steps were called 'processed WES data' (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

#### Analysis of copy number variations (CNVs) by OncoScan™

We used the 330-k OncoScan<sup>™</sup> FFPE platform (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to identify candidate CNVs (amplification/deletion and loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH)). AYA02 was excluded because the amount of DNA was insufficient for OncoScan<sup>™</sup>. A minimum of 80 ng of DNA from each sample was used for the OncoScan<sup>™</sup> platform. The Nexus Express (Affymetrix) software was used to analyze the data and find CNVs. We filtered out CNVs with a CN ≤ 2.5, which were considered insignificant amplification, and analyzed chromosome-level CNVs and focal level CNVs.

#### Analysis of CNVs by VarScan2 for AYA02

To analyze CNVs in AYA02, we used VarScan2 as an alternative method to OncoScan<sup>™</sup>. After processing data up to the 'Realignment/Recalibration' step in WES processing (Additional file 1: Figure S1), we processed data based on the manufacturer's recommendations. We generated mpileup data from recalibrated BAM files of both tumor and normal using SAMtools and used the 'Copy caller' module of VarScan2 to generate the relative copy number change (C), which was determined as follows:  $C = log_2 ((D_T/D_N)^*(I_N/I_T))$ , where 'D' stands for the average depth, 'I' for the number of uniquely mapped bases, 'N' for normal, and 'T' for tumor [16, 17]. After filtering out mapping quality values <15, we adjusted the relative copy number using the re-centering option in 'Copy caller' and segmented copy number regions based on the circular binary segmentation algorithm. After merging, the results were represented by IGV (Integrative Genomics Viewer) [18]. Because the VarScan2 results covered only exon regions, not all genome regions, we analyzed only chromosome-level CNVs that were similar to those obtained with  $OncoScan^{T}$  and did not analyze focal-level CNVs. To create graphs of relative copy number changes, we used data from re-centered relative copy number changes displayed on a  $log_2$  scale.

#### Analysis of mutation frequency and mutation spectrum

The mutation frequency was analyzed by counting the number of variants annotated by ANNOVAR from WES data as nonsynonymous SNVs, synonymous SNVs, nonsense mutations, stop-loss mutations, splicing mutations, frameshift insertions/deletions (indels), in-frame indels, and noncoding RNA in exonic regions. These mutations had previously been described in published data from 12 major cancer studies [19]. To analyze the mutation spectrum, we used SNVs processed with MuTect in all sequenced regions not limited to coding regions.

#### Pathway-drug analysis

After assigning the levels to the variants by pattern-based heuristic annotation, we investigated the biologic pathways of variants using DAVID or the literature [20]. To analyze the druggability of the variants, we concentrated mainly on level-1 (strong) variants using DGIdb [21].

#### **Fusion analysis**

We analyzed WTS data from four samples (AYA01, 02, 09, and 10) to identify cancer driving fusions using three different fusion tools, FusionMap, deFuse and ChimeraScan [22–24]. From the results, we selected candidate cancer-driving fusions using a fusion gene list archived in COSMIC (download date: 2015-03-03).

#### Results

## AYA cancer samples, platforms and generation of data from WES

Samples analyzed in this study were collected from AYA patients (median age = 32) who had metastatic tumors. Seven different tumor samples were obtained in three different forms (fresh-frozen tissues, FFPE and pleurisy) and analyzed using three different genomics platforms (WES, WTS and OncoScan<sup>™</sup>) (Table 1).

We processed WES data using well-known bioinformatics tools described in Supporting Fig. 1 following previously published studies [8, 10]. From the WES data of six tumors and matched normal blood, we generated total of 95 gigabases (Gb, range 12.5–20.0/sample) and 106 Gb (range 12.7–27.0/sample) of mapped sequences, respectively. The mean target coverage was 135X (range, 101X-190X) and 150X (range, 115X-205X) for tumor samples and normal blood, respectively. The coverage of mean target bases exceeded 30X for 89.8 and 92.5 % of the tumor and normal blood samples, respectively (Additional file 2: Table S1).

