

Citation: Zheng S, Yang J, Tan TC, Belani S, Law D, Pravoverov LV, et al. (2022) Dialysis therapy and mortality in older adults with heart failure and advanced chronic kidney disease: A highdimensional propensity-matched cohort study. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0262706. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0262706

Editor: Abduzhappar Gaipov, Nazarbayev University School of Medicine, KAZAKHSTAN

Received: September 30, 2021

Accepted: January 3, 2022

Published: January 21, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Zheng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data cannot be shared publicly because of PHI and restrictions by the Kaiser Permanente Northern California Institutional Review Board. However, data access requests may be sent to <u>kpnc.irb@kp.org</u> by interested and qualified researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data.

Funding: Dr. Go has received related research funding from the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases (U01 DK060902,

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Dialysis therapy and mortality in older adults with heart failure and advanced chronic kidney disease: A high-dimensional propensity-matched cohort study

Sijie Zheng^{1,2,3}, Jingrong Yang³, Thida C. Tan³, Sharina Belani⁴, David Law¹, Leonid V. Pravoverov¹, Susan S. Kim³, Alan S. Go^{2,3,5,6,7}*

 Department of Nephrology, Kaiser Permanente Oakland Medical Center, Oakland, CA, United States of America, 2 Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, United States of America,
 Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Oakland, CA, United States of America,
 Department of Nephrology, Kaiser Permanente San Rafael Medical Center, San Rafael, CA, United States of America, 5 Department of Health System Sciences, Kaiser Permanente Bernard J. Tyson School of Medicine, Pasadena, CA, United States of America, 6 Departments of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, CA, United States of America, 7 Departments of Medicine, Health Research and Policy, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA, United States of America

* Alan.S.Go@kp.org

Abstract

Background

Heart failure (HF) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) frequently coexist, and the combination is linked to poor outcomes, but limited data exist to guide optimal management. We evaluated the outcome of dialysis therapy in older patients with HF and advanced CKD.

Methods

We examined adults aged \geq 70 years with HF and eGFR \leq 20 ml/min/1.73 m² between 2008–2012 and no prior renal replacement therapy, cancer, cirrhosis or organ transplant. We identified patients who initiated chronic dialysis through 2013 and matched patients who did not initiate dialysis on age, gender, diabetes status, being alive on dialysis initiation date, and a high-dimensional propensity score for starting dialysis. Deaths were identified through 2013. We used Cox regression to evaluate the association of chronic dialysis and all-cause death.

Results

Among 348 adults with HF and advanced CKD who initiated dialysis and 947 matched patients who did not start dialysis, mean age was 80 ± 5 years, 51% were women and 33% were Black. The crude rate of death was high overall but lower in those initiating vs. not initiating chronic dialysis (26.1 vs. 32.1 per 100 person-years, respectively, P = 0.02). In multi-variable analysis, dialysis was associated with a 33% (95% Confidence Interval:17–46%) lower adjusted rate of death compared with not initiating dialysis.

U01 DK082223) and the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (R01 HL142834, R01 HL132640). Dr. Law received a research grant from the Kaiser Permanente Northern California Community Benefit Fund and support from The Permanente Medical Group Division of Research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The other authors have no relevant disclosures.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

Among older adults with HF and advanced CKD, dialysis initiation was associated with lower mortality, but absolute rates of death were very high in both groups. Randomized trials should evaluate net outcomes of dialysis vs. conservative management on length and quality of life in this high-risk population.

Introduction

The number of older adults with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD) who initiated chronic dialysis (peritoneal dialysis or hemodialysis) has increased significantly over the past several decades, especially among those aged >75 years old [1–3]. While the decision to initiate chronic dialysis is often made for the purpose of prolonging life [3], it is also associated with potential complications, especially in those with a higher comorbidity burden [4,5]. Heart failure (HF) is a large and growing population nationally [6], and CKD is both a frequent comorbid condition affecting >50% of patients and a strong negative prognostic factor for survival [7]. Importantly, there are conflicting data in previous studies about the net clinical outcomes associated with chronic dialysis in the setting of HF and advanced CKD [8–10].

Given the risks associated with dialysis therapy, other options such as conservative CKD management without dialysis may be preferable for patients. Several studies have reported that in older patients, especially those with CKD stage 5, conservative management can be effective in maintaining quality of life [11–13]. However, there have been no randomized controlled trials of chronic dialysis vs. optimal medical management in the growing population of older patients with advanced CKD, and particularly in high-risk CKD patients with HF whose competing risk of short- and long-term mortality can be high [6].

To address this question, we evaluated the association of initiation of chronic dialysis with all-cause mortality in a diverse, high-dimensional propensity-matched cohort of adults with HF and advanced CKD receiving care within an integrated healthcare delivery system.

Materials and methods

Source population

The source population was based within Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC), an integrated healthcare delivery system currently providing comprehensive inpatient, emergency and outpatient care for 4.5 million members across 21 hospitals and >255 clinics. KPNC's membership is highly representative of the local surrounding and statewide population in terms of age, gender, race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status [14]. In addition, nearly all aspects of care are captured through an electronic health record system that is integrated across all practice settings. This study was reviewed by the Kaiser Permanente Northern California Institutional Review Board and determined to be exempt from the requirements for informed consent and Privacy Rule authorization (CN-14-2097-H).

Study design and sample population

We conducted a retrospective matched cohort study in older adults with HF and advanced CKD between 2008 through 2013 to study the association of initiation of chronic dialysis and mortality. Patients were classified as having HF if they had \geq 1 hospitalization with a primary discharge diagnosis of HF (*International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition* (ICD-9)

codes 398.91, 402.01, 402.11, 402.91, 428.0, 428.1, or 428.9) and/or \geq 3 outpatient, non-emergency department encounters with a diagnosis of HF with \geq 1 of those visits to a cardiologist found in health plan electronic medical records, an approach with a positive predictive value of \geq 95% for HF based on review of medical records using Framingham clinical criteria [15]. Advanced CKD was defined as having mean estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) \leq 20 ml/min/1.73m² (per the CKD-EPI equation [16]) using the two most recent outpatient serum creatinine measurements within 365 days before the match date.

We next excluded patients who had missing gender, <12 months of continuous health plan membership and drug benefits, prior chronic dialysis, prior organ transplant, diagnosed cirrhosis, or cancer before the match date. We also excluded patients who had <1 day of followup due to the end of membership or death, or who developed cirrhosis or cancer during follow-up. In addition, among patients who did not initiate chronic dialysis during follow-up, we excluded anyone who did not survive an episode of acute dialysis therapy during a hospitalization (Fig 1). To generate the matched cohort, we first identified the subset of patients who initiated chronic dialysis (hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis) using data from a comprehensive health system ESRD Treatment Registry [17]. Patients who initiated chronic dialysis were then matched to patients who did not receive kidney replacement therapy on the calendar date of dialysis initiation using a 1:3 ratio based on the following criteria: age (\pm 5 years), gender, eGFR <20 ml/min/1.73m², diabetes mellitus status, receipt of nephrology care or life care planning, and a difference of <0.01 in the propensity to initiate chronic dialysis calculated using a highdimensional propensity score (hd-PS) [18,19]. To calculate the hd-PS, we performed a logistic regression model to estimate the likelihood of initiating chronic dialysis using 100 empirical covariates that were selected from the top 200 most prevalent variables that differed between exposure groups from each of the following domains: outpatient prescription medications and diagnoses and procedures from inpatient, emergency and outpatient clinic settings. Prioritization of the final variables to include was based on the Bross bias formula.[18]

Outcomes

Follow-up in the matched cohort occurred through December 31, 2013. Our primary outcome was death from any cause, which was identified from electronic health records (including proxy reports), Social Security vital status files, and California state death certificate data [20,21]. Secondary outcomes in the subset of matched patients who died during follow-up included the location of death and whether palliative care was received based on data ascertained by manual review of electronic health records.

