

Preclinical Pharmacokinetics and *In Vitro* Properties of GS-441524, a Potential Oral Drug Candidate for COVID-19 Treatment

Amy Q. Wang*, Natalie R. Hagen, Elias C. Padilha, Mengbi Yang, Pranav Shah, Catherine Z. Chen, Wenwei Huang, Pramod Terse, Philip Sanderson, Wei Zheng and Xin Xu*

Division of Preclinical Innovation, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Steven De Jonghe, KU Leuven, Belgium

Reviewed by:

Jiashu Xie, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, United States Piyush Baindara, University of Missouri, United States Subrata Deb, Larkin University, United States

*Correspondence:

Amy Q. Wang amy.wang@nih.gov Xin Xu xin.xu3@nih.gov

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Drug Metabolism and Transport, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 13 April 2022 Accepted: 15 June 2022 Published: 16 August 2022

Citation:

Wang AQ, Hagen NR, Padilha EC, Yang M, Shah P, Chen CZ, Huang W, Terse P, Sanderson P, Zheng W and Xu X (2022) Preclinical Pharmacokinetics and In Vitro Properties of GS-441524, a Potential Oral Drug Candidate for COVID-19 Treatment. Front. Pharmacol. 13:918083. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.918083 Preclinical pharmacokinetics (PK) and *In Vitro* ADME properties of GS-441524, a potential oral agent for the treatment of Covid-19, were studied. GS-441524 was stable *in vitro* in liver microsomes, cytosols, and hepatocytes of mice, rats, monkeys, dogs, and humans. The plasma free fractions of GS-441524 were 62–78% across all studied species. The *in vitro* transporter study results showed that GS-441524 was a substrate of MDR1, BCRP, CNT3, ENT1, and ENT2; but not a substrate of CNT1, CNT2, and ENT4. GS-441524 had a low to moderate plasma clearance (CL_p), ranging from 4.1 mL/min/kg in dogs to 26 mL/min/kg in mice; the steady state volume distribution (Vd_{ss}) ranged from 0.9 L/kg in dogs to 2.4 L/kg in mice after IV administration. Urinary excretion appeared to be the major elimination process for GS-441524. Following oral administration, the oral bioavailability was 8.3% in monkeys, 33% in rats, 39% in mice, and 85% in dogs. The PK and ADME properties of GS-441524 support its further development as an oral drug candidate.

Keywords: GS-441524, SARS-CoV-2, pharmacokinetics, oral bioavailability, UPLC-MS/MS, nucleoside transporters

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) became a global pandemic shortly after its emergence in late 2019. The research community and health care systems have quickly responded to the emergency of the pandemic with vaccine and therapeutics development. Among the successful repurposing attempts, remdesivir (GS-5734), originally developed for Ebola virus (Warren et al., 2016a), has been approved for the treatment of hospitalized COVID-19 patients by the US FDA and other regulatory agencies, after it was shown to reduce the time to recovery by 33% in the treatment group (Beigel et al., 2020a). More recently, remdesivir, given as an early 3-day course in mild to moderate COVID-19 patients at risk of progression to severe disease, was shown to reduce progression to hospitalization or death by 87% (Gottlieb et al., 2021). However, remdesivir can only be given by intravenous administration (IV) (Beigel et al., 2020b) which significantly limits its use (Goldman et al., 2020).

Currently, three COVID-19 vaccines have been approved by US FDA and several other vaccines are under late-stage clinical development. Vaccine development for COVID-19 has progressed at unprecedented speed and resulted in effective vaccines within 1 year. However, on-going viral gene mutations have been giving rise to new variants of SARS-CoV-2, creating potential antigenic drift

1

and vaccine escape. Therefore, safe, effective, widely available, and orally administered antiviral drugs are still needed for COVID-19 treatment.

Two oral drugs have recently been authorized by the FDA for emergency use for early treatment of mild to moderate COVID-19 patients at high risk of progression to severe disease. Firstly, Paxlovid (Owen et al., 2021), a combination of nirmatrelvir, an inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 3C-like protease (3CLpro), and the cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor ritonavir, was authorized after it was shown to reduce progression to hospitalization or death by 88% compared to placebo (https://www.fda.gov/news-events/ press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-

authorizes-first-oral-antiviral-treatment-covid-19). Secondly, Molnupiravir (MK-4482, EIDD-2801), an oral prodrug of nucleoside N-hydroxycytidine (NHC, EIDD-1931) (Sheahan et al., 2020), was authorized after it reduced the progression to hospitalization of death by 30% compared to placebo (https:// www.fda.gov/media/154472/download). Both drugs have limitations: drug-drug interaction potential with Paxlovid, and poor efficacy and mutagenic potential with Molnupiravir. Consequently, there remains a need for effective and safe oral drugs.

Remdesivir is a phosphoramidate prodrug of nucleoside analog GS-441524. GS-441524 is itself a prodrug of the common active compound GS-441524 triphosphate (GS-443902). GS-443902 functions as a pseudosubstrate of SARS-CoV-2 RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP), an enzyme essential for viral RNA replication in host cells. GS-443902 competes with adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as an RdRP substrate, and once incorporated into viral RNA, inhibits RdRP activity (Siegel et al., 2017). EIDD-1931 triphosphate is also an RdRP substrate, but its mechanism is different, as it induces mutations in the virus RNA leading to error catastrophe. Activation of GS-441524 requires an initial phosphorylation to GS-441524 monophosphate and this step is perceived to be rate limiting in its metabolism to GS-443902. Remdesivir was developed to bypass the initial phosphorylation by using a cell permeable phosphoramidate prodrug which is enzymatically hydrolyzed directly to the monophosphate. As a consequence of the prodrug design, remdesivir has a lengthy synthesis (Siegel et al., 2017). Remdesivir was studied in a clinical trial to treat the Ebola virus infection in 2015, but its development was terminated owing to lower efficacy compared to antibody-based therapy (Mulangu et al., 2019). GS-441524 is reported to be an effective treatment of feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) caused by feline coronavirus (Dickinson et al., 2020). The cellular uptake and phosphorylation of GS-441524 (Rasmussen et al., 2021), PK of ester prodrugs of GS-441524 in mice (Wei et al., 2021), and the in vivo efficacy in a SARS-CoV-2 mouse model (Li et al., 2021) of GS-441524 have been reported recently. In addition, PK and efficacy of a triester prodrug of GS-441524 in mouse and ferret models of SARS-CoV-2 infection have been reported (Cox et al., 2021; Schafer et al., 2021). The pharmacodynamic study of remdesivir in nonhuman primates exhibited evidence of its conversion to GS-441524 in plasma (Warren et al., 2016b). Recent clinical reports indicated that GS-441524 was the major circulating metabolite after remdesivir IV

administration (Humeniuk et al., 2020a; Tempestilli et al., 2020). Therefore, GS-441524 together with its ester prodrugs or analogues may have a potential to be developed as an oral drug for COVID-19 treatment.

In this study, we assessed the oral bioavailability of GS-441524 in animal species and systematically evaluated in vitro and in vivo ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination) properties of GS-441524, including interactions with nucleoside transporters. PK studies of GS-441524 were performed after IV and PO administrations in C57BL/6 mice, Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats, Cynomolgus monkeys, and Beagle dogs to understand the bioavailability and disposition parameters of GS-441524. All PK data were presented to the public immediately after they were available (https:// opendata.ncats.nih.gov/covid19/GS-441524, October 2020). Allometric scaling was utilized to estimate human plasma clearance (CLp) and volume of distribution at steady state (Vd_{ss}) from the preclinical PK results. Additionally, the inhibitory activity of GS-441524 was confirmed in Vero E6 cells (derived from the kidney of an African green monkey) and a 3D human airway epithelial (HAE) tissue model of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Formic acid and LC-MS grade acetonitrile were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, United States). Isopropyl alcohol and LC-MS grade methanol and acetonitrile were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). Deionized water was generated using a Milli-Q Ultrapure water purification system from EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA, United States). GS-442524 and ¹³C₅-GS-441524 (SIL-internal standard, SIL-IS) were ordered from AK Scientific (Union City, CA) and Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Tewksbury, MA), respectively. Control male C57BL6 mouse, Sprague Dawley rat, Cynomolgus monkey and Beagle dog plasmas were ordered from Bioreclamation IVT (Westbury, NY, United States). For Vero E6 CPE assay and EpiAirway efficacy study, GS-441524 and remdesivir were purchased from MedChem Express (Monmouth Junction, NJ), and EIDD-2801 was purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI).

SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Vero E6 Cells Measured by Cytopathic Effect (CPE)

The CPE assay was performed by the contract BSL-3 laboratory (Southern Research Institute) as described previously (Chen et al., 2020; Gorshkov et al., 2020). Briefly, Vero E6 cells previously selected for high ACE2 expression were cultured in MEM plus 2% heat-inactivated FBS supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep, 1% GlutaMax, and 1% HEPES. The cells were inoculated at a MOI of 0.002 with SARS-CoV-2 (USA_WA1/2020) in media and quickly dispensed into 384-well assay plates containing test compounds at 30 µL/well (4,000 cells/well). After 72 h incubation at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 90% humidity, 30 µL/well of the CellTiter-Glo ATP content assay reagent mixture (Promega, #G7573) was added that was incubated for 10 min at room temperature followed by a detection of luminescence signal on a Perkin Elmer Envision or BMG CLARIOstar plate reader. CPE data normalization was performed by normalizing DMSO treated control as 0% CPE reduction, and no virus control as 100% CPE reduction. The compound cytotoxicity was detected using the same protocol as CPE assay in the absence of SARS-CoV-2 virus. Cytotoxicity data normalization was performed by normalizing DMSO treated control as 100% cell viability, and hyamine treated control as 0% cell viability. The assay ready plate containing compounds was prepared using an Echo acoustic dispensing instrument (Labcyte) that had 90 nL aliquot of each diluted sample per well. The final DMSO concentration in the assay was 0.3%. All compounds were tested in replicates (n = 2). Sigmoidal concentration-response curve fitting was performed in GraphPad Prism.

SARS-CoV-2 Infection in a 3D EpiAirway Model

The 3D EpiAirway infection model was contracted to the Center for Predictive Medicine for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases at the Louisville University. Differentiated human tracheobronchial epithelial tissues (EpiAirway[™] AIR-100) were obtained from MatTek Corporation (Ashland, MA). EpiAirway tissues were grown on 6 mm² mesh disks in transwell inserts. Three days prior to shipment, the tissues were transferred into hydrocortisone-free medium. The tissues were shipped on a sheet of agarose, which was removed upon receipt, and the tissues were cultured on inserts at ALI. One insert is estimated to consist of approximately 1.2×10^6 cells. Tissues were pretreated with compounds for 1 h on the apical and basal sides. After compound pretreatment, liquid was removed from the apical side, and virus (2019-nCoV/USA-WAl/2020 at MOI of 0.1) was inoculated in 0.15 mL assay medium onto the apical layer for 1 ho. Following 1 h inoculation, virus-containing media was removed from apical layer and the apical side was washed with 400 µL TEER buffer. Media on the basolateral side was replaced with fresh compound-containing maintenance medium at 24, 48 and 72 h post-infection. At 24 h and 96 h post-infection, samples from the apical layer of the tissue were collected by washing layer with 400 µL TEER buffer and aliquoted for TCID₅₀ (50% Tissue Culture Infectious Dose) determination. Media from the basal layer of the tissue were collected at the same time points for LDH cytotoxicity measurements (LDH-Glo Cytotoxicity Assay, Promega). TCID₅₀ assay was performed as previously described (Gorshkov et al., 2020). The apical layer supernatant samples were diluted at 1 to 8 ratio that were added to 96-well assay plates containing 10,000/well Vero E6 cells, followed by incubation at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 95% relative humidity. After an incubation for 3 days (72 ± 4 h), crystal violet staining was performed to measure cytopathic effect (CPE) in the assay plates and the virus titers were calculated using the method of Reed and Muench (Reed and Muench, 1938). All compounds were tested in replicates (n = 2).

Evaluation of *In Vitro* ADME Properties of GS-441524

Aqueous Solubility

Kinetic aqueous solubility was determined at NCATS using Pion's patented μ SOL assay as described previously (Sun et al., 2019).

Equilibrium solubility was measured in pH 2.0, 5.0, 7.4 aqueous buffers as well as Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF; pH 1.6), Fasted State Simulated Intestinal Fluid (FaSSIF; pH 6.5) and Fed State Simulated Intestinal Fluid (FaSSIF; pH 5.8). Briefly, >1 mg of GS-441524 powder was combined with 1 mL of buffer to make a >1 mg/mL mixture. Samples were shaken on a Thermomixer[®] overnight at room temperature and then passed through a 0.45 µm PTFE syringe filter. The filtrate was then sampled and diluted in a 1:1 mixture of buffer:ACN prior to analysis by UPLC-MS/MS.

Parallel Artificial Membrane Permeability Assay

Stirring double-sink PAMPA (patented by Pion Inc.) was employed to determine permeability. Assay was performed as described previously (Sun et al., 2017). The PAMPA lipid membrane, which consisted of an artificial membrane of a proprietary lipid mixture and dodecane (Pion Inc.), was optimized to predict cell membrane permeability. This artificial membrane was immobilized on a plastic matrix of a 96-well "donor" filter plate placed below a 96-well "acceptor" plate. Both "donor" and "acceptor" wells were buffered to pH 7.4. During the 30-min permeation period at room temperature, GS-441524 samples in the donor compartment were stirred using the Gutbox technology (Pion Inc.) to reduce the unstirred water layer. GS-441524 concentrations in the "donor" and "acceptor" compartments were measured using a UV plate reader (Nano Quant, Infinite(R) 200 PRO, Tecan Inc., Mannedorf, Switzerland). Permeability calculations were performed using Pion Inc. software and were expressed in the unit of 10^{-6} cm/s.

Metabolic Stability Assays

In the liver microsomal stability experiment, each reaction mixture (110 µL) consisted of GS-441524 (1 µM), human/SD rat/CD-1 mouse/Cynomolgus monkey/Beagle dog (Sekisui XenoTech, LLC) microsomal fractions (0.5 mg/mL), and NADPH regenerating system (1 µM) in phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. In the Cytosol stability experiment, each reaction mixture (110 µL) consisted of GS-441524 (1 µM) and rat/CD-1 human/S.D. mouse/Gottingen minipig/ Cynomolgus monkey/Beagle dog (Sekisui XenoTech, LLC) cytosol fractions (2 mg/mL) in phosphate buffer (100 mM) at pH 7.4. Reaction mixtures were incubated in 384-well plates at 37°C for 0, 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60-min. The reaction was terminated by adding three volumes of cold ACN with internal standard (IS). Samples were analyzed using UPLC-MS/MS. Analysis and half-life calculations were performed using a previously described method (Shah et al., 2016; Shah et al., 2020).

Cryopreserved mixed-gender human, male Sprague-Dawley rat, male CD-1 mouse, male Beagle dog, and male Cynomolgus monkey hepatocytes (Sekisui XenoTech, LLC) were thawed and equilibrated at 37° C. The reaction was initiated by spiking the test compound into the hepatocyte suspension at a 1 μ M final GS-441524 concentration. The reaction mixture was incubated in a shaking water bath at 37° C and aliquots were withdrawn at 0, 5, 15, 30, 60 and 120 min. The reaction was terminated by adding three volumes of cold ACN with IS. Samples were centrifuged and analyzed by UPLC-MS/MS.

Plasma Protein Binding, Whole Blood-to-Plasma and Red Blood Cell (RBC)-to-Plasma Partitioning

Plasma protein binding experiments were carried out in mixed-gender human plasma, male Sprague-Dawley rat plasma, male CD-1 plasma, male Beagle dog plasma, and male Cynomolgus monkey plasma (BioIVT) using a Pierce Rapid Equilibration Dialysis (RED) device. Briefly, plasma (300 μ L), containing GS-441524 (10 μ M), was loaded into two wells of the 96-well dialysis plate (donor chamber). Blank phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (500 μ L) was added to each corresponding receiver chamber. After 4 h of incubation (with shaking at 37°C), both sides were aliquoted, and matrix was normalized. Samples were centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min after adding two volumes of ACN with IS. Supernatants were diluted 1:1 with water and analyzed with LC-MS/MS.

Plasma Protein binding was calculated as follows:

% Bound = [(PARR in Donor-PARR in Receiver)/(PARR in Donor)] × 100.

PARR = peak area response ratio to internal standard, including applicable dilution factors.

Blood partitioning studies were carried out in mixedgender human whole blood, male Sprague-Dawley rat whole blood, male CD-1 whole blood, male Beagle dog whole blood, and male Cynomolgus monkey whole blood (BioIVT). Control plasma was obtained by centrifuging whole blood at 1,000 g for 10 min. Aliquots of whole blood and control plasma were then spiked with GS-441524 (10 µM) and incubated at 37°C for 1 h in a shaking water bath. The incubated whole blood was then centrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 g and plasma isolated from blood was separated. All samples of control plasma and plasma isolated from blood (blood plasma) were treated with four volumes of ACN with IS and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were diluted 1:1 with water and analyzed by UPLC-MS/MS. The RBC to Plasma Partitioning (KRBC/P) and Whole Blood to Plasma Partitioning (KWB/P) were calculated as follows:

KRBC/P = (1/H) * (RCP/RBP-1) + 1KWB/P = RCP/RBP

H = Hematocrit, RCP = Response ratio in control plasma, RBP = Response ratio in blood plasma

Metabolite Identification in Mouse, Rat, Dog, Monkey, and Human Hepatocytes

Plateable cryopreserved hepatocytes from male CD-1 mouse (Lot# DYF, 81.2% viability), male SD rat (Lot# PMD, 88.1% viability), male Beagle dog (Lot# YTK, 90.0% viability), male Cynomolgus monkey (Lot# GJV, 88.7% viability), and male human (Lot# PDC, 82.9% viability) were purchased from BioIVT (Baltimore, MD). Following vendor's instructions, the hepatocyte vials were thawed in a 37°C water bath and cells were suspended in 5 mL of corresponding plating media (antibiotic added, rodent media for mouse and rat, dog media for dog, and primate media for monkey and human). The cells were manually counted using Trypan Blue method and were diluted to target concentration (0.35 million/mL for mouse and 0.7 million/mL for other species) with plating media. Diluted cells (500 µL) were then added to Collagen-I coated 24-well plate, distributed evenly, and incubated for 4 h for attaching. Media was changed 4 h prior to compound addition.

