



Enzyme Architecture: Amino Acid Side-Chains That Function To Optimize the Basicity of the Active Site Glutamate of **Triosephosphate Isomerase**

Xiang Zhai,^{#,⊥} Christopher J. Reinhardt,[§][®] M. Merced Malabanan,[¶] Tina L. Amyes,[#] and John P. Richard*,[#]

[#]Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, New York 14260-3000 United States

[§]Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, 600 S Mathews Avenue, Urbana, Illinois 61801, United States

[¶]Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University, 842 Robinson Research Building, Nashville, Tennessee 37205, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We report pH rate profiles for k_{cat} and K_m for the isomerization reaction of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate catalyzed by wildtype triosephosphate isomerase (TIM) from three organisms and by ten mutants of TIM; and, for K_i for inhibition of this reaction by phosphoglycolate trianion (I^{3-}) . The pH profiles for K_i show that the binding of I^{3-} to TIM (E) to form $\mathbf{EH} \cdot \mathbf{I}_3^-$ is accompanied by uptake of a proton by the carboxylate side-chain of E165, whose function is to abstract a proton from substrate. The complexes for several mutants exist mainly as $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{I}_{3}^{-1}$ at high pH, in which cases the pH profiles



define the pK_a for deprotonation of EH·I₃⁻. The linear free energy correlation, with slope of 0.73 ($r^2 = 0.96$), between k_{cat}/K_m for TIM-catalyzed isomerization and the disassociation constant of PGA trianion for TIM shows that EH·I₃⁻ and the transition state are stabilized by similar interactions with the protein catalyst. Values of $pK_3 = 10-10.5$ were estimated for deprotonation of EH· I_3^- for wildtype TIM. This pK₂ decreases to as low as 6.3 for the severely crippled Y208F mutant. There is a correlation between the effect of several mutations on k_{cat}/K_m and on pK_a for EH·I₃. The results support a model where the strong basicity of E165 at the complex to the enediolate reaction intermediate is promoted by side-chains from Y208 and S211, which serve to clamp loop 6 over the substrate; I170, which assists in the creation of a hydrophobic environment for E165; and P166, which functions in driving the carboxylate side-chain of E165 toward enzyme-bound substrate.

INTRODUCTION

Triosephosphate isomerase catalyzes the reversible isomerization of D-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to dihydroxyacetone phosphate.¹⁻³ The proton transfers at the active site of TIM are carried out by the carboxylate side-chain of Glu 165/167,⁴⁻⁶ which shuttles a proton between C1 and C2; and, by the imidazole side-chain of His95, which shuttles a proton between O1 and O2 of enediolate intermediates (Scheme 1).^{7,8} (We note the following small differences in the numbering of amino acid residues at TIM from chicken muscle or yeast and TIM from Trypanosoma brucei brucei, (cTIM/TbbTIM): Glu165/ Glu167; Pro166/Pro168; Ile170/Ile172; Leu230/Leu232.) The amino acid side-chains play roles similar to that for small molecule Brønsted acid/base catalysts of isomerization in water.9 However, the "directed" proton transfer reactions at the tightly packed and structured active site of TIM are much faster than those carried out by freely diffusing Bronsted acids and bases in water.¹⁰

Recent results from empirical valence-bond calculations to model TIM-catalyzed deprotonation of DHAP, GAP or the substrate pieces glycolaldehye + phosphite dianion show that binding of these substrates to TIM results in a \approx 13 kcal/mol decrease in ΔG° for deprotonation of the carbon acid by an alkyl carboxylate anion.^{11,12} This corresponds to a ≈ 10 unit reduction at TIM in the 14 unit difference in the pK_a values of the carboxylic acid side-chain (ca. 4) and the carbon acid substrate DHAP (ca. 18) in water.9 We are interested in understanding the mechanism by which the protein catalyst reduces the thermodynamic barrier for deprotonation of carbon acid substrates.^{11,13–17}

The fifty-year old observation that phosphoglycolate (PGA) binds to triosephosphate isomerase with a higher affinity than substrate triggered the proposal that this stable ligand captured the strong stabilization observed for transition state binding,¹⁸ and in some manner is analogous to the transition state.¹⁹ PGA is an analog for the enediolate trianion intermediate of TIMcatalyzed deprotonation of DHAP (Scheme 2), so that inhibitor binding may capture the stabilization of an

Received: April 24, 2018 Published: June 4, 2018

Scheme 1. TIM-Catalyzed Isomerization of Triosephosphates



Scheme 2. Deprotonation of the Complex between TIM and the Intermediate Analog PGA Trianion



Figure 1. (A) Models, from X-ray crystal structures, 23 of the active site of unliganded yeast TIM (light blue, PDB entry 1YPI) and yeast TIM complexed with PGA (green, PDB entry 2YPI). Ligand binding is accompanied by a 2 Å shift in the position of the carboxylate side-chain of Glu165 toward the bound ligand. (B) Models, from X-ray crystal structures, of the active site of unliganded yeast TIM (light blue, PDB entry 1YPI) and yeast TIM complexed with DHAP (orange, PDB entry 1NEY).²⁴ Ligand binding is accompanied by a 2 Å shift in the position of the carboxylate side-chain of Glu165, similar to that observed for binding of PGA.

enediolate-like transition state from electrostatic interactions provided by catalytic side-chains at the enzyme active site.

Wolfenden and co-workers determined that the values of K_i for competitive inhibition of TIM by PGA trianion are inversely proportional to $[H^+]$.²⁰ These results prompted NMR studies of the TIM•inhibitor complex, which showed that the binding of PGA trianion (I^{3-}) to TIM (E^-) is accompanied by the uptake of a proton to form the EH•I³⁻ complex.^{21,22} Inhibitor and substrate binding to TIM (Figure 1A,B) drive complex enzyme conformational changes that move the carboxylate sidechain of E165 toward the ligand. The resulting short 2.8 Å separation between the ligand and enzyme carboxylates (Figure 1A) is consistent with formation of a hydrogen bond that stabilizes the TIM•PGA complex.

We reported a pH profile of inhibition constants K_i for release of PGA trianion (I^{3-}) from TIM from *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* (*Tbb*TIM) that is linear, with slope of -1 through pH 9.7, so that p $K_{\rm EHI}$ for release of a proton from the EH·I³⁻ complex (Scheme 2) is >10.¹⁴ The I170A mutation was found to result in a >2 units decrease in the p K_a of this complex to $pK_a = 7.7$. We proposed that the reduction in the kinetic parameters for the I170A mutant, compared to wildtype *Tbb*TIM-catalyzed isomerization, is directly related to the reduction in the pK_a of the EH·I³⁻ complex.¹⁴ The significance of these results is profound, provided that PGA trianion is a high-quality analog for the enediolate phosphate trianion reaction intermediate, because they require a strong basicity of the carboxylate side-chain at the complex to this reaction intermediate, which favors effective catalysis of proton transfer at carbon.