Covariates

Age, gender, and self-reported race/ethnicity were identified from electronic health records. We ascertained information on coexisting illnesses based on validated algorithms using data on relevant diagnoses or procedures using *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition* (ICD-9) and *Current Procedural Terminology* (CPT) codes (codes available on request), laboratory results, or specific therapies from hospitalization, ambulatory visit, laboratory, and pharmacy databases [15,22,23]; as well as a regional diabetes mellitus registry [24]. We ascertained information on quantitative assessments of left ventricular systolic function from the results of echocardiograms, radionuclide scintigraphy, other nuclear imaging modalities, and left ventriculography test results available from health plan imaging databases complemented by manual chart review [7]. Comorbid conditions included cardiovascular conditions and procedures (acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG), percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), atrial fibrillation and/or flutter, implantable cardioverter



Fig 1. Cohort assembly of matched adults aged ≥70 years old with chronic heart failure and advanced chronic kidney disease between 2006 and 2013.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262706.g001

defibrillator, cardiac resynchronization therapy, pacemaker, intracranial hemorrhage, ischemic stroke/transient ischemic attack, peripheral artery disease (PAD), valvular heart disease, ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation), cardiovascular risk factors (tobacco use, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia), and other non-cardiovascular conditions (cancer, chronic liver disease, chronic lung disease, dementia, depression, thyroid disease, hospitalized bleeding). We also ascertained outpatient visit measures of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and body mass index (BMI), documented proteinuria (defined as urine dipstick proteinuria of 1 + or greater) [22], as well as outpatient measurements of eGFR, hemoglobin, serum potassium and serum sodium. Targeted medication use was ascertained based on dispensing information from outpatient prescriptions found in health plan pharmacy databases using previously described and validated algorithms and methods [25–27].

Statistical methods

Analyses were performed using SAS statistical software version 9.4 (Cary, N.C.), with a twosided P<0.05 considered significant. We compared characteristics between those who did or did not initiate chronic dialysis using Cohen's D value for continuous variables by taking the standardized difference of means between groups and dividing by the pooled estimate, with a value \geq 0.10 considered significant [28,29]; for categorical variables, we used Cramér's V, with a value \geq 0.10 considered significant [30,31]. Crude rates (per 100 person-years) with associated 95% confidence intervals for all-cause death were calculated overall and stratified by initiation of chronic dialysis.

Among matched patients, we next conducted conditional multivariable Cox regression models to evaluate the association of initiation of chronic dialysis and death, with additional adjustment for race, and any covariates that differed at baseline after matching, including age, Hispanic ethnicity, BMI, proteinuria, diagnosed dementia, serum phosphate and sodium levels, treatment with thiazide diuretic, calcium channel blockers, and phosphate binders. Using the final model parameters, we calculated adjusted survival curves associated with initiating or not initiating chronic dialysis therapy. To examine the potential impact of severity of heart failure and socioeconomic status, we performed two sensitively analyses that additionally adjusted for the number of emergency department visits or hospitalizations related to heart failure before baseline and neighborhood-level low educational attainment or annual household income level, and we found no meaningful differences from the main results so only the main results are presented. We handled missing data for continuous variables by creating a categorical variable indicating missingness, and we categorized patients without self-reported race of White, Black, Asian/Pacific Islander, or Native American as "other/unknown."

For the secondary outcomes, we manually reviewed records of 628 matched patients who died and determined the location of death and whether palliative care was received.

Results

Matched cohort assembly and baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics between unmatched patients aged \geq 70 years old with heart failure and advanced CKD who did or did not initiate chronic dialysis are shown in <u>S1 Table</u>. Within this sample, we identified 348 eligible patients who initiated chronic dialysis and 947 matched controls who did not initiate chronic dialysis (using a target 1:3 matching ratio) (<u>Table 1</u>). Overall, in the matched cohort, the mean age was 80.1 years₇ 56% were women, 31% were persons of

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of a	dults aged \geq 70 years old wi	th chronic heart failure aı	nd advanced chronic kie	iney disease who initiated	chronic dialysis matched
to those who did not initiate chronic	dialysis between 2008 and 2	2012.			

