mRNA and IncRNA Expression Profiling of Radiation-Induced Gastric Injury Reveals Potential Radiation-Responsive Transcription Factors

Dose-Response: An International Journal October-December 2019:1-11 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1559325819886766 journals.sagepub.com/home/dos



Guangxia Chen¹, Yang Feng^{2,3}, Zhiqiang Sun^{2,3}, Yiying Gao^{2,3}, Chuannan Wu¹, Haihan Zhang¹, Jinming Cao^{2,3}, Zhuo Chen¹, Jianping Cao^{2,3}, Yaqun Zhu⁴, and Shuyu Zhang^{3,5,6}

Abstract

Radiation-induced gastric injury is a serious concern that may limit the duration and the delivered dose of radiation. However, the genome-wide molecular changes in stomach upon ionizing radiation have not been reported. In this study, mouse stomach was irradiated with 6 or 12 Gy X-ray irradiation and we found that radiation resulted in the atrophy of gastric mucosa and abnormal morphology of chief and parietal cells. Radiation-induced gastric injury was accompanied by an increase in the serum levels of pepsinogen A and pepsinogen C but not gastrin-17. The expression profiles of messenger RNA (mRNA) and long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) in normal and irradiated gastric tissues were measured by microarray analysis. Results revealed 17 upregulated and 10 downregulated mRNAs were consistent in 6 and 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissues, including D site-binding protein (*Dbp*) and fibrinogen-like protein 1 (*Fgl1*). Thirteen upregulated and 96 downregulated IncRNAs were commonly changed in 6 and 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissues. The dysregulated mRNAs were implicated in multiple pathways and showed coexpression with IncRNAs. To identify motifs for transcription factors and coactivators in the proximal promoter regions of the dysregulated RNAs, the bioinformatic tool Biopython was used. A variety of common motifs that are associated with transcription factors were identified, including ZNF263, LMX1B, and DIX1. Our findings illustrate the molecular changes during radiation-induced gastric injury and the potential transcription factors driving this alteration.

Keywords

ionizing radiation, stomach, radiation-induced gastric injury, microarray, IncRNA

¹ Department of Gastroenterology, First People's Hospital of Xuzhou, Xuzhou Municipal Hospital Affiliated to Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

² School of Radiation Medicine and Protection and Collaborative Innovation Center of Radiation Medicine of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Medical School of Soochow University, Suzhou, China

³ State Key Lab of Radiation Medicine and Radioprotection, Soochow University, Suzhou, China

⁴ Department of Radiotherapy & Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China

⁵ West China School of Basic Medical Sciences & Forensic Medicine, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

⁶ Second Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu Medical College (China National Nuclear Corporation 416 Hospital), Chengdu, China

Received 13 August 2019; received revised 07 October 2019; accepted 10 October 2019

Corresponding Authors:

Shuyu Zhang, West China School of Basic Medical Sciences & Forensic Medicine, Sichuan, University, Chengdu 610041, China. Email: zhang.shuyu@hotmail.com

Yaqun Zhu, Department of Radiotherapy & Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou 215004, China. Email: szzhuyaqun@sina.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Introduction

The stomach is located between the esophagus and the small intestine, which is one of the most sophisticated endocrine organs with unique physiology, biochemistry, and microbiology.^{1,2} The stomach fulfills important tasks in the mechanic and chemic digestion of food by secretion of digestive enzymes and gastric acids.^{1,2} Several kinds of cells in the stomach including parietal, chief, gastrin-secreting, enterochromaffin-like, and somatostatin-producing cells participate in the integrity and homeostasis of this organ.³ Radiotherapy is widely used in the treatment of various cancers.⁴⁻⁶ However, radiation-induced gastric injury may occur during radiotherapy of gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma that may limit the duration and the delivered dose of radiation.^{5,6} Early symptoms include nausea, vomiting, dyspepsia, and abdominal pain, while chronic dyspepsia and abdominal pain due to chronic ulceration have been reported to occur later after radiotherapy. However, the molecular mechanism of radiation-induced gastric injury is still unclear.⁷ Comprehensive understanding of the response of normal gastric tissue to ionizing radiation and detailed analysis might help to identify biomarkers for the diagnosis of radiationinduced gastric injury or targeted drugs for the prevention of this disease.