We now report the results of experiments that extend this work to a broad series of structural mutations of TIM from chicken (*c*TIM), yeast (*y*TIM) and *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* (*Tbb*TIM). The good linear free energy relationship between the effect of these mutations on the stability of the rate determining transition state for TIM-catalyzed isomerization, and the stability of the complex with PGA trianion, provides strong evidence that PGA trianion is an analog for the transition state.²⁵ Several mutations are shown to result in a reduction in the basicity of the EH·I³⁻ complex. We propose

Journal of the American Chemical Society

that these mutations result in a similar reduction in the basicity of the complex to the true enediolate intermediate, and that the change in side-chain basicity is the direct cause of the reduction in activity observed for these mutants.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Human wildtype α -glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH) was prepared by published procedures.²⁶ Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was from Roche. D,L-Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate diethyl acetal (barium salt), dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP, lithium or magnesium salt), NADH (disodium salt), triethanolamine hydrochloride (TEA), 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 3-(*N*-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), *N*-[tris-(hydroxymethyl)methyl]-3-aminopropanesulfonic acid (TAPS), 2-(cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid (CHES), imidazole and Amberite (H⁺-form) were purchased from Sigma. All other commercial materials were reagent grade or better and were used without further purification.

2-Phosphoglycolic acid (PGA) was prepared according to a literature procedure,²⁷ and was purified by passage through a DEAE-Sephadex A25 anion exchange column, with gradient (0–250 mM) elution using triethylammonium bicarbonate. PGA was detected by its activity as an inhibitor of TIM. The triethylammonium salt of PGA was converted to the free acid using Amberlite cation exchanger. Stock solutions of PGA (40–50 mM) were prepared in water, and the pH was adjusted to the required value using 1 M NaOH. The concentration of PGA in the stock solution was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. This solution was diluted by 20-fold into 30 mM imidazole (pD 8.0, 70% free base), and the concentration determined by comparing the integrated areas of the signals for C-4 and C-5 protons of imidazole with the C-2 protons of PGA. Solutions of PGA at pH 7.5 were stored at -20 °C, where they are stable toward hydrolysis of the phosphoryl group for at least 6 months.

D-Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (sodium salt) was prepared according to a literature procedure.²⁸ Stock solutions of D,L-glyceraldehyde 3phosphate (D,L-GAP) were prepared by hydrolysis of the diethyl acetal (barium salt) using Dowex 50WX4-200R (H⁺-form) in a boiling water bath.²⁹ The solutions of D-GAP or D,L-GAP were stored at -20°C and were adjusted to the appropriate pH by the addition of 1 M NaOH. It was shown in several cases that there is no significant difference in the kinetic parameters for TIM-catalyzed isomerization determined using D-GAP or a racemic D,L-GAP mixture. This is consistent with the report that L-GAP binds much more weakly to TIM than D-GAP.³⁰ We will refer to the D-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate substrate for TIM as GAP for the remainder of this paper.

The methods for the cloning and overexpression of the genes for wildtype $cTIM/yTIM/TbbTIM,^{31-33}$ TbbP166A,³⁴ cL7R (loop seven replacement),³⁴ yY208T/S/A/F, $yS211A^{35,36}$ mutants of TIM, and for protein purification, were described in earlier work. The concentrations of protein used in the determination of enzyme kinetic parameters were obtained from the absorbance at 280 nm and extinction coefficients that were calculated using the ProtParam tool available on the ExPASy server.³⁷

Enzyme Assays. The initial velocity for TIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP was determined by coupling the formation of DHAP to the oxidation of NADH, using GPDH.²⁹ The kinetic parameters k_{cat} and $K_{\rm m}$ for the isomerization of GAP and $(K_{\rm i})_{\rm obs}$ for competitive inhibition by PGA for wildtype and mutant TIMs were determined at 25 °C, I = 0.1 (NaCl), and over a broad range of pH. The pH was maintained by the following buffers: pH 4.9 - acetic acid, 65% free base; pH 5.7 - MES, 25% free base; pH 6.4 - MES, 60% free base; pH 7.0 - MOPS, 61% free base; pH 7.5 - TEA, 30% free base; pH 8.3 -TAPS, 45% free base; pH 8.9 - CHES, 30% free base; pH 9.3 - CHES, 50% free base. The assay mixtures (1.0 mL) contained 30 mM buffer, 0.2 mM NADH, GAP or D,L-GAP and 1-2 units of GPDH at an ionic strength of 0.1 (NaCl). There is a decrease in the specific activity of GPDH at increasing pH. The concentration of the coupling enzyme was varied to maintain a total activity of 1-2 units for all assays. The inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ for competitive inhibition were determined

by varying [GAP] at two or three different fixed [PGA]. The maximum concentration of PGA was at least equal to K_i (M), but was limited to ≤ 13 mM in order to maintain a constant ionic strength of 0.10. The kinetic parameters for TIM-catalyzed isomerization, and for inhibition of isomerization by PGA were determined from the nonlinear least-squares fit of the kinetic data to the appropriate equation, as described in greater detail in the Supporting Information.

RESULTS

Steady-State Kinetic Parameters for Wildtype and Mutant TIM-Catalyzed Reactions. The initial velocities v_i for wildtype and mutant TIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP were determined over a broad range of pH at 25 °C and I = 0.1(NaCl). The following plots of $v_i/[E]$ against [GAP] are reported in the Supporting Information. Figure S1: wildtype yTIM; pH 4.9, 5.7, 6.4, 7.5, 8.3, 8.9, 9.3 and 9.9, where the concentration of [GAP] at each pH is varied over two different fixed [PGA]. Figure S2: Y208T yTIM; data at the same pH as for wildtype yTIM, except there is no data at pH 9.9. Figure S3: Y208S yTIM; data at the same pH as for wildtype yTIM, except there were no experiments at pH 9.9 and only a single [PGA] was examined at pH 8.9. Figure S4: Y208A yTIM; data at the same pH as for wildtype yTIM, except there were no experiments at pH 9.3 and 9.9. Figure S5: Y208F yTIM; data at the same pH as for wildtype yTIM, except there were no experiments at pH 9.3 and 9.9; four different [PGA] were examined at pH 7.5 and only a single [PGA] was examined at pH 5.7, 6.4, 8.3, 8.9 and 9.3. Figure S6: S211A yTIM; same as for wildtype yTIM, except there were no experiments at pH 9.3 and 9.9 and only a single [PGA] was examined at pH 8.3 and 8.9. Figure S7: S211G yTIM; same as for wildtype yTIM, but there were no experiments at pH 8.9 and only a single [PGA] was examined at pH 9.9. Figure S8: Y208T/S211G yTIM; same as for wildtype yTIM, except there were no experiments at pH 9.9 and only a single [PGA] was examined at 8.9 and 9.3. Figure S9: wildtype *c*TIM; same as for wildtype *y*TIM, but only a single [PGA] was examined at pH 9.9. Figure S10: L7R cTIM; same as for wildtype yTIM, except there were no experiments at pH 9.9 and only a single [PGA] was examined at pH 9.3. Figure S11: P166A TbbTIM; same as for wildtype yTIM.

$$\frac{\nu_{i}}{[E]} = \frac{k_{cat}[GAP]}{K_{m}\left(1 + \frac{[PGA]}{(K_{i})_{obs}}\right) + [GAP]}$$
(1)

$$\frac{\nu_{\rm i}}{[\rm E]} = \frac{k_{\rm cat}[\rm GAP]}{K_{\rm m} \left(1 + \frac{[\rm PGA]}{(K_{\rm i})_{\rm obs}}\right)}$$
(2)