ImageImageImageImageImageApp.r.men(SD)Image	Characteristic	Overall	Adults with Heart Failure and Advanced CKD Who Initiated Dialysis	Matched Adults with Heart Failure and Advanced CKD Who Did Not Initiate Dialysis	Effect Size
jeg.nem.(S)9(5)9(5)9(5)9(1)0(1)Gender, n(%)79(50)150(50)50(50)0Moren79(50)150(50)121(4)0.01Macn67(7)250(4)30(10)0.01Macn68(67)251(20)0.010.01Skan/Pach(slander251(20)70(20)141(19)1Asin/Pach(slander)161(1)70(20)161(10)0.02Macn merican81(1)70(20)12(3)0.020.01Other/Unkown11(1)10(20)0.020.020.02Carrent or former smoker70(2)12(6)57(39)0.02Cardio and profile1212(6)57(39)0.02Scharent smoker71(20)8(20)15(10)0.02Scharent smoker27(20)8(20)16(20)0.02Scharent smoker27(20)8(20)16(20)0.02Scharent smoker12(3)16(3)37(30)0.02Scharent smoker27(20)8(10)16(10)0.02Scharent smoker27(20)8(10)37(30)0.02Maria diadria ander future42(30)16(10)16(10)0.02Scharent scharent s		(N = 1295)	(N = 348)	(N = 947)	
Gender, n(s)ImageImageImageImageImageImageNorm576(4)153(4)151(4)11(4)1Ree, n(s)576(3)23(6)69(6)1Nuth62(0)23(6)69(6)1Jahar/Parifi Island16(0)70(3)69(1)10(1)Asam/Parifi Island18(1)7(2)11(1)1Other Chain Island18(1)7(2)12(1)10(1)1Other Chain Island18(2)12(2)12(3)10(1)1Character Chain Island18(2)12(2)12(3)10(1)1Carreat Constant Store, n(s)11111Acter Constant Store, n(s)111111Acter Constant Store, n(s)1111111Acter Constant Store, n(s)11<	Age, yr, mean (SD)	80 (5)	80 (5)	80 (5)	0.11
Women 719 (56) 93 (56) S26 (56) Image (56) Men 576 (44) 155 (44) 421 (44) 0.05 White 82 (67) 23 (64) 639 (68) 0.05 Make 130 (10) 37 (11) 93 (10) 1 Aaan/Pacific Ialander 254 (20) 70 (20) 184 (19) 1 Native American 18 (1) 7 (2) 11 (1) 02 (2) 0.11 Decimented monking status, n(%) 199 (15) 76 (22) 123 (13) 0.01 Decimented monking status, n(%) 1 10 100 0.01 Cartern or former smoker 769 (59) 212 (61) 557 (59) 0.02 Cartern or former smoker 270 (9) 68 (20) 179 (19) 0.01 Ischern stroke and/or transient ischernia 43 (3) 8 (2) 100 0.02 Mitral and/or activ valual rabisea 297 (19) 68 (20) 107 (19) 0.00 Atrial forbulation and/or flutter 45 (3) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02	Gender, n (%)				0.00
Men 55 (44) 155 (44) 421 (44) . Race, $n(\%)$ 0.05 0.05 0.05 White 862 (67) 223 (64) 6.99 (68) 0.05 Make 130 (10) 37 (11) 93 (10) 34 (19) AstanPacific Isolare 254 (20) 70 (20) 184 (19) 0 Native American 161 (1) 7 (2) 11 (1) 0 Other/Unknown 31 (2) 11 (3) 20 (2) 12 (1) 0.01 Carrent enthicity, $n(\%)$ 199 (15) 76 (22) 12 (1) 0.02 0.01 Carrent former snoker 769 (59) 12 (2) 12 (0) 57 (59) 0.01 Acter coronary syndrome 247 (19) 68 (20) 156 (20) 0.01 status 0.02 Miral andre arotic valvular disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Atrial fibrillation andor future 425 (3) 180 (3) 37 (3) 0.02 Parcipaker isolary arbory bysas surgery 41 (3) 5 (1) 36 (4)	Women	719 (56)	193 (56)	526 (56)	
Rec. (%)Image: bit of the set	Men	576 (44)	155 (44)	421 (44)	
White 802 (C7) 23 (6) 69 (68) I Black 130 (10) 37 (11) 93 (10) 34 (10) Asian/Pacific Islander 254 (20) 70 (20) 18 (10) 72 Native American 18 (1) 7 (2) 11 (1) 0.11 Other/Unknown 31 (2) 17 (2) 12 (13) 0.11 Document of moking status, n (%) $ -$ Current of former snoker 769 (39) 212 (61) 577 (59) 0.02 Carten or former snoker 769 (39) 212 (61) $79 (19)$ 0.01 Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) $79 (19)$ 0.01 Stehmic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) $18 (20)$ 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) $ -$ Coronary artery bysas surgery 41 (3) 5 (1) $36 (4)$ 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) $ -$ Coronar	Race, n (%)				0.05
Black 130 (10) 37 (1) 93 (10) 93 (10) 1 Asiar/Pacific Islander 254 (20) 70 (20) 184 (19) Native American 18 (1) 7 (2) 11 (1) Other/Unknown 31 (2) 11 (3) 20 (2) Documented smoking status, n(%) Carrent or former smoker 769 (59) 212 (26) Cardiorascular history, n(%)	White	862 (67)	223 (64)	639 (68)	
Asan Pacific Islander 254 (20) 70 (20) 184 (19) Intervention Native American 18 (1) 7 (2) 11 (1) Intervention Interventinterventintervention Interventinterventinterve	Black	130 (10)	37 (11)	93 (10)	
Native American 18 (1) 7 (2) 11 (1) 20 (2) Other/Unknown 31 (2) 11 (3) 20 (2) 60 Kown Hispanic ethnicity, n (%) 19 (15) 76 (22) 123 (13) 0.11 Documented smoking status, n (%) 769 (39) 212 (61) 557 (59) 0.02 Carriorsacal mistory, n (%) 6 7 7 0.01 Active coronary syndrome 247 (19) 68 (20) 179 (19) 0.01 Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) 35 (4) 0.03 Mitral and/or oartic: valvular disease 247 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Artial fibrillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Procedue history, n (%)	Asian/Pacific Islander	254 (20)	70 (20)	184 (19)	
Other/Usknown J1 (2) I1 (3) 20 (2) 100 Known Hspanic ethnicity, n(%) 190 (15) 76 (22) 123 (13) 0.11 Decumented smoking status, n(%) 6 6 6 Carrent or former smoker 769 (59) 212 (61) 557 (59) 0.02 Acute coronasy syndrom 247 (19) 68 (20) 179 (19) 0.01 Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) 35 (4) 0.03 Attact Coronasy syndrom 247 (21) 91 (26) 186 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Mitral and/or carotic valual disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Artial fibrillation and/or flutter 42 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.00 Percedure history, n (%) Impatuble cardioverter defibrillator 152 (12) 55 (16) 97 (10) 0.08 Percedure history, n (%) Impatuble cardioverter defibrillator 152 (12) 55 (16) 97 (10) 0.03 Coronary artery bysas surgery 41 (3) 51 (10, 30) 7 (0.7) 0.03	Native American	18 (1)	7 (2)	11 (1)	
Known Hispanic ethnicity, n (%)199 (15)76 (22)123 (13)0.11Document of moking stats, n (%) </td <td>Other/Unknown</td> <td>31 (2)</td> <td>11 (3)</td> <td>20 (2)</td> <td></td>	Other/Unknown	31 (2)	11 (3)	20 (2)	
Decumented smoking status, n(%) Image: method sector of former smoker 706 (9) 12 (01) 557 (59) 0.02 Cardiovascut history, n(%) Image: method sector of former smoker 247 (19) 68 (20) 179 (19) 0.01 Ischemi stroke and/or transient ischemi 34 (3) 8 (2) 35 (4) 0.03 Ischemi stroke and/or transient ischemi 34 (3) 8 (2) 186 (20) 0.01 Artad formitandor arotric valual disease 247 (19) 9 (26) 186 (20) 0.02 Artad formitano and/or future 243 (3) 8 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Artad formitano and/or future 243 (3) 10 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Precedure bistory, n (%) Image: method sector or or ary intervention 15 (12) 5 (16) 0.04 Percutaneous coronary intervention 12 (12) 5 (16) 23 (2) 0.06 Micha Instory, n (%) Image: method sector or ary intervention 10 (3) 10 (3) 10 (3) Pacemaker 112 (9) 3 (10) 7 (6) 0.01 10 (1) Hypertension 12	Known Hispanic ethnicity, n (%)	199 (15)	76 (22)	123 (13)	0.11
Current or former smoker 769 (59) 212 (61) 557 (59) 0.02 Cardiovascular history, n (%) Acute coronary syndrome 247 (19) 68 (20) 179 (19) 0.01 Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) 35 (4) 0.03 Itschemic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) 186 (20) 0.07 Mitral and/or aortic valvular disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Attriat fibrillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) $ -$ Coronary attrict ybypass surgery 41 (3) 5 (1) 36 (4) 0.06 Procedure bistory, n (%) $ -$ Coronary structure bypass surgery 41 (3) 5 (1) 36 (4) 0.06 Implantable cardioverte defibrillator (15 (1) 5 (1) 0.01 0.07 Medical history, n (%) $-$	Documented smoking status, n (%)				
Cardiovascular history, n(%) Image: method of the second of	Current or former smoker	769 (59)	212 (61)	557 (59)	0.02
Acute coronary syndrome 247 (19) 68 (20) 179 (19) 0.01 Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic attack 43 (3) 8 (2) 35 (4) 0.03 Peripheral artery disease 277 (21) 91 (26) 186 (20) 0.07 Mirtal and/or aortic valvular disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Artral fibrillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) 0.06 Percutaneous coronary intervention 152 (12) 55 (16) 97 (10) 0.08 Implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) 39 (3) 16 (5) 23 (2) 0.06 Cardiac resynchronization therapy 8 (1) 10.03 7 (0.7) 0.03 Medical history, n (%) I 0.04 Cardiac resynchronization therapy 8 (1) 10.03 7 (0.7) 0.03 Diabetes mellitus 955 (74) 255 (73) 700 (74) 0.01 Hypertension 1276 (99)	Cardiovascular history, n (%)				
Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic 43 (3) 8 (2) 35 (4) 0.03 attack Peripheral attry disease 277 (21) 91 (26) 186 (20) 0.07 Mitral and/or aortic valvular disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Artiaf librillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 37 (74) 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) C C 0.06 Coronary attry try byass surgery 41 (3) 5 (1) 36 (4) 0.06 Precutaneous coronary intervention 152 (12) 55 (16) 97 (10) 0.08 Implantable cardioverter defibrillator 39 (3) 16 (5) 22 (2) 0.06 Cardiac resynchronization therapy 8 (1) 1 (0.3) 7 (0.7) 0.03 Medical history, n (%) Diabetes mellitus 955 (74) 255 (73) 700 (74) 0.01 Hypertension 1276 (99) 344 (99) 32 (98) 0.02 0.03 Diabetes mellitus 955 (74) 255 (73) 700 (74) 0.01 Diabetes mellitus </td <td>Acute coronary syndrome</td> <td>247 (19)</td> <td>68 (20)</td> <td>179 (19)</td> <td>0.01</td>	Acute coronary syndrome	247 (19)	68 (20)	179 (19)	0.01
Peripheral artery disease 277 (21) 91 (26) 186 (20) 186 (20) 0.07 Mitral and/or aortic valvular disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Atrial fibrillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) 0.06 Percutaneous coronary intervention 152 (12) 55 (16) 97 (10) 0.08 Implantable cardioverter defibrillator 39 (3) 16 (5) 23 (2) 0.06 Pacemaker 112 (9) 36 (10) 76 (8) 0.04 Cardiac resynchronization therapy 8 (1) 1 (0.3) 70 (71) 0.03 Medical history, n (%) 0.01 Hypertension 1226 (9) 344 (99) 932 (98) 0.02 0.02 Diabetes mellitus 955 (74) 255 (73) 700 (74) 0.01 Hypertension 1276 (99) 344 (99) 932 (98) 0.02 Diabetes mellitus 88 (67) 8 (Ischemic stroke and/or transient ischemic attack	43 (3)	8 (2)	35 (4)	0.03