The mechanism of radiation-induced tissue damage is complex. Reactive oxygen species and DNA double-strand breaks are well-documented events. Other events including the activation of checkpoint pathway, mitochondrial or ER responses, cell apoptosis, senescence, necrosis, and ferroptosis have been implicated in radiation-induced damage.⁸⁻¹² Numerous proteins, noncoding RNAs, and pathways are associated with radiationinduced tissue damage, which indicate a tissue-specific molecular alternation in response to ionizing radiation.^{13,14} Although multiple mechanisms have been proposed for the progression of radiation-induced tissue injury, the molecular signaling events in the stomach in response to radiation remain elusive.

To date, advances in high-throughput profiling methodology have provided a large amount of information regarding gene expression at the transcriptome level, as well as the underlying molecular events in response to irradiation.^{15,16} To our knowledge, the genome-wide landscape of differentially expressed RNAs of stomach in response to ionizing radiation has not been reported. In this study, we performed microarray analysis of messenger RNA (mRNA) and long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) to investigate irradiation-responsive genes in gastric tissues of mice. We compared the genome-wide expression between normal gastric tissues and irradiated group. Functional categories of differentially expressed mRNAs and differentially expressed lncRNAs were also analyzed. We further characterized key transcription factors involved in mRNA and lncRNA alteration.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Treatments

Male C57 mice (6 weeks old) were purchased from the Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co, Ltd (Shanghai, China). The animals were housed and maintained in a 12-hour light/dark cycle and had free access to food and water. The mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (75 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). The mice were immobilized with adhesive tape on a plastic plate to minimize motion during radiation exposure. A 3-cm thick piece of lead was used to shield the mice and localize the radiation field. A single dose of 6 or 12 Gy irradiation was administered to the stomach area at a dose rate of 2 Gy/min using a 6-MeV X-ray irradiation (Clinac 2100EX; Varian Medical Systems, Inc, Palo Alto, California). The control group of mice was sham irradiated. Seven days after irradiation, the gastric tissues were resected for analysis. Protocols for experiments involving animals were approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee at Soochow University (Suzhou, China).

Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining

Gastric tissues were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Three-micrometer paraffin sections were deparaffinized and heat-treated with citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 7 minutes following an epitope retrieval protocol. The sections of mouse stomach were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). The thickness of gastric mucosa in each treatment group of mice was measured using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland).

Transmission Electron Microscopy

Gastric tissues were fixed for 2 hours with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.05 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2 at room temperature, followed by 2 hours in 2% OsO_4 in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer and 18 hours in 1% aqueous uranyl acetate solution. After dehydration through an ethanol series, the specimens were embedded in Epon 812 and ultrathin sections were collected on copper grids. After being stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, the sections were examined using a Tecnai G2 Spirit BioTwin transmission electron microscope (FEI Company, Hillsboro, Oregon).

LncRNA and MRNA Microarray Expression Profiling

Microarray profiling was performed in the laboratory of OE Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China). The detailed methods were described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Motif and Transcription Factor Search by Biopython

Two kilobytes upstream promoter sequences of the dysregulated mRNAs and lncRNAs were analyzed to locate known *cis*-regulatory elements using the sequence analysis tool Biopython,¹⁷ with a combined *P* value of <.01 as the threshold. The matrices generated by Biopython were then compared with the TRANSFAC database¹⁸ using the DNA binding motif similarity tool, WebLogo.¹⁹

No.	Gene Symbol	Fold Change (12 vs 0 Gy)	P Value (12 vs 0 Gy)	Fold Change (6 vs 0 Gy)	P Value (6 vs 0 Gy)	Regulation
I	Dbp	4.736	.002	3.368	.004	Upregulation
2	Hbb-bt	3.786	.015	3.890	.003	Upregulation
3	Fgll	3.759	.017	2.330	.048	Upregulation
4	Ньь-ь І	3.486	.033	4.851	.001	Upregulation
5	Trþ53inþ l	2.912	.035	2.130	.002	Upregulation
6	Bhlhe4 I	2.718	.028	2.270	.020	Upregulation
7	F3	2.644	.032	2.023	.042	Upregulation
8	Ugt2b35	2.542	.024	2.996	.017	Upregulation
9	Edem3	2.538	.004	2.474	.002	Upregulation
10	Hba-a2	2.518	.045	2.543	.009	Upregulation
11	PppIr2I	2.512	.001	2.083	.022	Upregulation
12	2410018L3Rik	2.502	.019	3.185	.009	Upregulation
13	Creb3l4	2.350	.031	2.884	.011	Upregulation
14	Brox	2.277	.004	2.040	.014	Upregulation
15	Smarcc I	2.202	.022	2.007	.045	Upregulation
16	Pctp	2.201	.041	2.194	.049	Upregulation
17	Ppp2r4	2.190	.015	2.552	.020	Upregulation
18	LOC101056094	2.089	.018	2.085	.003	Downregulation
19	Prr30	3.666	.005	2.537	.029	Downregulation
20	Rbm3	3.012	.018	2.020	.001	Downregulation
21	Rbmy	2.880	.016	2.606	.028	Downregulation
22	LOC102640292	2.851	.029	2.695	.039	Downregulation
23	Meg3	2.639	.007	2.466	.022	Downregulation
24	FbxI5	2.493	.038	2.527	.019	Downregulation
25	Irx4	2.306	.014	2.222	.020	Downregulation
26	2010016118Rik	2.266	.005	2.180	.030	Downregulation
27	C530030P08Rik	2.208	.007	2.028	.003	Downregulation