No. AYA <sup>a</sup>	Age	Sex	Tumor type	Tissue type	Previous treatment <sup>b</sup>	Platform		
						WES	WTS	OncoScan
#01	30	М	Prostate cancer	Fresh-frozen	Docetaxel + Pd	V	V	V
#02	30	Μ	Olfactory neuroblastoma	Fresh-frozen	ICE	V	V	-
					Ор			
					PORT			
#04	33	Μ	Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma	Fresh-frozen	Ор	V	-	V
					CCRT			
					DP			
					Cetuximab			
					FP			
					Ор			
#06	32	Μ	Urachalcarcinoma	FFPE	Ор	V	-	V
#07	21	М	Germ cell tumor	Fresh-frozen	Ор	V	-	V
					BEP			
					IE			
#09	34	Μ	Lung cancer	Pleurisy	-	-	V	-
#10	33	Μ	Liposarcoma	Fresh-frozen	Ор	V	V	V

Table 1 Sample information of AYAs cancer patients

<sup>a</sup>#03: exclusion, because of no tumor sample provided; #05, 08: exclusion, because of insufficient sample to sequencing

<sup>b</sup>Pd prednisolone, ICE ifosfamide + carboplatin + etoposide, Op operation, PORT postoperative radiotherapy, CCRT concurrent chemoradiotherapy, DP docetaxel + cisplatin, FP 5-fluorouracil + cisplatin, BEP bleomycin + etoposide + cisplatin, IE ifosfamide + etoposide



**Fig. 1** Mutation frequency and mutation spectrum of AYA cancers. **a** Somatic mutation frequencies of pediatric, AYA and adult cancers are shown. Mutation frequencies of AYA cancers were assessed using somatic mutations annotated as nonsynonymous SNVs, synonymous SNVs, nonsense mutations, stop-loss mutations, splicing mutations, frameshift indels, in-frame indels, and noncoding RNA. Mutation frequencies for other cancers were derived from published data from the same regions [19]. **b** The mutation spectrum of AYA cancers (transition and transversion frequency) was assessed using SNVs processed with MuTect in whole-exome regions

#### Mutation frequency and mutation spectrum

We analyzed the mutation frequency and mutation spectrum of six samples from processed WES data (Fig. 1). Except for AYA07, which contained a hypermutation, the coding regions (exon and splicing regions) of a total of 276 somatic mutations (median, 40; range, 26-133) were detected (Additional file 3: Table S2). Compared with the mutation frequency of 12 major cancer types obtained from published data, the somatic mutation frequency of most samples was low (median, 0.56/Mb; range, 0.37-1.87), except for AYA07 (Fig. 1a) [19]. This finding is consistent with data showing that somatic mutations are accumulated with age [1]. Although the mutation frequency of AYA07 was high (9.37/Mb), the overall mutation frequency of AYA cancers is low: a recent large-scale study of testicular germ cell tumors (TGCTs), the same tumor type as that of AYA07, demonstrated a low mutation frequency (mean 0.5/Mb) [25]. Additionally, three AYA cancers with higher mutation frequencies (AYA02, 04, and 07) harbored mutations in TP53 or in several DNA repair genes compared with other samples (mean, 3.98/Mb vs. 0.44/ Mb, respectively), as shown in large studies [19].

Except for AYA07, the mutation spectrum of AYA cancers showed prominent C > T transitions, as has been demonstrated in many solid cancers (Fig. 1b) [26]. Interestingly, AYA07 showed a high proportion of C > A transversions (79.7 %). This result may be related to an over-representation of C > A transversions in the TCGT study [25] or multiple cycles of chemotherapy for the AYA07 patient, because increased C > A transversions were observed in all samples obtained from eight relapsed AML patients after chemotherapy [27].

### Individual AYA cancer analysis: patient-specific genetic alterations

After processing the WES data, we annotated variants using ANNOVAR as described in Additional file 1: Figure S1. All annotated variants are described in Additional file 4: Table S3. We then selected driving genetic alterations using our pattern-based annotation, because it is limited to analyzing rare types of cancers using the same statistical methods to select driving genetic alterations used in large-scale studies (Additional file 3: Figure S2) [4]. By applying our approach to TCGA AML data, we could detect all candidate genes that were previously defined using statistical methods (Additional file 3: Figure S3 and Additional file 5: Table S4) [28].