Nonlinear least-squares fits of data from Figures S1–S11 for competitive inhibition by PGA were carried out to obtain the enzyme kinetic parameters k_{cat} , K_m and $(K_i)_{obs'}$ where $(K_i)_{obs}$ is the inhibition constant calculated for total PGA dianion + PGA trianion. These data were fit to eq 1 when significant curvature in the Michaelis–Menten type plot was observed as [GAP] approached saturation, and to eq 2 when the data showed a good fit to a linear equation [slope = $(k_{cat}/K_m)_{obs}$]. The kinetic parameters k_{cat} and K_m , determined from these nonlinear leastsquares fits are reported in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. Approximate values of k_{cat} and K_m are given in cases where the curvature is small, and the fit to the Michaelis– Menten equation gives $K_m \geq 15$ mM. The observed inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ for competitive inhibition of TIM by PGA are reported in Tables S2 and S3. The standard deviations obtained from nonlinear least-squares fits of these data are better than $\pm 10\%$, except at high pH where the affinity of PGA becomes weak and $(K_i)_{obs}$ increases to as large as 0.02 M. In a few cases, standard deviations of as large as 25% are observed.

pH-Profiles of Kinetic Parameters and Inhibition **Constants.** Figures S12–S14 show pH profiles for the kinetic parameters k_{cat} and K_m for isomerization of GAP catalyzed by wildtype TIM [chicken (c), yeast (y) and T. brucei brucei (Tbb)] and mutant [TbbP166A, cLRM, TbbI170A, vY208T, yY208S, yY208A, yY208F, yS211G, yS211A, yY208T/yS211G] TIMs. Figure S15 shows pH profiles for the inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ for competitive inhibition of these different TIMs by PGA. These profiles show a downward break at pH =6.3, the pK_a for loss of a proton from PGA dianion to form PGA trianion.²⁰ These data may be fit to kinetic equations derived for Schemes where the inhibitor binds to TIM as the dianion. However, it was shown earlier that PGA binds as the trianion (I^{3-}) , and that binding of I^{3-} is accompanied by protonation of TIM, at the side-chain for Glu165, to form EH· $I^{3-,22,23}$ This side-chain $(pK_a = 3.9)^{20}$ exists largely in the basic form at pH 6.3. The values of $(K_i)_{obs}$ at pH < 6.3 are pHindependent, because the decrease in $[I^{3-}]$ is balanced by the increase in the concentration of TIM in the protonated EH form.²⁰ The values of K_i for inhibition of wildtype and mutant forms of TIM by I^{3-} , calculated from the values of $(K_i)_{obs}$ using ²⁰ are eq 3 and $pK_a = 6.3$ for ionization of PGA dianion,² reported in Tables S2 and S3. Figure 2 shows pH profiles for the inhibition constants K_{iv} determined using eq 3, for wildtype and each mutant enzyme. Tables S2 and S3 also report the ratios $[K_i^M]/[K_i^{WT}]$ that define the effect of these mutations on values of K_i relative to wildtype TIM.

$$K_{i} = \left(\frac{K_{a}}{K_{a} + [H^{+}]}\right) (K_{i})_{obs}$$
(3)

DISCUSSION

The kinetic parameters k_{cat}/K_{m} , k_{cat} and the inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ were determined for wildtype and mutant forms of TIM between pH 4.9 and 9.9. There is no effect of any mutation on the pH profiles for k_{cat}/K_m determined for wildtype TIM (Figures S12–S14). Each of these profiles shows a good fit to eq 4, and downward breaks at pH = 6.0 ± 0.1 , which is the p K_a for deprotonation of GAP monoanion to form the dianion.⁹

$$(k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m})_{\rm obs} = \frac{k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}}{1 + [{\rm H}^+]/K_{\rm a}}$$
(4)

$$(k_{cat})_{obs} = \frac{k_{cat}}{1 + [H^+]/K_a}$$
 (5)

There are small differences in the position of the downward breaks at low pH for the pH profiles of $(k_{cat})_{obs}$ for wildtype TIMs from chicken, yeast and *T. brucei brucei* (Figures S12– S14). The pH-independent value of $k_{cat} = 3500 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for *c*TIM is nearly 2-fold larger than $k_{cat} = 2000 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for *Tbb*TIM, but at pH = 4.9, $k_{cat} = 1100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for *c*TIM is smaller than $k_{cat} = 1500 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for *Tbb*TIM. This is consistent with a lower pK_a for the ionization at the site that controls the activity of substrate bound to *Tbb*TIM compared with *c*TIM. The nonlinear least-squares fits of these data to eq 5 give pK_a values of 4.2, 4.7 and 5.3 for *Tbb*TIM, *y*TIM and *c*TIM, which are in acceptable agreement with the pK_a values of 3.9, 4.6 and 6.0 determined, respectively,



Figure 2. Logarithmic relationships between K_i for inhibition of wildtype and mutant forms of TIM by I^{3-} , and the concentration of hydrogen ion. These data were fit to eq 7 or eq 8, derived for Scheme 3, depending upon whether an upward break was observed in the profile at high pH. The kinetic parameters determined from these fits are summarized in Table 1. The values of $pK_{\rm EHI}$ determined from the position of the upward breaks are given next to the individual profiles. The data for *Tbb*WT and the *Tbb*170A mutant enzyme are from reference 14.

for *Tbb*TIM,³⁸ *y*TIM³⁹ and *c*TIM.⁴⁰ The position of the downward breaks observed at low pH in the profiles for k_{cat} for the isomerization of GAP catalyzed by yS211G and *y*Y208T/S211G TIMs, pH = 4.7 and 4.5, respectively, are similar to the value of pH = 4.7 determined for wildtype *y*TIM.

pH-Profiles for Inhibition by PGA. The pH profiles of $-\log (K_i)_{obs}$ for inhibition of wildtype and mutant forms of TIM by total PGA (Figure S15) show downward breaks, centered at pH = $pK_a = 6.3$ for deprotonation of PGA dianion (I^{2-}) to form the inhibitor trianion (I^{3-}).^{20,22} Figure 2 shows pH profiles of $-\log K_i = pK_i$ calculated from eq 3, for inhibition of different TIMs by PGA trianion (I^{3-}), using values of (K_i)_{obs} and $pK_a = 6.3$ for deprotonation of HI²⁻ to form the active

trianion I^{3-} . The linear region of slope = -1.0 reflects the decrease in the concentration of the protonated form of TIM (EH, $pK_a = 3.9$)²⁰ with increasing pH. The upward breaks in the profiles observed at high pH are centered at $pK_a = pK_{EHI}$ for deprotonation of $EH \cdot I^{3-}$ to form $E^- \cdot I^{3-} + H^+$.

$$K_{i} = \left[\frac{(K_{i})_{E}(1 + [H^{+}]/K_{EH}])}{\left(1 + \frac{[H^{+}]}{K_{EHI}}\right)}\right]$$
(6)

$$K_{i} = \left\lfloor \frac{\left(K_{i}\right)_{E}}{\left(1 + \frac{\left[H^{+}\right]}{K_{EHI}}\right)} \right\rfloor$$
(7)

$$K_{i} = \left[\frac{(K_{i})_{E}K_{EHI}}{[H^{+}]}\right]$$
(8)

$$(K_{i})_{\rm EH} = \frac{(K_{i})_{\rm E} K_{\rm EHI}}{K_{\rm EH}}$$
(9)