Table 1. Differentially Expressed MRNAs in Irradiated Gastric Tissues of Mice.

Real-Time PCR Analysis

Total RNA from gastric tissues was reverse transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using an oligo $(dT)_{12}$ primer and Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The SYBR green dye (Takara, Japan) was used for amplification of cDNA. Messenger RNA levels as well as that of the internal standard, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*), were measured by real-time quantitative PCR in triplicates using a Prism 7900 real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). The primers used for the real-time PCR analysis are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Immunohistochemistry

Gastric tissues of mice were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Three-micrometer paraffin sections were deparaffinized and heat treated with citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 7 minutes following an epitope retrieval protocol. Three-micrometer paraffin sections were incubated with primary antibodies against Lmx1b (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom, ab135734), Dlx1 (Abcam, ab236381), and ZNF263 (Biorbyt Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, orb158791) at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with biotinylated secondary antibody (Beyotime, Nantong). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining was visualized using a substrate solution containing diaminobenzidine and hydrogen peroxide. The counter staining was performed with hematoxylin. All steps were performed at room temperature. Negative controls consisted of tissue sections with similar staining procedures in the absence of primary antibody.

Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean of at least 3 independent experiments. The results were evaluated via 1-way analysis of variance to determine statistical significance. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software. The differences were considered significant at P <.05. Other materials and methods are available in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Results

Radiation Disrupts the Physiology and Morphology in Gastric Tissues

To investigate the effect of radiation on gastric tissues, a mouse model with radiation-induced gastric injury was established by administering 6 and 12 Gy X-ray irradiation. These doses were selected due to their application in larger fractional dose delivery such as stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT).^{20,21} One week after radiation, gastric tissues were collected and



Figure 1. Radiation induces gastric morphological and physiological changes in mouse models. A, Representative H&E staining of normal and irradiated gastric tissues 7 days after radiation. B, Quantification of the thickness of gastric mucosa. C, Electron microscopy analysis of gastric tissues from 6 or 12 Gy X-ray irradiated and nonirradiated mice. Representative images of chief cells and parietal cells are shown. D, Serum levels of pepsinogen A (PGA), pepsinogen C (PGC), and gastrin-17 (G17) were measured by ELISA. *P < .05; **P < .01, compared to the nonirradiated (0 Gy) group. H&E indicates hematoxylin and eosin.

observed by H&E staining. Results showed that in irradiated gastric tissues, there was complete atrophy of gastric mucosa, which was replaced by metaplastic squamous epithelium (Figure 1A and B). Under electron microscope, we observed an obvious abnormality in nuclear morphology and aggregation of zymogen granules in 6 Gy X-ray irradiated chief cells (Figure 1C). Abnormal nucleus and mitochondria were observed in the parietal cells of 12 Gy X-ray irradiated gastric tissues (Figure 1C). Next, we investigated whether radiation affected serum biomarkers for gastric mucosa.²² As shown in Figure 1D, radiation increased the serum levels of pepsinogen A and pepsinogen C but not

gastrin-17. The above results indicated that normal gastric physiology and morphology may be disrupted by radiation.