GS-441524 was incubated at 1 and 10 μ M at 37°C for 24 and 48 h with 5% CO₂. After 24 and 48 h, the reaction was stopped by adding 3x volumes of cold acetonitrile to the reaction mixture. Samples were vortexed and centrifuged to precipitate proteins. The supernatants were transferred to clean tubes and dried under a stream of N₂ for ~20 min at ambient temperature to remove most of organic solvent. The remaining liquid was dried by lyophilization. The residues were reconstituted with 10% methanol and injected onto the LC-UV/MS system for metabolite identification and profiling.

The analytical system consisted of a Dionex UHPLC 3000 (pumps, autosampler and PDA) interfaced to Orbitrap-Elite mass spectrometer. Liquid chromatography was accomplished using a Waters Atlantis T3 (5 μ m, 4.6 × 250 mm) column and a Phenomenex C18 (4 × 2mm) pre-column. Mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B) at 800 μ L/min flow rate. The run started with 100% of solvent A for 5 min and gradually changed to 90% A after 25 min followed by 5% A from 28 to 30 min and 100% A from 30.5 to 40 min.

The Orbitrap-Elite mass spectrometer operated with a full scan range of m/z 100–1,000 with resolution of 15,000 and data dependent MS^n (n = 4) acquisition mode for analysis. The source operated in positive ionization mode with spray voltage of 4kV; capillary temperature, 320°C; sheath gas flow rate 50 (arbitrary unit); auxiliary gas flow rate 15 (arbitrary unit); activation Q, 0.25; and collision energy, 35.

Transporter Assays MDR1 and BCRP Substrate Screening

Caco-2 (American Type Culture Collection), MDR1-MDCK (NIH), and BCRP-MDCK (transfected by Absorption Systems) cell monolayers were grown to confluence on collagen-coated, microporous membranes in 12-well assay plates. Caco-2 cells were cultured for 27 days, MDCK-MDR1 and MDCK-BCRP cells were cultured for 8 days

ADME-PK Studies of GS-441524

prior to experiments. Two marker compounds, propranolol and atenolol, were used to confirm the integrity and function of the cell membrane. The experiment was carried out in Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 10 mM HEPES and 15 mM glucose at pH 7.4. HBSS in the receiver chamber also contained 1% bovine serum albumin. Cells were first pre-incubated for 0.5 h in HBSS with or without the specific inhibitor (1 µM valspodar or 0.5 µM Ko143 for MDR1 and BCRP, respectively). The dosing solution contained 5 µM of GS-441524 with the presence or absence of the specific inhibitor. Cell monolayers were dosed on the apical side (A to B) or basolateral side (B to A) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. Samples were taken from the donor and receiver chambers at 2 h. Each experiment was performed in duplicate. All samples were assayed by LC-MS/ MS. The apparent permeability (P_{app}) and percent recovery were calculated as described previously (Katoh et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008).

Nucleoside Transporters

MDCK-II cells were maintained in DMEM with low glucose and 10% FBS. Cells passages up to 40 were seeded at 60,000 \pm 10,000 cells/well on 96-well transwell membrane plates (Millipore Millicell 96-well insert plates with PCF-0.4 mm membranes). Cell plates were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere prior to initiation of the experiment. Approximately 24 h after seeding, cells were transfected with an expression system for the individual transporters using a novel in situ transfection technology (Opti-Expression"), which allows consistent and effective transfection of polarized cell monolayers. Cells were either transfected with a vector encoding the transporter gene of interest, or a control vector encoding green fluorescent were protein. Transporter experiments initiated approximately 48 h after transfection of the expression vectors. Experiments were conducted in HBSS for CNTs and ENT 1 and 2 or HBSS-Bis-Tris (pH 6.0) for ENT4. Each condition was run in triplicate. A volume of 150 µl buffer containing 10 µM, 30 µM (with or without reference inhibitor for each transporter), and 100 µM GS-441524 (0.5% DMSO) was added to basal compartment for ENT2, ENT4 assay. A volume of 100 µl buffer was added to apical compartment for ENT1 and CNTs assay. Plates were incubated at 37°C with orbital shaking at approximately 60 rpm for 5 min. The cells were washed on both the apical and the basolateral side for four times with ice cold PBS followed by addition of 60 µL cell extraction solution to the 96-well plate. Identical experiments were conducted using cells expressing the transporter of interest and control cells which did not express the transporter. The plates were agitated to mix the extract for 15 min on an orbital shaker at 120 rpm. A 30 µl sample extract was collected and mixed with 30 µl of internal standard before freezing the samples for further LC-MS/MS analysis. Activity was calculated by dividing the mean cellular accumulation in cells expressing the transporter by the mean cellular accumulation in control cells.

The net transporter-mediated uptake rate of the substrate by each transporter was calculated:

```
Transporter – mediated uptake rate (pmol/min/cm<sup>2</sup>) =

(Cellular accumulation in cells ex pressing the transporter) – (Mean cellular accumulation in control cells)

Sur face area *Incubation time
```

Percent inhibition was calculated by dividing the transportermediated uptake rate in presence of the test article or the reference inhibitor by the transporter-mediated uptake rate in presence of vehicle control:

```
Percent inhibition = 100 - 100*\frac{(Transporter - mediated uptake rate)_{with inhibitor}}{(Transporter - mediated uptake rate)_{vehicle control}}
```

Pharmacokinetics Studies

Adult male C57BL/6 mice and Sprague Dawley rats were purchased from Charles River Laboratory (Wilmington, MA). PK studies for mice and rats were conducted at NIH animal facility (Bethesda, MD). In-life dog and monkey PK studies were conducted at Absorption System (San Diego, CA) and Frontage Labs (Concord, OH), respectively. Dogs and monkeys were fasted overnight prior to dose administrations. All experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC) of the NIH Division of Veterinary Resources (DVR) or CRO labs for inlife studies.

Male C57BL6 mice (n = 3 per time point, 24–40 g) were dosed at 5 mg/kg IV or 10 mg/kg oral gavage. The dosing volume was 3 mL/kg for IV and 10 ml/kg for PO. All dosing solutions were freshly prepared on the day of administration. Blood samples were collected over 24 h.

Male Sprague–Dawley rats (n = 3 or 4 per treatment group, ~ 343-375 g) were dosed in IV and PO dosing groups: 1 and 5 mg/kg IV, and 10, 30 and 100 mg/kg PO, respectively. Double cannulated rats (one catheter for IV dosing and another one for sampling) were used. Plasma samples were collected from jugular vein over 24 h. In bile duct cannulated (BDC) rat group, a single IV dose of 2 mg/kg was administered to three rats. Rat urine and bile samples were collected for the pre-dose, 0–4 h, 4–8 h, and 8–24 h time periods for BDC rats.

Male Cynomolgus monkeys (n = 3 per treatment group, 3.3-4.3 kg) received IV and PO administration of 2 and 5 mg/kg of GS-441524, respectively. The dosing volumes were 2 mL/kg for IV and 5 mL/kg for PO. Blood samples were obtained from each monkey up to 48 h after IV and PO administration. Urine samples were collected over 48 h for IV group.

Male Beagle dogs (n = 3 per treatment group, 9.0-11.4 kg) received 2 mg/kg IV and 5 mg/kg PO of GS-441524 administration. The dosing volume was 1.0 mL/kg for both groups. Plasma (both IV and PO groups) and urine (IV group) samples were collected over a period of 48 h.

All GS-441524 dosing solutions were prepared in 5% ethanol, 30% PG, 45% PEG-400 and 20% water +1 equivalent of HCl. Blood samples from all groups and species were collected in K_2 EDTA tubes and stored on wet ice pending processing. Blood

was centrifuged at 2200 g at 4°C for 10 min to isolate plasma. All samples were stored at -80°C until analysis.

Pharmacokinetics Analysis

Phoenix WinNonlin, version 8.1 (Certara, St. Louis, MO), was used to perform PK analysis with the non-compartmental approach (Models 200 and 201 for PO and IV datasets). The area under the plasma concentration vs. time curve (AUC) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method. The terminal rate constant (λ) was obtained from the slope of at least three data points of the apparent terminal phase of the log-linear plasma concentration vs. time curve when available. AUC_{0-∞} was estimated as the sum of the AUC_{0-t} (where t is the time of the last measurable concentration) and Ct/ λ . The apparent terminal half-life (t_{V_2}) was calculated as 0.693/ λ . Bioavailability (F) was calculated using ratios of dose normalized AUC (AUC/Dose) obtained from PO and IV PK studies.