Mitral and/or aortic valvular disease 249 (19) 68 (20) 181 (19) 0.00 Atrial fibrillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) 0.06 Coronary artery bypass surgery 41 (3) 5 (1) 36 (4) 0.06 Percutaneous coronary intervention 152 (12) 55 (16) 97 (10) 0.08 Implantable cardioverter defibrillator 39 (3) 16 (5) 23 (2) 0.06 (ICD) 36 (10) 76 (8) 0.04 Cardiac resynchronization therapy 8 (1) 1 (0.3) 7 (0.7) 0.03 Medical history, n (%) 0.01 Hypertension 1276 (99) 344 (99) 932 (98) 0.02 Diagnosed dementia 88 (67) 8 (2) 80 (8) 0.11 Diagnosed depression 236 (18) 50 (14) 186 (20) 0.06 Dyslipidemia 1165 (90) 322 (39) 843 (89) 0.05	Peripheral artery disease	277 (21)	91 (26)	186 (20)	0.07
Atrial fibrillation and/or flutter 425 (33) 108 (31) 317 (34) 0.02 Procedure history, n (%) - <td>Mitral and/or aortic valvular disease</td> <td>249 (19)</td> <td>68 (20)</td> <td>181 (19)</td> <td>0.00</td>	Mitral and/or aortic valvular disease	249 (19)	68 (20)	181 (19)	0.00
Procedure history, n (%) Image: market start st	Atrial fibrillation and/or flutter	425 (33)	108 (31)	317 (34)	0.02
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Procedure history, n (%)				
Percutaneous coronary intervention152 (12)55 (16)97 (10)0.08Implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD)39 (3)16 (5)23 (2)0.06Pacemaker112 (9)36 (10)76 (8)0.04Cardiac resynchronization therapy8 (1)1 (0.3)7 (0.7)0.03Medical history, n (%) </td <td>Coronary artery bypass surgery</td> <td>41 (3)</td> <td>5 (1)</td> <td>36 (4)</td> <td>0.06</td>	Coronary artery bypass surgery	41 (3)	5 (1)	36 (4)	0.06
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Percutaneous coronary intervention	152 (12)	55 (16)	97 (10)	0.08
Pacemaker112 (9) $36 (10)$ $76 (8)$ 0.04Cardiac resynchronization therapy8 (1)1 (0.3) $7 (0.7)$ 0.03Medical history, $n (%)$ </td <td>Implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD)</td> <td>39 (3)</td> <td>16 (5)</td> <td>23 (2)</td> <td>0.06</td>	Implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD)	39 (3)	16 (5)	23 (2)	0.06
Cardiac resynchronization therapy8 (1)1 (0.3)7 (0.7)0.03Medical history, n (%)000Diabetes mellitus955 (74)255 (73)700 (74)0.01Hypertension1276 (99)344 (99)932 (98)0.02Diagnosed dementia88 (67)8 (2)80 (8)0.11Diagnosed depression236 (18)50 (14)186 (20)0.06Dyslipidemia1165 (90)322 (93)843 (89)0.05Chronic liver disease43 (3)11 (3)32 (3)0.01Chronic liver disease451 (35)119 (34)332 (35)0.01Hyperthyroidism67 (5)25 (7)42 (4)0.06Hypothyroidism373 (29)100 (29)273 (29)0.00Extracranial hemorrhage88 (7)32 (9)56 (6)0.06Body mass index, kg/m², n (%) $ 0.11$ ≥ 40.0 54 (4)9 (3)45 (5) 0.11 ≥ 20.9 435 (34)120 (35)315 (33) 0.11 $18.5-24.9$ 394 (30)117 (34)277 (29) 0.01 $< 18.5-24.9$ 32 (20)0 (0)28 (3) 0.02	Pacemaker	112 (9)	36 (10)	76 (8)	0.04
Medical history, $n (%)$ Image: constraint of the second seco	Cardiac resynchronization therapy	8 (1)	1 (0.3)	7 (0.7)	0.03
Diabetes mellitus955 (74) $255 (73)$ $700 (74)$ 0.01 Hypertension $1276 (99)$ $344 (99)$ $932 (98)$ 0.02 Diagnosed dementia $88 (67)$ $8 (2)$ $80 (8)$ 0.11 Diagnosed depression $236 (18)$ $50 (14)$ $186 (20)$ 0.06 Dyslipidemia $1165 (90)$ $322 (93)$ $843 (89)$ 0.05 Chronic liver disease $43 (3)$ $11 (3)$ $32 (3)$ 0.01 Chronic lung disease $451 (35)$ $119 (34)$ $332 (35)$ 0.01 Hyperthyroidism $67 (5)$ $25 (7)$ $42 (4)$ 0.06 Hypothyroidism $373 (29)$ $100 (29)$ $273 (29)$ 0.00 Extracranial hemorrhage $88 (7)$ $32 (9)$ $56 (6)$ 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m², n (%) $ 0.11$ ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ $ 3039.9$ $372 (29)$ $97 (28)$ $275 (29)$ $ 2529.9$ $435 (34)$ $120 (35)$ $315 (33)$ $ 18.5 - 24.9$ $394 (30)$ $117 (34)$ $277 (29)$ $ <12.5 - 24.9394 (30)117 (34)277 (29) <18.512 (0.9)5 (1)7 (0.7) <18.512 (0.9)5 (1)7 (0.7)-$	Medical history, n (%)				
Hypertension1276 (99) 344 (99) 932 (98) 0.02 Diagnosed dementia 88 (67) 8 (2) 80 (8) 0.11 Diagnosed depression 236 (18) 50 (14) 186 (20) 0.06 Dyslipidemia 1165 (90) 322 (93) 843 (89) 0.05 Chronic liver disease 43 (3) 11 (3) 32 (3) 0.01 Chronic liver disease 451 (35) 119 (34) 332 (35) 0.01 Hyperthyroidism 67 (5) 25 (7) 42 (4) 0.06 Hyperthyroidism 373 (29) 100 (29) 273 (29) 0.00 Extractanial hemorrhage 88 (7) 32 (9) 56 (6) 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m², n (%) $ 0.11$ ≥ 40.0 54 (4) 9 (3) 45 (5) 0.11 $30.0-39.9$ 372 (29) 97 (28) 275 (29) 0.01 $18.5-24.9$ 394 (30) 117 (34) 277 (29) $ <18.5$ 12 (0.9) 5 (1) 7 (0.7) $-$ Unknown 28 (2) 0 (0) 28 (3) $-$	Diabetes mellitus	955 (74)	255 (73)	700 (74)	0.01
Diagnosed dementia88 (67)8 (2)80 (8)0.11Diagnosed depression236 (18)50 (14)186 (20)0.06Dyslipidemia1165 (90)322 (93)843 (89)0.05Chronic liver disease43 (3)11 (3)32 (3)0.01Chronic lung disease451 (35)119 (34)332 (35)0.01Hyperthyroidism67 (5)25 (7)42 (4)0.06Hypothyroidism373 (29)100 (29)273 (29)0.00Extractanial hemorrhage88 (7)32 (9)56 (6)0.06Body mass index, kg/m², n (%)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (4)9 (3)45 (5)0.1130.0-39.9372 (29)97 (28)275 (29)125.0-29.9435 (34)120 (35)315 (33)118.5-24.9394 (30)117 (34)277 (29)<18.5	Hypertension	1276 (99)	344 (99)	932 (98)	0.02
Diagnosed depression $236 (18)$ $50 (14)$ $186 (20)$ 0.06 Dyslipidemia $1165 (90)$ $322 (93)$ $843 (89)$ 0.05 Chronic liver disease $43 (3)$ $11 (3)$ $32 (3)$ 0.01 Chronic lung disease $451 (35)$ $119 (34)$ $332 (35)$ 0.01 Hyperthyroidism $67 (5)$ $25 (7)$ $42 (4)$ 0.06 Hypothyroidism $373 (29)$ $100 (29)$ $273 (29)$ 0.00 Extracranial hemorrhage $88 (7)$ $32 (9)$ $56 (6)$ 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m ² , n (%) 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (3)$ $120 (35)$ $315 (33)$ $115 (33)$ $18.5 - 24.9$ $394 (30)$ $117 (34)$ $277 (29)$ $100 (29)$ <18.5 $12 (0.9)$ $5 (1)$ $7 (0.7)$ $120 (29)$ <18.5 $12 (0.9)$ $5 (1)$ $278 (29)$ $278 (29)$	Diagnosed dementia	88 (67)	8 (2)	80 (8)	0.11
Dyslipidemia1165 (90) $322 (93)$ $843 (89)$ 0.05 Chronic liver disease43 (3)11 (3) $32 (3)$ 0.01 Chronic lung disease451 (35)119 (34) $332 (35)$ 0.01 Hyperthyroidism $67 (5)$ $25 (7)$ $42 (4)$ 0.06 Hypothyroidism $373 (29)$ $100 (29)$ $273 (29)$ 0.00 Extracranial hemorrhage $88 (7)$ $32 (9)$ $56 (6)$ 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m², n (%) 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $275 (29)$ 0.01 $25.0-29.9$ $435 (34)$ $120 (35)$ $315 (33)$ 0.11 $18.5-24.9$ $394 (30)$ $117 (34)$ $277 (29)$ 0.01 18.5 $12 (0.9)$ $5 (1)$ $7 (0.7)$ 0.01 Unknown $28 (2)$ $0 (0)$ $28 (3)$ 0.05	Diagnosed depression	236 (18)	50 (14)	186 (20)	0.06
Chronic liver disease43 (3)11 (3)32 (3)0.01Chronic lung disease451 (35)119 (34)332 (35)0.01Hyperthyroidism67 (5)25 (7)42 (4)0.06Hypothyroidism373 (29)100 (29)273 (29)0.00Extracranial hemorrhage88 (7)32 (9)56 (6)0.06Body mass index, kg/m², n (%)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (4)9 (3)45 (5)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (4)9 (3)45 (5)0.11 $\geq 25.0-29.9$ 435 (34)120 (35)315 (33)0.11 $18.5-24.9$ 394 (30)117 (34)277 (29)<18.5	Dyslipidemia	1165 (90)	322 (93)	843 (89)	0.05
Chronic lung disease451 (35)119 (34)332 (35)0.01Hyperthyroidism67 (5)25 (7)42 (4)0.06Hypothyroidism373 (29)100 (29)273 (29)0.00Extracranial hemorrhage88 (7)32 (9)56 (6)0.06Body mass index, kg/m², n (%)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (4)9 (3)45 (5)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (4)9 (3)45 (5)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (3)120 (35)315 (33)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (34)120 (35)315 (33)0.11 ≥ 40.0 54 (30)117 (34)277 (29)0.11 ≥ 41.0 54 (30)117 (34)277 (29)0.11 ≥ 18.5 12 (0.9)5 (1)7 (0.7)0.11 $= 18.5$ 12 (0.9)5 (1)28 (2)0 (0)28 (3)	Chronic liver disease	43 (3)	11 (3)	32 (3)	0.01
Hyperthyroidism $67 (5)$ $25 (7)$ $42 (4)$ 0.06 Hypothyroidism $373 (29)$ $100 (29)$ $273 (29)$ 0.00 Extracranial hemorrhage $88 (7)$ $32 (9)$ $56 (6)$ 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m ² , n (%) $ 0.11$ ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $372 (29)$ $97 (28)$ $275 (29)$ 0.11 $18.5 - 24.9$ $394 (30)$ $117 (34)$ $277 (29)$ 0.11 < 18.5 $12 (0.9)$ $5 (1)$ $7 (0.7)$ 0.11 $10 $ $28 (2)$ $0 (0)$ $28 (3)$ 0.11	Chronic lung disease	451 (35)	119 (34)	332 (35)	0.01
Hypothyroidism $373 (29)$ $100 (29)$ $273 (29)$ 0.00 Extracranial hemorrhage $88 (7)$ $32 (9)$ $56 (6)$ 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m ² , n (%) 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ $9 (3)$ $45 (5)$ 0.11 ≥ 40.0 $372 (29)$ $97 (28)$ $275 (29)$ 0.11 $30.0-39.9$ $372 (29)$ $97 (28)$ $275 (29)$ 0.11 $25.0-29.9$ $435 (34)$ $120 (35)$ $315 (33)$ 0.11 $18.5-24.9$ $394 (30)$ $117 (34)$ $277 (29)$ 0.11 <18.5 $12 (0.9)$ $5 (1)$ $7 (0.7)$ 0.11 Unknown $28 (2)$ $0 (0)$ $28 (3)$ 0.00	Hyperthyroidism	67 (5)	25 (7)	42 (4)	0.06
Extracranial hemorrhage88 (7) $32 (9)$ $56 (6)$ 0.06 Body mass index, kg/m², n (%) $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Hypothyroidism	373 (29)	100 (29)	273 (29)	0.00
Body mass index, kg/m², n (%)00.11 ≥ 40.0 $54 (4)$ 9 (3) $45 (5)$ 0.11 $30.0-39.9$ $372 (29)$ $97 (28)$ $275 (29)$ 0 $25.0-29.9$ $435 (34)$ $120 (35)$ $315 (33)$ 0 $18.5-24.9$ $394 (30)$ $117 (34)$ $277 (29)$ 0 <18.5 $12 (0.9)$ $5 (1)$ $7 (0.7)$ 0Unknown $28 (2)$ $0 (0)$ $28 (3)$ 0	Extracranial hemorrhage	88 (7)	32 (9)	56 (6)	0.06
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Body mass index, kg/m ² , n (%)				0.11
30.0-39.9 372 (29) 97 (28) 275 (29) 25.0-29.9 435 (34) 120 (35) 315 (33) 18.5-24.9 394 (30) 117 (34) 277 (29) <18.5	≥40.0	54 (4)	9 (3)	45 (5)	
25.0-29.9 435 (34) 120 (35) 315 (33) 18.5-24.9 394 (30) 117 (34) 277 (29) <18.5	30.0-39.9	372 (29)	97 (28)	275 (29)	
18.5-24.9 394 (30) 117 (34) 277 (29) <18.5	25.0-29.9	435 (34)	120 (35)	315 (33)	
<18.5 12 (0.9) 5 (1) 7 (0.7) Unknown 28 (2) 0 (0) 28 (3)	18.5-24.9	394 (30)	117 (34)	277 (29)	
Unknown 28 (2) 0 (0) 28 (3)	<18.5	12 (0.9)	5 (1)	7 (0.7)	
	Unknown	28 (2)	0 (0)	28 (3)	