PGA trianion binds with different affinity to the carboxylic acid $(K_i)_{EH}$ and the carboxylate $(K_i)_E$ forms of the E165 sidechain of TIM, so that the pH profiles from Figure 2 are controlled by the p K_a for ionization of the side-chain at TIM $(K_{EH} = 10^{-3.9})$,²⁰ and at the inhibitor complex (K_{EHI}) .¹⁴ eq 6, derived for Scheme 3, predicts downward breaks in these pH

Scheme 3. Pathways for Binding of PGA Trianion (I^{3-}) and a Proton to the E165 Carboxylate Form of TIM (E^-) To Form EH·I³⁻

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{E}\mathsf{H} + \mathsf{I}^{\mathsf{S}^{\bigcirc}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{E}\mathsf{H} \cdot \mathsf{I}^{\mathsf{S}^{\bigcirc}}}_{(K_{\mathsf{i}})_{\mathsf{E}\mathsf{H}}} & \mathsf{E}\mathsf{H} \cdot \mathsf{I}^{\mathsf{S}^{\bigcirc}} \\ & & & \swarrow \\ & & & & \swarrow \\ \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{E}\mathsf{H}} & & & & \swarrow \\ \mathsf{E} + \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{S}^{\bigcirc}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{C}}_{(K_{\mathsf{i}})_{\mathsf{E}}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{C}}_{\mathsf{H}} \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{S}^{\circ}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{C}}_{\mathsf{H}} \\ & & & & \vdots \\ \mathsf{E} + \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{H}} + \mathsf{I}^{\mathsf{S}^{\bigcirc}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{C}}_{(K_{\mathsf{i}})_{\mathsf{E}}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{C}}_{\mathsf{H}} \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{S}^{\circ}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{C}}_{\mathsf{H}} \\ \end{array}$$

profiles at low pH ($K_{\rm EH} = 10^{-3.9} \ll [\rm H^+]$), but it was not possible to examine inhibition of TIM by PGA at pH < 4.9. Several plots from Figure 2 show upward breaks at high pH, when $\rm I^{3-}$ binds exclusively to the carboxylate form of TIM to form $\rm E^-tI^{3-}$. The nonlinear least-squares fit of data from Figure 2 to eq 7 gives the values of $K_{\rm EHI}$ for deprotonation of $\rm EH^+I^{3-}$ and $(K_i)_{\rm E}$ breakdown of the $\rm E^-tI^{3-}$ complex reported in Table 1. We also noted systematic decreases, with increasing pH, in the ratio of inhibition constants $[K_i^{\rm M}]/[K_i^{\rm WT}]$ (Tables S2 and S3) in cases where wildtype and mutant TIMs show detectably different values of $K_{\rm EHI}$ for deprotonation of $\rm EH^+I^{3-}$. The wholly linear plots from Figure 2, with a slope of -1, were fit to eq 8 ($K_{\rm EHI} \ll [\rm H^+] \ll K_{\rm EH}$) to give the values for $(K_i)_{\rm E}K_{\rm EHI}$ reported in Table 1.

The values of $(K_i)_{EH}$ for wildtype TIMs reported in Table 1 for disassociation of PGA trianion from the $\mathbf{EH}\cdot\mathbf{I}^{3-}$ complex were calculated using eq 9 and the values of $(K_i)_E K_{EHI}$ (Table 1), and $K_{EH} = 10^{-3.9} \cdot 20$ The disassociation constants $(K_i)_E$ for mutant TIMs shows only a small range (0.003–0.03 M, Table 1). This suggests that these mutations have only small effects on $(K_i)_E$ for wildtype TIM. The values of K_{EHI} for deprotonation of $\mathbf{EH}\cdot\mathbf{I}^{3-}$ at wildtype TIMs reported in Table 1 were therefore estimated from the value of $(K_i)_E\cdot K_{EHI}$ (Table 1) and $(K_i)_E = 2 \times 10^{-2}$ M determined for the conservative S211A mutation. The values of $pK_{\rm EHI}$ for wildtype TIMs range from 10.0 for *Tbb*TIM to 10.5 for *c*TIM, and are consistent with the failure to observe breaks at pH 9.7 in the pH profiles for wildtype TIMs (Figure 2).¹⁴

Structure-Reactivity Correlation. PGA trianion is referred to as a transition state analog¹⁹ because, like the transition state, it binds to TIM more tightly than the substrate. A linear free energy relationship (LFER) is expected for logarithmic correlations between inhibitor affinity and transition state stability for reactions catalyzed by wildtype and mutant TIMs, when the inhibitor is an analog of the transition state.^{25,41} The relative affinity of wildtype and mutant TIMs for PGA trianion, when inhibitor binding is accompanied by uptake of a proton, is defined by the relative values for $(K_i)_E K_{EHI}$ (Scheme 3 and Table 1). Figure 3 shows the linear logarithmic correlation (excluding data for the P166A mutant), with slope 0.73 ($r^2 = 0.96$), between k_{cat}/K_m for isomerization of GAP catalyzed by wildtype and mutant forms of TIM and $(K_i)_E K_{EHI}$ for binding of H^+ and I^{3-} to TIM (E) to form $EH^{-1}I^{3-}$ (Scheme 3). The linear correlation from Figure 3 shows that TIM acts to stabilize features that are common to the isomerization reaction transition state and the complex to PGA trianion; and, that 73% of the stabilizing interaction at the PGA complex is observed at the transition state for TIM-catalyzed isomerization. These results support the conclusion that the stable ligand I^{3-} is an analog of the late transition state for TIM-catalyzed isomerization through the enediolate reaction intermediate (Scheme 1).

The 0.9 unit negative deviation of log k_{cat}/K_m for P166A mutant TIM from the correlation in Figure 3 shows that this kinetic parameter is smaller than expected for the affinity of the P166A mutant for PGA trianion. X-ray crystallographic analyses of the active site for unliganded wildtype TbbTIM,⁴² and the complex between wildtype TbbTIM and PGA (Figure 4)43 show that movement of loop 6 of wildtype TIM results in a clash between the carbonyl oxygen of G211 and the pyrolidine side-chain of P166. This clash is relieved by movement of the proline side-chain and the attached E165 side-chain toward the ligand. The P166A mutation relieves the unfavorable steric interaction, and leaving the side-chain of E165 at the PGA complex in the swung-out position: this is the only significant effect of the P166A mutation (Figure 4). We propose that the P166A mutation has a relatively small effect on $log(K_i)_E K_{EHI}$ because the ligand carboxylate at the mutant enzyme is free to move toward the carboxylate of E165. By contrast, the requirement that GAP remain in the catalytic conformation, which enables optimal transition state stabilization by the organized side-chains of TIM, results in an added barrier to $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}$ for P166A mutant TIM, due to the requirement that the swung-out E165 carboxylate move toward the bound substrate at the Michaelis complex.