Except for the hypermutations in AYA07, we focused on level-1 variants to identify driving genetic alterations of AYA cancers that are specific to each patient and may be druggable (Fig. 2 and Additional file 6: Table S5). CNVs were analyzed to identify candidate driving CNVs (OncoScan<sup>\*\*</sup>) and chromosomelevel CNVs (OncoScan<sup>™</sup> or VarScan2) (Fig. 3 and Additional file 1: Figure S4).

### AYA01, prostate cancer: aberrant activation of the RAS pathway

AYA01 showed concurrent loss-of-function in genes of the RasGAP family (*NF1* and *RASA2*). A frameshift deletion and LOH were detected in *NF1*, and a frameshift insertion and splicing mutation were detected in *RASA2* that were validated by sequencing (Additional file 1: Figure S5). Interestingly, concurrent mutations in Ras-GAPs have been identified in several types of cancers according to the cBio portal (Additional file 1: Figure S6 and Additional file 7: Table S6). Furthermore, a recent study demonstrated the synergistic oncogenic effects of non-canonical Ras mutations in the context of loss-offunction in RasGAP [29]. Because RasGAPs contribute to tumorigenesis, we suggested an MEK inhibitor (as a single agent or in combination) for the treatment of AYA01 [30, 31].

## AYA02, olfactory neuroblastoma: chromosome instability and loss-of-function of CDKN2C

AYA02 harbored a chromosome-level alteration with a *TP53* missense mutation that contributed to chromosome instability [32]. Interestingly, AYA02 showed a double peak of a relative copy number change and armlevel alterations, which differed from other tumors (Fig. 3a and b). A loss-of-function in *CDKN2C* was identified with high-allelic frequency (0.667). Given the tumor suppressor function of *CDKN2C* in breast cancer, a loss-of-function of *CDKN2C* may have driven tumor formation in AYA02; therefore, we selected CDK4/6 inhibitors as a potential drug (palbociclib and LY2835219) [33].

#### AYA04, HNSCC: alteration of the Wnt and NOTCH pathways AYA04 harbored *TP53* mutations with alterations in *EAT1* NOTCH1 and *SMAD4* that have been recurrently

*FAT1, NOTCH1* and *SMAD4* that have been recurrently discovered by several large-scale studies of head and neck cancer [34–36]. Specifically, AYA04 harbored concurrent mutations in Wnt pathway genes, such as *FAT1, MSX1* and *AXIN1*, which were reported in a recent large-scale study of HNSCC with HPV (–) [34]. We suggested potential drugs (LGK974 and  $\gamma$  secretase inhibitor) for AYA04 based on the importance of the Wnt and NOTCH pathways.

## AYA06, urachal carcinoma: alteration in noted KRAS mutation

Because AYA06 showed only one level-1 variant in *KRAS* (G13D) with no candidate CNVs, we selected an MEK inhibitor (selumetinib) as a potential drug. However, a missense mutation in *USP6* (R133K, Lv2 OG)



was detected in AYA06 and AYA04. USP6 is known to be able to initiate tumorigenesis either in cell lines or in mice via the activation of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, although the function of the R133K variant remains elusive [37].

## AYA07, germ cell tumor: alteration in DNA repair genes and genome instability

AYA07 was excluded from the identification of candidate driving genetic alterations, because AYA07 showed a high mutation frequency with many CNVs may be caused by missense mutations in six DNA repair genes (*DDB1*, *LIG3*, *MNAT1*, *POLE*, *POLG* and *POLQ*) (Fig. 1 and Additional file 4: Table S3) [38]. The most frequently mutated gene, *KIT*, which was found in a largescale study of TGCT, was not detected in AYA07 [25].

#### AYA09, lung cancer: well-known fusion, EML4-ALK

AYA09 was analyzed by WTS only because an IHC result for ALK was positive (data not shown). Because the *EML4-ALK* detected in our fusion processing is well known in lung cancer, crizotinib and ceritinib were recommended as potential drugs for AYA09 (Additional file 1: Figure S6 and Additional file 8: Table S7). The patient was treated with crizotinib and showed clinically significant tumor shrinkage, as expected.