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Characteristic	Overall	Adults with Heart Failure and Advanced CKD Who Initiated Dialysis	Matched Adults with Heart Failure and Advanced CKD Who Did Not Initiate Dialysis	Effect Size
	(N = 1295)	(N = 348)	(N = 947)	
Systolic blood pressure category, mmHg, n (%)				0.13
≥ 180	32 (3)	16 (5)	16 (2)	
160–179	66 (5)	26 (8)	40 (4)	
140–159	233 (18)	66 (19)	167 (18)	
130–139	283 (22)	68 (20)	215 (23)	
121-129	238 (18)	58 (17)	180 (19)	
<u>≤120</u>	439 (34)	114 (32.8)	325 (34.3)	
Unknown	4 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.4)	
Diastolic blood pressure category, mmHg, n (%)				0.08
\geq 100	2 (0.2)	2 (0.6)	0 (0)	
90–99	17 (1)	6 (12)	11 (1)	
85-89	17 (1)	4 (1)	13 (1)	
81-84	30 (2)	6 (12)	24 (3)	
<u>80</u>	1225 (95)	330 (95)	895 (95)	
Missing, n (%)	4 (0.3)	0 (0)	4 (0.4)	
Baseline medication use, n (%)				
Alpha blocker	310 (24)	95 (27)	215 (23)	0.05
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor	247 (19)	66 (19)	181 (19)	0.00
Angiotensin II receptor blocker	254 (20)	65 (19)	189 (20)	0.01
Antiarrhythmic	104 (8)	24 (7)	80 (8)	0.03
_Any diuretic	1177 (91)	314 (90)	863 (91)	0.01
Loop	1154 (89)	310 (89)	844 (89)	0.00
Thiazide	215 (17)	89 (26)	126 (13)	0.15
Any β-blocker	1074 (83)	300 (86)	774 (82)	0.05
Any aldosterone receptor antagonist	76 (6)	19 (6)	57 (6)	0.01
Isosorbide dinitrate + hydralazine	299 (23)	68 (20)	231 (24)	0.05
Hydralazine	594 (46)	178 (51)	416 (44)	0.06
Nitrate	562 (43)	156 (45)	406 (43)	0.02
Digoxin	88 (7)	22 (6)	66 (7)	0.01
Calcium channel blocker	659 (51)	209 (60)	450 (48)	0.11
Statin	1040 (80)	292 (84)	748 (79)	0.05
Other lipid-lowering agent	125 (10)	27 (8)	98 (10)	0.04
Anti-inflammatory drug	19 (2)	4 (1)	15 (2)	0.02
Anti-platelet agent	205 (16)	48 (14)	157 (17)	0.03
Diabetic therapy	608 (47)	149 (43)	459 (49)	0.05
Sevelamer	85 (7)	41 (12)	44 (5)	0.13
Baseline ambulatory, non-emergency department laboratory values				
Estimated GFR, ml/min/1.73m ²				
Mean (SD)	15 (4)	12 (4)	17 (3)	1.41
Range	4-20	4–20	6–20	
Hemoglobin category, g/dL, n (%)				0.30
<u>≥13.0</u>	108 (8)	7 (2)	101 (11)	
12.0-12.9	145 (11)	22 (6)	123 (13)	