Affinity of PGA for TIM. The disassociation constants $(K_i)_{\rm EH} = (2-7) \times 10^{-9}$ M (2–7 nM) for release of I^{3–} from the wildtype EH·I^{3–} complex (Table 1) correspond to binding energies of (11.1–11.8) kcal/mol, so that the small anion I^{3–} shows an unusually large affinity for binding to EH. This is consistent with I^{3–} acting as a mimic for the enediolate intermediate. By comparison, the disassociation constants $(K_i)_E \approx 10^{-2}$ M (Table 1) for release of PGA trianion from the E⁻· I^{3–} correspond to binding energies of only 3 kcal/mol for formation of E⁻·I^{3–}. We conclude that formation of this complex is accompanied by a *ca.* 9 kcal/mol increase in the driving force for protonation of the carboxylate side-chain of

Table 1. Acidity Constants for Ionization of the EH·I³⁻ Inhibitor Complex and Dissociation Constants $(K_i)_E$ and $(K_i)_{EH}$ for Release of PGA Trianion (Scheme 3) Determined for Wildtype and Mutant Forms of TIM for Reactions at 25 °C and I = 0.1 (NaCl)

Enzyme	$(K_i)_E (M)^a$	$K_{\rm EHI} ({\rm M})^{b}$	$(K_i)_{\rm EH} ({\rm M})^c$	$(K_{\rm i})_{\rm E} \cdot K_{\rm EHI} \ ({\rm M}^{-2})^d$	$(k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}) ({\rm M}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1})^e$
cWT	$\approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$	2.9×10^{-11}	5×10^{-9}	5.8×10^{-13}	1.1×10^{7g}
		$(pK_a \approx 10.5)$			
$TbbWT^{f}$	$\approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$	1.2×10^{-11}	2×10^{-9}	2.4×10^{-13}	8.4×10^{6}
		$(pK_a \approx 10.9)$			
уWT	$\approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$	4.3×10^{-11}	7×10^{-9}	8.5×10^{-13}	8.9×10^{6h}
		$(pK_a \approx 10.4)$			
yS211G	n.d	n.d		4.9×10^{-12}	4.2×10^{6h}
<i>y</i> Y208T	n.d	n.d		1.3×10^{-11}	1.0×10^{6h}
yY208S	n.d	n.d		1.6×10^{-10}	2.2×10^{5h}
<i>y</i> Y208A	n.d	n.d		1.6×10^{-10}	1.8×10^{5h}
<i>y</i> Y208T/S211G	n.d	n.d		1.6×10^{-11}	7.3×10^{5h}
TbbP166A	9.6×10^{-3}	4.8×10^{-10}		4.6×10^{-12}	2.5×10^{5g}
		$(pK_a = 9.5)$			
yS211A	2.4×10^{-2}	6.1×10^{-9}		1.5×10^{-10}	2.2×10^{5h}
		$(pK_a = 8.2)$			
TbbI170A ^f	7.1×10^{-3}	1.9×10^{-8}		1.3×10^{-10}	8.0×10^{4f}
		$(pK_a = 7.7)$			
cL7R	2.6×10^{-3}	1.8×10^{-7}		4.7×10^{-10}	5.7×10^{4g}
		$(pK_a = 6.7)$			
<i>y</i> Y208F	1.6×10^{-2}	4.9×10^{-7}		7.8×10^{-9}	9.6×10^{3h}
		$(pK_a = 6.3)$			

^{*a*}Determined from the fit of the data from Figure 2 to eq 7, in cases where there is an upward break in the pH profiles from Figure 2. The value of $(K_i)_E \approx 0.02$ M for wildtype TIMs was estimated as described in the text. ^{*b*}Determined from the fit of the experimental data to eq 7, when there is an upward break in the pH profiles from Figure 2. The values for wildtype TIM were estimated as described in the text. ^{*c*}Calculated from eq 9 using values of $(K_i)_{E}K_{EHI}$ determined from nonlinear least-squares fits of the inhibition data 7 and $K_{EH} = 10^{-3.920}$ ^{*d*}Determined from the nonlinear least-squares fit of data from Figure 2 to eq 7 or to 8, depending on whether there is an upward break in the pH profile at high pH. ^{*c*}Second-order rate constant for TIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP, calculated for the total concentration of the hydrated and carbonyl forms of substrate. ^{*f*}Ref 14. ^{*f*}Ref 34. ^{*h*}Ref 35.



Figure 3. Linear logarithmic correlation, with slope of 0.73 ($r^2 = 0.96$), between the values of k_{cat}/K_m for isomerization of GAP catalyzed by wildtype and mutant forms of TIM and $(K_i)_E K_{EHI}$ (Scheme 3 and Table 1) for binding of H⁺ and I³⁻ to TIM (E⁻) form the EH·I³⁻ complex.

E165. The increase from $pK_a = 3.9$ for deprotonation of the carboxylate side-chain of E165 at free TIM, to $pK_a \approx 10.5$ for deprotonation of the EH·I³⁻ (Scheme 2) is due to the combined effects of: (1) Stabilization of EH·I³⁻ by the hydrogen bond between the carboxylic acid side-chain of TIM and the carboxylate of PGA. (2) Destabilization of E⁻·I³⁻ by electrostatic interactions between the interacting anionic inhibitor and carboxylate side-chain of TIM.

There is an 8 kcal/mol difference between the 11 and 3 kcal/ mol binding energy of PGA trianion for E^- and EH. We propose that the observed binding energy of I_3^- for E^- is



Figure 4. Superposition of models, from X-ray crystal structures, which show TIM active sites: (1) Unliganded wildtype TbbTIM (gold, PDB entry STIM); (2) Wildtype TIM from *L. mexicana* (*LmTIM*) liganded with PGA (cyan, 1N55; (3) The P166A mutant of TbbTIM liganded with PGA (green, 2J27). The ligand induced conformational changes observed for wildtype *LmTIM* and P166A mutant TbbTIM liganded to PGA are similar, except that the carboxylate side-chain of Glu165 of the P166A mutant remains in the swung-out position observed for the unliganded enzyme. There is a shift in the position of the bound PGA carboxylate for this mutant, which enables the formation of a hydrogen bond between the TIM and PGA carboxylates, as is observed for the complex of PGA to wildtype TIM.

reduced by the requirement to utilize binding energy to drive an enzyme conformational change that results in destabilizing interactions between the ligand and enzyme anions,^{23,43} and an increase in the basicity of the E165 side-chain at the complex to I^{3-} or to the enediolate. In the case of I^{3-} , this increase in sidechain basicity is accompanied by thermodynamically favorable side-chain protonation by solvent. In the case of GAP, the increase in side-chain basicity at the complex to the enediolate intermediate provides an increase in the thermodynamic driving force for substrate deprotonation.