Radiation Modulates the Expression of MRNAs in Gastric Tissues

We next investigated the RNA profiles of irradiated and nonirradiated gastric tissues by microarray analysis. The raw array measures are accessible through Gene Expression Omnibus series accession number GSE114246. Messenger RNA profiling detected 92 mRNAs with significant differential expression levels with at least 2-fold change (P < .05) in 6



Figure 2. mRNA and IncRNA expression profiling of gastric tissue samples subjected to 6 and 12 Gy X-ray irradiation. A, The volcano plot of mRNA and IncRNA profiling between 6 Gy irradiation and 0 Gy control gastric tissues of mice. B, The heatmap of the significant mRNA and IncRNA expression patterns in the control (0 Gy) and 6 Gy group of gastric tissue samples. C, Differential expressed gene distribution in mouse chromosomes following 6 Gy irradiation. Red and blue bars represent upregulated and downregulated differential expressed genes, respectively. D, The volcano plot of expressed mRNAs and IncRNAs between control and 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissues. E, The heatmap of the significant mRNA and IncRNA expression patterns in the control and 12 Gy group of gastric tissue samples. F, Differential expressed gene distribution in mouse chromosomes following 12 Gy irradiation. IncRNA indicates long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA.

Gy irradiated gastric tissues compared to nonirradiated normal tissues, with 61 upregulated and 31 downregulated mRNAs (Figure 2A-C). Among the dysregulated mRNA transcripts, hemoglobin, β adult minor chain (*Hbb-b2*) was the most upregulated with a fold change of 4.99, whereas AI225934 was the most downregulated with a fold change of 6.30. One hundred ninety-four mRNAs (153 upregulated and 41 downregulated) were preferentially expressed in gastric tissues with 12 Gy X-ray radiation. Seventeen upregulated and 10 downregulated mRNAs were consistent in 6 and 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissues (Figure 3A and B). The list of 27 differentially expressed mRNAs in irradiated (both 6 and 12 Gy) gastric tissues is shown in Figure 3B and Table 1. These mRNAs include D site-binding protein (Dbp), fibrinogen-like protein 1 (Fgl1), proline rich 30 (Prr30), and RNA binding motif protein 3 (Rbm3).

Long Noncoding RNAs Are Dysregulated in Irradiated Gastric Tissues

Using the same criteria as the mRNAs, we found 239 lncRNAs (36 upregulated and 203 downregulated) with significant differential expression levels between 6 Gy irradiated and mock irradiated gastric tissues (P < .05). Besides, we found 376 differentially expressed lncRNA, and there are 138 upregulated and 238 downregulated lncRNAs in gastric tissues with 12 Gy X-ray radiation. Thirteen upregulated and 96 downregulated lncRNAs were commonly changed in 6 and 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissues (Figures 1A-1F, 3A and C). The list of the common differentially expressed lncRNAs is shown in Supplementary Table 2. These dysregulated lncRNAs included *FR112177*, *FR198137*, *XR_002334.2*, and *FR403608*.



Figure 3. Common dysregulated mRNAs and lncRNAs in irradiated gastric tissues. A, Venn diagram significant differently expressed genes (lncRNA and mRNA) in 0, 6, and 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissue samples. B, The heatmap of the common significant mRNA expression patterns in control and irradiated groups. C, The heatmap of the common significant lncRNA expression patterns in control and irradiated groups. D, Real-time PCR analysis of relative mRNAs and lncRNAs levels. Relative RNA level was normalized to that of *GAPDH*. **P* < .05; ***P* < .01, compared with the nonirradiated (0 Gy) group. lncRNA indicates long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA.

Validation of Dysregulated RNAs by Real-Time PCR Analysis

We next validated the expression of 8 dysregulated genes using real-time PCR. The results showed that Dbp, Hbb-bt, Fgl1, and Hbb-b1 transcripts were significantly higher in irradiated gastric tissues, whereas the expression levels of Rbm3, FR198137, and probe A_30_P01024220-related gene were significantly reduced (Figure 3D). Excluding the expression levels of FR403608 and probe A_30_P01024220-related gene, the results from real-time PCR analysis were consistent with that from microarray analysis.

Functional Annotation of Differentially Expressed mRNAs

Upregulated and downregulated mRNAs were assigned into functional groups according to the Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, respectively. For upregulated mRNAs, the most dominant groups were "xenobiotic metabolic process," "exogenous drug catabolic process," and "endoplasmic reticulum organization" in the biological process ontology. While, in the cellular component ontology, the dominant groups were "endoplasmic reticulum." The molecular function ontology analysis also convinced us that differentially expressed proteins mainly function in "arachidonic acid epoxygenase activity," "steroid hydroxylase activity," and "oxidoreductase activity" (Figure 4A).

For downregulated mRNAs, the most dominant groups were "programmed cell death" and "response to calcium ion." While, in the cellular component ontology, the dominant groups were "PML body" and "extrinsic component of external side of plasma membrane." In the molecular function ontology, the dominant groups were "tumor necrosis factor-activated receptor activity" and "signaling pattern recognition receptor activity" (Figure 4B).