Allometric methods were used to predict human plasma clearance and volume of distribution (Mahmood and Balian, 1996; Sharma and McNeill, 2009). Human PK prediction was carried out with GastroPlus, version 9.6 (SimulationsPlus, Lancaster, CA)

UPLC-MS/MS Bioanalysis

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) methods were developed and optimized to determine GS-441524 concentrations in plasma, urine, and bile samples. The UPLC column was an AtlantisTM PREMIER BEH C18 AX (1.7 μ m, 2.1 \times 50 mm) purchased from Waters Corp. (Waltham, MA). The mobile phase A was 0.1% formic acid in water and B was 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. A gradient was run as follows: 0–0.2 min 1% B; 1.2 min 40% B; 1.3 min 95% B; 2.0 min 98% B; 2.1 min 1% B at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min.

Mass spectrometric analysis was performed on a Waters Xevo TQ-S triple quadrupole instrument using electrospray ionization in positive mode with the selected reaction monitoring. The data was acquired and analyzed using TargetLynx XS version 4.1 (Waters Corp.). Positive electrospray ionization data were acquired using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The source settings for temperature, ion spray voltage and cone voltage were 600°C, 1.0 kV and 25 V, respectively. The MRM transitions were 292 \rightarrow 163, 292 \rightarrow 202 and 292 \rightarrow 147 for GS-441524 and 297 \rightarrow 164 for SIL-IS.

The calibration standards and quality control samples (QCs) were prepared in the drug-free biological matrices. Pre-dose bile and urine samples were analyzed to confirm the absence of GS-441524 and used to prepare calibration standards and QCs. GS-441524 was extracted from plasma, bile, and urine samples by acetonitrile. Samples were prepared by adding a 20.0 μ L aliquot of samples, QCs, and standards into the appropriate wells of a 96-well plate. A 200 μ L aliquot of 50 ng/mL SIL-GS-441524 (internal standard, SIL-IS) in

acetonitrile solution was added to the samples. All samples were then thoroughly shaken for 5 min. The plates were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm and 4°C for 30 min and 150 μ L supernatant was transferred to a 96-well plate. A 0.3 μ L aliquot of plasma, urine, and bile supernatant were injected for UPLC-MS/MS analysis. The total run time was 3 min per sample.

For plasma and bile samples, the calibration standard for GS-441524 ranged from 1.00 to 10,000 ng/mL. Quality control samples (QC, n = 6) were prepared at 1.00 ng/mL, 10.0 ng/mL, 1,000 ng/mL, and 10,000 ng/mL in the corresponding matrix. The calibration standard ranged from 50.0 to 100,000 ng/mL for urine samples. QCs (n = 6) were prepared at 100 ng/mL, 1,000 ng/mL, 10,000 ng/mL, and 100,000 ng/mL in the corresponding urine matrix. GS-441524 was quantified by calculating peak area ratios of GS-441524 to SIL-IS. Concentrations of GS-441524 were determined by a weighted (1/concentration²) least squares linear regression method.

RESULTS

Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Vero E6 Cells and a 3D HAE Tissue Model by GS-441524

To confirm the inhibitory activity of GS-441524 against SARS-CoV-2 infection, we measured the cytopathic effect (CPE) in Vero E6 assay and viral titer in a 3D HAE model of SARS-CoV-2 infection using the methods previously described (Gorshkov et al., 2020). GS-441524 inhibited the CPE of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a concentration-dependent manner in Vero E6 cells. The EC₅₀ was 1.86 µM (95% CI of $1.58-2.15 \,\mu$ M), four-fold more potent than that of remdesivir, with an EC₅₀ of 7.43 μ M (95% CI of 6.99–7.90 μ M). Neither compound showed any cytotoxicity at 30 µM, the highest concentration tested (Figure 1A). GS-441524 also reduced viral titer in the EpiAirway 3D HAE tissue model, which contains three pseudostratified layers, including an apical ciliated surface, the underlying mucociliary epithelium, and a basal membrane, and is cultured at air-liquid interface (ALI). The median tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50) was measured after 24 h (Figure 1B) and 96 h (Figure 1C) of the initial SARS-CoV-2 infections; GS-441524 significantly inhibited the viral infection, with TCID50 similar to that of remdesivir. Both compounds did not exhibit any cytotoxicity in this model. However, both remdesivir and GS-441524 showed lower potency than EIDD-2801 at 24 h, while potency at 96 h was similar for all three compounds (Figures 1B,C). The results confirmed that the in vitro inhibitory activity of GS-441524 against SARS-CoV-2 is similar to that of remdesivir in the 3D HAE tissue model but slightly more potent in Vero E6 cells.



infection. All graphs show mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

GS-441524 *In Vitro* **ADME Properties** Kinetic Solubility and PAMPA Permeability

GS-441524 had low to moderate aqueous solubility of $35-52 \mu g/ml$ at pH 5-7.4 in Fasted and Fed State Simulated Intestinal Fluid (FaSSIF and FeSSIF) buffers, and high aqueous solubility >1 mg/mL at pH 1.6 in Simulated Gastric Fluid (SGF) buffers. A summary of the various solubility assays is provided in **Table 1**. GS-441524 also

had a low apparent passive permeability value ($P_{app} < 1 \ x \ 10^{-6} \ cm/s$) in the PAMPA assay.

Plasma Protein Binding, Whole Blood-to-Plasma Partitioning and Red Blood Cell (RBC)-to-Plasma Partitioning

Plasma protein binding studies and blood-to-plasma partitioning studies for GS-441524 were performed in

TABLE 1 | Summary of aqueous solubility for GS-441524 (Data presented are the average of duplicate samples).

Experiment type	Matrix	Solubility in aqueous buffer (mg/mL)
Kinetic Solubility	pH 7.4 buffer	0.042
Equilibrium Solubility	pH 2 buffer	>1
	pH 5 buffer	0.052
	pH 7.4 buffer	0.047
	SGF	>1
	FaSSIF	0.035
	FeSSIF	0.052

TABLE 2 | Summary of in vitro ADME properties for GS-441524 (Data presented are as mean and standard deviation of duplicate samples).

Experiment	Human	SD-Rat	CD-1 Mouse	Beagle Dog	Cynomolgus Monkey
Microsomal Stability t _{1/2} (min)	>120	61 ± 9	78 ± 3	>120	>120
Cytosol Stability t _{1/2} (min)	>120	>120	>120	>120	>120
Hepatocyte Stability t _{1/2} (min)	>120	>120	>120	>120	>120
Plasma Protein Binding (% bound)	21.5 ± 6.5	36.1 ± 10.5	35.1 ± 5.3	36.5 ± 3.7	38.1 ± 11.2
Blood-to-Plasma Partitioning (Ratio)	1.2 ± 0.04	1.2 ± 0.04	1.1 ± 0.07	1.2 ± 0.04	1.2 ± 0.03
Red blood cell-to-Plasma Partitioning (Ratio)	1.4 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1

TABLE 3 Active efflux of GS-441524 (5 μ M) in Caco-2, MDCK-MDR1 and MDCK-BCRP cell monolayers with the present and absence of Valspodar (MDR1 inhibitor) and Ko143 (BCRP inhibitor) (Data presented are the average of duplicate samples).

Cell Line	Inhibitor	$P_{app A to B}$	$P_{app B to A}$	Efflux Ratio
		(10 011/3)		
Caco-2	None	0.099	0.971	9.78
	1 µM Valspodar	0.16	0.79	4.93
	0.5 µM Ko143	0.229	0.267	1.16
MDCK-MDR1	None	0.158	0.521	3.29
	1 µM Valspodar	0.484	0.468	0.967
MDCK-BCRP	None	<0.197	0.385	>1.96
	0.5 µM Ko143	<0.198	<0.281	ND

human, rat, mouse, dog, and monkey (**Table 2**). Unbound fraction of GS-441524 was similar in rat, mouse, dog, and monkey (62–64%), whereas it was higher in human (~78%). Overall, GS-441524 was a low-plasma protein bound drug candidate. Whole blood-to-plasma partitioning and RBC-to-plasma ratios for GS-441524 were ~1.2 and ~1.45 in all species tested (**Table 2**).

Metabolic Stability in Liver Microsomes, Cytosols and Hepatocytes

The susceptibility of GS-441524 to various drug metabolizing enzymes in the liver was evaluated using liver microsomal and cytosolic fractions, and hepatocytes in five species including human, rat, mouse, dog, and monkey. GS-441524 exhibited high stability in human, dog, and monkey microsomal fractions and moderate-high stability in rat and mouse microsomal fractions. GS-441524 was found to be stable in cytosolic fractions of all five species.

The stability of GS-441524 was also determined in human, rat, mouse, dog, and monkey hepatocytes (**Table 2**), and the depletion of GS-441524 was very low across five species for up

to 2 h post incubation at 37°C, suggesting that GS-441524 is metabolically stable.