(Continued)

Characteristic	Overall	Adults with Heart Failure and Advanced CKD Who Initiated Dialysis	Matched Adults with Heart Failure and Advanced CKD Who Did Not Initiate Dialysis	Effect Size
	(N = 1295)	(N = 348)	(N = 947)	
11.0–11.9	334 (26)	63 (18)	271 (29)	
10.0-10.9	334 (26)	98 (28)	236 (25)	
9.0-9.9	240 (19)	94 (27)	146 (15)	
<9.0	115 (9)	64 (18)	51 (5)	
Unknown	19 (2)	0 (0)	19 (2)	
Serum sodium, mmol/L				
Mean (SD)	139 (4)	139 (5)	140 (3)	0.26
Missing, n (%)	36 (3)	2 (0.6)	34 (4)	
Serum potassium, mmol/L				
Mean (SD)	4.5 (0.6)	4.4 (0.7)	4.5 (0.5)	0.17
Missing, n (%)	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.2)	
Proteinuria, n (%)	839 (65)	292 (84)	547 (58)	0.24

Table 1. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262706.t001

color, 15% were Hispanic, and 74% had diabetes. Characteristics were similar between matched patients, except that those who initiated dialysis were more likely to be Hispanic, have prior documented proteinuria; and to be receiving calcium channel blockers, thiazide diuretics, hydralazine, statins or sevelamer. On the other hand, patients who did not initiate dialysis were more likely to have a diagnosed dementia, lower mean systolic blood pressure, lower sodium levels and higher mean hemoglobin and potassium levels at baseline.

Follow-up and death from any cause

During a total of 2069 person-years of follow-up, only 55 (5%) patients were censored due to disenrollment from the health plan, with median (interquartile range, IQR) follow-up time of 15 (IQR: 6 to 33) months in dialysis initiators and 13 (IQR: 6 to 29) months in matched non-initiators (P = 0.06).

Among those who initiated dialysis, 156 (45%) died during follow-up (annual incidence of 26 per 100 person-years) compared to 472 (50%) in those who did not initiate dialysis (annual incidence of 32 per 100 person-years, P = 0.02) (Fig 2). Patients who initiated dialysis were more likely to die in the hospital versus at home compared with those who did not initiate dialysis (53% vs. 26%), and they were also less likely to receive palliative care (Table 2). After additional adjustment for potential confounders, including demographic characteristics, dementia, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, hemoglobin level, potassium level, sodium level, proteinuria, and receipt of calcium channel blockers, thiazide diuretics, and sevelamer, patients that initiated dialysis experienced a lower adjusted rate of death compared with those not receiving dialysis (adjusted hazard ratio 0.67, 95% Confidence Interval:0.54 to 0.83) (Fig 2).

Discussion

Patients older than 75 years old are the fastest growing subset of incident ESRD patients nationally, with many having a high comorbidity burden at the time of initiating dialysis [1]. In particular, HF is very frequent among patients receiving chronic dialysis [32]. Among a carefully matched older cohort of adults with HF and advanced CKD (eGFR ≤ 20 ml/min/ $1.73m^2$) treated within a fully integrated healthcare delivery system, absolute rates of death were high regardless of the receipt of chronic dialysis. However, we found that initiation of



Fig 2. Rate of death and adjusted survival curves of 1,295 matched adults aged \geq 70 years old with chronic heart failure and advanced chronic kidney disease between 2008 and 2012. Survival probabilities were calculated for patients with the following characteristics based on the distribution of the overall cohort: 79 years old; male; non-Hispanic white race; with dementia; body mass index <25 kg/m²; systolic blood presuure <130 mmHg; hemoglobin \geq 12 g/L; potassium 3.5–4.9 mmol/L; sodium 130–139 mmol/L; urine protein dipstick of +1 or greater; receiving diuretic, and calcium channel; and not receiving sevelamer.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262706.g002

chronic dialysis was independently associated with a modestly improved survival (33% relative reduction), even after matching on key patient features and a high-dimensional propensity score for receiving dialysis, as well as additional statistical adjustment for residual observed differences in baseline patient characteristics and receipt of other therapies. Yet, given the high absolute rates of death in both groups, the longer survival associated with receipt of dialysis was modest, consistent with another study comparing dialysis vs. conservative management in older persons suggesting a benefit of only approximately two months [33]. Of interest, in descriptive analyses among the subgroup of patients who died in our study, those patients initiating dialysis were less likely to die at home or receive palliative care compared with those not receiving dialysis therapy.

Among patients aged \geq 65 years initiating chronic dialysis in the U.S., older age is associated with significantly lower survival, with a previous study reporting mean survival of only 16

	Overall (N = 628)	Patients Who Initiated Chronic Dialysis (N = 156)	Patients Who Did Not Initiate Chronic Dialysis (N = 472)	Р
Location of Death				< 0.001
Emergency department/ inpatient	204 (33)	82 (53)	122 (26)	
Home	276 (44)	47 (30)	229 (49)	
Other	126 (20)	24 (15)	102 (22)	
Unknown	22 (4)	3 (2)	19 (4)	
Receipt of Palliative Care				< 0.001
Any	468 (75)	107 (69)	361 (76)	
Inpatient	250 (40)	60 (38)	190 (40)	
Outpatient	213 (34)	46 (29)	167 (35)	
Unspecified	5 (1)	1 (1)	4(1)	
No	129 (21)	45 (29)	84 (18)	
Unknown	31 (5)	4 (3)	27 (6)	

Table 2. Location of death and receipt of palliative care among adults \geq 70 years old with chronic heart failure and advanced chronic kidney disease between 2008 and 2012 who died during follow-up, overall and stratified by receipt of chronic dialysis.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262706.t002

months for age 80–84 years, 12 months for age 85–89 years and 8 months for age \geq 90 years at the time of dialysis initiation [2]. Our study highlights the clinical importance of HF in older adults with advanced CKD, and concomitant HF is a strong negative prognostic factor for death in patients receiving chronic dialysis [8,9]. Among new dialysis initiators, Stack et al. observed higher all-cause mortality in those with CHF regardless of diabetic status [9]. Importantly, even in the absence of CHF, older adults are at risk for loss of independence and reduced functional status and quality of life after dialysis initiation [34,35]. Therefore, in the older adult with HF and advanced CKD, thorough consideration is needed by patients, families and providers about the potential net benefit vs. medical risks and adverse side effects of chronic dialysis therapy before initiation of kidney replacement therapy.