Then, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis was performed to investigate pathways that possibly involved in radiation-induced gastric injury. The enriched pathways in upregulated mRNAs included circadian rhythm and oxidative phosphorylation, Th17 cell differentiation, and linoleic acid metabolism (Figure 4C). The pathways associated with downregulated mRNAs included cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, apoptosis, and primary immunodeficiency (Figure 4D).



Figure 4. Gene Ontology (GO) category and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment of the differentially expressed mRNA from the mouse gastric tissues in response to radiation. A, GO category enrichment of the upregulated expressed mRNAs from mouse gastric tissues in response to radiation. B, GO category enrichment of the downregulated expressed mRNAs from mouse gastric tissues in response to radiation. C, KEGG pathway enrichment of the upregulated mRNAs from mouse gastric tissues in response to radiation. C, KEGG pathway enrichment of the upregulated mRNAs from mouse gastric tissues in response to radiation. D, KEGG pathway enrichment of the downregulated mRNAs from mouse gastric tissues in response to radiation. mRNA indicates messenger RNA.

Construction of Coexpression Network

To identify those lncRNAs and mRNAs that might play a critical role in radiation-induced gastric injury progression, we constructed a coexpression network based on the correlation analysis between the differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs. The coexpression networks are shown in Figure 5A and B. The coexpression network indicated that a single mRNA or lncRNA might correlate with 1 to 16 lncRNAs suggesting that the interregulation of lncRNAs and mRNAs is involved in radiation-induced gastric injury. There was a difference between 6 and 12 Gy irradiated coexpression network, indicating different response mechanism following radiation.

Transcriptional Analysis of Dysregulated mRNAs and IncRNAs by Radiation

Since the differentially expressed mRNAs and lncRNAs are possibly driven by transcription factors or coactivators, which are mediated by the recruitment of transcription factors or coactivators to *cis*-regulatory elements,^{23,24} we therefore analyzed the proximal promoter regions of the dysregulated RNAs by Biopython to identify motifs for transcription factors and coactivators. Evaluation of 2.0 kb upstream promoter region (-1 to -2000) of the promoters of the dysregulated mRNAs and lncRNAs using Biopython resulted in the identification of several common transcription factors and corresponding



Figure 5. The coexpression network between dysregulated lncRNAs and mRNAs. A, Coexpression network between dysregulated lncRNAs and mRNAs in 6 Gy irradiated gastric tissues. B, Coexpression network between dysregulated lncRNAs and mRNAs in 12 Gy irradiated gastric tissues. The green circles indicate mRNAs and yellow arrow indicates lncRNAs. IncRNA indicates long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA.

cis-regulatory elements. Multiple motifs with binding sites for putative transcription factors and coactivators were found. The putative transcription factors and corresponding motifs are shown in Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 3, respectively. For example, ZNF263, LMX1B, THAP1, GSX2, and GSX1 showed over 500 binding sites in the promoter region of the commonly upregulated mRNAs and lncRNAs. A variety of transcription factors including GSX2, GSX1, and Barhl1 were possibly associated with downregulated RNAs. Interestingly, multiple transcription factors including LMX1B, GSX1/2, Dlx1, and Barhl1 were associated with both upregulated and downregulated mRNAs and lncRNAs. These transcriptional factors are likely to be activated by radiation and function along with their coactivators/cosuppressors.

The Involvement of ZNF263, LMXIB, and DlxI in Radiation-Induced Gastric Injury

To confirm our predicted bioinformatics result by Biopython, IHC was performed to investigate the expression levels of ZNF263, LMX1B, and Dlx1 in gastric tissues with or without radiation. Results showed that 6 and 12 Gy irradiation caused a marked increase in the expression levels of ZNF263 and LMX1B in gastric mucosa of mice, especially in the nucleus