Metabolite Identification in Mouse, Rat, Dog, Monkey, and Human Hepatocytes

GS-441524 was stable in mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and human plateable hepatocytes after incubation for 48 h. Testosterone was used as positive control for this assay and showed the expected results in all species, demonstrating that hepatocytes used in this study were metabolically active. There were no detectable phosphorylated metabolites in these cells under conditions; current experimental other potential metabolites, such as biotransformation via oxidation or oxidative deamination, were also not found in postsamples. incubation However, three possible glucuronidation metabolites and one possible sulfation metabolite were detected at trace amount based on mass spectrum analysis. Due to the low concentrations of these metabolites, it was not possible to observe them in LC-UV signal and to obtain reliable fragment ion information to propose possible structures of these metabolites.

Transporter-Mediated Transport of GS-441524

For efflux transporter assessment, bidirectional transport assays were conducted using Caco-2, MDCK-MDR1 and MDCK-BCRP monolayer cell models. The efflux ratios $(P_{app \ B \ to \ A}/P_{app \ A \ to \ B})$ with or without transporter inhibitors were determined. All the monolayer cell models were validated using digoxin and cladribine as the positive controls of MDR1 and BCRP substrates, respectively. The efflux ratios of digoxin were 27 and 110 in Caco-2 and MDCK-MDR1 cells, while those of cladribine were 26 and 153 in Caco-2 and MDCK-BCRP cells, respectively. Recovery of GS-441524 was in the acceptable range (75–125%) in all efflux transporter assays.

In Caco-2 cells, the permeability from apical to basolateral side (P_{app A to B}) of GS-441524 was 0.099 × 10⁻⁶ cm/s. The efflux ratio of GS-441524 without any inhibitor was 9.78 in Caco-2 cells, and the addition of the MDR1 inhibitor (1 μ M Valspodar) reduced the efflux ratio to 4.93. In MDCK-MDR1 cells, efflux of GS-





441524 was completely inhibited by pre-incubation with Valspodar (**Table 3**), suggesting GS-441524 is a substrate for the MDR1 transporter.

We further investigated the potential roles of BCRP on the transport of GS-441524. Pre-incubation of BCRP inhibitor (0.5 μ M Ko143) in Caco-2 cells completely reduced the efflux ratio from 9.78 to 1.16 (**Table 3**). In MDCK-BCRP cells, the permeability from apical to basal side (P_{app A to B}) for GS-441524 was too low to be determined; thus, the efflux ratio could not be calculated. The permeability from basal to apical side (P_{app B to A}) decreased after pre-incubation of Ko143 (**Table 3**), suggesting GS-441524 could be a substrate of BCRP.

GS-441524 was further screened for six major uptake human nucleoside transporters, namely ENT1, ENT2, ENT4, CNT1, CNT2, and CNT3, on MDCK cells at concentrations of 10, 30 and 100 μ M (**Figure 2**). GS-441524 is a substrate for CNT3 and ENT1. Compared to matched control cells, the uptake of GS-441524 was 81.2 to 164-fold and 3.38 to 7.45-fold higher in CNT3- and ENT1-transfected cells, respectively. Upon addition of the reference inhibitor adenosine and NBMPR, 98.9 and 92.3% inhibition of this uptake was seen in CNT3- and ENT1-transfected cells, respectively. This confirms that GS-441524 is a substrate for CNT3 and ENT1. GS-441524 is unlikely to be a substrate for CNT1, ENT4, and CNT2 under the study conditions because less than a 2-fold (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020) difference in uptake for CNT1 and ENT4 was observed in transporter-transfected cells compared to control cells. In CNT2-transfected cells, uptake of GS-441524 was only slightly higher than 2-fold at 10 μ M and was less than 2-fold at 30 and 100 μ M.

In ENT2-transfected cells, uptake of GS-441524 was 3.95to 4.7-fold higher compared to that in the matched control cells, suggesting that GS-441524 is a substrate for ENT2. However, upon addition of the reference inhibitor adenosine, no inhibition was observed, indicating that ENT2-mediated uptake of GS-441524 may not be sensitive to inhibition by 100 μ M adenosine.

UPL-MS/MS Bioanalysis Method for GS-441524

An accurate, rapid, and selective UPLC-MS/MS method was developed to quantify GS-441524 in plasma, urine, and bile samples. GS-441524 had poor retention on regular C_{18} columns due to its hydrophilicity. Several UPLC columns were evaluated, including HILIC columns. Good chromatographic retention was achieved on an AtlantisTM PREMIER BEH C_{18} AX column (2.1 × 50 mm). The retention time for GS-441524 and SIL-IS (internal standard, $^{13}C_5$ -GS-441524) was 0.9 min with the gradient elution at the flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. For mass spectrometric detection, selected reaction monitoring (SRM) was used in the positive ionization mode. No endogenous components interfered with the analysis of GS-441524 and the internal standard in PK samples. The correlation coefficient of the calibration curves was greater than 0.99.

Pharmacokinetics of GS-441524 in Mice, Rats, Monkeys, and Dogs

In C57BL/6 mice, GS-441524 was administered at 5 mg/kg IV and 10 mg/kg PO to evaluate its bioavailability and PK profiles. Following IV administration, the clearance of GS-441524 determined with plasma drug concentrations was 26 mL/min/kg and the steady state volume of distribution (Vd_{ss}) was 2.4 L/kg. For 10 mg/kg PO administration, the peak plasma concentration was achieved at 1.5 h (T_{max} = 1.5 h; **Figure 3**)

TABLE 4 | Pharmacokinetic parameters of GS-441524 in mice, rats, monkeys, and dogs after single IV and PO administration.

Species IV*			PO**						
	CL _p (mL/min/kg)	Vd _{ss} (L/kg)	C _{max} (ng/mL)	T _{max} (h)	AUC _{0-∞} (ng⋅h/mL)	t _{1/2} (h)	F (%)		
Mouse***	26	2.4	582	1.5	2,540	3.9	39		
Rat	25 ± 1.6	2.2 ± 0.3	193 ± 57	3.8 ± 2.4	2,170 ± 643	3.4 ± 0.2	33 ± 9.8		
Monkey	9.5 ± 1.0	1.1 ± 0.04	59.4 ± 18.1	2.0 ± 0	734 ± 138	7.7 ± 1.4	8.3 ± 1.6		
Dog	4.1 ± 0.70	0.92 ± 0.078	$6,010 \pm 1,400$	0.28 ± 0.19	$19,000 \pm 5,200$	4.1 ± 1.1	85 ± 17		

*IV, dose: 5 mg/kg for mouse and rat; 2 mg/kg for dog and monkey.

**PO, dose: 10 mg/kg for mouse and rat; 5 mg/kg for dog and monkey.

***Mean concentrations at each time point were used in the pharmacokinetic parameter calculation.



with the maximum concentration (C_{max}) of 582 ng/mL. The halflife ($t_{1/2}$) was 3.9 h and the bioavailability was 39% with area under the curve (AUC) of 2,540 ng·h/mL. The results are summarized in **Table 4**.

In Sprague Dawley rats, GS-441524 was administered at 1 and 5 mg/kg IV; and 10, 30 and 100 mg/kg PO to evaluate its PK profiles (**Figure 4**). PK parameters are summarized in **Tables 4** and **5**. For IV administrations of 1 and 5 mg/kg, the clearances were 31 and 25 mL/min/kg, and Vd_{ss} were 2.6 and 2.2 L/kg, respectively (**Table 4**). After oral administration of 10 mg/kg, the bioavailability was estimated to be 33%. The C_{max} and AUC increased with the dose in a less than dose-proportional manner after 10, 30 and 100 mg/kg PO administration. The half-life (t_{1/2}) was 3.4–4.2 h. Following IV administration of 2 mg/kg in bile duct cannulated (BDC) rats, urinary and biliary excretions of unchanged parent drug were 65 and 0.8% of dose, respectively, suggesting that urinary excretion is a major elimination route for GS-441524 in rats.

In Cynomolgus monkeys, a single dose of GS-441524 (2 mg/kg IV and 5 mg/kg PO) was administrated (**Figure 5**). The mean clearance from three animals was 9.5 mL/min/kg and the mean steady state volume of distribution was 1.1 L/kg. C_{max} , AUC and $t_{1/2}$ are summarized in **Table 4**. Following PO administration, the bioavailability was 8.3%, the lowest in the four animal species evaluated. Following IV administration of 2 mg/kg, the mean urinary excretion of unchanged parent drug was 17% over a collection period of 48 h.

In Beagle dogs, a single dose of GS-441524 (2 mg/kg IV and 5 mg/kg PO) was administrated (**Figure 6**). The mean clearance from three animals was 4.1 mL/min/kg and the mean steady state volume of distribution was 0.92 L/kg. Following PO administration, the bioavailability was 85%, the highest in four animal species evaluated. The $t_{1/2}$ value was ~4 h for both IV and PO administration (**Table 4**). Following IV administration of 2 mg/kg, the mean urinary excretion of unchanged parent drug was 64%, suggesting that urinary excretion is a major elimination route for GS-441524 in dogs.