Dialysis is started with the intention of prolonging life and alleviating symptoms. In contrast, initiation of dialysis is frequently associated with a significant decline in functional status in frail patients that appears independent of age, sex, race and functional status before starting dialysis [34]. Thus, the potential survival benefit of dialysis in an older person is countered by the risks of treatment and potential negative impact on quality of life. Furthermore, in certain older patients, dialysis may not improve survival while increasing the risk of functional loss and transfer to a nursing home [36].

There has been increasing recognition that in older persons with advanced CKD and high comorbidity burden, conservative management may be a viable option to kidney replacement therapy [37]. Conservative management can include symptom management, supplemented very low protein diet, dietary potassium restriction, as well as judicious use of diuretics to avoid volume overload [38,39]. In addition, bicarbonate supplementation can be used for the treatment of acidosis and potassium binders for treatment of hyperkalemia. A previous observational study reported that older patients with CKD who were managed conservatively experienced similar survival as patients receiving chronic dialysis [12]. In contrast, several observational studies have reported a favorable association on mortality in older persons with CKD who received dialysis [40–43]. However, the survival advantage associated with dialysis was lower in patients with comorbid cardiovascular conditions [41] and was not observed in persons older than 80 years [44]. The favorable association of dialysis with mortality in the setting of HF may be linked to improved electrolyte and volume control [45], but none of the previous studies have examined survival specifically in patients with advanced CKD and

concomitant HF. Collectively, our findings and the existing literature highlight the lack of evidence from randomized controlled trials of conservative management vs. kidney replacement therapy in older patients with CKD complicated by various comorbid conditions in order to more effectively support providers and patients in the shared decision-making process [46].

Our study was strengthened by inclusion of a demographically diverse cohort of older adults with advanced CKD and HF that had comprehensive follow-up on survival for up to five years. We also applied eligibility criteria as well as used statistical methods (i.e., individual matching on patient characteristics and a high-dimensional propensity score to receive dialysis) to identify comparable patients who could be eligible for kidney replacement therapy and did or did not initiate dialysis. Furthermore, we provide relevant information about the location of death and use of palliative care by receipt of dialysis therapy. Our study also had certain limitations. Despite availability of a wide range of available variables and the use of design and statistical techniques to reduce differences between patients, we cannot rule out unmeasured confounding, other treatment selection biases, and variation in patient or caregiver preferences related to kidney replacement therapy.

In conclusion, among older adults with HF and advanced CKD, we found that initiation of chronic dialysis was independently associated with lower all-cause mortality but absolute survival rates were low regardless of receipt of dialysis. Patients initiating dialysis were also less likely to die at home or receive palliative care. Given that therapeutic goals in high-risk older persons with serious morbidity include a balance between maximizing length and quality of life, definitive randomized trials are needed comparing a strategy of initial kidney replacement therapy vs. conservative optimal medical management in older patients with HF and advanced CKD.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Baseline characteristics of adults aged \geq 70 years old with chronic heart failure and advanced chronic kidney disease between 2008 and 2012, overall and stratified by receipt of chronic dialysis during follow-up. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Sijie Zheng, David Law, Alan S. Go.
Data curation: Sijie Zheng, Jingrong Yang, David Law, Susan S. Kim.
Formal analysis: Jingrong Yang.
Funding acquisition: Sijie Zheng, David Law.
Investigation: Sijie Zheng, Jingrong Yang, David Law, Alan S. Go.
Methodology: Jingrong Yang, Alan S. Go.
Project administration: Thida C. Tan, Susan S. Kim, Alan S. Go.
Supervision: Thida C. Tan, Alan S. Go.
Visualization: Jingrong Yang.
Writing – original draft: Jingrong Yang, Alan S. Go.
Writing – review & editing: Sijie Zheng, Jingrong Yang, Thida C. Tan, Sharina Belani, David Law, Leonid V. Pravoverov, Alan S. Go.

References

- 1. United States Renal Data System. 2020 USRDS annual data report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD. 2020.
- Kurella M, Covinsky KE, Collins AJ, Chertow GM. Octogenarians and nonagenarians starting dialysis in the United States. Ann Intern Med. 2007; 146(3):177–83. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-146-3-200702060-00006 PMID: 17283348.
- Jassal SV, Trpeski L, Zhu N, Fenton S, Hemmelgarn B. Changes in survival among elderly patients initiating dialysis from 1990 to 1999. CMAJ. 2007; 177(9):1033–8. Epub 2007/10/24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.061765</u> PMID: 17954892; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2025619.
- McIntyre CW. Recurrent circulatory stress: the dark side of dialysis. Semin Dial. 2010; 23(5):449–51. Epub 2010/11/03. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-139X.2010.00782.x PMID: 21039872.
- Santoro A, Mancini E. Hemodialysis and the elderly patient: complications and concerns. J Nephrol. 2010; 23 Suppl 15:S80–9. Epub 2010/11/27. PMID: 20872375.
- Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2020 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020; 141 (9):e139–e596. Epub 2020/01/30. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.00000000000757 PMID: 31992061.
- Smith DH, Thorp ML, Gurwitz JH, McManus DD, Goldberg RJ, Allen LA, et al. Chronic kidney disease and outcomes in heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction: the Cardiovascular Research Network PRESERVE Study. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2013; 6(3):333–42. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.113.000221 PMID: 23685625; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3904800.
- Yamada S, Ishii H, Takahashi H, Aoyama T, Morita Y, Kasuga H, et al. Prognostic value of reduced left ventricular ejection fraction at start of hemodialysis therapy on cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in end-stage renal disease patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010; 5(10):1793–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.2215/</u> CJN.00050110 PMID: 20595691; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2974379.
- Stack AG, Molony DA, Rahman NS, Dosekun A, Murthy B. Impact of dialysis modality on survival of new ESRD patients with congestive heart failure in the United States. Kidney Int. 2003; 64(3):1071–9. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00165.x PMID: 12911559.
- Postorino M, Marino C, Tripepi G, Zoccali C, Calabrian Registry of D, Transplantation. Prognostic value of the New York Heart Association classification in end-stage renal disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2007; 22(5):1377–82. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfl813 PMID: 17276995.
- Da Silva-Gane M, Wellsted D, Greenshields H, Norton S, Chandna SM, Farrington K. Quality of life and survival in patients with advanced kidney failure managed conservatively or by dialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012; 7(12):2002–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01130112</u> PMID: <u>22956262</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3513739.
- Chandna SM, Da Silva-Gane M, Marshall C, Warwicker P, Greenwood RN, Farrington K. Survival of elderly patients with stage 5 CKD: comparison of conservative management and renal replacement therapy. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2011; 26(5):1608–14. Epub 2010/11/26. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/ gfq630 PMID: 21098012; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3084441.
- Hussain JA, Mooney A, Russon L. Comparison of survival analysis and palliative care involvement in patients aged over 70 years choosing conservative management or renal replacement therapy in advanced chronic kidney disease. Palliat Med. 2013; 27(9):829–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/</u> 0269216313484380 PMID: 23652841.
- 14. Gordon NP. Characteristics of Adult Health Plan Members in the Northern California Region Membership, as Estimated from the 2011 Member Health Survey. Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program, Oakland, CA. 2013.
- Go AS, Yang J, Ackerson LM, Lepper K, Robbins S, Massie BM, et al. Hemoglobin level, chronic kidney disease, and the risks of death and hospitalization in adults with chronic heart failure: the Anemia in Chronic Heart Failure: Outcomes and Resource Utilization (ANCHOR) Study. Circulation. 2006; 113 (23):2713–23. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.577577 PMID: 16754803.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009; 150(9):604–12. Epub 2009/05/06. 150/9/604 [pii]. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006 PMID: 19414839.
- Lo LJ, Go AS, Chertow GM, McCulloch CE, Fan D, Ordonez JD, et al. Dialysis-requiring acute renal failure increases the risk of progressive chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2009; 76(8):893–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2009.289</u> PMID: 19641480; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2771754.
- Schneeweiss S, Rassen J, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Mogun H, Brookhart AM. High-dimensional Propensity Score (hd-PS) version 1. http://wwwhdpharmacoepiorg/2009.