No.	Transcriptiona factors	I Full name	Binding bites	pfm. base_id	Common motifs	No.	Transcriptiona factors	Full name	Binding bites	pfm. base_id	Common motifs
1	ZNF263	Zinc finger protein 263	711	MA0528	5 001004000 001000	1	GSX2	Gs homeobox 2	272	MA0893	ATTA
2	LMX1B	Lim homeobox transcription factor 1 beta	571	MA0703	- ATAA	2	GSX1	Gs homeobox 1	268	MA0892	ATTA
3	THAP1	Thap domain containing 1	550	MA0597	st socce	3	Barhl1	Barh like homeobox 1	256	MA0877	The second
4	GSX2	Gs homeobox 2	535	MA0893	ATTA.	4	LMX1B	Lim homeobox transcription factor 1 beta	246	MA0703	
5	GSX1	Gs homeobox 1	501	MA0892	ATTA	5	DIx1	Distal-less homeobox 1	241	MA0879	AATTA
6	DIx1	Distal-less homeobox 1	479	MA0879	ATTA	6	VAX1	Ventral anterior homeobox 1	228	MA0722	
7	Hoxd9	Homeobox d9	473	MA0913	" legal [tills	7	FOXP3	Forkhead box p3	221	MA0850	AssAss
8	Barhl1	Barh like homeobox 1	473	MA0877	- <u>111</u>	8	UNCX	Unc homeobox	215	MA0721	ATTA
9	VAX1	Ventral anterior homeobox 1	437	MA0722	s Jat Ixe	9	MZF1	Myeloid zinc finger 1	210	MA0056	GGGGA
10	NKX6-2	Nk6 homeobox 2	427	MA0675		10	TBX5	T-box 5	210	MA0807	
11	LMX1A	Lim homeobox transcription factor 1 alpha	415	MA0702	-arlaar	11	NFIX	Nuclear factor I x	209	MA0671	
12	HOXB2	Homeobox b2	403	MA0902	ATTA	12	ALX3	Alx homeobox 3	208	MA0634	TI ANTIN
13	HLTF	Helicase like transcription factor	403	MA0109	SCxTx	13	ZNF263	Zinc finger protein 263	207	MA0528	
14	UNCX	Unc homeobox	401	MA0721	ATA	14	MIXL1	Mix paired-like homeobox	204	MA0662	AXTAA
15	ALX3	Alx homeobox 3	399	MA0634	ATTA	15	HOXB2	Homeobox b2	200	MA0902	ATTA
16	MEOX2	Mesenchyme homeobox 2	398	MA0706	Joh Ist	16	RAX2	Retina and anterior neural fold	200	MA0717	ATTA
17	SRY	Sex determining region y	394	MA0084		17	NKX6-2	Nk6 homeobox 2	198	MA0675	
18	ESX1	Esx homeobox 1	389	MA0644	ATTA	18	Prrx2	Paired related homeobox 2	198	MA0075	AT A
19	Prrx2	Paired related homeobox 2	386	MA0075	The state of the s	19	Hoxd9	Homeobox d9	196	MA0913	- Jacob Jella
20	MIXL1	Mix paired-like homeobox	385	MA0662	AT Ada	20	LMX1A	Lim homeobox transcription factor 1 alpha	183	MA0702	TAATTA.

Figure 6. Transcriptional analysis of mRNA and lncRNA alteration of radiation-induced gastric injury. The differentially expressed mRNAs and lncRNAs were analyzed by Biopython for the -1 bp to -2.0 kb (relative to the transcription start site) sequences of the promoters. Shown were the top 20 putative transcriptional factors and corresponding motifs for the upregulated (A) or the downregulated RNAs (B). IncRNA indicates long noncoding RNA; mRNA, messenger RNA.

(Figure 7A and B). In contrast, the expression of Dlx1 was decreased in irradiated gastric tissues (Figure 7C). However, the expression levels of ZNF263, LMX1B, and Dlx1 did not show significant change, suggesting a protein-level regulation of these transcriptional factors upradiation. These results indicated the potential involvement of these transcription factors in radiation-induced gastric injury.

Discussion

Radiation-induced gastric injury is a dose-limiting factor for radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy.⁵⁻⁷ Till now, only a few studies reported countermeasures against this disease, including extract of Aegle marmelos²⁵ and stem cell therapy.²⁶ Therefore, it is necessary to explore novel mechanisms, biomarkers, and intervention targets to understand and identify strategies against radiation-induced gastric injury.27 Comparing the transcriptome profiles in response to radiation, we identified 136 differentially expressed genes (27 mRNAs and 109 lncRNAs) between the control and irradiated gastric tissues including 29 upregulated and 107 downregulated RNAs. To our knowledge, this study is the first to show RNA profiles of gastric tissues in response to ionizing radiation. Also, we investigated the expression landscape across whole chromosomes. We found that the genes showing radiation-altered expression were evenly located on every chromosome except the sex chromosomes X and Y. This is the first report to describe the changes in mRNA and lncRNA expression in response to ionizing radiation in gastric tissues, providing insights into the molecular pathogenesis of radiation-induced gastric injury. Moreover, these dysregulated mRNAs and lncRNAs might play an important role in the occurrence of radiation-induced gastric injury and emerge as hallmarks of radiation-induced gastric injury. The application of molecular biomarkers to assist with the early detection of radiationinduced gastric injury has the potential to substantially improve our ability to select patients for radiation-induced gastric injury screening. As expected, pathway analysis revealed that radiation affected multiple pathways in the mouse stomach. For example, oxidative phosphorylation has been shown to be modulated by ionizing radiation.^{28,29} Circadian rhythm has recently been shown to affect the efficacy of radiotherapy,³⁰ and it has also been suggested as a radioprotective approach.³¹