Site-Directed Mutations: Simple Effects. The tight packing of the hydrophobic side-chain of 1170 and the catalytic side-chain of E165 at the closed form of TIM is partly relieved at the 1170A mutant, where the excised side-chain is replaced by a water molecule.¹³ This has the effect of reducing k_{cat}/K_m for the 1170A mutant. The results of empirical valence bond calculations of the activation barriers for deprotonation of GAP catalyzed by wildtype and mutant forms of TIM reproduce the effect of the 1170A mutation on k_{cat} and show that placement of the alkyl side-chain of 1170 at the tightly packed active site of TIM is critical to the observation of optimal stabilizing electrostatic interactions between the transition state and neighboring polar side-chains at TIM.^{11,13-15}

Figure 5 shows the partly transparent surface of the complex between yTIM and PGA,²³ which highlights the hydrogen



Figure 5. Representation of the complex between yeast TIM and PGA (PDB entry 2YPI) that shows interloop H-bonds between the amide-NH of Gly-173 and the γ -O of Ser-211; the amide-NH of Ala-176 and the phenol oxygen of Try-208; and, the carbonyl oxygen of Ala-169 and the γ -OH of Ser-211.

bonding interactions between the side-chains of Y208 and S211 from loop 7 (shaded purple) and backbone amides from loop 6 (shaded green). These interloop hydrogen bonds serve to lock the ligand into a tight cage.^{35,36,44–46} The importance of this cage for obtaining optimal transition state stabilization from electrostatic interactions with side-chains at the enzyme active site is highlighted by the large effects on k_{cat}/K_m of the weakening of the cage by mutations at positions 208 and/or 211.^{35,44,45} Figure 3 shows that the Y208, S211 and L7R mutations likewise result in substantial destabilization of the complex to the PGA trianion intermediate analog; and, that 73% of this effect is observed as a destabilization of GAP.

Site-Directed Mutations: Perturbation of the pK_a for the Catalytic Glutamate. The binding of the enediolate analog I^{3-} from water to TIM to form $EH \cdot I^{3-}$ is accompanied by protonation of the catalytic side-chain (Scheme 3). By contrast, the enediolate phosphate intermediate is not released to water, but rather is generated by deprotonation of bound GAP (K_{eq} , Scheme 4) to form the EH·Enediolate complex, where the proton at E165 is derived from substrate, not water. The LFER from Figure 3 shows that I^{3-} is an excellent analog Scheme 4. Equilibrium Constant for Intermolecular Proton Transfer from Enzyme-Bound GAP to the Carboxylate Side-Chain of TIM (K_{eq}) Expressed as the Ratio of Acidity Constants for Proton Transfer Reactions Mediated by Water $[(K_a)_{CH}/(K_a)_{COOH}]$



for the enediolate phosphate trianion, so that the binding of each of these ligands is expected to induce an increase in the basicity of the glutamate side-chain. The increase in side-chain basicity will increase the driving force for intermolecular proton transfer to form **EH**•**Enediolate**: we propose that this change in driving force for proton transfer is an important consequence of the ligand driven conformational change of TIM.

Table 2 reports values of pK_{EHI} for deprotonation of $EH \cdot I^{3-}$ for several mutants of TIM, of $\Delta(pK)_{EHI}$ for the effect these

Table 2. Comparison of the Effect of Mutations of Side-
Chains near the Active Site of TIM on Catalytic Activity and
the Stability of Complexes to PGA Trianion (I_3^-)

Enzyme	$\log(K_i)_E$	pK_{EHI}	$\Delta(pK)_{\rm EHI}^{a}$	$\Delta \log (k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m})^b$
Wildtype chicken	-1.7	10.5		
Wildtype Tbb	-1.7	10.0		
Wildtype yeast	-1.7	10.4		
TbbP166A	-2.0	9.3	0.7	1.4
yS211A	-2.6	8.2	2.2	1.6
TbbI170A	-2.1	7.7	2.3	1.9
cL7R	-2.6	6.7	3.8	2.4
<i>y</i> Y208F	-1.8	6.3	4.1	3.1

^{*a*}The difference in the pK_{EHI} for each mutant comparing to the wildtype enzyme. ^{*b*}Difference in the $log(k_{cat}/K_m)$ for each mutant comparing to the wildtype enzyme.

mutations on the basicity of E165 at EH·I³⁻, and of $\Delta \log (k_{cat}/K_m)$ for the effect on the activation barrier to TIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP. This table shows that these mutations result in strikingly similar changes in the reaction activation barrier ($\Delta \log (k_{cat}/K_m)$), in $\Delta (pK)_{EHI}$ for deprotonation of the complex to the enediolate (EH·I³⁻) and, we propose, in $\Delta (pK)_{EHI}$ for deprotonation of the complex to the true enediolate intermediate.

Wildtype TIM-catalyzed isomerization proceeds with several kinetically significant steps (Scheme 1). Our discussion will focus on the barrier to TIM-catalyzed deprotonation of substrate to form the EH·Enediolate intermediate, because this barrier largely controls the overall barrier to $k_{\rm cat}$ for reaction of enzyme-bound substrate.¹¹ Figure 6, drawn for Scheme 4, compares the energetics for isomerization catalyzed by wildtype TIM and the I170A mutant of TIM, where $\Delta G_{\rm eq}$ for intermolecular proton transfer at the enzyme is controlled by the difference in $pK_{\rm CH}$ for deprotonation of the carbon acid substrate ($\Delta G_{\rm CH}$) and $pK_{\rm COOH}$ for deprotonation of the



Figure 6. Free energy diagram that compares deprotonation of GAP at the active sites of wildtype and 1170A mutant TIMs. The product of the reaction, with free energy barrier ΔG_{eq} , is the **EH**-**Enediolate**, which undergoes hypothetical proton transfer to solvent with pK_{COOH} to form **E**⁻**Enediolate**. Figure 6 is drawn to show that the 3.0 kcal larger barrier for oxygen deprotonation of **EH**-**Enediolate** at wildtype, compared with mutant TIM ($\Delta\Delta G_{COOH}$) (Table 2) is linked to 3.0 kcal increase in ΔG_{eq} ($\Delta\Delta G_{eq}$), calculated in an EVB computational study.¹¹ The reaction coordinate for substrate deprotonation is not shown in this Figure, but a late enediolate like transition state is assumed for TIM-catalyzed deprotonation of GAP.

carboxylic acid side-chain of E165 ($\Delta G_{\rm COOH}$). The I170A mutation results in a falloff in $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}$ and a decrease in $pK_{\rm EHI}$ (Table 2). If $\Delta pK_{\rm COOH} = \Delta pK_{\rm EHI} = 2.3$ for complexes to PGA trianion and to the enediolate intermediate, then we note the similarity between $\Delta pK_{\rm COOH} = 2.3$ for the I170A mutant, $\Delta \log (k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}) = 1.9$ (Table 2) and $\Delta \log K_{\rm eq} = 2.3$ determined by empirical valence bond calculations.¹¹ These similar effects of the I170A mutation on the kinetic [$\Delta \log (k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m})$] and thermodynamic [$\Delta \log K_{\rm eq} = 2.3$] reaction barriers for deprotonation of substrate bound to TIM, and on the barrier for E165 side-chain deprotonation [$\Delta pK_{\rm COOH}$] is shown in Figure 6 as the matching *ca*. 3 kcal/mol effects of the I170A mutation on $\Delta \Delta G_{\rm eq}$ and $\Delta \Delta G_{\rm COOH}$. We suggest that, by contrast, the I170A mutation has little or no effect on the barrier to proton transfer from the E•GAP to solvent ($K_{\rm CH}$, Figure 6).

The following arguments support the proposal that TIM acts specifically to reduce the thermodynamic barrier to intermolecular proton transfer at the enzyme (ΔG_{eq}), but has a much smaller effect on the thermodynamic barrier for proton transfer from enzyme-bound substrate to solvent (ΔG_{CH}), as shown in Figure 6.