# AYA10, liposarcoma: amplification of MDM2 with PTEN deletion

Although level-1 SNV/indel alterations were not detected, CNVs in *MDM2* and *PTEN*, which play a role in the p53 pathway, were identified in AYA10 (Fig. 3c). Target-specific drugs for *MDM2* amplification, DS-3032b and RO6839921, plus an mTOR inhibitor, everolimus, were recommended.

## Comparison of candidate driving genes from AYA cancers and cancers in other age groups

To investigate differences in the genomic profiles between AYA cancers and cancers found in other age groups, we compared candidate driving genes between AYAs and all age groups for the same cancer based on published data from analyses similar to ours (Table 2). The mutation pattern of AYA01 (prostate cancer) differed from that shown in a large-scale study analysis. Whereas AYA01 harbored alterations in the Ras pathway (*NF1* and *RASA2*), prostate cancer from other age groups showed recurrent mutations in *SPOP*, *TP53*, and *PTEN* [39]. However, several commonly altered genes in HNSCC, such as *TP53*, *FAT1*, and *NOTCH1*, were identified in both AYA04 and in large-scale studies, whereas other mutations differed [34].



#### Discussion

#### Genomic profiling of AYA cancers

In this study, we described the genomic profiles of seven different rare types of AYA cancers using three different genomics platforms (WES, WTS and OncoScan<sup>™</sup>). After processing genomics data, we identified potential druggable targets for each cancer and selected existing anti-cancer drugs to treat individual patients (Fig. 2 and Additional file 6: Table S5).

We identified candidate driving genetic alterations specific to each patient using logical manual curation (pattern-based heuristic annotation) as alternative to statistical method (Additional file 9: Supplementary materials and methods and Additional file 10: Table S8). It is needed to alternative method to select candidate genes in rare type of cancers, like AYA cancers, since low number of samples is limited to the selection of candidate driving genes using statistical method as shown in large-scale studies [4].

Because the features of AYA cancers are distinct from those of other age groups, including the

incidences and clinical outcomes, studying the genomic profiles of AYA cancers is important to identify the unique features of AYA cancers [5, 6]. When comparing candidate genes between AYAs and all age groups for the same cancer type, we identified different candidate genes in prostate cancer (AYA01) and a germ cell tumor (AYA07), although several common candidate genes (*TP53, FAT1*,and *NOTCH1*) were found in HNSCC (AYA04) in both AYAs and all age groups (Table 2). These results showed that AYAspecific genetic alterations may be different from those in other age groups; thus, further study is needed to define the significance of the differences in the genetic alterations between AYAs and other age groups.

#### **Clinical implication**

In this study, we analyzed individual cancer genomes and suggested potential drugs for each patient based on his or her genetic alterations. Characterizing the

	Prostate cancer		HNSCC		
	AYA01	Barbieri, et al.	AYA04	TCGA	
Sequencing platform	WES (n = 1)	WES (n = 112)	WES (n = 1)	WES (n = 279)	
Exome capture kit	Agilent SureSelect	Agilent SureSelect	Agilent SureSelect	Agilent SureSelect	
Sequencing instrument	Illumina HiSeq	Illumina HiSeq	Illumina HiSeq	Illumina HiSeq	
Depth (mean)	138X	118X	198X	95X	
Age (median)	30	63	33	61	
Bioinformatic pipeline					
Alignment	bwa (hg19)				
Deduplication	Picard				
Realignment	GATK				
Recalibration	GATK				
Variant calling					
SNVs	MuTect				
Indels	Somatic Indel Detector/Indelocator				
Selection of candidate SNV/Indels	Heuristic annotation	MutSig	Heuristic annotation	MutSig	
CNVs detection	AffymetrixOncoScan	Affymetrix SNP 6.0	AffymetrixOncoScan	Affymetrix SNP 6.0	
Selection of candidate CNVs	Heuristic annotation	GISTIC	Heuristic annotation	GISTIC	
Candidate driving genes					
SNVs/Indels	NF1	SPOP	TP53	CDKN2A	
	RASA2	TP53	FAT1	FAT1	
	ATAD5	PTEN	MSX1	TP53	
		FOXA1	USP6	CASP8	
		CDKN1B	ANK2	AJUBA	
		ZNF595	CHD5	PIK3CA	
		THSD7B	FOXL2	NOTCH1	
		MED12	ITGB4	KMT2D	
		NIPA2	LECT1	NSD1	
		PIK3CA		HLA-A	
		C14orf9		TGFBR2	
		SCN11A			
CNVs <sup>a</sup>	NF1	NA <sup>b</sup>	NOTCH1	FADD	
	SUZ12		SMAD4	CDKN2A	
			SETD2	CSMD1	
			PTCH1	SOX2	
			AXIN1	LRP1B	
			BAP1	EGFR	
			CDH1	FAT1	