Cis-regulatory elements play a central role in regulating gene expression by integrating signals at the DNA level, upstream of a target gene. Our study presents an in silico analysis of upstream promoter sequences that regulate radiationaffected mRNAs and lncRNAs, which contributes to the identification of radiation-responsive transcriptional factors. Using this analysis, we identified multiple putative transcription factors for the dysregulated genes. The differentially expressed mRNAs and lncRNAs are possibly regulated as a result of these trans-acting factors. For example, LMX1B strongly promotes migration of cancer cells in culture and promotes xenograft growth in nude mice.³² DLX1, a binding protein of β-catenin, promoted the growth and migration of prostate cancer cells.³³ THAP1 regulates embryonic stem cell potential, survival, and proliferation.³⁴ However, the majority of these transcription factors have rarely been reported to be associated with radiation response, suggesting a set of potential



Figure 7. Involvement of ZNF263, LMX1B, and DIx1 in radiation-induced gastric injury. IHC staining of (A) ZNF263, (B) LMX1B, and (C) DIx1 in normal and irradiated gastric tissues of mice. Representative images are shown. Scale bar represents 100 μ m (magnification, \times 200). IHC indicates immunohistochemistry.

radiation-responsive transcription factors in the stomach. The mechanism of radiation-induced activation of these transcription factors and identification of their coactivators/cosuppressors merit further investigation.

In conclusion, we have characterized pathological alterations in radiation-induced gastric injury and found that a substantial number of specific mRNAs and lncRNAs are involved in this process. A variety of common motifs that are associated with transcription factors were identified, including ZNF263, LMX1B, and Dlx1. Taken together, our findings illustrate the pathological and molecular changes during radiation-induced gastric injury and the potential transcription factors driving this alteration.

Authors' Note

Microarray data Gene Expression Omnibus accession number: GSE114246.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81602101, 81502038, 81773227, and 31770911), Key Scientific Development Program of China (2016YFC0904702), Social Development Program of Jiangsu Province (BE2017634 and BE2017652), and Post graduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (No KYCX19_1969).

Author Contribution

Guangxia Chen and Yang Feng are contributed equally to this work.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Shuyu Zhang D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1419-3635

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- 1. Hunt RH, Camilleri M, Crowe SE, et al. The stomach in health and disease. *Gut.* 2015;64(10):1650-1668.
- Arin RM, Gorostidi A, Navarro-Imaz H, Rueda Y, Fresnedo O, Ochoa B. Adenosine: direct and indirect actions on gastric acid secretion. *Front Physiol.* 2017;8:737.
- 3. Choi E, Roland JT, Barlow BJ, et al. Cell lineage distribution atlas of the human stomach reveals heterogeneous gland populations in the gastric antrum. *Gut.* 2014;63(11):1711-1720.
- Brizel DM. Pharmacologic approaches to radiation protection. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(26):4084-4089.
- Hartgrink HH, Jansen EP, Van Grieken NC, Van de Velde CJ. Gastric cancer. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9688):477-490.
- Buergy D, Lohr F, Baack T, et al. Radiotherapy for tumors of the stomach and gastroesophageal junction—a review of its role in multimodal therapy. *Radiat Oncol.* 2012;7:192.
- Shadad AK, Sullivan FJ, Martin JD, Egan LJ. Gastrointestinal radiation injury: symptoms, risk factors and mechanisms. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2013;19(2):185-198.
- Panganiban RA, Snow AL, Day RM. Mechanisms of radiation toxicity in transformed and non-transformed cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2013;14(8):15931-15958.
- Ravanat JL, Breton J, Douki T, et al. Radiation-mediated formation of complex damage to DNA: a chemical aspect overview. *Br J Radiol.* 2014;87(1035):20130715.
- Chen Q, Xia X, Wu S, et al. Apoptosis, necrosis, and autophagy in mouse intestinal damage after 15-Gy whole body irradiation. *Cell Biochem Funct*. 2014;32(8):647-656.
- Nakajima T. Signaling cascades in radiation-induced apoptosis: roles of protein kinase C in the apoptosis regulation. *Med Sci Monit*. 2006;12(10):RA220-RA224.
- Xu Y, Rong X, Hu W, et al. Bevacizumab monotherapy reduces radiation-induced brain necrosis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2018;101(5):1087-1095.
- Datta K, Suman S, Fornace AJ Jr. Radiation persistently promoted oxidative stress, activated mTOR via PI3K/Akt, and downregulated autophagy pathway in mouse intestine. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2014;57:167-176.
- Zhang S, Wang W, Gu Q, et al. Protein and miRNA profiling of radiation-induced skin injury in rats: the protective role of peroxiredoxin-6 against ionizing radiation. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2014;69:96-107.
- 15. Belling KC, Tanaka M, Dalgaard MD, et al. Transcriptome profiling of mice testes following low dose irradiation. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2013;11:50.
- Xie L, Zhou J, Zhang S, et al. Integrating microRNA and mRNA expression profiles in response to radiation-induced injury in rat lung. *Radiat Oncol.* 2014;9:111.