GS-441524 Human PK Prediction

To predict human exposures after oral administration, human PK parameters were estimated using allometric scaling and human PK profile was simulated using GastroPlus. CL_p and Vd_{ss} from mouse, rat, monkey, and dog parameters summarized in **Table 4** were used. The allometric scaling was performed as previously described (Mahmood and Balian, 1996). From the linear regression of log-log curves of CL_p and Vd_{ss} vs. body weight of 4 species (**Figure 7**), we derived the coefficient a and exponent b of the allometric equation $Y = aW^b$, where Y is CL_p or Vd_{ss} , and W is body weight (Mahmood and Balian, 1996). The derived allometric scaling equations were defined as CL_p (mL/min) = $12.6 \cdot W^{0.64}$ and Vd_{ss} (L) = $1.5 \cdot W^{0.8}$. Using the allometric scaling equation, the predicted human CL_p is 2.7 mL/min/kg and Vd_{ss} is 0.6 L/kg, and these values were used for human PK simulation.

To simulate the PK profile in humans, we used a simple one compartment model in conjunction with the advanced compartmental absorption and transit model in GastroPlus. The experimental parameters used in these predictions include plasma protein binding, RBC to plasma partitioning, equilibrium solubility at pH 7.4 and Caco-2 $P_{app A to B}$ permeability. Additionally, the clearance and volume of distribution obtained from allometric scaling were used as inputs for these predictions. Since there was a large variation in

FABLE 5 Pharmacokinetic parameters of GS-441524 in rats after single PO administration (Mean ± SD).								
Dose (mg/kg)	C _{max} (ng/mL)	T _{max} (h)	AUC _{0-∞} (ng⋅h/mL)	t _{1/2} (h)	F (%)			
10	193 ± 57	3.8 ± 2.4	2,170 ± 643	3.4 ± 0.2	33 ± 9.8			
30	326 ± 77	3.8 ± 2.4	4,220 ± 746	3.4 ± 0.2	21 ± 3.8			
100	825 ± 91	2.3 ± 1.3	$9,560 \pm 2,130$	4.2 ± 1.8	15 ± 3.2			



FIGURE 5 | Pharmacokinetic profiles of GS-441524 in Cynomolgus monkeys. Plasma concentrations of GS-441524 following intravenous (IV) administration of 2 mg/kg and oral (PO) administration of 5 mg/kg. Data are the mean \pm SD (n = 3/group).



bioavailability amongst preclinical species (8–85%), we capped bioavailability at 20% for our prediction as a conservative approach. Different dosing regimens were used to achieve plasma concentrations above 0.5, 1 and 2 μ M. Our predictions reveal that 300 mg, 600 mg, and 1,000 mg b.i.d. dosing would be able to achieve plasma concentrations of 0.5, 1, and 2 μ M respectively, throughout the dosing regimen (**Figure 8**). A summary of PK parameters obtained from the predictions are presented in **Table 6**.

DISCUSSION

Remdesivir has shown to reduce progression to hospitalization or death by 87% for the treatment of high risk nonhospitalized



COVID-19 patients (Gottlieb et al., 2021). However, remdesivir can only be given by IV administration (Beigel et al., 2020b) which significantly limits its use (Goldman et al., 2020). With the aim of assessing whether GS-441524 could be potentially used for oral administration, in this study, we determined the *in vitro* potency, *in vitro* ADME properties, and preclinical PK of GS-441524. The *in vitro* potency results confirmed that activity of GS-441524 against SARS-CoV-2 was slightly more potent in Vero E6 cells but similar to that of remdesivir in cells of human lung origin (Pruijssers et al., 2020; Schooley et al., 2020; Yan and Muller, 2020). Furthermore, the preclinical PK evaluations indicated that GS-441524 is orally bioavailable.

Like other antiviral nucleoside analogs, GS-441524 demonstrated very limited membrane permeability. Therefore, active transport may play a significant role in the absorption and disposition of GS-441524. As a major metabolite of remdesivir, GS-441524 was not a substrate of the following human transporters: OCT1, OCT2, OAT1, OAT3, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, MATE1 and MATE2-K (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020). The roles of MDR1, BCRP,



FIGURE 8 | Predicted plasma concentrations of GS-441524 using single compartment model with advanced compartmental absorption and transit module in GastroPlus.

TABLE 6	Human	pharmacokinetic	parameters	of	GS-441524	predicted	usina	GastroPlus
THE C	1 I GI I I GI I	pricernacoordinotio	paramotoro	<u> </u>	00 111021	productou	aonig	Guoti or Tuo

Dosing Regimen (mg, b.i.d)		Day One				Steady State			
	C _{max}	C _{trough}	Daily AUC*	C _{mean} **	C _{max}	C _{trough}	Daily AUC*	C _{mean} **	
	(µM)	(μM)	(µM⋅h)	(μM)	(µM)	(μM)	(µM⋅h)	(µM)	
300, b.i.d	2.1	0.4	29	1.2	2.2	0.4	30	1.3	
600, b.i.d	4.0	0.8	60	2.5	4.2	0.8	63	2.6	
1,000, b.i.d	7.2	1.6	112	4.7	7.6	1.7	118	4.9	

*Daily AUC: AUC of two doses.

**C_{mean}: Daily AUC/24 h.

concentrative nucleoside transporters (CNTs), and equilibrative nucleoside transporters (ENTs) for GS-441524 were unknown previously. Our in vitro results demonstrated that GS-441524 was a substrate of MDR1, BCRP, CNT3, ENT1 and ENT2, but not a substrate of CNT1, CNT2, and ENT4. MDR1 and BCRP are major efflux transporters located in the apical side of enterocytes, while ENT1 and ENT2 are equilibrium transporters located on the basolateral membrane of enterocytes for release of nucleosides from the enterocytes to the systemic circulation. The absorption of GS-441524 is regulated by both the efflux of MDR1 and BCRP as well as the uptake of ENT1 and ENT2. The expression of ENT1 and ENT2 was comparable to that of MDR1 and BCRP in human kidney tissue (Uhlén et al., 2015; Human Protein Atlas, 2021), and the uptake of GS-441524 by ENT1 and ENT2 could offset part of the efflux by MDR1 and BCRP. Although CNT3's activity in the uptake of GS-441524 was high in vitro, its expression in human kidney and intestine is low compared with MDR1, BCRP, ENT1 and ENT2, CNT3 may play a moderate role in the apical uptake in the intestine and in the reabsorption of GS-441524 in kidney. In addition, both MDR1 and BCRP are expressed in the apical side of hepatocytes and brain epithelium cells (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020; Human Protein Atlas, 2021), and CNT3, ENT1, and ENT2 are ubiquitously expressed in various tissues (Uhlén et al., 2015; Human Protein Atlas, 2021). These transporters may also involve in the distribution of GS-441524. ENT1 is also expressed on the mitochondria membrane and has been linked to the mitochondria toxicity of some antiviral drugs (Katoh et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008). In our 7-days dose range finding studies in rats, dogs, and monkeys, orally administered GS-441524 was well tolerated at the maximum feasible dose of 1,500, 2000 and 1,000 mg/kg/day, respectively (https://opendata.ncats.nih.gov/ covid19/GS-441524).

PK studies of GS-441524 were performed to investigate the absorption, distribution, clearance, and *in vivo* exposure after IV and PO administration in mice, rats, monkeys, and dogs. The plasma clearance values ranged from 4.1 mL/min/kg in dogs to 26 mL/min/kg in mice, which were considered as low to moderate clearance when compared to the hepatic blood flow in these species, after correcting for the blood/plasma ratio. The oral bioavailability had large species differences, ranging from 8.3% in monkeys to 85% in dogs (**Table 4**), likely caused by the variability in animal physiology (e.g., GI transit time, pH, etc.). Transporters of MDR1, BCRP, ENT1, and ENT2 also showed species difference in endogenous or exogenous substrate profiles or in their substrate affinity (Katoh et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Own et al., 2006).

The urinary excretion of GS-441524 was the predominant elimination route in rats, as ~ 65% of GS-441524 was recovered in urine and <1% was recovered in bile over a collection period of 24 h after IV administration in BDC rats. Similarly, ~64% of GS-441524 was recovered in dog urine. However, only 17% of GS-441524 was recovered in monkey urine over a collection period of 48 h after IV administration. The low urinary excretion in monkey might be related to species difference in the transporters' affinity to GS-441524.

The human CL_p and Vd_{ss} were calculated using allometric scaling of the animal CL_p and Vd_{ss} from the PK studies. The calculated human CL_p is low, approximately 10% of the human hepatic blood flow. Additionally, the human PK

profiles of GS-441524 was simulated from the experimental *in vitro* data and the calculated CL_p and Vd_{ss} values using GastroPlus software. The prediction indicates that 1,000 mg, b.i.d. oral dosing regimen would be sufficient to achieve plasma concentrations above the EC_{50} (1.86 μ M) in the SARS-CoV-2 live virus assay.