- Schneeweiss S, Rassen JA, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Mogun H, Brookhart MA. High-dimensional propensity score adjustment in studies of treatment effects using health care claims data. Epidemiology. 2009; 20 (4):512–22. Epub 2009/06/03. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181a663cc PMID: 19487948; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3077219.
- Curb JD, Ford CE, Pressel S, Palmer M, Babcock C, Hawkins CM. Ascertainment of vital status through the National Death Index and the Social Security Administration. Am J Epidemiol. 1985; 121(5):754–66. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/121.5.754 PMID: 4014167
- Arellano MG, Petersen GR, Petitti DB, Smith RE. The California Automated Mortality Linkage System (CAMLIS). Am J Public Health. 1984; 74(12):1324–30. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.74.12.1324 PMID: 6507683.
- Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. N Engl J Med. 2004; 351(13):1296–305. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa041031 PMID: 15385656</u>.
- Go AS, Hsu CY, Yang J, Tan TC, Zheng S, Ordonez JD, et al. Acute Kidney Injury and Risk of Heart Failure and Atherosclerotic Events. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018; 13(6):833–41. https://doi.org/10. 2215/CJN.12591117 PMID: 29773712; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5989674.
- Karter AJ, Warton EM, Lipska KJ, Ralston JD, Moffet HH, Jackson GG, et al. Development and Validation of a Tool to Identify Patients With Type 2 Diabetes at High Risk of Hypoglycemia-Related Emergency Department or Hospital Use. JAMA Intern Med. 2017; 177(10):1461–70. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamainternmed.2017.3844 PMID: 28828479; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5624849.
- Go AS, Lee WY, Yang J, Lo JC, Gurwitz JH. Statin therapy and risks for death and hospitalization in chronic heart failure. JAMA. 2006; 296(17):2105–11. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.17.2105 PMID: 17077375.
- 26. Go AS, Iribarren C, Chandra M, Lathon PV, Fortmann SP, Quertermous T, et al. Statin and beta-blocker therapy and the initial presentation of coronary heart disease. Ann Intern Med. 2006; 144(4):229–38. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-144-4-200602210-00004 PMID: 16490908.
- Freeman JV, Yang J, Sung SH, Hlatky MA, Go AS. Effectiveness and safety of digoxin among contemporary adults with incident systolic heart failure. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2013; 6(5):525–33. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.111.000079 PMID: 24021697.
- Austin PC. Using the standardized difference to compare the prevalence of a binary variable between two groups in observational research. Communications in Statistics—Simulation and Computation. 2009; 38:1228–34.
- Austin PC. An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects of Confounding in Observational Studies. Multivariate Behav Res. 2011; 46(3):399–424. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00273171.2011.568786 PMID: 21818162; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3144483.
- Kotrlik JW, Williams HA, jabor MK. Reporting and interpreting effect size in quantitative agricultural education research. Journal of Agricultural Education. 2011; 52(1):132–42.
- **31.** Rea LM, Parker RA. Designing and conducting survey research: a comprehensive guide. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers; 1992. xxiv, 254 p. p.
- Harnett JD, Foley RN, Kent GM, Barre PE, Murray D, Parfrey PS. Congestive heart failure in dialysis patients: prevalence, incidence, prognosis and risk factors. Kidney Int. 1995; 47(3):884–90. https://doi. org/10.1038/ki.1995.132 PMID: 7752588.
- Reindl-Schwaighofer R, Kainz A, Kammer M, Dumfarth A, Oberbauer R. Survival analysis of conservative vs. dialysis treatment of elderly patients with CKD stage 5. PLoS One. 2017; 12(7):e0181345. Epub 2017/07/26. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181345 PMID: <u>28742145</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5524398.
- Kurella Tamura M, Covinsky KE, Chertow GM, Yaffe K, Landefeld CS, McCulloch CE. Functional status of elderly adults before and after initiation of dialysis. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(16):1539–47. Epub 2009/10/16. 361/16/1539 [pii] https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0904655 PMID: <u>19828531</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2789552.
- Jassal SV, Chiu E, Hladunewich M. Loss of independence in patients starting dialysis at 80 years of age or older. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(16):1612–3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc0905289</u> PMID: 19828543.
- 36. van Loon IN, Goto NA, Boereboom FTJ, Verhaar MC, Bots ML, Hamaker ME. Quality of life after the initiation of dialysis or maximal conservative management in elderly patients: a longitudinal analysis of the Geriatric assessment in OLder patients starting Dialysis (GOLD) study. BMC Nephrol. 2019; 20(1):108. Epub 2019/03/30. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-019-1268-3 PMID: <u>30922246</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6440027.

- O'Connor NR, Kumar P. Conservative management of end-stage renal disease without dialysis: a systematic review. J Palliat Med. 2012; 15(2):228–35. Epub 2012/02/09. https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2011. 0207 PMID: 22313460; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3318255.
- Raghavan D, Holley JL. Conservative Care of the Elderly CKD Patient: A Practical Guide. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis. 2016; 23(1):51–6. Epub 2015/12/29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ackd.2015.08.003</u> PMID: 26709063.
- Brunori G, Viola BF, Parrinello G, De Biase V, Como G, Franco V, et al. Efficacy and safety of a verylow-protein diet when postponing dialysis in the elderly: a prospective randomized multicenter controlled study. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2007; 49(5):569–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2007</u>. 02.278 PMID: 17472838
- Joly D, Anglicheau D, Alberti C, Nguyen AT, Touam M, Grunfeld JP, et al. Octogenarians reaching endstage renal disease: cohort study of decision-making and clinical outcomes. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2003; 14(4):1012–21. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.asn.0000054493.04151.80 PMID: 12660336.
- Verberne WR, Geers AB, Jellema WT, Vincent HH, van Delden JJ, Bos WJ. Comparative Survival among Older Adults with Advanced Kidney Disease Managed Conservatively Versus with Dialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016; 11(4):633–40. Epub 2016/03/19. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.07510715 PMID: 26988748; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4822664.
- Carson RC, Juszczak M, Davenport A, Burns A. Is maximum conservative management an equivalent treatment option to dialysis for elderly patients with significant comorbid disease? Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009; 4(10):1611–9. Epub 2009/10/08. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.00510109 PMID: 19808244; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2758251.
- 43. Martinez Echevers Y, Toapanta Gaibor NG, Nava Perez N, Barbosa Martin F, Montes Delgado R, Guerrero Riscos MA. Survival of patients >/ = 70 years with advanced chronic kidney disease: Dialysis vs. conservative care. Nefrologia. 2016; 36(3):283–91. Epub 2016/04/23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nefro.2015.11.006 PMID: 27102267.
- 44. Garcia Testal A, Garcia Maset R, Fornes Ferrer V, Canada Martinez AJ, Fernandez Fandos S, Rico Salvador IS, et al. Cohort study with patients older than 80 years with stage 5 chronic kidney failure on hemodialysis vs conservative treatment: Survival outcomes and use of healthcare resources. Ther Apher Dial. 2020. Epub 2020/04/08. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-9987.13493 PMID: 32255557.
- Leskovar B, Furlan T, Poznic S, Potisek M, Adamlje A. Hemodialysis treatment of cardiorenal syndrome. Clin Nephrol. 2017; 88(13):57–60. Epub 2017/06/29. <u>https://doi.org/10.5414/CNP88FX14</u> PMID: 28655387.
- Morton RL, Snelling P, Webster AC, Rose J, Masterson R, Johnson DW, et al. Factors influencing patient choice of dialysis versus conservative care to treat end-stage kidney disease. CMAJ. 2012; 184 (5):E277–83. Epub 2012/02/09. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.111355 PMID: 22311947; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3307582.