- Cock PJ, Antao T, Chang JT, et al. Biopython: freely available python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25(11):1422-1423.
- Khan A, Fornes O, Stigliani A, et al. JASPAR 2018: update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles and its web framework. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(D1):D260-D266.
- Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. *Genome Res.* 2004;14(6):1188-1190.
- Amini A, Yeh N, Gaspar LE, Kavanagh B, Karam SD. Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) for lung cancer patients previously treated with conventional radiotherapy: a review. *Radiat Oncol.* 2014;9:210.
- Milano MT, Constine LS, Okunieff P. Normal tissue toxicity after small field hypofractionated stereotactic body radiation. *Radiat Oncol.* 2008;3:36.
- 22. Broutet N, Plebani M, Sakarovitch C, Sipponen P, Mégraud F, Eurohepygast Study Group. Pepsinogen A, pepsinogen C, and gastrin as markers of atrophic chronic gastritis in European dyspeptics. *Br J Cancer*. 2003;88(8):1239-1247.
- Wu Z, Liu X, Liu L, et al. Regulation of lncRNA expression. *Cell* Mol Biol Lett. 2014;19(4):561-575.
- Yoon JH, Kim J, Gorospe M. Long noncoding RNA turnover. Biochimie. 2015;117:15-21.
- Jagetia GC, Venkatesh P, Baliga MS. Fruit extract of Aegle marmelos protects mice against radiation-induced lethality. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2004;3(4):323-332.
- Sémont A, François S, Mouiseddine M, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells increase self-renewal of small intestinal epithelium and accelerate structural recovery after radiation injury. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2006;585:19-30.
- Carrington R, Staffurth J, Warren S, et al. The effect of dose escalation on gastric toxicity when treating lower oesophageal tumours: a radiobiological investigation. *Radiat Oncol.* 2015; 10:236.
- Nosov AV, Ivnitsky YY, Malakhovsky VN. Metabolic correction of cerebral radiation syndrome. *Radiat Res.* 1999;152(5): 523-529.
- 29. Scaife JF, Hill B. The uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation by ionizing radiation. *Can J Biochem Physiol*. 1962;40:1025-1042.
- Chan S, Zhang L, Rowbottom L, et al. Effects of circadian rhythms and treatment times on the response of radiotherapy for painful bone metastases. *Ann Palliat Med.* 2017;6(1):14-25.
- Gupta D, Shukla P, Munshi A, Aggarwal JP. Cardioprotective radiotherapy: the circadian way. *Med Hypotheses*. 2012;78(3): 353-355.
- He L, Guo L, Vathipadiekal V, et al. Identification of LMX1B as a novel oncogene in human ovarian cancer. *Oncogene*. 2014; 33(33):4226-4235.
- 33. Liang M, Sun Y, Yang HL, Zhang B, Wen J, Shi BK. DLX1, a binding protein of beta-catenin, promoted the growth and migration of prostate cancer cells. *Exp Cell Res.* 2018;363(1):26-32.
- Aguilo F, Zakirova Z, Nolan K, et al. THAP1: Role in mouse embryonic stem cell survival and differentiation. *Stem Cell Rep.* 2017;9(1):92-107.