Clinically, antiviral treatment usually involves more than one therapeutic. Since GS-441524 does not undergo extensive metabolism, transporters may be an important factor mediating related drug-drug interactions. Inhibition of MDR1 and BCRP has significant impact on the clinical PK of co-administered antiviral agents in some antiviral regimens (King et al., 2016), and inhibition of ENT1 and ENT2 leads to accumulation of nucleoside analogs inside the human small intestine (Takahashi et al., 2018). Since molnupiravir and its active metabolite EIDD-1931 have been reported as inhibitors of ENT1 and ENT2 (Miller et al., 2021), drug-drug interaction potential needs to be assessed if a combination therapy of molnpiravir and redemsivir/GS-441524 is applied. Under our in vitro study conditions, 100 µM adenosine did not inhibit the uptake of GS-441524 by ENT2. Thus, inflammation-related fluctuation of plasma adenosine level (10to 20-fold higher than the normal concentration at 0.4-0.8 µM) (Martin et al., 2000) is unlikely to alter the ENT2-mediated transport of GS-441524.

CONCLUSION

We demonstrated that GS-441524, the active metabolite of remdesivir, could be an oral drug candidate as an antiviral agent for SARS-CoV-2. GS-441524 had a low to moderate plasma clearance in mouse, rat, cynomolgus monkeys, and dogs. The free fractions of GS-441524 were 62–78% in plasma protein binding assay in all studied species. Renal excretion plays an important role in the elimination of GS-441524. The *in vitro* ADME and PK results from this study allowed us to propose human PO dosing regimens compatible with b.i.d. dose scheduling. A simulation of 2 μ M, above EC₅₀ in the Vero E6 and 3D HAE tissue model.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this article are available upon request by contacting amy.wang@nih.gov or xin.xu3@nih.gov.

REFERENCES

- Beigel, J. H., Tomashek, K. M., Dodd, L. E., Mehta, A. K., Zingman, B. S., Kalil,
 A. C., et al. (2020). Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 Final
 Report. N. Engl. J. Med. 383 (19), 1813–1826. doi:10.1056/
 NEJMoa2007764
- Beigel, J. H., Tomashek, K. M., Dodd, L. E., Mehta, A. K., Zingman, B. S., Kalil,
 A. C., et al. (2020). Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 Final
 Report. N. Engl. J. Med. 383 (19), 1813–1826. doi:10.1056/
 NEJMoa2007764

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC) of the NIH Division of Veterinary Resources (DVR).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AW designed and performed experiments, analyzed data, interpreted results, and wrote the manuscript. NH performed experiments, analyzed data, and contributed to manuscript revision. EP performed experiments and simulation, interpreted results and contributed to writing. MY performed simulation, interpreted results and contributed to writing. PS performed experiments and simulation, analyzed data, and contributed to writing. CC designed experiments, interpreted results, and contributed to manuscript revision. WH provided the test compound and contributed to manuscript revision. PT contributed to manuscript revision. WZ designed experiments and contributed to writing. XX designed experiments, interpreted results, edited the manuscript, and acted as senior author of the study.

FUNDING

This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors would like to thank Zhiji Luo for his help in providing GS-441524 for all studies, Nida Delbe and Danitra Brathwaite of NIH DVR for their contributions to the in-life pharmacokinetic studies in rodents. We also like to thank Absorption Systems, Cyprotex PLC, Frontage laboratories and BioIVT for their contributions to selected *in vitro* ADME and PK studies, and Gilead Sciences for providing formulation information.

- Chen, C. Z., Shinn, P., Itkin, Z., Eastman, R. T., Bostwick, R., Rasmussen, L., et al. (2020). Drug Repurposing Screen for Compounds Inhibiting the Cytopathic Effect of SARS-CoV-2. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 592737. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020. 592737
- Cox, R. M., Wolf, J. D., Lieber, C. M., Sourimant, J., Lin, M. J., Babusis, D., et al. (2021). Oral Prodrug of Remdesivir Parent GS-441524 Is Efficacious against SARS-CoV-2 in Ferrets. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 6415. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26760-4
- Dickinson, P. J., Bannasch, M., Thomasy, S. M., Murthy, V. D., Vernau, K. M., Liepnieks, M., et al. (2020). Antiviral Treatment Using the Adenosine Nucleoside Analogue GS-441524 in Cats with Clinically Diagnosed

Neurological Feline Infectious Peritonitis. J. Vet. Intern Med. 34 (4), 1587–1593. doi:10.1111/jvim.15780

- Eltzschig, H. K., Abdulla, P., Hoffman, E., Hamilton, K. E., Daniels, D., Schönfeld, C., et al. (2005). HIF-1-dependent Repression of Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter (ENT) in Hypoxia. J. Exp. Med. 202 (11), 1493–1505. doi:10. 1084/jem.20050177
- Goldman, J. D., Lye, D. C. B., Hui, D. S., Marks, K. M., Bruno, R., Montejano, R., et al. (2020). Remdesivir for 5 or 10 Days in Patients with Severe Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 383 (19), 1827–1837. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2015301
- Gorshkov, K., Chen, C. Z., Bostwick, R., Rasmussen, L., Tran, B. N., Yu-Shan, C., et al. (2020). The SARS-CoV-2 Cytopathic Effect Is Blocked by Lysosome Alkalizing Small Molecules. ACS Infect. Dis. 6, 1389. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis. 0c00349
- Gottlieb, R. L., Vaca, C. E., Paredes, R., Mera, J., Webb, B. J., Perez, G., et al. (2021). Early Remdesivir to Prevent Progression to Severe Covid-19 in Outpatients. N. Engl. J. Med. 386, 305. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2116846
- Hubatsch, I., Ragnarsson, E. G., and Artursson, P., Determination of Drug Permeability and Prediction of Drug Absorption in Caco-2 Monolayers. *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007. 2(9): p. 2111–2119.doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.303
- Human Protein Atlas (2021). Human Protein Atlas 2021-02-24 [cited 2021-11-4] Available at: http://www.proteinatlas.org.
- Humeniuk, R., Mathias, A., Cao, H., Osinusi, A., Shen, G., Chng, E., et al. (2020). Safety, Tolerability, and Pharmacokinetics of Remdesivir, an Antiviral for Treatment of COVID-19, in Healthy Subjects. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* 13 (5), 896–906. doi:10.1111/cts.12840
- Humeniuk, R., Mathias, A., Cao, H., Osinusi, A., Shen, G., Chng, E., et al. (2020). Safety, Tolerability, and Pharmacokinetics of Remdesivir, an Antiviral for Treatment of COVID-19, in Healthy Subjects. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* 13 (5), 896–906. doi:10.1111/cts.12840
- Katoh, M., Suzuyama, N., Takeuchi, T., Yoshitomi, S., Asahi, S., and Yokoi, T. (2006). Kinetic Analyses for Species Differences in P-Glycoprotein-Mediated Drug Transport. J. Pharm. Sci. 95 (12), 2673–2683. doi:10. 1002/jps.20686
- King, J. R., Dutta, S., Cohen, D., Podsadecki, T. J., Ding, B., Awni, W. M., et al. (2016). Drug-Drug Interactions between Sofosbuvir and Ombitasvir-Paritaprevir-Ritonavir with or without Dasabuvir. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 60 (2), 855–861. doi:10.1128/AAC.01913-15
- Lai, Y., Tse, C. M., and Unadkat, J. D. (2004). Mitochondrial Expression of the Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 1 (hENT1) Results in Enhanced Mitochondrial Toxicity of Antiviral Drugs. J. Biol. Chem. 279 (6), 4490–4497. doi:10.1074/jbc.M307938200
- Lee, E. W., Lai, Y., Zhang, H., and Unadkat, J. D. (2006). Identification of the Mitochondrial Targeting Signal of the Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 1 (hENT1): Implications for Interspecies Differences in Mitochondrial Toxicity of Fialuridine. J. Biol. Chem. 281 (24), 16700–16706. doi:10.1074/jbc.M513825200
- Li, M., Yuan, H., Li, N., Song, G., Zheng, Y., Baratta, M., et al. (2008). Identification of Interspecies Difference in Efflux Transporters of Hepatocytes from Dog, Rat, Monkey and Human. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 35 (1-2), 114–126. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2008.06.008
- Li, Y., Cao, L., Li, G., Cong, F., Li, Y., Sun, J., et al. (2021). Remdesivir Metabolite GS-441524 Effectively Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Mouse Models. J. Med. Chem. 63, 2785. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c01929
- Mahmood, I., and Balian, J. D. (1996). Interspecies Scaling: Predicting Clearance of Drugs in Humans. Three Different Approaches. *Xenobiotica* 26 (9), 887–895. doi:10.3109/00498259609052491
- Mai, Y., Dou, L., Yao, Z., Madla, C. M., Gavins, F. K. H., Taherali, F., et al. (2021). Quantification of P-Glycoprotein in the Gastrointestinal Tract of Humans and Rodents: Methodology, Gut Region, Sex, and Species Matter. *Mol. Pharm.* 18 (5), 1895–1904. doi:10.1021/acs. molpharmaceut.0c00574
- Martin, C., Leone, M., Viviand, X., Ayem, M. L., and Guieu, R. (2000). High Adenosine Plasma Concentration as a Prognostic Index for Outcome in Patients with Septic Shock. *Crit. Care Med.* 28 (9), 3198–3202. doi:10.1097/ 00003246-200009000-00014
- Miller, S. R., McGrath, M. E., Zorn, K. M., Ekins, S., Wright, S. H., and Cherrington, N. J. (2021) Remdesivir And EIDD-1931 Interact With Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporters 1 and 2: Implications For