Table 2 Comparison of candidate driving genes of same cancer type from AYAs with those from all age group

<sup>a</sup>Emphasized results from published paper (q < 0.0001) <sup>b</sup>There was not available emphasized result of CNVs

genomes of patients and genomics-driven knowledge enabled personalized medicine and advanced cancer genomics for clinical implications [40]. Moreover, to establish the clinical validity of genetic tests, especially for NGS data, the FDA discussed 'post-marketing pursuit' to define the clinical implications of variants generated from NGS, which have remained unknown [41]. Therefore, we expect many prospective genomic studies, such as our study, to link the patient to therapy as well as diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring [42].

#### Conclusion

We analyzed seven different metastatic AYA cancers' genome, and potential targets were identified. Genetic alterations in cancers from AYA and those from all age groups were varied by their cancer type.

### **Additional files**

Additional file 1: Figure S1. WES pipeline for our study. Figure S2. Pattern-based heuristic annotation to identify driving genetic alterations. Figure S3. Pattern-based heuristic annotation for large-scale samples. Figure S4. Chromosome-level CNVs of AYA cancers from OncoScan™ and VarScan2. Figure S5. Sequencing validation of RASA2 and NF1 in AYA01 sample. Figure S6. Concurrency of RasGAPs in large-scale studies. Figure S7. EML4-ALK validation in AYA09 cells. (DOCX 50738 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S1. Sequencing information for WES data. (PDF 95 kb)

Additional file 3: Table S2. Mutation frequency of WES data for AYA cancers. (PDF 50 kb)

Additional file 4: Table S3. Processed WES data. (PDF 635 kb)

Additional file 5: Table 54. Patient-specific genetic alterations of TCGA AML study selected by our pattern-based annotation. (PDF 156 kb)

Additional file 6: Table S5. Candidate driving genetic alterations of AYA cancers. (PDF 150 kb)

Additional file 7: Table S6. RasGAPs in large-scale studies. (PDF 234 kb)

Additional file 8: Table S7. EML4-ALK fusion in AYA09. (PDF 128 kb)

Additional file 9: Supplementary materials and methods. (DOC 21 kb)

Additional file 10: Table S8. CVE list. (PDF 285 kb)

#### Abbreviation

AYA: adolescent and young adult; CNV: copy number variation; FFPE: formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; GATK: the genome analysis toolkit; HNSCC: head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; ICGC: international cancer genome consortium; IGV: integrative genomics viewer; LM-PCR: ligationmediated PCR; NGS: next generation sequencing; SNV: single nucleotide variant; TCGA: the cancer genome atlas; TGCT: testicular germ cell tumor; WES: whole exome sequencing; WTS: whole transcriptome sequencing.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

SC, SHL, JIK designed the study. SC, SHL drafted the manuscript. SC, JL, JYS performed experiment and data analyses. JYK, SHS, BK, TMK, DWK, DSH participated in critical review of study design and data analyses, SC, JL, JYS, JYK, SHS, BK, TMK, DWK, DSH reviewed the manuscript and criticized it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grant 03-2014-0290 from the Seoul National University Hospital Research Fund. This research was also supported by the MSIP (The Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning), Korea and Microsoft Research, under the ICT/SW Creative research program supervised by the NIPA (National ICT Industry Promotion Agency)" (NIPA-2014-ITAH051014011012)". We thank Ji-Eun Yoon and Su Jung Huh for collecting the tumor tissue samples and matched normal blood as well as for extracting genetic materials. Additionally, we thank Jiae Koh for revising the draft.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>2</sup>Interdisciplinary Program for Bioengineering of Graduate School, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>3</sup>Genomic Medicine Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University Graduate School, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>6</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. <sup>7</sup>Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. <sup>8</sup>Department of Health Sciences and Technology, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, South Korea.