(1) Mutations that stabilize $\mathbf{EH}\cdot\mathbf{I}^{3-}$ toward loss of a proton $(K_{\rm EHI})$ cause little of no change in the stability of $\mathbf{E}^{-}\cdot\mathbf{I}^{3-}$ toward disassociation of \mathbf{I}^{3-} ($(K_i)_E$, Tables 1 and 2). In other words, wildtype TIM provides optimal stabilization of $\mathbf{EH}\cdot\mathbf{I}^{3-}$, but a

much smaller stabilization of the $E^{-}I^{3-}$ complex. We propose that there is a similar trend for the EH-Enediolate and E^{-} . Enediolate complexes.

(2) Results from empirical valence bond calculations show that the effect of the I170A mutation on the stability of the EH• Enediolate relative to E^{-} ·GAP is due mainly to the effect of the mutation on stabilizing electrostatic interactions between TIM and the enzyme-bound intermediate.¹¹ We propose that these stabilizing electrostatic interactions are largely lost at the E^{-} · Enediolate complex, because of shifts in the position of interacting polar groups at E^{-} ·Enediolate compared with EH• Enediolate. If there is only weak stabilization of the E^{-} · Enediolate complex, then there can be no significant difference between the stabilization of E^{-} ·Enediolate complexes to wildtype and to I170A mutant TIM, as shown in Figure 6.

Origin of the Catalytic Rate Acceleration for TIM. The prime imperative for enzymatic catalysis of deprotonation of weak carbon acids is to reduce the thermodynamic barrier for proton transfer at the enzyme compared to proton transfer from substrate to solvent.^{47,48} We propose that the large thermodynamic driving force for deprotonation of enzyme-bound substrates GAP or DHAP¹¹ is reflected by a strong basicity of the E165 side-chain at the complex to the enediolate reaction intermediate (EH•Enediolate). This proposal is strongly supported by the observation of a strong basicity of the E165 side-chain at the EH•I^{3–} complex to the excellent enediolate analog phosphoglycolate (Table 2).

TIM may operate to increase K_{eq} (Scheme 4) for proton transfer either through interactions that increase the basicity of the carboxylate anion of E165 or through interactions that increase the acidity of the carbon acid substrate. The difficulties in partitioning this overall effect into effects on substrate acidity and protein basicity have been discussed in a recent report of EVB calculations to model the effects of I170A, L230A and I170A/L230A mutations on the activation barrier to TIMcatalyzed deprotonation of substrate to form the enediolate phosphate reaction intermediate.¹¹ The results of these calculations support the proposal that the effect of the protein catalyst on the driving force for proton transfer is due mainly to an increase in the stabilizing intermolecular electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions of the protein with the bound ligand that accompanies proton transfer.^{11,49,50} For example, there is evidence that TIM increases the driving force for deprotonation of bound substrate by providing preferential stabilization of negative charge at an enediolate oxygen compared with water. 8,33,51

Empirical valence bond calculations show that $\Delta p K_a$ for the reacting carbon acid and carboxylate base is reduced by 8 units, from 18.5 for deprotonation of DHAP by propionate anion in water to reaction in water to 10.7 units for proton transfer from DHAP to the carboxylate side-chain of E165.¹¹ By comparison, the binding of PGA trianion to TIM results in a large ≈ 6 unit increase in the basicity of the E165 side-chain. One important question that remains is the extent to which the increase in side-chain basicity is expressed at the transition state for TIM-catalyzed proton transfer.¹⁷ The results of EVB calculations of the effect of 1170A, L230A and 1170A/L230A on ΔG° and ΔG^{\ddagger} for deprotonation of enzyme-bound DHAP or GAP show that 80% of the change in ΔG° for enediolate formation is expressed at the transition state for TIM-

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The excellent linear free energy relationship from Figure 3 shows that the effect of mutations of TIM on the stability of the complex to PGA trianion (I^{3-}) and on the transition state for TIM-catalyzed isomerization are remarkably similar, so that I³⁻ serves as an excellent analog for the enediolate phosphate intermediate. The binding of I^{3-} to TIM drives a large enzyme conformational change that induces a ca. 6 unit increase in the pK_a for deprotonation of the carboxylic acid side-chain of E165 at the EH·I³⁻ complex. A similar increase in the basicity of this side-chain, which occurs during intermolecular proton transfer from bound substrate to enzyme to form the EH·Enediolate complex, would promote catalysis of substrate deprotonation by causing an increase in the thermodynamic driving force for proton transfer.¹⁷ We have examined the effect of mutations of side-chains that play several different structural roles in promoting efficient proton transfer at the active site of TIM. The good correlation between the effect of mutations on k_{cat} $K_{\rm m}$ for TIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP, and the pK_a for deprotonation of EH·I³⁻ shows that effective catalysis by TIM is directly linked to a strong basicity of the catalytic glutamate, which provides a strong thermodynamic driving force for deprotonation of the enzyme-bound substrate. The strong basicity of E165 is promoted by Y208 and S211, which serve to clamp loop 6 over the substrate;^{35,45} by I170, which assists in the creation of a hydrophobic environment for E165;^{13,43} and, by P166, which functions in driving the carboxylate side-chain of E165 toward enzyme-bound substrate.34,52 These results provide strong support for the conclusion that the evolution of key architectural features of the active site of TIM was guided by the imperative to optimize the thermodynamic driving force for proton transfer from the enzyme-bound substrate to the E165 side-chain.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b04367.

Procedures for determination of the kinetic parameters k_{cat} and K_m for TIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP, and inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ for inhibition of this reaction by PGA. Table S1: Kinetic parameters k_{cat} and K_m for isomerization of GAP catalyzed by wildtype and mutant TIMs. Table S2: Observed inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ for PGA inhibition of isomerization reactions catalyzed by wildtype cTIM, wildtype TbbTIM, P166A TbbTIM and L7R cTIM-catalyzed isomerization of GAP by PGA, and inhibition constants K_i calculated for binding of PGA trianion to these different TIMs. Table S3: Observed inhibition constants $(K_i)_{obs}$ for PGA inhibition of isomerization reactions catalyzed by wildtype yTIM, Y208T yTIM, Y208S yTIM, Y208A yTIM, Y208F yTIM, S211A yTIM, S211G yTIM, and Y208T/S211G yTIM, and inhibition constants K_i calculated for binding of PGA trianion to these different TIMs. Figures S1-S11: Michaelis-Menten plots for uninhibited and the PGAinhibited isomerization of GAP catalyzed by three different wildtype TIMs and nine different mutant TIMs at pH that range from 4.9 to 9.9. Figure S12: pH-Rate profiles of values for k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_m determined for isomerization reactions of GAP catalyzed by wildtype TbbTIM, wildtype cTIM, P166A TbbTIM, I170A

*Tbb*TIM and L7R *c*TIM. Figure S13: pH-Rate profiles of values for k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_m determined for isomerization reactions of GAP catalyzed by wildtype *y*TIM, Y208T *y*TIM, Y208S *y*TIM, 208A *y*TIM and Y208F *y*TIM. Figure S14: pH-Rate profiles of values for k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_m determined for isomerization reactions of GAP catalyzed by S211G *y*TIM, S211A *y*TIM and Y208T/S211G *y*TIM. Figure S15: pH-Rate profiles of values for $(K_i)_{obs}$ determined for wildtype TIM from yeast, chicken and *Typanosoma brucei brucei*, and for ten different mutants of TIM (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*jrichard@buffalo.edu

ORCID 0

Christopher J. Reinhardt: 0000-0001-9992-1253 John P. Richard: 0000-0002-0440-2387

Present Address

[⊥]Discovery Sciences, AstraZeneca R&D Boston, 35 Gatehouse Dr., Waltham, Massachusetts 02451 United States.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was generously supported by the following grants from the U.S. National Institutes of Health: GM116921 and GM039754.