Reaching SARS-CoV-2 Viral Sanctuary Sites. *Mol Pharmacol*.100, 548, doi:10.1124/molpharm.121.000333

- Morote-Garcia, J. C., Rosenberger, P., Nivillac, N. M., Coe, I. R., and Eltzschig,
 H. K. (2009). Hypoxia-inducible Factor-dependent Repression of
 Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 2 Attenuates Mucosal
 Inflammation during Intestinal Hypoxia. *Gastroenterology* 136 (2),
 607–618. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2008.10.037
- Mulangu, S., Dodd, L. E., Davey, R. T., Tshiani Mbaya, O., Proschan, M., Mukadi, D., et al. (2019). A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Ebola Virus Disease Therapeutics. N. Engl. J. Med. 381 (24), 2293–2303. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa1910993
- Naes, S. M., Ab-Rahim, S., Mazlan, M., and Abdul Rahman, A. (2020). Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 2: Properties and Physiological Roles. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2020, 5197626. doi:10.1155/2020/5197626
- Owen, D. R., Allerton, C. M. N., Anderson, A. S., Aschenbrenner, L., Avery, M., Berritt, S., et al. (2021). An Oral SARS-CoV-2 Mpro Inhibitor Clinical Candidate for the Treatment of COVID-19. *Science* 374 (6575), 1586–1593. doi:10.1126/science.abl4784
- Owen, R. P., Badagnani, I., and Giacomini, K. M. (2006). Molecular Determinants of Specificity for Synthetic Nucleoside Analogs in the Concentrative Nucleoside Transporter, CNT2. J. Biol. Chem. 281 (36), 26675–26682. doi:10.1074/jbc.M513421200
- Pruijssers, A. J., George, A. S., Schäfer, A., Leist, S. R., Gralinksi, L. E., Dinnon, K. H., et al. (2020). Remdesivir Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in Human Lung Cells and Chimeric SARS-CoV Expressing the SARS-CoV-2 RNA Polymerase in Mice. *Cell Rep.* 32 (3), 107940. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020. 107940
- Rasmussen, H. B., Jürgens, G., Thomsen, R., Taboureau, O., Zeth, K., Hansen, P. E., et al. (2021). Cellular Uptake and Intracellular Phosphorylation of GS-441524: Implications for its Effectiveness against COVID-19. *Viruses* 13, 7. doi:10.3390/ v13071369
- Ray, A. S., Vela, J. E., Olson, L., and Fridland, A. (2004). Effective Metabolism and Long Intracellular Half Life of the Anti-hepatitis B Agent Adefovir in Hepatic Cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 68 (9), 1825–1831. doi:10.1016/j.bcp. 2004.07.010
- Reed, L. J., and Muench, H. (1938). A Simple Method of Estimating Fifty Per Cent Endpoints12. Am. J. Epidemiol. 27 (3), 493–497. doi:10.1093/ oxfordjournals.aje.a118408
- Schafer, A., Martinez, D. R., Won, J. J., Moreira, F. R., Brown, A. J., Gully, K. L., et al. (2021). Therapeutic Efficacy of an Oral Nucleoside Analog of Remdesivir against SARS-CoV-2 Pathogenesis in Mice. *bioRxiv* 9, 460111. doi:10.1101/2021.09.13.460111
- Schooley, R. T., Schooley, View O. R. C. I. D., ProfileAaron, F., Carlin View Orcid, ProfileJames R., Beadle, N. V., Hostetler, K. Y., et al. (2020). Rethinking Remdesivir: Synthesis of Lipid Prodrugs that Substantially Enhance Anti-coronavirus Activity. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2020.08.26. 269159
- Shah, P., Edward, K., Dac-Trung, N., Scott, O. R., Amy Q, W., Zakharov, A., et al., An Automated High-Throughput Metabolic Stability Assay Using an Integrated High-Resolution Accurate Mass Method and Automated Data Analysis Software. *Drug metabolism Dispos. Biol. fate Chem.*, 2016. 44(10): p. 1653–1661.doi:10.1124/dmd.116.072017
- Shah, P., Vishal, B., Alexey, V., Noel, T., Xin, X., Dac-Trung, N., et al., Predicting Liver Cytosol Stability of Small Molecules. *J. cheminformatics*, 2020. 12(1): p. 21.doi:10.1186/s13321-020-00426-7
- Sharma, V., and McNeill, J. H., To Scale or Not to Scale: the Principles of Dose Extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol., 2009. 157(6): p. 907–921.doi:10. 1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267.x
- Sheahan, T. P., Sims, A. C., Zhou, S., Graham, R. L., Pruijssers, A. J., Agostini, M. L., et al. (2020). An Orally Bioavailable Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in Human Airway Epithelial Cell Cultures and Multiple Coronaviruses in Mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 12, 541. doi:10.1126/ scitranslmed.abb5883
- Siegel, D., Hui, H. C., Doerffler, E., Clarke, M. O., Chun, K., Zhang, L., et al. (2017). Discovery and Synthesis of a Phosphoramidate Prodrug of a Pyrrolo[2,1-F][triazin-4-Amino] Adenine C-Nucleoside (GS-5734) for the Treatment of Ebola and Emerging Viruses. J. Med. Chem. 60 (5), 1648–1661. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01594

- Sun, H., Nguyen, K., Kerns, E., Yan, Z., Kyeong, R. Y., Shah, P., et al., Highly Predictive and Interpretable Models for PAMPA Permeability. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2017. 25(3): p. 1266–1276.doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2016.12.049
- Sun, H., Shah, P., Nguyen, K., Yu, K., Kerns, E. D., Kabir, M. D., et al. (2019). Predictive Models of Aqueous Solubility of Organic Compounds Built on A Large Dataset of High Integrity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 27, 3110–3114. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2019.05.037
- Takahashi, K., Yoshisue, K., Chiba, M., Nakanishi, T., and Tamai, I. (2018).
 Contribution of Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter(s) to Intestinal Basolateral and Apical Transports of Anticancer Trifluridine. *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.* 39 (1), 38–46. doi:10.1002/bdd.2110
- Tempestilli, M., Caputi, P., Avataneo, V., Notari, S., Forini, O., Scorzolini, L., et al. (2020). Pharmacokinetics of Remdesivir and GS-441524 in Two Critically Ill Patients Who Recovered from COVID-19. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 75 (10), 2977-2980. doi:10.1093/jac/dkaa239
- Uhlén, M., Fagerberg, L., Hallström, B. M., Lindskog, C., Oksvold, P., Mardinoglu, A., et al. (2015). Proteomics. Tissue-Based Map of the Human Proteome. *Science* 347 (6220), 1260419. doi:10.1126/science.1260419
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration Website. Drugs@FDA: VEKLURY, Labeling-Package Insert (ORIG-1,10/22/2020). Available at: https:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/ 214787Orig1s000lbl.pdf.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2020). In Vitro Drug Interaction Studies Cytochrome P450 Enzyme- and Transporter-Mediated Drug Interactions Guidance for Industry. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/134582/ download.
- Warren, T. K., Jordan, R., Lo, M. K., Ray, A. S., Mackman, R. L., Soloveva, V., et al. (2016). Therapeutic Efficacy of the Small Molecule GS-5734 against Ebola Virus in Rhesus Monkeys. *Nature* 531 (7594), 381–385. doi:10.1038/nature17180
- Warren, T. K., Jordan, R., Lo, M. K., Ray, A. S., Mackman, R. L., Soloveva, V., et al. (2016). Therapeutic Efficacy of the Small Molecule GS-5734 against

Ebola Virus in Rhesus Monkeys. *Nature* 531 (7594), 381-385. doi:10. 1038/nature17180

- Wei, D., Hu, T., Zhang, Y., Zheng, W., Xue, H., Shen, J., et al. (2021). Potency and Pharmacokinetics of GS-441524 Derivatives against SARS-CoV-2. *Bioorg Med. Chem.* 46, 116364. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2021.116364
- Xia, C. Q., Xiao, G., Liu, N., Pimprale, S., Fox, L., Patten, C. J., et al. (2006). Comparison of Species Differences of P-Glycoproteins in Beagle Dog, Rhesus Monkey, and Human Using Atpase Activity Assays. *Mol. Pharm.* 3 (1), 78-86. doi:10.1021/mp050034j
- Yan, V. C., and Muller, F. L. (2020). Advantages of the Parent Nucleoside GS-441524 over Remdesivir for Covid-19 Treatment. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 11 (7), 1361–1366. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00316

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wang, Hagen, Padilha, Yang, Shah, Chen, Huang, Terse, Sanderson, Zheng and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.