#### Received: 30 July 2015 Accepted: 20 February 2016 Published online: 29 February 2016

#### References

- Stratton MR, Campbell PJ, Futreal PA. The cancer genome. Nature. 2009; 458(7239):719–24.
- Garraway LA, Lander ES. Lessons from the cancer genome. Cell. 2013;153(1): 17–37.
- Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, Zhou S, Diaz Jr LA, Kinzler KW. Cancer genome landscapes. Science. 2013;339(6127):1546–58.
- Lawrence MS, Stojanov P, Mermel CH, Robinson JT, Garraway LA, Golub TR, Meyerson M, Gabriel SB, Lander ES, Getz G. Discovery and saturation analysis of cancer genes across 21 tumour types. Nature 2014, 505(7484):495-501.
- Bleyer A, Barr R, Hayes-Lattin B, Thomas D, Ellis C, Anderson B, Biology, Clinical Trials Subgroups of the USNCIPRGiA, Young Adult O. The distinctive biology of cancer in adolescents and young adults. Nature reviews Cancer 2008, 8(4):288-98.
- Tricoli JV, Seibel NL, Blair DG, Albritton K, Hayes-Lattin B. Unique characteristics of adolescent and young adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia, breast cancer, and colon cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011;103(8):628–35.
- Foster JM, Oumie A, Togneri FS, Vasques FR, Hau D, Taylor M, Tinkler-Hundal E, Southward K, Medlow P, McGreeghan-Crosby K et al. Cross-laboratory validation of the OncoScan(R) FFPE Assay, a multiplex tool for whole genome tumour profiling. BMC Med Genomics 2015, 8:5.
- Stransky N, Egloff AM, Tward AD, Kostic AD, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, Kryukov GV, Lawrence MS, Sougnez C, McKenna A et al. The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science 2011, 333(6046):1157-60.
- Van Allen EM, Wagle N, Stojanov P, Perrin DL, Cibulskis K, Marlow S, Jane-Valbuena J, Friedrich DC, Kryukov G, Carter SL et al. Whole-exome sequencing and clinical interpretation of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor samples to guide precision cancer medicine. Nature medicine 2014, 20(6):682-88.
- Ho AS, Kannan K, Roy DM, Morris LG, Ganly I, Katabi N, Ramaswami D, Walsh LA, Eng S, Huse JT et al. The mutational landscape of adenoid cystic carcinoma. Nature genetics 2013, 45(7):791-98.
- 11. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(14):1754–760.
- 12. PICARD [http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/]
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M et al: The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome research 2010, 20(9):1297-303.
- Cibulskis K, Lawrence MS, Carter SL, Sivachenko A, Jaffe D, Sougnez C, Gabriel S, Meyerson M, Lander ES, Getz G. Sensitive detection of somatic point mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. Nature biotechnology 2013, 31(3):213-19.
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38(16):e164.
- Koboldt DC, Zhang Q, Larson DE, Shen D, McLellan MD, Lin L, et al. VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. Genome Res. 2012;22(3):568–76.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, Genome Project Data Processing S: The Sequence Alignment/ Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 2009, 25(16):2078-79.
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. Integrative genomics viewer. Nature biotechnology 2011, 29(1):24-6.
- Kandoth C, McLellan MD, Vandin F, Ye K, Niu B, Lu C, Xie M, Zhang Q, McMichael JF, Wyczalkowski MA et al. Mutational landscape and significance across 12 major cancer types. Nature 2013, 502(7471):333-39.
- da Huang W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4(1):44–57.
- Griffith M, Griffith OL, Coffman AC, Weible JV, McMichael JF, Spies NC, Koval J, Das I, Callaway MB, Eldred JM et al. DGIdb: mining the druggable genome. Nature methods 2013, 10(12):1209-210.
- Ge H, Liu K, Juan T, Fang F, Newman M, Hoeck W. FusionMap: detecting fusion genes from next-generation sequencing data at base-pair resolution. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(14):1922–928.