REFERENCES

- (1) Knowles, J. R.; Albery, W. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10, 105-11.
- (2) Knowles, J. R. Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B 1991, 332, 115-21.
- (3) Wierenga, R. K.; Kapetaniou, E. G.; Venkatesan, R. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2010, 67, 3961–3982.
- (4) Raines, R. T.; Sutton, E. L.; Straus, D. R.; Gilbert, W.; Knowles, J. R. *Biochemistry* **1986**, *25*, 7142–54.
- (5) De la Mare, S.; Coulson, A. F. W.; Knowles, J. R.; Priddle, J. D.; Offord, R. E. *Biochem. J.* **1972**, *129*, 321–31.
- (6) Waley, S. G.; Miller, J. C.; Rose, I. A.; O'Connell, E. L. Nature 1970, 227, 181.
- (7) Lodi, P. J.; Knowles, J. R. Biochemistry 1991, 30, 6948-56.
- (8) Komives, E. A.; Chang, L. C.; Lolis, E.; Tilton, R. F.; Petsko, G. A.; Knowles, J. R. *Biochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 3011–19.
- (9) Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4926-36.
- (10) Richard, J. P.; Amyes, T. L.; Goryanova, B.; Zhai, X. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2014, 21, 1–10.
- (11) Kulkarni, Y. S.; Liao, Q.; Petrović, D.; Krüger, D. M.; Strodel, B.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P.; Kamerlin, S. C. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 10514–10525.

(12) Kulkarni, Y. S.; Liao, Q.; Byléhn, F.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P.; Kamerlin, S. C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 3854–3857.

(13) Richard, J. P.; Amyes, T. L.; Malabanan, M. M.; Zhai, X.; Kim, K. J.; Reinhardt, C. J.; Wierenga, R. K.; Drake, E. J.; Gulick, A. M. *Biochemistry* **2016**, *55*, 3036–3047.

(14) Malabanan, M. M.; Nitsch-Velasquez, L.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 5978–5981.

(15) Malabanan, M. M.; Koudelka, A. P.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 10286–10298.

(16) Malabanan, M. M.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 16428–16431.

- (17) Richard, J. P. Biochemistry 1998, 37, 4305-4309.
- (18) Pauling, L. Nature 1948, 161, 707-709.
- (19) Wolfenden, R. Nature 1969, 223, 704-705.

(20) Hartman, F.; Lamuraglia, G.; Tomozawa, Y.; Wolfenden, R. Biochemistry 1975, 14, 5274–5279.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(21) Campbell, I. D.; Jones, R. B.; Kiener, P. A.; Waley, S. G. Biochem. J. 1979, 179, 607-621.

- (22) Campbell, I. D.; Jones, R. B.; Kiener, P. A.; Richards, E.; Waley, S. C.; Wolfenden, R. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1978**, *83*, 347–52.
- (23) Lolis, E.; Petsko, G. A. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 6619-25.
- (24) Jogl, G.; Rozovsky, S.; McDermott, A. E.; Tong, L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2003, 100, 50-55.
- (25) Bartlett, P. A.; Marlowe, C. K. Biochemistry 1983, 22, 4618-4624.
- (26) Reyes, A. C.; Zhai, X.; Morgan, K. T.; Reinhardt, C. J.; Amyes,
- T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1372-1382.
- (27) O'Connor, E. J.; Tomita, Y.; McDermott, A. E. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 1994, 34, 735-40.
- (28) Bergemeyer, H. U.; Haid, E.; Nelboeck-Hochstetter, M. Process for preparing open ring tetrose and triosephosphate acetals and phosphate ketals. Patent US3662037A, 1972.
- (29) O'Donoghue, A. C.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. *Biochemistry* 2005, 44, 2610–2621.
- (30) Richard, J. P. Biochemistry 1985, 24, 949-53.
- (31) Malabanan, M. M.; Go, M. K.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. Biochemistry **2011**, 50, 5767–5779.
- (32) Wang, Y.; Berlow, R. B.; Loria, J. P. Biochemistry 2009, 48, 4548-4556.
- (33) Go, M. K.; Koudelka, A.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. Biochemistry **2010**, 49, 5377–5389.
- (34) Zhai, X.; Amyes, T. L.; Wierenga, R. K.; Loria, J. P.; Richard, J. P. *Biochemistry* **2013**, *52*, 5928–5940.
- (35) Zhai, X.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15185–15197.
- (36) Zhai, X.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4145-4148.
- (37) Gasteiger, E.; Hoogland, C.; Gattiker, A.; Duvaud, S.; Wilkins,
- M. R.; Appel, R. D.; Bairoch, A. Proteomics Protoc. Handb. 2005, 571-607.
- (38) Borchert, T. V.; Abagyan, R.; Kishan, K. V.; Zeelen, J. P.; Wierenga, R. K. *Structure* **1993**, *1*, 205–13.
- (39) Hartman, F. C.; Ratrie, H., III Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1977, 77, 746-752.
- (40) Plaut, B.; Knowles, J. R. Biochem. J. 1972, 129, 311-20.
- (41) Balachandran, N.; To, F.; Berti, P. J. *Biochemistry* 2017, 56, 592-601.
- (42) Wierenga, R. K.; Noble, M. E. M.; Vriend, G.; Nauche, S.; Hol, W. G. J. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1991**, *220*, 995–1015.
- (43) Kursula, I.; Wierenga, R. K. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 9544– 9551.
- (44) Sampson, N. S.; Knowles, J. R. Biochemistry **1992**, 31, 8488–8494.
- (45) Sampson, N. S.; Knowles, J. R. Biochemistry **1992**, 31, 8482–8487.
- (46) Malabanan, M. M.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2010, 20, 702–710.
- (47) Zhai, X.; Malabanan, M. M.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2014, 27, 269–276.
- (48) Richard, J. P. Biochemistry 2012, 51, 2652-2661.
- (49) Warshel, A.; Sharma, P. K.; Kato, M.; Parson, W. W. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics **2006**, 1764, 1647–1676.
- (50) Warshel, A. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 27035-27038.
- (51) Lodi, P. J.; Chang, L. C.; Knowles, J. R.; Komives, E. A. *Biochemistry* **1994**, 33, 2809–2814.
- (52) Casteleijn, M. G.; Alahuhta, M.; Groebel, K.; El-Sayed, I.; Augustyns, K.; Lambeir, A. M.; Neubauer, P.; Wierenga, R. K. *Biochemistry* **2006**, *45*, 15483–94.