- McPherson A, Hormozdiari F, Zayed A, Giuliany R, Ha G, Sun MG, Griffith M,Heravi Moussavi A, Senz J, Melnyk N et al. deFuse: an algorithm for gene fusion discovery in tumor RNA-Seq data. PLoS computational biology 2011, 7(5):e1001138.
- 24. lyer MK, Chinnaiyan AM, Maher CA. ChimeraScan: a tool for identifying chimeric transcription in sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(20): 2903–904.
- Litchfield K, Summersgill B, Yost S, Sultana R, Labreche K, Dudakia D, Renwick A, Seal S, Al-Saadi R, Broderick P et al. Whole-exome sequencing reveals the mutational spectrum of testicular germ cell tumours. Nat Commun. 2015;6:5973.
- Lawrence MS, Stojanov P, Polak P, Kryukov GV, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, Carter SL, Stewart C, Mermel CH, Roberts SA et al. Mutational heterogeneity in cancer and the search for new cancer-associated genes. Nature. 2013; 499(7457):214–18.
- Ding L, Ley TJ, Larson DE, Miller CA, Koboldt DC, Welch JS, Ritchey JK, Young MA, Lamprecht T, McLellan MD et al. Clonal evolution in relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Nature. 2012;481(7382):506–10.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(22):2059–74.
- Stites EC, Trampont PC, Haney LB, Walk SF, Ravichandran KS. Cooperation between noncanonical ras network mutations. Cell Reports. 2015. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.035.
- McGillicuddy LT, Fromm JA, Hollstein PE, Kubek S, Beroukhim R, De Raedt T, Johnson BW, Williams SM, Nghiemphu P, Liau LM et al. Proteasomal and genetic inactivation of the NF1 tumor suppressor in gliomagenesis. Cancer Cell. 2009;16(1):44–54.
- Min J, Zaslavsky A, Fedele G, McLaughlin SK, Reczek EE, De Raedt T, Guney I, Strochlic DE, Macconaill LE, Beroukhim R et al. An oncogene-tumor suppressor cascade drives metastatic prostate cancer by coordinately activating Ras and nuclear factor-kappaB. Nat Med. 2010;16(3):286–94.
- Negrini S, Gorgoulis VG, Halazonetis TD. Genomic instability–an evolving hallmark of cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2010;11(3):220–28.
- Pei XH, Bai F, Smith MD, Usary J, Fan C, Pai SY, Ho IC, Perou CM, Xiong Y. CDK inhibitor p18(INK4c) is a downstream target of GATA3 and restrains mammary luminal progenitor cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell. 2009;15(5):389–401.
- 34. Cancer Genome Atlas N. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015;517(7536):576–82.
- Agrawal N, Frederick MJ, Pickering CR, Bettegowda C, Chang K, Li RJ, Fakhry C, Xie TX, Zhang J, Wang J et al. Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. Science. 2011; 333(6046):1154–157.
- Martin D, Abba MC, Molinolo AA, Vitale-Cross L, Wang Z, Zaida M, Delic NC, Samuels Y, Lyons JG, Gutkind JS. The head and neck cancer cell oncogenome: a platform for the development of precision molecular therapies. Oncotarget. 2014;5(19):8906–923.
- Pringle LM, Young R, Quick L, Riquelme DN, Oliveira AM, May MJ, Chou MM. Atypical mechanism of NF-kappaB activation by TRE17/ubiquitin-specific protease 6 (USP6) oncogene and its requirement in tumorigenesis. Oncogene. 2012;31(30):3525–535.
- Wood RD, Mitchell M, Sgouros J, Lindahl T. Human DNA repair genes. Science. 2001;291(5507):1284–289.
- Barbieri CE, Baca SC, Lawrence MS, Demichelis F, Blattner M, Theurillat JP, White TA, Stojanov P, Van Allen E, Stransky N et al. Exome sequencing identifies recurrent SPOP, FOXA1 and MED12 mutations in prostate cancer. Nat Genet. 2012;44(6):685–89.
- Garraway LA, Verweij J, Ballman KV. Precision oncology: an overview. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(15):1803–805.
- 41. Evans BJ, Burke W, Jarvik GP. The FDA and genomic tests getting regulation right. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:2258–264.
- 42. Lee SH, Sim SH, Kim JY, Cha S, Song A. Application of cancer genomics to solve unmet clinical needs. Genomics Inform. 2013;11(4):174–79.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

